# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Mrs. Karla Gary Orange

SAC Chair: Nicole Sawicki/ Carol Raphan

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/24/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

# PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
					2011-12: Principal of Pinewood Elementary 53% of students reading at or above grade level, 48% of students at or above grade level in math 75% of students met state standards in writing, 36% of students at or above grade level in Science School Grade C  2010-2011: Assistant Principal of Pinewood Elementary  68% of students reading at or above grade level 71% of students at or above grade level 71% of students at or above grade level in math 92% of students met state standards in writing 32% of students at or above grade level in Science  School Grade: B  Met AYP Requirements: No BLACK, HISPANIC, ECONOMICALLY

DISADVANTAGED students and STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES need improvement in Reading STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES need improvement in Mathematics. 2009-2010: 72% of students reading at or above grade level 63% of students at or above grade level in math 91% of students met state standards in writing 31% of students at or above grade level in Science School Grade: B Met AYP Requirements: No BLACK, ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED students need improvement in Reading and Math. 2008-2009: 77% of students reading at or above grade level 71% of students at or above grade level in math 93% of students met state standards in Master of writing Science: 24% of students at or above grade level in Administration Science and Supervision. Florida Atlantic School Grade: A University Met AYP Requirements: No Bachelor of Science: Special Mrs. Darlene STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES need 15 Assis Principal 13 Milner improvement in Reading Education. University of BLACK, ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED, Florida: Mentally STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES need Handicapped (Kimprovement in Math 12): Educational Leadership (K-12) 72% of students reading at or above grade 71% of students at or above grade level in math 89% of students met state standards in writing 33% of students at or above grade level in Science School Grade: A Met AYP Requirements: Yes All subgroups met this criteria. 2006-2007: 68% of students reading at or above grade 73% of students at or above grade level in 93% of students met state standards in writing 36% of students at or above grade level in Science School Grade: A Met AYP Requirements: Yes All subgroups met this criteria 2005-2006: 67% of students reading at or above grade 69% of students at or above grade level in math 79% of students met state standards in writing School Grade: A Met AYP Requirements: Yes All subgroups met this criteria. 2004-2005: 67% of students reading at or above grade 67% of students at or above grade level in 70% of students met state standards in writing School Grade: B Met AYP Requirements: Yes All subgroups met this criteria

Principal	Mrs. Karla Gary Orange	Master of Science: Educational Leadership,Nova Southeastern University Bachelor of Art: Special Education/Mental Retardation (K- 12),Bennet College Certification: Mentally Handicapped (K- 12);Educational Leadership (K- 12)	3	20	2011-12: Principal of Pinewood Elementary 53% of students reading at or above grade level, 48% of students at or above grade level in math 75% of students met state standards in writing, 36% of students at or above grade level in Science School Grade C  2010-2011: Principal of Pinewood Elementary  68% of students reading at or above grade level 71% of students at or above grade level in math 92% of students met state standards in writing 32% of students at or above grade level in Science School Grade: B Met AYP Requirements: No BLACK, HISPANIC, ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED students and STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES need improvement in Reading. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES need improvement in Mathematics.  2006-2010: Principal on Special Assignment (North Area Exceptional Student Education Coordinator)  Record of Prior Performance: 2003-2006  Principal of Maplewood Elementary 2005-2006: 85% of students at or above grade level in math 90% of students met state standards in writing School Grade: A Met AYP Requirements: Yes  2004-2005: 34% of students met state standards in writing School Grade: A Met AYP Requirements: Yes  2003-2004: 78% of students met state standards in writing School Grade: A Met AYP Requirements: Yes  2003-2004: 78% of students reading at or above grade level 80% of students reading at or above grade level 80% of students met state standards in writing School Grade: A Met AYP Requirements: Yes  2003-2004: 78% of students reading at or above grade level 80% of students met state standards in writing School Grade: B Met AYP Requirements: Yes  Met AYP Requirements: Yes  2003-2004: 78% of students met state standards in writing School Grade: B Met AYP Requirements: Yes
-----------	---------------------------	--	---	----	--

### **INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
					2011-12:

Curriculum Facilitator (ELA, Math, and Science)	Carol Raphan	Bachelors of Arts: Elementary Education, City University of New York, Queens College	28	2	53% of students reading at or above grade level, 48% of students at or above grade level in math 75% of students met state standards in writing, 36% of students at or above grade level in Science School Grade C  2010-2011: 68% of students reading at or above grade level 71% of students at or above grade level in math 92% of students met state standards in writing 32% of students at or above grade level in Science School Grade B Met AYP Requirements: No BLACK, HISPANIC, ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED students and STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES need improvement in Reading. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES need improvement in Mathematics.
--	--------------	---	----	---	---

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	New Educator Support System	Nicole Sawicki	6/2013	
2	Professional Learning Communities	Leadership Team	5/2013	
3	District Training	District Trainers	Ongoing	
4	School-Based Professional Development	Leadership Team; Literacy Leadership Team; BTU Stewards	6/2013	

# Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	N/A

# Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
45	4.4%(2)	40.0%(18)	148.9%(67)	28.9%(13)	84.4%(38)	222.2% (100)	20.0%(9)	20.0%(9)	193.3%(87)

# Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Carmen Vargas (Fourth Grade Teacher)	Tanna King	The teacher is new to the fourth grade. The teacher will serve as mentor. The teacher has experience in intermediate education.	1. The mentor and mentee will meet before and after school or during selected planning periods.  2. The mentor and mentee will debrief after weekly scheduled team meetings to discuss grade level planning and to provide support to the mentee.  3. The mentor will provide support during team planning and review the mentee's lesson plans to ensure alignment with state standards and grade level curriculum.  4. Both teachers will attend on-site professional development. The mentee will observe the mentor apply strategies during a lesson and provide opportunities for coteaching to ensure effective application of the strategy. Modeling of strategies will occur based upon the needs of the mentee.  5. The mentor will encourage the new teacher to attend professional learning communities and relevant district training.  6. The mentor will teach and monitor organizational skills of the mentee and provide assistance to the mentee in the following areas: 1) creation and maintenance of a grade and lesson book 2) review paperwork prior to the mentee submitting the requirement to administration.
Nicole Sawicki	Joelle Scott	The teacher is new to the fifth grade and new to the school. The NESS Coach will serve as mentor. The teacher has experience in intermediate education.	1. The mentor and mentee will meet before and after school or during selected planning periods.  2. The mentor and mentee will debrief after weekly scheduled team meetings to discuss grade level planning and to provide support to the mentee.  3. The mentor will provide support during team planning and review the mentee's lesson plans to ensure alignment with state standards and grade level curriculum.  4. Both teachers will attend on-site professional development. The mentee will observe the mentor apply strategies during a lesson and provide opportunities for coteaching to ensure effective application of the strategy. Modeling of strategies will occur based upon the needs of the mentee.  5. The mentor will encourage the new teacher to attend professional learning communities and relevant district training.

			6. The mentor will conduct observations and provide feedback to the mentee. 7. The mentor will teach and monitor organizational skills of the mentee and provide assistance to the mentee in the following areas: 1) creation and maintenance of a grade and lesson book 2) review paperwork prior to the mentee submitting the requirement to administration.  1. The mentor and
K-5 Team Leaders	Melissa Moore	The teacher is new to the school. The teacher has experience as a Media Specialist.	mentee will meet before and after school or during selected planning periods.  2. The mentor and mentee will debrief after weekly scheduled team meetings to discuss grade level planning and to provide support to the mentee.  3. The mentor will provide support during team planning and review the mentee's lesson plans to ensure alignment with state standards and grade level curriculum.  4. Both teachers will attend on-site professional development. The mentee will observe the mentor apply strategies during a lesson and provide opportunities for coteaching to ensure effective application of the strategy. Modeling of strategies will occur based upon the needs of the mentee.  5. The mentor will encourage the new teacher to attend professional learning communities and relevant district training.  6. The mentor will teach and monitor organizational skills of the mentee and provide assistance to the mentee in the following areas: 1) creation and maintenance of a grade and lesson book 2) review paperwork prior to the mentee submitting the requirement to administration.
			1. The mentor and mentee will meet before and after school or during selected planning periods.  2. The mentor and mentee will debrief after weekly scheduled team meetings to discuss grade level planning and to provide support to the mentee.  3. The mentor will provide support during team planning and review the mentee's lesson plans to ensure alignment with state standards and grade level curriculum.  4. Both teachers will

The teacher is new to ESE Support Facilitation. The ESE Specialist will serve as mentor. The teacher has experience in primary and intermediate education with ESE students.  Brownstein Est Students.  Esta Brownstein Esta Esta Esta Brownstein Esta Esta Brownstein Esta Esta Esta Esta Esta Brownstein Esta Esta Esta Esta Esta Esta Esta Esta	
---	--

# ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

# Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

The "Title I" program is to support our school's efforts that all children meet challenging academic standards and have a fair, equal, and significant opportunity to obtain a high-quality education. "Title I" funds are used to provide supplementary instruction to raise achievement of students who are failing, or at-risk of failing. This is accomplished at our school by providing the following: affording parents meaningful opportunities to participate in the education of their child, improving and strengthening accountability and teaching and learning, and by meeting the educational needs of low-achieving children in our school. Title I funds are also used for teacher salaries through Parent Involvement and Professional Development activities. Also, distributing resources sufficiently to make a difference where needs are greatest.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title II Part III

N/A

Title III

N/A

Title III

N/A

Title IX- Homeless

N/A

N/A

Violence Prevention Programs

The school participates in the anti-bullying campaign by encouraging a "bully free" school environment through implementation of the "Silence Hurts" campaign and use of the "bully box". "Safe Zone" listeners are identified and there are posters on their doors for easy identification by students. Students go to these people when they need a comfortable, safe place to talk to someone. Students who need mentors are identified and mentor teachers are assigned to assist students when they need extra support with behavior, socialization, etc. Students K-5 will participate in scheduled meetings/lessons provided by the School Guidance Counselor following the "Get Real About Violence" curriculum. "Bullying, Safe Zone Listeners, No Name Calling, Problem Solving, Peace Table" are additional components of the violence prevention lessons that will be shared with students. Peer Mediation is also available to all students. Peer mediation is conducted by trained 5th graders and the School Guidance Counselor.

**Nutrition Programs** 

- 1. Pinewood follows the "Commit to Be Fit" curriculum to teach healthy lifestyles and food choices to students.
- 2. The Physical Education teacher facilitates a school-wide walking program for students. Participants receive a "toe token" for each mile they walk during or after school. Students keep a log of their physical activity. At the end of the year students receive an award. The fifth grader with the most miles logged receives a plaque and a t-shirt.

Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
N/A
Job Training
N/A
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team

N/A

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Pinewood's MTSS/RtI team is comprised of the principal, assistant principal, guidance counselor, speech and language pathologist, ESE specialist, curriculum facilitator, school psychologist, school social worker, ESE support facilitator, classroom teachers and parents.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team meets each week, based upon needs, to discuss students for whom there are concerns either in academic or behavioral areas or both. The guidance counselor coordinates and facilitates the MTSS/RtI meetings. She also serves as a case manager and assigns other MTSS/RtI members to serve as case managers (ESE Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Speech Language Pathologist, etc.). Students are referred by teachers, support staff, or as the result of a parent request. When a child is referred teachers meet with the MTSS/RtI Team. Research based supplemental programs from the Struggling Reader Chart and from the Struggling Math Chart is used to assist in the intervention planning process. The school has been trained on how to use "Google docs" which has regularly updated school-wide data available for teachers and for the MTSS/RtI Team. A particularly useful document that has been shared with teachers and is available on "Google docs" is the process for identifying students who need to be referred to the MTSS/RtI Team as well as a document that describes the MTSS/RtI process.

Teachers conduct observations, parent conferences, and collect data. The MTSS/RtI folder contains summary sheets for initial

data collection that is collected and analyzed by the MTSS/RtI Team. This includes a review of the cumulative folder, screenings for speech/language, hearing and vision, an observation, and documentation of Tier 1 interventions that have been implemented addressing a target behavior. An initial parent conference is held to identify and discuss the area of concern. The classroom teacher will collect tier 1 data. Tier 1 interventions utilize data points from previous school year assessments/data as well as classroom assessments that have been administered thus far to assist in deciding the type of interventions to put into place.

If Tier 2 interventions are needed, then a member of the MTSS/RtI RTI Team who was assigned as case manager works with the teacher in designing Tier 2 interventions for the student. Tier 2 interventions are monitored through the use of support team observation, informal classroom assessments and teacher collected data. If needed, a support team member would consider completing a Diagnostic Assessment of Reading (DAR), KeyMath, or some other more formal type of assessment to assist in the planning of interventions. The case manager and the classroom teacher meet regularly to discuss intervention outcomes and consider adjustments that need to be made to help the student be most successful. A second parent conference is held to discuss the student's response to the interventions that are in place.

If needed, Tier 3 interventions are implemented. Which are intensive individualized instructional or behavioral interventions. After reviewing the Tier 3 interventions the MTSS/RtI Team will make a recommendation for further student assessment. Tier 3 interventions are put in place and monitored as appropriate. If Tier 3 strategies are unsuccessful, the MTSS/RtI Team will refer the child to the School Psychologist for further assessment. If it is determined that no testing is needed, the child will be monitored by designated members of the MTSS/RtI Team to ensure continued success.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS/RtI Team is made up of school support staff who, through the MTSS/RtI process, monitor students who are struggling in academics and/or behavior. They offer assistance to classroom teachers in the areas of interventions and differentiating instruction to meet the needs of all students. Students who are not making gains will be monitored and data will be collected for further evaluation. Students who have been retained will be progress monitored. The MTSS/RtI Team assists teachers by helping them identify the problem their struggling students are facing, analyze student data, develop and implement an intervention plan, and monitor/evaluate for effectiveness. There is a MTSS/RtI SAC Sub-committee that meets monthly, in addition to CPST meetings, to discuss student progress, teachers' needs, students' needs and how it coordinates with the school improvement plan. The MTSS/RtI Team also assists in the development and implementation of the School Improvement Plan by reviewing the document regularly, providing training for faculty, and monitoring progress of students.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The data management system is a multi-tiered approach composed of summary sheets, data collection forms, documentation graphs, behavior tracking forms, and other instruments used to illustrate the child's specific needs and target behaviors to be addressed. Summary sheets are utilized to collect background data, screening scores, assessment data, and other information. Anecdotals, referrals, and behavioral observations are reviewed. After an intervention is implemented, the response is documented in order to drive instructional and/or behavioral decisions and/or to make a recommendation for an optimal learning environment/placement. Formal and informal assessments are evaluated to assist in making decisions regarding interventions for students.

Students' progress is tracked through the use of regular assessments (classroom assessments, assessments provided through supplemental programs, formal assessments such as the DAR, or KeyMath, etc.).

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff will be trained in the use of the Response to Intervention model through team meetings at the beginning of the school year. The guidance counselor, support facilitators, and others on the MTSS/RtI team will conduct the training. Approaches to data collection and presentation will be demonstrated with examples given. Methods for differentiating instruction will be presented. Teachers will learn how to use data as a tool in decision-making to develop, implement, and evaluate instruction/behavioral strategies. Interventions will be the focus of the school.

The school has been trained on how to use "Google docs" which has regularly updated school-wide data available for teachers and for the CPS Team. A particularly useful document that has been shared with teachers and is available on "Google docs" is the process for identifying students who need to be referred to the CPS Team as well as a document that describes the CPS process.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

There will be a meeting mid-year to assist teachers in the MTSS/RtI process and provide any updates of the process. The MTSS/RtI SAC Sub-Committee will address the faculty every other month to provide updates of the School Improvement Plan and progress of our students. This will also be a time for teachers to ask questions about the MTSS/RtI process.

# Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Members include Principal, Assistant Principal, ESE Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Guidance Counselor/ ESOL Coordinator, ESE Support Facilitator, Team Leaders Grades K-5 and ESE

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The school based Literacy Leadership Team is responsible for developing goals, strategies, and measures to implement cross-curricular literacy development. The goals of their efforts are to improve the achievement of all students, with a focus on the needs of students at risk. Literacy team members monitor, disaggregate, and make instructional decisions about the current literacy program for at risk students including effective programs and resources to be utilized. The LLT develops in collaboration with SAC, a school wide plan which includes professional development for teachers, training for volunteers, processes for identifying and monitoring students who are at risk, on level or in need of further enrichment. The LLT communicates a clear instructional and professional development to the staff. The LLT meets weekly with Team Leaders based upon needs to review data such as student achievement data, classroom walkthrough feedback, classroom observations conducted by the curriculum facilitator, informal administrative observations and to discuss any concerns teachers may have in regard to the Literacy program. The role of LLT members include but is not limited to, sharing knowledge with teachers, establishing professional learning communities that support literacy growth, monitoring the effectiveness of programs, interventions and resources, and communicating with other teachers in the building as a means of sharing best practices.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Teachers will use higher order thinking comprehension questions to help students gain a greater understanding of material learned in class. The questions can be modified to accommodate student needs by adjusting the difficulty level of the questions asked to appropriately assess students' understanding. Students will add vocabulary words with definitions in a vocabulary notebook for the duration of the school year. Students can refer back to the notebooks throughout the school year when they come across a difficult word that is used in the curriculum. If the students come across any additional unknown words, then they will add them to their vocabulary notebook. Teachers will provide students with extended response practice across the curriculum. Students are given the opportunity to answer extended response questions in all subjects to help their comprehension in all areas improve.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/28/2012)

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

To ensure school readiness, the pre-school program has implemented a new literacy, math, and science curricula in the pre-school classrooms. The program has aligned the literacy and math standards with the K-3 national standards to improve educational outcomes. This transparent connection between curricula and child expectations has contributed to better prepare students to succeed in kindergarten. An end of the year Creative Curriculum Continuum report, detailing students' ongoing assessment, is placed in the students' cumulative folder to familiarize kindergarten teachers with the pre-school students' progress in the program.

Regarding the logistics of registering students at the elementary schools, the pre-school program ensures a smooth transition to kindergarten by clearly specifying the necessary enrollment processes and time lines to all families participating in the program. The pre-school family services support team and the pre-school teachers provide ongoing guidance to the pre-school families by indicating the students' corresponding home school, immunization requirements, and dates scheduled for kindergarten roundup at those schools.

Throughout the school year the teachers continuously learn about the expectations and requirements of their Pre-School students when they enter Kindergarten. Team meetings are held a minimum of two times per month (or more frequently as needed) Kindergarten and ESE Team Leaders are available to assist and support the pre-school teachers as needed.

Grades 6-12 Only	
ec. 1003.413(b) F.S.	
or schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of e	very teacher.
N/A	
High Schools Only	
lote: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.	
low does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between s elevance to their future?	ubjects and
N/A	
low does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selec tudents' course of study is personally meaningful?	tions, so that
N/A	
Postsecondary Transition	
lote: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.	
escribe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of	the <u>High Sch</u>

Feedback Report

N/A

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

# Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in In grades 3-5, 26.8% (310) of students scored at reading. achievement Level 3. It is expected that 35% of students will achieve proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test. Reading Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grades 3-5, 26.8% (310) of students achieved Level 3 on In grades 3-5, 35% (310) of students will achieve Level 3 on the 2012 FCAT Reading Test. the 2013 FCAT Reading Test Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students need additional Teachers in grades K-5 Administration, Data chats will be Treasures instruct a 90-minute Curriculum conducted every 6 weeks benchmark support to master grade Facilitator, Team level benchmarks in uninterrupted reading to discuss results of assessments, mini-Reading. block, providing small Leaders assessments. Data chats assessments, Oral group sessions daily. In include Administration. Reading Fluency, addition, double- or triple Curriculum Facilitator. FCAT Prep -dose sessions are Team Leaders and activities, schoolprovided, as needed, and Teachers. During the created benchmark chats, the group literacy centers are assessments implemented in the areas discusses student Tests, DAR achievement and follows of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Students have a limited Teachers will implement Administration. IObservations will be Elements of vocabulary. "Elements of Reading -Curriculum conducted, which Reading -Vocabulary" lessons, Facilitator, Team provide frequency, focus Vocabulary "Word of the Day" and feedback to target assessments/ Leaders activities for Tier 1, 2, the needs of teachers Treasures Reading and 3 words, and the use and students and to aid Assessments of non-fiction texts to in the implementation of 2 a plan of action based on help improve student vocabulary.Teachers will the feedback to help increase the eposure to improve student achievement. poetry to foster an appreciation of the genre and an increased exposure to vocabulary Students have difficulty Teachers will increase Administration, Data chats will be Treasures answering higher order conducted every 6 weeks Benchmark the use of low, Curriculum Facilitator, Team thinking questions. moderate, and high to discuss results of Assessments, minicomplexity questions, Leader assessments. Data chats assessments, Oral teaching the students include Administration, Reading Fluency, how to employ high yield Curriculum Facilitator, FCAT Prep strategies to answer Team Leaders and activities, schoolquestions Teachers. During the based benchmark (summarization, assessments chats, the group compare/contrast, discusses student Tests, DAR inferencing, etc.) achievement and follows 3 Teachers will expose the plan, do, check and students to complex text act process to support

instruction and teaching

from Appendix B of the

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		Common Core State Standards. Teachers will use poetry from Exemplars and other non- fiction text to enhance students' repetoires of skills and strategies with non-fiction text.		strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	
4	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Google docs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students levels of understanding.	Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will conducted every 6 weeks to discuss the results of the assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	School-based assessments
5	Students need additional support to master grade level strands in Reading	Grades K-5 participate in a 90 minute uninterrupted Reading Block with an additional 30 minutes of Reading instruction built into the daily schedule to assist students by providing small group sessions as needed, and by implementing literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension.	Administration; Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark
6	Students have a limited vocabulary	Teachers will implement "Elements of Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary.	Administration; Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders	iObservations will be conducted which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement	
7	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark
	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous	school-wide (grades 2-5)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats	

	system	will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.		include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	
--	--------	---	--	--	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.  Reading Goal #1b:	In grades 3-5, 20% (25) of students achieved above proficiency (FAA Levels 4, 5 and 6) on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment. It is expected that 25% of students will perform above proficiency on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
In grades 3-5, 20% (25) of students achieved above proficiency (FAA Levels 4, 5 and 6) on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment.	In grades 3-5 25% (25) of students will perform above proficiency (FAA Levels 4, 5, and 6) on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students need additional support to master grade level Access Points in Reading.	provide a 90- minute uninterrupted reading block, providing small group sessions daily, and implement literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension based on the grade level Access Points.		Data chats will be conducted to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	
2		1	Administrators, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist	Data chats will be conducted to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the	School-based assessments based upon Access Points and IEP goals

		Common Core Standards.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement In grades 3-5, 24.5% (310) of students achieved above Level 4 in reading.

proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) on the 2012 FCAT Reading Test. It is expected that 28% of students will perform above proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test.

Reading Goal #2a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

In grades 3-5, 24.5% (310) of students achieved above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) on the 2012 FCAT Reading Test

In grades 3-5, 28% (310) of students will perform above proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test.

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students need to be provided with more opportunities for enrichment.	Teachers will provide project-based learning throughout the school day to enrich higher achieving students' understanding of curriculum.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats are conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions and attending PLC's to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	Project-based learning rubrics, Treasures assessments, miniassessments, schoolbased benchmark assessment tests
2	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions.	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.) Teachers will expose students to complex text from Appendix B of the Common Core State Standards. Teachers will use poetry from Exemplars and other non-fiction text to enhance students' repetoires of skills and strategies with non-fiction text.		Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	Treasures assessments,school- based benchmark assessments,mini- assessments
	Students need to be provided with more opportunities for	Teachers will provide project-based learning throughout the school	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist,	conducted every 6	Project-based learning rubrics, Treasures Reading

3	enrichment	day to enrich higher achieving students' understanding of curriculum	Team Leaders	results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	Series assessments, mini-assessments, Benchmark Assessment Tests
4	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	Data chats include	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini-assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: In grades 3-5, 52% (25) of students achieved above Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in proficiency (FAA Level 7 and above) on the 2012 Florida reading. Alternate Assessment. It is expected that 60% of students will perform above proficiency on the 2013 Florida Alternate Reading Goal #2b: Assessment. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grades 3-5, 52% (25) of students achieved above In grades 3-5, 60% (25) of students will perform above proficiency (FAA Level 7 and above) on the 2012 Florida proficiency (FAA Level 7 and above) on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Alternate Assessment. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students need additional Teachers will administer Administrators. Data chats will be School-based practice of tested access access point Curriculum conducted to discuss assessments based Facilitator, Team points and IEP goals. assessments monthly. results of assessments. upon Access Students need to be part Teachers will utilize their Leaders, ESE Data chats include Points and IEP of a continuous progress data tracking sheets and Specialist Administration, Curriculum goals monitoring system. use the data to improve Facilitator, Team their instruction of the Leaders, ESE Specialist access points to raise and Teachers. During the the students' levels of chats, the group understanding. discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Students need additional Grades K-5 utilize a 90-Data chats will be Administrators, School-based assessments based support to master grade minute reading block by Curriculum conducted to discuss level Access Points in results of assessments. providing small group Facilitator, Team on Access Points

Leaders, ESE

Data chats include

and IEP goals

sessions daily as per K-

Reading.

2	12 plan and double- and triple-dose as needed. Teachers implement literacy centers in the areas of phonics, phonemic awareness fluency, vocabulary and comprehension based upon the grade level Access Points.		Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	
---	--	--	--	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:	In grades 3-5, 74.4% of students (201) made Learning Gains on the 2012 Reading FCAT Test. It is predicted that 80% of students will make Learning Gains on the 2013 Reading FCAT Test.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
In grades 3-5, 74.4% (201) of students (201) made Learning Gains on the 2012 Reading FCAT Test.	In grades 3-5, 80% (201) of students will make Learning Gains on the 2013 Reading FCAT Test.				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		After school tutoring will be provided (Reading, Math, Writing Camps).	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	data to classroom teacher via data chats	FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests; Program Assessments
		Teachers in grades K-5 instruct a 90-minute uninterrupted reading block, providing small group sessions daily. In addition, double- or triple -dose sessions are provided, as needed, and implement literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
	Students have a limited vocabulary.	Teachers will implement "Elements of Reading - Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator,Team Leaders	conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to	Elements of Vocabulary Assessments/ Treasures Reading Assessments

3		use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary. Teachers will increase the students' exposure to poetry to foster an appreciation for the genre and to increase the exposure to the vocabulary used.		and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	
4	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions.	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.) Teachers will expose students to complex text from Appendix B of the Common Core State Standards. Teachers will use poetry from Exemplars and other non-fiction text to enhance students'repetoires of skills and strategies with non-fiction text.		Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini- assessments, Ora Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, school- based benchmark assessment tests DAR
5	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Google docs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	School-based assessments
6	Students need additional practice instruction beyond the 90 minutes uninterrupted Reading Block and additional 30 minutes of Reading in the daily schedule	SAI teacher will provide supplemental instruction to students based upon areas of need. After school tutoring will be provided in the areas of writing, math, and reading.		Curriculum Facilitator will provide assessment data to classroom teacher via data chats and assist teachers in modifying instruction accordingly	FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests; Program Assessments
7	Students need additional support to master grade level strands in Reading	Teachers in grades K-5 instruct a 90-minute uninterrupted reading block, providing small group sessions daily. In addition, double- or triple -dose sessions are provided, as needed, and implement literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include	mini-assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR

				the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching	
8	Students have a limited vocabulary	Teachers will implement "Elements of Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary	Administration,Reading Resource Facilitator, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	strategies  Classroom walkthroughs which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement	Classroom Walkthrough Evaluation Tool
9	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini-assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
10	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system		Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	School based assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:			reading on t expected that	In grades 3-5, 62.9% (21) of students made learning gains in reading on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment. It is expected that 68% of students will make learning gains on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Exped	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
In grades 3-5, 62.9% (21) of students made learning gains in reading on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment				5, 68% (21) of students wil Florida Alternate Assessme		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stu	dent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible f Monitoring	Process Used to Determine or Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Students need additional support to master grade		Administrators, Curriculum	Data chats will be conducted to discuss	Students need additional support	

1	level Access Points in Reading.	providing small group sessions as needed, and by implementing literacy centers in the areas of phonics, phonemic awareness fluency, vocabulary and comprehension based upon the grade level Access Points.	Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist		-
2	practice of tested access points and IEP goals. Students need to be part	Teachers will administer access point assessments monthly. Teachers will utilize their data tracking sheets and use the data to improve students' levels of understanding.		results of assessments.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% In grades 3-5, 86.1% (56) of the lowest 25% of students making learning gains in reading. made Learning Gains on the 2012 Reading FCAT Test. It is predicted that 90% of the lowest 25% of students will make Reading Goal #4: Learning Gains on the 2013 Reading FCAT Test. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grades 3-5, 86.1% (56) of the lowest 25% of students In grades 3-5, 90% (56) of the lowest 25% of students will made Learning Gains on the 2012 Reading FCAT Test. make Learning Gains on the 2013 Reading FCAT Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Responsible for Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students have difficulty with Students are provided Administration, Data chats will be Treasures word recognition, fluency, Curriculum Facilitator supplemental instruction conducted every 6 assessments, and decoding. (LLI, Quick Reads, Multiweeks to discuss minisequence Speed Drills) to results of assessments, help improve their word Oral Reading assessments. Data recognition, fluency, and chats include Fluency, FCAT decoding skills. Prep Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, activities, school-Team Leaders and based Teachers. During the benchmark chats, the group assessment tests, DAR discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift

				to the Common Core Standards.	
2	3	Students are provided support during a doubledose session to master grade level strands in Reading.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator	results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the	Treasures assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, school-based benchmark assessment tests, DAR
3	Students have a limited vocabulary.	Teachers will implement "Elements of Reading- Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non- fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator,Team Leaders	conducted , which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	
4	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions.	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.) Teachers will expose students to complex text from Appendix B of the Common Core State Standards. Teachers will use poetry from Exemplars and other non-fiction text to enhance students' repetoires of skills and strategies with non-fiction text.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 4 weeks. Results will	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of	School based assessments

5	progress monitoring system.	be posted on Google docs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.		assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	
6	Students have difficulty with word recognition/fluency/decoding	supplemental instruction to	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist	weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
7	Students need additional support to master grade level strands in Reading	Grades K-5 participate in a 90 minute uninterrupted Reading Block with an additional 30 minutes of Reading instruction built into the daily schedule to assist students by providing small group sessions as needed, and by implementing literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
8	Students have a limited vocabulary	Teachers will implement "Elements of Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non- fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary		Classroom walkthroughs which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement	Classroom Walkthrough Evaluation Tool
	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions,	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss	Treasures Reading Series assessments,

9		teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Specialist, Team Leaders	results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
10	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	School based assessments

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.				2016-17 Reading I nts in grades 3-5 criteria.		
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	Based on the 20	Based on the 20				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Based on the 2012 Reading FCAT, 37.5% (16) of white students did not make satisfactory progress in reading, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making 51.6% (221) of Black Students did not make satisfactory satisfactory progress in reading. progress in reading, 48.1% (54) of Hispanic Students did not make satisfactory progress in reading, and 33.3% (6) of Reading Goal #5B: Asian students did not make satisfactory progress in reading. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on the 2013 Reading FCAT, we will decrease the percentage of white students, who did not make satisfactory Based on the 2012 Reading FCAT, 37.5% (16) of white progress in reading to 31% (16), decrease the percentage of students did not make satisfactory progress in reading, Black students who did not make satisfactory progress in 51.6% (221) of Black Students did not make satisfactory reading to 45% (221), decrease the percentage of Hispanic progress in reading, 48.1% (54) of Hispanic Students did not students who did not make satisfactory progress in reading make satisfactory progress in reading, and 33.3% (6) of to 42% (54), and decrease the percentage of Asian students Asian students did not make satisfactory progress in reading. who did not make satisfactory progress in reading to 27% (6), American Indian: N/A Asian students did not make satisfactory progress in reading.

			Amorican Indian	NI/A			
	American Indian: N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students need additional support to master grade level benchmarks in Reading.		Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include	assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, school- based benchmark assessment tests, DAR		
2	Students have a limited vocabulary.	Teachers will implement "Elements of Reading Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary. Teachers will increase the use of poetry to increase and enhance vocabulary exposure.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator,Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	Elements of Reading Vocabulary Assessments/ Treasures Reading Assessments		
3	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions.	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests DAR		
4	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	school-wide (grades 2-	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows	School based assessments		

5	support to master grade level strands in Reading	Grades K-5 participate in a 90 minute uninterrupted Reading Block with an additional 30 minutes of Reading instruction built into the daily schedule to assist students by providing small group sessions as needed, and by implementing literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension	Administration,Reading Resource Facilitator, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	Treasures Reading Series
6	Students have a limited vocabulary	Teachers will implement "Elements of Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary	Resource Facilitator,	Classroom walkthroughs which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement	Evaluation Tool
7	answering higher order thinking questions	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini-assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
8	benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system	school-wide (grades 2-	Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	School based assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:	Based on the 2012 Reading FCAT, 76% (25) of ELL students did not make satisfactory progress in reading.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Based on the 2012 Reading FCAT, 76% (25) of ELL students did not make satisfactory progress in reading.	Based on the 2013 Reading FCAT, we will decrease the percentage of ELL students not making satisfactory progress in reading to 70% (25).		
Dealth and Calada Dealth and Income and Charles Adult and and			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students need additional support to master grade level benchmarks in reading.	Teachers in grades K-5 instruct a 90-minute uninterrupted reading block, providing small group sessions daily. In addition, double- or triple-dose sessions are provided, as needed, and literacy centers are implemented in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension.	Administrators, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	assessments, Oral		
2	Students have a limited vocabulary.	Teachers will implement "Elements of Reading - Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary. Teachers will increase the exposure to poetry to enhance vocabulary instruction.		IObservations will be conducted ,which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in an implementation plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	Elements of Vocabulary Assessments/ Treasures Reading Assessments		
3	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions.	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	assessments, mini-		
	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Google	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration,	School based assessments		

4	system.	docs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.		Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	
5	Students need additional support to master grade level expectations in reading.	Grades K-5 participate in a 90- minute uninterrupted reading block with an additional 30 minutes of Reading instruction built into the daily schedule to assist students by providing small group sessions as needed, and by implementing literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark
6	Students have a limited vocabulary.	Teachers will implement "Elements of Reading - Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	iObservation Evaluation Tool, Elements of Reading- Vocabulary assessments
7	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions.	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests,
8	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 4 weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding	Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	School based assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D:	Based on the 2012 Reading FCAT, 61.2% (49) of SWD students did not make satisfactory progress in reading.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Based on the 2012 Reading FCAT, 61.2% (49) of SWD students did not make satisfactory progress in reading.	Based on the 2013 Reading FCAT, we will decrease the percentage of SWD not making satisfactory progress in reading to 55% (49).		

F	-							
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		standards.	functioning below grade	ESE Specialist, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR, informal assessments		
4		Students need specific accommodations and modifications to understand concepts.			IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	Classroom visits, accommodations Data Collection Charts		
		Students have a limited vocabulary.	Teachers will implement "Elements of Reading - Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary.	Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	conducted , which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of	Elements of Reading - Vocabulary Assessments, Treasures/Triumphs Reading Assessments		
4		thinking questions.	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast,	Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	chats include Administration,	Treasures assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities,school- based benchmark assessment tests, DAR		

		inferencing, etc.)		discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	
5	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Google docs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding	Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	School- based assessments
6	Students have difficulty mastering grade level standards	ESE students who are functioning below grade level will receive instruction, remediation, and enrichment in intensive pull-out/pushin classes that focus on individual students' needs while reinforcing skills taught during "whole group" lessons; additional phonics/comprehension help will be provided through supplemental programs	Specialist, Team Leaders	conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR, informal assessments
7	Students need specific accommodations and modifications to understand concepts	Teachers will provide accommodations and modify the curriculum based upon the students' Individualized Education Plans (IEP's)	Administration,ESE Specialist, Team Leaders		Classroom Walkthrough Evaluation Tool, Accommodations Data Collection Charts
8	Students need additional support to master grade level strands in Reading	instruct a 90-minute uninterrupted reading block, providing small group sessions daily. In addition, double- or triple -dose sessions are provided, as needed. Literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension are implemented.		Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
9	Students have a limited vocabulary	Teachers will implement "Elements of Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary	Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Classroom walkthroughs which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student	Classroom Walkthrough Evaluation Tool

				achievement	
10	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions		Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
11	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding	Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	School based assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E:	Based on the 2012 FCAT Reading Test, 52.7% (243) of Economically Disadvantaged Students did not satisfactory progress in reading.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Based on the 2012 FCAT Reading Test, 52.7% (243) of Economically Disadvantaged Students did not satisfactory progress in reading.	Based on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test, we will decrease the number of Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading to 47 (243)%.

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students need additional support to master grade level benchmarks in Reading.	Ü	Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and teachers. During the chats, the group	mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities,school- based benchmark assessment tests, DAR

				having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.	
2	Students have a limited vocabulary.	Teachers will implement "Elements of Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary.	Team Leaders	of assessments. Data chats include Administration,	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
3	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Google docs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.	Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	School-based assessments
4	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions.	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.) Teachers will expose students to complex text from Appendix B of the Common Core State Standards. Teachers will use poetry from Exemplars and other non-fiction text to enhance students' repetoires of skills and strategies with non-fiction text.		weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration,	mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities,school- based benchmark assessment tests, DAR
5		Grades K-5 participate in a 90 minute uninterrupted Reading Block with an additional 30 minutes of Reading instruction built into the daily schedule to assist students by providing small group sessions as needed, and by implementing literacy	Resource Facilitator, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini-assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR

		centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension.		discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	
6	Students have a limited vocabulary	Teachers will implement "Elements of Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary	Resource Facilitator,	Classroom walkthroughs which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement	Evaluation Tool
7	Students have difficulty answering higher order thinking questions	Teachers will increase the use of low, moderate, and high complexity questions, teaching the students how to employ high yield strategies to answer questions (summarization, compare/contrast, inferencing, etc.)	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini-assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR
8	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system	school-wide (grades 2-	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Reading Resource Specialist, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies	School based assessments

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Standards – Kindergarten – Grade 2	K–2/ELA	District Personnel	K - 2 Teachers; Curriculum Facilitator	()naoina	iObservations,	Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders; Curriculum Facilitator
Small Group Instruction	K-5	Curriculum Facilitator	School-wide		iObservations,	Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders; Curriculum Facilitator

ELA PLC	All Grades / Reading and Writing	Judy Mobley and Nadine Waymyers	ISCHOOL-WIDE	2x per month on Mondays	iObservations,	Principal, Assistant Principal, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders
Reading Conference	K-5	State Personnel	School-wide	October	iObservations,	Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders; Curriculum Facilitator

### Reading Budget:

Description of Resources  Weekly Reader	Funding Source	Amoun
Weekly Reader	A a a a complete la little o	
	Accountability	\$500.00
Incentives	Internal Accounts	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$500.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Subs	Title One	\$1,000.00
		Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Instructional Materials/Teacher Stipend	Accountability	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
	Description of Resources Subs  Description of Resources Instructional Materials/Teacher	No Data  No Data  No Data  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Title One  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Instructional Materials/Teacher  Accountability

End of Reading Goals

# Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. Based on the 2012 CELLA test, 33% (93) of students are proficient in listening/speaking. It is anticipated that on the 2013 CELLA test, 39% will be proficient in listening/ CELLA Goal #1: speaking. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: On the 2013 CELLA test, 39% of students will be proficient in listening/ speaking. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy

Responsible for

Effectiveness of

I				Monitoring	Strategy	
	1	Students have a limited vocabulary.	Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day"	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	target the needs of	Elements of Vocabulary Assessments/ Treasures Reading Assessments
	2	Students need additional support to master the English Language.		Curriculum Facilitator, Team	IObservations will be conducted which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	Observations and printed reports from the various computer programs

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

Based on the 2012 CELLA test, 26% (92) of students are proficient in reading. It is anticipated that on the 2013 CELLA test, 32% will be proficient in reading.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

On the 2013 CELLA test, 32% of students will be proficient in reading.

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students have a limited vocabulary.	Teachers will implement "Elements of Vocabulary" lessons, "Word of the Day" activities for Tier 1, 2, and 3 words, and the use of non-fiction texts to help improve student vocabulary.	Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	target the needs of	Elements of Vocabulary Assessments/ Treasures Reading Assessments
2	Students need additional support to master grade level strands in Reading.	Grades K-5 participate in a 90 minute uninterrupted Reading Block, providing small group sessions as needed, and by implementing literacy centers in the areas of fluency, vocabulary and comprehension.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders		Treasures Reading Series assessments, mini- assessments, Oral Reading Fluency, FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests, DAR

	collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core	
	Standards.	

Stude	ents write in English at gr	ade level in a manner sin	nilar to non-ELL stu	udents.					
3. Stu	udents scoring proficie	nt in writing.							
CELL	A Goal #3:								
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ing:						
	PIOI	olem-Solving Process t	o mcrease stude	int Acmevement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	Students need more writing practice across the curriculum.	Teachers will integrate writing into other curriculum areas through the implementation of interactive Word Walls, recording writing pieces in a journal, notebook or folder to monitor progress, using tier 2 and tier 3 vocabulary words in writing pieces, and exposing students to different genres of literature to generate idea development.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Writing journals/portfolios using the Florida Writes Holistic Scoring Rubric and the 6 Traits Scoring Rubric	Florida Writes Holistic Scoring Rubric and the 6 Traits Scoring Rubric				
2	Students need to have ownership in the assessment and editing process.	Students will utilize rubrics to self assess their writing and to peer edit writing pieces.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	The Student-Friendly Guide to Writing with Traits; Teacher developed grade- appropriate rubrics for student editing.	Florida Writes Holistic Scoring Rubric and the 6 Traits Scoring Rubric				

# CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
or. arogy	'		Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	No Data	No Data	

End of CELLA Goals

## Elementary School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in In grades 3-5, 26.5% (310) of students achieved a Level 3 mathematics. on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT Test. It is predicted that 35% of students will achieve a level 3 on the 2013 Mathematics Goal #1a: Mathematics FCAT Test. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In grades 3-5, 26.5% (310) of students achieved a Level 3 In grades 3-5, 35% (310) of students will achieve a Level 3 on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT Test. on the 2013 Mathematics FCAT Test. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students have difficulty Teachers will increase IObservations will be iObservation Administration. problem-solving and the use of Sunshine Curriculum conducted, which **Evaluation Tool** Facilitator, Team Leaders provide frequency, using higher order Math and the New thinking. Generation Sunshine focus and feedback to Standards question target the needs of banks and differentiated teachers and students math centers. and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement Administration, Curriculum IObservations will be Students do not use Teachers will increase iObservation the use of authentic conducted, which math vocabulary Facilitator, Team **Evaluation Tool** provide frequency, consistently. and interactive Word Leaders Walls and increase an focus and feedback to emphasis on correct target the needs of word usage in the teachers and students 2 classroom. and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement. Students need Teachers will administer Administration, Curriculum Data chats will be School-based additional practice of school-wide (grades 2-Facilitator, Team conducted every 6 assessments tested benchmarks and weeks to discuss results 5) benchmark Leaders need to be part of a assessments every 5 of assessments. Data continuous progress weeks. Results will be chats include posted on Googledocs monitoring system Administration. Curriculum Facilitator. and a supplemental remediation calendar will Team Leaders and 3 be created using the teachers. During the data to determine chats, the group will remediation to increase discuss student the students' levels of achievement and follows understanding. the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies Students need the Teachers will increase Administration, IObservations will be iObservation the use of manipulatives Curriculum Facilitator, opportunity to practice conducted, which **Evaluation Tool** concepts on a concrete during centers and small Team Leaders provide frequency, focus and feedback to level before they apply and whole group the skills on an abstract lessons. target the needs of

4	level			teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	
5	materials and programs	their use of Calendar	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders		iObservation Evaluation Tool

D -	Lander and the Color	to a detaco a manage de la constanta de la con		0	1 - 6' '	
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		ererence to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1b:			6 in mathemati It is expected t	In grades 3-5, 50% (26) of students achieved a level 4, 5, or 6 in mathematics on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment. It is expected that 55% of students will achieve a level 4, 6, or 6 in mathematics on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
	des 3-5, 50% (26) of studenatics on the 2012 F		or 6 in mathem	55% (26) of students will a atics on the 2013 Florida A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students need additional practice of tested access points and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.		'	Data chats will be conducted to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies. Teachers are having grade level collaborative planning sessions to facilitate and monitor the shift to the Common Core Standards.		
2	Students need a greater use of supplemental materials, programs and manipulatives to improve their levels of understanding.	their use of Calendar Math, Riverdeep,	Administrators, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist	Classroom visits, which provide frequency, Evaluation Tool focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implemention a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve.	School-based assessments (Test Maker Pro)	

feedback to help improve student achievement, will be conducted.

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
Level 4 II mathematics.			In grades 3-5, 19.4% (310) of students achieved a Level 4 or 5 on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT Test. It is predicted that 25% of students will achieve a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Mathematics FCAT Test.					
201	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
In grades 3-5, 19.4% (310) of students achieved a Level 4 of 5 on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT Test.					25% (310) of students wi 2 Mathematics FCAT Tes			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Positio Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students have difficulty problem-solving and using higher order thinking.	Teachers will increase the use of Sunshine Math and the New Generation Sunshine Standards question banks and differentiated math centers.	Administ Curriculu Team Le	um Facilitator,	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool		
2	Students need more opportunities for enrichment and challenge.	Select students will be offered an opportunity for a lunchtime or after school "Math Enrichment Club."	Administ Facilitat	,	Scores obtained during practice competitions will be used to guide teaching strategies needed to improve students' understanding.	Scores/assessments during practice competitions		

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2b:			higher in mat is expected t	5, 19.2% (26) of students act h on the 2012 Florida Alterna hat 25% of students will perf th on the 2013 Florida Altern	ate Assessment. It form at or above a	
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expec	eed Level of Performance:		
In grades 3-5, 19.2% (26) of students achieved a Level 7 or higher in math on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment.			0	5, 25% (26) of students will μ nath on the 2013 Florida Alte		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	lent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Students need additional practice of tested access points and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	access point assessments monthly. Teachers will utilize their	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, and ESI Specialist		Teacher created assessments based upon IEP goals and access points	

1		understanding.		and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	
2	use of supplemental	Teachers will increase their use of Calendar Math, Riverdeep, Distance Learning, Go Math! supplements, and Touch Math to increase student understanding.	Administrators, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	School-based assessments (Test Maker Pro)

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
gair	FCAT 2.0: Percentage of as in mathematics.	f students making learn	ing	In grades 3-5, 56.9% (55) of students achieved learning gains on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT Test. It is predicted that in grades 3-5, 62% of students will achieve learning gains on the 2013 Mathematics FCAT Test.				
201	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expected L	evel of Performance:			
	rades 3-5, 56.9% (55) of s on the 2012 Mathemati	students achieved learnings FCAT Test.	ng		% (55) of students will ac 3 Mathematics FCAT Test.			
		Problem-Solving Proce	ss to L	ncrease Student	Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	son or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students need additional practice and instruction to understand concepts.	SAI teacher will provide supplemental instruction to students based on areas of need.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders		Curriculum Facilitator and will provide assessment data to classroom teacher via data chats and assist teachers in modifying instruction accordingly.	FCAT Prep activities, Benchmark Assessment Tests; Program Assessments		
2	Students need a greater use of supplemental materials and programs to improve their levels of understanding.	Teachers will increase their use of Calendar Math, Sunshine Math, Riverdeep, Compass Odyssey, Mega Math, Soar to Success, Distance Learning, Go Math! supplements, and Podcasts to increase student understanding.	Curricu	istration, ulum Facilitator, Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool		
3	Students have difficulty problem-solving and using higher order thinking.	Teachers will increase the use of Sunshine Math and the New Generation Sunshine Standards question banks and differentiated math centers. The math committee, in conjunction with the Math PLC, will give support regarding the Big Ideas while making the shift to the CCSS.	Curricu Team I	istration, ulum Facilitator, Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool		

4	Students do not use math vocabulary consistently.	Teachers will increase the use of authentic and interactive Word Walls and will increase an emphasis on correct word usage in the classroom.	Administration,Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool
5	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	School-based assessments
6	Students need the opportunity to practice concepts on a concrete level before they apply the skills on an abstract level.	during small and whole	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Perce	lorida Alternate Assessmentage of students makinematics. ematics Goal #3b:		mathematics or expected that 4	43.3% (21) of students man the 2012 Florida Alternate 9% of students will make a Alternate Assessment.	e Assessment. It is	
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
	ides 3-5, 43.3% (21) of stu ematics on the 2012 Florida			In grades 3-5, it is expected that 49% (21) of students will make learning gains on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students need additional practice of tested access points and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.		Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist	Data chats will be conducted to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student		

				achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	
2	use of supplemental	Teachers will increase their use of Calendar Math, Riverdeep, Distance Learning, Go Math! supplements, and Touch Math to increase student understanding.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders, ESE Specialist	conducted , which	School-based assessments (Test Maker Pro)

				acilievernent.		
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
maki	AT 2.0: Percentage of standard parting gains in mat ematics Goal #4:		achieved learni	In grades 3-5, 65.4% (56) of students in the lowest 25% achieved learning gains on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT Test. It is predicted that 71% of students in the lowest 25% will achieve learning gains on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT Test.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	mance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:		
	ades 3-5, 65.4% (56) of st ved learning gains on the	cudents in the lowest 25% 2012 Mathematics FCAT To	will achieve lea	that 71% (56) of students rning gains on the 2013 M		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students do not use math vocabulary consistently.	Teachers will increase the use of authentic and interactive Word Walls and increase an emphasis on correct word usage in the classroom.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool	
2	Students have difficulty problem - solving and using higher order thinking strategies.	Teachers will increase the use of Sunshine Math and the New Generation Sunshine Standards question banks and differentiated math centers.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservations Evaluation Tool	
3	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.	Facilitator; Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and		

				act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	
4	Students need a greater use of supplemental materials and programs to improve their levels of understanding.	Math, Sunshine Math,	Administration; Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student	IObservations Evaluation Tool
5	Students need the opportunity to practice concepts on a concrete level before they apply the skills on an abstract level.	during differentiated	Administration; Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservations Evaluation Tool

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Elementary School Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Based on the 2016-17 Mathematics Assessment, we anticipate 4 Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year that 80% of the students in grades 3-5 will meet school will reduce their achievement gap proficiency (Level 3 or higher) criteria. by 50%. Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 Based on the 20 Based on the 20 2013-2014 Base 2014-2015 Base Based on the 20

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Based on the 2012 Math FCAT, 37.5% of white students did Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making not make satisfactory progress, 61.5% of Black Students did satisfactory progress in mathematics. not make satisfactory progress, 38.9% of Hispanic Students did not make satisfactory progress, and 33.3% of Asian Mathematics Goal #5B: Students did not make satisfactory progress. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on the 2012 Math FCAT, 37.5% (16) of white students Decrease the percentage of white students not making did not make satisfactory progress, 61.5% (221) of Black satisfactory progress from 37.5% to 32%, Blacks students Students did not make satisfactory progress, 38.9% (54) of not making satisfactory progress from 61.5% to 56%, Hispanic Students did not make satisfactory progress, and Hispanic students not making satisfactory progress from 33.3% (6) of Asian Students did not make satisfactory 38.9% to 33%, and Asian students not making satisfactory progress from 33.3% to 28% on the 2013 Math FCAT. progress. American Indian: N/A American Indian: N/A

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

L						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1	vocabulary.	the use of authentic and	Facilitator, Team Leaders		IObservation Evaluation Tool

2	Students have difficulty problem-solving and using higher order thinking strategies.	Teachers will increase the use of Sunshine Math and the New Generation Sunshine Standards question banks and differentiated math centers. Teachers will increase their knowledge of the expectations of	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.  IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help	IObservation Evaluation Tool
		the CCSS na dbegin to make the shift toward those goals.		improve student achievement.	
3	practice of tested	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 4weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.	Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and improve teaching strategies.	School-based assessments
4	Students need a greater use of supplemental materials and programs to improve their levels of understanding.	Teachers will increase their use of Calendar Math, Sunshine Math, Riverdeep, Compass Odyssey, Mega Math, Soar to Success, Distance Learning, Go Math! supplements, and Podcasts to increase student understanding.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator,Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool
5	level before they apply	Teachers will increase the use of manipulatives in differentiated math centers and during small and whole group lessons.	Administration; Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5C:	Based on the 2012 Math FCAT, 52% (26) of ELL Students not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Based on the 2012 Math FCAT, 52% (26) of ELL Students di not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.	Decrease the percentage of ELL students not making satisfactory progress in Math on the 2013 FCAT to 48% (26)			
Problem-Solving Process to	Increase Student Achievement			
	Person or Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students need the opportunity to practice concepts on a concrete level before they apply the skills on an abstract level.	Teachers will increase the use of centers and manipulatives during small and whole group lessons.	Administration; Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders	IOIObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool
2	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 4 weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.	Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	School-based assessments
3	Students have difficulty problem-solving and using higher order thinking strategies.	Teachers will increase the use of Sunshine Math and the New Generation Sunshine Standards question banks and differentiated math centers.	Administration; Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool
4	Students need a greater use of supplemental materials and programs to improve their levels of understanding.	Teachers will increase their use of Calendar Math, Sunshine Math, Riverdeep, Compass Odyssey, Mega Math, Soar to Success, Distance Learning, Go Math! supplements, and Podcasts to increase student understanding.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	Iobservation Evaluation Tool
5	Students do not use math vocabulary consistently.	Teachers will increase the use of authentic and interactive Word Walls and increase an emphasis on correct word usage in the classroom.	Facilitator, Team	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IObservation Evaluation Tool

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Based on the 2012 FCAT, 67.3% (49) of Students with Disabilities did not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #5D:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Based on the 2012 FCAT, 67.3% (49) of Students with Disabilities did not make satisfactory progress in mathematics because the percent of Students with Satisfactory progress in mathematics at Satisfactory progress in mathematics and Satisfact Decrease the percent of Students with Disabilities not making

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students do not use math vocabulary consistently.	Teachers will increase the use of authentic and interactive Word Walls and increase an emphasis on correct word usage in the classroom.	Facilitator, Team	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	IOservation Evaluation Tool
2	Students have difficulty mastering grade level standards.	ESE students who are functioning below grade level will receive instruction, remediation, and enrichment in intensive pull-out/push-in classes that focus on individual students' needs while reinforcing skills taught during "whole group" lessons; additional phonics/comprehension help will be provided through supplemental programs.		Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	DAR, informal
3	Students need additional practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 4 weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.	Facilitator, Team Leaders	Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	School-based assessments
4	Students need specific accommodations and modifications to understand concepts.	Teachers will provide accommodations and modify the curriculum based upon the students' Individualized Education Plans (IEP's).	Administration; ESE Specialist; Team Leaders	Data collections will provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	Accommodations Data Collection Charts
5	Students need the opportunity to practice concepts on a concrete level before they apply the skills on an abstract level.	Teachers will increase the use of manipulatives in centers and during small and whole group lessons.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations will be conducted, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	School-based assessments

6	materials and programs to improve their levels of understanding.	their use of Calendar Math, Sunshine Math,	Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders		IObservation Evaluation Tool
---	--	---	--	--	---------------------------------

				defile veriferit.	
	on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
satisf	conomically Disadvantag actory progress in math ematics Goal #5E:	ged students not making nematics.	Based on the 2	012 FCAT, 58.4% (49) of E Students did not make sat	
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
Disad	on the 2012 FCAT, 58.4% vantaged Students did not thematics.	6 (49) of Economically make satisfactory progre	Decrease the p students not m 53% (49) on th	ercent of Economically Dis aking satisfactory progress ne 2013 FCAT.	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	consistently.	Teachers will increase the use of authentic and interactive Word Walls and increase an emphasis on correct word usage in the classroom.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement, will be conducted.	School-based assessments (Test Maker Pro)
2	problem solving and using higher order thinking strategies.	Teachers will increase the use of Sunshine Math and the New Generation Sunshine Standards question banks and differentiated math centers.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	IObservations, which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement, will be conducted.	School-based assessments (Test Maker Pro)
3	practice of tested benchmarks and need to be part of a continuous progress monitoring system.	Teachers will administer school-wide (grades 2-5) benchmark assessments every 5 weeks. Results will be posted on Googledocs and a supplemental remediation calendar will be created using the data to improve students' levels of understanding.		Data chats will be conducted every 6 weeks to discuss results of assessments. Data chats include Administration, Reading Resource Specialist, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders and Teachers. During the chats, the group discusses student achievement and follows the plan, do, check and act process to support instruction and teaching strategies.	
	Students need the opportunity to practice	Teachers will increase the use of centers and	Administration, Curriculum	IObservations , which provide frequency, focus	IObservation Evaluation Tool

4		manipulatives during small and whole group lessons.	Leaders	and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement, will be conducted.		
---	--	--	---------	---	--	--

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Math PLC	All Grades	Carol Raphan and Latoya Flournoy	School-wide	2x per month on Mondays	Observations, team collaboration and sharing	Principal, Assistant Principal, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders
Common Core Standards – Kindergarten – Grade 2	K-2/Math	District Personnel	K - 2 Teachers; Curriculum Facilitator	Ongoing	Lesson Plans; Follow-up assignment	Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders; Curriculum Facilitator
Algebraic Thinking	Grades 3-5	Carol Raphan	Grades 3-5	March 2013	Lesson Plans and student assessments	Principal, Assistant Principal, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders

### Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Supplemental Materials	Instructional materials to help improve student achievement	Accountability	\$250.00
			Subtotal: \$250.00
Гесhnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
PLC Marzano Strategies	Teacher Stipend	Accountability	\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$100.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			¢150.00
			\$150.00

End of Mathematics Goals

## Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

learning environment

while conducting

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement 22% (109) of students in grade 5 achieved proficiency Level 3 in science. (Level 3) on the 2012 administration of the Science FCAT. It is expected that 27% of students will achieve Science Goal #1a: proficiency on the 2013 Science FCAT. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 22% (109) of students in grade 5 achieved proficiency It is expected that 27% (109) of students will achieve (Level 3) on the 2012 administration of the Science proficiency on the 2013 Science FCAT. FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Students need more Teachers will provide Administration, IObservations will be iObservation hands-on Science opportunities to apply Curriculum conducted, which Evaluation Tool; concepts from the experiments through Facilitator, Team provide frequency, K-5 Science concrete the the the weekly use of Leaders focus and feedback to assessments abstract. **Broward County** target the needs of Science Kits. teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement. Lesson plans will reflect the weekly usage of **Broward County** Science Kits Students do not Students will create Administration, IObservations will be iObservation understand definitions cumulative Science Curriculum conducted, which Evaluation Tool; journals and use Facilitator, Team of key Science provide frequency, K-5 Science interactive Word Walls vocabulary. Leaders focus and feedback to assessments to improve vocabulary. target the needs of teachers and students 2 and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement. Administration, IObservations will be iObservation Teachers have Teachers will follow difficulty covering all of the Instructional Focus Curriculum conducted, which Evaluation Tool; the science Calendar and Facilitator, Team provide frequency, K-5 Science benchmarks. create/search for focus and feedback to assessments Leaders Science lessons using target the needs of teachers and students BEEP resources, Science Fusion, etc. and to aid in the implementation of a on a weekly basis plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement. Lesson plans will reflect the weekly benchmarks covered during lessons. Students lack an Teachers will take Administration. IObservations will be iObservation **Evaluation Tool:** authentic Science students to the Curriculum conducted, which

designated Primary and Facilitator, Team

Leaders

Intermediate Science

provide frequency,

focus and feedback to assessments

K-5 Science

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

4	experiments.	Lab classrooms to conduct experiments.		target the needs of teachers and students and to aid in the implementation of a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement.	
5	learned Science	provide monthly	Curriculum Facilitator	Lesson plans will reflect implementation of monthly Science lessons that involve the writing process.	Writing Rubric

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: In grades 3-5, 54.5% (11) of students achieved a level 4, 5, or 6 in science on the 2012 Florida Alternate Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Assessment. It is expected that 59% of students will achieve a level 4, 6, or 6 in science on the 2013 Florida Science Goal #1b: Alternate Assessment. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: It is expected that 59% (11) of students will achieve a In grades 3-5, 54.5% (11) of students achieved a level 4, 5, or 6 in science on the 2012 Florida Alternate level 4, 6, or 6 in science on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment. Assessment Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teachers will provide IObservations will be School-based Students need more Administrators, hands-on Science Curriculum conducted which opportunities to apply assessments Facilitator, Team provide frequency, concepts from the experiments. based upon concrete to the Leaders, ESE focus and feedback to Access Points and IEP goals abstract. Specialist target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement; Lesson plans will reflect the use of science experiments. Students do not Students will create IObservations will be Administrators, School-based understand definitions cumulative Science Curriculum conducted which assessments of key Science journals and use Facilitator, Team provide frequency, based upon interactive Word Walls vocabulary. Leaders, ESE focus and feedback to Access Points with pictures to Specialist target the needs of and IEP goals 2 improve vocabulary. teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science.

9.2% (109) of students in grade 5 achieved a Level 4 or 5 on the 2012 administration of the Science FCAT. It

improve student achievement.

1				Ita aanaa da d	4.40/ 6 1 1 1 1 1 1	-1-1
Sci	ence Goal #2a:			or 5 on the 2013	14% of students will a Science FCAT.	chieve a Level 4
201	12 Current Level of Pe	erformance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance	<b>3</b> :
9.2% (109) of students in grade 5 achieved a Level 4 or 5 on the 2012 administration of the Science FCAT.			It is expected that 14% (109) of students will achieve a Level 4 or 5 on the 2013 Science FCAT.			
Problem-Solving Process to I				ncrease Student	Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of student exposure to labs and research based projects	Small groups of students will complete quarterly Science projects to practice using the Scientific Method	1	tor, Team	IObservations will be conducted which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement	IOservation Evaluation Tool

					achievement	
	d on the analysis of studin need of improvemen			reference to "(	Guiding Questions", ider	itify and define
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:				In grades 3-5, 27.3% (11) of students achieved a level 7 or higher in science on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment. It is expected that 32% of students will achieve a level a level 7 or higher in science on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.		
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:		2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	e:
In grades 27.3% (11) of students achieved a level 7 or higher in science on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment.				It is expected that 32% (11) of students will achieve a level a level 7 or higher in science on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process	s to I i	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	Students need more opportunities to apply concepts from the concrete to the abstract.	Teachers will provide hands-on Science experiments.	Cur Fac Lea	ministrators, rriculum cilitator, Team aders, ESE ecialist	IObservations will be conducted which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan of action based on the feedback to help improve student achievement; Lesson plans will reflect the use of science experiments.	School-based assessments based upon Access Points and IEP goals
2	Students do not understand definitions of key Science vocabulary.	Students will create cumulative Science journals and use interactive Word Wall with pictures to improve vocabulary.	Cur Fac Is Lea		IObservations will be conducted which provide frequency, focus and feedback to target the needs of teachers and students and implement a plan	School-based assessments based upon Access Points and IEP goals

	of action based on the feedback to help	
	improve student achievement.	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Implementing BEEP Science Lessons/United Streaming in daily lessons	K-5	Curriculum Facilitator	K-5 Teachers	October 2012 and ongoing	Implementation of Broward County Science Curriculum Map, BEEP Lessons Documentation in lesson plans, Peer observations and modeling of lessons, Lesson Plans	Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders; Principal
Science PLC	School-wide	Jennifer Weber and Nicole Sawicki	K-5 Teachers; Leadership Team	2x per month	IObservations, team collaboration and sharing	Principal, Assistant Principal, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders
FCAT Science Test Specs	5	Curriculum Facilitator	Grade 5 Teachers	September 2012	Implementation of Broward County Science Curriculum Map, BEEP Lessons Documentation in lesson plans, Peer observations and modeling of lessons, Lesson Plans	Team Leaders; Principal; Science Sub- Committee
Analyzing Data	5	Curriculum Facilitator	Grade 5 Teachers	October 2012 and Ongoing		Curriculum Facilitator; Principal; Science Sub- Committee
Hand on Experiments and Delta Kits	K-5	Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders	K-5 Teachers	November 2012	Broward County Science Curriculum Map; BEEP Lessons, Documentation in lesson plans, Peer observations and modeling of lessons.	Team Leaders, Principal, Curriculum Facilitator, Science Sub- Committee

#### Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Content Area Comprehension	Science Weekly	Accountability	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
After School Tutorial	Instructional Materials/Teacher Stipend	Accountability	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Grand Total: \$500.00

# Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

76.7% (90) of students in grade 4 achieved proficiency
(Level 3 or higher) on the 2012 FCAT Writing Assessment. It is expected that 81% of students will achieve proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Writing Assessment.
2013 Expected Level of Performance:
It is expected that 81% of students will achieve proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Writing Assessment.
À a A 1

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students need more writing practice across the curriculum.	Teachers will integrate writing into other areas of the curriculum through the implementation of interactive Word Walls, recording writing pieces in a journal, notebook or folder to monitor progress, using tier 2 and tier 3 vocabulary words in writing pieces, and exposing students to different genres of literature to generate idea development.		Writing journals/portfolios using the Florida Writes Holistic Scoring Rubric and the 6 Traits Scoring Rubric	FCAT and/or 6 Traits Writing Rubrics
2	ownership and a clearer understanding of the	Students will utilize rubrics to self - assess their writing and to peer edit writing pieces. Grade 4 will hold writing assemblies focusing on the 6 traits of writing.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	The Student-Friendly Guide to Writing with Traits; Teacher developed grade- appropriate rubrics for student editing	FCAT and/or 6 Traits Writing Rubrics
3	Students need more practice with writing in all grade levels (K-5).	Teachers will provide practice in writing through a school-wide writing initiative in grades K-5 an by increased focus on author studies and writing in the different disciplines.		Monthly mock FCAT writing prompts	Teacher-made checklists and/or 6 Traits Writing Rubric

in nee	in need of improvement for the following group:						
at 4 d	lorida Alternate Assess or higher in writing. ng Goal #1b:	sment: Students scorin	or higher in wr Assessment. It achieve a level	In grades 3-5, 60% (10) of students achieved a level 4 or higher in writing on the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment. It is expected that 65% of students will achieve a level a level 4 or higher in writing on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	⊖:		
or hig	ades 3-5, 60% (10) of st her in writing on the 201 sment.	udents achieved a level a 2 Florida Alternate	level a level 4	It is expected that 65% (10) of students will achieve a level a level 4 or higher in writing on the 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students need more writing practice across the curriculum.	Teachers will integrate writing into other curriculum areas through the implementation of interactive Word Walls with pictures, recording writing pieces in a journal, notebook or folder to monitor progress, and by exposing students to different genres of literature to generate idea development.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Writing journals/portfolios using the Florida Writes Holistic Scoring Rubric and the 6 Traits Scoring Rubric	School-based assessments based upon Access Points and IEP goals		
2	Students need more practice with writing in all grade levels (K-5).	Teachers will provide practice in writing through a school- wide writing initiative grades K-5.	Administration, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders	Monthly mock FAA writing prompts	School-based assessments based upon Access Points and IEP goals		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing Process	K-5		All Teacher Grades K-5	Monthly Team Meetings	Teams will review lesson plans to measure effectiveness of writing instruction.	Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders
ELA PLC	K-5	Nadine Waymyers and Judy Mobley	School-wide	2x per month on Mondays	walkthroughs,	Principal, Assistant Principal, Curriculum Facilitator, Team Leaders

Evidence-based Progra			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need			
1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1:	95.1% (734) of the students attended school on averag for the 2011-2012 school year. By the 2012-2013 school year. By the 2012-2013 school year, 97% of the students are expected to attend class on average during the 2012-13 school year. During the 2011-2012 school year, 63 students had excessive absences. We expect to decrease the amount of tardy students by 10% during the 2012-2013 school year. During the 2011-2012 school year, 226 students had excessive tardies. We expect to decrease the amount of tardy students by 10% during the 2012-2013 school year.			
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:			
95.1% (734) of the students attended school on average for the 2011-2012 school year.	By the 2012-2013 school year, 97% of the students are expected to attend class on average during the 2012-13 school year.			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
During the 2011-2012 school year, 63 students had excessive absences.	We expect to decrease the amount of tardy students by 10%.			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
During the 2011-2012 school year, 226 students had excessive tardies.	We expect to decrease the amount of tardy students by 10% during the 2012-2013 school year.			
Problem-Solving Process to	ncrease Student Achievement			
	Person or Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		An incentive will be awarded to students at the end of each Quarter if they had perfect attendance.	Counselor	attendance data from 2011-2012 school year to the 2012-2013	Attendance reports will reflect if incentives have helped increase attendance.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance Policy	K - 5	Assistant Principal	Teachers and Support Staff	August 2012	Attendance Records	IMT, BTIP Coordinator, Assistant Principal, Guidance Counselor

#### Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

## Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	spension ension Goal #1:		made available to students instead of external suspensions. The strategies in the Problem-Solving Process portion of this goal reflect the school's action plan to reduce the number of days out-of-class for all suspensions, including AES.				
2012	Total Number of In-Sc	hool Suspensions		2013 Expecte	d Number of In-Schoo	I Suspensions	
44 in- 2012	school suspensions were school year.	assigned during the 201	11-	Decrease the amount of in-school suspensions by 10%, during the 2012-2013 school year.			
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended I n-Sch		2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-	
	udents were suspended in school year.	n school during the 2011			number of students susp e 2012-2013 school yea		
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions		2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			
23 out-of-school suspensions were assigned during the 2011-2012 school year.				Decrease the amount of students receiving out-of-school suspensions by 10% during the 2012-2013 school year.			
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended Out-of-		2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
	udents were suspended o 2012 school year.	out of school during the		Decrease the amount of students suspended out of school by 10%, during the 2011-2012 school year.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to I r	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Inconsistent implementation of CHAMPS.	School-wide implementation of CHAMPS program	Prin Lea	sistant ncipal,Team nders, ndership Team	Walkthroughs; observations	CHAMPS rubric	
2		School-wide implementation of the STAR (Students Taking Active Responsibility) behavior plan system	Tea	ministration; am Leaders; adership Team	Walkthroughs; observations	Student STAR behavior charts, Cafeteria and Specials STAR behavior charts	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Facilitator	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
STAR					

(Students Taking Active Responsibility) School-wide Behavior Plan	K-5	Leadership Team	Teachers (K-5) and Support Staff, Specials Teachers, Paraprofessionals	August 2012	classroom	Administration; Team Leaders; Leadership Team
CHAMPS	K-5	Leadership Team	Teachers and Support Staff, Paraprofessionals		classroom	Administration; Team Leaders; Leadership Team
RTI	K-5	Guidance Counselor	Teachers and Support Staff, Paraprofessionals	October 2012	Student	Administration; Team Leaders; Leadership Team
Positive Behavior Strategies	K-5	Leadership Team	Teachers and Support Staff, Paraprofessionals	August 2012	walkthroughs and	Administration; Team Leaders; Leadership Team
Discipline Management System	K-5	Leadership Team	Teachers and Support Staff, Paraprofessionals		complete a mock	Administration; Team Leaders; Leadership Team

#### Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
		No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

## Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement Based on a needs assessment surveying parents who stated they were involved in a positive way at least once Parent Involvement Goal #1: during the 2011-2012 school year, 95% of families reported this high level of involvement. Through the \*Please refer to the percentage of parents who involvement in school communities, volunteer positions and educational activities and programs for our students, participated in school activities, duplicated or our goal is to increase the expected level of parent unduplicated. involvement to 98% for the 2012-2013 school year. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:

stated they were involved in a positive way at least once during the 2011-2012 school year, 95% of families reported this high level of involvement.

Through the involvement in school communities, programs for our students, our goal is to increase the expected level of parent involvement to 98% for the 2012-2013 school year.

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Conflicts in parents' work schedules affecting ability to attend events.	events and offer online,			Parent survey; attendance sheets
2	Parents are unaware of volunteer/involvement in school.	parental involvement in	Title I Liaison; SAC		Parent survey; attendance sheets
3	Parents do not have opportunities to be involved in programs that involve the students.	involvement by		Analyze data for increase of participation	Parent survey; attendance sheets

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Public Meeting Grades K-5	Grade K-5/ All Subjects	K-5 Teachers/ Leadership Team	School-wide	September 2012	Sign-in sheets; Parent feedback forms	Teacher; Administration; Team Leaders; Leadership Team
Common Core State Standards Cross Walk Training (Language Arts, Math)	K-5	Curriculum Facilitator	School-wide	September- November 2012	Sign-in sheets; Parent feedback forms	Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders; Leadership Team
Academic Fair/ Introduction to the next academic year	K-5	Curriculum Facilitator	School-wide	May 2013	Sign-in sheets; Parent feedback forms	Team Leaders; Leadership Team
Language Arts K-5	K-5	Curriculum Facilitator	School-wide	November 2012	Sign-sheets; Parent feedback forms	Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders; Leadership Team; SAC Sub-Committee Memebers
Curriculum and Instruction	K-2	Curriculum Facilitator	K-2	September- November 2012	Sign-in sheets; Parent feedback forms	Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders; Leadership Team; Teachers

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Annual Parent Seminar	Registration for 2 parents to attend	Title One	\$80.00
Public Meeting/ Open House	Salaries for teacher presenters in grades K-5	Title One	\$1,200.00
Curriculum and Instruction Night	Salaries for teacher presenters in grades K-2	Title One	\$400.00
Language Arts K-5	Salaries for teacher presenters in grades K-5	Title One	\$450.00
Academic Fair/ Introduction to next school year	Salaries for teacher presenters	Title One	\$500.00
Common Core Crosswalk Training	Salaries for teacher presenters	Title One	\$450.00
			Subtotal: \$3,080.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Student Agendas	Communication tool for parents and teachers	Title One	\$261.00
Refreshments for parent meetings	Refreshments food and drink only	Title One	\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$361.00
			Grand Total: \$3,441.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:										
	1. ST STEM	EM Goal #1:		Our goal is inci	Our goal is increase Science proficiency scores fromto						
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement											
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
	1	Making time to integrate curriculum subject areas so that students have enough time to interact effectively with the materials.	To increase the level of student engagement through the use of science hands-on experiment and manipulative kits.	· ·	journals with results will be used while conducting the	Chapter assessments; iObservation; Fusion Test Beginning Middle and End					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science Journals	K-5	Team Leaders; Curriculum Facilitator	K-5	throughout the year	information during	Administration; Curriculum Facilitator; Team Leaders

#### STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science Kits	Items that need replenishing to complete experiments.	General Budget	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Strategy			711104111
Strategy No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

## Parental Involvement Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:								
1. Pa	rental Involvement Go	pal		Based on the 2	2012 Parent Survey Resu	Its, Pinewood had		
Pare	ntal I nvolvement Goal	#1:			nse rate of 48% (227).			
2012	Current level:			2013 Expecte	d level:			
Based on the 2012 Parent Survey Results, Pinewood had a parent response rate of 48% (227).				Increase the parent response rate from 48% (227) to 70% on the 2013 Parent Response Survey.				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Parents do not have access to a computer.	The school will provide parents with the opportunity to use school computers during the morning and afternoon planning hours, and during parent conference nights, curriculum nights, etc.		air, SAC Co-	Sign-in system that indicates the reason for parent visits (conferences, curriculum nights, computer use, etc.).	Print out that shows breakdown of why parents are in the school.		
2	Parents are unaware of the Parent Survey.	The school will advertise the Parent Survey on the school marquee, through the "Parent Link" phone call system, in the "Panther Print", and flyers to remind parents to take the survey and that there are computers available for them to use on campus.	Cha Cha	air, SAC Co-	Percentage of parents who complete the Parent Survey.	Data Warehouse report of parental participation on the survey.		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

### Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parental Involvement Goal(s)

## FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Reading Comprehension	Weekly Reader	Accountability	\$500.00
Reading	Accelerated Reader Program	Incentives	Internal Accounts	\$0.00
CELLA	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Mathematics	Supplemental Materials	Instructional materials to help improve student achievement	Accountability	\$250.00
Science	Content Area Comprehension	Science Weekly	Accountability	\$500.00
Writing	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Attendance	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Suspension	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
STEM	Science Kits	Items that need replenishing to complete experiments.	General Budget	\$0.00
Parental Involvement	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$1,250.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
CELLA	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Mathematics	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Science	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Writing	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Attendance	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Suspension			No Data	\$0.00
STEM	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Parental Involvement	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Common Core State Standards Training	Subs	Title One	\$1,000.00
CELLA	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Mathematics	PLC Marzano Strategies	Teacher Stipend	Accountability	\$100.00
Science	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Writing	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Attendance	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Suspension	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Parent Involvement	Annual Parent Seminar	Registration for 2 parents to attend	Title One	\$80.00
Parent Involvement	Public Meeting/ Open House	Salaries for teacher presenters in grades K-5	Title One	\$1,200.00
Parent Involvement	Curriculum and Instruction Night	Salaries for teacher presenters in grades K-2	Title One	\$400.00
Parent Involvement	Language Arts K-5	Salaries for teacher presenters in grades K-5	Title One	\$450.00
Parent Involvement	Academic Fair/ Introduction to next school year	Salaries for teacher presenters	Title One	\$500.00
Parent Involvement	Common Core Crosswalk Training	Salaries for teacher presenters	Title One	\$450.00
STEM	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Parental Involvement	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$4,180.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	After School Tutorial	Instructional Materials/Teacher Stipend	Accountability	\$0.00
CELLA	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Mathematics				\$150.00
Science	After School Tutorial	Instructional Materials/Teacher Stipend	Accountability	\$0.00
Writing	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Attendance	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Suspension	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Parent Involvement	Student Agendas	Communication tool for parents and teachers	Title One	\$261.00
Parent Involvement	Refreshments for parent meetings	Refreshments food and drink only	Title One	\$100.00
STEM	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Parental Involvement	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$511.00
				Grand Total: \$5.941.00

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus	j∩ Prevent	jn NA	
----------------------	------------	-------	--

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/15/2012)

# School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
SAC funds will be used for the following: Reading, Math, Writing and Science support materials for instruction, enrichment, maintenance, and re-teaching for all AMO subgroups. Also, the funds will be used for teacher stipends for those teaching after school tutorial programs.	\$1,314.76

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Advisory Council will hold monthly meetings to share information about upcoming school events, school improvement strategies, etc. Teachers will receive a stipend for attending Open House on a Wednesday night to help promote parental involvement. Teachers will participate in Reading, Math. Writing and Science Professional Learning Communities to learn strategies that will aid them in differentiating instruction for their students. Supplemental Instructional materials will be purchased to help improve student achievement. After school FCAT Tutorial Camps will be conducted for Reading, Math, Writing, and Science. Teachers will provide students with additional FCAT practice and support before the FCAT administration, for the 2012-2013 school year.

Parents will be invited to a training that involves test taking / FCAT strategies that they can implement to help improve student achievement. SAC Sub-Committees, which are comprised of instructional staff, will meet monthly to monitor students' progress and continuously adjust strategies /techniques to best meet the needs of the student body.

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

## SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Broward School District PI NEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011							
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned		
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	68%	71%	92%	32%	263	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.	
% of Students Making Learning Gains	61%	61%			122	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2	
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	54% (YES)	65% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.	
FCAT Points Earned					504		
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested	
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested	

Broward School District PINEWOOD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010							
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned		
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	72%	63%	91%	31%	257	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.	
% of Students Making Learning Gains	67%	55%			122	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2	
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		55% (YES)			123	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.	
FCAT Points Earned					502		
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested	
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested	