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## PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

| School Grades Trend Data |
| :--- |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/ Statewide Assessment Trend Data |
| High School Feedback Report |

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

## ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25\%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ <br> Certification(s) | \# of Years at Current School | \# of Years as an <br> Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25\% ), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - BS in Business <br> Administration/ Marketing <br> -BA in |  |  | 2011-2012 <br> Grade: A <br> Reading Mastery: 74\% <br> Reading Learning Gains: 67\% <br> Reading Gains Lowest 25\%: 59\% <br> Math Mastery: 70\% <br> Math Learning Gains: 75\% <br> Math Gains Lowest 25\%: 81\% <br> Writing Mastery: 83\% <br> Science Mastery: 60\% <br> 74 \% of all subgroups were proficient in <br> Reading AMO targets <br> 70 \% of all subgroups were proficient in <br> Math AMO targets <br> 2010-2011 <br> Grade: A <br> Reading Mastery: 91\% <br> Reading Learning Gains: 74\% <br> Reading Gains Lowest 25\%: 66\% <br> Math Mastery: 85\% <br> Math Learning Gains: 69\% <br> Math Gains Lowest 25\%: 54\% <br> Writing Mastery: 90\% |



|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |$|$| laf progress |
| :--- |
| $91 \%$ of students making state standards in |
| writing |
| $46 \%$ of students at or above grade level in |
| science |

## INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest $25 \%$ ), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ <br> Certification(s) | \# of Years at Current School | \# of Years as an I nstructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25\% ), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | Hillary Stevens | - Specialist in Educational Leadership (K- <br> 12) <br> - BA in <br> Elementary Ed. <br> - BA in <br> Psychology <br> -MS in Childhood <br> Education <br> -ESOL <br> Endorsement <br> -Certified in: <br> Elementary Ed., <br> Exceptional <br> Student <br> Education <br> - Reading <br> Endorsement | 1 | 1 | Panther Run Elementary 2011-2012 <br> Grade: A <br> Reading Mastery: 74\% <br> Reading Learning Gains: 67\% <br> Reading Gains Lowest 25\%: 59\% <br> Math Mastery: 70\% <br> Math Learning Gains: 75\% <br> Math Gains Lowest 25\%: 81\% <br> Writing Mastery: 83\% <br> Science Mastery: 60\% <br> 74 \% of all subgroups were proficient in Reading AMO targets <br> 70 \% of all subgroups were proficient in Math AMO targets <br> Coconut Palm Elementary 2010-2011 <br> Grade: A <br> Reading Mastery: 80\% <br> Reading Learning Gains: 69\% <br> Reading Gains Lowest 25\%: 61\% <br> Math Mastery: 81\% <br> Math Learning Gains: 65\% <br> Math Gains Lowest 25\%: 64\% <br> Writing Mastery: 98\% <br> Science Mastery: 50\% <br> $82 \%$ of subgroups met AYP Status <br> 62 \% of all subgroups were proficient in <br> Reading AMO targets <br> 64 \% of all subgroups were proficient in <br> Math AMO targets <br> Coconut Palm Elementary <br> 2009-2010 <br> Grade: A <br> Reading Mastery: 78\% <br> Reading Learning Gains: 70\% <br> Reading Gains Lowest 25\%: 62\% <br> Math Mastery: 81\% <br> Math Learning Gains: 63\% <br> Math Gains Lowest 25\%: 51\% <br> Writing Mastery: 94\% <br> Science Mastery: 49\% <br> $90 \%$ of subgroups met AYP status <br> Coconut Palm Elementary <br> 2008-2009 <br> Grade: A <br> Reading Mastery: 82\% <br> Reading Learning Gains: 73\% <br> Reading Lowest 25\%: 58\% <br> Math Mastery: 83\% <br> Math Learning Gains: 73\% <br> Math Lowest 25\%: 74\% <br> Writing Mastery: 95\% <br> Science Mastery: 56\% <br> $100 \%$ of all subgroups met AYP status |

## EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|  | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1. Induction | Elaine L. Saef, Shannon M. Chacona and Lara Smith | Ongoing |  |
| 2 | 2. Showcase Best Practices | Elaine L. Saef, Shannon M. Chacona, Hillary Stevens, \& Leadership Team | Ongoing |  |
| 3 | 3. Professional Development | Elaine L. Saef, Shannon M. Chacona, Lisa Vullo \& Leadership Team | Ongoing |  |
| 4 | 4. Administration Open Door Policy | Elaine L. Saef, Shannon M. Chacona | Ongoing |  |
| 5 | 5. PIF-WIAMAD: Pay It Forward \& Who I Am Makes A Difference Culture | Elaine L. Saef, Shannon M. Chacona | Ongoing |  |
| 6 | 6. Professional Learning Communities | Elaine L. Saef, Shannon M. Chacona, Staff Development Team, \& Leadership Team | Ongoing |  |
| 7 | 7. Differentiated Instruction | Elaine L. Saef, Shannon M. Chacona, Staff Development Team, \& Leadership Team | Ongoing |  |

## Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).
*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% [35]).

| Number of <br> staff and <br> paraprofessional <br> that are <br> teaching out- <br> offield/ and <br> who are not <br> highly <br> effective. | Provide the strategies <br> that are being <br> implemented to <br> support the staff in <br> becoming highly <br> effective |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | NA |

## Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.
*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Total Number <br> of <br> Instructional <br> Staff | \% of <br> First-Year <br> Teachers | \% of <br> Teachers <br> with 1-5 <br> Years of <br> Experience | \% of <br> Teachers <br> with 6-14 <br> Years of <br> Experience | \% of <br> Teachers <br> with 15+ <br> Years of <br> Experience | \% of <br> Teachers <br> with <br> Advanced <br> Degrees | \% Highly <br> Effective <br> Teachers | \% Reading <br> Endorsed <br> Teachers | National <br> Board <br> Certified <br> Teachers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | $2.3 \%(1)$ | $18.2 \%(8)$ | $50.0 \%(22)$ | $25.0 \%(11)$ | $52.3 \%(23)$ | $97.7 \%(43)$ | $11.4 \%(5)$ | $4.5 \%(2)$ |
| Endorsed <br> Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Teacher Mentoring Program/ Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee <br> Assigned | Rationale <br> for Pairing | Planned Mentoring <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Ms. Stevens |  |


| Elaine L. Saef, Shannon <br> M. Chacona | Hillary Stevens | is an aspiring administrator and is interested in developing her leadership skills. | Monthly meetings, shadowing, observations, attend district and inhouse trainings. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elaine L. Saef, Shannon <br> M. Chacona | Emanuel Hunter | Mr. Hunter is in the LEAD Program and is interested in developing his leadership skills. | Monthly meetings, shadowing, observations, attend district and inhouse trainings |
| Lara Smith, Shannon M. Chacona | Dawn Hall | Mrs. Hall is a new Guidance Counselor to Broward County School District. | NESS Activities on a weekly basis, attend district and in-house trainings |

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

## Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only
Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

NA

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D
NA
Title II
NA

Title III
NA

Title X-Homeless
NA
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

NA

Violence Prevention Programs
NA

Nutrition Programs
NA

## Housing Programs

NA

## Head Start

## Career and Technical Education

NA
J ob Training
NA

Other
NA

## Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/ Response to Instruction/ Intervention (RtI)

$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { School- based MTSS/ RtI Team }- \\ \text { Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. }\end{array}\right.$

The School-based MTSS/RtI Team is coordinated by Mrs. Dawn Hall, Guidance Counselor. Administration, Grade Chairs and Support Staff (Reading Coach, ESE Specialist, Autism Coach, Speech/Language Pathologist, School Psychologist, \& School Social Worker) are members of the MTSS/RtI Team. The Collaborative Problem Solving Team also participates in the decision making process in order to provide the best educational decisions for all students.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS/RTI Leadership team meets monthly (more often if needed) to discuss curriculum updates, data analysis, program/instructional focus adjustments based on data analysis, and determine progress of current programs and look to future programs. Support Staff meets weekly with administration and Grade Chairs to act as liaison to administration for questions and concerns. Grade level teams meet weekly with support staff and specials area teachers to monitor, maintain, and develop small group support programs for students in all AYP subgroups. RTI Team Members: Principal, Assistant Principal, ESE Specialist, Reading Coach, Autism Coach, Grade Chairs, School Psychologist, Speech/Language Pathologists, Guidance Counselor, and Social Worker.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS/RTI Leadership Team assisted in the development of the School Improvement Plan and progress monitors the action steps. The MTSS/RTI Leadership Team works collaboratively with the School Advisory Council (SAC) members to ensure that the School Improvement Plan is implemented with fidelity. Regular meetings with administrators, school leadership team members, support personnel, grade chairs, \& SAC committees are held to review data to determine effectiveness of related instruction and academic plans. Whenever it appears something is not working, appropriate adjustments are made. SAC team is provided an update at monthly SAC Curriculum Committee meetings and SAC Team Meetings. Data is monitored and any needed adjustments and program modifications are made.

## -MTSS I mplementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Panther Run has created its own comprehensive File Maker Pro database to monitor our tiered data students which can be easily accessed by instructional personnel for the purpose of making academic and curriculum decisions for the school as a whole, by grade levels and in individual classrooms. Teachers and staff are well versed in the usage of the Data Warehouse and Virtual Counselor. We use FCAT data and District Assessment data to make decisions regarding the formulation of classes, proper placement of students, determine professional development needs, utilization of school resources (materials, supplies, technology, supplemental texts, etc.). In addition to the FCAT data, District assessment data, FAIR and AYP data are used to identify students in tier 2 (at-risk sub groups) in order to provide tutorials and/or additional support/instruction during the school day. Finally, we use mini-assessments, PMRN, FCAT simulations, chapter tests, District assessments, BATs, Mini-BATS, teacher observations/anecdotals, and reading assessment data to monitor students' progress in each class and in each subject: reading, math, science, and writing to determine mastery of the skills that must be taught as part of the content areas' Common Core and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards. Student attendance and behavioral issues are monitored by the classroom teacher and concerns are brought forward through the Rtl process. Attendance concerns are
tracked through the Broward Truancy Intervention Program (BTIP). Behavioral concerns are tracked through the Discipline Management System (DMS) and appropriate interventions are implemented in accordance with the plan. XY Graphs are used to track student progress based on Curriculum Based Monitoring.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development, Professional Learning Communities will be provided during teachers' common planning time and mini sessions will occur throughout the year.

- Staff taught to disaggregate data at beginning of every year, throughout the year, and review at the end of each year with electronic Articulation Cards \& DWH.
- Staff Development Committee, SAC Team and MTSS/RTI Leadership Team analyze data to determine trainings for the school year.
- Classroom Walkthrough Data analyzed to determine areas in need of growth during grade chair meetings throughout the year.
- Science Trainings for all teachers K-5
- SIP Committees, Grade Level Meetings, Articulation Chats, Data Chats, MTSS/RTI Leadership Team Meetings are held monthly.
- SREB Trainings \& Effective Schools District Trainings
- Instructional Focus Calendar Trainings
- FCIM - Florida Continuous Improvement Model professional development
- Non-Negotiables from District are shared and training is provided
- Go Math Series Trainings
- Moving with Math Trainings
- Differentiated Instruction Trainings
- Test Specs training- Reading, Math, Writing \& Science
- ESOL \& Reading plan training
- High Yield Strategies
- Select teachers from all levels 3-5 \& ESE are CRISS trained. These staff members will coordinate monthly CRISS PLC to provide leadership and support for all teachers 2-5 to implement CRISS strategies into instruction.
- K, 1, $2 \& 3$ teachers attended training on centers, small group strategies and vocabulary. These staff members will coordinate monthly PLC to provide leadership and support for all teachers K-3 to implement these strategies into instruction.

The MTSS/RtI team will also evaluate additional staff PD, PLC and Lesson Study needs during the monthly MTSS/RtI Leadership Team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.
$\square$
Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)
-School- Based Literacy Leadership Team-
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Administration, Grade Chairs, Reading Committee Members and Support Staff (Guidance, Reading Coach, ESE Specialist, \& Autism Coach)

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) meets monthly (more often if needed) to focus on and discuss literacy initiatives, programs, updates, data analysis, and literacy concerns throughout the school. The LLT focuses on adjustments to the literacy curriculum based on data analysis. The LLT determines the progress of current programs and looks at future programs. Support Staff meets weekly with administration and Grade Chairs to act as liaison to administration for questions and concerns. Grade level teams meet weekly with support staff and specials area teachers to monitor, maintain, and develop small group support programs for students in all AYP subgroups. The Reading Committee meets monthly to review progress on literacy initiatives and action step progress for the School Improvement Plan (SIP). The LLT ensures that all school stakeholders understand and support the work of the SIP, the school professional development plan, reading initiatives throughout the school, collaborative problem solving and the Response to Intervention Process. The LLT coordinates Family Literacy Night to train parents in reading and writing strategies to use at home with their children. This provides a school to work to home transition in learning.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Engage in regular, ongoing, literacy professional development.
-Participate in Professional Learning Communities.
- Provide Differentiated Instruction training to staff and implement DI in curriculum.
- Use data to analyze the effectiveness of instruction and redesign instruction and resources to meet the student's individual instructional and intervention needs.
- Implement Comprehensive Core Reading Programs, Comprehensive Intensive Reading Programs, and scientifically based reading instructional strategies with fidelity.
-Participate in ongoing literacy dialogues with peers.
- Create and share activities designed to promote literacy.
- Support and participate in classroom demonstrations and modeling of research-based reading strategies.
- Mentor other teachers and present staff development.
-Reflect on practice to improve instruction.
- Family Literacy Night
- Edu-Quest family educational quest with Partners in Excellence at the Shoppes at Pembroke Gardens


## Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification
No Attachment

## *Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

## NA

*Grades 6-12 Only
Sec. $1003.413(b)$ F.S.
For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

NA
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

## NA

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

NA

## Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. June 2013, 33\% of fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate proficiency (FCAT Level 3 or above) in reading
Reading Goal \#1a: on the FCAT Reading Test.

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| :--- | :--- |
| $30 \%(100)$ | $33 \%$ (109) |


| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | All students in grades K- 5 will receive training and instruction on test taking strategies \& skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Common Core \& Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in utilizing District resources to drive instruction. | Teachers will be trained to utilize District resources such as BEEP, IFCs and Data Chats to assist with driving instruction for students in all AYP subgroups. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, comprehension \& oral reading fluency. | Students will participate in Triumphs intervention, Phonics for Reading, Super QAR, Six Minute Solutions, \& Soar to Success. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District <br> Assessments, Formative Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and $\mathbf{6}$ in reading. <br> Reading Goal \# 1b: | June 2013, 23\% of fourth \& fifth grade students who take <br> the Florida Alternate Assessment will demonstrate proficiency <br> in reading at a supported level (4, 5 or 6) or independent <br> level (7, 8 or 9) on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Level of Performance: |
| $20 \%(2)$ | $23 \%$ (3) |


| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anticipated Barrier | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Strategy }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Person or } \\ \text { Position } \\ \text { Responsible for } \\ \text { Monitoring }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Process Used to } \\ \text { Determine } \\ \text { Effectiveness of } \\ \text { Strategy }\end{array}$ | Evaluation Tool |  |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Limited foundation of } \\ \text { prerequisite access point } \\ \text { skills. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Align instruction to the } \\ \text { core curriculum } \\ \text { standards/access points. } \\ \text { Utilize resources such as } \\ \text { CPALMS for pedagogy. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Administration, } \\ \text { Reading Coach, } \\ \text { ESE Specialist, IEP } \\ \text { Committee, } \\ \text { Reading } \\ \text { Committee, } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array}$ | FCIM | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Florida Alternate } \\ \text { Assessment, } \\ \text { Classoom \& }\end{array}$ |
| Disstrict |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessments, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brigance |  |  |  |  |  |$]$


| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#2a: |  |  | By June 2013, 48\% of fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5 ) in reading on the FCAT Reading Test. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 45\% (149) |  |  | 48\% (159) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in reference \& research. | Students will utilize newspapers and newstype magazines such as Time For Kids to enhance reading skills, reference \& research skills and comprehension skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments. |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in comprehension skills. | Students, parents, community partners in education will participate in "Edu- Quest." Each subject area curriculum committee will provide 24 questions in the EduQuest Passport for a total of four questions per subject. Students will travel to the Shoppes at Pembroke Gardens to solve the problem presented in the "EduQuest Passport" and bring their Passport back to school. Students who solve the problems correctly will receive a prize from the Partner in Education. All students who take part receive a participation award. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Edu- Quest <br> Passport Questions |
|  | Lack of prerequisite skills | All students will receive | Administration, | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, |


| 3 | in test taking strategies. | training and instruction <br> on test taking strategies <br> and skills. | Reading Coach, <br> Reading <br> Committee, <br> Teachers | District <br> Assessments, <br> Formative <br> Assessments |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#2b: |  |  | June 2013, $33 \%$ of fourth \& fifth grade students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment will demonstrate proficiency in reading at an independent level ( 7,8 or 9 ) on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 30\% (3) |  |  | 33\% (4) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited foundation of prerequisite access point skills. | Align instruction to the core curriculum standards/access points. Utilize resources such as CPALMS for pedagogy. | Administration, Reading Coach, ESE Specialist, IEP Committee, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Florida Alternate Assessment, Classroom \& District Assessments, Brigance |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#3a: |  |  | By June 2013, 72\% of the fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate annual learning gains in Reading on the FCAT Reading Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 69\% (169) |  |  | 72\% (177) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking preparation strategies and skills. | All students in grades K-5 will receive training and instruction in test taking preparation strategies and skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments. |


| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in identifying specific benchmarks for targeted instruction for students in need. | All teachers will be trained to utilize the FCIM process by infusing the District Instructional Focus Calendars \& Beep Lessons for reading into weekly lessons. Individual benchmarks for targeted instruction will be concentrated on each week in reading. <br> Assessments will be used to determine student mastery of skills after each benchmark. | Administration, <br> Reading Coach, <br> Reading <br> Committee, <br> Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District <br> Assessments, FOrmative Assessments. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in phonemic awareness, phonics deficiencies, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. | Struggling students will participate in Triumphs intervention for phonemic awareness, phonics deficiencies, fluency, vocabulary \& comprehension. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#3b: |  |  | June 2013, $56 \%$ of fourth \& fifth grade students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment will demonstrate learning gains in reading on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 53\% (4) |  |  | 56\% (5) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited foundation of prerequisite access point skills. | Align instruction to the core curriculum standards/access points. Utilize resources such as CPALMS for pedagogy. | Administration, Reading Coach, ESE Specialist, IEP Committee, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Florida Alternate Assessment, Classroom \& District Assessments, Brigance |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25\% <br> making learning gains in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#4: | By June 2013, 66\% of the lowest 25th percentile of fourth, <br> fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate annual <br> learning gains in reading on the FCAT Reading Test. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Level of Performance: |
| $63 \%(38)$ | $66 \%(40)$ |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |


|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | Students will receive training \& instruction on test taking preparation strategies and skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Math Committee, Teachers. | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in Oral reading fluency, Phonics, Comprehension skills \& strategies and Vocabulary development. | Students performing at the lowest 25th percentile will be identified for targeted instruction daily using Triumphs intervention. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers. | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 3 | Coordinating schedules to facilitate student grouping. | Students identified in the lowest 25th percentile will receive an additional 20-30 minute targeted intervention each day utilizing Triumphs intervention. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading, Committee/Teachers | FCIM Process | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative assessments |


| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by $50 \%$. |  |  | ```Reading Goal # By June 2017, PRE will reduce the achievement gap in reading to 14%.``` |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Baseline data } \\ 2010-2011 \end{gathered}$ | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |
|  | 28\% | 23\% | 21\% | 19\% | 16\% |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#5B: |  |  | June 2013, $87 \%$ of White, $66 \%$ of Black, $76 \%$ of Hispanic, $100 \%$ of Asian \& 100\% of American Indian fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will make satisfactory progress in reading on the FCAT Reading Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| White 16\% (12), Black 37\% (21), Hispanic 27\% (45), Asian 7\% (1), American Indian 100\% (1) |  |  | White 13\% (11), Black 34\% (20), Hispanic 24\% (44), Asian 4\% (1), American Indian 0\% (0). |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy |  | rson or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | All students will receive training \& instruction on test taking preparation strategies. |  | nistration, Reading <br> h, Reading mittee, Teachers, Contact. | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis | BAT, Mini BAT, Formative Assessments |


| 1 |  |  |  | determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. | Student subgroups will participate in Triumphs Intervention, Phonics for Reading, \& Super QAR. | Administration / Reading <br> Coach/ Reading <br> Committee/Teachers | FCIM Process | BAT, Mini- BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#5C: |  |  | By June 2012, 21\% of ELL fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate proficiency in reading on the FCAT Reading Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 82\% (14) |  |  | 79\% (13) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Scheduling | SWDs will participate in daily interventions based on IEP goals. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teacher, ESE Specialist, Autism Coach | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | FAIR, BAT, MiniBAT, Rigby, Treasures, and DAR. |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary \& Comprehension. | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via Computer Assisted Instruction programs, double/ triple dose small group support, FCAT camp, strategy development time for enrichment. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers, ESOL Contact | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, Formative assessments |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | All students will receive training \& instruction on FCAT test taking preparation strategies. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers, ESOL Contact | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, Formative assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| Reading Goal \#5D: |  |  | progress in reading on the FCAT Reading Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 55\% (31) |  |  | 52\% (29) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Scheduling | Economically Disadvantaged students will receive targeted interventions based on deficiencies. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, ESOL Contact, Teacher | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in Oral Reading Fluency | SWDs who are performing below grade level on an Oral Reading Fluency Assessment will participate in the READ Naturally Fluency Lab to increase their oral reading fluency skills. | Administration, <br> Reading Coach, <br> Reading <br> Committee, <br> Teachers, ESE <br> Specialist, Autism <br> Coach | FCIM Process | BAT, Mini BAT, Formative assessments |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in Phonics, Comprehension strategies and skills | SWDs will receive additional assistance via Computer Assisted Instruction programs, double/triple dose, small group support, FCAT camp, \& strategy development time. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers, ESE Specialist, Autism Coach | FCIM Process | BAT, Mini BAT, Formative assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#5E: |  | By June 2013, 64\% of the economically disadvantaged students in fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will make satisfactory progress in reading on the FCAT reading Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 39\% (43) |  | 36\% (39) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| Scheduling | Teachers, Support Staff and Administration will identify the students in grades K-5 performing at the | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers, ESOL Contact | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student | Two or more of the following FAIR, IRI, FCAT, Rigby, Treasures \& DAR. |


| 1 |  | lowest 40th percentile <br> (struggling readers) in <br> reading for skills <br> grouping information <br> using two or more of <br> the following FAIR, IRI, <br> FCAT, Rigby, <br> Treasures and DAR. |  | achievement with the <br> Sunshine State <br> Standards is the teaching <br> focus of the Florida |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Continuous Improvement |  |  |  |  |
| Model (FCIM). |  |  |  |  |$|$

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professional <br> Learning <br> Community: <br> Differentiated <br> Instruction | K-5 | Leadership Team, <br> Reading Coach | Professional <br> Learning <br> Community school- <br> wide | On going-20 hrs. |  |  | CWT | Administration, <br> Reading Coach |
| :--- |
| Professional <br> development: <br> Interpreting <br> \& Analyzing <br> data to drive <br> instruction |
| Professional <br> development: <br> FCIM Process |
| Krofessional <br> development: <br> Small Group <br> Centers |
| K-5 K-3 |

## Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Students identified as substantially deficient will receive additional support through supplemental materials in small group delivery in intervention reading programs and intensive reading skills remediation. | Treasures Intervention materials | Instructional materials funds | \$0.00 |
| Students identified as substantially deficient will receive additional support through supplemental materials in small group delivery in | Buckle Down Grades 4 \& 5 | Internal Accounts-Partner donations | \$2,589.12 |


| intervention reading programs and intensive reading skills remediation. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students and parents will <br> participate in "Panthers \& Cubs <br> READ!" a learning opportunity. <br> During Family Literacy Night parents <br> will learn to make stories come <br> alive for their child by modeling <br> changes in voice or pace, using <br> sound effects or motions. The parents will help the children become familiar with the parts of a book: beginning, middle and end. <br> Treasure Bay - We Both Read Series <br> The program will enhance the child's ability to use language fluently through parent modeling. The child's vocabulary, phonics skills and comprehension skills will increase. Additionally, we will instill reading motivation and create lifelong readers. |  |  |  |
| Fundamentals | Remedial Reading Program | PTA Funds | \$1,400.00 |
|  |  |  | \$3,989.12 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Students identified as struggling <br> learners in this subject will receive <br> additional assistance via CAI <br> programs, double/triple dose small <br> FCAT Explorer <br> NA <br> $\$ 0.00$ <br> group support, FCAT camp, strategy <br> development time for enrichment. |  |  |  |
| All students in grades $\mathrm{K}-5$ will receive training \& instruction on FCAT test taking preparation strategies and skills | Pearson CCC Success Maker | NA | \$0.00 |
| Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT camp, strategy development time for enrichment | Accelerated Reader | NA | \$0.00 |
| Students who are performing below grade level on a DAR Word List Oral Reading Fluency Assessment will be able to participate in the READ Naturally Fluency Lab to increase their oral reading fluency skills. | Read Naturally Fluency Program | NA | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| All teachers will utilize the FCIM process by infusing the School \& District Intructional Focus Calendars \& BEEP Lessons for reading into weekly lessons. Individual benchmarks will be concentrated on each week in reading lessons with their students. Assessments will be used to determine student mastery of skills after each benchmark. | FCIM | NA | \$0.00 |
| Students identified as substantially deficient will receive additional support through supplemental materials in small group delivery in intervention reading programs and intensive reading skills remediation. | CRISS Strategy, CRISS chats, Differentiated Instruction training \& chats | Staff development funds | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Students identified as struggling learners will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT camp, strategy development time for enrichment. | FCAT Camp | A+ Accountability Funds | \$3,500.00 |

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/ speaking. CELLA Goal \#1: |  |  | By June 2013, 45\% of English Language Learners will demonstrate proficiency in listening/speaking on the CELLA. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/ speaking: |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42 \%(35) \text { total } K-5 \\ & K-8 / 24,1 \text { st }-12 / 22,2 \text { nd }-10 / 14,3 \text { rd }-2 / 6,4 \text { th }-0 / 10,5 \text { th }-3 / 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills | Utilize ESOL strategies in classroom and small group instruction. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, ESOL Contact, Teacher | FCIM | IPT, CELLA, Informal Assessments |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non- ELL students.
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

By June 2013, 37\% of English Language Learners will demonstrate proficiency in reading on the CELLA.

CELLA Goal \#2:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:
$34 \%$ (28)
K - 2/23, 1st $-5 / 22,2$ nd $-11 / 14,3$ rd $-1 / 6,4$ th $-3 / 9,5$ th $-6 / 8$

| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite <br> skills. | Utilize ESOL strategies <br> in classroom and small <br> group instruction. | Administration, <br> Reading Coach, <br> Reading <br> Committee, ESOL <br> Contact, <br> Teachers | CELLA, IPT |  |  |  |

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.

| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. <br> CELLA Goal \#3: |  |  | By June 2013, 35\% of English Language Learners will demonstrate proficiency in writing on the CELLA. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32 \%(27) \\ & K-0 / 24,1 \text { st }-7 / 22,2 \text { nd }-8 / 14,3 \text { rd }-3 / 6,4 \text { th }-4 / 10,5 \text { th }-5 / 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills | Utilize ESOL strategies in classroom and small group instruction. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, ESOL Contact, Teacher | FCIM | CELLA, IPT |

## CELLA Budget:

$\left.$| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | | Available |
| ---: |
| Amount | \right\rvert\, | $\$ 0.00$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NA |  |  |
|  |  | Subtotal: $\$ 0.00$ |
| Technology | Description of Resources | Funding Source |

## Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#1a: |  |  | By June 2013, 35\% of fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate proficiency (FCAT Level 3) in mathematics on the FCAT Math Test. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 32\% (106) |  |  | 35\% (115) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | All students in grades K- 5 will receive training and instruction on test taking strategies \& skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Common Core \& Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District <br> Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in utilizing District resources to drive instruction. | Teachers will be trained to utilize District resources such as BEEP, IFCs and Data Chats to assist with driving instruction for students in all AYP subgroups. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, comprehension \& oral reading fluency. | Students will participate in Triumphs intervention, Phonics for Reading, Super QAR, Six Minute Solutions, \& Soar to Success. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#1b: | June 2013, 23\% of fourth \& fifth grade students who take <br> the Florida Alternate Assessment will demonstrate proficiency <br> in reading at a supported level (4, 5 or 6) or independent <br> level (7, 8 or 9) on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Level of Performance: |
| $20 \%(2)$ | $23 \%$ (3) |
| Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |


| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Person or } \\ \text { Position } \\ \text { Responsible for } \\ \text { Monitoring }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Process Used to } \\ \text { Determine } \\ \text { Effectiveness of } \\ \text { Strategy }\end{array}$ | Evaluation Tool |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Limited foundation of } \\ \text { prerequisite access point } \\ \text { skills. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Align instruction to the } \\ \text { core curriculum } \\ \text { standards/access points. } \\ \text { Utilize resources such as } \\ \text { CPALMS for pedagogy. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Administration, } \\ \text { Reading Coach, } \\ \text { ESE Specialist, IEP } \\ \text { Committee, } \\ \text { Reading } \\ \text { Committee, } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array}$ | FCIM | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Florida Alternate } \\ \text { Assessment, } \\ \text { Classroom \& } \\ \text { District }\end{array}$ |
| Assessments, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brigance |  |  |  |  |  |$]$


| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#2a: |  |  | By June 2013, 42\% of fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in mathematics on the FCAT Math Test. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 39\% (128) |  |  | 42\% (139) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in reference \& research. | Students will utilize newspapers and newstype magazines such as Time For Kids to enhance reading skills, reference $\delta$ research skills and comprehension skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments. |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in comprehension skills. | Students, parents, community partners in education will participate in "Edu- Quest." Each subject area curriculum committee will provide 24 questions in the EduQuest Passport for a total of four questions per subject. Students will travel to the Shoppes at Pembroke Gardens to solve the problem presented in the "EduQuest Passport" and bring their Passport back to school. Students who solve the problems correctly will receive a prize from the Partner in Education. All students who take part receive a participation award. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Edu- Quest Passport Questions |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | All students will receive training and instruction on test taking strategies and skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Lack of prerequisite skills <br> to master NGSSS. | Students will use <br> technology programs, <br> including but not limited <br> to wireless carts, Go <br> Math sites, <br> SuccessMaker, online <br> textbooks and resources, <br> presentation software, <br> and <br> multimedia tools to <br> enhance classroom <br> instruction and provide <br> guided practice and <br> application of skills. | Administration, <br> Math Contact, <br> Math Committee, <br> Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, <br> District <br> Assessments, <br> Formative <br> assessments |
| 5 | Sarents will be trained in <br> a variety of strategies to <br> integrate the NGSSS in <br> real life situations during <br> Family Math Night. <br> Business partners and <br> other community <br> members will be involved <br> in the planning and <br> implementation of Family <br> Math Night. | Administration, <br> Math Contact, <br> Math Committee | FCIM |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#2b: |  |  | June 2013, $23 \%$ of fourth \& fifth grade students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment will demonstrate proficiency in reading at an independent level ( 7,8 or 9 ) on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 20\% (2) |  |  | 23\% (3) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited foundation of prerequisite access point skills. | Align instruction to the core curriculum standards/access points. Utilize resources such as CPALMS for pedagogy. | Administration, Reading Coach, ESE Specialist, IEP Committee, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Florida Alternate Assessment, Classroom \& District Assessments, Brigance |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning
gains in mathematics.

By June 2013, 81\% of the fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate annual learning gains in mathematics on the FCAT Math Test.
Mathematics Goal \#3a:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking preparation strategies and skills. | All students in grades K-5 will receive training and instruction in test taking preparation strategies and skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments. |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite knowledge regading FCIM. | All teachers will be trained to utilize the FCIM Process by infusing the District instructional focus calendar for math into weekly lessons. Individual benchmarks will be concentrated on each week in math lessons with their students. Assessments will be used to determine student mastery of skills after each benchmark. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments Formative assessments |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills using District resources to drive instruction. | All teachers will be trained to utilize District resources such as BEEP and IFCs to assist with driving instruction for students in all AYP subgroups. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative assessments |
| 4 | Lack of prerequisite skills to master NGSSS. | Students will use technology programs, including but not limited to wireless carts, online textbooks and resources, presentation software, and multimedia tools to enhance classroom instruction and provide guided practice and application of skills. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments, Formative assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:


| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Position } \\ \text { Responsible for } \\ \text { Monitoring }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Determine } \\ \text { Effectiveness of } \\ \text { Strategy }\end{array}$ | Evaluation Tool |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Limited foundation of } \\ \text { prerequisite access point } \\ \text { skills. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Align instruction to the } \\ \text { core curriculum } \\ \text { standards/access points. } \\ \text { Utilize resources such as } \\ \text { CPALMS for pedagogy. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Administration, } \\ \text { Reading Coach, } \\ \text { ESE Specialist, IEP } \\ \text { Committee, } \\ \text { Reading } \\ \text { Committee, }\end{array}$ | FCIM | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Florida Alternate } \\ \text { Assessment, } \\ \text { Classroom \& }\end{array}$ |
| Teachers |  |  |  |  |  |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{l}District <br>

Assessments, <br>
Brigance\end{array}\right]\)

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest $25 \%$ making learning gains in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#4: |  |  | By June 2013, 88\% of the lowest 25th percentile of fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will demonstrate annual learning gains in mathematics on the FCAT Math Test. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 85\% (52) |  |  | 88\% (54) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | Students will receive training \& instruction on test taking preparation strategies and skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Math Committee, Teachers. | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 2 | Scheduling | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via Computer Assisted Instruction programs, double/triple dose, small group support, FCAT camp, etc. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative assessments |
| 3 | Scheduling | During the 20-30 minutes block for Strategy Development time students will be grouped to meet with teachers, support staff and specials to work on core academic skills and test prep strategies as a triple dose. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments, Formative assessments |
| 4 | Lack of prerequisite skills in utilizing BEEP for differentiating instruction. | Teachers will be trained to utilize virtual manipulatives, the promethean board \& additional manipulatives to increase math skills. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments Formative assessments |


| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by $50 \%$. |  |  | ```Elementary School Mathematics Goal \# By June 2017, PRE will reduce the achievement gap in math to \(15 \%\)``` |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |
|  | 30\% | 26\% | 23\% | 21\% | 18\% |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5B: |  |  | June 2013, $80 \%$ of White and $57 \%$ of Black, $74 \%$ of Hispanic, and $96 \%$ of Asian fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will make satisfactory progress in mathematics on the FCAT Math Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| White 23\% (18), Black 46\% (26), Hispanic 29\% (49), Asian 7\% (1), American Indian 100\% (1) |  |  | White 20\% (17), Black 43\% (25), Hispanic 26\% (48), Asian 4\% (1), American Indian 0\% (0) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | All students will receive training \& instruction on test taking preparation strategies. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers, ESOL Contact. | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, Formative Assessments |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills to master NGSSS. | Students in grades K 5 will participate in supplemental math programs (Moving With Math, Go Math, etc.) to increase math skills. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments, Formative assessments |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in utilizing District resources to drive instruction. | Teachers will be trained to utilize District resources such as BEEP, IFCs and Data Chats to assist with driving instruction for students in all AYP sub groups. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini- BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

By June 2013, 38\% of ELL fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will make satisfactory progress in mathematics on Mathematics Goal \#5C:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65\% (11) |  |  | 62\% (10) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Scheduling | SWDs will participate in daily interventions based on IEP goals. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teacher, ESE Specialist, Autism Coach | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | FAIR, BAT, MiniBAT, Rigby, Treasures, and DAR. |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills to master NGSSS | Students in grades K 5 will participate in supplemental math programs (Moving With Math, Go Math, etc.) to increase math skills. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers, ESOL Contact | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative assessments |
| 3 | Scheduling | During a 20-30 minutes block for "Strategy Development" time students will be grouped to meet with teachers, support staff and specials to work on core academic skills and test prep strategies as a triple dose. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers, ESOL Contact | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments, Formative assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5D: |  |  | By June 2013, 46\% of the Students with Disabilities (SWD) student subgroups in fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will make satisfactory progress in mathematics on the FCAT Math Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 57\% (32) |  |  | 54\% (30) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|  | Scheduling | Economically Disadvantaged students will receive targeted interventions based on deficiencies. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, ESOL Contact, Teacher | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the | BAT, Mini BAT |


|  |  |  |  | Sunshine State <br> Standards is the teaching <br> focus of the Florida <br> Continuous Improvement <br> Model (FCIM). |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Scheduling | Students identified as <br> struggling learners in this <br> subject will receive <br> additional assistance via <br> CAI programs, <br> SuccessMaker, <br> double/triple dose, small <br> group support, FCAT <br> camp, etc. | Administration, <br> Math Contact, <br> Math Committee, <br> Teachers, ESE <br> Specialist, Autism <br> Coach | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, <br> District <br> Assessments, <br> Formative <br> assessments |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills <br> to master NGSSS | Students in grades K - <br> will participate in <br> supplemental math <br> programs (such as <br> Moving With Math, Go <br> Math, etc.) to increase <br> math application skills. | Administration, <br> Math Contact, <br> Math Committee, | Teachers, ESE <br> Specialist, Autism <br> Coach | FCIM |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5E: |  |  | By June 2013, 56\% of the economically disadvantaged students in fourth, fifth and retained 3rd graders will make satisfactory progress in mathematics on the FCAT Math Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 44\% (48) |  |  | 41\% (44) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Scheduling | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, SuccessMaker, double/triple dose, small group support, FCAT camp, etc. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments, Formative assessments |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills to master NGSSS. | Students in grades K - 5 will participate in supplemental math programs (such as Moving With Math, Go Math, etc.) to increase math application skills. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments, Formative assessments |
| 3 | Scheduling | During a 20-30 minutes block for "Strategy Development" time students will be grouped to meet with teachers, support staff and specials to work on core academic skills and test prep strategies as a triple dose. | Administration, Math Contact, Math Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BATs, District Assessments, Formative assessments |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { PD } \\ \text { Content /Topic } \\ \text { and/or PLC Focus }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Grade } \\ \text { Level/Subject }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { PD Facilitator } \\ \text { and/or PLC Leader }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { PD Participants } \\ \text { (e.g., PLC, subject, } \\ \text { grade level, or } \\ \text { school-wide) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Target Dates (e.g., } \\ \text { early release) and } \\ \text { Schedules (e.g., } \\ \text { frequency of } \\ \text { meetings) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Strategy for } \\ \text { Follow- }\end{array} \\ \text { up/Monitoring }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Person or Position } \\ \text { Responsible for } \\ \text { Monitoring }\end{array}\right]$

## Mathematics Budget:



| Individual benchmarks will be <br> concentrated on each week in <br> math lessons with their students. | FCIM process | Inservice \& Staff Development <br> Assessments will be used to <br> determine student mastery of <br> skills after each benchmark. | \$0.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Students in grades K-5 will <br> participate in supplemental math <br> programs (such as Moving with <br> Math) to increase math application <br> skills. | Moving with Math | Staff Development Funds |  |
|  | Fescription of Resources | Funding Source |  |
| Other | A+ Funds |  |  |
| Strategy | FCAT Camp |  |  |
| Students identified as struggling <br> learners in this subject will receive <br> additional assistance via CAI <br> programs, double/triple dose <br> small group support, FCAT Camp. |  |  |  |

Subtotal: \$3,000.00

## Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement

Level 3 in science.

By June 2013, 41\% of the fifth graders will demonstrate proficiency (FCAT Level 3) in science on the FCAT Science Test.
Science Goal \#1a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:
2013 Expected Level of Performance:

41\% (56)

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | All students in grades K- 5 will receive training and instruction on test taking strategies \& skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Common Core \& Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in utilizing District resources to drive instruction. | Teachers will be trained to utilize District resources such as BEEP, IFCs and Data Chats to assist with driving instruction for students in all AYP | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |


|  |  | subgroups. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary, comprehension \& oral reading fluency. | Students will participate in Triumphs intervention, Phonics for Reading, Super QAR, Six Minute Solutions, \& Soar to Success. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 4 | Lack of prerequisite skills to master NGSSS on all Strands: <br> Physical \& Chemical Earth \& Space Life \& Environmental Scientific Thinking | Students in grades K- 5 will participate in at least 4 hands on science related activities a month in classroom in addition to those in the science lab. | Administration, Science Resource Teacher, Science Committee, Teachers | FCIM | CWT |
| 5 | Lack of prerequisite skills to master all Strands: <br> Physical \& Chemical Earth \& Space Life \& Environmental Scientific Thinking | Teachers will utilize District benchmark plan for K- 5 grade science lessons. Use K-5 curriculum maps, which identify specific grade level skills with accompanying lessons for daily instruction. Teachers will analyze assessment results to determine which skills need remediation. | Administration, Science Resource Teacher, Science Committee, Teachers | FCIM | CWT |
| 6 | Lack of prerequisite skills in utilizing District resources to drive instruction. | Teachers will be trained to utilize District resources such as BEEP, IFCs and Data Chats to assist with driving instruction for students in all AYP sub groups | Administration, Science Resource Teacher, Science Committee, Teachers | FCIM | District Assessments, Formative Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal \#1b: |  |  | J une 2013, $75 \%$ of fifth grade students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment will demonstrate proficiency in science at a supported level ( 4,5 or 6 ) or independent level (7, 8 or 9 ) on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 50\% (2) |  |  | 75\% (3) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited foundation of prerequisite access point skills. | Align instruction to the core curriculum standards/access points. Utilize resources such as CPALMS for pedagogy. | Administration, Reading Coach, ESE Specialist, IEP Committee, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Florida Alternate <br> Assessment, <br>  <br> District <br> Assessments, Brigance |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. <br> Science Goal \#2a: |  |  | By June 2013, 25\% of fifth graders will demonstrate proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) in science on the FCAT Science Test. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 22\% (30) |  |  | 25\% (32) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in reference \& research. | Students will utilize newspapers and newstype magazines such as Time For Kids to enhance reading skills, reference \& research skills and comprehension skills. | Administration, Reading Coach, Reading Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | BAT, Mini BAT, District Assessments, Formative Assessments. |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills in test taking strategies. | All students will receive training and instruction on test taking strategies and skills. | Administration, <br> Reading Coach, <br> Reading <br> Committee, <br> Teachers | FCIM | BAT, Mini BAT, District <br> Assessments, Formative Assessments |
| 3 | Scheduling | Students in grades K-5 will share class science projects during a Family Science/Science Fair Night. | Administration, Science Resource Teacher, Science Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Observation \& student participation |
| 4 | Lack of prerequisite skills in all Strands: Physical \& Chemical Earth \& Space Life \& Environmental Scientific Thinking | Students in grades 3-5 will share <br> Science Mini- Boards to develop their knowledge of the scientific method. | Administration, Science Resource Teacher, Science Committee, Teachers | FCIM | FCIM |
| 5 | Scheduling | Extra 30 mins of Science Lab weekly for grade 5 to further develop their science knowledge. | Administration, Science Resource Teacher, Science Committee, 5th grade Teachers | FCIM | FCIM |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 <br> in science. <br> Science Goal \#2b: | June 2013, 25\% of fifth grade students who take the <br> Florida Alternate Assessment will demonstrate <br> proficiency in science at an independent level (7, 8 or <br> 9) on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Level of Performance: |
| $0 \%(0)$ | $25 \%$ (1) |
| Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |


|  |  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Limited foundation of <br> prerequisite access <br> point skills. | Align instruction to the <br> core curriculum <br> standards/access <br> points. Utilize <br> resources such as <br> CPALMS for pedagogy. | Administration, <br> Reading Coach, <br> ESE Specialist, <br> IEP Committee, <br> Reading <br> Committee, <br> Teachers | FCIM | Evaluation Tool |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| ```PD Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus``` | Grade Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or schoolwide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional development: Science FCAT Item Test Specs | K-5 | Administration, Science Resource Teacher | Professional development K-5 | On-going 20 hrs . | CWT, Lesson Plans | Administration, Science Resource Teacher |
| Professional development: <br> Science Mini Boards | K-5 | Administration, Science Resource Teacher | Professional development K-5 | On-going 20 hrs . | CWT, Lesson Plans | Administration, Science Resource Teacher |
| Professional Learning Community: Science K-5 Program | K-5 | Administration, Science Resource Teacher | Professional Learning Community K-5 | September 2012 | CWT, Lesson Plans | Administration, Science Resource Teacher, Science Committee |

## Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |

## Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level
3.0 and higher in writing.

By June 2013, 88\% of fourth graders will demonstrate proficiency in writing on the FCAT Writing Test.
Writing Goal \#1a:

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

85\% (93)
88\% (95)

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite writing skills \& strategies | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose, smal group support. | Administration, Writing Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | Writing Prompts |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite writing skills \& strategies | School wide writing instructional focus utilizing the effective writing strategies from Six Traits K-5; Peer teaching writing model in 4th Grade; Writing tutorials; www.WRITENITE homework; K-5 weekly writing assignment on Wednesday nights, monthly writing prompts, daily journal writing. | Administration, Writing Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Writing Prompts |
| 3 | Scheduling | Parents will be trained at a <br> "Family Literacy Night" focusing on rubrics for FL Writes, the Six Traits - in conjunction with the 4 elements of effective writing- and writing with your child. The workshop will assist parents to help develop students' writing skills at home. | Administration, Writing Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Attendance |


| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. <br> Writing Goal \# 1b: |  |  | J une 2013, 60\% of fourth grade students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment will demonstrate proficiency in writing at a supported level (4,5 or 6) or independent level (7, 8 or 9) on the Florida Alternate Assessment. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 40\% (2) |  |  | 60\% (3) |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited foundation of prerequisite access point skills. | Align instruction to the core curriculum standards/access points. Utilize resources such as CPALMS for pedagogy. | Administration, Reading Coach, ESE Specialist, IEP Committee, Reading Committee, Teachers | FCIM | Florida Alternate Assessment, Classroom \& District Assessment, Brigance |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates <br> (e.g., early <br> release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Rospontion <br> Ronible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professional <br> Learning <br> Community: <br> Six Traits <br> Using Color <br> Words | 3-5 Writing | Administration, <br> Writing <br> Committee | Professional <br> Learning <br> Community K-5 | On-going 20 hrs. | Six Traits | Administration, <br> Writing <br> Committee |

## Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| School-wide writing instructional focus; utilizing the effective writing strategies from Six Traits K-5; Peer teaching writing model4th grade; Writing tutorials; www.writenite homework; K-5 weekly writing assignment on Wednesday nights; monthly writing prompts; journal writing. | Six Traits | NA | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |


| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$0.00 |  |  |  |

End of Writing Goals

## Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| 1. Attendance <br> Attendance Goal \# 1: | By June 2013, 97\% of the students in grades K - 5 will <br> demonstrate an increase in the attendance rate. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: |
| $96 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive <br> Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive <br> Absences (10 or more) |
| 0 | 0 |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive <br> Tardies (10 or more) |
| 71 | 61 |


| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students' tardiness | Tardy note each time <br> tardy that must be <br> sigend by parent, <br> Parent Link Call, staff <br> telephone call, letter to <br> parent or conference, <br> BTIP letters | Administration, <br> Designated <br> attendance staff <br> person <br> (IMT/BTIP), <br> Teachers | Attendance record <br> review, BTIP | Comparative <br> analysis to the <br> previous school <br> year: Reduction <br> in the number of <br> days tardy and a <br> reduction in the <br> number of tardy <br> minutes. |
| 2 | Possible increase in <br> absences on early <br> release days | Personal telephone call <br> to the parent to <br> discuss the absence, <br> BTIP Letter | Administration <br> with support from <br> the classroom <br> teachers | Attendance record <br> review, BTIP | Decrease in the <br> number of <br> students absent <br> as compared to <br> the previous <br> year's data |
|  | Possible Increase in | Personal telephone call | Administration | Review attendance | A reduction in the |

to the parent to discuss the absence, BTIP Letter
with support from $\mid$ record, BTIP the classroom teachers
|number of absences on days immediately preceding a planned day off (Teacher Planning Day).

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates <br> (e.g., early <br> release) and <br> Schedules <br> (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Attendance <br> Symposium: <br> Full review of <br> Attendance <br> Policy | K-5 | Administration, <br> BTIP Liaison, <br> Attendance Clerk | School-wide | Fall 2012 | On-going <br> monitoring of <br> attendance, <br> Attendance Reports <br> reviewed quarterly | Administration, <br> Teacher, IMT |
| Broward <br> Truancy <br> Intervention <br> Program <br> training | K-5 | Administration, <br> BTIP Liaison, <br> Attendance Clerk | School-wide | Fall 2012 | On-going <br> monitoring of BTIP | Administration, <br> BTIP Liaison, IMT |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

## Suspension Goal(s)

[^0]

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PD } \\ & \text { Content / Topic } \\ & \text { and/ or PLC } \\ & \text { Focus } \end{aligned}$ | Grade Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or schoolwide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional development: Review of Rules \& Expectations and the Discipline Matrix | K-5 | Administration, Prevention Liaison | Professional development School-wide | On-going | CWT | Administration, Support Staff, Grade Chairs |
| CHAMPs Training | K-5 | Administration, Prevention Liaison, Trained CHAMPs teachers | Professional development School-wide | On-going | CWT | Administration, Support Staff, Grade Chairs |
| Professional Learning Community: Sharing Best Practices in Discipline | K-5 | Administration, Leadership Team, Teachers, Discipline Committee | Professional Learning Community School-wide | On-going | CWT | Administration, Support Staff |
| Professional development: Individual Behavior Plan training | K-5 | Administration, Leadership Team, Teachers, Discipline Committee | Professional development School-wide | On-going | CWT | Administration, Support Staff |

## Suspension Budget:



End of Suspension Goal(s)

## Parent Involvement Goal(s)

[^1]| 1. Parent I nvolvement <br> Parent I nvolvement Goal \#1: <br> *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. |  |  | By June 2013, 88\% of parents will attend a family night event at Panther Run. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent I nvolvement: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Parent I nvolvement: |  |  |
| 85\% |  |  | 88\% |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Parent work schedule | Students, parents, community and Partners in Education will participate in a variety of family nights including: "Edu- Quest" a learning experience incorporating core subject application skills and family relationships. | Writing, Reading, Math, and Science Committees | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Sunshine State Standards is the teaching focus of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM). | Attendance |
| 2 | Scheduling | Target Family Math Night grades K - 5 to increase math application skills \& family relationships. | Math Committee | FCIM | Attendance |
| 3 | Lack of prerequisite skills in the Scientific Method. | Family Science Night for grades K-5 to increase science knowledge and application skills. | Science Committee | FCIM | Attendance |
| 4 | Parent time to emphasize reading at home | Family Literacy Night for grades K-5 to increase reading skills and develop a love of literature | Reading/Writing Committee | FCIM | Attendance |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates <br> (e.g., early <br> release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Curriculum- <br> based Family <br> Nights <br> (Literacy, <br> Math, Edu- <br> Quest) for <br> grades K-5 | K-5 |  |  |  |  |  |


| to increase <br> academic <br>  <br> skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Science <br> Family Night <br> for grades K- <br> 5 to increase <br> science <br> applications <br> for the PRE <br> Community |  | K-5 |  | Administration, <br> Science <br> Committee | School-wide | January 2013 |

Parent I nvolvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Science Night for grades K-5 to increase science applications for the Panther Run Community. | Science Resource Teacher | NA | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

## 1. STEM

STEM Goal \#1:

Increase STEM literacy for all students through a handson inquiry-based science \& math family night with $60 \%$ of our families participating.

| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of prerequisite skills in the Scientific Process. | Provide teachers with opportunities for training in providing authentic activities emphasizing the scientific process skills. Students participate in hands- on inquiry-based | Administration, Science Resource Teacher, Science Committee, Teachers | Florida's Continuous Improvement Model is a continuous process in which data analysis determines classroom instruction. High student achievement with the Common Core | BAT, Mini BAT, District <br> Assessments, Formative Assessments |


| problem solving. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\|$\& Sunshine State <br> Standards is the <br> teaching focus of the <br> Florida Continuous <br> Improvement Model <br> (FCIM). |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates <br> (e.g., early <br> release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professional <br> Learning <br> Community: <br> Science K-5 <br> Program | K-5 Science | Science <br> Resource <br> Teacher, <br> Science <br> Committee | Professional <br> Learning <br> Community K-5 | September 2012 <br> and On-going | FCI M, CWT | Administration, <br> Science Resource <br> Teacher, Science <br> Committee |

STEM Budget:


## Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Students identified as substantially deficient will receive additional support through supplemental materials in small group delivery in intervention reading programs and intensive reading skills remediation. | Treasures Intervention materials | Instructional materials funds | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Students identified as substantially deficient will receive additional support through supplemental materials in small group delivery in intervention reading programs and intensive reading skills remediation. | Buckle Down Grades 4 \& 5 | Internal Accounts- <br> Partner donations | \$2,589.12 |
| Reading | Students and parents will participate in <br> "Panthers \& Cubs READ!" a learning opportunity. During Family Literacy Night parents will learn to make stories come alive for their child by modeling changes in voice or pace, using sound effects or motions. The parents will help the children become familiar with the parts of a book: beginning, middle and end. The program will enhance the child's ability to use language fluently through parent modeling. The child's vocabulary, phonics skills and comprehension skills will increase. <br> Additionally, we will instill reading motivation and create life-long readers. | Treasure Bay - We Both Read Series | Received Target Grant | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Fundamentals | Remedial Reading Program | PTA Funds | \$1,400.00 |
| CELLA | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Students in grades K-5 will participate in supplemental math programs (such as Moving With Math, Buckle Down, Go Math, Success Maker) to increase math application skills. | Moving With Math | NA | \$0.00 |
| Science | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Writing | School-wide writing instructional focus; utilizing the effective writing strategies from Six Traits K-5; Peer teaching writing model4th grade; Writing tutorials; www.writenite homework; K-5 weekly writing assignment on Wednesday nights; | Six Traits | NA | \$0.00 |


|  | monthly writing prompts; journal writing. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | Professional Learning Community to review CHAMPs strategies | CHAMPs electronic handouts | NA | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | Professional Learning Community to review Discipline Matrix | Matrix electronic handouts | NA | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| STEM | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Subtotal: \$3,989.12 |
| Technology |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT camp, strategy development time for enrichment. | FCAT Explorer | NA | \$0.00 |
| Reading | All students in grades K -5 will receive training \& instruction on FCAT test taking preparation strategies and skills | Pearson CCC Success Maker | NA | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT camp, strategy development time for enrichment | Accelerated Reader | NA | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Students who are performing below grade level on a DAR Word List Oral Reading Fluency Assessment will be able to participate in the READ Naturally Fluency Lab to increase their oral reading fluency skills. | Read Naturally Fluency Program | NA | \$0.00 |
| CELLA | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT camp. | Go Math Websites, FCAT Explorer | NA | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT camp | Pearson CCC Success Maker | NA | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT camp. | First in Math | Accountability Funds | \$2,842.00 |
| Science | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Writing | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |


| Suspension | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parent Involvement | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| STEM | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Subtotal: \$2,842.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | All teachers will utilize the FCIM process by infusing the School \& District Intructional Focus Calendars \& BEEP Lessons for reading into weekly lessons. Individual benchmarks will be concentrated on each week in reading lessons with their students. Assessments will be used to determine student mastery of skills after each benchmark. | FCIM | NA | \$0.00 |
| Reading | Students identified as substantially deficient will receive additional support through supplemental materials in small group delivery in intervention reading programs and intensive reading skills remediation. | CRISS Strategy, CRISS chats, Differentiated Instruction training \& chats | Staff development funds | \$0.00 |
| CELLA | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | All teachers will utilize the FCIM process by infusing the District instructional focus calendar for math into weekly lessons. Individual benchmarks will be concentrated on each week in math lessons with their students. Assessments will be used to determine student mastery of skills after each benchmark. | FCIM process | Inservice \& Staff Development Funds | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Students in grades K-5 will participate in supplemental math programs (such as Moving with Math) to increase math application skills. | Moving with Math | Staff Development Funds | \$0.00 |
| Science | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Writing | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | Professional Learning Community to review CHAMPs strategies | CHAMPs handouts | NA | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | PLC to review Discipline Matrix | Matrix electronic handouts | NA | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Science Night for grades K-5 to increase science applications for the Panther Run Community. | Science Resource Teacher | NA | \$0.00 |
| STEM | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|  | Students identified as struggling learners will receive additional |  |  |  |


| Reading | assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT camp, strategy development time for enrichment. | FCAT Camp | A+ Accountability Funds | \$3,500.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | Students will utilize newspapers and news-type magazines such as Time For Kids to enhance reading skills, research skills and comprehension skills. | TIme for Kids | Voucher from fundraiser | \$0.00 |
| CELLA | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Students identified as struggling learners in this subject will receive additional assistance via CAI programs, double/triple dose small group support, FCAT Camp. | FCAT Camp | A+ Funds | \$3,000.00 |
| Science | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Writing | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| STEM | NA |  |  | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$6,500.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$13,331.12 |  |  |  |  |

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
j \cap \text { Priority } & j \cap \text { Focus } & j \cap \text { Prevent } & j \cap \text { NA }
\end{array}
$$

Are you a reward school: j Yes j No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/4/2012)

## School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance
The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

## Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| Curriculum Materials for daily instruction and FCAT Camp | $\$ 4,000.00$ |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Monthly meetings to plan and implement SIP and increase student achievement.
-SAC meets monthly on the third or fourth Wednesday of the month at 6:15 p.m.

- SAC Curriculum Committees meet monthly on the third or fourth Tuesday of the Month at 2:15 p.m.
- SAC coordinates academic strategies to be implemented for students.
- SAC writes the SIP each year based on FCAT, School Grade, AYP and academic performance.
-The School Advisory Council (SAC) is comprised of parents, community members, as well as faculty and staff. Procedure for election and appointment of advisory council members are: peer groups elect their representatives, with Principal, and BTU representative and business partners are appointed. This committee develops School Improvement objectives, including strategies and the school's website after Board Approval.
- During the year the SAC curriculum committees oversee the implementation of the action steps and monitor data during the year.
- Focus groups/committees at the school report directly to the SAC for ongoing monitoring of action steps, progress, and data to develop SIP.
-The SAC is the sole body responsible for final decision-making at the school relating to implementation of provisions of Sections 1001.42(16) and 1008.345, F.S.
- Recommendations for staff development and budget.
- Once the plan is approved it is shared with all members of the faculty and staff as well as parents and community members. The plan is posted on our website.


## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010
SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Broward School District
PANTHER RUN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
2010-2011

|  | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 91\% | 85\% | 90\% | 68\% | 334 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the \% scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the \% scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| \% of Students Making Learning Gains | 74\% | 69\% |  |  | 143 | 3 ways to make gains: <br> - Improve FCAT Levels <br> - Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 <br> - Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25\% in the School? | 66\% (YES) | 54\% (YES) |  |  | 120 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest $25 \%$ of students in reading and math. Yes, if $50 \%$ or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned |  |  |  |  | 597 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent Tested = } \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* |  |  |  |  | A | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and \% of students tested |

Broward School District
PANTHER RUN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
2009-2010

|  | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 87\% | 87\% | 90\% | 40\% | 304 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the \% scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the \% scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| \% of Students Making Learning Gains | 66\% | 65\% |  |  | 131 | 3 ways to make gains: <br> - Improve FCAT Levels <br> - Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 <br> - Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25\% in the School? | 52\% (YES) | 70\% (YES) |  |  | 122 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest $25 \%$ of students in reading and math. Yes, if $50 \%$ or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned |  |  |  |  | 557 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent Tested = } \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* |  |  |  |  | A | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and \% of students tested |


[^0]:    * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

[^1]:    * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

    Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

