# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



# School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name:2581.00Nina Harris Exceptional Student	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Dr. Mary Sakoff	Superintendent:John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Joseph Bower	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

#### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Mary Sakoff, Ph.D	School Principal (All Levels) Emotionally Handicapped (K-12) EL. Education 1-6;	5	18	This is the first year that Nina Harris received a School Improvement Rating based on students FAA Scores. The school has been rated as Improving in both Reading and Math. FAA scores show that 49% of the students made reading gains in 2012 compared to 29% of the students in 2011. FAA scores show that 59% of the students made math gains in 2012 compared to 27%
Assistant Principal	Arlene Sullivan	School Principal (All Levels) Emotionally Handicapped (K-12) EL. Education 1-6; Specific Learning Disabilities (K-12)	15	15	This is the first year that Nina Harris received a School Improvement Rating based on students FAA Scores. The school has been rated as Improving in both Reading and Math. FAA scores show that 49% of the students made reading gains in 2012 compared to 29% of the students in 2011. FAA scores show that 59% of the students made math gains in 2012 compared to 27%

#### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading and Math	Cindy Rekort	Emotionally Handicapped K-12	3	3	This is the first year that Nina Harris received a School Improvement Rating based on students FAA Scores. The school has been rated as Improving in both Reading and Math. FAA scores show that 49% of the students made reading gains in 2012 compared to 29% of the students in 2011. FAA scores show that 59% of the students made math gains in 2012 compared to 27%

### **Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

D	escription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	Pinellas County School District has processes in place which assures all schools recruit and retain high quality and highly qualified teachers. Nina Harris interviews all prospective candidates by a team comprised of teachers from which the grade level is being filled. First year teachers are paired with a mentor.	Pinellas County Schools District Human Resource Dept. Principal, Assist. Principal	On-going
2.	When filling a position for a specific exceptionality, SVE, PVE, ASD, etc. Nina Harris looks for candidates that have experience in working with students with those disabilities.	Principal, Assist. Principal	On-going

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
Nina Harris currently has two staff members teaching out of field.	Currently enrolled in classes to become certified in ASD.

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
44	(4) 9.09%	(5) 11.36%	(10) 22.73%	(25) 56.82%	(8) 18.18%		(2) 4.55%	(4) 9.09%	(7) 15.91%

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Vicki Rosado	Lauren Gentry	Familiar with ASD students, the TEACCH program and has been to ULS training. Knowledge of ESE paperwork, trained Mentor	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons

Julie Bush	Christopher Otto	Classroom teacher; knowledge of ESE paperwork and has experience with SVE students.	with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student
Vicki Rosado	Diana Hinkley	Knowledge of ESE paperwork, trained Mentor	progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching lessons
Julie Bush	Susan McCafferty	Classroom teacher; knowledge of ESE paperwork and has experience with PVE	

### **Additional Requirements**

#### Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I. Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

#### Title I, Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

#### Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

#### Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

#### Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

#### Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs

#### **Nutrition Programs**

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

**Housing Programs** 

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

#### School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Administration, Guidance Counselor, Social Worker, School Psychologist, InD Reading/Literacy Coach, Behavior Specialist, ESE Teachers, Nurse, Specialists

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- -Facilitator generates agenda and leads team discussions
- -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
- -Technology Specialist brokers technology necessary to manage and display data
- -Recorder/Note Taker documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access
- -Time Keeper -helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time: Weekly

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The purpose of the school based leadership team is to apply the 4 Step Problem Solving model for students in the Tier 1 and Tier 2 levels.

The Child Study Team is incorporated in the MTSS Leadership Team to discuss and determine the causes of student absences and if needed, initiate referrals to Hospital Homebound Services.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Unique Learning System will be used to analyze pre and post testing of students. FAA data from the state will be used to analyze students who are on the cusp of moving up a performance level in reading and in math.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff will be trained on action steps identified in the Action Plans of the SIP. See the professional development section for details.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The SBLT will meet weekly to address the action steps on the SIP by analyzing school wide and individual student data. The resulting data will be shared with staff and parents on a monthly basis. In addition, student attendance will be analyzed on a monthly basis.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

#### School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Administration, Guidance Counselor, Social Worker, School Psychologist, InD Reading/Literacy Coach, Behavior Specialist, ESE Teachers, Nurse, Specialists

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
  - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
  - o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
  - o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
  - Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
  - providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

#### **Public School Choice**

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

<u> </u>	ding Goal		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysi and reference to "Gu define areas in need of	s of student ac	hievement data, as", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	8	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
1a.FCAT 2.0: Stude Achievement Level Reading Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	dents scoring 13 in read 2012 Current Level of	ing.	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	learning and learning goals in each lesson	teacher	1a.1.  Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson			
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background	1a.2. Walkthrough		

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.  Reading Goal #1b:   2012 Current   Level of   Performance:*   Performance:*     Performance:*	1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	AP who evaluates teacher		1b.2. Walkthrough
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
	0 . 1 11			9		D 1 700 1	
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guid define areas in need of	ding Questions",	identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Stude	ents scoring a			2a.1.	-	2a.1.	2a.1.
AchievementLevels	s 4 and 5 in r	caume.	Lack of differentiation of	Provide formative assessments to	AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	Walkthrough
Improve current level of	Level of         L           Performance:*         P           #N/A         I           (#N/A)         I	013Expected Level of	instruction	inform differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	
			2a.2.	2a.2.		2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction		AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough	

		1	Т	1		T	
performance	2%	Increase				*Teachers facilitate effective	
		level 7 by				classroom activities and tasks	
		5%				that elicit evidence of learning	
		5%				*Teachers collect both formal	
						and informal data regarding	
						students' learning and provide	
						Students learning and provide	
						feedback regularly to students	
						regarding their personal	
						progress throughout the lesson	
						cycle	
						*Teachers utilize data to modify	
						and adjust teaching practices	
						and to reflect on the needs and	
						progress of students aligned to	
			01. 0	21.2	21. 2	FAA access points	01.0
			2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis	of student achie	wamant data	Anticipated Barrier	Stratagy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
and reference to "Gui			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Strategy	Evaluation 1001
						Strategy	
define areas in need of		r the following			Monitoring		
	group:					2	
3a. FCAT 2.0: Per				3a.1.		3a.1.	3a.1.
making Learning	Gains in rea	ding.		Differentiate	AP who evaluates		School Summary of observation
8		9	engagement	Instruction	teacher	by student interests, cultural	section of teacher appraisal results
Reading Goal #3a:	2012 Current	2013Expected				background, prior knowledge of	
Reading Goal #3a.	Level of	Level of				content, and skill level	IPI data when available
	Performance:*					*Content materials are appropriately	
improve current lever or							State instructional walkthrough
performance	pending	100%					when applicable
							when аррисавіе
						and specific learning needs)	
1						*Models, examples and questions	
						are appropriately scaffolded to meet	
						the needs of diverse learners	
						*Teachers provide small group	
						instruction to target specific learning	
						needs.	
						*These small groups are flexible and	
						*These small groups are flexible and	
						change with the content, project and	
						change with the content, project and assessments	
						change with the content, project and	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.
Level	making Learning  Current 2013Expected Level of Performance:*		Differentiate	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IEP data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.		3b.2.
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance  pending  100%		4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.  4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing	
	4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	student success in core courses  4a.3.	4a.3.

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment:  Percentage of students in Lowest 25' making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #4b: Improve current level of performance  Performance:*  Performance:*  Performance:*  75% of our lowest 25% of students make learning gains.	differentiation of instruction <u>spected</u> <u>instruction</u> <u>nance:*</u>			4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
	46.3	40.3.	40.3.	40.3.	40.3.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Baseline data 2010-2011 Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.			14	86	28	43
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy		valuation Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes		& Walkthrough

						varying degrees of difficulty.	
performance	White:57 71 Black: 86 100 Hispanic: #N/A #N/A Asian: #N/A American Indian: #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of all subgroups to make a learning gain Increase proficiency of all subgroups by 10%					
	#N/A						
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis reference to "Guidin areas in need of improv	g Questions", ic	lentify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Langu making satisfactor Reading Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	y progress i 2012 Current Level of		Lack of		5c.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
				5C.2.	5C.2.	1	5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis reference to "Guiding areas in need of improv	g Questions", i	dentify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with I	Disabilities	(SWD)not		5d.1.	5d.1.		5d.1.
making satisfactory Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	Current Level of Performan ce:* See reading data all students have	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*  100% of all SWD students to make a learning	differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
SE. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance  S8% of loo% of economically disadvantaged students made progress  Making satisfactory progress in reading.  2012 Current Level of Performance:*  S8% of loo% of economically disadvantaged students made progress  Making satisfactory progress in reading.  Level of Performance:*  Performance:*  National Students in proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate	AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	5E.2.		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

## **Reading Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities								
	Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Pilot Lesson Study PLC	PreK-12	Instructional Coach Trained Facilitaors	Pilot Group Members	Aug. 2012 – May 2013	Lessons from Lesson Study	Instructional Coach			
Grade Level PLCs	PreK-12 Transition	Group Leader	All instruction staff	Aug. 2012 – May 2013	Minutes	Assistant Principal			
ULS	Prek-12, Transition	Instructional Coach	Case Managers	Pre-school Training and on going	ULS Implementation	Instructional Coach			
Gradual Release Model of Instruction	PreK- 12	Instructional Coach	All instructional staff	First Semester	Walk-through data	Principal Assistant Principal			
Data Chats	K-12, Transition	Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Coach		4 times a year	Review of minutes	Principal Assistant Principal			

n

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Treating Dauger (Insert to We are				
Include only school funded activities/r	naterials and exclude district funded activities	s/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Alignment of Access Points to core curriculum	Unique Learning System	FLEX (paid)	0	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Provide students with auditory reinforcement of high frequency word and vocabulary	Califone Card Readers	NA	0	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Lesson Study PLC	Learning Lesson Study Course Teaching Materials	Provided free		
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
	nderstand spoken English at grade lar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficion CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance  Number CELLA tested: #N/A	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:  #N/A #N/A	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	*Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction  *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough	
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

-						
		1.3.	1.3.		needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. I.3.	1.3.
	e level text in a manner similar to students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	ent in Reading.  2012 Current Percent of Students  Proficient in Reading:	Insufficient standard				2.2. Walkthrough
			2.2.	2.2.		2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

	Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance			3.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3.1.	
					explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
		<ul><li>2.2.</li><li>2.3</li></ul>	2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3		2.2. 2.3

### **CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

OZZZII Z G G (MISTI				
Include only school-based fu	inded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	laterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>	·	•	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>,</u>		•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CELLA Goals

## **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

<b>Elementary Mathematics Goals</b>			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		tify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Mathematics Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	3 in mathema  2012 Current  Level of  Performance:*		1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction			*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1 1 3 1 1			1a.2. Walkthrough		

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

1b. Florida Alterna scoring at Levels 4,  Mathematics Goal #1b:  Improve current level of performance	5, and 6 in m	nt: Students	standard based instruction  1b.2. Insufficient	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher  1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher	Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks. Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks.  1b.2.  Determine:  *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate  *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.  *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results 1b.2. Walkthrough
			1b.2.	lb.2.	1b.2.	*Explicit Instruction; Modeled	1b.2.

			1	1	1		
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	uestions", identi	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Studen AchievementLevels			2a.1. Lack of differentiation of	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform	2a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2a.1. Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #2a:	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*	instruction	differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction	
Improve current level of #N performance		Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%	2a.2.	2a.2.		*Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students 2a.2.	2a.2.
	2	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. 2b1. Determine: Walk *Teachers regularly assess	2b1. Walkthrough	
Mathematics Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:*	instruction	differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks	
performance		level 7 by 5%				that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		2b2. 2	2b.2.		and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of studentsaligned to FAA access points 2b.2.	2b.2.
		2b.3 2	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
reference to "Guiding Que	udent achievement data, and estions", identify and define ent for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Learning Gains in mat  Mathematics Goal #3a:	age of students making thematics.    012 Current   2013 Expected   Level of   Performance:*     ending	3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results  IPI data when available  State instructional walkthrough when applicable

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a3.	or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.  3a.2.	3a.2. 3a.3.
#3b:  Level of Performance:* Performance: 100 students   100 stude	Lack of student engagement  3Expected rel of formance:*  0% of dents will like rning	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction  3b.2.	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.  *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments  *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Lowest 25% making mathematics.	4a.FCAT 2.0:Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.		4a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:* pending	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  100% of students will make a learning gain				prior knowledge of content, and skill level  *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)  *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.  *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments  *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
				4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	a sufficient number and variety	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	*Effectiveness of intervention	
						courses are evaluated by	
						reviewing student success in	
						core courses	
			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3
4b. Florida Alternat	te Assessment	:	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
Percentage of stude				Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates	Content materials are	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
making learning gai			of instruction		teacher	differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected				prior knowledge of content,	
<u>#4b:</u>	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*	Level of Performance:*				and skill level	
	_	100% of				*Content materials are	
Improve current level of performance						appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	
r		students will make a				learners (learning readiness	
		learning				and specific learning needs)	
	learning gains	_				*Models, examples and	
	learning gams	gaiii				questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of	
						diverse learners *Teachers	
						provide small group instruction	
						to target specific learning	
						needs.	
						*These small groups are flexible and change with the	
						content, project and	
						assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to demonstrate	
						or express knowledge and understanding in different	
						ways, which includes varying	
						degrees of difficulty.	
				4b.2.	4ab.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.
				Create intervention that	SBLT		Evidence of core teachers
				support core instructional goals and objectives		a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	communicating and
			varying needs of	g-= and oxjoom.oo		*Intervention and core	planning;
			students across			teachers communicate and	Lesson Plans &
			academic and			plan together regularly	Walkthroughs
			engagement areas			*Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional	
						goals/objectives	
L			l .		1	13 31 0 2 3 0 0 11 0 0	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	<u> </u>					
				*Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses		
	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluat	ion Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5B:    Mathematics Goal   Level of   Performance:*   Performance:*	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction		5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level	5b.1. Lesson Plans Walkthrough	&

Improve current level of performance	White: 14.00  Black: 21 43  Hispanic: 36 28  Asian: 50 57  American Indian: #N/A 57	100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction			*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improven	Questions", iden	tify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Mathematics Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	progress in 2 2012 Current Level of	mathematics.		5c.1. Differentiate Instruction		Content materials are	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			<u> </u>				
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding C areas in need of improver	Questions", identi	ify and define	5C.2. 5C.3. Anticipated Barrier	5C.2. 5C.3. Strategy	5C.2. 5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3. Evaluation Tool
-			5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.		5d.1.
5D. Students with Dimaking satisfactory  Mathematics Goal  #5D:  Improve current level of performance	progress in m 2012 Current Level of Performance:* All of our students have	, 2 ) 2200		Differentiate Instruction  5D.2.	AP who evaluates teacher  5D.2.	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
<u> </u>						•	

Based on the analysis or reference to "Guiding areas in need of improve	Questions", identify	y and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>SE. Economically D making satisfactory</b> Mathematics Goal  #5E:  Improve current level of performance	progress in ma 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 80% of our economically disadvantaged students make progress	athematics.  2013Expected Level of Performance:*  100% of Economical ly		Differentiate Instruction	teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
					5E.2		5E.2
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Profes	sional Devel	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies to Please note that each Strategy does no		Learning Community (PLC ent or PLC activity.	) or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Gradual Release Model	PreK-12	Instructional Coach	All instructional staff	First Semester.	Walk-through data	Principal Assistant Principal
Lesson Plan Study	ALL	Instructional Coach Trained Facilitators	Pilot Group Members	Aug. 2012-May 2013	Minutes	Instructional Coach

Include only school-based funded act	tivities/materials and exclude district funded ac	tivities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s	s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Alignment of access points to core curriculum	Unique Learning System	FLEX paid	0	
Provide students with different modalities of instruction	Touchmath kits, calculators	Paid	0	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Lesson Study PLC	Learning Lesson Study Course Teaching Materials	Provided free		
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:

2012-2013School Im	provement Plan	(SIP)-Form	SIP-1
--------------------	----------------	------------	-------

Total:

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

### Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra	EOC Goals	S	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
"Guiding Questions", identify and	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.			1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and			1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans	
Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Decrease level 1 and 2 By 10%		learning goals in each lesson		standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it		
						*Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson		
				1a.2. Implement High Yield	1a.2. AP who evaluates	1a.2. Determine:	1a.2. Walkthrough	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

h	h , , , , , , , , ,	I	+1 6	T
based instruction	Instructional Strategies	teacher	*Lesson focuses on	
			essential learning	
			objectives and goals by	
			specifically stating the	
			purpose for learning,	
			lesson agenda and	
			expected outcomes	
			*Student readiness for	
			learning occurs by	
			connecting instructional	
			objectives and goals to	
			students' background	
			knowledge, interests, and	
			personal goals, etc.	
			*Explicit Instruction;	
			Modeled Instruction;	
			Guided Practice with	
			Teacher Support and	
			Feedback; Guided Practice	
			with Peer Support and	
			Feedback; and	
			Independent Practice	
			occur	
			occui	
1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.
	Increase instructional	AP who evaluates		Walkthrough
based instruction		teacher	Teachers provide	Teacher Appraisal
based instruction	rigor	teacriei		
				Results
			aligned with the cognitive	
			complexity levels of	
			standards and	
			benchmarks	
			The cognitive complexity	
			of models, examples,	
			questions, tasks, and	
			assessments are	
			appropriate given the	
			cognitive complexity level	
			of grade-level standards	
			and benchmarks	
			Students are provided	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement data areas in ne for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement data areas in ne for the following group:	eed of improvement	Anticipated Barrier  2b.1. Lack of	Strategy 2b.1. Provide formative	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 2b.1. AP who evaluates	with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 2b.1. Determine:	Evaluatio 2b1. Walkthrough	n Tool
Algebra Goal #2:  Level of  Improve current level of  performance  2012 Current  Performance:*  #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%	differentiation of instruction	assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	teacher	*Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction  *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning  *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle  *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points		
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Mea (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target	asurable Objectives	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Algebra Goal #3A: Improve current level of performan	Baseline data 20 2011-2						#N/A	# <b>N</b> / <b>A</b>
"Guiding Questions", identify an	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluatio	on Tool
3B. Student subgroups by Hispanic, Asian, American I progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3B:  Improve current level of performan	Indian) <b>not makin</b> 2012 Current  Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*		5b.1. Differentiate Instruction				&

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		:				the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
							3B.2.
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	3B.3.
Based on the analysis of student ac "Guiding Questions", identify and de for the followir	efine areas in need		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learne	ers (ELL) not	making	5c.1.	5c.1.	5c.1.	5c.1.	5c.1.
satisfactory progress in Algeb	ra.			Differentiate Instruction			Lesson Plans &
Algebra Goal #3C: Improve current level of performance	Level of Performance:*	20125 1	differentiation of instruction			differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments	Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	T			1	1	1	1
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to	
						demonstrate or express	
						knowledge and	
						understanding in different	
						ways, which includes	
						varying degrees of	
						difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	3C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student ac			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
"Guiding Questions", identify and de-		of improvement			Responsible for Monitoring		
for the following						Strategy	
3D. Students with Disabilities	(SWD) not m	B	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.
satisfactory progress in Algeb	ra.		Lack of	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates	Content materials are	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			differentiation of		teacher	differentiated by student	
Algebra Goal #3D:			instruction			interests, cultural	
	<u>Level of</u>	<u>Level of</u>				background, prior	
Improve current level of performance	Performance:*	Performance:*				knowledge of content, and	
		100% of all				skill level	
		SWD students				*Content materials are	
		to make a				appropriately scaffolded to	
		learning gain				meet the needs of diverse	
		learning gain				learners (learning	
						readiness and specific	
		Increase				learning needs)	
		proficiency of				*Models, examples and	
		SWD students				questions are	
		by 10%				appropriately scaffolded to	
		by 1070				meet the needs of diverse	
						learners *Teachers	
						provide small group	
						instruction to target	
						specific learning needs.	
						*These small groups are	
						flexible and change with	
						the content, project and	
						assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to	
						demonstrate or express	
					1	demonstrate or express	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	knowledge understand ways, whic varying deq difficulty. 5D.2.	ling in different h includes grees of	3D.2. 3D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
3E. Economically Disadvanta satisfactory progress in Algel  Algebra Goal #3E:  Improve current level of performance	_		Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1.  Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacl	her	Content	5e.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		1		needs)	I
				*Models,	
				examples and	
				questions are	
				appropriately	
				scaffolded to	
				meet the	
				needs of	
				diverse	
				learners	
				*Teachers	
				provide small	
				group	
				instruction to	
				target specific	
				learning needs.	
				*These small	
				groups are	
				flexible and	
				change with	
				the content,	
				project and	
				assessments	
				*Students are	
				provided	
				opportunities	
				to demonstrate	
				or express	
				knowledge and	
				understanding	
				in different	
				ways, which	
				includes	
				varying	
				degrees of	
				difficulty.	
	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	3E.2.
	51.2	51.2	35.2	51.2	J.L.L.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3		3E.3
	51.3	52.5	22.0		52.5

End of Algebra EOC Goals

### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students scor 3 in science.  Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	, 01110110 210 ; 01	based instruction	purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

-		_			<del>-</del>
				*Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction	Results
1b.Florida Alternate Assessment:Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.  Science Goal #1b:  Improve current level of performance  Decrease the number of level 1,2, and 3	1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1.	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson  1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student a "Guiding Questions", identifing improvement for the	fy and define area	s in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students sco Achievement Levels 4 and Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance		2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%	of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	2b1. Walkthrough
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.

		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
Science Godi #20.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

# **Science Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	T I Grade I I Person or Position Responsib							

Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Science Duaget(insert fows as				
Include only school-based funded act	ivities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s	s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Alignment of access points to core curriculum	Unique Learning System	FLEX paid	0	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Science Fair	online resource guide	Free online resources	0	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Science Goals

# **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	riting Goals			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	
"Guiding Questions"	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT:Students and higher in writin Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance		2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher		
			Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	AP who evaluates teacher		Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:*  Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students	1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction		1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1.	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

Profess	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
Pilot Lesson Study PLC	PreK-12	Instructional Coach Trained Facilitaors	Pilot Group Members	Aug. 2012 – May 2013	Lessons from Lesson Study	Instructional Coach				
Grade Level PLCs	PreK-12 Transition	Group Leader	All instruction staff	Aug. 2012 – May 2013	Minutes	Assistant Principal				
ULS	Prek-12, Transition	Instructional Coach	Case Managers	Pre-school Training and on going	ULS Implementation	Instructional Coach				

Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activi	ties/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Alignment to access points to our core curriculum	Unique Learning System	FLEX paid	0	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
See reading goals	See reading goals			
			•	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
See reading goals	See reading goals			
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:

Total:

## **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Atte	Attendance Goal(s)			Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of a Questions", identify an			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Improve current level of performance  144 of Nina Harris students missed 10 or more days. Nina Harris students have significant medical problems which require extended periods of absences.  Some students lack basic needs due to family financial situations.	Attendance Rate:*  89.3 (262 students) 2012 Current Number of Studentswith Excessive Absences (10 or more) 144 students  2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 3 students	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*	Many students are medically fragile and are susceptible to becoming ill which causes them to miss more school than the average neuro typical child.		1.1. SBLT	1.1. Number of referrals to Hospital Homebound	1.1. Number of referrals	
			such as shelter, food, clothing are lacking.	parent via phone or home to determine what services may be needed to have the student return to school.	1.2. SBLT	1.2. Social Worker contact sheet	1.2. Number of referrals and reports.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)  Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring  Person or Position Respons Monitoring										
Process of Hospital Homebound Referrals	PreK-12	Guidance Counselor	Case Managers	On-going	land anrolled in Hospital	Guidance Counselor Child Study Team				

## Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded acti	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

### End of Attendance Goals

### **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<u> </u>	pension Goal(s)	percentage	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ecrease Suspension	
Based on the analysis of s	uspension data, and reference to "Guiding d define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance  Nina Harris only utilizes Out of School Suspensions when an incident is a safety issue and repeated incidents have occurred despite interventions.  Three students were suspended during the 2011-2012 school year.	2012Total Number of n—School Suspensions  0 10% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of 2013 Expected Students Suspended In—School  0 10% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In—School  0 10% decrease from prior year  2012Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  3 10% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions  3 10% decrease from prior year  2012Total Number of 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School 3 10% decrease from prior year	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
		1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.

**Suspension Professional Development** 

buspension 1 Tor	0001011011 = 0	· oropinion										
Profess	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity											
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Participants (e.g., Farly Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)  Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)												
CHAMPS refresher	PreK-12	District Personnel	Instructional and Support Staff	Early Release Day	Lesson Plans and Classroom Management Plan will reflect CHAMPS principles	Principal Assistant Principal						
Review monthly behavior data with SBLT and staff to note behavior trends	PreK-12	Behavior Specialist Assistant Principal	All staff	Monthly	Minutes will reflect review of behavior data	Behavior Specialist						
C D1	4.7	1 1	•		·							

**Suspension Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

	as needed)			
Include only school-based funded activi	ities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Clearly defined procedures for activities will decrease behavior incidents	CHAMPS	N/A		
will decrease behavior incidents		I		Subtotal: 0
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal: 0
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Use of Non-Violent Crisis Intervention	CPI Workbooks	School Based Funds		
will reduce or de-escalate student behavior				
Refresher course on CHAMPS	CHAMPS Materials			
		·	<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Suspension Goals

**Dropout Prevention Goal(s)** Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Dropout Prevention  Dropout Prevention Goal #1:  *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.  Improve current level of performance  Dropout Rate:*  2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal	1.1.	1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal		
One student dropped out due to medical reasons.  1 student .3% from prior year  2012 Current Graduation Rate:*  pending Improve rate from prior year							
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

## **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

#### **Dropout Prevention Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based f	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/I	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35))

when using percentages, merude the number of s	tudents the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (33)).
Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement

			Anticipated Barrier				
"Guiding Questions", identi	Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
through the number of parents who participate in the IEP process for their child. A total of 182 parents participated in development of their child's IEP during the 2011-2012 school year compared to 165 parents during the 2010-2011 school year.	age of parents ties, duplicate	who	Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to	school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational			1.1. Sign in sheets for school wide activities Newsletter articles Teacher Contact Log
Attendance in the 2011 Back to School Night increased by a total of 61 attendees from the previous			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
year. 2010 had a total of 174 and 2011 had a total of 235 attendees.  A total of 234 parents/family members attended school-wide activites during the 2011-2012 school year.			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

]	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /T and/or PLC Fo	1	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

2012-2013School Ir	iprovement Plan	(SIP)-Form	SIP-1
--------------------	-----------------	------------	-------

Parent Involvement I	Buaget			
Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

#### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)). **June 2012** Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
increase student Main & Science scores.		Reintroduce Science Fair and	Instructional Coach, AP, Principal	# of students involved in	1.1. # of students # of projects.

**STEM Professional Development** 

DILLIII I TOTOBBIO							
Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

BIENI Buaget (Insert 10 ws as new	,			
Include only school-based funded activ	rities/materials and exclude district fund	ed activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		<u> </u>		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

			•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentages, include the number of s	students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (33)).
CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement.			Monitoring	Strategy	
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

# **CTE Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

	,				
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.					
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		
			Subtotal		

Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•		Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

## Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Additional Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected		Failure to form a Healthy	Program 6 Step Processonline	1.1. Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education	1.1. Completion of 6 <sup>th</sup> Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	1.1. Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
Bronze Health Invente Meetir Level o School Invente Level o School Invente Meetir Level o School Invente	a Options Set A: ons): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory		n.org/	teacher, cafeteria manager, classroom teacher, & Behavior Specialist		

	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

### **Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus  Grade Level/Subject  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible for Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)											

### Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fun	ded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•	•	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>	·	•	Subtotal:

Other							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
			Subtotal:				
			Total:				

#### Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal: Black Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student achievement	2012 Current Level:* Reading level 3 and above:100 (86)	2013 Expected Level :*	of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	Monitoring 1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough		
						*Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and			

				understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **Additional MOU Goals Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity  Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules										
See Reading Goals											

#### Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				C-lasa-l
D C ' 1D 1				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:

Other							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
			Subtotal:				
			Total:				

#### Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
•	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
There will be an increase in black student engagement	2012 Current Level:*  Blacks students received 17% of behavior calls. Black students account for 20% of our student	2013 Expected Level :*  Decrease the percent of	Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	Suspension Number of Students		
	_		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

#### **Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development**

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	made destrictions (materials and explands district from	adad activities (mastarials		
-	nded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	1			Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			I	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>			Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	,	1		Subtotal:
				Total:

# Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			1	Problem-Solving P	<u> </u>	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of sch areas in need of	nool data, identify of improvement:	and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Additional Goal: Black Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student graduation rate	2012 Current Level :* 100% of all our black students graduated	2013 Expected Level :*	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data- based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly	1.1. Increase in black graduation rate
			1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	conducted 1.2.	1.2.

## Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profess	sional Develo		aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not		Learning Community (PLC) at or PLC activity.	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

## $Additional\ MOU\ Goal(s)\ Budget\ ({\tt Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded activ	rities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

2012-2013School	<b>Improvement Plan</b>	(SIP)-Form SIP-1
-----------------	-------------------------	------------------

Subtotal:		
Total:		

### Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

vinen asing percentage	es, merade m	e mannoer or a	I	represents next to the po	<u> </u>	` //	
Addition	al Goal(s)			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	
3	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black	1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Additional Goal #1:  There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :* N/A		1.2.	1.2.		Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	sional Develo		aligned with Strategies t		Learning Community (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

## $Additional\ MOU\ Goal(s)\ Budget\ ({\tt Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			<u>'</u>	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	•	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>	·	·	Subtotal:

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1	
	Total:
End of Additional Goal(s)	
Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:
Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	

Total:

Total:

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

**Mathematics Budget** 

**CELLA Budget** 

	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

#### **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

#### ${\bf 2012\text{-}2013School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

School Differentiated Accountability Status			
Priority	Focus	Prevent	

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

#### **School Advisory Council (SAC)**

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

x Yes	□ No
If No, describe the me	easures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

#### Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

The SAC will review school performance data for both academic and behavior and monitor the School Improvement Plan for implementation quarterly at a minimum. The SAC will work with the principal on school issues and problem solve solutions that may impact student achievement and the implementation of the SIP.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Due to budget constraints SAC did not receive any school improvement funds for this school year.	