FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name:3851San Jose Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Monika Wolcott	Superintendent:John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Jamie Medure	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Monika Wolcott	MA Ed. Leadership, MA VE, Elementary Ed	6	8	San Jose 2011-2012: Grade B, Reading Mastery 56%; Annual Learning Gains 63%; Lowest 25% - 74%, Math Mastery 52%; Annual Learning Gains 63%; Lowest 25% - 59%, Writing Mastery 87%, Science Mastery 46%
Assistant Principal	Karen Vargus	MA Ed. Leadership, MA VE, Elementary Ed.	6	6	San Jose 2011-2012: Grade B, Reading Mastery 56%; Annual Learning Gains 63%; Lowest 25% - 74%, Math Mastery 52%;

	Annual Learning Gains 63%; Lowest 25% - 59%, Writing Mastery 87%, Science Mastery 46%
--	---

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as anInstructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	Regular meetings with teachers new to Pinellas County Schools	EDGE Mentor	On-Going
2.	Prior to the start of school, all new hires are given training reviewing policies, introducing guidelines and reviewing strategies and best practices	Human Resources	8/31/2012
3.	Leadership Opportunities	Principal	8/31/2012
4.	Lastinger Inquiry Projects	Inquiry Coaches	8/31/2012

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
3	Teachers are engaged in University and District classes towards certification.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
38	0.0	13.16	26.32	60.53	31.58	92.0	2.63	7.89	31.58

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Jackie Davis	Andrea Scott	EDGE Mentor, experienced teacher with coaching experience	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing
Jackie Davis	Brandi Brayboy	EDGE Mentor, experienced teacher with coaching experience	feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting
			lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student

2012-2013School In	nprovement Plan	(SIP)-Form	SIP-1
--------------------	-----------------	------	--------	-------

	progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching
	lessons

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I. Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

Title I, Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

Housing Programs

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Administration; School Psychologist; Social Worker; Educational Diagnostician; Guidance Counselor; Teachers; ESE Teachers; Behavior Specialist

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- -Facilitator generates agenda and leads team discussions
- -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
- -Technology Specialist brokers technology necessary to manage and display data
- -Recorder/Note Taker documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access
- -Time Keeper -helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time:

Every Tuesday per agenda

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS team progress monitors all students at San Jose, particularly those tier 2 and 3 students. Team meets with teachers as needed to discuss those students being progress monitored and the need for altered instruction or assessment.

MTSS Implementation

 $Describe \ the \ data \ source(s) \ and \ the \ data \ management \ system(s) \ used \ to \ summarize \ data \ at each \ tier \ for \ reading, \ mathematics, \ science, \ writing, \ and \ behavior.$

Academic Data: EDS, PMRN, Aims Web, FCAT, FAIR Assessments Behavior Data: Portal and School Based general behavior screenings.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Data overview during preschool training. Monthly PLC meetings and Common Core Professional Development ongoing throughout the year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

MTSS weekly meetings with administrator's presence. Necessary information is shared with faculty during PLCs, faculty meetings or meetings created by the SBLT team.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Administrators, Grade level team leaders for K-5th, Specialists and ASD.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
 - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
 - o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
 - o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
 - o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
 - o Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parentsin the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Read	ding Goals	s	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Improve current level of performance	13 in reading 2012 Current Level of Performance:* (23%)	2013Expected Level of	1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans	
			1a.2. Insufficient	1a.2. Implement High Yield	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2. Walkthrough	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	standard based instruction	Instructional Strategies	evaluates teacher	*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	Evidence of:	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013Expected Level of Performance:* 2	1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1b.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1b.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			lb.2.		1b.2.	*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur 1b.2.	1b.2. 1b.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Gui define areas in need of	ding Questions'	', identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of	ents scoring s 4 and 5 in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 31% (68)	2013Expected Level of		Provide formative	Administrator who evaluates teacher		2a.1. Walkthrough
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3

2b. Florida Alterna scoring at or above Reading Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	Level 7 in 1 2012 Current Level of		2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points 2b.2.	2b1. Walkthrough
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis and reference to "Gui define areas in need of	ding Questions'	', identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.		3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.		3a.3.
			34.3.	3a.3.	34.3.	545.	3a.3.
Improve current level of	ents making 2012 Current Level of	Learning 2013Expected Level of	3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.		3b.2.
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

and reference to "Guid define areas in need of	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Lowest 25% makin reading. Reading Goal #4a: Improve current level of	entage of students in ag learning gains in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 69% (22) 100%		4a.1. Differentiate Instruction		4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4b: Level of Improve current level of performance:* N/A 100%		4b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction		4b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes	
		4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	varying degrees of difficulty. 4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

	4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	83	87	90	93	<mark>97</mark>	100
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Ev	raluation Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5b.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate	5b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in		Walkthrough

				I		Tues	
						different ways, which includes	
						varying degrees of difficulty.	
Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
_	Level of	Level of					
Improve current level of	Performance:*	Performance:*					
performance	White:100	100% of all					
	84%	subgroups to					
	Black:	make a					
	1	learning					
	1%	gain					
	Hispanic: 8	Increase					
	7%	proficiency					
		of all					
	Asian:	subgroups					
	2 2%	by 10%					
	270						
	American						
	Indian:						
	0 0%						
	0%						
			5D.0	5D 0	5D 0		5D 0
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis	of student achi	evement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
and reference to "Gui	ding Questions'	', identify and	Timespaced Barrier	Sumogy	Responsible for	Strategy	Evaluation 1001
define areas in need of	improvement for	or the following			Monitoring		
	ubgroup:						
5C. English Langu					5c.1.		5c.1.
making satisfactor			Lack of differentiation of		Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Reading Goal #5C:		2013Expected	instruction	instruction		background, prior knowledge of	
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*				content, and skill level	
Improve current level of performance						*Content materials are appropriately	
performance	0% (0)	100% of				scaffolded to meet the needs of	
		ELL				diverse learners (learning readiness	
		students to				and specific learning needs)	
		make a				*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the	
		learning gain				needs of diverse learners *Teachers	
		An increase				provide small group instruction to	
		in				target specific learning needs.	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

<u> </u>					
proficiency by 10%	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5C.2.	5C.2.
	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.		5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the followin subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.
making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance 2012	n	Differentiate Instruction	Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

SE. Economically Disadvantaged stude not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance Performance 2012 Current Level of Performanc Performanc e:* pending 100% of economic disadvand d student will learn gain An increasin profici by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction instruction ally age ang as	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	5E.2. 5E.3	5E.2 5E.3	5E.2. 5E.3		5E.2. 5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities										
	Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC socus PD Facilitator (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring for M											

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	,			
Include only school funded a	activities/materials and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increase	e Language Acquisition	
	nderstand spoken English at grade lar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring proficion CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: 20	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 20% 4	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					*Models, examples and	
					questions are appropriately	
					scaffolded to meet the	
					needs of diverse learners	
					*Teachers provide small	
					group instruction to target	
					specific learning needs.	
					*These small groups are	
					flexible and change with the	
					content, project and	
					assessments	
					*Students are provided	
					opportunities to	
					demonstrate or express	
					knowledge and	
					understanding in different	
					ways, which includes	
					varying degrees of difficulty.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		1.3.
	e level text in a manner similar to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
non-ELI	students.			Responsible for	Effectiveness of	
				Monitoring	Strategy	
2. Students scoring profici	ent in Reading.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.						
	_	Insufficient standard	Implement High Yield	Administrator who	Determine:	Walkthrough
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students	Insufficient standard based instruction	Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	Administrator who evaluates teacher	*Lesson focuses on essential	Walkthrough
	_					Walkthrough
	2012 Current Percent of Students				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating	Walkthrough
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and	Walkthrough
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning,	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	, and the second
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction;	
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided	
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher	
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback;	
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer	
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and	
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer	
CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:				*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	

	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade ELL st	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of	 based instruction	Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
	2.2. 2.3	2.2.2.3	2.2. 2.3		2.2. 2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•	•	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary N	Aathemati	cs Goals		Problem-Solvii	ng Process to Increas	se Student Achievement	
reference to "Guiding	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
#1a: Improve current level of	in mathema 2012 Current Level of		1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
		1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and	1a.2. Walkthrough	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	Evidence of:	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
5, and 6 in mat 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Pe 33% D	1b.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher		1b.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a: Improve current level of Performance:* 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 24% Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%		Ib.2. Ib.3. Anticipated Barrier 2a.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	Ib.2. Strategy 2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 2a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	*Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly	Ib.3. Evaluation Tool 2a.1. Walkthrough	
			2a.2. 2a.3	2a.2. 2a.3		to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students 2a.2.	2a.2. 2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	Administrator who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough	

Improve current level of performance	0%	Increase in level 7 by 5%				*Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of studentsaligned to FAA access points	
			2b.3 2b	0.2.	2b.2. 2b.3	2b.3	2b.2. 2b.3
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	Questions", idention ement for the foll	fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percer Learning Gains in management of Mathematics Goal #3a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 61% (78)		Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

-							
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3a.2.	3a.2.
			Ja.2.	Ja.2.	34.2.	Ja.2.	Ja.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
3b. Florida Alternate of students making L mathematics.	earning Gair	ns in	3b.1. Lack of student engagement	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	3b.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results
#3b:	Level of Performance:* 25% (1)	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of students will make learning gains	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable 3b.2.
			30.2.	30.2.	30.2.	50.2.	30.2.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of	student achievem	nent data, and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Q			•		for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	
areas in need of improve						Strategy	
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percent		100 111	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.
Lowest 25% making	learning gain	ns in	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
mathematics.			of instruction			interentiated by student interests, cultural background,	Walktillougii
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected				prior knowledge of content, and	
<u>#4a:</u>		Level of Performance:*				skill level	
						*Content materials are	
Improve current level of performance	` /	100% of				appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	
u ·		students will				learners (learning readiness	
		make a				and specific learning needs)	
		learning gain				*Models, examples and	
						questions are appropriately	
						scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers	
						provide small group instruction	
						to target specific learning	
						needs.	
						*These small groups are	
						flexible and change with the	
						content, project and assessments	
						*Students are provided	
						opportunities to demonstrate or	
						express knowledge and	
						understanding in different	
						ways, which includes varying	
			4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	degrees of difficulty. 4a.2.	4a.2.
			Insufficient	Greate intervention that	SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a	
				support core instructional		sufficient number and variety of	
			exist to address the	goals and objectives		intervention courses	communicating and
			varying needs of			*Intervention and core teachers	
			students across			communicate and plan together	
			academic and engagement areas			regularly *Intervention curriculum is	Walkthroughs
			ongagement areas			aligned with core instructional	
						goals/objectives	
						*Core content materials and	
						subject matter are integrated	
						within intervention courses	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						*Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses	
			4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3
4b. Florida Alternat	o A ggoggment	Donaontogo	4h 1	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.
of students in Lowes gains in mathematic Mathematics Goal #4b:	st 25% making s. 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	g learning	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
						ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		<u> </u>	41.0	41.0	4 1 0		41.0
			Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	*SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses	and intervention teachers communicating and
			varying needs of students across			*Intervention and core teachers	
			Students actoss			communicate and plan together	LESSUIT FIGITS &

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	academic and engagement areas			*Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in	Walkthroughs	6
	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	core courses 4b.3.	4b.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance	77	79				88
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluat	tion Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not	5b.1. White:	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Administrator who	5b.1. Content materials are	5b.1. Lesson Plans	&

making satisfactory j	progress in	mathematics.	Black: Hispanic:			differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*	Asian: American Indian: Lack of differentiation			prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are	
Improve current level of performance	White: 81% 90 Black: 2% 2 Hispanic: 7% 8 Asian: 3% 0 American Indian: 0% 0	100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	of instruction			appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
reference to "Guiding (Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Languag making satisfactory Mathematics Goal #5C:	progress in 1 2012 Current Level of			5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	Administrator who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Improve current level of performance	0% (0)	100% of ELL students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5C.2.	5C.2.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Anticipated Barrier	5C.3. Strategy	5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	5C.3. Evaluation Tool 5d.1.
	•			5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	04.1.	3d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				flexible and change with the	
	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 5D.2.	5D.2. 5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	5 ₀ 1	5e.1.	5e.1.		5e.1.
#5E:	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	Administrator who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	Se.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and M	Iiddle Scien	ce Goals		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	e Student Achievement	
"Guiding Questions", iden	sed on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students sco	tudents scoring at Achievement Leve			1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.
in science.	cience.		Insufficient standard based instruction	Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each	Administrator who evaluates teacher		Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 32% 25	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease the number of level 1 and 2		lesson		to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all	
						students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2.	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of gradelevel standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
1b.Florida Alternate Assess Level 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	1	I					1
						activities relate to the	
						learning goal and to	
						answering the essential guestion	
						*Focuses and/or refocuses	
						class discussion by referring	
						back to the learning	
						goal/essential question	
						*Includes a scale or rubric	
						that relates to the learning	
						goal is posted so that all	
						students can see it	
						*Teacher reference to the	
						scale or rubric throughout	
			11.2	11.0	11. 0	the lesson	11.0
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
			10.3.	10.3.	10.3.	10.5.	10.3.
Based on the analysis of student	achievement data	and reference to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
"Guiding Questions", identi			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001
	the following group				Monitoring	Strategy	
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students sco			2h 1	2b.1.	2b.1.		2b1.
		ve		Provide formative	Administrator who		Walkthrough
Achievement Levels 4 and	5 in science.		of instruction	assessments to inform	evaluates teacher	*Teachers regularly assess	Walktill ough
Science Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013Expected	oe d et.e	differentiation in	ovarautos todorio.	students' readiness for	
Science Goal #2a:	Level of	Level of		instruction		learning and achievement	
Improve current level of	Performance:*	Performance:*					
performance	r crrorinance.						
						of knowledge and skills	
performance	12%	Increase the				of knowledge and skills during instruction	
performance	12%					of knowledge and skills	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective	
репоппансе	12% 9	Increase the				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of	
репоппансе	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect	
репоппансе	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of	
репоппансе	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students'	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on	
performance	12% 9	Increase the level 4 and 5				of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					access points	
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Asses or above Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher		2b1. Walkthrough
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.		2b.2.
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Profes	ssional Devel				Learning Community (PLC)	or PD Activity						
	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring						
			_									
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		_	_							

Science Budget(Inse				
Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·			Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		•	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u> </u>	·	·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	riting Goals			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	;
"Guiding Questions"	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	*Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
performance	90% 55 Level 4 and above 36% 22	Performance:* Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students				guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and	1a.2. Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

						expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction		1a.3. Administrator who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternato		idents scoring	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.
at 4 or higher in writ	ting.		Insufficient standard based instruction	purpose for learning and	Administrator who evaluates teacher	*Is aligned with a course	Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	of Performance:* 0% Level 7 and	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students		learning goals in each lesson		standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

				activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

${\bf 2012\text{-}2013School\ Improvement\ Plan\ (SIP)\text{-}Form\ SIP\text{-}1}$

Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subtor Professional Development	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject		PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., Strategy for Follow-up/		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subto Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subto Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subto Foreign Source Amount Subto Professional Development								
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Frechnology Frechnology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subtorement Funding Source Amount Subtorement Subtoreme								
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subto Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subto Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subto Foreign Source Amount Subto Professional Development	Budget(Insert ro	ws as needed)						
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s) Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subtorement Subtorement Funding Source Amount Funding Source Amount Subtorement Subtore			tivities/materia	ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.			
Subtor Su								
Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subtor Professional Development	Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subtor Professional Development								
Technology Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subtor Professional Development								
Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Subtore Professional Development								Subtot
Subtor Professional Development	Technology							
Professional Development	Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Professional Development								
Professional Development								
								Subtot
Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount		ment						
	Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	

Funding Source

Amount

Subtotal: Total:

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Other Strategy

Description of Resources

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attend	Attendance Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance				
	endance data, and reference to "Guiding define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance		Lack of student	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School	
Improve current level of performance 201 Nur Stuc Exc Abs (10 147 201 Nur Stuc Exc Exc Exc Exc Exc Exc Exc Exc Exc Ex	year 12 Current mber of of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year 12 Current mber of dents with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year 12 Current mber of Students with Excessive Tardies Or more) 13 Current mber of (10 or more)		an effective school wide behavior plan		positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making	Suspension Number of Students	
	Prior Jean	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·	·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
		_		Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)		Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of su Questions", identify and			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance In Standard Standar	012Total Number of tudents Suspended 1-School 012Number of Out-f-School uspensions 012Total Number of tudents Suspended but- of- School	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year	1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data-based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	Suspension Number of Students suspended In-School Number of out-of-school suspensions Number of Students suspended out-of-school Number of alternative bell assignments Number of students assigned to alternative bell schedule
			1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Suspension Professional Development

	Suspension 1 totalsional Development									
Ī	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
ı	Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
Ī	PD Content /Topic	Grade	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for			
	and/or PLC Focus	Level/Subject	and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	(e.g., Early Release) and	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring			

		PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)				
Suspension Bud	Suspension Budget(Insert rows as needed)							

Suspension Budget(,			
Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s))/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	•	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentages, merade the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (33)).							
Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention						

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage out during the 2011-2012 school Improve current level of performance 2012 Curr Dropout Repending 2012 Curr Graduatio pending	rent Rate:* 2013 Expected Dropout Rate:* 10% decrease from prior year rent 2013 Expected	Students lack skills to plan for future	Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal		1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.						
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			

			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)		Problem-solv	ring Process to Parent Involvement		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement	1.1. Lack of frequent	1.1. Provide frequent home-	1.1. SBLT	1.1.	1.1.
Parent Involvement Goal #1:	•	school communication in a			
J. I.		variety of formats, and			
participated in school activities, duplicated or	variety of formats, and	allows for families to			

unduplicated.			allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational	support and supervise their child's educational progress			
Improve current level of performance	level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:* Increase by 20%	progress				
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.							
Evidence-based Program(s))/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				
				Subtotal:			
Technology							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				

				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

STEM Professional Development

_									
	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded ac	ctivities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials((s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
·				

Subtotal:
Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Inc			crease Student Achievement		
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school based funded activiti	es/materials and exclude district funded act	ivitias /matarials				
-	es/materials and exclude district funded act	ivities/illaterials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Technology						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Professional Development						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Other						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount			
			Subtotal:			
Total:						

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	1 1
Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Wellne			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
1. Additional Goal: Welling	ess		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Improve current level of performance	Level :* A Data (Options):		A: Failure to form a Healthy	A: Complete Healthy Schools	A: Healthy School Team		A: Healthy School Inventory
	Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy	Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Gold Level on Healthy	School Team.	Program 6 Step Processonline https://schools.healthiergeneration.org/	(school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	(Evaluate Your School) online
	Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgr am Data by school will be inserted here.	Matters/Fitnessgr am	B: Failure to assess students and upload Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram data	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments and upload data	teachers	Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student assessments results	B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•			Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
-		-		-

2012-2013School Improvement Plan	(SIP)-	Form	SIP-1
----------------------------------	--------	------	-------

Subtotal:		
Total:		

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	al Goal(s)		The state of the s	Problem-Solving P		se Student Achievement	t
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Additional Goal: Black Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student achievement	2012 Current Level :* Reading level 3 and above:1% (1)	2013 Expected Level :*	of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. Administrator who evaluates teacher	interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

_						
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

	1										
Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.											
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	(S) Duaget (msert rows as needed)			
Include only school-based fun	ded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		·
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:

Total:

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	al Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				t
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Students	1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students		Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide		Expectations are clearly and	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School Suspension
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student engagement	School data for % of black students receiving referrals	2013 Expected Level:* Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school suspensions		behavior plan		Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	Number of Students
				1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

11441110111111111111111111111111111111									
Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

2012-2013School Im	provement Plan	(SIP)-Form S	SIP-1
--------------------	----------------	--------------	-------

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	oal(s) budget (insert rows as needed)			
Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	·	·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	<u>'</u>	•		Subtotal:
				Total:

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	1 & 1	1 6 6 7
Additional Goal(s)	Pro	blem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black	graduation ra	ite	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student graduation rate		2013 Expected Level :*	Engagement	Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are	Increase in black graduation rate
						taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are	
						proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data- based monitoring and adaptations to the	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	plan are regularly conducted	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•	·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·	·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·	•	Subtotal:
				Total:

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

in a saing percentages, include the name of or s	the percentage represents tient to the percentage (e.g. 1070 (ee/)).
Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Additional Goal: Black Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase percent of black students enrolled in rigorous advanced coursework There will be an increase in performance of black students in rigorousadvanced coursework	2012 Current 201 Level :* Lev		Lack of differentiation of instruction			content, and skill level	Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Pro	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus On the property of the pro						Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded a	ctivities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		
				Subtotal:	
Technology	Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		
				Subtotal:	
Professional Development					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		
				Subtotal:	
Other					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		
Subtotal:					
				Total:	

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

I mai Dauget in the as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
7	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:

Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:
Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
CELLA Budget	Total:
Mathematics Budget	Total:
Mathematics Dudget	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:

Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status			
Priority	Focus	Prevent	

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting <i>Yes</i> or <i>No</i> below.		
X Yes No		
If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.		
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.		
Review and monitor the SIP, monitor safety plan and help Principal make decisions regarding school operations.		
Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount	
Sunshine State Readers	TBA	