FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name:271Bear Creek Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal: Delores Wesley	Superintendent:John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair: Laura Pomeroy	Date of School Board Approval: Pending: October 9, 2012

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Delores Wesley	AA; BA- Elem Ed; MA- Ed. Leadership and Mathematics	1	8	2012- State Grade D 2011- State Grade C 2010 - State Grade C (Rdg – 64% proficiency, 57% learning gains, 70% learning gains for lowest 25%, Math - 55% proficiency, 45% learning gains, 55% learning gains for lowest 25%, Science – 30% proficiency, Writing – 90% proficiency) AYP No 2010 - State Grade C (Rdg – 62% proficiency, 55)
Assistant Principal	Willette Douglas	AA; BA Elem Ed; MA Ed. Leadership	0	0	2012 – D; 2011 – C; 2010 – C / Campbell Park Elementary as Curriculum Specialist

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Literacy	Ann Griffin Primary Reading Coach K-2	BA Elem Ed, NBCT, Reading Endorsed	1 – New to Bear Creek	3+ years in district	C, D, F – Maximo Elementary
Literacy	Jane Dukes Intermediate Reading Coach	BA Early Childhood, MA Elem Ed.	0 – New to Bear Creek	16+ years in district	Woodlawn/Highpoint – D; Walsingham – A/B
Math	Hester Church	BS Elem Ed, MA Elem Ed w/ Math & Science K-12 Ed Leadership	0 – New to Bear Creek	4+ years	New to the district
Science	Joyce Foster	Main Elementary Ed w/ Gifted Endorsement	0 – New to Bear Creek	4+years	Gulfport/New Heights – C; Blanton - A

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	First year teachers will be assigned a site-based mentor to meet with weekly for ongoing guidance.	Lead Mentor	6/2013
2.	Pinellas County's taxpayers passed a referendum which funds higher salaries for all teachers	District wide initiative	Reviewed and taken to voters every 4 years
3.	Adopt A Class Program: Partnerships are established through businesses and interested community members. These partnerships, whether involving a sharing of products, dollars, services, or time, give additional support to	Literacy Coaches	6/2013
4.	Title I Funds: Supplemental Title I Funds are allocated to further facilitate increased student achievement. Through these funds, 3 Title I Hourly Teachers, 1 Technology Technician, and 1 RtI Coach are hired	Principal	6/2013

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
out-or-nerd/ and who are not highly effective.	support the start in occoming nightly effective
N/A	N/A

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
30	(1)3.33%	(5)16.67%	(18) 60.00%	(7)23.33%	(12)40%	(30)100%	(1)3.33%	(1)3.33%	(8)26.67%

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Rebecca Sullivan	Lorrie Hotz	District trained mentor	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing feedback; Planning lessons
			with mentee; Connecting lesson activities to content standards;
			Discussing student progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or

	2012-2013School Im	provement Plan	(SIP)-Form	SIP-1
--	--------------------	----------------	------	--------	-------

	Co- teaching lessons

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I. Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

Title I, Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

Housing Programs

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education	
Career and Technical Education	
Job Training	
Other	

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI) School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Administration; curriculum specialist, reading instructional coaches; school psychologist; social worker(s); educational diagnostician, guidance counselor, Teacher(s), ESE teacher, and Guidance Counselor.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

- -Facilitator generates agenda and leads team discussions
- -Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data
- -Technology Specialist brokers technology necessary to manage and display data
- -Recorder/Note Taker documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access
- -Time Keeper -helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time: Tuesdays at 7:40am

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP).

The MTSS leadership team will be responsible for managing and coordinating efforts between all school teams as well as reviewing and revising the School Improvement Plan.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline: Baseline data and Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Phonics Survey, Spelling Inventory, Pinellas County Common Assessment

Progress Monitoring: Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Phonics Survey, Spelling Inventory,

Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM), AIMS Web

Midyear: FAIR, Pinellas Classroom Assessment Series (PCAS)

Diagnostic: FAIR

End of Year: FAIR, FCAT, PCAS

Frequency of Data Dates: Weekly progress monitoring for Tier 3

Behavior: Referral and incident reports to identify tier 1 areas of concern and to form Tier 2 groups. This data will also be used for progress monitoring at Tier 2/ Tier 3 progress monitoring is individualized based on the needs of the student.

In the area of behavior, Bear Creek tracks behavior interventions and anecdotal information on an individual student basis. We use the dictrict database (Portal) to log in referrals and suspensions.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

After attending district provided training/DA Academy, the MTSS Leadership team will provide this training whole group or in grade level PLCs. Our MTSS Leadership team also

provides one-on-one training for those teachers that need more assistance.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS Leadership team met over the summer to plan for necessary revisions in the MTSS process for the upcoming school year. It was determined that the MTSS Leadership Team will meet weekly to build clarity/capacity in our processes and develop a plan for teacher training. The team will continue to meet Tuesdays to review/revise processes, review case load of students in Tier 2 and Tier 3 process. Each member of the MTSS will participate on a different grade level PLC to provide more guidance to each team. Professional development in ongoing.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Administration, Literacy Coach, RtI Coach, LLI Interventionist

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
 - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
 - o Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
 - o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
 - o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
 - o Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- Differentiation of Instruction for Tier 2 Students and support text complexity
- Reader's Workshop implementation in K-5
- Strengthening Core Instruction
- Collaboration and analysis for data (FAIR)
- . Reading Units of Study K-2
- . Guided Reading Project K-2
- . Read Aloud Project grade 1-20

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents on the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading G	loals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Reading Goal #1a: 2012 Curr Level of Performance (24%) 4	rent 2013Expected Level of Performance:*	Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson		learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and	1a.1. Walkthrough, Lesson Plans, Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT data.
		Insufficient standard based	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administration and Coaches	Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals	la.2. Walkthrough, Lesson Plans, Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT data

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Personal goals, etc. Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Per Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Per Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	-				T	
Instruction: Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur					personal goals, etc.	
Insufficient standard based instruction Insufficient standard based instruction Increase instruction and coaches Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Increase instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of stand					Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and	
Insufficient standard based instruction Increase instructional Increase instructional Increase instruction and Coaches Insufficient standard based with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Insufficient standard based instruction Increase instruction and Coaches Insufficient standards and benchmarks. Insufficient standard based with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Insufficient standard based instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks. Insufficient standards and benchmarks.		1a 3	1a 3	1a 3	1a 3	1a 3
Reading Goal #1b: Reading Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance:*		Insufficient standard based	Increase instructional	Administration and	Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of	Walkthrough, Lesson Plans, Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT
Reading Goal #1b: Description Performance: Performance: Performance:						
Level of Performance:* Level of Performance:						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible Frocess Used to Determine Effectiveness for Monitoring of Evaluation Tool	Level of Level of					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible Frocess Used to Determine Effectiveness for Monitoring of Evaluation Tool						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and Strategy Person or Position Responsible Frocess Used to Determine Effectiveness for Monitoring of		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and for Monitoring of		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
group:	and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy			Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above 2a.1. 2a.1. 2a.1. 2a.1.	2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above					
AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in reading. Lack of Provide formative Administration and Determine: Walkthrough, Lesson Plans,	AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in reading.					
Current differentiation of assessments to inform Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress Students' readiness for learning monitoring data, FAIR, and achievement of knowledge Running Records, FCAT Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Coaches Teachers regularly assess Ongoing progress Teachers	<u>Current</u> <u>d Level of</u>	instruction	differentiation in	Coaches	students' readiness for learning	monitoring data, FAIR,
Improve current Level of Performance and skills during instruction data	1					

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

performance		Increase level 4 and 5 by 5%					
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alter Students scoring reading.							
N/A	Current Level of Performan ce:*	2013Expect ed Level of Performanc e:*					
			2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis and reference to "Gui define areas in need of	iding Questions'	', identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: Improve current level of performance 50% 55%			3a.1. Differentiate Instruction	3a.1. Administration and Coaches		3a.1. State instructional walkthrough when applicable	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.
			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.
	ents making L 2012 Current 20	013Expected evel of erformance:*	21.2				
			3b.2. 3b.3.	3b.2. 3b.3.	3b.2. 3b.3.	3b.2. 3b.3.	3b.2. 3b.3.
Based on the analysis and reference to "Guic define areas in need of i	ling Questions", id	dentify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4a.FCAT 2.0:Perce Lowest 25% makin	ntage of stude		4a.1. Lack of	4a.1. Differentiate	4a.1. Administration	4a.1. These small groups are flexible and	4a.1. Walkthrough, Lesson Plans,

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Reading Goal #4a: Improve current level of performance Solution Performance Performance:*	differentiation of instruction	Instruction		assessments	Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT data
		Create intervention	MTSS Leadership Team	MTSS utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses.	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs
	4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.					

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

N/A N/A N/A N/A Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual	4b.3 2011-2012	4b.3. 2012-2013	4b.3. 2013-2014	4b.3. 2014-2015	4b.3. 2015-2016 2016-2017
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2010 2010-2017
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		72	<mark>79</mark>		93 100
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. Administration		5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					,
				and specific learning needs).	
Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current 2013Expected					
Level of Level of					
Performance:* Performance:*					
	_				
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Ouestions", identify and define	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	
areas in need of improvement for the following			Monitoring		
subgroup:					
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not					
making satisfactory progress in reading.					
Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current 2013Expected					
<u>Level of</u> <u>Level of</u>					
N/A Performance:* Performance:*					
1 V/1 X		l		l	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, an reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Barrier	5C.2. 5C.3. Strategy	5C.2. 5C.3. Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	5C.2. 5C.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	5C.2. 5C.3. Evaluation Tool
SD. Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 9%(2) 100% of all SWD student to make a learning gain An increase i proficiency b 10%	n	5d.1. Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. Administration	5d.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs).	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough 5D.2.
	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.		5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, an reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.
not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Lack of	Differentiate	Administration	Content materials are differentiated	Walkthrough, Lesson Plans,
Reading Goal #5E: Improve current level of performance Description Performance Performance	differentiation of instruction	Instruction		by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of	Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT data.
learning gain An increase in proficiency by 10%					
	5E.2.				5E.2.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

Reading Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subj										
FCIM routine and professional development	3-5	Intermediate Coach	Grades 3-5	Ongoing	Walkthrough data collection tool	Administration/LLT				
Read Aloud Project	Grades 1-2	Primary Coach	Grades 1-2	Ongoing	Walkthrough data collection tool	Administration/LLT				
Reading Units of Study	Grade 1-2	Primary Coach	Grades 1-2	Ongoing	Walkthrough data collection tool	Administration/LLT				
Guided Reading Project	Grades 1-2	Primary Coach	Grades 1-2	Ongoing	Walkthrough data collection tool	Administration/LLT				

Common Core Standards Professional Development	Grades K-1	Learning Specialist	School-Wide	Ongoing	Walkthrough data collection tool	Administration/LLT
---	------------	---------------------	-------------	---------	----------------------------------	--------------------

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/n	naterials and exclude district funded acti	vities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		Su	ıbtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Su	ıbtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			Su	ıbtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Additional Tier 2 and 3 intervention	Additional Personnel Support	Title I Budget	\$159,000.00	
support			\$159,000.00 Su	uhtatalı
			\$159,000.00	i otai:

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition						
	Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring proficies CELLA Goal #1: Improve current level of performance Number CELLA tested: 5	2012 Current Percent of Students	of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. Administration	Teachers collect both formal	Running Records, FCAT Data.		
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		
	e level text in a manner similar to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring profici CELLA Goal #2: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Paraent of Students	Insufficient standard	2.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies			2.1. Walkthrough		

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

					Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
		2.2. 2.3				2.2. 2.3
	e level in a manner similar to non- udents.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing: 0% 0	Insufficient standard based instruction	Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	Administration and Coaches	Determine Lesson: Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	3.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
						2.2. 2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ties/materials and exclude district funded act	ivities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary N	Mathemati	cs Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
reference to "Guiding	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier Strategy P		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a.FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at AchievementLevel 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:*		1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction			Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to	1a.1. Walkthrough, Lesson Plans, Ongoing progress monitoring data, FAIR, Running Records, FCAT data			
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. In grades 4 and 5 Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies and AVID skills.	Administration	1a.2. Determine: Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
1b. Florida Alternat scoring at Levels 4,			1b.2. Insufficient		1b.2. Administration and math	1b.2. Determine:	1b.2. Walkthrough		

#1b:	Level of Performance:* Performance:* ent #N/A Decrease in			High Yield Instructional Strategies and AVID skills.	coach	Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur.	
				1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	Questions", identi	ify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance		2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase in level 4 and 5 by 5%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction. Implementation of ST Math SBMR program.	2a.1. Administration and math coach	Determine: Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students.	2a.1. Walkthrough
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

	F	(511)-F01111 511 -1				
			2a.3	a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate	A	C4 Ja4a					
scoring at or above L							
scoring at or above 1							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected					
#2b:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
			2b2. 2	b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
			21.2	1.2	21. 2	21.0	01.0
			2b.3 2	b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
Based on the analysis of	student achieven	nent data, and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding C	uestions", identi	fy and define	•		for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	
areas in need of improve			2 4	2 4	2 4	Strategy	2 4
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percen				3a.1.	3a.1.		3a.1.
Learning Gains in m	athematics.		Lack of student	Differentiate Instruction	Administration		State instructional walkthrough when
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected	engagement			differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	applicable and intervention
#3a:	Level of	Level of				prior knowledge of content, and	
		Performance:*				skill level	
Improve current level of performance	52%	57% of					
		students will make a				Implementation of ST math	
		learning gain				(Supplemental	
		guin				intervention/enrichment	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	program.) 3a.2.	3a.2.
			Ja.2.	Sa.2.	Ja.2.	3a.2.	Ja.2.
				1			

			3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	3a.3.
21 11 11 414		TD (
3b. Florida Alternate							
of students making L	earning Gair	ns in					
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013Expected					
#3b:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
N/A							
			3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
			21. 2	21 2	21 2	21. 2	21. 2
			3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
Based on the analysis of	student achieven	nent data, and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding Q	uestions", identit	fy and define	•		for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	
areas in need of improve	ment for the foll	owing group:				Strategy	
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percent	age of studer	nts in	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.
4a.FCAT 2.0:Percent Lowest 25% making							
Lowest 25% making		ns in	Lack of	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. Administration	Content materials are	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics.	learning gair	ns in	Lack of differentiation of			Content materials are differentiated by student	
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal	learning gair	ns in 2013Expected	Lack of			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a:	learning gair 2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of	Lack of differentiation of			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a:	learning gain 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*	Lack of differentiation of			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background,	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*	Lack of differentiation of			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a:	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will	Lack of differentiation of			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) the content, project and	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) the content, project and	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) the content, project and	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) the content, project and	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) the content, project and	Lesson Plans &
Lowest 25% making mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4a: Improve current level of	learning gair 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:* 55% of students will make a	Lack of differentiation of instruction			Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) the content, project and	Lesson Plans &

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

2012-2013School Improvement I lan	(SH) TOTHI SH T					
	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3	
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentag	re					
of students in Lowest 25% making learning	,					
gains in mathematics.						
Mathematics Goal #4b: 2012 Current Level of Level of						
#4b: Level of Performance:* Level of Performance:*						
N/A						
					-	
	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance		2012-2013	2013-2014	2017-2013	2013-2010	2010-2017
Target 5A. Ambitious but 51	55	59	63	67	71	76
Achievable	55	39	03	07	/ 1	76
Annual						
Measurable						
Objectives						
(AMOs). In six						
year school will reduce their						
achievement gap						
by 50%.						
Mathematics Goal #5A:						
Improve current level of performance						
		ĺ	ĺ			
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	g,	D D 111 D 111	D 11 1 5	- ·	· m 1
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluat	ion Tool

5B. Student subgrou	ne by othnic	oity (White	5b.1.	5b.1.	5b.1.	5b.1.	5b.1.
			White:	Differentiate Instruction		Models, examples and	Lesson Plans &
			Black:	Differentiate histraction	Administration		Walkthrough
						questions are appropriately	waiktnrougn
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of	Hispanic:			scaffolded to meet the needs of	
<u>#5B:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*	Asian:			diverse learners *Teachers	
			American Indian:			provide small group instruction	
Improve current level			Lack of			to target specific learning	
of performance	49%		differentiation of			needs.	
	33		instruction				
		will make				Students are provided	
	Black:	learning gains				opportunities to demonstrate or	
	25%	An increase				express knowledge and	
	17	in proficiency				understanding in different ways,	
		by 10%				which includes varying degrees	
	Hispanic:					of difficulty.	
	13%	:				or difficulty.	
	0						
	A =:===						
	Asian:						
	1%						
	1						
	American						
	Indian:						
	1%						
	1						
		_					
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of	student achievo	ement data, and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
reference to "Guiding C	Questions", iden	tify and define	- Interputed Duritor	Saucegy	for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	2,
areas in need of improven						Strategy	
5C. English Languag	e Learners	(ELL) not					
making satisfactory							
Mathematics Goal		2013Expected					
#5C:	T 1 C T 1 C						
п.У.С.		* Performance:*					
			<u> </u>	1	1	<u> </u>	

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
					5C.3.		5C.3.
Based on the analysis of st reference to "Guiding Quareas in need of improvement	estions", identif	nent data, and fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disa				5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.	5d.1.
#5D:	012 Current evel of erformance:* pending	2013 Expected	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction		Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners. Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
			5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of st reference to "Guiding Quareas in need of improvement	estions", identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

5E. Economically Disa	dvantaged st	tudents not	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.	5e.1.
making satisfactory pr	rogress in ma	thematics.	Lack of	Differentiate Instruction	Administration	Content materials are	Lesson Plans &
Mathematics Goal			differentiation of			differentiated by student	Walkthrough
#5E:		Level of	instruction			interests, cultural background,	-
		Performance:*				prior knowledge of content, and	
Improve current level		100% of				skill level.	
of performance		Economically					
		Disadvantaged students will					
		make learning					
		gains					
		An increase in					
		proficiency by 10%					
		10%					
			5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and M	iddle Scien	ce Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
"Guiding Questions", identi	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at Achievement Level in science. Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance: 33% Decrease the number of		2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease the	Ia.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	Ia.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administration and Science Coach		1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans		
			Ia.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	Ia.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administration and Science Coach		1a.2. Walkthrough observational tool.		
1b.Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: N/A 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:*									

2012-2013School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

_			_	T	•	1	T
	#N/A						
		l	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student a "Guiding Questions", identi- improvement for the	fy and define areas	s in need of o:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students sco Achievement Levels 4 and Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	5 in science. 2012 Current Level of			2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. Administration		2b1. Walkthrough data
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alternate Asses or above Level 7 in science	•						
Science Goal #2b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
			2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.		2b.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Site-based science trainging	ALL	Science coach	Grades K-5	monthly	ongoing	District science coach			

Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

2				
Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		•	,	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	riting Goals			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	t
"Guiding Questions"	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT:Students and higher in writin Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance	scoring at Achievement Level3.0		1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. Administration		1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. Administration		1a.2. Walkthrough observational tool.

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.							
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A	or remormance.	or Ferrormance.					
	l		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

PD Content /Topic	Τ	PD Facilitator	Please note that each Strategy does no PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early	all of FLC activity.		
and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-u	ıp/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
			,	1 3			
Budget(Insert rov	ws as needed)						
		tivities/materia	ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progr	ram(s)/Materials((s)					
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
						•	Subtota
Technology							
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
		•					Subtot
Professional Develop	ment						
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
						•	Subtota
Other							
Strategy		Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
				<u> </u>			Subtota
							Tota

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	ndance Goa		radents the percentage	Problem-solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of a Questions", identify an			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Attendance	2012 Current		Lack of student	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of		1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and	1.1. Using EDS data to monitor		
Improve current level of performance	Attendance Rate:* 95%	Attendance Rate:* Greater than prior year 2013 Expected Number		an effective school wide behavior plan		positively defined.	suspended in/ out-of- school.		
	Number of	of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				students and staff.			
	2012 Current Number of	10% decrease from prior year 2013Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)							
	142	10% decrease from prior year	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
School-wide behavior plan training	All	Various	All	September – June	Ongoing	Administration			

Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			,	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•	·	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		•	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	pension Goal(s	s)	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of Questions", identify a	suspension data, and rend define areas in need		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	In —School Suspensions 19 2012Total Number of Students Suspended In-School 19 2012Number of Out- of-School Suspensions 80 2012Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School 10% decrease from prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School 10% decrease from prior year	Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		1.1. Determine: Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff. Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions updated frequently.	1.1. Suspension data tool.	
			1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

	ssional Devel	opinent (1 D)	Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen		unity (120)	or 1D neavity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
School-wide behavior blan training	All	Various	All	September	Ongoi	ng	Administration
Suspension Budg			s and exclude district funded a	otivition (montonio)			
Evidence-based Progra			s and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materiais.			
Strategy	am(s)/waterials(s	· ·	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
		_		-			
							C-14-4-
Technology							Subtota
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
Stategy		Везеприо	n of Resources	T unding bource		Timount	
							Subtota
Professional Developm	nent						
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
		L		1		L	Subtota
Other							
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtota
							Tota

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped						
out during the 2011-2012 school year. N/A 2012 Current 2013 Expected						
Dropout Rate:* Dropout Rate:* Dropout Rate:* 2012 Current Graduation Rate:* Graduation Rate:*						
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

$\label{eq:continuous_proposed} \textbf{Dropout Prevention Budget} (Insert \ rows \ as \ needed)$

Include only school-based fu	unded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	faterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)				Problem-solving Process to Parent Involvement			
"Guiding Questions", identif	Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1 *Please refer to the percental participated in school activity unduplicated. Improve current level of performance Portal logins by parents	age of parents ties, duplicated 2012 Current level of Parent Involvement:*	who d or 2013 Expected level of Parent	Lack of frequent home-school communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to	1.1. Provide frequent homeschool communication in a variety of formats, and allows for families to support and supervise their child's educational progress	1.1. Administration	documentation	1.1. Sign-in sheets during parent/family nights and events.
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profe	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monitoring Person or Position Responsi Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)									

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activi	ities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Increase attendance participant by providing meals, supplies and resources to families	Books and workshops	Title I	\$3,463.02	
			l	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		•	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		<u> </u>		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				\$3.463.02 Total:

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.					
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		

				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	•		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	•	•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	•	•	Subtotal:
				Total

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

CTE Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.					
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		

				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		•	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	•		•	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of sch		and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Welln	ess		A:	1.1. A:	1.1. A:	1.1. A:	1.1. A:
	A Data (Options): Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy	Options Set A: Meeting Bronze Level	Healthy School Team.	Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Process online https://schools.healthierge neration.org/	Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers (optional members – students, parents, school nurse)	Completion of 6 th Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded	d activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materi	ials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

2012-2013School Im	provement Plan ((SIP)-Form SIP-1

Sı	ubtotal
	Total

Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1. Additional Goal: Black Academic Achievement Additional Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected			1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. Administration		1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
There will be an increase in black student achievement	Reading level 3 and above:24% (22)	All black students to make learning gains in reading and math				interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners.	
		L	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmen	nt or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Additional MOI	I Caal(a) Da	doot (In a sut							

Additional MOU G	oal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)			
	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	,	<u>'</u>		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	,	<u>'</u>		Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	,	<u>'</u>		Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	,	1	1	Subtotal:
				Total:

Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Studer	nt Engagemen	t for Black					
Students							
		2013 Expected Level :*					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content / and/or PLC l		Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

riddiddidi woo dom(s) Budg	(misert fows as needed)							
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.								
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)								
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount					

				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·		·	Subtotal:
				Total:

Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate							
		2013 Expected Level :*					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

$Additional\ MOU\ Goal(s)\ Budget\ ({\tt Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based funded activiti	es/materials and exclude district funded activ	ities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	when using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (33)).						
Additional Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal: Black advanced Coursework							
Additional Goal #1:		2013 Expected Level :*					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
			_			

Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded acti	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
		•	Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
\$159,000.0	0 Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
\$3,463.0	2 Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
\$162,463.02 Gra	nd Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status			
Priority	⊠Focus	Prevent	

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory	Council	(SAC	(
-----------------	---------	------	---

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting Tes of No below.	
∑ Yes □ No	
If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.	
- Update SAC board on any school-wide/district initiatives.	
- District Information and school-wide improvements.	
- Program Update/Input on Title I and any new initiatives to the school.	
Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount