# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

1

### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### **School Information**

School Name:1811High Point Elementary School	District Name: Pinellas County Schools
Principal:Dr. Susan Taylor	Superintendent: John A. Stewart, Ed.D.
SAC Chair:Shanon DiStaula	Date of School Board Approval: October 19, 2012

### **Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data(Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **Administrators**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Dr. Susan Taylor	BA in Elementary Education MS in Educational Leadership K-12, Doctorate in Organizational Leadership K-12	3	11.5	<ul> <li>2011-2012 High Point Elementary – State Grade "D", Reading 31% proficiency, Learning Gains in Reading 55%, Learning Gains of Lowest 25% in Reading-64%, Math 37% proficiency, Learning Gains in Math 51%, Learning Gains of Lowest 25% in Math-59%</li> <li>2010-2011 High Point Elementary – State Grade "C", Reading 56% proficiency, Learning Gains 54%, Lowest 25%-45%, Math 63% proficiency, Learning Gains 61%, Lowest 25%-71%</li> <li>Made AYP in all subgroups in Math, Writing 86% proficiency, Science 25% proficiency</li> <li>2009-2010 High Point Elementary – State Grade "C", Reading 60% proficiency, Learning Gains 61%, Lowest 25%-59%, Math 54% proficiency, Learning Gains 47%, Lowest 25%-56%, Writing 70% proficiency, Science 35% Proficiency</li> <li>2004-2009 Highland Lakes Elementary –State Grade "A" all five years. AYP all 5 years</li> </ul>
Assistant Principal	Michael McCann	BS in Elementary Education. MS in Educational Leadership, MA Elementary Education	2	12	<ul> <li>2011-2012 High Point Elementary –State Grade "D", Reading 31% proficiency,Learning Gains in Reading 55%,Learning Gains of Lowest 25% in Reading-64%,Math 37% proficiency,Learning Gains in Math 51%, Learning Gains of Lowest 25% in Math-59%</li> <li>2010-2011 High Point Elementary-State Grade "C", Reading 56% proficiency, Learning Gains 54%, Lowest 25%-45%, Math 63% proficiency, Learning Gains 61%, Lowest 25%-71%</li> <li>Made AYP in all subgroups in Math, Writing 86% proficiency,Science 25% proficiency</li> <li>Frontier Elementary School 2009-2010 Grade "B" and made AYP 2008-2009 Grade "A" and made AYP 2007-2008 Grade "B" and made AYP 2005-2006 Grade "A" and made AYP 2005-2006 Grade "A" and made AYP 2004-2005 Grade "A" and Provisional AYP</li> </ul>

### **Instructional Coaches**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
RTI	Joyce LaPlante	MA in Elementary Math/Science, BA in Elementary Education, ESOL certification	22	6	High Point Elementary State performance in Reading for 2006- 2012 – School Grades (B,A,A,C,C,D) Proficiency in Reading (66%,64%,71%,60%,56%);Learning Gains in Reading (73%,72%,77%,61%,54%,55%);Learning Gains of Lowest 25% in Reading (53%,76%79%,59%,45%,64%)
Reading	Karen Cangemi	BS in Elementary Education Reading Endorsement Certification	0	1	Lakewood Elementary 2012 – C Gulport Elementary 2012- C LG lowest 25% -84% -
Reading	Shirley Bair	BS in Elementary and Early Childhood Education MA in Reading K-12 ESOL Certification	0	14	Lakewood Elementary 2012 – C (LG lowest 25%=84%) Gulfport Elementary 20120 - C
Math	Kevin Larkin	BS in Elementary MA in Math/Science	0	5	Pinellas Park ES 10-11 (C, 72 %Reading,53%Math, 75%Writing, 45 %Science,61%Reading, Learning Gains,61 % Math Learning Gains, Lowest 25%,: 49 % Reading <b>71%Math</b> <b>Sanderlin IB World School</b> 11-12 (B, 53% Reading; 44% Math, 80% Writing, 50% Science; 66% Reading Learning Gains, 76 % Math Learning Gains, 56% Reading LG for Lowest 25%, 68% Math LGlowest 25%)
Science	Jamie Hite	BS in Elementary Mid-Grades Certified	1	4	2011-2012 – Served 7 schools ranging from A-D grades. 2010-2011 – Imbedded science coach at Pinellas Park Elem. Scores increased from 28% previous year to 40% in 2011.

### **Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. UF Lastinger's Masters Program offered to current teachers	Dr. Taylor	August 2013
2. Provide Instructional Mentors	Ms. LaPlante, Mrs. Grasso, Mrs. Di Staula,Dr. Tsambis	June 2013
3. Review candidates' qualifications to determine highly qualified status	Dr. Taylor, Mr. McCann, Interview Team	June 2013
4. Offer paid tutoring, training opportunities, and curriculum planning through Title I and ELP fundings	Dr. Taylor	June 2013

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
The district provides training towards certification.

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages.	, include the number of teachers the	percentage represents (e.g.	. 70% [35]).
······································	,	F (8F (8-	, []/.

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	%ESOL Endorsed Teachers
47	6.38% [3]	17.02% [8]	38.30% [18]	38.30% [18]	29.79% [14]		6.38% [3]	0.00% [0]	72.34% [34}

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Shanon DiStaula	Jenn Warner	new to county	Observation of mentee's instruction and providing
Anna Tsambis	Illeana Duncan	new to county	feedback; Planning lessons with mentee; Connecting
Joyce LaPlante and district mentor	Brianna Tesar	new teacher	lesson activities to content standards; Discussing student

	progress and analyzing student work; Modeling or co-teaching
	lessons

### **Additional Requirements**

### Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part A funds are utilized, in conjunction with district operating funds and other federal resources, to support teaching and learning, parental engagement, and professional development. Title I services are coordinated and integrated with other resources through the Division of Teaching and Learning, Student Assignment, and Research and Accountability.

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

NA in Pinellas

Title I, Part D

The district receives Title I, Part D funds which provide transition services from alternative education programs to zoned schools. In addition, a portion of Title I, Part A funds is reserved for services to neglected and delinquent students. Funds are targeted to support continuous education services to students in neglected and delinquent facilities through tutoring, instructional materials and resources, and technology.

Title II

The district receives funds to increase student achievement through professional development for teachers and administrators. Title II funds provide math and science coaches, as required by Differentiated Accountability, in some of the district's lowest performing schools. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide additional reading and math coaches in targeted schools based on FCAT results.

Title III

Title III funds provide educational materials, bilingual translators, summer programs, and other support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners. Bilingual translators provide assistance with parent workshops and dissemination of information in various languages for Title I schools.

Title X- Homeless

The district receives funds to provide resources for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is also reserved to provide services to homeless students (social workers, a resource teacher, tutoring, and technology).

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI funds are coordinated with Title I, Part A funds to provide extended learning opportunities for students before/during/after school and during the summer.

Violence Prevention Programs

Nutrition Programs

Title I coordinates with district food services to provide breakfast and lunch to students in Title I summer extended learning camps.

Housing Programs

Head Start

Title I, Part A funds are used to provide Pre-Kindergarten to Kindergarten transition services. Title I schools coordinate with staff from public and private preschool programs, including Head Start, to prepare students for a successful start to school. A portion of Title I, Part A funds is used to provide classes for 3 year olds at targeted elementary schools to support early literacy.

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Dr. Taylor-Principal, Mr.McCann-Assistant Principal, Mr. Vanderloop-Behavior Specialist, Ms. LaPlante-RTI Coach, Mrs. DiStaula – Math Interventionist, Miss Bahr-ESE, Ms Medvedev-Guidance, Mr. Soto-Social Worker, Mrs. Haubenestel-ESOL, Mrs. Augustine-Diagnostician, Kathryn Picano/Anne Bernstein – Psychologist, Karen Cangemi-Intermediate Literacy Coach, Shirley Bair- Primary Literacy Coach, and Team Leaders: (K)Hague, (1)Baird, (2)Boreman, (3)Slezak, (4)Mathews, (5)Spiewak.

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

-Facilitator – generates agenda and leads team discussions

-Data Manager(s)/Data Coach(es) – assist team in accessing and interpreting (aggregating/disaggregating) the data

-Recorder/Note Taker – documents meeting content and disseminates to team members in a timely manner as well as storing a hard copy in a binder for all teachers to access

-Time Keeper –helps team begin on time and ensures adherence to agreed upon agenda

Meeting time: SBLT will meet every Monday from 7:30 to 8:20 am in the Title I room.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The SBLT will be responsible for managing and coordinating these efforts between all school teams as well as reviewing and revising the School Improvement Plan.

MTSS Team will help coordinate efforts to implement and evaluate the effectiveness of Positive Behavior Supports (PBS). MTSS Team will use appropriate data sources (i.e., universal screenings, outcome data, etc.) to identify students in need of supplemental (Tier2) or intensive (Tier 3) services. Data sources will also be analyzed and utilized to validate or rule out support at each Tier.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) will be used as a universal screening for reading across grade levels. District Common Assessments will be utilized as a universal screening for math, science, and writing. Discipline referrals will be used to screen for behavior at Tier 1.

At Tier 2, ongoing progress monitoring will be done using FAIR, DIBELS, and AIMS Web for reading. AIMS web will also be used for ongoing progress monitoring in math. Discipline referrals, calls to behavior specialist, and weekly classroom behavior report cards will be used to monitor effectiveness of Tier 2 behavior interventions. Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. Once a month at staff meetings, training will be provided on PS/MTSS. The PS/MTSS Beliefs Survey and Perception of PS/MTSS Skills survey will be used to evaluate the

effectiveness of training.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Monthly training will be scheduled. Developing a way of work for PLC's using PLC/Data notebook. Developing a process to identify and progress monitor Tier 2 and Tier 3 students. Continually distribute information to staff regarding MTSS/SBLT meetings.

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Dr. Taylor-Principal, Michael McCann-Assistant Principal, Shirley Bair and Karen Cangemi-Reading Coaches, Shanon Grasso-primary LLI Interventionist, Dr. Tsambis-Intermediate Reading Interventionist, Shanon DiStaula-Math Interventionist, Fran Neugebauer-District Math Coach, amie Hite-District Science Coach, Joyce LaPlante- RTI Coach, Tracy Bergman-District Reading and Language Arts Supervisor

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Literacy Leadership Teams create capacity of reading knowledge within the school by focusing on the following areas of literacy concern:

- Support for text complexity
- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
  - o Ensuring that text complexity, along with close reading and rereading of texts, is central to lessons
  - Providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students
  - o Developing and asking text dependent questions from a range of question types
  - o Emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text
  - Providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence)

• Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (a focus on text, task, and instruction).

The district will provide training and tools for Literacy Leadership Teams.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Support for text complexity

- Support for instructional skills to improve reading comprehension
- Support for implementation of Common Core State Standards for Literacy in Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects

### **Public School Choice**

### • Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Kindergarten Teachers will hold an orientation for incoming students and their parents prior to the beginning of the school year. Readiness skills will be emphasized and good choices for academic and social characteristics will be presented. Materials will be available, as well as pamphlets covering a variety of helpful parenting subjects ranging from parenting skills, helping with homework, students with disabilities and what to expect at a parent teacher conference.

The Head Start preschoolers and teachers will visit the kindergarten classrooms in the spring.

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement of and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify define areas in need of improvement for the follo group:	and	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>1a.FCAT 2.0:</b> Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.         Reading Goal #1a:       2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Improve current level of performance       Performance:*         (18%)       Decrea level of from 70% To 60%	<u>e:*</u> e	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	teacher	1a.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is	1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans	

	standard based instruction	Strategies	teacher	posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson 1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	1a.2. Walkthrough
	1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
ate Assessment: Student         4, 5, and 6 in reading.         2012 Current       2013Expected         Level of       Level of         Performance:*       Performance:*	Insufficient standard based	1b.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1b.2. AP who evaluates teacher		1b.2. Walkthrough

performance #N/A Decrease level 1,2,3				expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
	1b.2. 1b.3.		1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.	1b.2. 1b.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the followin group:	g	Strategy	for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or abov         AchievementLevels 4 and 5 in reading.         Reading Goal #2a:       2012 Current Level of         Improve current level of performance         11% (36)       Increase level 4 and by 5%	Lack of differentiation of <u>a</u> instruction	2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction		2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	2a.1. Walkthrough
	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.

			1				
							A . A
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
2b. Florida Alterna	te Assessme	ent: Students		2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b1.
scoring at or above	e Level 7 in r	eading.	Lack of differentiation of	Provide formative assessments to	AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	Walkthrough
scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.         Reading Goal #2b:       2012 Current Level of       2013Expected Level of         Improve current level of performance       Performance:*       Performance:*         #N/A       Increase level 7 by 5%		instruction	inform differentiation in instruction				
			2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	progress of students aligned to FAA access points 2b.2.	2b.2.
			20.2.	202.	20.2.	10.1.	20.2.
			2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
and reference to "Gui	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.				3a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	3a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available	

performance	performance 55% 100%					diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.		3a.2.
3b. Florida Alterna			3a.3. 3b.1.	3a.3. 3b.1.	3a.3. 3b.1.		3a.3. 3b.1.
Percentage of stude Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:	ents making	Learning 2013Expected Level of	Lack of student engagement	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of	School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.
		21. 2	21. 2			
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.
	of student achievement data, ding Questions", identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
define areas in need of	improvement for the following group:			Monitoring		
	entage of students in	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.
Lowest 25% making reading. Reading Goal #4a: Improve current level of	ag learning gains in       2012 Current Level of     2013Expected Level of       Performance:*     Performance:*       64%     100%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
					different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
		intervention supports exist to	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	sufficient number and variety of	4a.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

	4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	*Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses 4a.3.	4a.3.
4b. Florida Alternate Assessment:         Percentage of students in Lowest 25%         making learning gains in reading.         Reading Goal #4b:         Improve current level of performance         2012 Current Level of Performance:*         Performance		4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes	
	4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	varying degrees of difficulty. 4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes	4b.2. Evidence of core teachers and intervention teachers communicating and planning; Lesson Plans & Walkthroughs

				*Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses		
	4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016 2016-2017	
5A. Ambitious but       Baseline data 2010-2011         Achievable       54         Annual       54         Measurable       0bjectives         Objectives       (AMOs). In six         year school will       reduce their         achievement gap       by 50%.         Reading Goal #5A:       Improve current level of performance	33	31	<mark>44</mark>	50	55 61	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
<b>5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity</b> (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) <b>not</b> <b>making satisfactory progress in reading.</b>	5b.1. White:67% Black:85% Hispanic:56% Asian:6% American Indian:0% Lack of differentiation of instruction	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	5b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs.	5b.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough	

				*These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current 2013Expected					
Improve current level of         Level of         Level of           Performance:*         Performance:*         Performance:*					
performance White:31 100% of all					
<sup>33%</sup> subgroups to					
Black: make a					
14 learning					
15% gain					
Hispanic: 41 Increase 44% proficiency of all Asian: 6 6% by 10%					
American Indian: 0					
0%				l	
	5B	5D 2	5D 2	en a	5D 2
Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	Anticipated Barrier	5B.3. Strategy	5B.3. Person or Position	5B.3. Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	5B.3. Evaluation Tool
and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not	5c.1.		5c.1.	5c.1.	5c.1.
making satisfactory progress in reading.Reading Goal #5C:2012 Current2013ExpectedLevel ofLevel ofPerformance:*Improve current level ofPerformance:*			AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level	Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

ELL students to make a learning gai		students to make a learning gain An increase in proficiency				*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.		5C.2.
			5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.		5C.3.
Based on the analysis o and reference to "Guidi define areas in need of in sub	ing Questions'	, identify and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Di making satisfactory Reading Goal #5D: Improve current level of performance	Progress in       2012       Current       Level of       Performance       e:*       17%       5	n reading.	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	5d.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.

	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students	5e.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	5e.1. Differentiate Instruction	5e.1. AP who evaluates teacher	5e.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.		5E.2.
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3

## **Reading Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities								
			Please note that each strategy does not	require a professional development	t or PLC activity.				
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	$\frac{1}{2}$								
training on analyzing FAIR and prescribing intervention	K-5	Literacy Coaches	classroom teachers	after assessment cycles	Literacy Coaches will provide support with reading strategies and FCIM lessons	Literacy Coaches			

embedded coaching in classrooms	K-5	Literacy Coaches	classroom teachers	ongoing August-June	observations of instructional strategies	Literacy Coaches
data driven PLC meetings	K-5	administrators	classroom t5eachers	after assessment cycles	Ongoing monitoring review and monitoring of student progress	Administrators
SIP strategies (setting purpose for learning, high yield instructional strategies,instructional rigor,formative assessments,differentiated instruction,intervention supports)	K-5	SIP Team	instructional staff	ongoing August-June	Informal and formal observations, walkthroughs	Administrators
Benchmark Assessment System (running records)	K-5	Literacy Coaches	K-5 instructional staff	ongoing August-June	Coaching cycles, classroom walkthroughs	Literacy Coaches
Lesson Study	K-5	Literacy coaches	K-5 instructional staff	ongoing August-June	Coaching cycles, classroom walkthroughs	Literacy Coaches
Curriculum meetings to disseminate county information	K-5	Assistant Principal	Instructional staff	Monthly August - June	Informal and formal observations, walkthroughs	Administrators

### **Reading Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials	s(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Draft and David and and				Subtotal
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Data Analysis and Instructional Strategies	TDE for Data Chats and other PD	Title I (Part A)	\$8,401.68	
Suacges				
				Subtotal
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Student Support	Title I hourly teacher and Paraprofessional	Title I (Part A)	\$50,812.61	
Student Support	ELP Teacher	ELP Funds	\$5,099.60	
ELP Instructional Materials	Various resources and Vendors	ELP Funds	\$268.40	
Student Support	RTI Coach	Title I (Part A)	\$19,897.82	
Research Based Supplemental Instructional Material	Various Resources and Vendors	Title I (Part A)	\$3,925.38	
				Subtotal
			Te	otal:\$88,405.49

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELL	A Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition						
	understand spoken English at grade ilar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
<ul> <li><b>1. Students scoring profic:</b> <u>CELLA Goal #1:</u> Improve current level of performance</li> <li>Number CELLA tested: 249</li> </ul>	ient in Listening/Speaking.          2012 Current Percent of Students         Proficient in Listening/Speaking:         35%         86	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students	1.1. Walkthrough		
		2.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2.1. Differentiate Instruction	2.1. AP who evaluates teacher		2.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough		

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Improve current level of performance		2.2. Insufficient standard based instruction		2.2. AP who evaluates teacher		2.2. Walkthrough
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CELLA Goal #3:       2012 Current Percent of Students         Improve current level of performance       20%         49       49	Insufficient standard based instruction	Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	3.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
	2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3		2.2. 2.3

### **CELLA Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fun	nded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · · · ·		·	Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	·	·	·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of CELLA Goals

## **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary I	Mathemati	cs Goals		Problem-Solvin	ng Process to Increas	se Student Achievement	
reference to "Guiding	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a.FCAT 2.0: Stude         AchievementLevel 3         Mathematics Goal         #1a:         Improve current level of performance			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson			1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies		1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc.	1a.2. Walkthrough

Ib. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students       1b.2.       1b.2							*Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.       Insufficient standard based instructional Strategies       AP who evaluates teacher       Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning objectives and goals by specifical stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning objectives and points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning instructional objectives and by connecting instructional objectives and by c				Insufficient standard based		AP who evaluates teacher	Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order	Walkthrough
Mathematics Goal       2012 Current Level of Performance:*       2013 Expected Level of Performance:*       Instructional Strategies       teacher       * Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate * Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and	1b. Florida Alternat	e Assessmen					1b.2.	
goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	Mathematics Goal #1b: Improve current level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease in	standard based		teacher	*Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes aligned to access points when appropriate *Student readiness for learning occurs by connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback;	Walkthrough
1b.2. 1b.2. 1b.2. 1b.2. 1b.2.				1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	Questions", identif	fy and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a.FCAT 2.0:Studen AchievementLevels 4 Mathematics Goal #2a:	ts scoring at of and 5 in mat 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 11%	or above		2a.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	2a.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom discussions and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and	
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	progress of students 2a.2.	2a.2.
	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida Alternate scoring at or above L Mathematics Goal #2b: Improve current level of performance	evel 7 in mat 2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A	hematics.	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal	2b1. Walkthrough

			2b2. 2t	o.2.		and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of studentsaligned to FAA access points 2b.2.	2b.2.
			2b.3 2t	p.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
reference to "Guiding Qu	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>#3a:</u>	athematics. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 49%	-	3a.1. Lack of student engagement	3a.1. Differentiate Instruction		Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse	3a.1. School Summary of observation section of teacher appraisal results IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable

		3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a3.	understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3a.2. 3a.3.	3a.2. 3a.3.
#3b:	2012 Current     2013E       Level of     Level of       Performance:*     Perform       49%     100%	Lack of student engagement       xpected of mance:*       o of nts will ing	3b.1. Differentiate Instruction	3b.1. AP who evaluates teacher 3b.2.	3b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty. 3b.2.	IPI data when available State instructional walkthrough when applicable
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.

reference to "Guiding Q	student achievement data, a Juestions", identify and define ement for the following grou	ne	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>#4a:</u>	8	of instruction <u>ce:*</u> will	4a.1. Differentiate Instruction	4a.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4a.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	4a.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
		4a.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4a.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4a.2. SBLT	4a.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety of intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives *Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers	and intervention teachers communicating and planning;

	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	*Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses 4a.3.	4a.3
t 25% making lear s. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 55% 100% stude make	ning     Lack of differentiation       of instruction     of instruction       and of mance:*     of       o of     nts will	4b.1. Differentiate Instruction	4b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	4b.1. Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate on express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	4b.2. Insufficient intervention supports exist to address the varying needs of students across academic and engagement areas	4b.2. Create intervention that support core instructional goals and objectives	4ab.2. SBLT	4b.2. *SBLT utilizes data to plan for a sufficient number and variety o intervention courses *Intervention and core teachers communicate and plan together regularly *Intervention curriculum is aligned with core instructional goals/objectives	fand intervention teachers communicating and splanning;

	4b.3.	4b.3.		*Core content materials and subject matter are integrated within intervention courses *Intervention strategies are reinforced in core classes *Interventions are integrated and aligned across all providers *Effectiveness of intervention courses are evaluated by reviewing student success in core courses 4b.3.	4b.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A: Improve current level of performance					58	63
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evalua	tion Tool
Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5b.1. White: 72% Black: 85% Hispanic: 54% Asian: 93% American Indian: 0%	5b.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are	5b.1. Lesson Plans Walkthrough	&

Improve current level of performance	28% 31 Black: 15% 17	100% of student subgroups will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction			*Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	uestions", ident	ify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language making satisfactory p Mathematics Goal #5C: Improve current level of performance	e Learners ( progress in n 2012 Current Level of	(ELL) not		5c.1. Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	5c.1. Content materials are	5c.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough

Based on the analysis of student as reference to "Guiding Questions" areas in need of improvement for th	chievement data, and ', identify and define			5C.2.		5C.2. 5C.3. Evaluation Tool
<b>5D. Students with Disabilitie making satisfactory progress</b> <u>Mathematics Goal</u> 2012 Cur         #5D:       Level of         Improve current level of performance       38%	s in mathematics. rrent 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 100% of SWD students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	5d.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.

						5D.3.
Based on the analysis of student ac reference to "Guiding Questions", areas in need of improvement for the	, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<b>5E. Economically Disadvanta making satisfactory progress</b> Mathematics Goal       2012 Cu         #5E:       Performation         Improve current level of performance       36%	s in mathematics. <u>urrent</u> <u>2013Expected</u> <u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u> 100% of Economical ly Disadvanta ged students will make learning gains An increase in proficiency by 10%	Lack of differentiation of instruction	Differentiate Instruction	AP who evaluates teacher	Content materials are differentiated by student interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and skill level *Content materials are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs) *Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners *Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
				5E.2	5E.2	5E.2
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

# Math Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
ST Math	Gr. 4,5	District Math Staff Developer	Classroom teachers grades 4,5	August 27, 2012 Ongoing as needed	review of class reports and PLC data chats	4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> grade classroom teachers				
Embedded coaching in classroom	K-5	District Math Staff Developer	Classroom teachers	Data driven as needed	PLC collaborative planning	Classroom teachers, District Math Staff Developer				
Data driven decision making based on formative assessments (CPALMS)	1-5	District Math Staff Developer	Classroom teachers	Ongoing August-May	PLC – Continue to administer MFAS	Classroom teachers, District Math Staff Developer				
SIP strategies (setting purpose for learning, high yield instructional strategies, instructional rigor, formative assessments, differentiated instruction, intervention supports)	K-5	SIP Team	instructional staff	ongoing August-June	Informal and formal observations, walkthroughs	Administrators				
Curriculum Meetings to disseminate county information	K-5	Assistant Principal	Instructional staff	Monthly August-June	Informal and formal observations, walkthroughs	Administrators				

### Math Budget

Include only school funde	ed activities/materials and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
June 2012	·		·	

	•			G 1 4 4 1
				Subtotal
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Data Analysis and Instructional Strategies	TDE for Data Chats and other PD	Title I (Part A)	\$8,401.68	
				Subtotal
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Strategy Student Support	Description of Resources           Title I hourly teacher and Paraprofessional	Funding SourceTitle I (Part A)	Amount \$50,812.61	
	_			
Student Support	Title I hourly teacher and Paraprofessional	Title I (Part A)	\$50,812.61	
Student Support Student Support	Title I hourly teacher and Paraprofessional           Math Interventionist	Title I (Part A) Title I (Part A)	\$50,812.61 \$57,296.66	

## **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and N	Aiddle Science Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
"Guiding Questions", ident	t achievement data, and reference to tify and define areas in need of the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a.FCAT 2.0:Students sco in science.	oring at Achievement Level 3	Insufficient standard based instruction		teacher		1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans	
Science Goal #1a: Improve current level of	2012 Current2013ExpectedLevel ofLevel ofPerformance:*Performance:*		lesson		to the district/school pacing guide		

performance	23	1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher		1a.2. Walkthrough
		1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	Independent Practice occur 1a.3.	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results

					which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade- level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	
2012 Current 20 Level of Le Performance:* Pe #N/A D	013Expected evel of erformance:* Decrease the umber of evel 1,2, and	based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher 1b.2.	Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.

			1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.
"Guiding Questions", iden	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2a. FCAT 2.0:Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.			2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	Determine: *Teachers regularly assess	2b1. Walkthrough
Science Goal #2a: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* 7% 6	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 4 and 5 students 5%		differentiation in instruction		students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide feedback regularly to students regarding their personal progress throughout the lesson cycle *Teachers utilize data to modify and adjust teaching practices and to reflect on the needs and progress of students aligned to FAA access points	
			2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.
			2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3
<b>2b. Florida Alternate Asse or above Level 7 in science</b> <u>Science Goal #2b:</u> Improve current level of         performance		nts scoring at 2013Expected Level of Performance:* Increase the level 7 by 5%	2b.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	2b.1. Provide formative assessments to inform differentiation in instruction	2b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	2b.1. Determine: *Teachers regularly assess students' readiness for learning and achievement of knowledge and skills during instruction *Teachers facilitate effective classroom activities and tasks that elicit evidence of learning *Teachers collect both formal and informal data regarding students' learning and provide	2b1. Walkthrough

	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3
	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.
				students regarding the personal progress throughout the lesson *Teachers utilize data modify and adjust teac practices and to reflect the needs and progress students aligned to FAA access points	cycle to hing on s of
				feedback regularly to	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

# Science Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
curriculum meetings to disseminate county curriculum	K-5	Assistant Principal	school-wide	monthly ongoing August-May	informal and formal observations, evaluative and non-evaluative walkthroughs	administrators				
PLC meetings – student data driven meetings with instructional outcome	K-5	team leaders	Grade level teams	weekly ongoing August-May	ongoing review and monitoring of student progress	administrators				
embedded coaching in classrooms	K-5	district science coach	school-wide	ongoing August-May	academic growth, observations of instructional strategies	administrators district science coach				
data chats	3-5	administrators	classroom teachers	after each assessment cycle	observations, ongoing data gathering and progress monitoring	administrators				
SIP strategies (setting purpose for learning, high yield instructional strategies,instructional rigor,formative assessments)	K-5	SIP Team	instructional staff	ongoing August-June	Informal and formal observations, walkthroughs	Administrators				

#### Science Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activit	ies/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities/materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Student Support	RTI Coach	Title I (Part A)	\$19,897.83	
Research Based Supplemental Instructional Material	Various Resources and Vendors	Title I (Part A)	\$3,925.38	
	•	·	·	Subtotal:
				Total: \$23,823.21
$\mathbf{F} = 1 - \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C} + 1$				

End of Science Goals

## Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

W	Vriting Goals			Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	;
"Guiding Questions	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1a. FCAT:Students and higher in writin Writing Goal #1a: Improve current level of performance			1a.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and learning goals in each lesson	1a.1. AP who evaluates teacher		1a.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
			1a.2. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.2. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1a.2. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.2. Determine: *Lesson focuses on essential learning objectives and goals by specifically stating the purpose for learning, lesson agenda and expected outcomes *Student readiness for learning occurs by	1a.2. Walkthrough

					-		-
						connecting instructional objectives and goals to students' background knowledge, interests, and personal goals, etc. *Explicit Instruction; Modeled Instruction; Guided Practice with Teacher Support and Feedback; Guided Practice with Peer Support and Feedback; and Independent Practice occur	
			1a.3. Insufficient standard based instruction	1a.3. Increase instructional rigor	1a.3. AP who evaluates teacher	1a.3. Evidence of: Teachers provide instruction which is aligned with the cognitive complexity levels of standards and benchmarks The cognitive complexity of models, examples, questions, tasks, and assessments are appropriate given the cognitive complexity level of grade-level standards and benchmarks Students are provided with appropriate scaffolding and supports to access higher order questions and tasks	1a.3. Walkthrough Teacher Appraisal Results
1b. Florida Alternat at 4 or higher in wri	ting.		1b.1. Insufficient standard based instruction	1b.1. Set and communicate a purpose for learning and	1b.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1b.1. Determine Lesson: *Is aligned with a course	1b.1. Walkthrough & Lesson Plans
Writing Goal #1b: Improve current level of performance	2012 Current Level of Performance:* #N/A Level 7 and above #N/A	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Decrease number of level 1,2 and 3 students		learning goals in each lesson		standard or benchmark and to the district/school pacing guide *Begins with a discussion of desired outcomes and learning goals *Includes a learning goal/essential question *Includes teacher explanation of how the class activities relate to the learning goal and to answering the essential	

				question *Focuses and/or refocuses class discussion by referring back to the learning goal/essential question *Includes a scale or rubric that relates to the learning goal is posted so that all students can see it *Teacher reference to the scale or rubric throughout the lesson	
	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.
	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.

End of Writing Goals

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				
Writing Units of Study	Grade4	District Literacy Coach	R TRADE & CLASSTOOM TEACHERS	0 0		Administrators Literacy Coach				
SIP strategies (setting purpose for learning, high yield instructional strategies,instructional rigor)	K-5	SIP Team	instructional staff	ongoing August-June	Informal and formal observations, walkthroughs	Administrators				

## Writing Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fu	inded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities	ivities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	laterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Student Support	Title I hourly teacher and Paraprofessional	Title I (Part A)	\$50,812.61	

Student Support	RTI Coach	Title I (Part A)	\$19,897.83
Research Based Supplemental Instructional Material	Various Resources and Vendors	Title I (Part A)	\$3,925.39
			Subtotal:
			Total: \$74,635.83

## **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	ndance Goa			Problem-solvi		crease Attendance	
	Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance	2012 C		1.1. Lack of student engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine: Expectations are clearly and	1.1. Decrease in Number of In-School
Improve current level of performance	95% 2012 Current Number of Studentswith Excessive Absences (10 or more) 238 2012 Current Number of	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* Greater than prior year 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year 2013Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 10% decrease from prior year		an effective school wide behavior plan		positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	Suspension Number of Students
		·	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic Grade PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for								
June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 201	June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811								

	PLC Leader	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	

#### Attendance Budget(Insert rows as needed)

	ed funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nueu acuvities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(	(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · · ·			Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Developmen	t			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	· · ·	· · ·	· · · · · ·	Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Attendance Goals

#### **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Suspension         Suspension Goal #1: Improve current level of performance       2012Total Number of Suspensions       Number of In-School         51       10% decrease from prior year         2012Total Number of Suspensions       Suspensions         51       10% decrease from prior year         2012Total Number of Students Suspended In-School       Number of Students Suspended In-School         33       10% decrease from prior year         2012Number of Out- of-School       2013 Expected Number of Suspensions         28       10% decrease from prior year         2012Total Number of Suspensions       2013 Expected Number of Suspensions         28       10% decrease from prior year         2012Total Number of Suspensions       2013 Expected Number of Suspensions         17       10% decrease from prior year	Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan	1.1. SBLT	Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	Suspension Number of Students
	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **Suspension Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811									

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

<u> </u>							
Suspension Bud	<b>get</b> (Insert row	s as needed)					
			s and exclude district fu	inded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Materials(s						
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Technology							
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Professional Developr	nent						
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Other							
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
		•					Subtotal:
							Total:
E. J. C	~ 1						

End of Suspension Goals

#### Dropout Prevention Goal(s)Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>Dropout Prevention Goal #1:</u> *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped	1.1. Students lack skills to plan for future aspirations and create educational goals	1.1. Implement High Yield Instructional Strategies	1.1. Principal		1.1. Walkthrough and teacher appraisal
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus       Grade Level/Subject       PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader       PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)       Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)       Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring       Person or Position Respondence Monitoring										

## Dropout Prevention Budget(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.					
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount		

			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

**Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template-** For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)		Problem-solv	ing Process to Parent Involvement		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement		1.1. Provide freguent home-	1.1. SBLT	1.1.	1.1.
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who	home-school	school communication in a variety of formats, and	-		

unduplicated.				support and supervise their child's educational progress			
Improve current level of performance	level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:* Increase by 20%	progress				
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader		PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					
	K-5	ТВА	Parents and families K-5	TBA	survey	Title I				
Pastries for Parents – Various topics	K-5	ТВА	Parents and families K-5	ТВА	Parent evaluation	Title I				

#### Parent Involvement Budget

	0	. 1. 1		
Include only school-based I	funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	nded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/	Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		i	·	Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

				<u> </u>
				Subtotal
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Building Family and Community Relationships	Parent/Community Liaisons	Title I (Part A)	\$41,837.22	
Compact for Parent Involvement	High Point Compacts for Success	Title I (Part A)	\$100.00	
Parent Communication	Agenda Books	Title I (Part A)	\$2,200.00	
Parent Training/Workshops	Instructional Material and Food	Title I (Part A)	\$3,939.09	
Parent/Community Communication	Stamps	Title I (Part A)	\$88.00	
Parent Communication	Parent Conferences	Title I (Part A)	\$3,524.04	
		1		Subtotal:
				Total: \$51,688.35

#### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **STEM Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	<sup>1</sup> PD Facilitator PD Participants									

#### STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based f	unded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities /materials.					
Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Materials(s)						
Strategy         Description of Resources         Funding Source         Amount							
				Subtotal:			
Technology							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount				

			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

End of STEM Goal(s)

#### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increase Student Achievement		
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u>	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## **CTE Professional Development**

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Eacilitator PD Participants				Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

#### **CTE Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development	t			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	1		I	

Subtotal:
Total:

End of CTE Goal(s)

## Additional Goal I Wellness (s)

Additi	onal Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: We	llness		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1: Improve current level of performance	Not yet meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Healthy Schools	A: Failure to form a Healthy School Team.	A: Complete Healthy Schools Program 6 Step Processonline <u>https://schools.hea</u> <u>lthiergeneration.org/</u>	A: Healthy School Team (school administrator, physical education teacher, cafeteria manager, health teacher/elementary classroom teachers	A: Completion of 6 <sup>th</sup> Step of the Healthy School Program online (Celebrate Successes)	A: Healthy School Inventory (Evaluate Your School) online
	Meeting Bronze Level on Healthy Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy Schools Inventory	Schools Inventory Meeting Silver Level on Healthy			(optional members – students, parents, school nurse)		
	Meeting Gold Level on Healthy Schools Inventory B Data: Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgr	Level on Healthy Schools Inventory B Data: Being Fit	в:	B: Complete Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student	B: physical education teachers	B: Compare Pre and Post Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgram student	B: Being Fit Matters Statistical Report (Portal)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

s	school will be inserted here.	School will improve students' scores on one Being Fit Matters/Fitnessgr am Assessment scores for selected by school.		assessments and upload data		assessments results	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## Additional Wellness Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring				

#### Additional Wellness Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	d funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		· · ·		Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
June 2012				

 Sune 2012

 Rule 6A-1.099811

 Revised April 29, 2011

			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

#### Additional Goal II Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black	Academic Ac		1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	1.1. Content materials are differentiated by student	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*	or instruction		leachei	interests, cultural background, prior knowledge of content, and	waiktiniougn
student achievement	Reading level 3 and	All black				skill level *Content materials are	
	above:15% (14)	students to make learning gains				appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners (learning readiness and specific learning needs)	
	MathLevel 3and above: 15% (17)	in reading and math				*Models, examples and questions are appropriately scaffolded to meet the needs of diverse learners	

					*Teachers provide small group instruction to target specific learning needs. *These small groups are flexible and change with the content, project and assessments *Students are provided opportunities to demonstrate or express knowledge and understanding in different ways, which includes varying degrees of difficulty.	
	1	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.										
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring					

#### Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activiti	ies/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

### Additional Goal III Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Addition	al Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
5	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Studer Students	1. Additional Goal: Student Engagement for Black Students		1.1. Lack of Student Engagement	1.1. Positive behavior supports are in place in the form of		Expectations are clearly and	
Additional Goal #1: There will be an increase in black student engagement	2012 Current Level :* 44%	2013 Expected Level :* Decrease the percent of Black students receiving referrals, and Receiving in school and out of school		an effective school wide behavior plan		Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established	

	suspensions					
]		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## Additional MOU II Goals Professional Development

Profes	sional Devel		aligned with Strategies t Please note that each Strategy does not		Learning Community (PLC) of the or PLC activity.	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

#### Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtata
				Subtota
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtota
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtota

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

#### Additional Goal IV Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal: Black graduation rate	1.1. Lack of Student	1.1. Positive behavior	1.1. SBLT	1.1. Determine:	1.1. Increase in black
Additional Goal #1:       2012 Current       2013 Expected         There will be an increase in black student graduation rate       Level :*       Level :*	Engagement	supports are in place in the form of an effective school wide behavior plan		Expectations are clearly and positively defined Behavioral expectations are taught and reviewed with all students and staff Appropriate behaviors are acknowledged Behavioral errors are proactively corrected A database for keeping records and making decisions is established Data- based monitoring and adaptations to the plan are regularly conducted	graduation rate

1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

## Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

#### Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Evidence-based Program(s	s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		·		Subtotal:

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:

#### Additional Goal V Bradley MOU (s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of sch areas in need of	ool data, identify f improvement:	and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	2012 Current Level :* 1 student	2013 Expected Level :* Increase from prior year	1.1. Lack of differentiation of instruction	1.1. Differentiate Instruction	1.1. AP who evaluates teacher	content, and skill level	1.1. Lesson Plans & Walkthrough Professional Development includes equity and cultural responsiveness
			1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2.	1.2.

## Additional MOU Goals Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

## Additional MOU Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	I funded activities/materials and exclude district fur	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
		· · ·	· · ·	Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Additional Goal(s)

#### **Final Budget**(Insert rows as needed)

That Duget(insert tows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$88,405.49
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$140,334.15
Science Budget	
	Total: \$23,823.21
Writing Budget	
	Total: \$74,635.83
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: \$51,688.35
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total: \$378,887.03

**Final Budget**(Insert rows as needed) Please provide the total budget from each section.

**Reading Budget** 

	Total:
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

## **Differentiated Accountability**

#### School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status			
Priority	X Focus	Prevent	

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

#### School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

SAC will meet monthly to review student achievement, share data, and receive input from stakeholders.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
We will use our SAC funds to supplement curriculum needs to support differentiated instruction and provide professional development funds for	\$1,193.66
teachers.	