FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: Altoona School, Inc.	District Name: Lake	School Name
Principal: Walter Schmidt	Superintendent: Dr. Moxley	Principal:
		*
SAC Chair: Beth Nelson	Date of School Board Approval:	SAC Chair:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

<u>School Grades Trend Data</u> (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) <u>Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data</u> (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Walter Schmidt	Masters of Ed. Leadership	3	2	During Mr. Schmidt's career as an administrator, the school earned an A and a B on the FCAT.
Assistant Principal	Jerry Hatfield	Masters of Ed. Leadership	7	37	During Mr. Hatfield's career his schools have earned 9 A's, 2 B's and 1 C.

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

De	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	
1.	Adhere to the state mandated class sizes.	Charter Board	Continuing	
2.	Student capacity to not exceed campus limitations.	Charter Board	Continuing	
3.	Selective hiring process.	Charter Board	Continuing	
4.				

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective. *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).



Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
14	7%	35%	43%	14%	21%	100%	21%	7%	64%

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities	
Cynthia Reardon	Katie Norris	Veteran Teacher that is Nationally Board Certified paired up with a rookie teacher.	Daily/weekly meetings. New teacher orientation help. Curriculum help.	

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A 100% of the salary of a full time Kindergarten teacher, 81% of the salary of a full time 1 st Grade teacher. ½ time FSL funded through Title I. SES Tutoring on campus. Technical Assistance meetings monthly.
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Migrant/Homeless advocate is available for assistance if students are migrant/homeless.
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Historically, our school has had a small number of homeless students enrolled; however, when we receive homeless students the homeless liaison has a protocol to follow that may
include the following steps:
1. Ensure students are receiving free breakfast and lunch.
2. Take a needs assessment of the student:
a. Is the child on grade level?
b. Are they age appropriate for grade level?
c. Are they reading, writing and performing math on grade level?
d. Do they have complete records?
e. Do they have any health concerns?
f. Are there immediate concerns regarding their well being?
3. If the student is in jeopardy in any of the above needy areas, we will refer them for social work, put them on a high priority for intensive reading and math, and have a
parent conference to make sure parent is knowledgeable of expectations.
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) full time aide, part time FSL
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs

Head Start	
Adult Education	
Career and Technical Education	
Job Training	
Other	

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Jami Thompson-ESE Teacher, Jessica Close-Teacher Jennifer Moses-Teacher Walter Schmidt- Principal. Susan Robinson-School Psychologist

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The team meets every other Wednesday, or more if needed. When students are identified, we meet about the student. Pre-measures and post measures, and interventions are decided. If interventions do not yield success, then changes of RTI levels are planned. Parents are brought in according to RTI criteria. The school works with the school psychologist when needs dictate.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? In order for the school to adhere as best as possible to the SIP, continued evaluation of the RTI process is necessary to insure that those students that need interventions get them and are benefiting from them. The RTI leadership team is responsible for such monitoring and implementation of a successful RTI program. Success yields higher scores, which is an important aspect of school improvement.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Data sources include teacher record, curriculum based data, FAIR testing, and interventions suggested by psychologist and LCSB appointed RTI director.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The staff will be in-serviced by Ms. Thompson and Mr. Schmidt. Additionally, the staff will be aided by the school psychologist Susan Robinson at her scheduled and non scheduled times.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Human power, funding, time and planning have all been allocated to support the MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Cynthia Reardon and Dawn Cerney

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Team meets, determines goals, attend workshops and conferences, and in-services the staff.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

To implement recent research to increase reading scores for ESE and level I readers.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Altoona School will assist the preschool transition by:

- Educating parents about the changes that occur.
- Assisting the students with their everyday adjustments.
- Helping to create a student with judgment, discipline and drive.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Readi	ing Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	student achieven uestions," identi ement for the foll	ment data and fy and define owing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Stude	nts scoring a	t	1A.1. Economic hardship.	1A.1. Title I funded aides and	1A.1. Principal	1A.1. FAIR and teacher	1A.1. FCAT	
Achievement Level 3	in reading.			utors.		evaluation.		
Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of Performences*	2013 Expected Level of Parformance:*						
Increase the percentage of students scoring a 3 from 36% to 40%	36%	40%		\sim \sim				
			1A.2. Lack of help at home regarding homework.	1A.2. Parent resource center at school and district level.	1A.2. FSL	1A.2.FAIR and teacher evaluation.	1A.2. FCAT	
			1A.3.Fluency issue	1A.3. RTI	1A.3. Classroom teacher and RTI Team	1A.3. Intervention tool results.	1A.3. FCAT	
1B. Florida Alternate scoring at Levels 4, 5,	e Assessment , and 6 in rea	: Students ading.	1B.1.	1B.1	1B.1	1B.1.	1B.1.	
<u>Reading Goal #1B:</u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
			18.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students Achievement Levels 4 in Reading Goal #2A: Increase the percentage of students scoring a 4, 5 or 6 in reading from 24% to 30%.	s scoring at or above n reading. 12 Current 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* % 25%	2A.1. Home economic factors	2A.1. Additional Aide	2A.1. Principal	2A.1. FAIR and teacher evaluation	2A.1.FCAT
		2A.2. Lack of consistent help with homework	2A.2. Parent Resource Center	2A.2. FSL	2A.2. FAIR and teacher evaluation	2A.2.FCAT
		2A.3. Low scores on first run FAIR tests.	2A.3. Interventions	2A.3. Teacher	2A.3. FAIR and teacher evaluation	2A.3.FCAT
2B. Florida Alternate A scoring at or above Lev Reading Goal #2B: 20 Le	Assessment: Students rel 7 in reading.	2B.1.	28.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Enter narrative for the E goal in this box. En da lev pei thi	nter numerical Enter numerical tta for current data for expected vel of level of rformance in performance in is box. this box.					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students makin learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3A: 2012 Current Level of Performance: *	g 3A.1. As referenced on DOE site, increase in test difficulty.	3A.1. Application of comprehensive teaching strategies aligned with new test requirements.	3A.1.Principal	3A.1. Test scores and FAIR	3A.1.FCAT
Percentage of students refrontance. Ferformance. Sector management of the sector management of t					
	3A.2. Lack of adequate support at home in homework.	3A.2. Additional aide time.	3A.2.Teacher	3A.2.Test scores and FAIR	3A.2.FCAT
	3A.3. Economic hardship.	3A.3. Parent Resource Center provided by Title I.	3A.3. FSL	3A.3.Test scores and FAIR	3A.3.FCAT
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentag of students making learning gains in reading	e ^{3B.1.}	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
Reading Goal #3B:2012 Current2013 ExpectedEnter narrative for the goal in this box.Level of Performance:*Performance:*Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.Enter numerical level of performance in this box.					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percen lowest 25% making le	4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4A.1. Application of comprehensive teaching strategies aligned with new test requirements.	4A.1Principal	4A.1. Test scores and FAIR	4A.1. FCAT
Reading Goal #4A: The number of students in the lower quartile making learning gains will increase from 42% to	2012 Current 2013 Level of Leve Performance:* Performance 42% 50%	3 Expected el of formance:*					
50%			4A.2. Lack of adequate support at home in homework.	4A.2. Additional aide time.	4A.2. Teacher	4A.2. Test scores and FAIR	4A.2. FCAT
			4A.3. Economic hardship.	4A.3. Parent Resource Center provided by Title I.	4A.3. FSL	4A.3. Test scores and FAIR	4A.3. FCAT
4B. Florida Alternate of students in lowest 2 gains in reading. Reading Goal #4B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: Per 5% making lear 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current data for current data for current level of berformance in performance in this box.	rcentage rning <u>3 Expected</u> el of <u>ormance:*</u> for expected of prmance in box.	4B.1.	48.1.	48.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.
			4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
			4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011	72	74%	77%	79%	<mark>82</mark>	<mark>85</mark>
Reading Goal #5A: Success in Reading Application will increase.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
5B. Student subgrouj Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory j Reading Goal #5B: No subgroups	ps by ethnicity (White, n, American Indian) not progress in reading. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White:60 White:72 Black: Black: Hispanic: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: American American Indian: Indian:	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
		58.2.	58.2.	58.2.	58.2.	58.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B. 3 .	

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Qu areas in need of improvem	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language making satisfactory p <u>Reading Goal #5C:</u> NA 0% tested	e Learners (ELL) not progress in reading. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. et al. 2013 Expected Level of Performance in this box.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
		5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Dis making satisfactory p Reading Goal #5D: NA 0% tested	Sabilities (SWD) not progress in reading. 2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current data for current level of performance in performance in this box. Level of performance in this box.	SD.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
		5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.	5D.2. 5D.3.
			1			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: 2012 Current The percentage of 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance: Performance:*		5E.1. Economic hardship	5E.1. Application of comprehensive teaching strategies aligned with new test requirements.	5E.1.Principal	5E.1. Test scores and FAIR	5E.1. FCAT	
students not making satisfactory gains in reading will decrease	44%	35%					
from 44% to 35%.			5E.2. Lack of adequate support at home regarding school work	5E.2.Additional aide time	5E.2.Principal	5E.2. Test scores and FAIR	5E.2. FCAT
			5E.3.Low vocabulary exposure.	5E.3.Parent Resource Center provided by Title I	5E.3.FSL	5E.3. Test scores and FAIR	5E.3. FCAT

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
Reading	All grade levels	Schmidt	Grade level/school wide	Early release – every other week.	Principal meets with lead teachers	Schmidt		
Reading	All grade levels	Schmidt School wide Early release, 1 st week of the month.		Principal meets with lead teachers	Schmidt			

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funde	d activities/materials and exclude district funded ac	ctivities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1: Maintain 100% proficiency 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking: 100%	1.1. More ELL students	1.1.Qualified teachers and ESOL director	1.1.Principal	1.1.monitoring tools	1.1.CELLA	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.5.			1.0.	1.5.	
Students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2: 100% 100%	2.1. More ELL students	2.1. Qualified teachers and ESOL director	2.11.Principal	2.1. monitoring tools	2.1. CELLA	
	2.2. 2.3.	2.2.	2.2. 2.3.	2.2. 2.3.	2.2. 2.3.	

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Students scoring pr CELLA Goal #3: 100%	oficient in writing. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing : 100%.	2.1 More ELL students	2.1. Qualified teachers and ESOL director	2.1. Principal	2.1. monitoring tools	2.1. CELLA
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.



CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based fu	unded activities/materials and exclude district fu	nded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/M	Aaterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:
End of CELLA Goals				

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics	Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement reference to "Guiding Questions," identify an in need of improvement for the followin	ent data and nd define areas ng group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* The percentage of students scoring a level 3 in mathematics will 30% 45%		1A.1. Economic hardship	1A.1. Increased aide time	1A.1. Principal	1A.1. test scores	1A.1. FCAT
increase from 30% to 45%		1A.2. traditionally low math scores	1A.2. Application of comprehensive teaching strategies aligned with new test requirements.	1A.2. Principal	1A.2 test scores	1A.2. FCAT
		1A.3. Lack of parental help	1A.3. Parent resource center	1A.3. FSL	1A.3 test scores	1A.3. FCAT
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box		IB.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1A.1.	IA.1.	IA.I.	1A.1.	1A.1.
	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#1B:</u> <i>Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box.</i>		18.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.
	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Que in need of improvement	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Studer Achievement Levels 4	nts scoring at 4 and 5 in ma	t or above thematics.	2A.1. Economic hardship	2A.1. Increased aide time	2A.1. Principal	2A.1. test scores	2A.1. FCAT
Mathematics Goal #2A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The number of students scoring a 4 or 5 on the Math will increase from	20%	35%					
20% to 35%			2A.2. traditionally low math scores	2A.2. Application of comprehensive teaching strategies aligned with new test requirements	2A.2. Principal	2A.2. test scores	2A.2. FCAT
			2A.3. Lack of parental help	2A.3. Parent resource center	2A.3.FSL	2A.3. test scores	2A.3. FCAT
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		Students hematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Mathematics Goal #2B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numericat data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Que in need of improvem	Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3A. FCAT 2.0: Perce learning gains in mat	ntage of stude thematics.	ents making	3A.1. Economic hardship	3A.1. Increased aide time	3A.1. Principal	3A.1. test scores	3A.1. FCAT
Mathematics Goal #3A:	2012 Current 2 Level of I Performance:* I	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Percentage of students making learning gains in math will increase from	1470 2	23 70					
14% to 25%			3A.2. traditionally low math scores	3A.2. Application of comprehensive teaching strategies aligned with new test requirements	3A.2. Principal	3A.2. test scores	3A.2. FCAT
			3A.3. Lack of parental help	3A.3. Parent resource center	3A.3. FSL	3A.3. test scores	3A.3. FCAT
3B. Florida Alternate of students making le mathematics. Mathematics Goal	3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
<u>#3B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* I Enter numerical I data for current level of performance in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

de la constante da la constant

Based on the analysis of	student achiever	ment data and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
in need of improvement	ent for the follow	ing group:			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percen	ntage of stud	ents in	4A.1. Economic hardship	4A.1. Increased aide time	4A.1. Principal	4A.1. test scores	4A.1. FCAT
lowest 25% making lo	earning gains	s in					
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4A:	Level of Doutoman agest	<u>Level of</u>					
	520/	Performance:*	4				
The percentage of	53%0	00%					
making learning gains in							
mathematics will increase		•	4A.2. traditionally low math scores	4A.2. Application of	4A.2. Principal	4A.2. test scores	4A.2. FCAT
from 53% to 60%.				comprehensive teaching strategies			
				aligned with new test requirements			
			4A.3. Lack of parental help	4A.3. Parent resource center	4A.3.FSL	4A.3. test scores	4A.3. FCAT
4B. Florida Alternate	Assessment:	Percentage	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.
of students in lowest	25% making	learning					
gains in mathematics	•	8					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4B:	Level of	Level of					
<u></u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
goal in this box.	level of	level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
			4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
			4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data	a 2010-2011	<u>55</u>	<u>59</u>	<mark>63</mark>	<mark>67</mark>	71	76
<u>Mathematics Goal #5A:</u> The achievement goal gap will reduce by 50%.								
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
5B. Student subgrou Black, Hispanic, Asia making satisfactory <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	ps by ethnicity n, American In progress in ma 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	y (White, dian) not athematics. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of berformance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
			5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement da reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and det in need of improvement for the following subg	ta and Anticip ine areas roup:	bated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) making satisfactory progress in mathem Mathematics Goal #5C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	not natics. Expected of nance:* numerical r expected nance in x.	5C.1.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
	5C.2.	5C.2.		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
	5C.3.	5C.3.		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement da reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and det in need of improvement for the following subg	ta and Anticip ine areas roup:	pated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) n making satisfactory progress in mathem Mathematics Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	5D.1. 5D	5D.1.		5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
	5D.2.	5D.2.		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
	5D.3.	5D.3.		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1. Economic hardship	5E.1. Increased aide time	5E.1. Principal	5E.1. test scores	5E.1. FCAT	
Mathematics Goal #5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Economically disadvantaged youth will increase achievement of	51%	60%					
satisfactory level from 51 to 60%.			5E.2 traditionally low math scores	5E.2. Application of comprehensive teaching strategies aligned with new test requirements	5E.2. Principal	5E.2. test scores	5E.2. FCAT
			5E.3. Lack of parental help	5E.3. Parent resource center	5E.3.FSL	5E.3. test scores	5E.3. FCAT

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Middle School Mathematics Goa	S	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data a reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define in need of improvement for the following group:	d Anticipated Barrier reas	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1A: #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in this box.	IA.1. ted <u>e:*</u> rical ected e in	IA.1.	IA.I.	IA.1.	1A.1.			
	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.			
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Studen scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathemati <u>Mathematics Goal</u> #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	s IB.1. s. tied e:* rical ected e in	1B.1.	18.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.			
	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.			
		15.5.	ц р . . .	10.3.	19.5.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expected	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.
#2A: Level of Performance:* Level of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	l d				
	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.
	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Mathematics Goal 2012 Current 2013 Expected #2B: Level of Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expect level of performance in this box.			¥		
	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

de la constante da la constant

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3A. FCAT 2.0: Perce learning gains in mat	entage of stud thematics.	ents making	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
Mathematics Goal #3A:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.
			3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.
3B. Florida Alternat of students making le mathematics.	e Assessment: earning gains	Percentage in	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.
Mathematics Goal #3B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		nt data and d define areas g group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	
			4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
			4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.
4B. Florida Alternate of students in lowest gains in mathematics Mathematics Goal #4B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	e Assessment: F 25% making le 3. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of level of this box. th	Percentage earning 013 Expected evel of erformance:* nter numerical ata for expected vel of erformance in tis box.	4B.1.	4B.1.	48.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.
			4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
			4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.
					·	·	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years		2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Mathematics Goal #5A Enter narrative for the goa	Baseline data 2 A: I in this box.	2010-2011						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
5B. Student subgrou Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory] <u>Mathematics Goal</u> <u>#5B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	ps by ethnicity n, American Ind progress in mat 2012 Current 20 Level of Level of Level of Enter numerical Endata for current da level of Level of Level of performance in perthis box. thi White: W Black: Bl Hispanic: Hi Asian: As American An Indian: In	(White, iian) not thematics. D13 Expected evel of erformance:* inter numerical tha for expected vel of rformance in is box. //hite: lack: ispanic: sian: merican dian:	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1:	58.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
			5B.2. 5B.3.	5B.2. 5B.3.	5B.2. 5B.3.	5B.2. 5B.3.	5B.2. 5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of stud reference to "Guiding Question in need of improvement for	dent achievement data and ns," identify and define areas t the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.
		(
Based on the analysis of stud reference to "Guiding Question in need of improvement for	dent achievement data and ns," identify and define areas t the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
5D. Students with Disabi making satisfactory prog Mathematics Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	ilities (SWD) not gress in mathematics. 2 Current rel of formance:* er numerical a for current l of formance in box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical lata for expected level of performance in this box.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Anticipated Barrier	Str	ategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not		5E.1.	5E.1.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	
making satisfactory p	progress in m	athematics.						
<u>Mathematics Goal</u> #5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*						
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			5E.2.	5E.2.		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.
			5E.3.	5E.3.	K	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Mathematics Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	lent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	1.1.			1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1. 2.2.	2.1. 2.2.	2.1. 2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3. Florida Alternate . students making lear mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: Thing gains in 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Percentage of 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.
			3.2. 3.3.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2. 3.3.	3.2. 3.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
4. Florida Alternate a students in lowest 25 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Assessment: % making le 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Percentage of arning gains 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	4.1.		4.1.	4.1.	4.1.
			4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals
Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1. Algebra 1. Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance i	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.
this box. this box.	1.2.	1.2.	I.2. 1.3.	1.2.	1.2.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1. Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Based on ambitious but a Objectives (AMOs), iden performance targe	chievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Algebra 1 Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluati	on Tool
3B. Student subgroup Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory p <u>Algebra 1 Goal #3B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	os by ethnicity (White, A, American Indian) not progress in Algebra 1. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian: Motion Performance in Asian: American Indian: Motion Note:	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	38.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B. 2 .	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data a reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and defin areas in need of improvement for the following subgro	d Anticipated Barrier e up:	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1 Algebra 1 Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical Enter numerical for experiment this box.	3C.1. <u>se:*</u> prical rected e in	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data a reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and defin areas in need of improvement for the following subgro	d Anticipated Barrier e up:	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1 Algebra 1 Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in performance in this box.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.

Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	student achieven uestions," identif ent for the follow	nent data and Y and define ving subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Dis making satisfactory p	advantaged s progress in Al	students not lgebra 1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
Algebra 1 Goal #3E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.						
			3E.2.	3E.2.		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
			3E.3.	3E.3.		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Geometry Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in performance in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Geometry Goal #2: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of Enter numerical for expected In this box. Inter numerical for expected					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Based on ambitious but a Objectives (AMOs), iden performance targe	chievable Annual Measurable ntify reading and mathematics t for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal	Baseline data 2011-2012					
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improvem	student achievement data and uestions," identify and define ent for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3B. Student subgroup Black, Hispanic, Asian making satisfactory p <u>Geometry Goal #3B:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	s by ethnicity (White, a, American Indian) not progress in Geometry. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Black: Black: Hispanic: Hispanic: Asian: Asian: American American Indian:	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.I.	3B.1.	3B.1.
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of Performance in Performance in Performance in Performance in	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.
	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.	3C.2. 3C.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D: 2012 Current Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of 2013 Expected Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.
	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.
	^{3D.3.}	3D.3.	30.3.	3D.3.	5D.3.

Based on the analysis of s reference to "Guiding Qu areas in need of improvement	student achievement data and testions," identify and define ent for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
3E. Economically Disa	advantaged students not	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.
making satisfactory p	rogress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3E:	2012 Current2013 ExpectedLevel ofLevel of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:* Performance:*					
goal in this box.	Enter numerical Enter numerical					
a 1	data for current data for expected					
L. T	performance in performance in					
r t	this box. this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities								
			Please note that each strategy does not	require a professional developmen	t or PLC activity.				
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
Math PD Activity	All	Reardon	School wide	August 13 and 14 2012	Monthly monitoring meetings	Schmidt			

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activ	vities/materials and exclude district funded activiti	es /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:
End of Mathematics Goals			

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary ar	nd Middle S	Science		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of reference to "Guiding Q areas in need of improve	JOAIS f student achieven Questions," identif ement for the follo	nent data and Ty and define Towing group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Stude Achievement Level 3 Science Goal #1A: The percentage of students scoring a 3 on Science will increase from 30% to 40%.	ents scoring at in science. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 30%	t 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* 40%	1A.1. Lack of print rich environment at home.	1A.1. Parent Resource	1A.1. FSL	1A.1. Test scores	1A.1. FCAT	
			1A.2. Economic Hardship 1A.3. No help at home with homework	1A.2. Additional aide time 1A.3. Tutoring	1A.2. Principal 1A.3. Principal	1A.2. Test scores	1A.2. FCAT 1A.3. FCAT	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this hor.		IB.I.	IB.I.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
			1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data a reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and defi areas in need of improvement for the following grou	nd Anticipated Barrier ne 1p:	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or abo Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	ve 2A.1. Lack of print rich environment at home.	2A.1. Parent Resource	2A.1. FSL	2A.1. Test scores	2A.1. FCAT
Science Goal #2A: 2012 Current 2013Experime Level of Level of Level of Performance:*	cted				
scoring a level 4 or 5 on Science will increase from 16 to 20%.					
	2A.2. Economic Hardship	2A.2. Additional aide time	2A.2. Principal	2A.2. Test scores	2A.2. FCAT
	2A.3. No help at home with homework	2A.3. Tutoring	2A.3. Principal	2A.3. Test scores	2A.3. FCAT
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Studen scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	ts 2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.
Science Goal #2B:2012 Current Level of2013Expe Level ofEnter narrative for the goal in this box.Enter numerical data for current level ofEnter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.Enter numerical performanceEnter num performance	cted nce:* pected ce in				
	28.2.	28.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.
	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Science Goal #1: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical for expected level of performance in this box.	T d				
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.
Science Goal #2: 2012 Current 2013Expected Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Performance:* Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical level of performance in this box.					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Increase Stud	ent Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1. Biology 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	1.1. I d			1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1. Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1. 2.2.	2.1. 2.2.	2.1. 2.2.
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	v-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
Science Budget (Insert rows as	needed)							
Include only school-b	ased funded act	ivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities/materials.					
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(s	5)							
Strategy	Strategy Description of Resources			Funding Source		Amount			
							Subtotal:		
Technology									
Strategy		Description	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount			
							Subtotal:		
Professional Developm	nent								
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount			
							Subtotal:		
Other									
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount			
		• 		•		•	Subtotal:		
			-40397				Total:		

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writin	ng Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of se reference to "Guiding Questic need of improvement	tudent achievement data and ons," identify and define areas in for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1A: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:* 81%.		1A.1.Economic Hardship	1A.1.Parent resource center	IA.I.FSL	1A.1.Tests	1A.1.Writing	
above will increase from 81% to 90%	90%.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	1A.2. 1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.		1B.1.	IB.1.	IB.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	
this box. this box.		IB.2. IB.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	1B.2. 1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
Writing Teams	All	Reardon	School-wide	Monthly	Meetin	gs	Schmidt		
Writing Budget	(Insert rows a	s needed)		\ll					
Include only school-b	ased funded act	ivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	activities/materials.					
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s	5)							
Strategy	rategy Description of Resources Fu			Funding Source		Amount			
							Subtotal:		
Technology			STORES	water and a second					
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount			
							Subtotal:		
Professional Developm	nent	North Hardwook	Verterlanders, Verterlanders,						
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount			
0.1							Subtotal:		
Other			- MINING // 1997						
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount			
							Subtotal:		
							Total:		

End of Writing Goals



Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (*required in year 2014-2015*)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Civics EOC Goals		Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. 2012 Current 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Enter numerical data for current level of performance in performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.1.			1.1.	1.1.			
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. <u>Civics Goal #2:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box. <u>2012 Current</u> Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. <u>2013 Expected</u> Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1. 2.2.	2.1.	2.1.			
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.			

Civics Professional Development

Profes	ssional Devel	opment (PD)) aligned with Strategies	through Professional	Learning Comm	unity (PLC)) or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
				distantestestestestestestestestestestestestest			
Civics Budget (In	nsert rows as i	needed)					
Include only school-b	based funded act	tivities/materia	ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s)					
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Technology			abololosolos "stolesololo,	ucologia, Acceleratory Sociologicality,		1	
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Professional Developm	nent		- "Honolaton, Vonciona,			T :	
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							~
0.1							Subtotal:
Other			Notastation, Association	- I		1	
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
							Total:

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

U.S. History EOC Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter narrative for the goal in this box. 2012 Current Level of Performance:* 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. Enter numerical data for current his box.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1. 2.2.	2.1.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

U.S. History Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.									
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-1	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
				VARIANCE VARIANCE.						
U.S. History Bud	U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)									
Include only school-b	ased funded act	tivities/materia	ls and exclude district funded	activities /materials.						
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s	s)								
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount				
							Subtotal:			
Technology				nono, 🔽 anna suo		1				
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount				
							~ ~ ~ ~			
							Subtotal:			
Professional Developm	nent	Andreas Declarator	Andreastan, Adventions,	Notes Deductor,		1				
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount				
							Subtotal:			
Other			Territoria							
Strategy		Descriptio	on of Resources	Funding Source		Amount				
							Subtotal:			
							Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attenda	nce Goal(s	5)		Problem-solving	g Process to Increase	Attendance	
Based on the analysis of a "Guiding Questions," iden imp	attendance data a ntify and define a rovement:	nd reference to areas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1: Attendance will improve overall from the previous year's issues.	2012 Current Attendance Rate:* 94% 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 2 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 0	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:* 92% 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) 2 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 2	1.1.Lack of parental support	1.1.Parental education	1.1.Principal	1.1 attendance	1.1.attendance from AS400
			1.3.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
							J

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.								
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	v-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
Attendance Budge	et (Insert rows	s as needed)					·	
Include only school-b	ased funded act	ivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.				
Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Materials(s	3)						
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
							Subtotal:	
Technology				E I' C				
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source	Funding Source			
							Subtotalı	
Professional Developm	pont						Subtotal:	
Strategy	lent	Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
Strategy		Descriptio	I of Resources	Funding Source		7 iniouni		
							Subtotal:	
Other								
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount		
		-		-		1		
		<u> </u>		11		1	Subtotal:	
							Total:	
End of Attendance	Coals							

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Susp	pension Goal(s	5)	Problem-solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of s Questions," identify an	uspension data, and re d define areas in need	eference to "Guiding l of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: Suspension numbers will improve through communication with parents and Wednesday Detention as a consequence.	2012 Total Number of In –School Suspensions 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended in-School 2012 Total Number of Out-of- School Suspensions 1 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions 0 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School 0 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 1 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School	1.1.Parental cooperation.	1.1.Communication between parent, student, teacher and principal.	1.1.Principal	1.1.Number of suspensions.	1.1.Year end suspension numbers.	
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Profes	ssional Develo	opment (PD)	aligned with Strategies t	hrough Professional L	earning Comm	nunity (PLC)	or PD Activity
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow	v-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Suspension Bud	get (Insert rov	vs as needed)					
Include only school-b	ased funded act	ivities/material	s and exclude district funded a	ctivities /materials.			
Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Materials(s	5)			Nortortochomb.		
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Technology					_	-	
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
Professional Developn	nent		natalogagis, Natalons, Natalo			1	
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							~ ~ ~ ~ ~
							Subtotal:
Other						Τ.	
Strategy		Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Amount	
							Subtotal:
			1997 - 19				Total:

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout P	revention Goal(s)	Problem-solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Dropout Prevention	I	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:	2012 Current 2013 Expected Dropout Rate:* Dropout Rate:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for dropout rate in this box.Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.2012 Current2013 Expected					
Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school	Graduation Rate: Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical Enter numerical data data for for expected graduation rate in graduation rate in this box. this box.					
year.		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity										
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.					
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring										
		- Verbeiten der Gesternen der Geste								

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-base	ed funded activities/materials and exclude district fun	ded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program((s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
			\mathbf{P}	Subtotal:
Professional Developmen	t			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:
End of Dropout Preve	ention Goal(s)			

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)				Problem-solv	ing Process to Pa	arent Involvement	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Parent Involvement			1.1.Parents work	1.1.Flexible scheduling	1.1.FSL	1.1.Sign in sheets	1.1.End of year PIP review
Parent Involvement Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
20% unduplicated participation. *Please refer to the	20%	25%					
percentage of parents who participated in school			1.2.Lack of parent transportation	1.2. Flexible scheduling	1.2. FSL	1.2. Sign in sheets	1.2. End of year PIP review
unduplicated.			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional development	nt or PLC activity.				
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PD Participants Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring								
		And and a second s							
		404004	Territor, and territoria						
			Vereneration and a second second						

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded a	ctivities/materials and exclude district funded ac	tivities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials	s(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Parent Involvement	Title I Money	Title I	6990.00
			Subtotal:6990.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Supplies	Title I Money	Title I	544.94
			Subtotal:544.94
			Total:7534.94

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)		Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievement	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
STEM Professional Development	\sim				

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
			Please note that each Strategy does not	require a professional developmer	nt or PLC activity.			
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activity	ties/materials and exclude district funded activ	vities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:
End of STEM Goal(s)			

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)		Problem-Solving P	rocess to Increas	se Student Achievemen	t
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.		1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
CTE Professional Development	\sim				

CTE Professional Development

Profes	Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity								
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus Grade Level/Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsi Monitoring									

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based	funded activities/materials and exclude district	funded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)	/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
				Subtotal:
				Total:
End of CTE Goal(s)				

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)			Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Additional Goal			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box		T / * 1					
<i>uus vo</i>	Enter numerical data for current	Enter numerical data for expected					
	goal in this box.	goal in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
			1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity							
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.							
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded acti	vities/materials and exclude district funded act	ivities /materials.	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
	Subtotal:		
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
Other			-
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			Subtotal:
			Total:
End of Additional Goal(s)			

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	T-4-1
Attendance Pudget	1 otal:
Attendance Budget	Total
Suspension Budget	10001.
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:7534.94
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	1.0.4.1.7524.04
Gra	ina 10tal:/534.94
2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

School governance and parental involvement opportunities.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Playground equipment	\$3000
student aides	\$2000

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011