

Pam Stewart, Commissioner

2010-11

Α

2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Hunters Creek Middle 13400 TOWN LOOP BLVD Orlando, FL 32837 407-858-4620

Title I	Free and Reduced Lunch Rate
No	49%
Charter School	Minority Rate
No	67%
	No Charter School

2011-12

Α

SIP Authority and Template

2013-14

Α

School Demographics

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

2012-13

Α

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridacims.org. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	3
Differentiated Accountability	4
Part I: Current School Status	5
Part II: Expected Improvements	17
Goals Summary	22
Goals Detail	22
Action Plan for Improvement	27
Part III: Coordination and Integration	33
Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals	34
Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals	37

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: Current School Status

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

Part II: Expected Improvements

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

- 1. Reading
- 2. Writing
- 3. Mathematics
- 4. Science
- 5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
- 6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
- 7. Social Studies
- 8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
- 9. Parental Involvement
- 10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

Part III: Coordination and Integration

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

DA Regions

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

DA Categories

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent currently C
- Focus currently D
 - Year 1 declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
 - Year 2 second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
 - Year 3 or more third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority currently F
 - Year 1 declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
 - Year 2 or more second or more consecutive F

DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

DA Category	Region	RED
Not in DA	N/A	N/A

Former F	Post-Priority Planning	Planning	Implementing TOP
No	No	No	No

Current School Status

School Information

School-Level Information

School

Hunters Creek Middle

Principal

Amy McHale

School Advisory Council chair

Shedrick Copeland

Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

Name	Title
Kevin Flanagan	Assistant Principal
Jill Trimble	Assistant Principal
Shedrick Copeland	Administrative Dean
Brian Ursic	Administrative Dean
Wendy Ruff	Curriculum Resource Teacher
Sharon Powers	Media Specialist
Kellee Moye	Reading Coach
Elyse Dominguez Lozada	Curriculum Compliance Teacher
Bernadette Harvey	Placement Specialist
Kelly Armstrong	Guidance Counselor
Katharine Brown	Language Arts Professional Learning Community(PLC) Leader
Amy Pratt	Math PLC Leader
Tony Johnson	Social Studies PLC Leader
Junior Jn-Baptiste	Science PLC Leader
Frances Reeves	Electives PLC Leader
Wanda Berry	Fine Arts PLC Leader
Douglas Torborg	Physical Education PLC Leader

District-Level Information

District

Orange

Superintendent

Dr. Barbara M Jenkins

Date of school board approval of SIP

1/28/2014

School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Membership of the SAC

Amy McHale - Principal Margaret Rocher - Parent
Shedrick Copeland - Chair/Parent Christine Flynn - Parent
Katie Brown - Teacher Diane LaRouche - Parent
Joley Dominguez Lozada - Teacher Astrid Volpert - Parent
Sean Ellingham - Teacher Tina Cirsalli - Parent
Elizabeth Ellis - Teacher Deisamar Desoto - Parent
Bernadette Harvey - Teacher Terry Ferreter - Parent
Laura Hermida - Teacher Stephanie Chen - Co-Chair/Parent
Sandy Irizarry - Classified Gladymar Lisan - Parent
Annikki Merritt - Teacher Sandy Wilder - Parent
Michael Przybylek - Teacher Peggy Jackson - Parent
Chad Thornton - Teacher Eddy Dominguez - Parent
Yogi Jimenez - Parent
Marcella Wilder - Student
Sudeshna Barman - Parent

Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP

Use state and district goals as a guide for assessing the school's needs

Determine and prioritize the goals of the school based on appropriate assessments and other data

Develop measurable objectives and strategies for addressing the high priority goals

Assist in the preparing, monitoring, and evaluating the school improvement plan

Identify the appropriate use of school improvement dollars for implementing the approved school improvement plan

Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

Assist in the preparation and evaluation of the school improvement plan Analyze district and state assessment data

Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project

Has not been determined by the SAC

Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC In Compliance

If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

Highly Qualified Staff

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Administrators

of administrators

3

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Administrator Information:

Amy McHale			
Principal	Years as Administrator: 3 Years at Current School: 5		
Credentials	Bachelor of Science Psychology, Master of Science School Counseling, Educational Specialist Educational Leadership Certifications-9-12 Psychology, Middle Grades Science, K-12 Guidance,K-12 Educational Leadership,K-12 Principal		
Performance Record	2012-2013 Hunter's Creek Middle School Grade A Reading Proficiency: 78% Reading Learning Gains: 75% Reading Lowest 25%: 73% Math Proficiency: 80% Math Learning Gains: 84% Math Lowest 25%: 80% Algebra I EOC Proficiency: 96% AMO Reading: 78% of all students were proficient AMO Math: 81% of all students were proficient		
Kevin Flanagan			
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 0	Years at Current School: 9	
Credentials	Bachelor of Arts Political Science, Master of Arts Educational Leadership, Master of Arts Reading Certifications-Middle Grades Social Studies, K-12 Educational Leadership		
Performance Record	2012-2013 Hunter's Creek Middle School G Reading Proficiency: 78% Reading Learning Gains: 75% Reading Lowest 25%: 73% Math Proficiency: 80% Math Learning Gains: 84% Math Lowest 25%: 80% Algebra I EOC Proficiency: 96% AMO Reading: 78% of all students of	nts were proficient	

Jill Trimble			
Asst Principal	Years as Administrator: 0	Years at Current School: 0	
Credentials	Batchelor of Arts Criminal Justice, Master of Business Administration Certifications- K-12 Educational Leadership, K-12 ESE, Middle Grades Integrated Curriculum, K-12 Reading Endorsement		
Performance Record	2012-2013 Chain of Lakes School Grade B Reading Proficiency: 62.2% Reading Learning Gains: 65.9% Reading Lowest 25%: 66.1% Math Proficiency: 56.4% Math Learning Gains: 56.3% Math Lowest 25%: 55.8% Algebra I EOC Proficiency: 88% AMO Reading: 66% of all students of the st	•	

Instructional Coaches

of instructional coaches

3

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Instructional Coach Information:

Wendy Ruff			
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 6	Years at Current School: 2	
Areas	Reading/Literacy, Data, Rtl/MTSS, Other		
Credentials	Bachelor of Art English Certifications- 5-9 English, 6-12 English		
Performance Record	Certifications- 5-9 English, 6-12 English 2012-2013 Hunter's Creek Middle School Grade A Reading Proficiency: 78% Reading Learning Gains: 75% Reading Lowest 25%: 73% Math Proficiency: 80% Math Learning Gains: 84% Math Lowest 25%: 80% Algebra I EOC Proficiency: 96% AMO Reading: 78% of all students were proficient		

Kellee Moye			
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 0	Years at Current School: 8	
Areas	Reading/Literacy, Data		
Credentials	Bachelor of Art Literature, Master of Art Elementary Education, Masters of Art Reading Certifications-Elementary Education, Secondary English, K-12 Reading Endorsements- ESOL, Reading, Gifted		
Performance Record	2012-2013 Hunter's Creek Middle School Grade A Reading Proficiency: 78% Reading Learning Gains: 75% Reading Lowest 25%: 73% Math Proficiency: 80% Math Learning Gains: 84% Math Lowest 25%: 80% Algebra I EOC Proficiency: 96% AMO Reading: 78% of all students were proficient AMO Math: 81% of all students were proficient		

Sharon Powers			
Full-time / School-based	Years as Coach: 8	Years at Current School: 5	
Areas	Reading/Literacy, Data, Other		
Credentials	Bachelor of Science English Education, Master of Science Instructional Design Certifications- 6-12 English, K-12 Media Specialist		
Performance Record	2012-2013 Hunter's Creek Middle School Greading Proficiency: 78% Reading Learning Gains: 75% Reading Lowest 25%: 73% Math Proficiency: 80% Math Learning Gains: 84% Math Lowest 25%: 80% Algebra I EOC Proficiency: 96% AMO Reading: 78% of all students of	its were proficient	

Classroom Teachers

of classroom teachers

62

receiving effective rating or higher

56, 90%

Highly Qualified Teachers

82%

certified in-field

59, 95%

ESOL endorsed

26, 42%

reading endorsed

6, 10%

with advanced degrees

26, 42%

National Board Certified

5, 8%

first-year teachers

3, 5%

with 1-5 years of experience

23, 37%

with 6-14 years of experience

24, 39%

with 15 or more years of experience

16, 26%

Education Paraprofessionals

of paraprofessionals

3

Highly Qualified

3, 100%

Other Instructional Personnel

of instructional personnel not captured in the sections above

6

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible

- 1. New Teacher Mentor Program- New teachers will be assigned a mentor based on subject and grade level. Mentors will meet with mentees on a regular basis and serve as first contact for new teacher. The mentor program will include regular new teacher meetings.
- 2. Professional development that focuses on Professional Learning Communities(PLC). PLCs will focus on teacher content areas as well as interdisciplinary teams; which will allow teachers time to

discuss essentials of the content as well as how to deliver such instruction.

- Professional development that focuses on Lesson Study. Lesson Study will allow teachers the ability to focus on research lessons and developing best practices. Southern Region Education Board(SREB) Math Design Collaborative(MDC)/Literacy Design Collaborative(LDC) will be used as lesson study models.
- 4. Professional Development that focuses on content common planning; which will provide teachers the opportunity to collaborate to identify essential benchmark/standards and skills for their content/ subject area. In addition, they will be able to work together to create data driven lessons to meet the needs of the learners.
- 5. Professional Development that focuses on Southern Regional Education Board practices (MDC/ LDC). Teacher leaders will continue to participate in a train the trainer model with coaches provided by SREB.

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

New teachers are assigned mentors based on subject area, grade level, and years of teaching experience. There will be monthly new teacher meetings, monthly mentee/mentor meetings, observations, and a new teacher portfolio.

Jordan Coley- Mentor Traci Trochinski

Jenny Rodriguez- Mentor Alison Nicosia

Devan Edgerton- Mentor Amy Pratt

Sean Ellingham- Mentor Tony Johnson

Vanessa Gray- Mentor Katharine Brown

Megan Sample- Mentor Shelley Daniels

Corey Ramsey- Mentor Athena Occhipinti

Cedrick Pollard-Mentor Wanda Berry

Mary Moore- Mentor Sharon Powers

Alexander Skodnik- Mentor Amy Pratt

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

We have implemented a 3-tiered intervention process model that allows different team members to push-in or pull-out for interventions based on the students' areas of need. Instructional coaches provide co-teaching opportunities and lesson modeling for teachers. Teachers notify MTSS leadership team when they feel a student is in need of additional interventions. Those team members called upon then conference with the classroom teacher and develop a tailored plan of intervention.

Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP

Amy McHale, Principal Kevin Flanagan, Assistant Principal Jill Trimble, Assistant Principal

Shedrick Copeland, Dean, 6th grade/7th grade A-L representative Brian Ursic, Dean, 7th grade M-Z, 8th grade representative Kelly Armstrong, Guidance Counselor, Co-Team Leader Annikki Merritt, Guidance Counselor, Co-Team Leader Wendy Ruff, Data expert (IMS Champion) Kellee Moye,Reading interventions Amy Pratt, Math interventions Junior Jn-Baptiste, Science interventions Classroom teachers

Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

The MTSS leadership team will meet bi-weekly to review MTSS progress and make changes as necessary. Team members will monitor the amount of intervention requests being logged through SharePoint and will use this data to guide future decisions about the intervention process. Team members will request and review teacher developed data and make decisions on individual student tier needs.

Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement

Marzano's Art and Science of Teaching Framework – weekly classroom walkthroughs Read 180/Achieve 3000
District Benchmark and Mini-Assessments
Teacher-created common assessments by department/grade level
Florida Assessments for Instruction in reading (FAIR)
Oral Reading Fluency(ORF)
My Access
School-wide writing prompts
Instructional Management Systems (IMS)
Eucational Data Warehouse(EDW)-testing results
Student Management System(SMS)-behavior, attendance

Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

The MTSS leadership team will provide professional development activities to train staff on the MTSS process, procedures, and resources. Information is disseminated also via Share Point, email, and posted on the Hunter's Creek Middle School Edmodo page.

Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

Strategy: Before or After School Program

Minutes added to school year: 7,200

Students will have the opportunity to attend tutoring after school Monday through Thursday. Tutoring schedule will be designed to meet all grade level and subject area needs. Core academic teachers will provide tutoring to students based on attendance.

Strategy Purpose(s)

· Instruction in core academic subjects

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Tutoring teachers will keep records of regular attendance and use course grades, benchmark, and FCAT scores to determine effectiveness of tutoring time.

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Jill Trimble, Assistant Principal of Instruction

Strategy: Summer Program

Minutes added to school year: 80

Algebra Camp-Students will use computer based instruction with teacher facilitation in two week long review of algebra concepts. At the completion of the program students will re-take the Algebra EOC.

Strategy Purpose(s)

Instruction in core academic subjects

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Computer based data will be collected and monitored. EOC scores will be compared.

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Jill Trimble, Assistant Principal

Strategy: Before or After School Program

Minutes added to school year: 60

Students failing a course will be given the opportunity to work on course requirements using Compass Learning in the mornings on Tuesdays and Thursdays.

Strategy Purpose(s)

· Teacher collaboration, planning and professional development

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Completion data will be collected weekly and student conferences will be used to keep students on track for completion.

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Kevin Flanagan, Assistant Principal

Strategy: Summer Program

Minutes added to school year: 40

Teachers will be given the opportunity to collaborate, plan and attend professional development during summer months. Teachers will attend expert workshops and summer training using a train the trainer model.

Strategy Purpose(s)

Enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Teachers will present new information to staff in Professional Learning Communities and/or school-wide professional development.

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Amy McHale, Principal

Strategy: Summer Program

Minutes added to school year: 40

ESOL summer enrichment program

Strategy Purpose(s)

""

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Pre and post testing will be monitored by instructors. Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment(CELLA) testing will be monitored by Curriculum Compliance Teacher(CCT).

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Elyse Dominguez, Curriculum Compliance Teacher

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

Name	Title
Amy McHale	Principal
Kevin Flanagan	Assistant Principal
Jill Trimble	Assistant Principal
Shedrick Copeland	Administrative Dean
Wendy Ruff	Curriculum Resource Teacher
Sharon Powers	Media Specialist
Katharine Brown	Language Arts PLC Leader
Kellee Moye	Reading PLC Leader
Tony Johnson	Social Studies PLC Leader
Junior Jn-Baptiste	Science PLC Leader
Amy Pratt	Math PLC Leader

How the school-based LLT functions

The LLT will meet on a monthly basis to plan school wide literacy activities. This includes professional development for teachers as well as activities to increase student engagement in the ongoing reading process. The LLT has representatives from each grade level to assist in discerning the reading needs of students at each grade level. The LLT also encompasses administration and instructional Support staff to serve as a support and communication system for teacher needs in order to increase student engagement in literacy. The LLT utilizes a plethora of testing data to monitor student reading progress and sets literacy goals as needed. The main goal of the LLT will be to increase the reading proficiency in all grade levels including specific subgroups.

Major initiatives of the LLT

The major initiative of the LLT will be to support integration of Common Core State Standards through the implementation of Literacy Design Collaborative(LDC) lessons across grade level and subject areas. LDC trained teachers will continue to meet with the LDC coach/trainer and leadership coach to ensure fidelity of implementation and receive coaching on training other teachers. The LDC PLC will meet bimonthly and will report and agenda and notes to the principal and SREB.

Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction

How the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

The Reading Coach, Kellee Moye, will be providing training for all teachers on reading strategies across the curriculum. She will also be modeling and coaching with all teachers. Teachers participating in LDC will also be focused on implementing close reading strategies that lead to purposeful writing. Teacher lesson plans will be monitored for incorporation of reading strategies and lessons. Administration will request information on reading in the content area in PLC meetings.

College and Career Readiness

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

How the school incorporates applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future

Students are exposed to career relationships and relevance in each grade level social studies class. Guidance counselors will also be providing whole group lessons focused on career choice and relevance to their future.

How the school promotes academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful

Guidance counselors meet with students during student choice session for future courses. All eighth graders are encouraged to participate in "Computing for College and Career" course.

Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level

Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Area 1: Reading

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	78%	78%	Yes	80%
American Indian				
Asian	87%	89%	Yes	88%
Black/African American	61%	69%	Yes	65%
Hispanic	73%	73%	Yes	75%
White	83%	84%	Yes	84%
English language learners	59%	48%	No	63%
Students with disabilities	40%	24%	No	46%
Economically disadvantaged	72%	72%	Yes	75%

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	366	32%	38%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	489	43%	45%

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		0%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		0%

Learning Gains

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA)	727	75%	80%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0)	168	73%	78%

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	70	63%	65%
Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	42	37%	50%
Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students)	48	43%	50%

Postsecondary Readiness

2012 Actual #	2012 Actual %	2014 Target %
ZUIZ Actual m	ZUIZ ACIUAI /0	ZUIT IAIYEL /0

On-time graduates scoring "college ready" on the Postsecondary Education Readiness Test (P.E.R.T.) or any college placement test authorized under Rule 6A-10.0315, F.A.C.

Area 2: Writing

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5	218	57%	75%
Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4	[data excluded fo	r privacy reasons]	0%

Area 3: Mathematics

Elementary and Middle School Mathematics

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

Group	2013 Target %	2013 Actual %	Target Met?	2014 Target %
All Students	81%	80%	No	83%
American Indian				
Asian	94%	98%	Yes	95%
Black/African American	63%	65%	Yes	67%
Hispanic	75%	73%	No	78%
White	87%	87%	Yes	88%
English language learners	62%	60%	No	66%
Students with disabilities	45%	37%	No	51%
Economically disadvantaged	76%	76%	Yes	78%

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	348	32%	35%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	523	47%	50%

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual # 2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	[data excluded for privacy reasons]	0%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	[data excluded for privacy reasons]	0%

Learning Gains

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Learning Gains	809	84%	89%
Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and EOC)	175	80%	85%

Middle School Acceleration

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Middle school participation in high school EOC and industry certifications	321	98%	100%
Middle school performance on high school EOC and industry certifications	312	97%	100%

Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	321	97%	100%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	212	66%	70%

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	63	100%	100%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	63	100%	100%

Area 4: Science

Middle School Science

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3	105	27%	30%
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4	149	38%	40%

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		0%
Students scoring at or above Level 7	[data excluded for privacy reasons]		0%

Area 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)

All Levels

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target
# of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs)	5		10
Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students	400	35%	50%

Area 6: Career and Technical Education (CTE)

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses	160	14%	20%
Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more <i>accelerated</i> courses	155	13%	20%
Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in accelerated courses		96%	100%
Students taking CTE industry certification exams	0	0%	0%
Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams		0%	0%
CTE program concentrators			

CTE teachers holding appropriate industry certifications

Area 8: Early Warning Systems

Middle School Indicators

	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time	99	9%	5%
Students who fail a mathematics course	4	0%	0%
Students who fail an English Language Arts course	9	0%	0%
Students who fail two or more courses in any subject	6	0%	0%
Students who receive two or more behavior referrals	40	3%	2%
Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S.	46	4%	2%

Area 9: Parent Involvement

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Parental involvement targets for the school

By June 2014, at least 90% of the parents at Hunter's Creek Middle School will participate in at least one school event.

Specific Parental Involvement Targets

Target	2013 Actual #	2013 Actual %	2014 Target %
90% of Hunter's Creek Middle School parents will participate in at least one school event.	950	83%	90%

Goals Summary

- Increase student engagement through implementation of Common Core State Standards using digital curriclum
- **G2.** To close the White/Hispanic achievement gap by increasing achievement in the Hispanic subgroup.
- **G3.** Increase rigor for high-performing students utilizing differentiated instruction.

Goals Detail

G1. Increase student engagement through implementation of Common Core State Standards using digital curriclum

Targets Supported

- All Areas
- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration, High School, High School AMO's, High School FAA, High School FAA, High School Postsecondary Readiness)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- · Social Studies
- U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- · Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- STEM High School
- CTE
- · Parental Involvement
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- EWS Middle School
- EWS High School
- · EWS Graduation
- · Additional Targets

Resources Available to Support the Goal

Devices (iPads) and Smartboard projector provided by the OCPS pilot

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- Teacher readiness to implement digital curriculum
- · Student and parent readiness to implement digital curriculum

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Teacher observation and participation in professional development

Person or Persons Responsible

Leadership team

Target Dates or Schedule:

Monthly

Evidence of Completion:

Observation data, teacher surveys

G2. To close the White/Hispanic achievement gap by increasing achievement in the Hispanic subgroup.

Targets Supported

- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- · Geometry EOC

Resources Available to Support the Goal

Curriculum compliance teacher ELL Endorsed teachers

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- · Students with limited English proficiency
- Students falling behind on their studies
- Student lack of engagement in schooling process

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Check Certify/SRS reports

Person or Persons Responsible

Amy McHale

Target Dates or Schedule:

Ongoing

Evidence of Completion:

Error free Certify/SRS reports

G3. Increase rigor for high-performing students utilizing differentiated instruction.

Targets Supported

- · All Areas
- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration, High School, High School AMO's, High School FAA, High School FAA, High School Postsecondary Readiness)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC
- · Social Studies
- U.S. History EOC
- Civics EOC
- Science
- Science Elementary School
- · Science Middle School
- Science High School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- · STEM All Levels
- STEM High School
- CTE
- · Parental Involvement
- EWS
- EWS Elementary School
- EWS Middle School
- EWS High School
- · EWS Graduation
- Additional Targets

Resources Available to Support the Goal

- "Becoming a Reflective Teacher" workbook given to each teacher
- School wide PLC meetings on Marzano Instructional framework
- PDSonline trainings on Marzano Instructional Framework
- iObservation resources on Marzano Instructional Framework

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- Inconsistent rigor for high-performing students due to lack of differentiated instruction.
- Inconsistent level of teacher understanding on how to teach and assess comprehension

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Discuss teacher data with PLC groups, examine benchmark/FCAT data

Person or Persons Responsible

Kevin Flanagan, Jill Trimble, Amy McHale

Target Dates or Schedule:

Once per nine weeks

Evidence of Completion:

Data tables and comparisions

Action Plan for Improvement

Problem Solving Key

G = Goal

B = Barrier

S = Strategy

G1. Increase student engagement through implementation of Common Core State Standards using digital curriclum

G1.B1 Teacher readiness to implement digital curriculum

G1.B1.S1 Train teachers to implement digital curriculum with a focus on Common Core State Standards(CCSS) skills.

Action Step 1

Teacher training, modeling and coaching

Person or Persons Responsible

Orange County PUblic Schools digital pilot team, Kevin Flanagan

Target Dates or Schedule

Summer and bi-monthly

Evidence of Completion

Lesson plans, teacher observation

Facilitator:

Kevin Flanagan

Participants:

All staff

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B1.S1

Teacher observation and participation in professional development

Person or Persons Responsible

Leadership team

Target Dates or Schedule

Daily

Evidence of Completion

Observation data, teacher surveys

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B1.S1

Teacher observation and training participation

Person or Persons Responsible

Kevin Flanagan

Target Dates or Schedule

Weekly

Evidence of Completion

Observation data and teacher surveys

G1.B2 Student and parent readiness to implement digital curriculum

G1.B2.S1 Students and parents will be trained to improve their utilization of digital curriculum resources to increase engagement.

Action Step 1

Students and parents will be trained to use technology and digital curriculum to increase engagement

Person or Persons Responsible

Kevin Flanagan

Target Dates or Schedule

Orientation, Open House, meetings, collaborative websites

Evidence of Completion

Lesson plans, meeting minutes

Facilitator:

Kevin Flanagan

Participants:

All students, participating parents

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B2.S1

Parent/student emails and surveys

Person or Persons Responsible

Kevin Flanagan

Target Dates or Schedule

Monthly

Evidence of Completion

Results from emails and/or surveys

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B2.S1

The use of digital curriculum resources

Person or Persons Responsible

Kevin Flanagan

Target Dates or Schedule

Monthly

Evidence of Completion

Monitor digital curriculum resource reports for increased parent and student activity

G2. To close the White/Hispanic achievement gap by increasing achievement in the Hispanic subgroup.

G2.B1 Students with limited English proficiency

G2.B1.S1 Ensure all ELL students are served through English Language Learners language arts course and/or reading course as needed.

Action Step 1

Ensure all incoming students are tested and placed according to individual needs

Person or Persons Responsible

Guidance counselors, Joley Dominguez Lozada

Target Dates or Schedule

Daily

Evidence of Completion

All ELL students properly scheduled into support courses.

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B1.S1

Check Certify and SRS(State Reporting) reports for accuracy.

Person or Persons Responsible

Jill Trimble

Target Dates or Schedule

Survey 2 and Survey 3

Evidence of Completion

Error free Certify/SRS reports

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G2.B1.S1

Check Certify/SRS reports

Person or Persons Responsible

Jill Trimble

Target Dates or Schedule

Survey 2(October) and Survey 3 (February)

Evidence of Completion

Error free Certify/SRS reports

G3. Increase rigor for high-performing students utilizing differentiated instruction.

G3.B1 Inconsistent rigor for high-performing students due to lack of differentiated instruction.

G3.B1.S1 Provide professional development and monitor use of teaching strategies that encourage differentiated instruction.

Action Step 1

Implement differentiated instruction lessons to increase rigor for high-performing students.

Person or Persons Responsible

Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule

Ongoing

Evidence of Completion

Lesson plans, teacher observations

Action Step 2

All teachers

Professional development on writing lesson plans that include differentiated instruction and extension activities.

Person or Persons Responsible
Kevin Flanagan
Target Dates or Schedule
Third week of school and ongoing
Evidence of Completion
PLC minutes, teacher lesson plans
Facilitator:
Kevin Flanagan
Participants:
All teachers
Action Step 3
Professional development on scaffolding activities and enrichment activite
Person or Persons Responsible
Wendy Ruff
Target Dates or Schedule
November
Evidence of Completion
PLC minutes, teacher observation
Facilitator:
Wendy Ruff
Participants:

Action Step 4

Share and collaborate on ideas to differentiate instruction through their specific course

Person or Persons Responsible

Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule

PLC meetings

Evidence of Completion

PLC minutes, lesson plans, teacher observations, peer observations

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B1.S1

Attend PLC meetings and trainings, read and respond to PLC minutes

Person or Persons Responsible

Amy McHale, Kevin Flanagan, Jill Trimble

Target Dates or Schedule

Weekly

Evidence of Completion

Administrative discussion/agenda on differentiated instruction implementation

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G3.B1.S1

Attend PLC meetings and trainings, read and respond to PLC minutes

Person or Persons Responsible

Amy McHale, Kevin Flanagan, Jill Trimble

Target Dates or Schedule

Weekly

Evidence of Completion

Administrative discussion/agenda on differentiated instruction implementation and student achievement data

Coordination and Integration

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

How federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs are coordinated and integrated at the school

Title II Funds will be used to provide professional development opportunities including coaching through the Southern Regional Educational Board initiative, attendance at the annual Professional Learning Community conference, online course relative to digital curriculum, and attendance fees for professional development related to integration of technology in the classroom. Supplemental Academic Instruction funding will be used to pay teachers for after school tutoring program. Certified teachers will be scheduled to assist students five days a week after school Teachers from each subject area will provide small group and one on one instruction to students to increase mastery in core content areas. Title III funds will be used to pay for teacher training related to effective implementation of ELL strategies in the classroom.

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

G1. Increase student engagement through implementation of Common Core State Standards using digital curriclum

G1.B1 Teacher readiness to implement digital curriculum

G1.B1.S1 Train teachers to implement digital curriculum with a focus on Common Core State Standards(CCSS) skills.

PD Opportunity 1

Teacher training, modeling and coaching

Facilitator

Kevin Flanagan

Participants

All staff

Target Dates or Schedule

Summer and bi-monthly

Evidence of Completion

Lesson plans, teacher observation

G1.B2 Student and parent readiness to implement digital curriculum

G1.B2.S1 Students and parents will be trained to improve their utilization of digital curriculum resources to increase engagement.

PD Opportunity 1

Students and parents will be trained to use technology and digital curriculum to increase engagement

Facilitator

Kevin Flanagan

Participants

All students, participating parents

Target Dates or Schedule

Orientation, Open House, meetings, collaborative websites

Evidence of Completion

Lesson plans, meeting minutes

G3. Increase rigor for high-performing students utilizing differentiated instruction.

G3.B1 Inconsistent rigor for high-performing students due to lack of differentiated instruction.

G3.B1.S1 Provide professional development and monitor use of teaching strategies that encourage differentiated instruction.

PD Opportunity 1

Professional development on writing lesson plans that include differentiated instruction and extension activities.

Facilitator

Kevin Flanagan

Participants

All teachers

Target Dates or Schedule

Third week of school and ongoing

Evidence of Completion

PLC minutes, teacher lesson plans

PD Opportunity 2

Professional development on scaffolding activities and enrichment activities

Facilitator

Wendy Ruff

Participants

All teachers

Target Dates or Schedule

November

Evidence of Completion

PLC minutes, teacher observation

Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals