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## Sports Leadership And Management (Slam) Middle School North Campus

16551 NE 16 AVE, North Miami Beach, FL 33162
www.slamnorth.com

Demographics

## Principal: Eddie Gorriz

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Middle School 6-8 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2018-19 Title I School | Yes |
| 2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 11\% |
| 2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented <br> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* <br> English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* <br> Economically Disadvantaged Students* |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: C (49\%) <br> 2017-18: C (52\%) <br> 2016-17: No Grade <br> 2015-16: No Grade <br> 2014-15: No Grade |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* |  |
| SI Region | Southeast |
| Regional Executive Director | LaShawn Russ-Porterfield |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year |  |
| Support Tier |  |
| ESSA Status |  |

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.


## School Board Approval

## N/A

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS\&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS\&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS\&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below $41 \%$. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS\&l:

1. have a school grade of $D$ or $F$
2. have a graduation rate of $67 \%$ or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41\%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.
The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate $67 \%$ or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.
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## Sports Leadership And Management (Slam) Middle School North Campus

16551 NE 16 AVE, North Miami Beach, FL 33162

## www.slamnorth.com

## School Demographics

## School Type and Grades Served

 (per MSID File)Middle School
6-8

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)

K-12 General Education

## 2018-19 Title I School

Yes

Charter School

Yes

2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)

93\%

School Grades History

| Year | $2018-19$ | $2017-18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | C | $C$ |

School Board Approval

## N/A

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of $D$ or F .

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of $D$ or $F$ (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of $A, B$, or $C$, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

## School Mission and Vision

## Provide the school's mission statement.

Sports Leadership And Management (SLAM) North Middle School's mission statement is to provide a safe, innovative, positive, engaging, and in-depth secondary educational program that will produce college-bound students through emphasis on post-secondary preparation and sports-related majors. SLAM is a community dedicated to inspiring all students to achieve academic success while infusing the ideals of school citizenship.

Provide the school's vision statement.
SLAM strives to provide a confident and safe environment that fosters a rigorous academic and technological curriculum to prepare students to become lifelong learners. In order to produce college bound and career-oriented graduates, the school believes it must create strong relationships with all stakeholders which fosters growth and leadership.

## School Leadership Team

Membership
Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:
Name Title Job Duties and Responsibilities

Mr. Gorriz manages the operations of the school. He is responsible for ensuring the

Gorriz, Edward school runs smoothly, remains safe, and provides an excellent learning environment for the students. In addition, there is contant communication between all staff memebers.

Dr. Falcon is one of the Lead Teachers at SLAM North. She is resposible for doing weekly walk throughs and monthly observations. In addition, She works with all Falcon, Other Evelyn teachers to implement improvements in lesson plans and teaching methods. Also, Dr. Falcon works along side Mr. Gorriz to ensure that the school is running smoothly.

Mr. Casa is another Lead Teacher at SLAM North. He is resposible for doing weekly walk throughs and monthly observations. He works with all teachers to Casa, Other ensure that the school is a safe environment. This includes morning arrival and Cody Other afternoon dismissal. Also, Mr. Casa works alongside the dean of discipline to ensure that all students are held accountable. In addition, Mr. Casa oversees the dual enrollment program.

## Early Warning Systems

## Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 105 | 93 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 23 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units) 16

Date this data was collected or last updated
Monday 9/9/2019

## Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 68 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 43 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 68 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 43 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component |  | 2019 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |
| ELA Achievement | $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| ELA Learning Gains | $57 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $54 \%$ |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | $58 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $44 \%$ |  |
| Math Achievement | $49 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $56 \%$ |  |
| Math Learning Gains | $38 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $57 \%$ |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | $30 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Science Achievement | $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Social Studies Achievement | $65 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |

## EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator |  | Grade Level (prior year reported) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |
| Number of students enrolled | $87(0)$ | $105(0)$ | $93(0)$ | $285(0)$ |
| Attendance below 90 percent | $0(3)$ | $0(11)$ | $0(10)$ | $0(24)$ |
| One or more suspensions | $1(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $1(0)$ | $2(0)$ |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | $0(11)$ | $0(3)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(15)$ |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | $16(41)$ | $23(68)$ | $29(38)$ | $68(147)$ |

## Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.


| MATH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | 58\% | 58\% | 0\% | 55\% | 3\% |
|  | 2018 | 40\% | 56\% | -16\% | 52\% | -12\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 18\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | 2019 | 38\% | 53\% | -15\% | 54\% | -16\% |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 52\% | -4\% | 54\% | -6\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -10\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -2\% |  |  |  |  |
| 08 | 2019 | 33\% | 40\% | -7\% | 46\% | -13\% |
|  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -15\% |  |  |  |  |


| SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- <br> District <br> Comparison | State | School- <br> State <br> Comparison |
| 08 | 2019 | $39 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $-4 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $-9 \%$ |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| BIOLOGY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIVICS EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 65\% | 73\% | -8\% | 71\% | -6\% |
| 2018 | 88\% | 72\% | 16\% | 71\% | 17\% |
| Compare |  | -23\% |  |  |  |
| HISTORY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALGEBRA EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 67\% | 63\% | 4\% | 61\% | 6\% |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEOMETRY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS

| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { C \& C } \\ \text { Accel } \\ 2017-18 \end{array}$ |
| SWD | 30 | 60 |  | 20 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 45 | 54 | 60 | 43 | 37 | 42 | 27 | 57 | 40 |  |  |
| BLK | 57 | 53 | 50 | 41 | 28 | 14 | 29 | 57 |  |  |  |
| HSP | 61 | 59 | 60 | 52 | 40 | 31 | 39 | 66 | 47 |  |  |
| WHT | 60 | 50 |  | 40 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL | 58 | 58 | 57 | 47 | 37 | 28 | 36 | 64 | 50 |  |  |
| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Sci Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS } \\ \text { Ach. } \end{gathered}$ | MS Accel. |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { C \& C } \\ \text { Accel } \\ 2016-17 \end{array}$ |
| ELL | 31 | 54 | 45 | 21 | 38 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK | 45 | 63 | 60 | 24 | 19 |  |  | 85 |  |  |  |
| HSP | 59 | 62 | 52 | 46 | 38 | 30 |  | 85 |  |  |  |


| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Grad } \\ \text { Rate } \\ 2016-17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { C \& C } \\ \text { Accel } \\ 2016-17 \end{array}$ |
| FRL | 56 | 63 | 53 | 42 | 33 | 27 |  | 86 |  |  |  |
| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Grad } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { 2015-16 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | C \& C Accel 2015-16 |

## ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | TS\&I |
| :--- | :---: |
| OVSA Category (TS\&I or CS\&I) | 54 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41\% All Students | NO |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 1 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 95 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 540 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 10 |
| Percent Tested | $100 \%$ |
|  | Subgroup Data |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities With Disabilities | 38 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Fnglish Language Learners | 50 |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | NO |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? |  |
| Federal Index - Asian Students |  |


| Asian Students |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Black/African American Students |  |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 41 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Hispanic Students |  |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 55 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Multiracial Students |  |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students |  |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Pacific Islander Students |  |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students |  |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| White Students |  |
| Federal Index - White Students | 53 |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students |  |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 53 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Analysis |  |
| Data Reflection <br> Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources). |  |

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Math showed the lowest performance. Last year we implemented a new math curriculum called teach-to-one. This could be the contributing factor.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Civics showed the greatest decline. Students were not motivated. In addition, teacher tried new techniques that did not work.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Math had the greatest gap compared to the state average. Again, a new curriculum was used.
Students took a while to get accustomed to it.
Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

ELA showed the most improvement. We had afterschool tutoring as well as pull-out with an interventionist.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Our greatest area of concern is the amount of students who received a 1 on the FSA.
Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Math FSA scores
2. Civics FSA scores
3. Science FCAT Scores
4. 
5. 

> Part III: Planning for Improvement

## Areas of Focus:

Math FSA Scores
Based on FSA data, 47\% of students scored below average. Tthere needs to be a focus Rationale on mathematical achievement as well as an emphasis placed on leaning gains of the lowest 25 th percentile.

## State the measurable

 outcome the school plans to achieve
## Person

 responsible for monitoring outcome
## Evidence-

 based Strategy
## Rationale for

 Evidencebased StrategyThe school will increase achievement from $49 \%$ to $54 \%$.

Edward Gorriz (egorriz@dadeschools.net)

Differentiated instruction and Pull-Out Strategy.
Lower level math students will be using TEACH TO ONE curriculum in the classrom. This program is centered on differentiated instruction. The program is personalized to the student based on the students stregth and weaknesses. In addition, we will be implementing Pull-Outs for those students in the lowest 25th percentiles.

## Action Step

|  | 1. Second year implementing TEACH TO ONE curriculum. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | 2. Math teachers are properly trained and have more experience using program. |

3. Additional math teachers will be used for pull-outs.
Person
Responsible Edward Gorriz (egorriz@dadeschools.net)

## \#2

Title Civics FSA Scores

## Rationale

According to the Civic EOC scores, $35 \%$ of students performed below grade level. This is $23 \%$ more than the prior year. There needs to be a focus on Civics achievement scores.

## State the measurable

 outcome the school The school will increase civics scores from $65 \%$ to $70 \%$. plans to achieve
## Person responsible for

 monitoring outcomeEdward Gorriz (egorriz@dadeschools.net)
Evidence-based Strategy
Teacher will use mini-assessments in the classroom.
Rationale for Evidence- By using mini-assessments, teacher will be able to focus on what the based Strategy students mastered and what they are having difficulty with.
Action Step

## Description

Person Responsible

1. Teacher will give mini-assessments periodically.
2. Instruction will be geared towards results.
3. Teacher will use different strategies.

Edward Gorriz (egorriz@dadeschools.net)

## \#3

## Title Science FCAT Scores

According to the Science FCAT scores, $39 \%$ of students scored at or above proficiency. This is 4 percent lower than the district.

## State the

 measurable outcome theThe school will increase civics scores from $39 \%$ to $44 \%$
school plans to
achieve
Person responsible for monitoring outcome
Evidence-based Strategy

Rationale for
Evidence-based
Strategy

## Action Step

Description

Description

## Person

Responsible

1. Hired 2 additional science teachers who are certified.

## Pull-Out and Intervention

Lower level students will have extra science review course. By targeting those lower level students, teacher will be able to go more in depth with the information students are having difficulty with. In addition, we will implement pull out program with the bubble students who are in between proficiency levels.
Edward Gorriz (egorriz@dadeschools.net)
2. Differentiated instruction with the use of Pull out
3. Additional science teacher will be used for extra science review class.

Edward Gorriz (egorriz@dadeschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)
After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

## Part IV: Title I Requirements

## Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, Â§ 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

The school will hold parent academies to assist parents and keep them engaged in their child's education. The school will also continue to take part in community events at the City of North Miami Beach.

## PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

SLAM believes that engaging families and communities contributes to greater academic achievement and to the improvement of the school as a whole. The social-emotional issues of the students are met through different programs provided to the students. These programs help with providing knowledge and in-depth understanding of daily stresses, challenges, sudden tragedies, crisis intervention and transitional issues. Student services personnel help provide individual and group counseling. Presentations and whole group discussions utilizing the comprehensive curriculum are provided to the students to inform them on bullying, Aids Awareness, sexting and youth-related dilemmas. Follow up discussions between the school counselor and the parents are made to ensure the student's needs are being met. Outside agencies may be recommended for continuing support and evaluation. The school's leadership team, the general education teacher, special education teacher and the school counselor monitor student achievement, collect data quarterly, conduct data chats and communicate with the stakeholders in order to stay abreast of the student's progression and achievement. SLAM provides a wide variety of clubs, activities and sports in an effort to entice as many students as possible to participate in co-curricular and extra-curricular activities such as DECA, Student Government, National Honor Society, Science Club, Anime Club, Art Club, Chess Club, Comics Club, Environmental Club, Future Business Leaders of America, Film \& Music Club, Glee Club, History Club, and Key Club. These clubs provide students with an outlet for self expression and social-emotional development. SLAM, in order to ensure that all the social-emotional needs of the students are being met, provide activities that support student achievement, greater family involvement and increase the partnership with the community.

## Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

The Administrative Team, along with the Student Services team will assist in the process of articulation for all incoming and outgoing students. Incoming students and outgoing Seniors are provided with effective transition strategies to succeed. A New Student Orientation is held prior to the beginning of the school year to inform students and parents about policies and procedures. In addition, counselors familiarize students with academic requirements and expectations for grade level advancement/ graduation. Grade level meetings are held toward the beginning of each school year to discuss the FSA/ FCAT data, Baseline Data and Interim Data with students so that they understand where they are as a cohort. Graduation requirements for their graduating class are discussed and they are aware of what they are working to achieve. In addition, the Guidance Counselor hosts another grade level meeting just before subject selection cards are distributed to all students for the following school year. During this meeting, he goes over the graduation requirements again and discusses what they should be focusing on for next year. Students then meet with the Guidance Counselor on an individual basis if they are unclear about their path for the following school year or have any other questions regarding their academic plan. Another tool which provides students an easy transition to the high school, is our school website. It has also served as a powerful recruitment tool in that both perspective students and teachers can attain insight on the school, its teachers, and its educational philosophy. For outgoing students, before they leave, they are given a copy of their student history by subject area. They are advised on their graduation status, informed on the correct number of courses they need to complete, credits and substantial college and career readiness information to transition into college and universities.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The Leadership Team will use the Tier 1 Problem Solving process to set Tier 1 goals, monitor academic and behavior data evaluating progress at least three times per year. They will gather and analyze data at all Tiers to determine professional development for faculty as indicated by group or individual student diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment.
Title I:
Part A: Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through extended learning opportunities. School based, Title I funded Community Involvement Specialists (CIS), serve as bridge between the home and school through home visits, telephone calls, school site and community parenting activities.
Part C: Migrant Liaison provides services and support to students and parents. The liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met. The school provides services and support to migrant students and parents. The District Migrant liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs to conduct a comprehensive needs assessment of migrant students and ensure that the unique needs of migrant students
are met.
Part D:
District receives funds to support the Educational Alternative Outreach program. Services are coordinated with district Dropout Prevention programs.
Title II:
The District uses supplemental funds for training programs including add-on endorsement programs and substitute release time for these training sessions.
Title III: Funds are used to supplement and enhance the programs for English Language Learner (ELL) by providing funds to implement and provide parent outreach activities, Professional development and mentoring for content teachers. In addition, supplementary instructional materials, after-school tutoring and pull-out intervention sessions.
Title X-Homeless: All schools are eligible to receive services upon identification and classification of a student as homeless. District Homeless Social Worker provides resources for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

All of the students at SLAM are addressed at a general assembly with regards to the curriculum bulletin and course selection. The students meet individually with the counselor to review school course plan to assure that students are enrolled in courses that align with the students' future career goals. Students also complete ePEPs on www.facts.org and they are updated to reflect any changes in student programs. All students must select an academic course and follow a track in one of the academies: Sports Broadcasting, Sports Marketing or Sports Medicine. Students are offered honors, Advanced Placement and Dual Enrollment courses. SLAM has formed a partnership with St. Thomas University and we are offering a Dual Enrollment track which is aligned to the Bachelors of Arts in Sports Administration. Our teachers are credentialed with St. Thomas University as well as other Universities that will create future partnerships with SLAM. Some of the Dual Enrollment courses are offered on our campus after school.

## Part V: Budget

## The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Math FSA Scores | $\$ 0.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Civics FSA Scores | $\$ 0.00$ |


| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Science FCAT Scores |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | Total: | $\$ 154,350.00$ |

