Santa Rosa County School District

Jay High School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	14
Planning for Improvement	19
Positive Culture & Environment	22
	_
Budget to Support Goals	0

Jay High School

3741 SCHOOL ST, Jay, FL 32565

http://www.santarosa.k12.fl.us/schools/jhs/

Demographics

Principal: Benjamin West

Start Date for this Principal: 8/19/2016

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 7-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	47%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: B (55%) 2017-18: B (59%) 2016-17: B (57%) 2015-16: B (54%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Rachel Heide
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	TS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Santa Rosa County School Board on 10/8/2020.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	14
Planning for Improvement	19
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Jay High School

3741 SCHOOL ST, Jay, FL 32565

http://www.santarosa.k12.fl.us/schools/jhs/

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2019-20 Title I School	l Disadvan	D Economically taged (FRL) Rate rted on Survey 3)						
High Scho 7-12	ool	No		41%						
Primary Servio (per MSID F	• •	Charter School	(Report	9 Minority Rate ed as Non-white I Survey 2)						
K-12 General Ed	ducation	No		6%						
School Grades Histo	ry									
Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17						
Grade	В	В	В	В						

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Santa Rosa County School Board on 10/8/2020.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Provide all students an educational and social foundation to become productive citizens.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Jay High School students will leave our institution, college and career ready, as critical problem solvers prepared to face the future.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Knowlton, Stephen	Principal	As the principal of Jay High School, Mr. Knowlton is the primary educational leader on campus. As part of this process, he leads professional development and shares professional development opportunities with staff. As part of this role, Mr. Knowlton performs classroom observations to see that classroom instruction is standards-based and rooted in best instructional practices. He monitors benchmark data and shares data with all stakeholders. Routinely, Mr. Knowlton shares with stakeholders the progress of students and the strategies being implemented to improve student performance. He seeks input from SAC in creating goals for growth and areas of focus for improvement. Principal Knowlton is also responsible for the safety of students and staff while on campus. In serving this role, he facilitates safety training on campus and ensures that state and district safety requirements are met. As part of creating a safe environment, Mr. Knowlton works to foster positive relationships among students, between students and staff, and among staff members. In addition to safety and academic performance, Mr. Knowlton monitors student discipline to ensure that student's have the best environment possible for learning and that consequences are applied equitably and fairly with the goal of promoting positive behavior on campus and in the community.
Underwood, Wes	Assistant Principal	As Assistant Principal, Wesley Underwood is tasked with being an instructional leader on campus. He shares with faculty and staff professional development opportunities and leads professional development when appropriate. Mr. Underwood monitors benchmark data and works with teachers to implement instructional strategies founded in best practice. He is charged with seeking input from SAC and other stakeholders in the creation of the School Improvement Plan and reports progress to these groups regularly. In addition to being an instructional leader, Mr. Underwood is also responsible for safety on campus including overseeing facilities maintenance requests, teacher safety training, and student safety education. Assistant Principal Underwood also conducts discipline investigations while ensuring that students are provided with due process as part of the discipline process. Mr. Underwood develops strong relationships with students and staff as part of the pursuit of student success. Mr. Underwood works to ensure the technology of Jay High School is maintained and continues to function properly.
Walden, Tiffany	School Counselor	Primary duties and responsibilities of a certified school counselor include but are not limited to: *Develop counseling services and establish priorities through short and long range plans based on developmental needs of students, needs assessments, and school, district, and state priorities. *Communicate goals and services of the counseling programs to school administration, staff, students, parents, and community. *Review, evaluate, and select a variety of materials to support a well-balanced counseling program. *Establish, implement, coordinate, and monitor effective school-wide

Name

Title

Job Duties and Responsibilities

counseling services and activities.

- *Establish and follow procedures for appropriate intervention in accordance with school, district, state, and district guidelines.
- *Coordinate the proper maintenance, transfer, and acquisition of students' records as required by Santa Rosa
- *Use technology resources effectively and assist in the maintenance of the automated student data systems.
- *Provide input in the development of curriculum and the master schedule.
- *Assist in the orientation of new faculty/staff members as needed.
- *Assist in the management and dissemination of the Emergency Health Care Plans to all appropriate school personnel.
- *Coordinates and assist with the 6th grade students transition to 7th grade/high school.
- *Coordinate and/or assist with award presentations.
- *Provide assistance in the screening, referral, identification, and placement of students with special needs.
- *Assess students using the Multi Tier Support System (MTSS—formerly known as RTI) and provide assistance.
- *Monitor the 504 Plan process, including involving parents and school personnel, creating Plans, and maintaining compliance.
- *Coordinate, train, and administer a variety of standardized tests, maintain security, and interpret test results to parents, students, and school staff.
- *Provide personal/social, behavioral, career, and academic counseling activities including individual and/or group as needed to promote student success.
- *Recognize the special needs of individuals and families and make appropriate recommendations including appropriate referrals.
- *Recognize the overt indicators of student distress or abuse and take stipulated intervention, referral, or reporting action.
- *Provide crisis intervention including follow-up services as appropriate.
- *Provide intervention for at-risk students and those with special learning and behavioral needs including making appropriate plans and referrals, e.g. attendance and truancy intervention plans.
- *Assist in the registration and scheduling of students.
- *Assist students in their transition to and from feeder schools and out of district schools.
- *Consult effectively with students, parents, teachers, and other school staff to assist students toward success, providing a supportive and confidential atmosphere.
- *Serve as advocate for students.
- *Collaborate with other professionals regarding student records and information.
- *Provide information and/or in-service for teachers, administrators, and other school staff.
- *Identify student/school issues; facilitate and follow established procedures.
- *Attend and participate in faculty meetings as required.
- *Review student records and other indicators as deemed appropriate by the district guidelines to evaluate student needs.

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		*Monitor the students' growth through the MTSS process and provide assistance when needed.
Youngblood, Lance	Teacher, K-12	Primary duties and responsibilities of our Athletic Director include but are not limited to: *Works to oversee all athletic programs and coaches; *Financial responsibilities - ticket sales and fundraising for all programs; *Responsible for all game and officials' contracts; *Works to maintain a Jay High School Emergency Action Plan; *Secures buses for athletic program; *Communicates with athletes and students; *Communicates with teachers as to athletes' grades and behavior; *Works with FHSAA to follow State guidelines; *Creates guidelines for games and practices; *Works with the JHS Principal Mr. Knowlton, to evaluate all coaches; *Works to maintain a safe playing area on the fields and in the gym.
Rowell, Lana	Instructional Media	Primary duties and responsibilities of our Media Specialist and SGA Sponsor include but are not limited to: *Works collaboratively with school administration and staff to develop a library program that supports the curriculum; *Provides instructional leadership for the teaching of literacy skills; *Develops and maintains a media center collection rich in both print and non-print materials; *Manages the media center as a flexible, multi-task learning environment; *Teaches TV production and leadership courses throughout the day; *Maintains ITV scroll, ITV production, marquee media updates, collaborates with SODEXO for cafeteria news, collaborates with clubs and organizations with venue, technology, and ITV announcements; *Sponsors the Student Government Association - organizes club meetings, district meetings, and state meetings; manages Homecoming activities, dances, city parade planning, Veterans' Day events, Jay Pro Rodeo, Class Elections, Teacher Appreciation Week, and other SGA sponsored events; *Member of school Homecoming committee & permanent Junior class sponsor.
Rowell, Rhett	Dean	*Student discipline *Assist in truancy monitoring *Assist with providing a "Growth Mindset" culture when counseling with students

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Friday 8/19/2016, Benjamin West

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

2

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

32

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 7-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	47%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: B (55%) 2017-18: B (59%) 2016-17: B (57%) 2015-16: B (54%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Rachel Heide
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	

ESSA Status	TS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code	e. For more information, click here.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	79	78	76	73	65	448
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	6	1	8	5	31
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	5	3	7	4	27
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4	2	1	17
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	7	6	9	3	30
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	17	13	7	5	2	52
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	15	9	0	0	0	29

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	3	7	2	19

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	4

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 9/28/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level												
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	79	85	69	73	75	466
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3	6	18
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	21	11	9	16	5	77
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	36	37	21	23	14	149

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	15	8	9	112	5	158

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	2	1	10

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85	79	85	69	73	75	466
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	3	3	6	18
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	21	11	9	16	5	77
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	36	37	21	23	14	149

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	15	8	9	112	5	158

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	2	1	10

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Campanant		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	52%	67%	56%	54%	64%	53%		
ELA Learning Gains	48%	55%	51%	52%	53%	49%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	39%	45%	42%	49%	41%	41%		
Math Achievement	54%	65%	51%	56%	61%	49%		
Math Learning Gains	46%	49%	48%	44%	48%	44%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	32%	45%	45%	39%	37%	39%		
Science Achievement	54%	91%	68%	63%	71%	65%		
Social Studies Achievement	66%	79%	73%	64%	81%	70%		

	EWS Indi	cators as	Input Ea	rlier in the	e Survey		
Indicator		Grade	Level (pri	or year re	oorted)		Total
indicator	7	8	9	10	11	12	iolai
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2019	43%	59%	-16%	52%	-9%
	2018	42%	56%	-14%	51%	-9%
Same Grade C	omparison	1%				
Cohort Com	parison					
08	2019	48%	68%	-20%	56%	-8%
	2018	69%	71%	-2%	58%	11%
Same Grade C	omparison	-21%				
Cohort Com	parison	6%				
09	2019	54%	65%	-11%	55%	-1%
	2018	69%	61%	8%	53%	16%
Same Grade C	omparison	-15%				
Cohort Com	parison	-15%				
10	2019	69%	64%	5%	53%	16%
	2018	69%	65%	4%	53%	16%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2019	38%	54%	-16%	54%	-16%
	2018	49%	56%	-7%	54%	-5%
Same Grade C	omparison	-11%				
Cohort Com	parison					
08	2019	53%	76%	-23%	46%	7%
	2018	55%	77%	-22%	45%	10%
Same Grade C	omparison	-2%				
Cohort Com	parison	4%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
08	2019	39%	62%	-23%	48%	-9%
	2018	46%	66%	-20%	50%	-4%
Same Grade C	omparison	-7%				
Cohort Com	parison				•	

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	73%	86%	-13%	67%	6%
2018	85%	69%	16%	65%	20%
Co	ompare	-12%			
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	53%	75%	-22%	71%	-18%
2018	53%	75%	-22%	71%	-18%
Co	ompare	0%			
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	75%	78%	-3%	70%	5%
2018	74%	78%	-4%	68%	6%
Co	ompare	1%			
		ALGEE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	54%	73%	-19%	61%	-7%
2018	51%	67%	-16%	62%	-11%
Co	ompare	3%			

	GEOMETRY EOC											
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State							
2019	58%	66%	-8%	57%	1%							
2018	63%	65%	-2%	56%	7%							
C	ompare	-5%										

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	15	32	35	26	31	30	21	27			
WHT	52	47	38	55	47	33	53	66	53	98	63
FRL	38	41	39	43	40	32	36	60	31	100	50
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	17	38	29	24	39	36	13	30			
WHT	61	55	45	56	50	41	58	66	58	97	65
FRL	53	57	50	46	45	41	42	54	24	93	50
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	15	30	24	25	38	31		55			
WHT	54	53	50	56	44	38	64	65	59	90	56
FRL	43	47	42	50	41	33	54	45	50	80	50

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index		
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)		
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students		
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO	
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency		
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	605	
Total Components for the Federal Index		
Percent Tested	99%	
Subgroup Data		

Students With Disabilities					
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	27				
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	2				
English Language Learners					
Federal Index - English Language Learners					
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Native American Students					
Federal Index - Native American Students					
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Asian Students					
Federal Index - Asian Students					
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Black/African American Students					
Federal Index - Black/African American Students					
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
	N/A 0				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students					
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students	0				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	0 N/A				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0 N/A				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students	0 N/A				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students	0 N/A 0				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	0 N/A 0				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0 N/A 0				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students	0 N/A 0				

White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	55
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	46
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The Math Lowest 25 Percentile (32%) showed the lowest performance. Our score in this area was not as proficient as we had planned due in part to we have a larger gap between high performing student and low performing student sin Math. This is supported by classroom observations and discussions with teaches.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Our ELA showed the greatest decline from 2019 compared to 2018 (-9%). The reason for this decline was the students in our 7th and 8th grade had inexperienced teachers who were not education majors. We have changed that narrative this year (2020) so we expect to see a return to prior levels.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Based on the data from the Needs Assessment/Analysis section of this report, our greatest gap as compared to the State was in our Middle School Science grade (-18%). The greatest impact to this score was the reading level/ability of our 7th grade students. An additional factor was dealt with by changing the teacher involved in teaching the courses.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The area which we showed the greatest improvement was in our Algebra EOC percentage. We raised the grade 3% when comparing the two years. We had a larger number of students in our Algebra Honors class than in previous years.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

We are most concerned with the number of students who are below the 90% attendance rate. Last year 31 students were below the 90% attendance rate. This increased from 18 students the previous

year. Another area of concern is the number of students who received one or more suspensions. Last year 27 students received one or more suspensions. This number increased from 2 the year before.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Middle school ELA Achievement
- General Math Lowest 25%
- 3. Students with Disabilities
- 4. Attendance
- 5. Middle school Science

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of Focus **Description and** Rationale:

Students in this subgroup demonstrated the least amount of growth in math and ELA scores. They also represent our lowest 25% in both categories.

Measurable Outcome:

Our students in our lowest 25 percentile in math and ELA will show a 5%

growth and scores on state tests respectively.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Wes Underwood (underwoodw@santarosa.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

Provide students ample practice spaced out over time.

based Strategy:

Rationale for Evidence- By providing practice for students over time they should have a clearer understanding of how what is expected can be implemented in their work.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Monitor the documentation of practice in lesson plans.
- Track and gauge feedback regarding teacher talks .

Person Responsible Wes Underwood (underwoodw@santarosa.k12.fl.us)

Last Modified: 5/20/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 19 of 23

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of **Focus** Description and

Both 7th and 8th grade ELA scores were identified as an area needing improvement. The ability for students to read and understand ELA practices enhance these student's success in all aspects of education and life. This data point was identified as one of the areas needing improvement based on 2018-19 FSA scores.

Measurable Outcome:

Rationale:

Learning gains in 7th and 8th grade ELA scores will increase by 5%.

Person responsible for

Tiffany Walden (waldent@santarosa.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome: Evidence-

Provide all 7th and 8th grade students with enhanced opportunities to broaden their communication skills through various means in their ELA courses and electives. This will

Strategy: be performed through students summarizing information in graphic organizers.

Rationale for

based

By enhancing their overall communication capabilities, these students will be exposed to greater skill comprehension and thus increase their abilities. Using graphic ways to Evidencedemonstrate understanding will allow students to provide teachers feedback and based confirmation that the student have a clearer understanding of the material.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Participate in a variety of activities which emphasize communication in their ELA classes and middle school electives courses.
- 2. Document activities through teacher grade books and lesson plans.
- 3. Collect various samplings of student work.

Person Responsible

Tiffany Walden (waldent@santarosa.k12.fl.us)

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and

Both 7th and 8th grade General Math scores were identified as an area needing improvement. The ability for students to perform general mathematic functions enhance the student's success in all aspects of education and life. This data point was identified as one of the areas needing improvement based on 2018-19 FSA scores.

Measurable Outcome:

Rationale:

Learning gains in 7th and 8th grade math scores will increase by 5%.

Person responsible for

Wes Underwood (underwoodw@santarosa.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-

based

Provide all 7th and 8th grade students with enhanced opportunities to broaden their General Math skills through various means in their Math courses and electives. To

Strategy:

accomplish, this teacher will provide clear expectations of what the want their students to learn.

Rationale

for Evidence-

By enhancing their overall Math capabilities, these students will be exposed to greater skill comprehension and thus increase their abilities. By being clear with what our teachers want their students to learn there will be less confusion from the students, who then can be on task more often.

based Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Participate in a variety of activities which emphasize mathematic skills in their Math classes and middle school electives courses.
- 2. Document activities through teacher grade books and lesson plans.

Person Responsible

Stephen Knowlton (knowltons@santarosa.k12.fl.us)

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus
Description
and Rationale:

Middle school science scores are an area of growing concern due to the increasing gap with state scores and the continued decline of our school score in MS Science. The gap between the state average and JHS science scores was 14 points.

Measurable Outcome:

Our MS Science score will increase by 5 points.

Person

responsible for

monitoring outcome:

Wes Underwood (underwoodw@santarosa.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-

based Our science teacher will use an approach which provides a nurturing of metacognition.

Strategy:

Rationale for Evidence-

By having students look at the reasons they have been scoring poorly and why they perform that way should bring about an increased awareness and responsibility to

Strategy:

based

perform better.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Teachers will document how they are incorporating metacognition activities through lesson plans.

2. Teachers document teacher talks with student and document the conversations.

Person

Responsible

Wes Underwood (underwoodw@santarosa.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

The school leadership team will also take into consideration the area of attendance as we move through this COVID-19 pandemic. Absences are occurring at a greater rate due to this virus and the ability for teachers to provide continuous instruction is being impeded by the number of absences we are experiencing. We have assigned multiple people who are tasked with monitoring our student's attendance and will put great effort into identifying attendances that are Covid related versus truancy related. We will take the proper steps necessary to ensure that our students are provided the greatest opportunity to succeed but will also identify those students who are having difficulty attending school due to non Covid related issues.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Jay high school and the Leadership Team stresses the importance of a positive school culture through various means. We have a strong School Advisory Council that meets the expectations of state mandates. The Administration of Jay High School, as well as other entities of the school, participates in and with local groups including The Jay Chamber of Commerce, the Jay FFA Alumni Association, Student Government Association activities, the North West Florida Rural Health Network, and various other civic entities. We also have a great working relationship with the Town of Jay and its management people. In addition to the Chamber of Commerce we reach out and meet with local businesses including Baptist Health Care and the Escambia River Electrical Coop. We try to incorporate as many different stakeholders as possible realizing that everyone's viewpoint matters, and everyone has a right to be included in the education of the children of Jay, Florida.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.