

Collier County Public Schools

Naples Area Teenage Parenting



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| School Demographics | 3 |
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 6 |
| Needs Assessment | 9 |
| Planning for Improvement | 13 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 15 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

Naples Area Teenage Parenting

2925 TITAN WAY, Naples, FL 34116

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Brent Klein

Start Date for this Principal: 7/2/2012

| | |
|--|---|
| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Combination School PK, 6-12 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Alternative Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | No |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: C (49%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* | |
| SI Region | Southwest |
| Regional Executive Director | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here . | |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Collier County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 6 |
| Needs Assessment | 9 |
| Planning for Improvement | 13 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 0 |

Naples Area Teenage Parenting

2925 TITAN WAY, Naples, FL 34116

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | 2019-20 Title I School | 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) |
| Combination School PK, 6-12 | No | % |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Charter School | 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2) |
| Alternative Education | No | % |

School Grades History

| | | |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| Year | 2015-16 | 2013-14 |
| Grade | C | B |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Collier County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The goal of the Naples Teenage Parent Program is to provide an alternative route for students that have not been successful in the traditional school setting due to pregnancy. They may be behind cohort, have failed state assessments, have low GPAs, or have chronic absenteeism due to childcare needs. Students are encouraged to reclaim responsibility and become active participants in their educational experience.

Provide the school's vision statement.

All students will complete school prepared for ongoing learning, as well as community and global responsibilities.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|------------------|-----------|--|
| Janssen, Cynthia | Principal | Dr. Janssen monitors the Assistant Principals and handles all staff hiring for the two TAPP sites. She delegates the leadership teams duties and manages the finances. She reports to the district and ensures that TAPP is in compliance with all state and district requirements. She does the evaluations for each staff member. Dr. Janssen ensures that her three assistant principals are up to date with program and district information by holding weekly debriefs, along with leadership team meetings bi-weekly will all of the lead teachers and key people in leadership roles. |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 7/2/2012, Brent Klein

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Demographic Data

| | |
|--|---|
| 2020-21 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Combination School PK, 6-12 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Alternative Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | No |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: C (49%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* | |
| SI Region | Southwest |
| Regional Executive Director | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here . | |

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|----|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | | |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 18 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 17 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 13 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|----|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 15 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|---|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 8/14/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Number of students enrolled | | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | | |
| One or more suspensions | | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Students with two or more indicators | | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Retained Students: Current Year | | |
| Students retained two or more times | | |

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 18 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 13 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 9 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | 2019 | | | 2018 | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement | 0% | 59% | 61% | 0% | 60% | 57% |
| ELA Learning Gains | 0% | 61% | 59% | 0% | 55% | 57% |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 0% | 63% | 54% | 0% | 54% | 51% |
| Math Achievement | 0% | 66% | 62% | 0% | 63% | 58% |
| Math Learning Gains | 0% | 61% | 59% | 0% | 65% | 56% |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 0% | 58% | 52% | 0% | 58% | 50% |
| Science Achievement | 0% | 46% | 56% | 0% | 68% | 53% |
| Social Studies Achievement | 0% | 83% | 78% | 0% | 79% | 75% |

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator | Grade Level (prior year reported) | | | | | | | Total |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 (0) |

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| ELA | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | | | | | |
| | 2018 | | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | |
| 07 | 2019 | 0% | 55% | -55% | 52% | -52% |
| | 2018 | | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| 08 | 2019 | 0% | 58% | -58% | 56% | -56% |
| | 2018 | 0% | 63% | -63% | 58% | -58% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| 09 | 2019 | 0% | 56% | -56% | 55% | -55% |
| | 2018 | 0% | 56% | -56% | 53% | -53% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| 10 | 2019 | 0% | 53% | -53% | 53% | -53% |
| | 2018 | 0% | 59% | -59% | 53% | -53% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 0% | | | | |

| MATH | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | | | | | |
| | 2018 | | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | |
| 07 | 2019 | 0% | 66% | -66% | 54% | -54% |
| | 2018 | | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| 08 | 2019 | 0% | 36% | -36% | 46% | -46% |
| | 2018 | 0% | 43% | -43% | 45% | -45% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 0% | | | | |

| SCIENCE | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 08 | 2019 | 0% | 52% | -52% | 48% | -48% |
| | 2018 | 0% | 56% | -56% | 50% | -50% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 0% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | |

| BIOLOGY EOC | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| 2018 | 0% | 72% | -72% | 65% | -65% |
| CIVICS EOC | | | | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 0% | 72% | -72% | 71% | -71% |
| 2018 | | | | | |
| HISTORY EOC | | | | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | |
| ALGEBRA EOC | | | | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 0% | 67% | -67% | 61% | -61% |
| 2018 | 0% | 67% | -67% | 62% | -62% |
| Compare | | 0% | | | |
| GEOMETRY EOC | | | | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 0% | 59% | -59% | 57% | -57% |
| 2018 | 0% | 67% | -67% | 56% | -56% |
| Compare | | 0% | | | |

Subgroup Data

| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | N/A |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | |
| Percent Tested | |
| Subgroup Data | |

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The lowest performing areas are the standardized state tests. Fourteen out of sixteen students scored a level one on the tests. This is not unusual for this population, and this data can be linked to their poor attendance rates. They have other priorities and responsibilities at this time in their lives, thus our focus on the concordant scores.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

We do not have comparison data because fewer than 10 students took the tests

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

We do not have comparison data because fewer than 10 students took the tests

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

We do not have comparison data because fewer than 10 students took the tests

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Our biggest area of concern is in attendance. If we can successfully increase the attendance rate, we have a better chance of increasing the test scores. We are working on this with positive supports, and child care.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Increase the attendance rates
2. Improve test scores

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Student Attendance

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Increase the Attendance Rate for TAPP-Naples from 58% to 60%. Rationale: We cannot educate students who are not present and engaged. We can only increase test scores and the graduation rate for students who are present. We need to address the cultural and familial issues that plague our teenage parents. When studying virtually, the teenage parents feel more safe and can attend to their young children.

Measurable Outcome: Increase the attendance rate by 2% from 58.48% to 60.48%. This can be accomplished if we continue to offer a virtual option to the TAPP-N students until they feel safe returning to the school environment with their children. Teachers need to provide virtual support via technology, and monitor all sub-groups for engagement in academic endeavors. Mentors will monitor and document progress in coursework.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: Cynthia Janssen (janssecy@collierschools.com)

Evidence-based Strategy: TAPP-N is developing a plan based on the population and protocols of the program. The common denominator is teacher/staff connections to families. The mentoring component will be documented along with the family phone calls in each program when the students are absent.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: When the students and families understand the importance of attendance and the concern that the teachers have for their students, attendance should increase across the board.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Teachers have students that they are responsible for mentoring and documenting bi-weekly.
2. Teachers will be calling home when students are absent from school.
3. Teachers will inform the social worker when students have repeated absences, and there will be a parent meeting scheduled.,
4. The social worker will inform the Lead Teacher and Principal and another meeting will be scheduled.
5. The Principal and social worker will meet with the parents to discuss options and consequences.

Person Responsible Cynthia Janssen (janssecy@collierschools.com)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

| | |
|---|---|
| Area of Focus | Increase the State Assessment Pass Rates, or the concordant test pass rates, ie. SAT or ACT. |
| Description and Rationale: | Rationale: This will increase the overall GPA's and graduation rate of our students, and make them eligible for financial aid in the event that they want to pursue secondary education. |
| Measurable Outcome: | The goal of our teachers is to increase the student pass rate on the FSA, SAT, or ACT by 5% this year. This will ensure that our students graduate from their home high school. If all teachers work to prepare the students in reading across all content areas, and support them with test taking strategies, students will increase their pass rate. |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome: | Cynthia Janssen (janssecy@collierschools.com) |
| Evidence-based Strategy: | The teacher who is teaching the ELA and reading courses will hold pull-out sessions in preparation for the FSA, SAT, and ACT. We provide them a pull-out space for the small groups. They have developed the study guides and lesson plans to support this endeavor. The FSA and FSA retake testers will have the same focused preparation through Kahn Academy and Edgenuity. The SAT and ACT prep is also small group working with the ELA teacher and Reading Coach, while utilizing the College Board prep books. The students will be tested in the school setting in the Fall and Spring for FSA and SAT. The ACT is not a school day that they must schedule with the College Board. We will assist them with waivers to increase their chances of passing. |
| Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: | All sub-groups will receive small group instruction that will support cultural diversity and introduce background knowledge that may be lacking. This will address the gaps in common knowledge and vocabulary, which will increase their scores on the SAT and ACT. Our students are utilizing an on-line competency-based platform and work independently the majority of the day. They have access to certified teachers who will tutor them, but there is no large group traditional direct instruction. This small group format will enable them to hear the discussions that would occur in an traditional class. This will allow the teachers to access what topics need more in-depth coverage of the standards, and how to best prepare them for the concordant score tests. |

Action Steps to Implement

1. A schedule will be made for the testing preparation.
2. Space will be identified for the direct small group instruction.
3. Study Guides and discussion topics will be developed.
4. Teachers will monitor students and keep records of their progress.
5. Teachers will revisit topics with sub-group struggling students.

Person Responsible Cynthia Janssen (janssecy@collierschools.com)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

The Teenage Parenting Program is a credit accrual and recovery/GPA recovery program. With that in mind, our goal is to increase the number of students who successfully return to their home schools on track for graduation, and the 12th graders who complete all of their requirements to be graduated from their home schools.

The sub-group students with challenges will be tracked bi-weekly for successful completion of credits.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

TAPP students have not experienced success in school, hence why they come to an Alternative School Program. Their parents are not proud of them being enrolled in TAPP, so they are frequently as disenfranchised with school as their students. We work very hard to get them re-involved with their children's education. We required them to come to an orientation so that they will walk the building. We make many phone calls home advocating for their children. In some cases, we are asking that they take their children back into their homes, as we demonstrate their academic achievements. Our teachers are the reason that our students achieve success, earn a high school diploma, and become citizens of the community. On that day, our parents are very proud of their students and their having attended TAPP. We work to repair the rifts in a family, and open up opportunities for students who would have otherwise dropped out of school.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.