Collier County Public Schools

Naples Area Teenage Parenting



2022-23 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	11
Positive Culture & Environment	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Naples Area Teenage Parenting

2925 TITAN WAY, Naples, FL 34116

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Brent Klein

Start Date for this Principal: 8/16/2022

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK, 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2021-22 Title I School	No
2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2021-22 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Grades History	2021-22: No Grade 2020-21: No Grade 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more inform	nation, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Collier County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	11
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Naples Area Teenage Parenting

2925 TITAN WAY, Naples, FL 34116

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2021-22 Title I School	2021-22 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School PK, 6-12	No	100%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	No	89%
School Grades History		
Year	2015-16	2013-14

C

В

School Board Approval

Grade

This plan is pending approval by the Collier County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The goal of the Naples Teenage Parent Program is to provide an alternative route for students that have not been successful in the traditional school setting due to pregnancy. They may be behind cohort, have failed state assessments, have low GPAs, or have chronic absenteeism due to childcare needs. Students are encouraged to reclaim responsibility and become active participants in their educational experience.

Provide the school's vision statement.

All students will complete school prepared for ongoing learning, as well as community and global responsibilities.

School Leadership Team

Membership

For each member of the school leadership team, select the employee name and email address from the dropdown. Identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities.:

Name	Position Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Klein, Brent	Principal	Support program with coordination and resources.
Cypress, Jennifer	Attendance/Social Work	Oversee daily operations of program.
Fike, Jay	Assistant Principal	Progress monitoring of students and attendance.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 8/16/2022, Brent Klein

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2022 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

2

Total number of students enrolled at the school

12

Identify the number of instructional staff who left the school during the 2021-22 school year.

Identify the number of instructional staff who joined the school during the 2022-23 school year. \circ

Demographic Data

Early Warning Systems

Using prior year's data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4	12
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Level 1 on 2022 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level who have two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	l				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

Using current year data, complete the table below with the number of students identified as being "retained.":

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 8/16/2022

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	6	16
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4	14
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	7
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	7

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	1	9

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	9
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	6	16		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4	14		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	7		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide FSA Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4		
Number of students with a substantial reading deficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	7		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	1	9

The number of students identified as retainees:

la disete a	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	9
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data Review

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2022			2021		2019			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement		62%	55%					59%	61%	
ELA Learning Gains								61%	59%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile								63%	54%	
Math Achievement		45%	42%					66%	62%	
Math Learning Gains								61%	59%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile								58%	52%	
Science Achievement		59%	54%		·		·	46%	56%	
Social Studies Achievement		56%	59%					83%	78%	

Grade Level Data Review - State Assessments

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Con	Cohort Comparison					
07	2022					
	2019	0%	55%	-55%	52%	-52%
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
08	2022					
	2019	0%	58%	-58%	56%	-56%
Cohort Con	Cohort Comparison					

	MATH											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						
06	2022											
	2019											
Cohort Com	nparison											

	MATH											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						
07	2022											
	2019	0%	66%	-66%	54%	-54%						
Cohort Com	nparison	0%										
08	2022											
	2019	0%	36%	-36%	46%	-46%						
Cohort Comparison		0%			•							

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	mparison					
07	2022					
	2019					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
80	2022					
	2019	0%	52%	-52%	48%	-48%
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	72%	-72%	71%	-71%
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019					
		ALGEE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2022					
2019	0%	67%	-67%	61%	-61%

	GEOMETRY EOC										
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State						
2022											
2019	0%	59%	-59%	57%	-57%						

Subgroup Data Review

	2022 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2020-21	C & C Accel 2020-21
	2021 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2019-20	C & C Accel 2019-20
		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18

ESSA Data Review

This data has not been updated for the 2022-23 school year.

N/A

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Subgroup Data

Data Analysis

Answer the following analysis questions using the progress monitoring data and state assessment data, if applicable.

What trends emerge across grade levels, subgroups and core content areas?

Trend for need is focused on FSA ELA proficiency and attendance.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, demonstrate the greatest need for improvement?

ELA

What were the contributing factors to this need for improvement? What new actions would need to be taken to address this need for improvement?

Students needing to pass FSA ELA.

What data components, based off progress monitoring and 2022 state assessments, showed the most improvement?

Algebra and Geometry

What were the contributing factors to this improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Direct instruction and persistence.

What strategies will need to be implemented in order to accelerate learning?

Scaffolding and improving focused feedback.

Based on the contributing factors and strategies identified to accelerate learning, describe the professional development opportunities that will be provided at the school to support teachers and leaders.

Supporting students with learning gaps Hybrid learning strategies

Provide a description of the additional services that will be implemented to ensure sustainability of improvement in the next year and beyond.

Students have access to daycare for their children, supplemental support, and access to course content online through Canvas to promote continuous and remote learning as needed.

Areas of Focus

Identify the key Areas of Focus to address your school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

Students in this program have uneven connection to their school experience and at times are disconnected from education because teen parenting becomes their focus. Increasing their engagement with content would improve their overall experience.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

N-TAPP students will increase their work submission and pace in courses based on FOCUS gradebook data.

Monitoring:

will be monitored for the desired FOCUS gradebook. outcome.

Describe how this Area of Focus Through Canvas activity and rate of student submission of work in

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Jay Fike (fikeja@collierschools.com)

Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

Use of collaborative activity within Padlet and Canvas for students to engage with content and submit work.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy. Using web based Padlet allows students flexibility with when they participate and collaborate with others. This engagement allows them to remain connected to their learning.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Professional Development for Padlet and increase student engagement.

Person Responsible

Jay Fike (fikeja@collierschools.com)

Embed Padlet in Canvas assignment with explicit intent for student collaboration and flexible submission.

Person Responsible

Jay Fike (fikeja@collierschools.com)

Monitor and compare student engagement before increasing online collaboration and after.

Person Responsible

Jay Fike (fikeja@collierschools.com)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Include a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed.

N-TAPP students need to meet FSA ELA graduation requirement more than any other test. Overall, increasing student literacy and comprehension will improve their learning experience in all classes.

Measurable Outcome:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve. This should be a data based, objective outcome.

All students needing FSA ELA will pass level 3 or through SAT, ACT by the end of the year and all students will increase their reading comprehension on quarterly benchmarks.

Monitoring:

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome.

Progress monitoring state assessment and quarterly benchmark data.

SAT and ACT test practice. FOCUS gradebook grades.

Person responsible for monitoring

Jay Fike (fikeja@collierschools.com)

outcome:

Evidence-based Strategy: Describe the evidence-based strategy being implemented for this Area of Focus.

In preparing for the FSA, SAT, and ACT, students will work in small groups and focus on textual evidence to improve reading comprehension.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

Explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting this strategy.

Our students are more likely to pass their ELA graduation requirement through SAT or ACT, so providing test preparation, sample questions, and multiple exposure to these test designs is useful.

Action Steps to Implement

List the action steps that will be taken as part of this strategy to address the Area of Focus. Identify the person responsible for monitoring each step.

Organizing student groups and providing small group opportunities.

Person Responsible

Misty Foster (fostem3@collierschools.com)

Implement use of Edgenuity, Khan Academy and other programs for reading comprehension support based on path to passing FSA, SAT, ACT.

Person Responsible

Jay Fike (fikeja@collierschools.com)

Review grades, fall retakes, and assessment data to make adjustments for small group targeted instruction.

Person Responsible

Jay Fike (fikeja@collierschools.com)

Make sure waivers and accommodations are in place for students for testing.

Person Responsible Jay Fike (fikeja@collierschools.com)

RAISE

The RAISE program established criteria for identifying schools for additional support. The criteria for the 2022-23 school year includes schools with students in grades Kindergarten through fifth, where 50 percent or more of its students, for any grade level, score below a level 3 on the most recent statewide English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.

Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus (Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA) for each grade below, how it affects student learning in literacy, and a rationale that explains how it was identified as a critical need from the data reviewed. Data that should be used to determine the critical need should include, at a minimum:

- The percentage of students below Level 3 on the 2022 statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
 Identification criteria must include each grade that has 50 percent or more students scoring below level 3 in grades 3-5 on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- The percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 3, based on 2021-2022 end of year screening and progress monitoring data, who are not on track to score Level 3 or above on the statewide, standardized ELA assessment.
- Other forms of data that should be considered: formative, progress monitoring and diagnostic assessment data.

Grades K-2: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

NA

Grades 3-5: Instructional Practice specifically relating to Reading/ELA

NA

Measurable Outcomes:

State the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each grade below. This should be a data based, objective outcome. Include prior year data and a measurable outcome for each of the following:

- Each grade K-3, using the new coordinated screening and progress monitoring system, where 50 percent or more of the students are not on track to pass the statewide ELA assessment.
- Each grade 3-5 where 50 percent or more of its students scored below a level 3 on the most recent statewide, standardized ELA assessment and
- Grade 6 measurable outcomes may be included, as applicable.

Grades K-2: Measureable Outcome(s)

NA

Grades 3-5: Measureable Outcome(s)

NA

Monitoring:

Describe how the school's Area(s) of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcomes. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will take place with evaluating impact at the end of the year.

NA

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Select the person responsible for monitoring this outcome.

Fike, Jay, fikeja@collierschools.com

Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Describe the evidence-based practices/programs being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each grade and describe how the identified practices/programs will be monitored. The term "evidence-based" means demonstrating a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes as provided in 20 U.S.C. §7801(21)(A)(i). Florida's definition limits evidence-based practices/programs to only those with strong, moderate or promising levels of evidence.

- Do the identified evidence-based practices/programs meet Florida's definition of evidence-based (strong, moderate or promising)?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align with the district's K-12 Comprehensive Evidencebased Reading Plan?
- Do the evidence-based practices/programs align to the B.E.S.T. ELA Standards?

NA

Rationale for Evidence-based Practices/Programs:

Explain the rationale for selecting the specific practices/programs. Describe the resources/criteria used for selecting the practices/programs.

- Do the evidence-based practices/programs address the identified need?
- Do the identified practices/programs show proven record of effectiveness for the target population?

NA

Action Steps to Implement:

List the action steps that will be taken to address the school's Area(s) of Focus. To address the area of focus, identify 2 to 3 action steps and explain in detail for each of the categories below:

- Literacy Leadership
- Literacy Coaching
- Assessment
- Professional Learning

Action Step

Person Responsible for Monitoring

NA

Fike, Jay, fikeja@collierschools.com

Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies that impact the school culture and environment. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students and families of students, volunteers and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services and business partners.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment.

N-TAPP builds positive culture by supporting their students as both mothers and students. They are involved in cultivating educated and capable parents in our community through obtaining high school education. Every student can and will be successful as part of this learning community. The school is well connected for community support for these students.

In addition, each student's individual situation is recognized and respected. Their accomplishments are celebrated as they navigate school on their pace and terms. Monthly PBIS parties are planned and include the daycare attached to the school program, bonding teen mothers with their children in the process.

Identify the stakeholders and their role in promoting a positive school culture and environment.

Jay Fike & Brent Klein - administrators overseeing program Jennifer Cypress - program manager

Ms. Alberto, Mr. Zucchi & Ms. Ballard - instructors who provide academics, but also build student self image and self esteem.

Ms. Koehler, ESE Assistant & Ms. Del Rio, School Secretary - provide logistical, daily support to all students to make access to education and help as a teen parent possible.