Florida Department of Education

Howard W. Blake High School



School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Howard W. Blake	District Name: School District of	
High School	Hillsborough County	
Principal: Jacqueline K. Haynes	Superintendent: MaryEllen Elia	
SAC Chair: Angela Jennings	SAC Co-Chair: Agnes Ghansah	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K 12 Communication Readersh Deced Reading Plan

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Qualified Administrators

List your school's highly qualified administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Jacqueline K. Haynes	Bachelor's & Master's Elementary Education ESOL Endorsement Guidance and Counseling PK - 12	11	17	 10-11 -74% AYP B{(46% made learning gains in reading and 75% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=41% and M=68%} 09-10 -74% AYP A{(50% made learning gains in reading and 60% and 69% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=43% and M=63%} 08-09 - 69% AYP D {(46% made learning gains in reading and 67% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=39%, M=68%, W=84%, S=44%} 07-08 - 69% AYP D {(52% made learning gains in reading and 74% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=40%, M=64%, W=88%, S=37%}
Assistant Principal	Keisha Thompson	B.S. Elementary Education, M. A. Educational Leadership	1	9	 10-11 -74% AYP B{(46% made learning gains in reading and 75% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=41% and M=68%} 09-10 -74% AYP A{(50% made learning gains in reading and 60% and 69% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=43% and M=63%} 08-09 - 69% AYP D {(46% made learning gains in reading and 67% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=39%, M=68%, W=84%, S=44%} 07-08 - 69% AYP D {(52% made learning gains in reading and 74% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=40%, M=64%, W=88%, S=37%}

Assistant Principal	Gregory Basham	Bachelor's in Music, Masters of Education	3	4	10-11 -74% AYP B{(46% made learning gains in reading and 75% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=41% and M=68%}
					09-10 –74% AYP A {(50% made learning gains in reading and 60% and 69% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=43% and M=63%}
					08-09 - 69% AYP D {(46% made learning gains in reading and 67% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=39%, M=68%, W=84%, S=44%}
					07-08 - 69% AYP D {(52% made learning gains in reading and 74% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=40%, M=64%, W=88%, S=37%}
Assistant Principal	Jesse Salters	Bachelors, Masters in Ed Leadership	6	6	10-11 -74% AYP B{(46% made learning gains in reading and 75% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=41% and M=68%}
					09-10 –74% AYP A {(50% made learning gains in reading and 60% and 69% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards $R=43\%$ and $M=63\%$ }
					08-09 - 69% AYP D {(46% made learning gains in reading and 67% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=39%, M=68%, W=84%, S=44%}
					07-08 - 69% AYP D {(52% made learning gains in reading and 74% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=40%, M=64%, W=88%, S=37%}

Assistant Principal	LeShea Serrano	B. A., Masters in Ed Leadership, Gifted, Chemistry 6-12, mid grade science 5-9	1	1	 10-11 -74% AYP B{(46% made learning gains in reading and 75% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=41% and M=68%} 09-10 -74% AYP A {(50% made learning gains in reading and 60% and 69% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=43% and M=63%} 08-09 - 69% AYP D {(46% made learning gains in reading and 67% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=39%, M=68%, W=84%, S=44%} 07-08 - 69% AYP D {(52% made learning gains in reading and 74% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=40%, M=64%, W=88%, S=37%}
Assistant Principal	David Williams	Bachelors, Masters, Doctorate in Ed Leadership	4	4	 10-11 -74% AYP B{(46% made learning gains in reading and 75% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=41% and M=68%} 09-10 -74% AYP A{(50% made learning gains in reading and 60% and 69% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=43% and M=63%} 08-09 - 69% AYP D {(46% made learning gains in reading and 67% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=39%, M=68%, W=84%, S=44%} 07-08 - 69% AYP D {(52% made learning gains in reading and 74% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=40%, M=64%, W=88%, S=37%}

Highly Qualified Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly qualified instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time

teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
			Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
Area		Certification(s)	Current School		Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
				Instructional Coach	school year)
Reading	Evyan Wagner	Drama(6-12) Prekindergarten (age 3 – grade 3) Reading, Endorsement	2	2	 10-11 -74% AYP B{(46% made learning gains in reading and 75% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=41% and M=68%} 09-10 -74% AYP A{(50% made learning gains in reading and 60% and 69% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=43% and M=63%} 08-09 - 69% AYP D {(46% made learning gains in reading and 67% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=39%, M=68%, W=84%, S=44%} 07-08 - 69% AYP D {(52% made learning gains in reading and 74% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=40%, M=64%, W=88%, S=37%}
Math	Clayton Nylund	Master's in Curriculum and Instruction Physics (6-12) Mathematics (6-12) Pre K-3	2	1	 10-11 -74% AYP B{(46% made learning gains in reading and 75% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=41% and M=68%} 09-10 -74% AYP A{(50% made learning gains in reading and 60% and 69% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=43% and M=63%} 08-09 - 69% AYP D {(46% made learning gains in reading and 67% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=39%, M=68%, W=84%, S=44%} 07-08 - 69% AYP D {(52% made learning gains in reading and 74% made learning gains in math) Percentages meeting high standards R=40%, M=64%, W=88%, S=37%}

Highly Qualified Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly qualified teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
			(If not, please explain why)
1. Teacher Interview Day	General Directors	June	
2. Recruitment Fairs	Richard Goode	June	
3. District Mentor Program	District Mentors	Ongoing	
4. District Peer Program	District Peers	Ongoing	
5. Opportunities for teacher leadership	Principal	Ongoing	
6. School based teacher recognition system	Principal	Ongoing	
7. PLC time dedicated weekly 7:00-7:40am	Principal	Ongoing	
8. Regular Meetings of New teacher with Principal and Peer Mentor	Principal	Ongoing	
9. Partnering new teachers with veteran staff	Principal	Ongoing	

Non-Highly Qualified Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field (not ESOL certified) and not highly qualified.

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
Teachers	Depending on the needs of the teacher, one or more of the following strategies are implemented.
• 12 out of field	<u>Administrators</u>
	Meet with the teachers four times per year to discuss progress on:
	• Preparing and taking the certification exam
	Completing classes need for certification
	• Provide substitute coverage for the teachers to observe other teachers
	• Discussion of what teachers learned during the observation(s)
	Academic Coach
	• The coach co-plans, models, co-teaches, observes and conferences with the teacher on a regular basis
	Department Head/PLC
	• The teachers will attend PLC meetings for on-going adult learning, striving to understand how they as an individual teacher and PLC member can improve learning for all.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

To tal Nu m ber of	% of Fir st- Ye ar	% of Te ach ers with	% of Te ach ers with	% of Te ach ers with	% of Te ach ers wi	% Hi gh ly Qu alif	% Re ad ing En dor	% Na tio nal Bo ard	% ES OL End orse
In str uc tio nal Sta ff	Te ach ers	1-5 Yea rs of Exp erie nce	6- 14 Yea rs of Exp erie nce	15+ Yea rs of Exp erie nce	th Ad van ced De gre es	ied Te ac her s	sed Te ach ers	Ce rtif ied Te ac her s	d Tea cher s
11 7	8 % (9)	28 %(33)	42 %(49)	22 %(26)	38 % (4 5)	90 % (1 05)	13 % (1 5)	6 % (7)	19 % (2 2)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Mentee Name Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
--------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

Naze	Michael	TIP – The	Weekly
Sahebzaman	Clifton	district	visits to
i		mentor	include
		is with	modeling,
		the EET	co-
		initiative.	teaching,
		The mentor	analyzing
		has strengths	student
		in the area of	work/data,
		leadership,	developing
		mentoring,	assess
		and	ments,
		increasing	conferen
		student	cing and
		achievement.	problem
			solving.
Naze	Abigail	TIP – The	Weekly
Sahebzaman	Francis	district	visits to
i		mentor	include
		is with	modeling,
		the EET	co-
		initiative.	teaching,
		The mentor	analyzing
		has strengths	student
		in the area of	work/data,
		leadership,	developing
		mentoring,	assess
		and	ments,
		increasing	conferen
		student	cing and
		achievement.	problem
1			solving.

Naze Sahebzaman i	David Koons	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.
Naze Sahebzaman i	Kurt Linderer	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.

Naze Sahebzaman i	Micah Lomax	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.
Naze Sahebzaman i	Nicole Sasser	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.

Naze Sahebzaman i	Michael Wilde	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.
Naze Sahebzaman i	Matthew Reyes	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.

Naze Sahebzaman i	Cameron Coleman	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.
Naze Sahebzaman i	Alfred Bell	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.

Naze Sahebzaman i	Alexis Cooke	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.
Naze Sahebzaman i	Ervin McPherson	TIP –The district mentor is with the EET initiative. The mentor has strengths in the area of leadership, mentoring, and increasing student achievement.	Weekly visits to include modeling, co- teaching, analyzing student work/data, developing assess ments, conferen cing and problem solving.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Services are provided to ensure students who need additional remediation are provided support through: after school and summer programs, quality teachers through professional development, content resource teachers, and mentors.
Title I, Part C- Migrant
The migrant advocate provides services and support to students and parents. The advocate works with teachers and other programs to ensure that the migrant students' needs are being met.
Title I, Part D
The district receives funds to support the Alternative Education Program which provides transition services from alternative education to school of choice.
Title II
The district receives funds to support the Alternative Education Program which provides transition services from alternative education to school of choice.
Title III
Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners

Title X- Homeless
The district receives funds to provide resources (social workers and tutoring) for students for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide summer school, reading coaches, and extended learning opportunity programs.
Violence Prevention Programs
N/A
Nutrition Programs
N/A
Housing Programs
N/A Head Start
N/A Adult Education
Aduit Education
N/A
C The career and technical support is specific to each school site in which funds can be utilized, in a specific program, within Title I regulations
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Job training support is specific to each school site in which funds can be utilized, in a specific program, within Title I regulations
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.
Principal-Jacqueline Haynes
Almaree Williams
LeShea Serrano
• Claudette Reid
Kenneth Muldrow
• Leah Kline
Carol Sivard
• Stephanie Jennett
• Evyan Wagner
Clayton Nylund
Stefanie Gaboriault
• Academic Coaches (Reading, Math, etc. and other specialists on an ad hoc basis),
• Gail Olds
(Note not all members attend every meeting, but are invited based on the goals and purpose for the meeting)

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The purpose of the core Leadership Team is to:

- 1. Review school-wide assessment data on an ongoing basis in order to identify instructional needs at all grade levels.
- 2. Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.
- 3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains.

4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

The Leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). Specific responsibilities include:

- Oversee the multi-layered model of *instructional* delivery (Tier 1/Core, Tier 2/Supplemental and Tier 3/Intensive)
- Create, manage and update the school resource map
- Ensure the master schedule incorporates allocated time for intervention support at all grade levels.
- Determine scheduling needs, and assist teacher teams in identifying research-based instructional materials and intervention resources at Tiers 2/3
- Facilitate the implementation of specific programs (e.g., Extended Learning Programs during and after school; Saturday Academies) that provide intervention support to students identified through data sorts/chats conducted by the PLCs.
- Determine the school-wide professional development needs of faculty and staff and arrange trainings aligned with the SIP goals
- Organize and support systematic data collection (e.g., district and state assessments; during-the-grading period school assessments/checks for understanding; in-school surveys)
- Assist and monitor teacher use of SMART goals per unit of instruction. (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT)
- Strengthen the Tier 1 (core curriculum) instruction through the:
 - Implementation and support of PLCs

- *Review of teacher/PLC core curriculum assessments/chapters tests/checks for understanding* (data will be collected *and analyzed* by PLCs and *reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT*)
- Use of Common Core Assessments by teachers teaching the same grade/subject area/course (data will be collected and analyzed by PLCs and reported to the Leadership Team/PSLT)
- Implementation of research-based scientifically validated instructional strategies and/or interventions. *(as outlined in our SIP)*
- o Communication with major stakeholders (e.g., parents, business partners, etc.) regarding student outcomes through data summaries and conferences.
- On a monthly basis, assist in the evaluation of teacher fidelity data and student achievement data collected during the month.
- Support the planning, implementing, and evaluating the outcomes of supplemental and intensive interventions in conjunction with PLCs and Specialty PSLT.
- Work collaboratively with the PLCs in the implementation of the C-CIM (Core Continuous Improvement Model) on core curriculum material.
- Coordinate/collaborate/integrate with other working committees, such as the Literacy Leadership Team (which is charged with developing a plan for embedding/integrating reading and writing strategies across all other content areas).

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

- The Chair of SAC is a member of the *Leadership Team/PSLT*.
- The administration, leadership team, teachers and SAC are involved in the School Improvement Plan development and monitoring throughout the school year.
- The School Improvement Plan is the working document that guides the work *of the Leadership Team and all teacher teams*. The large part of the work of the team is outlined in the Expected Improvements/Problem Solving Process sections (and related professional development plans) for school-wide goals in Reading, Math, Writing, Science, Attendance and Suspension/Behavior.
- Given that one of the main tasks is to monitor student data related to instruction and interventions, the Leadership Team/PLST monitors the effectiveness of instruction and intervention by reviewing student data as well as data related to implementation fidelity (teacher walk-through data).
- The Leadership Team/PSLT communicates with and supports the PLCs in implementing the proposed strategies by distributing Leadership Team members across the PLCs to facilitate planning and implementation. Once strategies are put in place, the Leadership Team members who are part of the PLCs regularly report on their efforts and student outcomes to the larger Leadership Team/PSLT.
- The *Leadership Team*/PSLT and PLCs both use the problem solving process (Problem Identification, Problem Analysis, Intervention Design and Implementation and Evaluation to:
 - Use the problem-solving model when analyzing data:
 - 1. What is the problem? (Problem Identification)
 - 2. Why is it occurring? (Problem Analysis and Barrier Identification)
 - 3. What are we going to do about it? (Action Plan Design and Implementation)
 - 4. Is it working? (Monitor Progress and Evaluate Action Plan Effectiveness)
 - o Identify the problem (based on an analysis of the data disaggregated via data sorts) in multiple areas curriculum content, behavior, and attendance
 - Develop and test hypotheses about why student/school problems are occurring (changeable barriers).
 - Develop and target interventions based on confirmed hypotheses.
 - *Identify* appropriate progress monitoring assessments to be administered at *regular* intervals matched to the intensity of *the level of instructional/intervention support*

provided.

- Develop grading period or units of instruction//intervention goals that are ambitious, time-bound, and measureable (e.g., SMART goals).
- Review *progress monitoring data at regular intervals* to determine when student(s) need more or less support (e.g., frequency, duration, intensity) to meet established class, grade, and/or school goals (e.g., use of data-based decision-making to fade, maintain, modify or intensify intervention and/or enrichment *support*).
- Each PLC develops PLC action plan for SIP strategy implementation and monitoring.
- Assess the implementation of the strategies on the SIP using the following questions:
 - 1. Does the data show implementation of strategies are resulting in positive student growth?
 - 2. To what extent are we making progress toward the school's SIP goals?
 - 3. If we are making progress, what can we do to sustain what is working?
 - 4. What barriers to implementation are we facing and how will we address them?
 - 5. What should we do next? What should be our plan of action?

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Core Curriculum (Tier 1)

Data Source	Database	Person (s) Responsible	
FCAT released tests	School Generated Excel Database	Reading Coach/Math Coach/AP	
Baseline and Midyear District Assessments	Scantron Achievement Series	Leadership Team, PLCs, individual teachers	
District generated assessments from the Office of Assessment and Accountability	Scantron Achievement Series Data Wall	Leadership Team, PLCs, individual teachers	
Subject-specific assessments generated by District-level Subject Supervisors in Reading, Language Arts, Math, Writing and Science	Scantron Achievement Series Data Wall PLC Logs	Leadership Team, PLCs, individual teachers	
FAIR	Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network	Reading Coach/ <i>Reading Resource Teacher</i> /	
CELLA	Data Wall Sagebrush (IPT)	Reading PLC Facilitator ELL PSLT Representative	

Teachers' common core curriculum assessments on units of instruction/big ideas.	Ed-Line	Individual Teachers/ Team Leaders/ PLC Facilitators/ <i>Leadership Team Member</i>
Reading, Science, Algebra 1, Geometry, US History, and Biology	PLC Database PLC logs	
Demostra este Demoste d'Orreste d'Demostra	District Commented Details and	Landaughin Tarun (Crassialta DCLT
Reports on Demand/Crystal Reports	District Generated Database	Leadership Team/Specialty PSLT

Supplemental/Intensive Instruction (Tiers 2 and 3)

Data Source	Database	Person (s) Responsible for Monitoring
Extended Learning Program (ELP)* <i>(see below)</i> Ongoing Progress Monitoring (mini-assessments and other assessments from adopted curriculum resource materials)	School Generated Database in Excel	Leadership Team/ ELP Facilitator
Differentiated mini assessments based on core curriculum assessments.	Individual teacher data base PLC/Department data base	Subject Area Coaches/PLCs
FAIR OPM	School Generated Database in Excel	Leadership Team/Reading Coach
Ongoing assessments within Intensive Courses	Database provided by course materials (for courses that have one), School Generated Database in Excel	Leadership Team/PLC/Individual Teachers
Other Curriculum Based Measurement		Leadership Team/PLCs/ <i>Individual Teachers</i>
	School Generated Database in Excel	
Research-based Computer-assisted Instructional Programs	Assessments included in computer-based programs	PLCs/Individual Teachers

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The Leadership Team/will continue to work to build consensus with all stakeholders regarding a need for and a focus on school improvement efforts. The Leadership Team will work to align the efforts of other school teams that may be addressing similar identified issues.

As the District's *RtI Committee/RtI Facilitators* develop(s) resources and staff development trainings on PS/RtI, these tools and staff development sessions will be conducted with staff when they become available. Professional Development sessions, *as identified by teacher needs assessment and/or EET evaluation data*, will occur during faculty meeting times or rolling faculty meetings. *The Leadership Team will send school team representatives to ongoing PS/RtI trainings/support sessions that are offered district-wide*. Our school will invite our area RtI Facilitator to visit quarterly *(or as needed)* to review our progress in implementation of PS/RtI and provide on-site coaching and support to our Leadership Teams/PLCs. New staff will be directed to participate in trainings relevant to PLCs and PS/RtI as they become available.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

Response to Intervention (RtI) has also been described in Florida as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for providing high quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to inform instructional decisions. In order to support MTSS in our schools, we will:

- Consistently promote the shared vision of one system meeting the needs of ALL students with MTSS as the platform for integrating all school initiatives (i.e., PLC, PSLT, Steering, and SAC meetings, lesson study, school-wide behavior management plans).
- Provide designated school personnel with the requisite knowledge and experience to support coordination and implementation of MTSS.
- Provide continued training and support to all school based personnel in problem solving, responding to student data and the use of a systematic method to increase student achievement.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

 Evyan Wagner, Reading Coach

 Jacqueline Haynes, Principal

 Leah Kline, Title I Resource

 Sarah Jankowski, Reading Resource

 Sunny Royal, Teacher

 Ruth Daley, Reading Department Head

 Carol Sivard, Media Specialist

 Ervin McPherson, Teacher

 Leslie Hilton, Teacher

 Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

 RLT meets in Reading PLC once a month. Purpose of meeting is to analyze school-wide data and create/implement action plans to support Reading school-wide.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Major initiatives will be aligned with a move toward CCSS—Text Complexity, Text-based questioning, and Close Reading.

NCLB Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Text Complexity book studies, as well as workshops on CCSS, text-based questions, and close reading, will be held through PLC and via lunch n' learns and Monday Professional Development. Teachers will be responsible for implementing the strategies in their classrooms and will be monitored through PLC and walk-throughs.

Demonstration classroom opportunities focusing on the implementation of content-based literacy strategies are mandated by the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan at each site. The reading coach is responsible for scheduling and facilitating pre-observation, during observation, and post-observation activities and discussion.

A Reading Leadership Team is mandated by the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan at each site. The principal is the chairperson of the committee and the reading coach is an integral member, guiding the data review, creation of an action plan, progress monitoring of the plan and evaluation of the plan each school year. The RLT should have representation from each content area and is responsible for reporting back to the school their findings and instructional decisions.

Each PLC is responsible for reviewing their students' literacy data and creating lessons that are responsive to identified student needs. PLCs are responsible for the creation and implementation of the Florida Continuous Improvement Model Reinforcement Instructional Calendars, Mini-Lessons, Mini-Assessments and re-teach lessons based on the on-going collection of student data. Common assessments on chapter tests are used to identify effective reading strategies and guide instruction for re-teach or enrichment.

Reading coaches are responsible for assisting content teachers with the integration of differentiated instruction strategies into their content area classrooms.

All costs incurred for reading professional development at the school sites (stipends, consultant contracts, substitutes, materials) are paid for by the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan funds.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Courses and coursework are established in Small Learning Communities, Professional Learning Communities, Career Academies, Career Pathways, Program Completers, the Magnet Program and AVID classes to help students see the relationships both cross-curricular and within subjects to establish relevance to a student's future. Many of these programs help guide and establish a student for post secondary readiness (Industry Certifications, College credit, job skills, etc).

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Howard W. Blake High School will annually hold elective fairs with present and incoming students. Based on interest, they will establish Course Selection Sheets and courses offerings to best meet their needs. The Guidance Department, ESE Specialist, AVID Coordinator, Department Heads, teachers and APCs will then articulate with feeder schools and assist students in signing up for courses and programs based on their Automatic Course Requests and their individual interests. Guidance Counselors will visit classes to review the curriculum guide and course descriptions. They will distribute Course Selection Sheets and provide information about selecting courses for the following school year. These Course Selection Sheets are then sent home for parent review and signature.

On an annual basis, Howard W. Blake High School will review new course offerings at the state and district level to continue to offer rigorous and relevant coursework and to meet the State Standards

Postsecondary Transition

The guidance department at Howard W. Blake High School provides the following college readiness activities to assist students in being prepared for college admission and a successful transition to the college and/or university experience:

- On campus college visitation and collaboration
- Pre and Post PSAT activities to prepare students for college admissions exams
- Assisting students with online SAT/ACT prep course
- Individualized consultation with each student that focuses on academic success and early college planning.
- Informational parent meetings at each grade level to provide testing information, college application process, scholarships, financial aid and community services.

- We provide classroom and individualized guidance regarding course selection in order for students to attain the highest achievement in academics and the arts.

A student transitioning smoothly from high school into the college experience is very imperative. For that reason, the guidance department is committed to providing a wealth of resources to our students so they will achieve at the highest level in academics and the arts. Students will be provided with a Guidance Resource Handbook to assist with academic preparations for high school and post high school success.

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

 Analysis of High School Feedback Report

Howard W. Blake High School's has reflected over our High School Feedback Report Trends for the last three years. The following is a summary from our annual analysis.

Howard W. Blake High School's percentage of graduates completing a college prep curriculum has increased from 64.7% to 71.1% over a three year period, a 6.4% increase.

During that same time period, the district increased by 1.1% (64.7% - 65.8%) and the state by 0.8% (58.8% - 59.6%). In addition, the number of graduates that enrolled in Algebra 1 prior to 9th grade, completed at least one Level 3 high school math course, completed at least one Dual Enrollment math course and completed at least one Level 3 or higher science course and were all above the district and the state averages for the same three year period.

Strategies for Improving Student Readiness for Postsecondary

District-Level

The Career and Technical Education (CTE) Department provides our counselors with a binder and data base of the Programs of Study to help guide students with their educational pathway. The Program of Study maps out the courses and timeline for students to be program completers and successfully transition to postsecondary institutions.

Our district provides a variety of opportunities for students to learn about career pathways at postsecondary institutions through programs such as:

- Career Seeking and Investigations Provides 8th grade students an opportunity to explore the campus of Hillsborough Community College (HCC) and experience campus life and activities
- Amazing Race -Provides 12th grade students an opportunity to gather enrollment requirements, scholarship opportunities and program offerings for incoming college freshmen
- Hi-Tec Trek Provides 11th graders with an opportunity to explore Hillsborough County's postsecondary technical centers career and program opportunities.

Additionally, the Hillsborough County Career Pathways Consortium coordinates articulation agreements to provide Career and Technical Education Program Completers with free credit at postsecondary institutions across the state of Florida.

School-Level

Specifically at Howard W. Blake High School, students may participate in the following:

- Using SAI funds, Saturday SAT and ACT prep classes are offered. Counselors will meet with all students to encourage students to complete the class and take the test. Communication letters on the SAT and ACT will be sent home with students to advertise the SAT and ACT prep classes and testing dates.
- College Visits Various college representatives visit HWB to share information about their specific colleges or universities with students.
- ASVAB Students interested in possibly enlisting in the military are given an opportunity to take this aptitude test.

- Hi-Tec Centers Field Trip Students will be given the opportunity to visit multiple centers and learn more about the programs offered at these technical schools.
- USF Senior Access Day Disadvantaged and underrepresented students are invited to visit USF and learn about careers in various health professions.
- Ready to Work Students in 12th grade have the opportunity to complete three assessments in the areas of math, reading and interpreting data on the computer in the Success Center. After completing the assessments students are sent a certificate that indicates their scores and the correlating skills. The students then show this certificate to an employer when applying for a job, which makes them more marketable.
- Senior Night All seniors are encouraged to attend senior night, where they receive their senior handbook and the counselors share valuable information about their senior year. This includes postsecondary information, a timeline of what seniors should be doing during the course of the year, SAT/ACT test dates, etc.
- Junior Night juniors and their parents are given their Junior Handbooks and important information about testing and senior year is shared. This includes postsecondary information, a timeline of what they should be doing during the course of the year, SAT/ACT test dates, etc.
- Through the AVID program, students are engaged in on-going college readiness activities.
- College Night District offers four college nights throughout the county for students to speak directly with over 100 college and university representatives.
- All targeted juniors take the PERT. Based on the results, students are placed in college readiness coursework provided to graduation.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1. FCAT 2.0: Students	1.1. Teachers	1 Content-	4	1.1.	1.1.	 [
	are unfamiliar	specific book	1.	1.1.	1.1.	
scoring proficient in	with choosing,	study through				
reading (Level 3-5).	evaluating and	PLC using	Who	Teacher Level	FCAT	
	implementing	Fisher and	Duin to 1	T 1		
	complex	Frey's Text	-Principal	-Teachers reflect on lesson		
	text in their	Complexity:	-AP	outcomes and use this	2	
	classrooms.	Raising Rigor	-AP		<u>3x per year</u>	
		in Reading,	-Reading Coach	instruction.	- FAIR	
		accompanied	-Keading Coach	- PLC Level	- ΓΑΙΚ	
		by Coaching	-Department Head	<u>-ILC Level</u>		
		cycles geared		Using the individual teacher		
		toward the	-PLC facilitators of	data, PLCs calculate the		
		text.		SMART goal data across all		
					During the Grading	
				chasses, courses.	Period	
				-PLCs reflect on lesson		
		Common Core		outcomes and data used to	- Common assessments	
					delivered in reading	
		Across all Content			classes created by	
		Areas		-For each class/course, PLCs		
				chart their overall progress	Quarter.	
		Reading		towards the SMART Goal.	`	
		comprehension	Logs			
		improves when	-	Leadership Team Level		
		students are	-Social Studies PLC			
		<u>engaged in</u>	Logs	-PLC facilitator/ Subject		
		grappling with		Area Leader/ Department		
		<u>complex text</u> .		Heads shares SMART Goal		
		Teachers need to		data with the Leadership		
		understand how		Team.		
		to select/identify	into administration and/			
				-Data is used to drive		
		shift the amount		teacher support and student		
		of informational		supplemental instruction.		
		text used in the	-Administration			
			and coach rotate through PLCs looking			
			for complex text			
		students. <u>All</u>	discussion.			
		content area	uiseussion.			
		teachers are	-Administration shares			
			the positive outcomes			
		implementation.	observed in PLC			
			meetings on a monthly			
			internings on a monality			 i

Reading Goal #1: The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 51% to 53%.	Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*	basis. -Reading coach will lead PLCS, collect data, notes, and conduct conferences with teachers. Regular visits to classrooms to ensure that complex text is being used will be conducted by Reading Coach and Administrative Team				
	51%	53%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	Anticipated Barrier			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used	Student Evaluation Tool		

2. FCAT 2.0: Students	2.1. Teachers	2 Content	2.1. <u>Who</u>	2.1. Teacher Level	2.1.	
scoring Achievement	are unfamiliar					
Levels 4 or 5 in reading.	with	meetings and	-Principal	-Teachers reflect on lesson	FCAT	
Levels i or 5 in reading.	strategies	Professional	_	outcomes and use this	10/11	
	to build	Development	ΔP	knowledge to drive future		
		on Text-based	-7 11	instruction.		
	text-based	questioning.	-Reading Coach	instruction.	3x per year	
	questions	PD will	riteating Coatin	- PLC Level		
	and teach	be geared	-Department Head		- FAIR	
	text-based	towards using	-Department field	-Using the individual teacher		
	questioning.	TBQ in the	-PLC facilitators of	data, PLCs calculate the		
		classroom.	liles grades and/or liles	SMART goal data across all		
		(Exam Week)	courses	classes/courses.		
				-PLCs reflect on lesson	During the Grading	
				outcomes and data used to	Period	
		Common Core	How	drive future instruction.		
		Reading Strategy			- Common assessments	
			-Reading PLC Logs	-For each class/course, PLCs	delivered in reading	
		Areas		chart their overall progress	classes created by	
			-Language Arts PLC		Reading PLC.	
		Common Core	Logs		-	
				Leadership Team Level		
		Questions of all	-Social Studies PLC	_		
		types and levels	Logs	-PLC facilitator/ Subject		
		are necessary to		Area Leader/ Department		
		scaffold students'	-Elective PLC Logs	Heads shares SMART Goal		
		understanding of		data with the Leadership		
			-PLCS turn their logs	Team.		
			into administration and			
				-Data is used to drive		
			instruction is complete.	teacher support and student		
		dependent_		supplemental instruction.		
		questions. Student				
		reading	and coach rotate			
		comprehension	through PLCs looking			
		improves when	for complex text			
			discussion.			
		required to provide	A desinintenstion alterna			
		evidence to	-Administration shares			
		support their	the positive outcomes			
		answers to text- dependent	observed in PLC			
			meetings on a monthly			
		questions.	basis.			
		Scaffolding of				

		with complex text through well- crafted text- dependent question assists students in discovering and achieving deeper understanding of the author's meaning. <u>All</u> <u>content area</u> <u>teachers are</u> <u>responsible for</u> <u>implementation</u> .	-Reading coach will lead PLCS, collect data, notes, and conduct conferences with teachers. Regular visits to classrooms to ensure that TEXT-BASED QUESTIONING is being used will be conducted by Reading Coach and Administrative Team.				
Reading Goal #2:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
The percentage of students	Performance:	Performance:*					
scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Reading	<u>-</u>						
will increase from 32% to							
34%.							
	32%	34%					
						2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation		
student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding	Barrier		Who and how	How will the evaluation	Tool		
Questions", identify and				tool data be used			
define areas in need of improvement for the				to determine the			
following group:				effectiveness of strategy?			

3. FCAT 2.0: Points for	3.1. Teachers	3.1. PLC and	3.1. <u>Who</u>	3.1. Teacher Level	3.1.		
students making Learning	are unfamiliar	PD with teachers					
Gains in reading	with the Close		-Principal	Teachers reflect on lesson	FCAT		
Gams in reading.		Reading and		outcomes and use this	ICAI		
1		help content area		knowledge to drive future			
		teachers understand		instruction.			
		how the model can	-Reading Coach		3x per year		
		be used with their		- PLC Level			
		curriculum.	Department Head		- FAIR		
				-Using the individual teacher			
		Reading Coach		data, PLCs calculate the			
		will help teachers		SMART goal data across all			
		locate and evaluate	courses	classes/courses.			
		text to supplement					
		curriculum that			During the Grading		
		is appropriate for		outcomes and data used to drive future instruction.	Period		
			How	drive future instruction.	- common assessments		
		beginning 4 th	-Reading PLC Logs	-For each class/course, PLCs			
		quarter.			classes created by		
					Reading PLC		
			Logs		<u>-</u>		
			- 8-	Leadership Team Level		• -	
			Social Studies PLC	-			
				-PLC facilitator/ Subject		с	
				Area Leader/ Department		0	
				Heads shares SMART Goal		m	
				data with the Leadership		m	
				Team.		0	
			into administration and/			n	
				-Data is used to drive teacher support and student			
				supplemental instruction.		a s	
			-Administration	supprementar motivetion.		S	
			and coach rotate			e	
			through PLCs looking			s	
			for complex text			S	
			discussion.			m	
						e	
			Administration shares			n	
			the positive outcomes			t	
			observed in PLC			S	
			meetings on a monthly				
			basis.			d	
						e	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	-Reading coach will lead PLCS, collect	1	
	lead PLCS, collect	i	
	data, notes, and	v	
	conduct conferences	e	
	with teachers.	r	
	Des les lettete		
	Regular visits to	e	
	classrooms to ensure	d	
	that Close Reading		
	is being used will be conducted by	i	
	be conducted by	n	
	Reading Coach and Administrative Team		
	Administrative Team	r	
	Aummistrative ream		
		e	
		a	
		d	
		i	
		n	
		g	
		6	
		с	
		1	
		а	
		S	
		S	
		e	
		S	
		с	
		r	
		e	
		а	
		t	
		e	
		d	
		b	
		У	
		R	
		e	
		а	
		d	
		i	
		n	
		g	

						P L C	
Reading Goal #3: Points earned from students making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 64 points to 66 points.	Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	64	66					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	33.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier		will the fidelity be	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

4. FCAT 2.0: Points for students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.		4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	
	See GOALS 1, 2, 3					

Reading Goal #4: Points earned from students in the bottom quartile making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading will increase from 66 points to 68 points.	Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	66	68					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

5. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.				
Reading Goal #5: _SEE GOALS 1, 2, 3				

5A. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American		5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	5A.1.	
Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	See GOALS 1, 2, 3					

The percentage of Black_ students scoring proficient/ satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from 28% to 35%.	Level of Performance: *	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black: 28 Hispanic: Y Asian: N/A American Indian: N/A	White: 75 Black: 35 Hispanic: 53 Asian: N/A American Indian: N/A					
						5A.2	
		5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	5A.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5B.1. See GOALS 1, 2, 3	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
<u>Reading Goal #5B:</u> SEE GOALS 1, 2, 3.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
The percentage of the Economically Disadvantaged students scoring proficient/ satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from 39% to 41%.						

	39%	41%					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		will the fidelity be	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

5C. English Language	5C.1.	5C.1	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	
Learners (ELL) not	00.11	00.1	00.11			
	The majority	EGOL D	-Principal or APC will	An increase in student semester	ELL somostor avom gradas	
<u> </u>		ESOL Resource	monitor fidelity to		in content (non-language	
progress in reading.		Teacher (ERT) provides			arts) classes	
	unfamiliar with	provides	plan.	professional development and	,	
		development book		differentiated instruction have		
		study: Classroom		been effective.		
	teaching EEEs.		-PLC coordinator will			
		works with English	monitor PLC logs for			
		I anguage I earners	ESOL strategy modeling.			
		to content area	8,			
		teachers on how				
		to embed ESOL				
		strategies into core	-ERT will ensure teachers have tools to set SMART			
		content lessons.	goals, aggregate data, and			
			differentiate instruction.			
		-ERT models				
		lessons using				
		ESOL strategies at	A 1 1 1 4 4 11			
		PLCs	-Administrators will check for differentiation			
			strategies for ELLs in their			
		-Content teachers	classroom walk-throughs.			
		set SMART goals	ç			
		for ELL students				
		for upcoming				
		core curriculum				
	-	assessments.				
		~ .				
	-	-Content teachers				
		administer and				
	-	analyze ELLs. In				
		particular, teachers				
		aggregate data to determine the				
		performance of				
		ELLs compared to				
		the whole group.				
		ine whole group.				
		-Based on data,				
		content teachers				
		differentiate				
		instruction to				
		remediate/enhance				

r	i	i	i	i .	r		
		instruction in a					
		school wide PLC.					
Reading Goal #5C:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Dorforman and	Performance:*					
	Performance:	Performance:*					
	*						
The percentage of ELL							
students scoring proficient/							
satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT							
Reading will increase from 7%							
to 9%.							
10 <i>y</i> / 0.							
	7%	9%					
		Ĩ					
		50.2	50.2	5C.2	50.2	50.2	
	-	5C.2.	5C.2.	DC.2	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		ELLs at varying	ERT will meet with	. APC and Guidance will use	The cohort strategy will be	ELL semester exam grades in	
		levels of English	Guidance Department to	these suggestions for ESOL	deemed effective if ELLs	content (non-language arts) classes	
		language	explore possible ways of	cohorts when planning master	in cohorts score better on		
		acquisition and	grouping ELLs together	schedule.	average than ELLs with		
		agailturation	in cohorts in core classes		the same teachers and same		
			to facilitate better ESOL		subjects in non-cohort		
			instruction.		classes.		
		consistently (i.e.					
		in cohorts) in core					
		courses.					

		Administrators are at varying skill levels regarding use of ESOL strategies in order to effectively conduct a fidelity check	District Resource Teachers (DRTs) provide professional	Administrators can share their observations of ESOL strategies during their weekly		5C.3. ELL semester exam grades in content (non-language arts) classes.
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier		will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	
	SEE GOALS 1, 2, 3.	5				

Reading Goal #5D:		2013 Expected Level of					
SEE GOALS 1, 2, 3.	<u>Performance:</u> *	Performance:*					
The percentage of SWD scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 FCAT/FAA Reading will increase from 35% to 42%.							
	35%	42%					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2	5D.2.	
		5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	5D.3	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus			I	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Text Complexity	9-12, all	Evyan Wagner, Reading Coach	PLC, all content areas	rolling 3 week meetings	Classroom visits, including notes, post- conference in PLC	Reading Coach
Text-based Questioning	9-12, all		PLC, all content areas	rolling 2 week meetings	Classroom visits, including notes, post- conference in PLC	Reading Coach
Close Reading	9-12, all		PLC, all content areas	rolling 2 week meetings	Classroom visits, including notes, post- conference in PLC	Reading Coach
IEP Training	9-12, all	ESE Teachers	ESE Teachers	On-going	Case Manager	ESE Specialist
			General Ed Teachers			
SWD Co-Teaching	9-12, all	DRT	PLCs ESE Teachers	On-going	Classroom walkthroughs	Administration Team
			General Ed Teachers			DRT
Single Gender Education Training	9-12, all	Agnes Ghansah Sunny Royal	PLCs / School-wide content teachers/PLC	On-going	Classroom walkthroughs	Administration Team
						DRT

End of Reading Goals

NEW Geometry End-of-Course Goals *(High School ONLY)

Geometry	Prob			
EOC	lem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Solving Process			

	to Incr ease Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
H.	1.1. Teachers	1.1. Five	1.1. Who? The PLC		1.1.	
Students	do not have	PLC's per	leader (Nylund)	strategy will		
		semester will		result in student		
	for lesson	be allotted to		proficiency		
	planning and	lesson planning,		according to the	Common, chapter,	
	collaboration	co-teacher	How? Data Analysis	evaluation tool.	assessments	
upper third			5			
(proficient)		collaboration.	Department Head			
in			Nylund will ensure			
			that each and every		Formative #1, 2 and	
Geometry.			PLC is productive		3	
			through effective			
			planning and time			
			management.			
			Principal Haynes		Semester 1 exam	
			and Thompson			
			will conduct "pop-			
			in" observations to ensure this as well.			
			cusure uns as well.			
Geometry	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
Goal H:	Level of	Level of				
<u>00ai n.</u>	Performance	Performance:				
The						
percentage						
of students						
scoring an A,						
B or C on the						
2013 End-						
of-Course						
Geometry						
Exam will						
increase from						
60% to 62%.						
0070 10 0270.						
Deard						
(Based upon						
SDHC scaling)						
	1	1				I I

60%	62%				
	from classroom to classroom.	1.2. Common assessments will be created for each chapter to ensure consistent instruction and pacing throughout the department. This will occur during PLC's.	1.2. Who? The PLC leader (Nylund) How? Data Analysis The data from common chapter assessments, as well as semester exams, will be disaggregated by topic/standard to determine which topics/ standards need remediation and further attention. This data will be reviewed by Department Head Nylund, Principal Haynes Angentation and Thompson.	1.2. Formative #1, 2 and 3 Semester 1 Exam	

		1.3. Teachers do			1.3. Who? The PLC	1.3.	
					leader (Nylund)		
		time to analyze			and the Algebra 1		
		assessment	chapter assessment	the Algebra 1	teachers		
			or formative	teachers			
		struggling	assessment, teachers			a	
			will			Common, chapter,	
		remediate those				assessments	
		atu donta			How? Data Analysis		
		students.	(1) Analyze the data	How? Data			
			during PLC's.	Analysis			
			-	-		Formative #1, 2 and	
			(2) Teachers and	The data from		3	
			the math coach will				
				assessments, as			
				well as semester			
				exams, will be		Semester 1 exam	
				disaggregated by		Semester i exam	
				topic/standard			
				to determine			
				which topics/			
				standards need			
				remediation and			
				further attention.			
				This data will			
				be reviewed			
				by Department			
				Head Nylund,			
				Principal Haynes			
				and Principal			
				Thompson.			
				i nompoon.			
Based on	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data	Student Evaluation		
the analysis	Barrier	Strategy	Fluenty Check	Check	Tool		
	Darrier			Спеск	1001		
of student			Who and how				
achievement				How will the			
data, and			monitored?	evaluation tool			
reference to				data be used to			
"Guiding				determine the			
Questions",				effectiveness of			
identify and							
define areas				strategy?			
in need of							
improvement for							
the following							
group:							
B. C. P.							

I. Students	2.1	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
	Instruction	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
scoring in	is not					
the upper	differentiate					
third on	d for higher					
Geometry.	achieving	(1) An Algebra	Who?	An effective	Formative #1, 2 and	
Geometry.	students.	1 Honors class		strategy will	3	
		was created to		result in student		
		accommodate	Classien Madau d	proficiency		
		Blake's higher	Clayton Nylund (Department Head)	according to the evaluation tool.	Semester 1 Exam	
		achieving Algebra 1	(Department Head)	evaluation tool.	Semester I Exam	
		students. An				
		honors text and				
		assessments	Algebra 1 Honors			
		will be used for				
		these students.	reacher			
		inese students.				
			Khan Academy			
		(2) The	Facilitator			
		implementation				
		of the after-				
		school Khan				
		Academy.	How? Data Analysis	5		
		This is an				
		opportunity				
		for higher				
			Department Head			
		students to work				
		ahead with the	continually monitor			
			the progress of			
			the Algebra 1			
		teacher.	Honors students			
			and Khan Academy			
			students. This will			
			be determined by			
			common-assessment	L .		
			data for each			
			student.			

Geometry Goal I: The percentage of students scoring an A or B on the 2013 End- of-Course Geometry Exam will increase from 30% to 32%.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	30%	32%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals *(Middle and High Schools ONLY)

Algebra	Problem			
EOC	-Solving Process			
Goals	Process			
	to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieve			

	ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
scoring proficient/	enough time for lesson	PLC's per semester will be allotted to lesson planning		strategy will result in student proficiency according to the evaluation tool.	 1.1. Common, chapter, assessments Formative #1, 2 and 3 Semester 1 exam 	

Algebra Goal #1: The percentage of students scoring a Level 3 or higher on the 2013 Algebra EOC will increase from 22% to 24%.	Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
		1.2. Instruction is stratified from classroom to classroom.	assessments will be created for each chapter to ensure consistent instruction and paging throughout	1.2. Who? The PLC leader (Nylund) How? Data Analysis	evaluation tool.	1.2. Formative #1, 2 and 3 Semester 1 Exam	

1.3. Teachers dol: 3. Following into to analyze of a common, streage will reachers students and emodate hose students. 1.3. Mho? The PLC leader streage will reachers valuation tool. 1.3. An effective strategy will reach in sudent proficiency valuation tool. 1.3. The sessment students in tool. 1.3. Undertise students original will Nay law bit sessment students Semester Semester 1.3. Name will How? Data Semester 1 exam Semester 1 exam 1.3. Teachers and heat coach will dentify stragging studentify stragging studentify stragging studentify stragging Semester 1 exam Semester 1 exam	 T	1.2 Tanahara da	1.2 Following	1.3. Who? The	1.3. An effective	1.3.	
time to analyze assessment data, identify struggling students and remediate those students.		1.5. Teachers do	1.5. Following	1.5. WIO? The	1.5. All effective		
assessment data, identify struggling students and remediate those students. chapter assessment or formative assessment, teachers will Algebra 1 teachers according to the evaluation tool. Conmiton, chapter, assessments How? Data How? Data Formative #1, 2 and 3 (1) Analyze the data during PLC's. Analysis Semester 1 exam (2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on Semester 1 exam		time to analyze	of a common	Nylund) and the	student profisionary		
data, identify struggling students. (1) Analyze the data during PLC's. (2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on			of a continuor,	Algobro 1 toochoro	according to the	Common, chapter,	
struggling students and remediate those students. (1) Analyze the data Analysis during PLC's. (2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on		doto idontifu	chapter assessment	Algebra i teachers	according to the	assessments	
students and remediate those students. (1) Analyze the data Analysis during PLC's. (2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on					evaluation tool.		
remediate those students. How? Data (1) Analyze the data Analysis (2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on		struggling	assessment, teachers				
students. (1) Analyze the data Analysis (2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on		students and	w111				
(1) Analyze the data Analysis during PLC's. (2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on		remediate those		How? Data		Formative #1, 2 and 3	
during PLC's. (2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on		students.				,	
(2) Teachers and the math coach will identify struggling students based on			during PLC's.	5			
the math coach will identify struggling students based on			e				
the math coach will identify struggling students based on			(2) Teachers and			Semester 1 exam	
identify struggling students based on			the math coach will				
students based on							
			students based on				
			data.				
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						

Based on	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data	Student Evaluation	
the analysis	Barrier	Strategy	r lating children	Check	Tool	
of student						
achievement			Who and how	· · · · ·		
data, and			5	How will the		
reference to			monitored?	evaluation tool		
"Guiding				data be used to		
Questions",				determine the		
identify and				effectiveness of		
define areas				strategy?		
in need of						
improvement						
for the						
following						
group:						
Alg2.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
Students	Instruction					
· ·	is not					
	differentiated for higher					
	achieving	(1) An Algebra	Who?	An effective	Formative #1, 2 and	
	students	1 Honors class		strategy will	3	
4 OF 5 M		was created to		result in student		
Algebra.		accommodate		proficiency		
U			Clayton Nylund	according to the		
		achieving	(Department Head)	evaluation tool.	Semester 1 Exam	
		Algebra 1				
		students. An				
		honors text and				
			Algebra 1 Honors			
		will be used for	Teacher			
		these students.				
			Khan Academy			
		(2) The	Facilitator			
		implementation				
		of the after-				
		school Khan				
			How? Data Analysis			
		This is an				
		opportunity				
		for higher				
		achieving				
		students to work				
		ahead with the				
		assistance of				
		a facilitating				
		teacher.				

#2·	Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	3%	5%					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

High School AMO Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Based on ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives							
(AMOs), identify							
reading and mathematics performance target for the							
following years							
A. In six years,	Baseline data 2010-2011	SEE Algobro/					
school will reduce	Dasenne uata 2010-2011	SEE Algebra/					
		Geometry EOC Goals					
their achievement		1and 2.					
gap by 50%.							
HS Mathematics							
Goal A:							
SEE Algobro/							
SEE Algebra/							
Geometry EOC							
Goals 1and 2.							
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data							
and reference to "Guiding			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and			1				
define areas in need of improvement for the							
following subgroups:							
ionowing subgroups.							

B. Student	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
subgroups by	55.1.	55.1.	50.1.	55.1.	50.1.		
	SEE Algebra/						
	SEE Algebra/						
	Geometry EOC						
Indian) not making	Goals 1and 2.						
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
HS Mathematics	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
<u>Goal B:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
SEE Algebra/							
Geometry EOC							
Goals 1and 2.							
Ovals failu 2.							
	White: Y	White: 52					
	Black: Y	Black: 31					
	Hispanic: Y	Hispanic: 37					
	Asian: N/A	Asian: N/A					
	American Indian: N/A	American Indian: N/A					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding						
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
	hai	201		201		
C. English Language	BC.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	
Learners (ELL) not						
making satisfactory	SEE					
progress in						
	Algebra/					
mathematics.	Geometry					
	EOC					
	Goals 1					
	and 2.					
		1				

HS Mathematics Goal C: The percentage of the ELL students scoring proficient/satisfactory on the 2013 EOC/ FAA will increase from 42% to 48%.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	42%	48%					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	an 1	hp 1]
	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making	SEE						
satisfactory progress	SEE						
satisfactory progress	Algebra/						
in mathematics.	Geometry						
	EOC						
	Goals 1						
	and 2.						
HS Mathematics	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Goal D:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
The percentage of							
the students with							
disabilities (SWD)							
scoring proficient/							
satisfactory on the							
2013 EOC/FAA will							
increase from 49% to							
54%.							
5470.							
	49%	54%					
	ט/ לדן	JJT / U					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		D.2.	р D .2.	DD.2.	DD.2.	SD.2.	
		2D 2	3D.3.	2D 2	3D.3.	3D.3.	
		3D.3.	ט.ט.	3D.3.	SU.S.	JU.J.	

		C ()				·]
Based on the analysis of student achievement data	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
and reference to "Guiding	Dairiei					
Questions," identify and			Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
E. Economically	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	
Disadvantaged						
students not making	SEE					
satisfactory progress						
in mathematics.	Algebra/					
in mathematics.	Geometry					
	EOC					
	Goals 1					
	and 2.					
	2012 Current	2012 E				
110 Internetinet	Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
<u>Goal E:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				
The percentage of						
the Economically						
Disadvantaged						
students scoring						
proficient/satisfactory						
on the 2013 EOC/						
FAA will increase						
from 55% to 60%.						
	550/	600/				
	55%	60%				

	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC or PD Activity	h	с , сторс.т				
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development o PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	r Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or			Monitoring
Common Assessment Development	Algebra 1	PLC Leader Clayton Nylund (DH)	school-wide) PLC	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Each and every Monday	Analysis of common assessment data follow every test administration	Jean Mann (PLC Coordinator for Blake)
	Geometry Geometry Honors					Jacqueline Haynes (Principal)
	Algebra 2					
	Algebra 2 Honors					
Single Gender Education Training	9-12, ALL	12, ALL Agnes Ghansah/ School-wide content teachers/PLC On-going Sunny Royal			Classroom walkthroughs	Administration Team
						DRT
Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811						

Revised July, 2012

End of Mathematics Goals

Writing/Language Arts Goals

Writing/ Language Arts Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	-		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		1. Students scoring
	1.1.				at Achievement
-Student monthly	See "Check" & "Act" action		Strategy	-Not all teachers	
demand writes/	See Check & Act action α			know how to	in writing.
ⁿ formative assessments	steps in the strategies column	Who	Students' use	plan and execute	in writing.
			of mode-	writing lessons	
-Student drafts		Principal	specific writing	with a focus	
			will improve	on mode-based	
-Student revisions		APC	through use of	writing and the	
			weekly Writers'	new FCAT 2.0	
-Student portfolios		Department Head	i omonop,	rubric.	
_			daily instruction		
-Teacher/student			with a focus on	-Not all teachers	
conferences			mode-specific	know how to	
			writing every	review student	
	1		Wednesday.	writing to	
				determine trends	
				and needs in	
		How Monitored		order to drive	
		DI C Les	Action Steps	instruction.	
		-PLC logs			
		Classroom walls through		-All teachers	
		-Classroom wark-unoughs		need training to	
		Observation Form	PLCs write	score student	
			SMART goals for	writing accurately	
		Conferencing while			
		(ioi coaches)	Grading Period,		
				state.	
			prompt.)		
	1				
	1				
	1		Plan:		
	1		-Professional		
	1				
		-PLC logs -Classroom walk-throughs Observation Form 	Action Steps -Based on baseline data, PLCs write SMART goals for the 4 th Quarter. (For example, during the first Grading Period, 50% of the students will score 4.0 or above on the end- of-the Grading Period writing prompt.) Plan: -Professional Development for updated rubric courses	instruction. -All teachers need training to score student writing accurately during the 2012- 2013 school year	

r	í í		
	-Professional Development for instructional delivery of mode- specific writing -Using data		
	to identify trends and drive instruction		
	-Lesson planning based on the needs of students		
	<u>Do:</u>		
	-Daily/ongoing models and application of appropriate mode-specific writing based on teaching points		
	-Daily/ongoing conferencing		
	-Data chats with students.		
	<u>Check:</u>		
	-PLC discussions and analysis of student writing to determine trends		
	and needs		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i		
	<u>Act:</u>		
	-Receive		
	additional		
	professional		
	development in		
	areas of need		
	Common Core		
	Training.		
	-Seek additional		
	professional		
	knowledge		
	through		
	book studies/		
	research(Teach		
	Like A		
	Champion).		
	-Spread the		
	use of effective practices across		
	the school based		
	on evidence		
	shown in the best		
	practice of others		
	-Use what is		
	learned to begin		
	the cycle again,		
	revise as needed,		
	increase scale if possible, etc.		
	-Plan ongoing		
	monitoring of the		
	solution(s)		

Writing/LA Goal #1: The percentage of students scoring Level 3.0 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Writes will increase from 83% to 85%.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*		
	83%	85%		

	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.~.	1.2.	
	-Improve the	<u>Strategy</u>	Who		During the Grading Period	
	teaching of	~		Taaahar Laasal		
	reading and		-Principal	Teacher Level	Common assessments (pre,	
		language, and listening /			post, mid, section, end of unit)	
			-AP	Teachers reflect on		
	teachers.	through engagement		lesson outcomes and		
		in college and career		use this knowledge		
	-Become more	preparatory lessons/		to drive future		
	proficient	activities/tasks that promote	-Department Head	instruction.		
	at pacing	high levels of thinking.				
	and teaching		-PLC facilitators of like	-Teachers maintain		
	Springboard		grades and/or like courses	their assessments in		
	lessons.		braces and of file couldes	the on-line grading		
	10550115.	Action Steps		system.		
	-Begin aligning	<u>reaction Steps</u>		-		
		Within PLCs	How	-Teachers use the on-		
	with common core	r, unin 1 LCS		line grading system		
	standards.	Before the unit		data to calculate		
				their students'		
				progress towards		
				the development of		
				their individual/PLC		
		-Unpack an assessment and		SMART Goal.		
			their logs.	olvin ilk i Godi.		
				PLC Level		
		-Set SMART goals for the	-Administrators and coaches			
			attend targeted PLC meetings	Using the individual		
				teacher data, PLCs		
		-Decide on a way to				
			-Progress of PLCs discussed	calculate the SIVIAR I		
		kilowieuge of students.		goal data across all		
		(What pre-assessment will		classes/courses.		
		we all use?)	Administration shares the			
				PLCs reflect on		
		choose the uneffor		lesson outcomes and		
		activities teachers will use		data used to drive		
				future instruction.		
			throughs looking for			
				-For each class/		
		-	with fidelity and consistency.			
		-Reflect on barriers and		their overall progress		
		successes from the year		towards the SMART		
		before	the walk-through data	Goal.		
			school-wide and shares with			
II:llah awawah 2012						

 · · · · · ·	.		• • • •	i	
		tstaff the progress of strategy	Leadership Team		
	exemplars (previous	implementation monthly.	Level		
	students' assessments if				
	available).		-PLC facilitator/		
	avallable).				
			Subject Area Leader/		
	-Visit the pacing guide and		Department Heads		
	determine the pacing for		shares SMART Goal		
	the unit.		data with the Problem		
			Solving Leadership		
	-Decide on common				
			Team.		
	terminology to use with				
	students and during PLC		-Data is used to drive		
	discussions.		teacher support and		
			student supplemental		
	Look at the grammer				
	-Look at the grammar		instruction.		
	instruction opportunities				
	provided in the unit and				
	determine their potential				
	usage.				
	usage.				
	5 11				
	-Decide on				
	which vocabulary terms				
	need to be taught during the	2			
	unit.				
	difft.				
	-Discuss the student's				
	curriculum checklist.				
	-Determine how the PLC				
	would like to grade the				
	assessments in order for				
	there to be consistency				
	among grade levels.				
	F				
	During the unit				
	-Determine:				
	What is working?				
	What is working?				
	Is there a need to enrich the	ne in the second se			
	instruction? How?				

What isn't working?
Is there a need to supplement the instruction? How?
Are the needs of our ELL/ SWD being met?
How can civics be added into instruction? (Prompts on Culture and Conflict).
Is there a need for a demonstration classroom and/ or teacher swap?
-Conduct a pacing check.
-Bring anchor activities (artifacts) to assess student understanding.
-Discuss effective student placement (If plausible discuss how classroom environment might help a student that is struggling in a class. Could a change of class period or teacher help?)
-Plan strategies to differentiate.
-Plan higher order thinking questions.
-Discuss portfolio implementation (Success/ Barriers).
-Discuss baseline date/data from anchor activities/data from EAs. (RTI)

-Determine whether teachers want to add additional criteria to the EA rubric.
-Discuss additions to the writer's checklists.
During the assessment
-Agree upon a date when all assessments need to be completed.
-Discuss successes and challenges.
After the assessment
Participate in an assessment Norming session (Data to
be discussed after EAs are all scored)
After all assessments have been scored
-Reflect on the unit.
-Reflect on the effectiveness of the PLC (survey).
-Revisit portfolios.
-Identify the skills students struggled with and determine which
activities in further lessons will readdress the skills

needing to be re-taught or strengthened.
-Recognize successes and celebrate.
In the classroom
During the lessons, teachers:
-Post essential questions and daily objectives.
-Explicitly reference connections between the following: essential questions, daily objective, and assessment.
-Select learning strategies as needed.
-Group students appropriately.
-Scaffold instruction building towards higher complexity.
-Model and provide opportunities for guided and independent practice of skills aligned with the assessment.
-Select academic vocabulary from text to be used during a unit of instruction.
-Use multiple types of formative assessment and

provide consistent checks
for student understanding.
-Use data during the lesson
and after the assessment to
inform instruction.
During the lessons.
students:
-Understand the criteria
which will be used to
evaluate their work.
-Understand the purpose
of the lesson and its connection to the
assessment.
-Think critically and
creatively.
ciourivity.
-Actively draw upon prior
knowledge and use that
knowledge to connect with
lesson goals.
Know when, why, and
how to use strategies when
appropriate free of teacher
support.
-Collaborate within
structured grouping.
pructured grouping.
-Self assess understanding
of content.
-Use academic vocabulary
in written and oral
responses.

-Post exemplars of student work. -Self reflect on lessons.	After the lessons, teachers:
-Self reflect on lessons.	
	-Self reflect on lessons.

1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
1.3.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	
-PLCs strug	gle <u>Strategy</u>	Who	School has a system		
with how			for PLCs to record		
to structure	Student achievement	-Principal	and report data		
curriculum	ind improves through teachers		for SMART goal		
data analysi	s working collaboratively to	-AP	outcomes.		
discussion t					
deepen their		-Instruction Coaches			
leaning.	Plan-Do-Check-Act model				
	and log to structure their	-Department Heads			
	way of work. Using the	2 optimient frouds			
	backwards design model	PLC facilitators of like			
	for units of instruction,	grades and/or like courses			
	teachers focus on the	grades and/or like courses			
	following four questions:				
	following four questions.				
	1. What is it we expect	How			
		<u>110 W</u>			
	them to learn?	PLCS turn their logs into			
		administration and/or coach			
	2. How will we know if	after a unit of instruction is			
	they have learned it?				
		complete.			
	3. How will we respond	-PLCs receive feedback on			
	if they don't learn?				
		their logs.			
	4. How will we respond				
	if they already know				
	it?				
	Actions/Details				
	-Additional action steps for	•			
	this strategy are outlined				
	on grade level/content area				
	PLC action plans.				
	*				

Writing/Language Arts Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) On-going		
Writing Holistic Scoring Training	9-12	English Department Head PLC facilitators	Language Arts Teachers d PLC-grade level and vertical team	IS	PLC logs turned into administration PLC logs turned into administration	Principal APC Department Head PLC Facilitators
Springboard Pacing		English Department Head PLC facilitators	Language Arts Teachers d PLC-grade level and vertical team	On-going Is		Principal APC Department Head PLC Facilitators

End of Writing Goals Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

Attendance Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance				
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:			Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1	
	Attendance	Tier 1	Attendance committee	Attendance committee will	Instructional Planning	
	committee needs		will keep a log and notes	monitor the attendance data	Tool Attendance/	
	to meet on a	The school will	that will be reviewed by		Tardy data	
	monthly basis	establish an	the Principal on a monthly	students.		
	throughout the	attendance	basis and shared with		Ed Connect/Mainframe	
	school year.	committee	faculty.		reports	
		comprised of				
	-Need support	Administrators,				
	in ounanit, and	guidance				
	maintaining the	counselors,				
	student database.	teachers and other				
		relevant personnel				
		to review the				
		school's				
		attendance plan				
		and discuss school				
		wide interventions				
		to address needs				
		relevant to current				
		attendance data.				
		The attendance				
		committee will				
		also maintain a				
		database of				
		students with				
		significant				
		attendance				
		problems and				
		implement and				
		monitor				
		interventions to be				
		documented on the				
		attendance				
		intervention form				
		(SB 90710) The				
		attendance				
		committee meets				
		monthly.				

Attendance Goal #1: 2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*			
Attendance Rate:*	Attendance Rate:*			
1. The attendance rate				
will increase from 92% in 2011-2012 to 94% in				
2012-2013.				
2. The attendance rate				
will increase from 92%				
in 2011-2012 to 94% in 2012-2013.				
2012-2013.				
The number of students				
who have 10 or more unexcused absences				
throughout the school				
year will decrease by				
10%				
3.The number of				
students who have 10				
or more <u>unexcused</u> tardies to school				
throughout the school				
vear will decrease by				
10%.				

1						
92.0%	93.0%					
	2013 Expected					
Number of Students	Number of Students					
	with Excessive					
Absences	Absences					
(10 or more)	(10 or more)					
395	300					
2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Number of	Number of					
Students with						
Excessive Tardies	Students with					
(10 or more)	Excessive Tardies					
	(10 or more)					
37	30					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	-Need an Edline	<u>Tier 1</u>	Assistant Principal /Team-	Assistant Principal will	EDline /EdConnect /SDHC	
	Waiver to increase the				mainframe Attendance Reports	
		All teachers will post their	Heads will monitor Edline and	reports to evaluate	r	
	and frequency of		Attendance Data.	teacher's adherence to		
		daily every period, and grades		policy.		
		on Edline biweekly.	1.0	1.0		
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
					Instructional Planning Tool for	
	to reinforce parents			committee (which is a	Attendance /Tardy data.	
	for facilitating	-Beginning at the 5 th		subset of the Leadership		
		unexcused absence a student		Team)	SDHC attendance reports.	
		is referred to the Intervention Team. ; Documented on the		will monitor the students		
		Intervention Form. After		with excessive absences.		
		the 10 th unexcused absence a		with excessive absences.		
		referral to social worker and				
		or school psychologist for				
		services based on need.				

Professional Development

(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity	I					
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus	5	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Classroom Management	9-12	A.P.	-Selected teachers with excessive	As needed	Classroom walk – throughs and informal	Principal and Assistant Principal
EET Review	9-12	A.P.	tardies and skipping incidences. - Teachers school - wide	As needed	observations with feedback. Classroom walk – throughs and informal observations with feedback.	Principal and Assistant Principal

End of Attendance Goals Suspension Goal(s)

SUSPENSION GOAL(S)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension						
-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Evaluation Tool	
analysis of	Barrier					
suspension data,			Who and how will the	How will the evaluation		
and reference			fidelity be monitored?			
to "Guiding			5	to determine the		
Questions",				effectiveness of strategy?		
identify and define				6,7		
areas in need of						
improvement:						

1. Suspension:	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	
Suspension Goal						
34000000000000000000000000000000000000	Lack of common	Develop	Problem Solving	Administration will	Discipline data	
		school wide			from: SDHC	
suspensions:		norms to address	includes:	weekly basis.	Mainframe (discipline	
suspensions.		common student			report by incident).	
		expectation.	Principal (P),		······································	
		Assistant	· · · · · · · ·			
	classroom		Assistant Principal for			
	behavior.	will continue	Administration (ÂPA),			
		implementing				
		the school wide	Assistant Principal for			
		expectations and	Student Affairs (AP1's),			
		rules to students				
		and <i>teachers</i> ;	Administrative			
			Resource Teacher (ART),			
		Administrative	through weekly meeting			
		staffing, faculty				
		meetings, teacher				
		& student huddles,				
		and Discipline				
		Committee				
		meetings.				
		-				
		·				

Ì			

1(a) <u>2012 Total</u>	2013 Expected			
Number of	Number of			
1(a)The total number of In-School <u>In –School</u>	In School			
	In- School Suspensions			
Suspensions will <u>Suspensions</u> decrease by 5% (38)	Suspensions			
from 761 in 2011-				
2012 to 723 in 2012-				
2012 to 725 in 2012- 2013.				
2015.				
1(b)The total				
number of students				
receiving In-School				
Suspension will				
decrease by 5% (21)				
from 436 in 2011-				
2012 to 415 in 2012-				
2013.				
1(c)The total				
number of Out-				
of-Suspensions				
(including ATOSS)				
will decrease by 5%				
(22) from 445 in				
2011-2012 to 484 in				
2012-2013.				
1(d)The total				
number of students				
receiving Out-of-				
School Suspension				
will decrease by 5%				
(13) from 271 in				

2011-2012 to 258 in 2012-2013.					
	761	723			
	1(b) <u>2012</u> <u>Total Number</u> of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended			
	In-School	In -School			
	436	415			
	1(c) <u>2012</u> <u>Number of</u> <u>Out-of-School</u> <u>Suspensions</u>	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			
	445	423			
	of Students	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended			
	Out- of- School	Out- of-School			
	271	258			

 	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.2	
	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	
ļ	Lack of positive	Administration will use	Principal,	The Administrative	SDHC Mainframe Report &	
		Intervention Strategies			Referral chart in the cafeteria.	
		(i.e. parent phone calls,		grade level data		
				weekly		
		conference with parent &	, initiation in the second sec	weekiy		
		student, letter to parent,	Assistant Principal for			
			Student Affairs (AP1's), and			
		probation, mediations,	Student Analis (Al 1 3), and			
		refer to guidance,	Administrative Resource			
			Teacher will track data and post it in the cafeteria on a			
		school as an alterative for	weekly dasis.			
		ISS or OSS/ATOSS.				
		1.011 11				
		AP1's will create a				
		focus group of students				
		within their alphabet				
		with discipline issues to				
		monitor and mentor.				
		There will be a per grade				
		level system incentive for				
		students to decrease the				
		total number of referrals				
		per grade level. The				
		winning grade level will				
		receive a reward (e.g. ice				
		cream social). Referral				
		data will be held in the				
		cafeteria and updated				
		surviviru una upautou				
		weekly.				
		wookiy.				

1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	
There is a large disparity in the number of referrals	Administration & Discipline committee will analyze data as well as make suggestions for additional training in classroom management for teachers in need (i.e. Classroom Management training & FBA/BIP trainings).	Administration: Principal,	Administration & Discipline committee will analyze data on referrals on monthly basis by instructor.	SDHC Mainframe discipline Reports by instructor.	
1.4 Lack of consistency in the mentorship programs.	1.4 Mentors will take & assist in a more positive & active role in the discipline process. Develop a classroom lesson plan that all	1.4 AP1's Mentors Social Worker Guidance Counselor on a weekly - monthly basis	1.4 AP1's will evaluate the mentoring process every nine weeks by pulling the discipline history of mentees/ students.	1.4 SDHC Mainframe discipline Reports by student.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-	-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)			
Classroom Management 9-12	AP	Selected teachers with classroom management concerns	As need	Classroom observation implementation of rules decrease student behave	s & strategies to	Principal and Assistant Principal
Suspension Budget						
Include, school allocation from Distric Internal funds, Title I, PTSA funds, Grants, ELL funds, Technology funds etc, additional units/dollars from Distri	,					
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials	(s)					
Strategy	Description	on of Resources	Funding Source		Available Amo	ount
Student incentive reward to decrease refer	students. P	ard is an academic incentive for all rizza social during student lunches for g grade level every semester.	Internal funds/SAC		NA	
Subto	tal:					
Technology						
Strategy	Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Available Amou	int
Edconnect electronic referrals system	Electronic	submission of referrals from teacher	rs District provided		NA	
Subto	tal:					
Professional Development						
Strategy	Descriptio	n of Resources	Funding Source		Available Amou	int
Classroom Management Training	for selecte		NA		NA	
EdConnect electronic referrals system	Teachers v preplannin	vere trained to us Edconnect during g.	NA		NA	

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention				
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

1 December 1	1 1	1.1.	1 1	1.1.	1 1	
1. Dropout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Prevention						
			AP	Monitoring and reporting of		
		identify students		SDHC (data base system)	system)	
Dropout Prevention	will assist in		Guidance	weekly at administrators and		
		who have failed		department head meetings.		
<u>60ai #1.</u>	students who at-		Core academic teachers			
*D1 (, ,1	risk.	courses and have				
*Please refer to the		more than 10				
percentage of students		absences. This				
who dropped out		process will				
during the 2011-2012		allow students to				
school year.		receive academic				
		support and to				
		assist students to				
		establish attainable				
		academic goals.				
		Core academic				
		teachers will				
		provide a list of				
		students who have				
		failed a course for				
		each semester to				
		guidance.				
		Ĩ				
	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Dropout Rate:*	Dropout Rate:*				
	36%	36%				
	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
		Graduation Rate:*		 		
	64%	64%				

1.2.	2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
lim	nited options for edit recovery.	Monitor students to ensure they take are enrolled in the various credit recovery		students who have entered and completed	Student's transcripts and report cards.	
		class opportunities.		courses.		
1.3.	3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
of a stu- dis wit anc opt spa Cen Cen	absences with idents that are sinterested ith school d looking for tions. Limited	target At-Risk groups and schedule quarterly visits to local Career Centers to expose students to available options within	Specialist, Asst. Principal for Student Affairs, SRO, APC, Teachers,		High School Graduation Rates and Drop Out Rates	
gra inc tha sch GE	ew High School ading does not clude students at leave your hool to go to ED and ESE/ pecial Diploma.	meet at the beginning of the school year to review SWDs to review schedules and Diploma Options of all ESE students. Project and determine which	1.4 ESE Specialist, Transition Specialist, Guidance Counselor, APC	Clear Communication	1.4 High School Graduation Rates and Drop Out Rates	
		students are on the track to graduate on time and what plan of action can be put into place to prepare others.				

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

Professional Learning Community (PLC or PD Activity)					
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic		PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Exploring other alternatives (Career Center) in order for students to meet the requirements identified in the pupil progression plan		Guidance	APC and Guidance	Beginning of the 1 st nine weeks and at the end of each semester.	Contact from career center regarding student's acceptance.	APC and Guidance

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Title I schools submit the Parent Involvement Plan (PIP) instead of goals.

Health and Fitness Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-			
Additional Goal(s)	Solving			
Additional Obal(5)	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	
1. Health and Fitness Goal	Unhealthy eating habits. Not dressing out.	students will	1.1. Principal Guidance Counselors	1.1. Checking of student schedules	1.1. Student schedules Master schedule	

Health and Fitness Goal #1: During the 2012-2013 school year, the number of students scoring in the "Healthy Fitness Zone" (HFZ) on the Pacer for assessing aerobic capacity and cardiovascular health will increase from 60% on the Pretest to 70% on the Posttest.	<u>Level :*</u>	2013 Expected Level :*					
	60%	70%					
		eating habits. Not dressing out.	activity initiatives developed and implemented by the Principal's designee.		students scoring in the Healthy Fitness Zone (HFZ)	1.2. PACER test component of the FITNESSGRAM PACER for assessing cardiovascular health.	
		1.3. Unhealthy eating habits. Not dressing out.		1.3. Physical Education Teacher	Classroom walk- throughs of PE classes	1.3. PACER test component of the FITNESSGRAM PACER for assessing cardiovascular health.	

Health and Fitness Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		

Continuous Improvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-			
Additional Goal(s)	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			

	nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
areas in need of improvement:				How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?		

1. Continuous	.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Improvement Goal						
	There is still	The leadership	Who	"Quick" PLC informal	PLC Survey materials	
		team will		surveys will be administered		
h	low to conduct	become trained			(Anne Jolly)	
		on the use of		two months. The Leadership		
	ocused on	the PLC "Unit		Team will aggregate the data		
		of Instruction"		and share outcomes of the		
	nowledge	log that follows		school-wide results with their		
		the Plan-Do-		PLCs. The data will provide		
				direction for future PLC		
	mproving	model. Subject		training.		
		Area Leader				
		and/or PLC facilitators will				
	mplementation					
		PLCs through				
	Do-Check-Act					
		Check-Act				
		model for units	1			
	Still confusion					
		The work will				
		be recorded				
		on PLC				
m	nodel works.	logs that are				
		reviewed by				
		the Leadership				
		Team.				
	nore PLC					
		1) What do we				
		want students				
Р		to learn?				
	vaiver will be	N 11 -11				
e		2) How will we				
		know if they have learned?				
		nave learned?				
		3) What will				
		we do if they				
		don't learn?				
		aon thourn.				
		4) What will				
		we do if they				
		already know				
		it?				

Continuous Improvement Goal #1: The percentage of teachers who strongly agree with the indicator that "teachers meet on a regular basis to discuss their students' learning, share best practices, problem solve and develop lessons/ assessments that improve student performance (under Teaching and Learning)" will increase from 75% in 2012 to 95% in 2013.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*			
	75%	95%			

1.2.	2. 1.2	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	ot enough . Leadership team will ne to meet in use teacher survey .Cs. information every nine weeks to determine next steps for PLC professiona development.	Leadership team Il <u>How</u>			
		the data	with their PLCs. The data will provide direction for future PLC training.		
1.3.	i. 1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Continuous Improvement Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic Grade Level/ PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Subject Monitoring and/or PLC Focus and/or (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or (e.g., Early Release) and school-wide) Schedules (e.g., frequency of PLC Leader meetings) PLCs Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 **Revised July, 2012** 110

Plan-Do-Check-Act ModelLeadership Team Leadership Team School-wide

All teachers Subject Area Leaders

PLC Facilitators

End of Additional Goal(s)

PLCs meet every three weeks Administrator and leadership team Leadership Team for Plan-Do-Check-Act PLCs.walk-throughs

Administrator and leadership attendance at PLC meetings

PLC Survey data

NEW Goal(s) For the 2012-2013 School Year

NEW Reading Florida Alternate Assessment Goals

A. Florida	A.1.	A.1	A.1	A.1	A.1.	
Alternate						
	Need to	Strategy	Who	Teacher Level	2x per year	
	provide	<u>Strate_y</u>	<u> </u>			
		SWD student	Principal, Site	-Teachers reflect on	District Baseline and Mid-	
			Administrator,	lesson outcomes and use	Year Testing	
Levels 4-	structure and			this knowledge to drive	e	
		through the	1	future instruction.	_	
		effective and				
	and on-going	<u>consistent</u>			Semester Exams	
			How	line grading system		
		<u>ntation of</u>		data to calculate their	_	
	IEPs by both	students'	IEP Progress Reports			
			reviewed by APC		During the Grading Period	
		strategies,		or individual SWD		
		modifications,		SMART Goal	Common assessments (pre,	
		and		DL C L and	post, mid, section, end of	
		accommodatio ns.		PLC Level	unit)	
	APC will put			-Using the individual		
		-Throughout		teacher data, PLCs		
	place for this			calculate the SWD		
		year, teachers		SMART goal data		
	senioor year.	of SWD		across all classes/		
		review		courses.		
		students'				
		IEPs to		-PLCs reflect on lesson		
		ensure that		outcomes and data		
		IEPs are		used to drive future		
		implemented		instruction.		
		consistently				
		and with		-For each class/course,		
		fidelity.		PLCs chart their overall		
		Teeshaw		progress towards the		
		-Teachers (both		SWD SMART Goal.		
		(both individually		Leadership Team Level		
		and in PLCs)		Leadership Team Level	- 1	
		work to		-PLC facilitator/		
		WOIK IU				

Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811

	91%	92%			
students making learning gains on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.					
The percentage of	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
		improve upon both individually and collectively, the ability to effectively implement IEP/SWD strategies and modifications into lessons.	Subject Area Leader/ Department Heads shares SMART Goal data with the Problem Solving Leadership Team. -Data is used to drive teacher support and student supplemental instruction.		

A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	A.2.	
Improving the	Strategy/Task	Who	School has a system	School has a system for PLCs to	
proficiency of	Strategy/rask	<u>wiio</u>		record and report during-the-grading	
	SWD student	-Principal		period of SWD SMART goal	
	achievement	-i inicipai	period SWD SMART goal	outcomes to administration, coach,	
high priority.		-AP	period SwD SwART goal	SAL, and/or leadership team	
nign priority.	through teachers'	-AP	coach, SAL, and/or	SAL, and/or leadership team	
	implementation	-Instruction Coaches	leadership team.		
	of the Plan-Do-	-Instruction Coaches	leadership team.	-	
		Cubing Anna Landam			
	Check-Act model in order to plan/	-Subject Area Leaders			
		DL CL Constitution of C			
		-PLC facilitators of			
assessments	assessments with	like grades and/or like			
	appropriate strategies	courses			
level.	and modifications.				
Comoral					
-General		TT.			
educational		How			
teacher	<u>Actions</u>	DLC Location 11 t			
and ESE		-PLC logs turned into			
		administration/coaches.			
consistent,		Administration/coaches			
on-going co-	For an upcoming	provides feedback			
	unit of instruction	A durinternet			
		-Administrators			
		attended targeted PLC			
		meetings			
	-What do we want	December (DLC			
	our SWD to learn by				
		discussed at Leadership			
		Team.			
	-What are standards				
	that our SWD need to				
	learn?				
	TT				
	-How will we assess				
	these skills/standards				
	for our SWD?				
	What door menter				
	-What does mastery look like?				
	iook fike?				
	What is the CMADT				
	-What is the SMART				
	goal for this unit of				

instruction for our SWD?
SWD?
Plan for the "Do"
What do teachers need to do in order to meet the SWD SMART goal?
-What resources do we need?
-How will the lessons be designed to maximize the learning of SWD?
-What checks-for- understanding will we implement for our SWD?
-What teaching strategies/best practices will we use to help SWD learn?
-Specifically how will we implement thestrategy during the lesson?
-What are teachers going to do during the lesson for SWD?
-What are SWD student going to do during the lesson to maximize learning?

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Reflect on the "Do"/
	Analyze Checks for
	Understanding and
	Student Work <u>during</u>
	the unit.
	For lessons that have
	already been taught
	within the unit of
	instruction, teachers
	reflect and discuss
	one or more of the
	following regarding
	their SWD:
	-What worked within
	the lesson? How
	do we know it was
	successful? Why was
	it successful?
	-What didn't work
	within the lesson?
	Why? What are we
	going to do next?
	-For the
	implementation of
	the strategy
	the strategy, what worked? How
	do we know it was
	successful? Why
	was it successful?
	What checks for
	understanding were
	used during the
	lessons?
	-For the
	implementation of the
	strategy, what
	didn't work? Why?
	What are we going to
	do next?

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-What were the outcomes of the checks for understanding? And/ or analysis of student performance? -How do we take what we have learned and apply it to future lessons?
	Reflect/Check – Analyze Data
	Discuss one or more of the following:
	-What is the SWD data?
	-What is the data telling us as individual teachers?
	-What is the data telling us as a grade level/PLC/ department?
	-What are SWD not learning? Why is this occurring?
	-Which SWD are learning?
	Act on the Data
	After data analysis,

	A.3.	A.3.	A.3.	A.3.	A.3.	
		-What are we going to do about SWD not learning? -What are the skills/ concepts/standards that need re-teaching/ interventions (either to individual SWD or small groups)? -How are we going to re-teach the skill differently? -How we will know that our re-teaching/ interventions are working? A.3.		A.3.	A.3.	
		develop a plan to act on the data.				

B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.	BIL See Rea ding Goal s A1 and A2.	B.1.	B.1	B.1.	

 Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
91%	92%					
	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	B.2.	
	B.3.	B.3.	B.3.	B.3.	B.3.	

NEW Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition			

Students speak in English and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
understand spoken English at grade			·			
level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.			Who and how will the fidelity be	How will the evaluation		
EEE students.			monitored?	tool data be used		
				to determine the effectiveness of strategy?		
C. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
proficient in Listening/						
Speaking.		C				
- F		See				
		Reading				
		ELL Goal				
		5C.1,				
		5C.2, and				
		5C.3				
CELLA Goal #C:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
The percentage of students scoring proficient on the 2013						
Listening/Speaking section of						
the CELLA will increase from						
56% to 58%.						
	56%					
	(81)					

	1	I	L		I	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
level text in a manner similar to						
non-ELL students.			Who and how will the fidelity be	How will the evaluation		
			monitored?	tool data be used		
				to determine the		
	2.1.	2.1.		effectiveness of strategy? 2.1.	2.1.	
2. Staating	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in Reading.						
		See				
		Deading				
		Reading				
		ELL Goal				
		5C.1				
		S C 11 ,				
		5C.1, 5C.2, and 5C.3				
		SC.2, and				
		50 2				
		JU.J				

CELLA Goal #D: The percentage of students scoring proficient on the 2013 Reading section of the CELLA will increase from 13% to 15%.	2012 Current Percent of Students. Proficient in Reading :				
	13% (82)				
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier		Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

	h .	h .			h .	
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in Writing.						
		Saa				
		See				
		Reading				
		ELL Goal				
		5C.1,				
		5C.2, and				
		5C.3				
CELLA Goal #E:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
CELLA GOal #E:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
The percentage of students scoring proficient on the 2013						
Writing section of the CELLA						
will increase from 28% to 30%.						
–						
	200/					
	28%					
	(80)					
			I			

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

NEW Math Florida Alternate Assessment Goals

Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Fidelity Check	Strategy Data Check	Student Evaluation Tool	
student achievement data,	Barrier					
and reference to "Guiding			Who and how will the	How will the evaluation tool data be		
Questions", identify and				used to determine the effectiveness		
define areas in need of			nuenty be monitoreu?	of strategy?		
improvement for the				of strategy?		
following group:						

F. Florida	F.1	F.1	F.1.	F.1.	F.1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[
Alternate							
Assessment:	-Need to	Strategy	Who	Teacher Level	2x per vear		
Students scoring	provide						
at in mathematics		SWD student	Principal, Site	-Teachers reflect on lesson	District Baseline and Mid-		
(Levels 4-9).			Administrator,	outcomes and use this	Year Testing		
		improves	Assistance Principal	knowledge to drive future			
		through the		instruction.	_		
	for regular	effective and					
	and on-going				Semester Exams		
	review of	<u>implementatio</u>		grading system data to calculate			
	students'	n of students'		their students' progress towards	-		
	IEPs by both			their PLC and/or individual SWD SMART Goal	Dumin a tha Can dia a Damia d		
		strategies, modifications,	reviewed by APC	SWD SMART Goal.	During the Grading Period		
	ESE teacher.			PLC Level	Common assessments (pre,		
		accommodatio		I LC Level	post, mid, section, end of		
		ns.		Using the individual teacher	unit)		
	the APC will			data, PLCs calculate the SWD	unity		
		-Throughout		SMART goal data across all			
		the school		classes/courses.			
	this school	year, teachers					
	year.	of SWD		-PLCs reflect on lesson			
		review		outcomes and data used to drive			
		students' IEPs		future instruction.			
		to ensure					
		that IEPs are		-For each class/course, PLCs			
		implemented		chart their overall progress			
		consistently and with		towards the SWD SMART Goal.			
		fidelity.		Leadership Team Level			
		nuenty.					
		-Teachers (both		-PLC facilitator/ Subject Area			
		individually		Leader/ Department Heads			
		and in PLCs)		shares SMART Goal data with			
		work to		the Problem Solving Leadership			
		improve		Team.			
		upon both					
		individually		-Data is used to drive			
		and		teacher support and student			
		collectively,		supplemental instruction.			
		the ability to					
		effectively					
		implement IEP/SWD					
						L	1

	strategies and modifications into lessons.			
Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
91%	92%			

F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	F.2.	
	Strategy/Task	Who	School has a system	School has a system for PLCs	
Turner in a 4h			for PLCs to record and	to record and report during-the-	
Improving th proficiency o	o w D student	-Principal	report during-the-grading	grading period of SWD SMART	
SWD in our				goal outcomes to administration,	
school is of	improves	-AP	coach, SAL, and/or	coach, SAL, and/or leadership	
high priority.	through teachers'	Instanting Constant	leadership team.	team.	
ingii priority.	implementation of the Plan-Do-	-Instruction Coaches	leadership team.		
-Teachers	Check-Act model	-Subject Area Leaders			
need support	in order to plan/	-Subject Area Leaders			
in drilling	carry out lessons/	-PLC facilitators of like grades			
down their co	reassessments with	and/or like courses			
assessments	0 appropriato stratogios				
the SWD lev	and modifications.				
-General educational		How			
teacher					
and ESE	<u>Actions</u>	-PLC logs turned into			
teacher need		administration/coaches.			
consistent,	Plan	Administration/coaches provides			
on-going co-	Ear on uncoming	feedback			
planning time	For an upcoming unit of instruction	-Administrators attended			
	determine the	targeted PLC meetings			
	following:	targeted i De meetings			
	ionowing.	-Progress of PLCs discussed at			
	-What do we want	Leadership Team			
	our SWD to learn by	^ 			
	the end of the unit?				
	-What are standards				
	that our SWD need to	2			
	learn?				
	-How will we assess				
	these skills/standards				
	for our SWD?				
	-What does mastery				
	look like?				
	-What is the SMART				
	goal for this unit of				

·				
		instruction for our		
	1	SWD?		
	1			
		Plan for the "Do"		
		5		
		What do teachers		
		what do teachers		
		need to do in order		
		to meet the SWD		
		SMART goal?		
		8		
		-What resources do		
		-what resources do		
		we need?		
		-How will the		
		lessons be designed		
		lessons de designed		
		to maximize the		
		learning of SWD?		
		_		
		-What checks-for-		
		- what checks-for-		
		understanding will		
		we implement for our SWD?		
		SWD?		
		-What teaching		
		- what teaching		
		strategies/best		
		practices will we use		
		to help SWD learn?		
		· ·		
	1	-Specifically how		
	1	Specifically now		
	1	will we implement		
	1	the <u>strategy</u> during the lesson?		
		during the lesson?		
		What are teachers		
	1	-What are teachers		
		going to do during		
		the lesson for SWD?		
	1	-What are SWD		
	1			
		student going to do		
		during the lesson to		
		maximize learning?		

i	i	1		
		Reflect on the "Do"/		
		Analyze Checks for		
		Understanding and		
		Student Work <u>during</u>		
		the unit.		
		For lessons that have		
		already been taught		
		within the unit of		
		in struction to a share		
		instruction, teachers		
		reflect and discuss		
		one or more of the		
		following regarding		
		their SWD:		
		-What worked within		
		the lesson? How		
		do we know it was		
		successful? Why was		
		it successful?		
		-What didn't work		
		within the lesson?		
		Why? What are we		
		going to do next?		
		-For the		
		implementation of		
		the strategy,		
		what worked? How		
		do we know it was		
		successful? Why		
		was it successful?		
		What checks for		
		understanding were		
		used during the		
		lessons?		
		10550115 :		
		Eastha		
		-For the		
		implementation of		
		the strategy,		
		what didn't work?		
		Why? What are we		
		going to do next?		
		boing to do next:		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	-What were the outcomes of the checks for understanding? And/ or analysis of student performance? -How do we take what we have learned and apply it to future lessons?	
	Reflect/Check – Analyze Data	
	Discuss one or more of the following:	
	-What is the SWD data?	
	-What is the data telling us as individual teachers?	
	-What is the data telling us as a grade level/PLC/ department?	
	-What are SWD not learning? Why is this occurring?	
	-Which SWD are learning?	
	Act on the Data	
	After data analysis,	

		develop a plan to act on the data.				
		-What are we going to do about SWD not learning?				
		-What are the skills/ concepts/standards that need re-teaching/ interventions (either to individual SWD or small groups)?				
		-How are we going to re-teach the skill differently?				
		-How we will know that our re-teaching/ interventions are working?				
	F.3.		F.3.	F.3.	F.3.	

G. Florida	G.1.	G.1.	G.1.	G.1.	G.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r
Alternate		S.1.					1
Assessment:	Need to	G4	Who	Teacher Level	Ov por yoor		1
	provide	<u>Strategy</u>	<u>vv 110</u>		<u>2x per year</u>		1
Percentage of	a school	SWD student	Principal, Site	-Teachers reflect on lesson	District Baseline and Mid-		1
students making		achievement	Administrator,		Year Testing		1
Learning Gains in		improves		knowledge to drive future	rear resting		1
mathematics.	procedure	through the	rissistance i interpar	instruction.			1
	for regular	effective and			F		1
	and on-going	consistent		-Teachers use the on-line	Semester Exams		1
	review of	implementatio	How	grading system data to calculate			1
	students'	n of students'		their students' progress towards			1
	IEPs by both	IEP goals.	IEP Progress Reports	their PLC and/or individual	Γ		1
	the general	strategies,	reviewed by APC	SWD SMART Goal	During the Grading Period		1
		modifications,	, j	_			1
		and		PLC Level	Common assessments (pre,		1
	To address	accommodatio			post, mid, section, end of		1
	this barrier,	ns.		-Using the individual teacher	unit		1
	the APC will			data, PLCs calculate the SWD			1
	put a system	-Throughout		SMART goal data across all			1
	in place for	the school		classes/courses.			1
	this school	year, teachers					1
	year	of SWD		-PLCs reflect on lesson			1
		review		outcomes and data used to drive			1
		students' IEPs		future instruction.			1
		to ensure					1
		that IEPs are		-For each class/course, PLCs			1
		implemented		chart their overall progress towards the SWD SMART Goal.			1
		consistently		lowards the SWD SMART Goal.			1
		and with		Leadership Team Level			1
		fidelity.					1
		-Teachers (both		PLC facilitator/ Subject Area			1
		individually		Leader/ Department Heads			1
		and in PLCs)		shares SMART Goal data with			1
		work to		the Problem Solving Leadership			1
		improve		Team.			1
		upon both					1
		individually		-Data is used to drive			1
		and		teacher support and student			1
		collectively,		supplemental instruction.			1
		the ability to					1
		effectively					1
		implement					1
		IEP/SWD					
Hillsborough 2012	-	-	-	-	-		

	91%	92%			
maintain or increase by 1%.					
students making learning gains on the 2013 FAA will					
The percentage of	Performance:*	Performance:*			
Mathematics Goal <u>G:</u>	Level of	2013 Expected Level of			
		modifications into lessons.			
		strategies and			

G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	G.2.	
Improving the	Strategy/Task	Who	School has a system for	School has a system for PLCs	
proficiency of	off ate_ // Fask		PLCs to record and report	to record and report during-the-	
	SWD student	-Principal		grading period of SWD SMART	
school is of	achievement	· •	of SWD SMART goal	goal outcomes to administration,	
high priority.	improves	-AP	outcomes to administration	coach, SAL, and/or leadership	
ingii priority.	through teachers'		coach, SAL, and/or	team.	
-Teachers	implementation	Instruction Coaches	leadership team.		
need support	of the Plan-Do-		readership reality		
in drilling	Check-Act model	-Subject Area Leaders			
	in order to plan/				
assessments to	carry out lessons/	-PLC facilitators of like grades			
the SWD level	assessments with	and/or like courses			
	appropriate strategies				
-General	and modifications.				
educational	una mouncations.				
teacher		How			
and ESE					
teacher need	Actions	-PLC logs turned into			
consistent,		administration/coaches.			
on-going co-	Plan	Administration/coaches provides			
planning time.		feedback			
praining time.	For an upcoming				
	unit of instruction	-Administrators attended			
	determine the	targeted PLC meetings			
	following:				
	ionowing.	-Progress of PLCs discussed at			
	-What do we want	Leadership Team			
	our SWD to learn by	-			
	the end of the unit?				
	ine one of the unit:				
	-What are standards				
	that our SWD need to				
	learn?				
	-How will we assess				
	these skills/standards				
	for our SWD?				
	-What does mastery				
	look like?				
	-What is the SMART				
	goal for this unit of				
	goal for this unit of				

·				
		instruction for our		
	1	SWD?		
	1			
		Plan for the "Do"		
		5		
		What do teachers		
		what do teachers		
		need to do in order		
		to meet the SWD		
		SMART goal?		
		8		
		-What resources do		
		-what resources do		
		we need?		
		-How will the		
		lessons be designed		
		lessons de designed		
		to maximize the		
		learning of SWD?		
		_		
		-What checks-for-		
		- what checks-for-		
		understanding will		
		we implement for our SWD?		
		SWD?		
		-What teaching		
		- what teaching		
		strategies/best		
		practices will we use		
		to help SWD learn?		
		· ·		
	1	-Specifically how		
	1	Specifically now		
	1	will we implement		
	1	the <u>strategy</u> during the lesson?		
		during the lesson?		
		What are teachers		
	1	-What are teachers		
		going to do during		
		the lesson for SWD?		
	1	-What are SWD		
	1			
		student going to do		
		during the lesson to		
		maximize learning?		

i	i	1		
		Reflect on the "Do"/		
		Analyze Checks for		
		Understanding and		
		Student Work <u>during</u>		
		the unit.		
		For lessons that have		
		already been taught		
		within the unit of		
		in struction to a share		
		instruction, teachers		
		reflect and discuss		
		one or more of the		
		following regarding		
		their SWD:		
		-What worked within		
		the lesson? How		
		do we know it was		
		successful? Why was		
		it successful?		
		-What didn't work		
		within the lesson?		
		Why? What are we		
		going to do next?		
		-For the		
		implementation of		
		the strategy,		
		what worked? How		
		do we know it was		
		successful? Why		
		was it successful?		
		What checks for		
		understanding were		
		used during the		
		lessons?		
		10550115 :		
		Eastha		
		-For the		
		implementation of		
		the strategy,		
		what didn't work?		
		Why? What are we		
		going to do next?		
		boing to do next:		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	-What were the outcomes of the checks for understanding? And/ or analysis of student performance? -How do we take what we have learned and apply it to future lessons?	
	Reflect/Check – Analyze Data	
	Discuss one or more of the following:	
	-What is the SWD data?	
	-What is the data telling us as individual teachers?	
	-What is the data telling us as a grade level/PLC/ department?	
	-What are SWD not learning? Why is this occurring?	
	-Which SWD are learning?	
	Act on the Data	
	After data analysis,	

	develop a plan to act on the data. -What are we going to do about SWD not learning? -What are the skills/ concepts/standards that need re-teaching/ interventions (either to individual SWD or small groups)? -How are we going to re-teach the skill differently? -How we will know that our re-teaching/ interventions are working?				
G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	G.3.	

NEW Science Florida Alternate Assessment Goal

Elementary, Middle and High Science	Problem- Solving			
Goals	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			

	nt				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

J. Florida Alternate	J.1.	J.1.	J.1.	J.1	J.1.	
Assessment: Students	0.1.	J.I.	J.1.	0.1	5.1.	
		C4	XX 71	Territor I. e. 1		
scoring at proficient in		<u>Strategy</u>	Who	. <u>Teacher Level</u>	-Brigance	
science (Levels 4-9).	Need to	SWD student	Principal, Site	-Teachers reflect on lesson	-Chapter/Midterm	
			Administrator, Assistance		Tests	
	•	improves	Principal	knowledge to drive future	10515	
		through the	i meipai	instruction.		
		effective and				
		consistent		-Teachers use the on-line		
	regular and on-		How	grading system data to		
	going review of			calculate their students'		
	students' IEPs		IEP Progress Reports	progress towards their PLC		
	To address this		reviewed by APC	and/or individual SMART		
		modifications,	2	Goal		
	APC will put a					
	system in place	accommodatio		PLC Level		
	for this school	ns.				
	year.			-Using the individual teacher		
		-Throughout		data, PLCs calculate the		
		the school		SMART goal data across all		
		year, teachers		classes/courses.		
		of SWD				
		review students' IEPs		-PLCs reflect on lesson outcomes and data used to		
		to ensure		drive future instruction.		
		that IEPs are				
		implemented		- For each class/course, PLCs		
		consistently		chart their overall progress		
		and with		towards the SMART Goal.		
		fidelity.				
		5		Leadership Team Level		
		-Teachers				
		(both		-PLC facilitator/ Subject		
		individually		Area Leader/ Department		
		and in PLCs)		Heads shares SMART		
		work to		Goal data with the Problem		
		improve		Solving Leadership Team.		
		upon both		Defection and the data of		
		individually		-Data is used to drive		
		and		teacher support and student		
		collectively, the ability to		supplemental instruction.		
		effectively				
		implement				
L		mprement				

		IEP/SWD strategies and modifications into lessons.					
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The percentage of students scoring a Level 4 or higher on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.							
			J.2.	J.2.	J.2.	J.2.	
		J.3.	J.3.	J.3.	J.3.	J.3.	

NEW Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC	Prob					
Goals	lem-					
	Solv					
Hillsborough 2012	2					
Rule 6A-1.099811						
Revised July, 2012					142	

	ing Proc ess to Incr ease Stu dent Achiev ement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

K. Students	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
scoring in the					
middle or upper		Tier 1 –	Who	Teachers reflect on	2x per year
third (proficient)		The		lessons during the	
in Biology.	Not all	purpose	Principal		District
	teachers	of this			Baseline and
	of the	strategy	APC	of learning and use	
	same	is to		this knowledge	Testing
	course	strengthe	Science Teachers		5
		n the		instruction.	
		core	Science DH		
		curriculu		-Teachers maintain	Mini
	assessm	m.		their assessments in	Assessments
	ent at the	Students'		the on-line grading	for each Unit.
	end of the	comprehe	How Monitored	system.	Issued by the
	Instructio	nsion of			county.
	nal cycle.		-PLC logs		
			turned into		
			administration.		
			Administration		Semester
		0	r	PLC Level	Exams
	time to	teacher's	feedback.		
		use of		-Using the	
		data to	- Evidence	individual teacher	
	practices	inform	of strategy in	data, PLCs	During the
	before the	instructio		calculate the	Grading
	unit of	n.	plans seen during	SMART goal data	Period
	Instructio	Specially,	administrative	across all classes/	
	n.		walk-throughs.	courses.	- Common
		use <u>C-</u>			assessments
		<u>CIM</u>	EET formal	- For each class/	(pre, post,
	common	(Core	evaluations		mid, section,
	planning	<u>Continuo</u>		chart their overall	end of unit)
	time to	<u>us</u>	-EET Pop-Ins	progress towards	
	identify and	-		the SMART Goal.	\vdash \mid \mid
		<u>ment</u>	Mentor)		
		Model)		-After each	
				assessment,	
	1			PLCs will ask	
		m and	(Admin and Peer/	U U	
		provide	Mentor)	questions:	
		Different		1 77	
		iated	-EET informal	1. How are	
			· · · ·	we using data	
	Pranning	on (DI)	dmin and Peer/	to inform our	During Nine_

1		Mandan	•• 9	XX71.	ĺ	
		Mentor)	instruction?	Weeks		
	result of					
	the		2. What barriers to			
,	common		implementation are			
	assessme		we facing and how			
practices.			will we address			
	ensure		them?			
	the					
additional			3. To what degree			
Ę	of		are we making			
	essential		progress towards			
implement	skills.		our SMART goal?			
t effective						
PLCs.	_		4. Are there skills			
			that need to be re-			
	Action		taught in a whole			
Teachers	Steps		lesson to the entire			
at varying			class?			
levels of	_					
impleme			5. Are there skills			
ntation of	<u>Planning/</u>		that need to be re-			
Differe	PLCs		taught as mini-			
	<u>Before</u>		lessons to the			
Instructi	the		entire class?			
	Lesson					
with			6. Are there skills			
the low	-PLCs		that need to re-			
perfo	identify		taught to targeted			
	the		students?			
and high	essential					
performin	skills and					
	learning					
	targets		Leadership Team			
	for the		Level			
	upcoming					
	unit of		-PLC facilitator/			
	instructio		Subject Area			
	n. PLCs		Leader/			
	answer		Department Heads			
	the		shares data with the			
	question,		Problem Solving			
	"What do		Leadership Team.			
	we want		Leadership reall.			
	students		-Data will be used			
			to plan for future			
	to learn?"		to plan for future			,

	(EET	supplemental		
	Rubric	instruction.		
	1e, 4d)	instruction.		
	10, 40)			
	-PLCs			
	identify			
	the			
	common			
	assessme			
	nt for the			
	upcoming			
	unit of			
	instru			
	ction.			
	PLCs are			
	answer			
	ing the			
	question, "How			
	do we			
	know if			
	they have			
	learned			
	it?"			
	Specifica			
	lly, PLCs			
	reflect			
	on the			
	following			
	questions:			
	questions.			
	Does			
	the			
	asses			
	sment			
	match the			
	intended			
	essential			
	learnings			
	and			
	learning			
	targets?			
	(EET			
	Rubric 1f)			

Are we		
going to		
use an		
asses		
sment		
from our		
adopted		
content		
materia		
ls? Will		
we use		
all the		
questions		
?		
How		
will we		
explain to		
students		
what		
they are		
expected		
to learn		
in order		
to		
demon		
strate		
mastery		
on the		
assessme		
nt? How		
will we		
explain to		
students		
the		
ne perfor		
mance		
standards		
by which		
their		
learning		
will be		
evaluated		
?		

	How		
	will we		
	collect		
	and track		
	end-		
	of-unit		
	assessme		
	nt data in		
	order to		
	evaluate		
	student		
	growth?		
	(EET		
	Rubric		
	1f, 4d).		
	<i>3</i> , <i>y</i>		
	-PLCs		
	write a		
	SMART		
	goal		
	for the		
	upcoming		
	unit of		
	instructi		
	on. (For		
	example,		
	on the		
	first		
	assessme		
	nt of the		
	grading		
	period,		
	75%		
	1370		
	of the		
	students		
	will score		
	an 80%		
	or above		
	on each		
	unit of		
	instructio		
	n.) (EET		
	Rubric		
L			

	1c, 4d)		
	-As a		
	Profes		
	sional		
	Develo		
	pment		
	activity		
	in their		
	PLCs,		
	teachers		
	plan for		
	Differe		
	ntiated		
	Instructi		
	on using		
	data from		
	previous		
	assess		
	ments		
	to guide		
	student		
	groupings		
	Broupings		
Biology Goal K: 2012	2013		
Current	Expected		
Level of	Level of		
Performan	Performan		
<u>ce:*</u>	<u>ce:*</u>		
The % of students			
scoring in the upper 2/ 3 will increase from			
65% in 2012 to 68%			
in 2013.			

	65%	68%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Who and how	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool		

L. Students	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1
scoring in upper					
third in Biology.	Teachers	Strategy	Who		. <u>2-3x Per</u>
	are at				Year
	varying	The	-Administration	Teachers reflect on	
	skill	purpose	Team	lessons during the	
	levels	of this		unit citing/using	District
	with	strategy	- PLC Leaders	specific evidence	Baseline and
	Costas	is to		of learning and use	
	(higher	strengthe	Science Subject	this knowledge	Mid-year
	order	n the	Area	to drive future	Testing.
	questi	math		instruction.	
	oning	core			
	technique	curriculu		-Teachers maintain	
	s).	m.	How	their assessments in	Semester
		Students'		the on-line grading	Exams
			-PLC logs	system.	
	meetings	nsion of	turned into		
	do not	course	administration.	-Teachers use the	TT-1/ B/F**
		content/	Administration	on-line grading	Unit Mini -
	higher	standards	provides	system data to	Assessments
	order		feedback.	calculate the	from the District
		through		average unit	District
	oning			assessment score	
	strategies		strategy in	for all their	
		<u>higher</u>		students per class/	During Nine
	upcoming		plans seen during	course	Weeks
	lessons.		administration		Weeks
			walk-throughs.		Student work
	-	ng			-Student work
	Administ	<u>techniqu</u>		PLC Level	Chambon to sta
	rators are		evaluations		-Chapter tests
	at varying			-PLCs calculate	-Costas
	skill	higher_		the average	quizzes
	levels	<u>order/</u>	(Admin and Peer/		quizzoo
	with		Mentor)	score for all their	Lab Books
	identific	Depth of		students across	
	ation of			the PLC per class/	Science
	Costas	ge to	observations	course.	Investigation
	level	promote	(Admin and Peer/		
	questioni		Mentor)	-I LCS discuss now	
	ng.	thinking		to report and share	
		and		the data with the	
			observation(A	Leadership Team.	
		solving	dmin and Peer/		

1.111		D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	,
skills.	Mentor)	-Data is used to			
This		identify effective			
strategy	-School-based	activities in future			
will be	informal walk-	lessons.			
implemen	through form				
ted	which includes				
	the school's SIP				
	strategies_				
areas.	strategies_				
For this					
strategy,					
teachers	—				
implemen	L				
ta					
variety					
or series					
of					
questions					
prompts					
to					
challenge					
students					
cognitive					
	L				
y, advance					
advance					
high					
level					
thinking					
and					
discourse	,				
and					
promote					
meta-					
cognition					
(EET					
Rubric					
1e, 3b)					
10, 50)					
Action					
Steps.					
<u>Teacher</u>					
PD for					

	<u>General</u>		
	<u>Higher</u>		
	Order		
	<u> </u>		
	-Teachers		
	attend		
	school-		
	based		
	profes		
	sional		
	develo		
	pment		
	activities		
	on higher		
	order		
	questi		
	questi oning		
	oning		
	strategies		
	and apply		
	those		
	strategies		
	in the		
	classroom		
	-The		
	Instru		
	ctional		
	Coach(es)		
	and		
	Resource		
	Teachers		
	provide		
	support		
	in higher		
	order		
	strategies		
	during the		
	first and		
	second		
	semester		
	using		
	strategies		
	from		
	"Teach		

Like a		
Champio		
n" book.		
II DOOK.		
(EET 4d,		
4e)		
Action		
<u>Action</u>		
Steps		
Planning/		
PLCs		
<u>Before</u>		
<u>Dejore</u>		
the		
Lesson		
-PLCs		
idantifi:		
identify		
the		
common		
assessme		
nt for the		
upcoming		
unit of		
instructio		
n. PLCs		
answer		
the		
question		
"How		
do we		
know if		
they have		
learned		
it?" (EET		
Rubric		
1f, 4d)		
-Within		
PLCs,		
teachers		
discuss		
how to		
scaffold		
questions		
questions		
and		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	activities		
	to meet		
	the		
	differe		
	ntiated		
	needs of		
	students		
	for .		
	upcoming		
	lessons.		
	-Teachers		
	design		
	higher		
	order		
	questions		
	to		
	increase		
	rigor in		
	lesson		
	plans and		
	promote		
	student		
	accountab		
	le talk.		
	(EET		
	Rubric		
	1a, 1b,		
	1e, 1f, 3b,		
	4a, 4d)		
	^{10, 10}		
	-Within		
	PLCs,		
	teachers		
	plan and		
	write for		
	higher		
	order		
	questions		
	in		
	upcoming		
	lessons.		
	(EET		
	Rubric		
	NUDIC		

		1a, 1b, 1c, 1e, 3b, 4d) Do/ Check				
Biology Goal L: To increase the % of students in the upper 1/3 from 38% in 2012 to 40% in 2013	Performan ce:*	2013 Expected Level of <u>Performan</u> ce:*				
	38%					
		2.2. 2.3	2.2. 2.3		2.2. 2.3	

EOC Biology Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) Hillsborough 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised July, 2012

or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
On Activities (animations/	Grade 9 Biology	Science DH and Technology Resource Biology PLC Leader	Biology teachers - PLCs	Every Monday7:00-7:40	Administrators conduct targeted walk- through to monitor Technology and Hands-On Activity implementation	Administration Team
Inquiry and the 5E Lesson Plan Model	Grade 9 Biology	Science DH and Technology Resource Biology PLC Leader	Biology teachers - PLCs	Pre-planning	Administrators conduct targeted walk- throughs to monitor inquiry model	Administration Team
EOC Content and New Curriculum	Grade 9 Biology		Biology teachers - PLCs	Pre- planning, PLC meetings, ELP	PLC Logs, ELP attendance logs	Administration Team
Teach Like A Champion Book Study	9-12, all	Greg Basham	School-Wide content teachers	-	Classroom visits, including notes, and post conferences.	Administration Team

NEW Writing Florida Alternate Assessment Goal

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool	

M. Florida M.1. Alternate Assessment: Need to pro	M.1.	M.1.	M.1.	M.1.	
	1				
		Who	Teacher Level	On-going writing	
	ide <u>Strategy</u>	<u>willo</u>		prompts and assessments	
Staat 10 Stor	SWD student	Principal, Site	-Teachers reflect on lesson		
at tor mention in the second		Administrator, Assistance	outcomes and use this		
writing (Levels 4-9). structure and procedure for			knowledge to drive future		
regular and		i incipui	instruction.		
going review					
students' IE			-Teachers use the on-line		
To address t		How	grading system data to		
barrier, the	PC goals, strategies,		calculate their students'		
will put a sy		IEP Progress Reports	progress towards their PLC		
in place for		reviewed by APC	and/or individual SMART		
school year.	accommodations		Goal		
	-Throughout		PLC Level		
	the school year,				
	teachers of SWD		-Using the individual teacher		
	review students'		data, PLCs calculate the		
	IEPs to ensure that IEPs are		SMART goal data across all classes/courses.		
	implemented		classes/courses.		
	consistently and		-PLCs reflect on lesson		
	with fidelity.		outcomes and data used to		
	with indentity.		drive future instruction.		
	-Teachers (both				
	individually and		-For each class/course, PLCs		
	in PLCs) work		chart their overall progress		
	to improve upon		towards the SMART Goal.		
	both individually				
	and collectively,		Leadership Team Level		
	the ability to				
	effectively		-PLC facilitator/ Subject		
	implement IEP/		Area Leader/ Department		
	SWD strategies and modification		Heads shares SMART Goal data with the Problem		
	into lessons.		Solving Leadership Team.		
	1110 10550115.		Solving Leadership Tealli.		
			-Data is used to drive		
			teacher support and student		
			supplemental instruction.		

Writing Goal M: The percentage of students making learning gains on the 2013 FAA will maintain or increase by 1%.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	91%	92%					
		M.2.	M.2.	M.2.	M.2.	M.2.	
		M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	M.3.	

NEW Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	Strategy Data Check How will the evaluation tool data be used to determine the effectiveness of strategy?	Student Evaluation Tool

STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1	1.1.
Implement/expand project/problem-based learning in math,	Need common planning time for math, science, ELA and other STEM teachers	STEM professional	PLC or grade level lead -Subject Area Leaders	Head walk-throughs	Logging number of project- based learning in math, science and CTE/STEM elective per nine week. Share data with teachers.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning

Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic		PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of		
		PLC Leader		meetings)		
Project-based learning	9-12	DH	Science, math, ELA and technology teachers PLCs	On-going	Administrator walk-throughs	Administration

End of STEM Goal(s)

NEW Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Fidelity Check Who and how will the fidelity be monitored?	<i></i>	Student Evaluation Tool
			effectiveness of strategy?	

CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Student Organization chapters from <u>1</u> in 2011-2012 to <u>2</u> in 2012-2013.	Family financial hardship may pose a problem for the students paying club dues and remaining actively involved.	 Increase student participation in CTSO competitions/ gaining exposure to academic events. Increase participation with guidance counselors in the Career Connections Program. Increase the CTE participation in lesson studies. Increase the CTE participation in lesson studies. Increase/sustain the number of CTE classrooms that are well equipped for the courses offered. Increase the number of speakers for the Great American Teach-in pertaining to career exploration. Increase student interest in career opportunities and post-secondary selection prior to senior year. Increase articulation between the High School and Postsecondary Education. Increase the number of CTE teachers trained in NGCAR-PD and or NGCATER. Increase the frequency of CTE lessons that incorporate literacy/reading strategies. 		quarter to develop next steps	Log will be kept of number of CTSO events and the of number of students who attend CTSO events
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning

Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for
	Subject					Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	(e.g., Early Release) and		
		und of	school-wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of		
		PLC Leader		meetings)		
Establishing or growing a	9-12	District	CTE Teachers	October, 2012	Log of events and attendance	CTE Contact Teacher
CTSO.					-	

End of CTE Goal(s)

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
Priority	Focus	Prevent

• Once the state has provided information, directions for how to upload the checklist will be posted on the School Improvement Icon.

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

X Yes No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the use of SAC funds.			
Name and Number of Strategy from the School Improvement Plan	Description of Resources that improves student achievement or student engagement	Projected Amount	Final Amount
Parent Involvement – PIP	Ink-jet cartridges for printing of progress reports	\$450.00	\$432.00
Continuous Improvement – 1.1			

Continuous Improvement	Postage for mailed newsletters to support home-school communication	\$500.00	\$499.75
Goal 1.1			
Reading – Goals 1-5	Mini Grant-Test Prep Materials	\$500.00	\$500.00
Suspension – 1.1	Supplies (including food) for PBS awards and grading period improved student behavior events	\$700.00	\$684.30
Attendance – 1.1			
	Clarifying details: Vendors included: Oriental Trading, Target, Publix, Wal-Mart, Office Depot		
Reading – Goals 1-5	Gift cards to Barnes and Nobles, Target, Movie theaters, and McDonalds to support the	\$202.00	\$200.95
	student Reading Counts Incentive Program		
Reading Counts Incentive Strategy			
Math- Algebra and Geometry Goals 1-2	Mini Grants-Supplies/manipulatives to use for lessons	\$1170.00	\$970.00
Reading Goal 2/Postsecondary Transition	Mini Grants-Supplies	\$ 150	\$149.95
Reading-Goal 5C	Mini Grants-Ebooks	\$1000.00	\$1000.00
Math Goals - Algebra/Geometry Goals 1-	Calculators	\$580.00	
2			
Final Amount Spent			
	\$4436.95		