Florida Department of Education

DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: North University High School	District Name: Broward
Principal: Laurel E. Suarez	Superintendent: Robert Runcie
SAC Chair:	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
Principal	Laurel E. Suarez	MS Educational Technology/ BA Elementary Education	4	7	
Assistant Principal	Chantae J. Jackson	BA Psychology/MA Guidance Counseling-ED Leadership	4	4	

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Janine Ross	BS and MS Management/ Ed. Specialist Reading ESOL	1	9	

Effective and Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Des	scription of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1.	Offer competitive salary, benefits, and incentive packages.	Principal	On Going
2.	Partner with local universities to recruit education majors.	Principal	On Going
3.	Host staff meetings to review data, get feedback on implemented interventions, develop new strategies according to data presented. Select different teachers to host meetings and drive discussions based on expertise.	Principal	On Going
4.	Provide a safe, clean environment conducive to student learning and success.	All staff	On Going
5.	Post positions on Careerbuilder.com and Teachers- Teachers.com.	Principal	On Going
6.	Solicit support and employee referrals from other public school leaders in the area.	Principal	On Going
7.	Recognition of staff based on performance and learning gains.	Principal	Ongoing

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). *When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
03	Employees are enrolling in professional development course provided by the district, completing college, courses, and preparatory classes in order to receive the appropriate certification.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total number of Instructional Staff	% of first- year teachers	% of teachers with 1-5 years of experience	% of teachers with 6-14 years of experience	% of teachers with 15+ years of experience	% of teachers with Advanced Degrees	% of teachers with an Effective rating or higher	% of Reading Endorsed Teachers	% of National Board Certified Teachers	% of ESOL Endorsed Teachers
9.5	0%	37% [3.5]	69% [6]	0%	53% [5]	76% [8]	11.1 [1]	0	32% [3]

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Mackelly Norvil	Christian Marquez	Mackelly Norvil has worked with this population of students for 7 years, understands the curriculum and requirements for graduation, and possesses outstanding classroom skills, just to name a few.	Bi-weekly meeting to share ideas and best practices, modeling, training on curriculum usage and classroom management.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. Members: Principal, Assistant Principal, ESE Specialist, Reading Teacher, Teachers. Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? Principal: Designate time for meetings, review the school mission, guide the implantation of best practices, gather research supporting documentation and research, collect, and analyze data with team. Assistant Principal: Serve as liaison between instructional staff and principal; support interventions; collaborate with Principal to monitor academic progress, conduct data chats, and provide feedback on classroom observations. ESE Specialist: Assist in data collection and integrating materials into Tier 3 instruction, make recommendations for accommodations, collaborate with general education teacher to ensure proper implantation, and co-teaching. Reading Specialist: Assist in data collection, monitor student progress and best reading practices interventions. Teacher Advisor: Support all academic interventions, guide student learning, alert administration to unsuccessful strategies, provide orientation for students, assess basic skills that need to be developed, maintain communication with parents and students. The group mentioned above will meet weekly and as needed to discuss strategies, program edits, identify additional resources, interventions and services students may need. Provide feedback at meetings with all-staff to gather feedback, ensure "buy-in", and brainstorm additional ideas as needed. Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problemsolving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? The SIP plan has been developed with the collaboration all of school stakeholders and will serve as a guide for all intervention/program intervention. MTSS Implementation Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. The following tools have been used to assess and summarize data: STARS (internal school learning management system), TERMS-Opitspool, data warehouse (district database), APEX and Reading Plus curriculum diagnostic and data reports, Virtual Counselor, individual student graduation plans, contact logs maintained by staff that notes communication with students and parents. Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. A teacher planning day has been identified to provide staff with the opportunity to review the SIP plan as a group. Members of the RtI leadership Team will provide information on implantation of accommodations to support student learning, especially when modifications are warranted. Describe the plan to support MTSS. The plan is to work with teachers on a constant basis to ensure an understanding of the expectations, randomly pull student data for review and provide teacher with findings, assign member of the team with roles that coincide their area of expertise (ex. Data research, modeling, etc.). Allow for open communication between all members of the learning community, to include community stakeholders.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal, Assistant Principal, ESE Specialist, Reading Teacher, Teachers.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Meetings will be held monthly to plan and discuss literacy initiatives. The Reading Teacher will participate in all professional developments provided by the school/district and disseminate all information to the team.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

FCAT performance review, development of strategies to support student learning, increase scores and participation in the Reading Plus program, teach note taking strategies, and create more opportunities for students to participate in reading and writing activities.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S

For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?

All students participate in reading instruction for 50 minutes per day. The LLT and RtI Teams will monitor student diagnostic reports to ensure completion. Teachers are encouraged to participate in continued educations courses provided by the district and fees, if any, will be reimbursed by the school. As a technology enhanced instructional environment, courses have been adopted which embed reading instruction across and courses. These Literacy Advantage courses include a focus on vocabulary building, enhancing background knowledge, and using construct or decipher meaning. All instructional staff has been trained to support students through this curriculum as well as utilize strategies to support the same reading skills through offline direct instruction.

Teachers also received intensive training on SQ3R, an advanced study system, to include effective note-taking to facilitate increased comprehension of text, ability to compare and contrast ideas and identify the main idea. Teachers will be responsible for reinforcing these strategies with their students. Student academic portfolios will be reviewed regularly to ensure reading strategies are evident and being supported in all classrooms.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future? Students will work in labs with highly qualified teachers certified in two subject areas. The Career Coach and Teachers will facilitate small group instruction for students and periodically review individual graduation plans to ensure that students remain on track. Co-teaching allows students to attain information in a timely fashion, because their teachers are well versed in the subject areas that are taught. The APEX curriculum is an online curriculum that is updated frequently and all applications are real-world based. A curriculum delivered via FDIC serves to connect math concepts with real world application and provides students with a foundation for financial literacy. The Career Coach will work with students on the personal, social, and career curriculum with the goal of building the appropriate skills needed to be successful outside of the classroom.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Upon enrollment, students participate in an orientation and academic boot camp which reviews basic skills such as spelling, writing, grammar, and math foundation skills. During this time, teachers meet with students individually to review their transcript review, goal sheet, post-secondary survey, success plans, and essay. Students will discuss their course selections and how it will support their goals after high school. Data collected

from the survey and goals sheet are provided to the Career Coach who will use this information as a guide when preparing for the college/career fair day hosted at the school.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

Students make post-secondary plans and set goals in orientation. They also collaborate with the Career Coach on post-secondary goals and the completion of CHOICES, FDIC Money Smart, Job Search Strategies and College Preparation programs. Colleges/universities that the school has partnered with will provide on-site presentations and field trips for students to preview the college experience. Additional courses have been made available for students to enroll in based on PERT scores. The college readiness courses prove the additional rigor and preparation students need in order to successfully enter college and begin core classes.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1A. FCAT 2.0:	1A.1.	1.4.1 Encuro	1A.1. Reading Teacher;	1A.1. Pull reports from the	1A.1. BAT, FCAT, Pre/Post	
				Reading Plus	TABE Scores	
Students scoring at	have been		Administration	curriculum and review	TABL SCOLES	
Achievement Level 3	struaalina	the school's		student performance and		
in reading.	readers from	nrior		usage. BAT		
_		performance		scores will show increases		
		on the FCAT		between testing periods.		
	Many have a	and the		between testing periods.		
		desired				
		goals.				
		Obtain staff				
		buy-in and				
	and do not	involve				
	understand	them in the				
		Reading				
		Plus/reading				
		initiative.				
		Refer				
		struggling				
		students to LLT.				
		Designate				
		time for				
		differentiate				
		d				
		instruction.				
Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
Students will show	Performance:*	Performance:*				
an increase in						
the percentage of						
students achieving						
proficiency on the						
FCAT 2013. Our focus needs to be placed on						
increased stamina and						
the amount of time						
spent reading. The						
weakest clusters were						
words and phrases in						
context.						

[26 [10%]	36 [14%]				1	
		00111701					
				1A.2. All Staff Members	1A.2. Increased Daily	1A.2. STARS (internal	
			positive feedback. Contact parents to alert them of the		Attendance	learning management system) and TERMS	
			Reading initiative and solicit			system) and TERMS	
			their support to ensure that				
			the child attends school.				
				1A.3.	1A.3. Teacher-Made Surveys,	1A.3. Reading Plus curriculum	
			Host workshops for parents to discuss the benefits of the Reading	Reading Teacher; Assistant	Reports to school logged student	user/performance reports.	
			initiative, demonstrate the used, and	Principal	reading time and performance		
			share strategies that can be used at				
			home to support student learning.				
1D. I forfun	1B.1.No Barriers.				1B.1.STARS (learning		
Alternate		more rigorous	Principal, Instructional Staff	curriculum reports	management system), Reading Plus.		
Assessment:		curriculum			1 145.		
Students scoring at		to keep them					
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in		challenged,					
reading.		develop peer tutoring					
		program,					
		allowing					
		successful students to					
		work with					
		the struggling					
		readers, assign					
		student college					
Reading Goal #1B:	2012 Current	prep courses. 2013 Expected					
Keauing Goal #1B:	Level of	Level of					
Students increase /	Performance:*	Performance:*					
maintain performance							
through additional							
rigorous courses.							
	2% [5]	4% [10]					
·		-					

	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	
	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in reading.	student morale and motivation.	Increase time on Reading Plus; assign proficient students to serve as mentors for struggling	2A.1. Reading Teacher, Administration	will be	2A.1. Reading plus Diagnostics, Teacher/ Student Survey Feedback		
Reading Goal #2A: There will be an increase in the percentage of students achieving level 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading 2012.	2012 Current Level of	students. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	2% [5]	4% [10] 2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
reading.							
Reading Goal #2B:		2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the		Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
0							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box. 2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	2D.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
		L					J

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Stratogy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
data and reference to	Dairiei		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1. BAT, Reading User	
	Commitment	Students will	Reading Teacher		Reports	
i ci centage oi	from	be shown how	3	and mini-assessment results will be	· I · · ·	
students making	students and	to access online		reviewed.		
learning gains in	parents to	coursework				
		while outside				
		of school to				
		help increase				
	coursework	their overall				
		performance.				
		Incentives will				
		be provided to				
		students whose				
		user reports				
		report steady				
		activity outside				
		of the regular				
		school hours.				
Reading Goal #3A:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
On FCAT Reading	Performance:*	Performance:*				
there will be an						
increase in the						
percentage of						
students making						
learning gains in						
reading as compared						
to the previous						
year. Although there						
were increases						
our focus needs to						
be on increasing						
comprehension,						
stamina, and reading						
time allotted.						

	8% [24}	14%					
		Students lack organization al		3A.2. Instructional Staff; Administration	3A.2. Review of previous year participation Data and STARS (internal database)	3A.2. Percentage of students tested on the FCAT.	
		3A.3. On average, a majority of our students	3A.3. Implement Reading Plus as school wide Intensive Reading Program	3A.3. Reading Teacher	3A.3. Reading Plus Progress Reports will be monitored bi-weekly.	3A.3. TABE Assessment, Reading Plus Progress/Diagnostic Reports, FCAT Reading.	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.			3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Reading Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Stratogy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001	
data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for wondoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
"Guiding Questions,"						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
	4A.1. Meeting		4A.1. Reading Teacher;	4A.1. Review and Monitor	4A.1. BAT: Teacher Made	
Percentage of	the many	the support	Instructional Team;	Diagnostic and Mini-	Assessments; FCAT	
	needs of all	of parents	Administration		results	
students in lowest		by		Data to track improvement.		
25% making		scheduling				
learning gains in		parent				
reading.		conference,				
i cauling.						
		sending				
		home				
		progress				
		reports,				
		and ensuring				
		that				
		students				
		receive				
		intense				
		reading				
		instruction				
		as				
		prescribed.				
Reading Goal #4:		2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
There will be a	Performance:*	Performance:*				
5% increase in						
the percentage of						
students in the lowest						
25% making learning						
gains in reading on						
FCAT Reading 2013.						
CAT Reduing 2013.						
	3% [9}	8%.				

– cc st te tc		timed readings.	<u> </u>	4A.2. Increased scores and proficiency on timed readings	4A.2. BAT	
47	A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5B.1. White: Student Attendance Black: Student Attendance Hispanic: Student Attendance Asian: Student Attendance American Indian: Student Attendance	families with adequate	5B.1. Reading Teacher, Administration, All Staff	5B.1. Review participation data on FCAT for the previous year	5B.1. Percent of Black students tested on FCAT 2012		

Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Black:229 Hispanic: 24	White:14 Black:235 Hispanic:30 Asian:2 American Indian:0					
	Student Test Anxiety	preparation to calm the	Instructional Staff/	Participation Data and STARS (internal database)	SB.2. Percentage of students tested on 2012 FCAT per ethnicity.	
		5B.3 Use of word walls in all	and dept. chairs		5B.3. Classroom assessments and FCAT	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
<u> </u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.		5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
Reading Goal #5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.	participation in FCAT testing.	Increase awareness for students about testing logistics giving them adequate time to prepare for testing.		5E.1. Review of participation rates on BAT diagnostic testing to see projected outcomes.	SE.1. Percent of economically disadvantaged students tested on FCAT 2012.		
	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
		student vocabulary, thus poor scores on Words and Phrases in context	lessons relevant to subject areas.	5E.2. All instructional staff	regularly scheduled assessments of vocabulary using context clues	5E.2. FCAT Explorer /Teacher Made Assessments	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

<u>Reading Professional Development</u>

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Reading	9-12/All subjects	Reading Teacher/ Consultant	All Instructional Staff	Bi-Weekly Staff Meetings	Meeting Minutes/Teacher Made Test Scores	Assistant Principal
Strategies for improving student vocabulary	9-12/All subjects	Reading Teacher/ Consultant	All Instructional Staff	Bi-Weekly Staff Meetings	Word Walls/Teacher Made Tests	Assistant Principal
Research on increasing parental involvement	9-12/All subjects	Assist Principal/ Principal	All Instructional Staff	PD Days	Staff Presentations/Surveys/School Reports	Principal

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school funded activities/				
materials and exclude district funded				
activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Acquisition Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.	which lends to their negative attitude toward the reading curriculum.	 1.1. Institute the Reading Plus program Small group direct instruction. Offer scholastic reading to the students. 	1.1. ESOL Coordinator/ESOL Endorsed teachers	1.1. Frequency of reading curriculum use, learning gains noted in the reading curriculum diagnostic reports, discussions with instructional to discuss best practices and intervention suggestions.	1.1. BAT, FAIR, TABE Scores, and FCAT Reports	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	37.5% [18]	1A.2 Student attendance		-		1A.2. School Attendance Data

		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in reading.	2A.1. Students lack organizational of skills.		2A.1. Instructional Staff	2A.1. Administration and curriculum support will use the iObservation Tool to monitor trends of high yield strategies.	2A.1. FCAT, BAT, and Teacher Made Assessments.	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	40% [2].					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	

CELLA Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CELLA Goals

<u>Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring	1.1. Student	1.1. Provide	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
at Achievement	deficiencies in	students with			r <i>i</i>	
Level 3 in Algebra 1.	standar as that	opportunities to explore and	RtI Team	Mathematics teachers will meet monthly to discuss and review	Formative: Interim assessments	
	may be caused	re-enforce			Benchmark assessments	
	by limited opportunities	concepts		will be provided to the RtI Team		
	available for	within the		and the Instructional focus will be	Summative:	
	additional	APEX online curriculum.		adjusted as necessary.	2013 Algebra EOC results	
	practice and					
	exploration	Provide direct				
		instruction				
		opportunities for				
		students to gain				
		hands-on				
		practice and				
		knowledge about				
		measurement				
		skills,				
		tools, through				
		activities and websites.				
		and websites.				
		Provide				
		teachers with				
		training in assisting				
		students				
		make sense				
		of problems				
		and plausible solutions.				
		solutions.				

 Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
	concern: Standard 5 Rational Expressions and Equations Deficiencies in standards that may be caused by limited opportunities	instruction opportunities for students to gain hands-on practice and knowledge about measurement skills, tools, through activities and websites.	monthly to discuss and review student assessments. A synopsis will be provided to the RtI Team and the Instructional focus will	

	i	La · ·	L .	k a	k a	k	
		1.3. Area of	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		concern:	Provide students with opportunities				
				RtI Team		Formative:	
		Standard 6	concepts within the APEX online			Interim assessments	
		Radical	curriculum.		student assessments. A synopsis	Benchmark assessments	
		F			will be provided to the RtI Team		
		-	Provide direct			Summative:	
			instruction		be adjusted as necessary.	2013 Algebra EOC results	
		Equations	opportunities for				
		-	students to gain hands-on				
		Deficiencies	practice and				
			knowledge about				
			measurement skills,				
		that may	tools, through activities				
		be caused	and websites.				
		h 12 2/ 1					
			Provide teachers with training in				
		opportunities	assisting students make sense of				
			problems and plausible solutions.				
		additional					
		practice and					
		exploration					
		exploration					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Suategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
data and reference to	Darrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
2. Students scoring	L.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Algebra 1.							
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
-	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
Ī							

Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
data for	data for					
current level of	expected level of					
performance in						
this box.	this box.					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	
			1			

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Algebra 1 Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

subgroups by	3B.1.				3B.1.		
ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American	Student's limited experience practicing and using measurement strategies away from school.	Provide students with opportunities to explore and re-enforce concepts within the APEX online curriculum. Provide direct instruction opportunities for students to gain hands-on practice and knowledge about measurement skills, tools, through activities and websites. Provide teachers with training in assisting students make sense of problems and plausible solutions.		monthly to discuss and review student assessments. A synopsis will be provided to the RtI Team and the Instructional focus will	Formative: Interim assessments Benchmark assessments Summative: 2013 Algebra EOC results		
Algebra 1 Goal #3B: Students of all subgroups will show an increase of 5%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Not enough members of the subgroup were tested. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Not enough members of the subgroup were tested. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

		<u><u> </u></u>	D D				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
3E. Economically	3E.1.		3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged			Math Teachers/RtI Team				
students not making	Student's	instruction			Formative:		
students not making	limited	opportunities			Interim assessments		
satisfactory progress	experience	for			Benchmark assessments		
in Algebra 1.	practicing	students to gain		will be provided to the RtI Team			
C		hands-on			Summative:		
		practice and		adjusted as necessary	2013 Algebra EOC results		
	strategies away						
		about					
		measurement					
		skills,					
		tools, through					
		activities					
		and websites.					
Algebra 1 Goal #3E:		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
There will be a	Performance:*	Performance:*					
5% increase in the							
percentage of students							
that are economically							
disadvantaged.							
uisauvailtageu.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
			3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
				P.2.2.	5.2.2.		
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	
1			56.5.	DE.J.	J.J.	56.5.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

<u>Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Geometry EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	Deficiencies for this group of students that may be caused by limited opportunities available for practice and exploration	instruction opportunities	1.1. Math Teachers/RtI Team	1.1. Mathematics teachers will meet monthly to discuss and review student assessments. A synopsis will be provided to the RtI Team and the Instructional focus will be adjusted as necessary	 1.1. Formative: Interim assessments Benchmark assessments Summative: 2013 Geometry EOC results 	

	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2. Trigonometry and Discrete Mathematics The deficiency may be cause by not covering the content area in depth.	1.2. Provide direct instruction opportunities for students to gain hands-on practice and knowledge about measurement skills, tools, through activities and websites.		monthly to discuss and review student assessments. A synopsis will be provided to the RtI Team and the Instructional focus will be adjusted as necessary	Summative: 2013 Geometry EOC results	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2 Students seeming	2.1.	2.1.	Math Teachers/RtI Team	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	Provide direct	Wath Teachers/Kti Teani	Mathematics teachers will meet	Formative:		
at or above		instruction		monthly to discuss and review	Interim assessments		
Achievement Levels	Deficiencies	opportunities		student assessments. A synopsis	Benchmark assessments		
4 and 5 in Geometry.	for this group	for		will be provided to the RtI Team			
	of students that				Summative:		
	may be caused	hands-on		adjusted as necessary	2013 Geometry EOC results		
	by limited	practice and		5	5		
	opportunities	knowledge					
	available for	about					
	practice and	measurement					
	exploration	skills,					
		tools, through					
		activities					
		and websites.					
Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Our Goal for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
2012-2013 school							
year is to increase the							
number of students							
scoring at or above							
level 4 and 5 by 3%.							
	0%	10%.					
			2.2 Provide direct		2.2.	2.2.	
		Trigonometry	instruction		Mathematics teachers will meet	Formative:	
		and Discrete	opportunities for		monthly to discuss and review	Interim assessments	
		Mathematics	students to gain hands-on		student assessments. A synopsis	Benchmark assessments	
			practice and		will be provided to the RtI Team		
		The deficiency	knowledge about			Summative:	
1		may be cause	measurement skills,		be adjusted as necessary	2013 Geometry EOC results	
			tools, through activities				
		the content area	and websites.				
		in depth.					
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2011- 2012					
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

3B. Student	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
subgroups by	22.11					
ethnicity (White,	Student's	Provide	Math Teachers/RtI Team	Mathematics teachers will meet	Formative:	
Black, Hispanic,	limited experience	students with opportunities			Interim assessments Benchmark assessments	
Asian, American	practicing	to explore and		will be provided to the RtI Team	Deneminark assessments	
Indian) not making	and using	re-enforce		and the Instructional focus will be	Summative:	
satisfactory progress	measurement	concepts		adjusted as necessary	2013 Algebra EOC results	
in Geometry.	strategies away from	within the APEX online				
in Geometry.	school.	curriculum.				
		Provide direct				
		instruction opportunities				
		for				
		students to gain				
		hands-on				
		practice and knowledge				
		about				
		measurement				
		skills, tools, through				
		activities				
		and websites.				
		D 11				
		Provide teachers with				
		training in				
		assisting				
		students				
		make sense of problems				
		and plausible				
		solutions.				
Geometry Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
	Level of	Level of				
Increase student	Performance:*	Performance:*				
performance in all						
subgroups by 5%.						

	<u>.</u>					
	Enter numerical					
	data for					
current level of	expected level of					
performance in	performance in					
this box.	this box.					
White:	White:					
Black:	Black:					
Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
Asian:	Asian:					
	American					
	Indian:					
	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
	56.5.	56.5.	50.5.	50.5.	56.5.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Language Learners	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.				3D.1.	3D.1.		
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		50.2.	50.2.	30.2.	30.2.	30.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Decidien the englastic	Autipingtal	Cturate and	Danaan an Daaitian	Due a constitue de la Determina	Elinetian Tl		
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
3E. Economically ³	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged			Math Teachers/RtI Team				
Disauvantageu	Student's	instruction		Mathematics teachers will meet	Formative:		
students not making	limited	opportunities			Interim assessments		
satisfactory progress e	experience	for			Benchmark assessments		
in Geometry. P	practicing	students to gain		will be provided to the RtI Team			
		hands-on			Summative:		
		practice and		adjusted as necessary	2013 Algebra EOC results		
	strategies away	knowledge					
		about					
в		measurement skills,					
		tools, through					
		activities					
		and websites.					
Geometry Goal #3E: 2		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
		Performance:*					
performance on the FCAT							
by 9%							
9	9%	14%					
1							
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	
					1		

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Mini-lessons and mini assessments	All	Assistant Principal, Math Teacher	School-wide	August in-service week and on- going	Review and monitoring of mini-assessments and BAT diagnostic data.	Assistant Principal
Teaching with Technology	All	Assistant Principal/ Math Teacher	School-wide	TBD	Classroom walk-through and lesson plans	Assistant Principal
Teaching Problem Solving Techniques	All	Math Teacher	School-wide	TBD	Classroom walk through and lesson plans	Assistant Principal

<u>Mathematics Budget</u> (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Mathematics Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.	critical thinking skills.	1.1. Science teachers will work with students in small groups on hands on labs and help them to understand how to apply theory and the actual hands on activities.		1.1. Monitor and review diagnostic results.	1.1. BAT Data	

	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	14%	20%.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Biology 1.		2012 5					
Biology 1 Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

data j curre	for d ent level of e.	Enter numerical lata for expected level of performance in					
this b	box. tl	his box.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Selence Buuget (mselt lows us nee				
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

End of Science Goals

<u>Writing Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT: Students scoring at A chievement Level	detail practice in writing.	1A.1. Have students work with Reading Teacher to review their writing throughout the year and show them samples of what a 5.0 and 6.0 paper looks like.	1A.1. Reading Teacher	mid	1A.1. Baseline and midyear writing data.	

and higher on the	Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		17.2.	17.2.	17.2.	17,2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Writing Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	

	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	1.1. Student not attending due to poor attendance habits over time.	calls, letters,	1.1. Family Support Specialist		1.1. Attendance, Stars.	
Attendance Goal #1: There will be a 5% increase in the expected attendance rate for FY2013.	2012 Current Attendance	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	Number of Students with Excessive Absences	73% 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	36	26				

Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) 0.					
	Lack of student accountabilit y.	1.2. Set mgn enpeetations	Teachers	attendance daily.	1.2. School Attendance Data	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not ethat each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Reviewing attendance data on students	All	Principal		August All-Staff and September PD	Staff will receive incentives for using attendance reports through a data review game twice during the school year. Staff will use reports and document report	Principal

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

F		r	
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
	-		

End of Attendance Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s) Based on the analysis of parent involvement data,	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:				Strategy		
1. Dropout Prevention	are living on their own/ independent	1.1. Ensure we are maintaining at least one adult contact for each of our enrolled students.		and the administrator will	1.1. Student enrollment folders.	
Dropout Prevention Goal #1: Decrease the Dropout Rate by 5% for the 2012- 2013 school year.	Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*				
	rate in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box. 2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*				

data grad						
		ancial f ues v a v	.2. Assist students to ind jobs with flexible work hours that will allow them with time to attend school.	Retention	1.2. Retention Report found in STARS (internal Database)	
	Crisi	sis fa nagement c lls p	.3. Provide students/ camilies with counseling and the proper referrals to support student needs.		1.3. Contact Logs found in STARS (Internal database)	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s) Based on the analysis of parent	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt Anticipated		Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	· · //	
involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
1. Parent Involvement	students are		1.1. Enrollment specialist and the Principal		1.1. Student enrollment folders		
Parent Involvement Goal #1: There will be a 10% increase in parental involvement in FY2013 *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					

Enter nume data for cui level of par involvemen box.	ent data for expected					
	est parent and g student		1.2. Survey results use the results to make decision on services, programs, etc. that may need to be implemented or changed.	1.2. Survey Results	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

Are you reward school? □Yes □No

(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the Upload page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

 \Box Yes $X \Box$ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements. In the process of adding new members to School Advisory Council.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount