Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Kidz Choice	District Name: Broward
Principal: Lily Swanson	Superintendent: Robert Runcie
SAC Chair: Ana Montesinos	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Effective Administrators

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal /Director	Lily Swanson	Bachelor's Degree in Organizational Management	5	5	Kidz Choice Charter School 2007-2008 The school did not have a 3 rd , 4 th or 5 th grade class. Kidz Choice Charter School 2008-2009 The school did not have a 3 rd , 4 th , or 5 th grade class. Kidz Choice Charter School 2009-2008 The school did not have a 3 rd , 4 th , or 5 th grade class. Kidz Choice Charter School 2010-2011 96% at level 3 or higher in Reading 85% at level 3 or higher in Math 75% meeting the writing standard No 5 th grade class this year Kidz Choice Charter School 2011-2012 63% at level 3 or higher in Reading 63% at level 3 or higher in Reading 63% at level 3 or higher in Science
Assistant Principal	Kidz Choice Charter School does not have an assistant principal due to the small population.				

Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time

teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
ESE	Judy Rosenberg	Professional Teaching Certificate in: Elementary Education K-6 Exceptional Student Education K-12 ESOL Endorsed	5	1	Ms. Rosenberg has maintained continual success in helping students to transition from Kindergarten to First grade for the past five school years.

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Partnering of veteran teachers with those teachers that have less than two years' experience.	Lily Swanson	Ongoing	(======================================
2. Using Graphic Organizers as part of higher-order thinking strategies across the curriculum in all classes. Teachers will undergo professional development on how to incorporate such high-yield strategies inside the classroom.	Lily Swanson	May 2013	
3.			
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective
Not Applicable 100% of Kidz Choice teachers are highly qualified as required per No Child Left Behind	Not Applicable 100% of Kidz Choice teachers are highly qualified as required per No Child Left Behind	Not Applicable 100% of Kidz Choice teachers are highly qualified as required per No Child Left Behind	Not Applicable 100% of Kidz Choice teachers are highly qualified as required per No Child Left Behind

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number Instruction	er of ctional	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
	5	0 % (0)	80% (4)	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (5)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100%(5)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
1,1011001 1,00110	1,1011,000 1 1551,5110 11	1441011411 101 1 4111118	1 1411110 41 1110110111115 1 1001 1 1010

Judy Rosenberg	Yesenia Perez	As Ms. Rosenberg is the most tenured of instructional staff, and Ms. Perez is the newest edition to Kidz Choice, Ms. Rosenberg will be working with Ms. Perez to help ensure that she maintains an effective and nurturing learning environment.	Ms. Rosenberg and Ms. Perez will meet at least once a month to discuss lesson planning, student progress or lack thereof, and ways of improving teaching strategies for effectiveness.
Judy Rosenberg	Desiree Reyno	Mr. Rosenberg has continually maintained an effective and nurturing learning environment in her classroom for six years. As Ms. Reyno struggles with balance between the curriculum and the needs of her student population, Ms. Rosenberg will be working with Ms. Reyno to work with scheduling, lesson plan development, and lesson plan implementation.	Ms. Rosenberg with provide sample lesson plan, modeling of lessons inside the classroom, as well as helping to develop lesson plans together as a mentor and mentee relationship. Ms. Rosenberg and Ms. Reyno will meet once a week to discuss progress with a monthly follow up with the principal.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Citle I, Part A
ot Applicable
Citle I, Part C- Migrant
ot Applicable
Title I, Part D
ot Applicable
Title II
ot Applicable

Title III
Not Applicable
Title X- Homeless
Not Applicable
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Not Applicable
Violence Prevention Programs
Not Applicable
Nutrition Programs
Not Applicable
Housing Programs
Not Applicable
Head Start
Not Applicable
Adult Education
Not Applicable
Career and Technical Education
Not Applicable
Job Training Not Applicable
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team
Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.:
Principal: Lily Swanson, Instructional Coach: Judy Rosenberg, ESE Teacher: Kaytie Casanova

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS/RtI team will meet once a month to develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best results in both faculty and student population. The team will review the data and help make data-driven decisions for instruction inside the mainstream classroom. Additionally the team will collaborate in monitoring and developing intervention programs for students with exceptionalities and those students that are going through the RtI process. The team will collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, practice new processes and skills, and make decisions. During monthly meetings, the team will use data provided in order to identify students who are at risk for not meeting benchmarks as well as those students who are meeting or exceeding the benchmarks for that particular grade.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Lily Swanson: Provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensuring that the school based team is implementing RtI, conducting assessments of the skills of the staff, and communicating with parents about school-based RtI plans and activities. In addition to guidance and communication, also refers parents to outside support systems and organizations to help with their child's academic, emotional, and behavioral and social success.

Judy Rosenberg: Provides quality services and expertise on issues from program design assessment and intervention with individual students. Additionally provides interventions and information to assist classroom teachers in serving ESE students.

Kaytie Casanova: Participates in the Tier process and student data collection to provide support and other strategies to the general education teacher.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Florida Comprehension Assessment Test (FCAT), Benchmark Assessment Test (BAT), Go Math!, and Mini-Benchmark Assessments tests.

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Go Math!, Mini-Benchmark Assessments

End of Year: FAIR, FCAT, Go Math!

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during the teachers' common planning time, small sessions before school and during teacher work days. The team will evaluate additional staff professional development needs during their team meetings on a monthly basis.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS Team will be supported by the principal in their efforts. To assure that classroom teachers understand the importance of differentiated instruction and the RtI process, the principal will provide a brief in-house training on these topics for all staff during the year. Additionally, the principal will provide materials, such as literature, and guidance for those teachers that have Exceptional Education Students in their classroom, or students that are in the RtI process.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Lily Swanson: Principal Yesenia Perez: Fifth Grade Deanna Palonis: Third Grade Desiree Reyno: ESOL Teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team will meet once a month to determine if teachers are implementing the strategies and programs to help Kidz Choice meet its reading goal. Additionally, the Literacy Leadership Team will help to plan professional development and help make decisions about the programs needed to help support students in achieving high academic standards for reading.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Word Walls

Drop Everything And Read or Silent Sustained Reading

The goal is to help increase the percentage of students scoring above the proficiency reading level.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.		Differentiated Instruction Professional Development. Increase usage of Graphic Organizers. FCAT Explorer	Literacy Leadership Team	Principal will monitor Mini Benchmark Results and complete evaluations Literacy Leadership Team will complete classroom evaluations	Benchmark Assessment Test FCAT	
Reading Goal #1a: Kidz Choice will increase the percent of students achieving	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
proficiency by 5%.	Grade 3-5: 26%(12) Grade 3: 31% (5) Grade 4: 7% (1)	Grade 3-5:: 31%(14) Grade 3: 36% (6) Grade 4: 12% (2) Grade 5: 45% (7)				

		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1 a. 2.	1 a. 2.	1a.2.	14.2.	1 a. 2.	
		Lack of student	Encouraging and	Principal	Principal will monitor Mini	Benchmark Assessment Test	
		motivation to	including a set time for	Literacy Leadership Team	Benchmark Results and	FCAT	
		see reading a	silent sustained reading	Classroom Teacher	complete evaluations	Student Reader's Journal	
		pleasurable	for pleasure; using		1		
		activity in	the Drop Everything		Literacy Leadership Team will		
			And Read program in		complete classroom evaluations		
		an academic	correlation to students				
			keeping a reader's				
			journal.				
			Student Incentive				
				1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3	
		14.5.					
		Implementation				Benchmark Assessment Test	
		of higher-order				FCAT	
		thinking skills.	graphic organizers.	Classroom Teacher	complete evaluations	Student Reader's Journal.	
					L		
					Literacy Leadership Team will		
42 22 42	11 1	11 1	11. 1	11 1	complete classroom evaluations		
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring							
at Levels 4, 5,							
and 6 in reading.							
and o in reading.							
D 1: 0 1 1/11	2012 G	2012 F					
Reading Goal #1b	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
77:1 61 : 1:1		<u>Performance:*</u>					
Kidz Choice did not	i criormance.	r criormance.					
have any students that participated in							
that participated in the Florida Alternate							
Assessment Program							
this year.							
July your.							

			i	·		į	
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	lb.2.	1b.2.	lb.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in reading.	Impleme ntation of differentiated instruction.	Concept Mapping Differentiated Instruction Professional Development FCAT Explorer	Principal Literacy Leadership Team Classroom Teacher	Principal will monitor Mini	Benchmark Assessment Test FCAT		
Reading Goal #2a: Kidz Choice will increase the percent of students achieving above proficiency by 5%.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

	1	i	1	1	1		
	Grade 3-5: 37% (17) Grade 3: 31% (5) Grade 4: 43% (6) Grade 5: 40% (6)	Grade 3-5: 42% (19) Grade 3: 36% (6) Grade 4: 48% (7) Grade 5: 45% (7)					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		motivation to see reading a pleasurable activity in opposition to an academic requirement.	including a set time for silent sustained reading for pleasure; using the Drop Everything And Read program in correlation to students keeping a reader's journal.	Classroom Teacher	Benchmark Results and complete evaluations Literacy Leadership Team will complete classroom evaluations		
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
		of higher-order thinking skills.	Yield Strategies such as graphic organizers.	Literacy Leadership Team Classroom Teacher	Benchmark Results and complete evaluations Literacy Leadership Team will complete classroom evaluations	Teacher Evaluation FCAT Benchmark Assessment Test	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Leve 7 in reading.		2b.1. Not Applicable	2b.1. Not Applicable	2b.1. Not Applicable	2b.1. Not Applicable		

Reading Goal #2b: Kidz Choice did not have any students that participated in the Florida Alternate Assessment Program this year.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.			3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Reading Goal #3a: Information was not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	3b.1. Not Applicable	3b.1. Not Applicable	3b.1. Not Applicable		
Reading Goal #3b: Kidz Choice did not have any students that participated in the Florida Alternate Assessment Program this year.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable 3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Reading Goal #4a: Information was not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	64	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

Alternate Assessment:	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning							
gains in reading.							
have any students that participated in the Florida Alternate	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Assessment Program this year.							
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010- 2011					
Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian,	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

Reading Goal #5B: Information was not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

#5C: Information was not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in.	Level of Performance:*						
	not available	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

#5D: Information was not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
						5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	les a	1	I-m -	lam a	I	İ	
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
	l						
students							
not making							
satisfactory							
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
_							
- 41 4	2012 G	2012 5 . 1					
Reading Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5E:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Information was not							
available from the state at	<u>, </u>						
the time this plan needed							
to be turned in.							
1							
	Information was	Enter numerical					
	not available	data for					
	from the state	expected level of					
	at the time this	performance in this					
	plan needed to	box.					
	be turned in.						
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Reading Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			

Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Word Part Study	3-5		All instructional staff in third through fifth grade.	accordance to facilitator	Submit lesson plans for implementation documentation. Classroom Observations Student Samples	Principal
Comprehension Strategies	K -5	Literacy Leadership Team	School wide	l eacher Planning Days	Submit lesson plans for implementation documentation. Classroom Observations Student Samples	Principal

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CRISS-Student Owned Strategies	Exit Journal Templates Graphic Organizer Templates Materials for differentiated instruction of groups of less than 15 Lesson Plan Template to incorporate these elements	Instructional Materials	\$100.00
Reader's Journals	Reader Journal Template Reader Journal Rubric for implementation	Instructional Materials	\$100.00
Subtotal: \$200.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

FCAT Explorer	Internet-based program FCAT Explorer	Not Applicable, as it is supplied by the state.	\$0.00
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CRISS-Student Owned Strategies To be followed up with classroom walkthroughs, lesson plan documentation, and both informal and formal observations.	Highly Qualified Curriculum Instructional Coach	Staff Development	\$750.00
Creating an Engaged Classroom for the 21st Century Learner To be followed up with classroom walkthroughs, lesson plan documentation, one-on-one coaching, and both informal and formal observations.	Principal High Qualified Curriculum and Instructional Coach	Staff Development	\$750.00
Subtotal: \$1,500.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Total: \$1,700.00			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving			
	Process to Increase			
	Language Acquisition			

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	Kidz Choice does not anticipate any barrier for this goal.	These children will be paired	1.1. Classroom Teacher		1.1. CELLA Testing	
CELLA Goal #1: Kidz Choice will increase the percent of students in Listening/Speaking proficiency by the end of the 2012-2013 by 5%.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	40%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.	Student's lack of motivation to read		Classroom Teacher		2.1. CELLA Testing	
Kidz Choice will increase the percent of students in Reading proficiency by the end of the 2012-2013 by 5%.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
	36%					
				2.2.		2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
	The majority of Kidz Choice ELL students are incoming Kindergarten students and are just beginning to learn the writing process on a basic level.	To continue to encourage and provide daily writing	Classroom Teacher	Maintaining a student writing work portfolio.	CELLA Testing	
CELLA Goal #3: Kidz Choice will increase the percent of students in Reading proficiency by the end of the 2012-2013 by 5%.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
						2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Daily Writing Journals	Writing Journal Template for Primary and Intermediate Grades	General Funds	\$100.00
Subtotal:\$100.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Daily Writing Journals	Principal High Qualified Curriculum and Instructional Coach	Staff Development	\$100.00
Subtotal: \$100.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Total: \$200.00			

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	Student inability to visualize mathematical problems.	Implementation of visualization strategies to help students breakdown math problems in order to solve them more efficiently and accurately. Manipulative Kits	1a.1. Principal Classroom Teacher	1a.1. Classroom evaluations	1a.1. County Provided Mini- Benchmark Assessments Benchmark Assessment Test FCAT	

Mathematics Goal #1a: Kidz Choice will increase the percent of students achieving proficiency by 5%.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	37% (17) Grade 3: 50% (8) Grade 4: 29% (4)	Grade 3-5: 42% (19) Grade 3: 55% (9) Grade 4: 34% (5) Grade 5: 38% (6)					
		experience in meeting needs of different types of	Professional	1a.2. Principal Classroom Teacher	Professional Development Journal	1a.2. Classroom evaluation Final review of progression of Professional Development Journal and Plan	
		understanding of the academic vocabulary associated with		1a.3. Principal Classroom Teacher		1a.3. Quarterly Cumulative Math Vocabulary Assessments	

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		lb.1.	lb.1.	lb.1.	lb.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Kidz Choice did not have any students that participated in the Florida Alternate Assessment Program this year.							
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	lb.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0:	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	
Students scoring						
	Student	Create real-	Classroom Teacher	Student work portfolio	FCAT 2.0	
Achievement	inability	world situations	Cassiconi i cuenci	Diagon work portiono		
Levels 4 and 5 in	to link the	where students				
mathematics.	mathematical concepts to	need to use the mathematical				
	real-world	concepts in				
	application.	conjunction to higher-order				
		thinking skills to				
		solve problems				
		accurately.				
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of				
#2a:	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Kidz Choice will						
increase the percent						
of students achieving						
above proficiency by 5%.	,					
370.						
		G 1 2 5				
		Grade 3-5:				
	26% (12)	31% (14)				
	Grade 3:	Grade 3:				
	19% (3)	24% (4)				
		Grade 4:				
	43% (6)	48% (7)				
	Grade 5:	Grade 5:				
	20% (3)	25% (4).				

		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2b: Kidz Choice did not have any students that participated in the Florida Alternate Assessment Program this year.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	

	ı	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
		20.3	20.3	20.5	20.3	20.5	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
• I CIII 2.00	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
Learning Gains in							
mathematics.							
	2012 G	2012 5					
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#3a:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Information was not							
available from the state at the							
time this plan needed to be turned in.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			b a	2	2 2	h a	
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	

	[3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
		Ja.J.	Ja.J.	βα.3. 	JaJ.	<i>Σα.</i>	
3b. Florida	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of	I						
students making							
Learning Gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3b:	Level of	Level of					
π.σ.υ.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Kidz Choice did not							
have any students that							
participated in the Florida							
Alternate Assessment Program this year.							
i rogram uns year.							
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
	гчог Аррисавів	гын Аррисавие					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
		50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
#40:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4a.2.		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
						4a.3.	

4b. Florida	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Alternate	1						
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in Lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4b:	Level of	Level of					
<i>11-</i> TU.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Kidz Choice did not							
have any students that							
participated in the Florida Alternate Assessment							
Program this year.							
rogram and year.							
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
	Not rippiicuote	2101 21ppiicuoie					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but Achievable Annual							
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and							
Math Performance							
Target							

5A. Ambitious	Baseline					
	data 2010-					
Annual Measurable						
Objectives (AMOs).						
In six year school						
will reduce their						
achievement gap by						
50%.						
Mathematics Goal						
#5A:						
Enter narrative for the	1					
goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy		
"Guiding Questions",						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
subgroups by	White:					
subgroups by	Black:					
ethnicity (White,	Hispanic:					
Black, Hispanic,	Asian:					
Asian, American	American					
1 1010011, 1 11110110011	Indian:					
Indian) not making	1					
satisfactory						
progress in						
mathematics.	1					
indicination.	1					
		L				

#5B:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
						5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners	I	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
(ELL) not making satisfactory							
progress in mathematics.							

#5C·	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Information was not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
mathematics.							
M. d	2012 Cy	2013 Expected					
	2012 Current Level of	Level of					
#5D:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Information was not							
available from the state at the	,						
time this plan needed to be							
turned in.							
	Information was	Enter numerical					
	not available	data for					
	from the state at the time this	expected level of performance in this					
	plan needed to be						
	turned in.						
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions", identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							

Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
#5E:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Information was not available from the state at the time this plan needed to be turned in.						
						5E.2.	
			5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

	 · · · I		
Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiated Instruction Academic Vocabulary Real World Application	K-5	Math Lead	School wide	After school once a month	Miini-Benchmark Accecemeni i jaia i	Principal Math Lead

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Go Math!	Class Manipulative Kit Reteach Enrichment Book	Instructional Materials	\$2,000.00
Subtotal: \$2,000.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

FCAT Explore	State provided internet-based resource to help students with mathematical concepts.	Not Applicable	\$0.00
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Connecting Math to Real World	Math Lead Teacher	Professional Development	\$200.00
Subtotal: \$200.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Total: \$2,200.00			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	a disconnection between science concepts and science implementation.	Students will be using, exploring, and relating science concepts across the		Benchmark Assessments, to track	la.1. Benchmark Assessment Test FCAT 2.0 for Science		
Science Goal #1a: KidzChoice will increase the percent of students achieving proficiency by 5%.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Grade 5: 27% (4)	Grade 5: 32% (5)					
			1a.2.	la.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.		lb.1.	1b.1.	lb.1.	lb.1.		
Science Goal #1b: Kidz Choice did not have any students participate in the Florida Alternate Assessment for this school	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
year.	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	1b.3. Strategy	1b.3. Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	1b.3. Evaluation Tool	1b.3.	
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
scoring at or above							
Achievement Levels 4 and							
5 in science.							
5 in science.							
	Students have	Students will be	Director in correlation to the	County Provided Mini-	Benchmark Assessment		
	a disconnection	using, exploring,		Benchmark Assessments, to track	Test		
	between science	and relating		the progress of students.	FCAT 2.0 for Science		
	concepts	science concepts					
	and science implementation.	across the					
	impiementation.	help close this					
		gap.					
		Ĭ ¹					
Science Goal #2a:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	r criormance.	errormance.					
KidzChoice will increase							
the percent of students							
achieving above proficiency							
by 5%.							
	Consider F.	Con do 5.					
		Grade 5:					
	27% (4)	32% (5)	2 2	<u> </u>	h a		
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate	2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7	,						
in science.							
Science Goal #2b:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Kidz Choice did not have	Performance.	Performance.					
any students participate							
in the Florida Alternate							
Assessment for this school year.							
year.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional			

Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiation Instruction	Fifth Grade	Science Lead	Fifth Grade	After school		Principal Science Lead

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	and a second separation of the second second second separation second se		
FCAT Explorer	State provided internet-based program to help students apply science concepts.	Not Applicable	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Technology			
Subtotal: \$300.00			
Science Fusion	Cross-curriculum connections	Instructional Materials	\$300.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
funded activities/materials.			
activities/materials and exclude district			
Include only school-based funded			

Subtotal:\$0.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Connecting Science to all subjects	Science Lead	Professional Development	\$300.00	
Subtotal: \$300.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Creation of Science Board Games	Curriculum Coach Science Research Coach	Professional Development	\$300.00	
Subtotal: \$300.00				
Total: \$900.00				

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	a barrier for more descriptive forms of writing.	Students will keep a daily journal to reflect upon different elements of their day both inside and outside of school. Writing workshops	Classroom Teacher	la.1. Writing FCAT		
Writing Goal #1a: KidzChoice will increase the percent of students achieving proficiency or above by 2%.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Grade 4: 93% (13)	Grade 4: 95% (14).				
					1a.2. 1a.3.	

Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.			lb.1.	1b.1.	16.1.		
Writing Goal #1b: Kidz Choice did not have any students that participated in the Florida Alternate Assessment Program this year.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Not Applicable	Not Applicable					
						1b.2. 1b.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing Across the Curriculum	3 – 5	Writing Coach	All instructional staff for third through fifth grade	Teacher Planning Dave		Principal Writing Coach

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
CRISS Strategies	Graphic Organizer Round Robin Workshop Format Student Evaluation with Rubrics Student-Teacher Conferencing	General Student Funds	\$100.00
Subtotal: \$100.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:\$0.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Writing Across the Curriculum	Writing Coach	Professional Development	\$200.00
Writing Workshops	Writing Coach	Professional Development	\$200.00
Making writing a part of everyday life, not just academic requirement.	Writing Coach	Professional Development	\$200.00

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011 55

Subtotal: \$600.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:\$0.00			
Total:\$700.00			

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Parents continue to arrive late and continue old attendance habits.	1.1. Workshops, meetings, one-on-one with these families to discuss an attendance contract.			1.1. Official school attendance school records.	
Kidz Choice will improve student attendance by 15%, as well as improving student tardiness by 50%.	Attendance Rate.*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	5.35% (6)	15% (1)				

2012 Current Number of Stude with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected ents Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
Kidz Choice did no have any students that fall under this category.						
2012 Current. Number of Students with Excessive Tardie (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
1.78% (2)	0.99% (1)					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

D 0 1 1		İ	
Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$0.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:\$0.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:\$0.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$0.00				
Total:\$0.00				

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

			I	Tepresents next to the p	(0.8. 707	- (// ·	1
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
	Suspension						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of suspension data, and	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
reference to "Guiding				Strategy			
Questions", identify and							
define areas in need of							
improvement:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable		
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected		**	**		
Suspension Goal III.	of In -School	Number of					
Kidz Choice did not have	Suspensions Suspensions	In- School					
any students that were		Suspensions					
suspended during the							
2011-2012 school year.							
	0	0					
		2013 Expected					
	Out-of-School	Number of					
	Suspensions	Out-of-School					
		Suspensions					
	0	0					
		1.2					
		1.2.					
	Enter numerical data	1.3.					
	for current number of students suspended						
	out- of- school						
	J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J						

	1.2.					
Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended out- of- school	1.3.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Total						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal: \$0.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$0.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$0.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$0.00				
Total: \$0.00				
F 1 CC . C 1	L		1	

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

Dropout	Problem-			
Prevention	solving			
Goal(s)	Process to			
	Dropout			
	Prevention			

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Dropout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention							
Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected dropout rate in this box.					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical	Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical					
		data for expected					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			

Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: Technology			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.		
D	Parents have	We will provide			Data collected from sign		
Parent Involvement Goal	problems finding care for their	the school		sheets and comparing to years.	in sheets.		
#1:		while parents					
*Please refer to the		participate.					
percentage of parents who							
participated in school							
activities, duplicated or							
unduplicated.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		level of Parent					
		Involvement:*					
Kidz Choice will increase parent							
involvement by 7\$.							
	200/ (25)	220/ (2/)					
	28% (25)	32% (36)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Literacy Nights	K-5	Teacher	School wide	guarter as designated by	Preplanning literacy nights conducted by teachers. Teachers will facilitate information and training every quarter.	Classroom teachers

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Parents will receive printed material that will include literacy strategies designed to improve student achievement.	Printed Materials to develop booklet	General Funds	\$600.00
Subtotal: \$600.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:\$0.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Other			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Total: \$600.00			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement	,			
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			

(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
				_		

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional						
Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
·		•	

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	Faculty resistance to change and lack of team effort.	consultant will be hired to implement learning community and team work.	Business Performance Best Practices, Inc.	1.1. Collaboration of staff will help develop critical thinking and therefore, will improve academic achievement school wide.	1.1. Professional Development Journal FCAT		
Additional Goal #1: Develop learning community among teachers to initiate and implement collaborate strategies to improve critical thinking.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	0%.	50% (4).					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Creating a PLC	K-5	Educational Consultant	School wide		Documented Meetings between coaches and instructional staff.	Educational Consultant Principal

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Evidence based i logiani(s)/iviaterials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$0.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal: \$0.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal: \$0.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Creating a PLC	Coach Meeting Forms PLC Events and materials	Professional Development	\$500.00	
Subtotal: \$500.00				
Total: \$500.00				

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total: \$1,700.00
Mathematics Budget	
	Total: \$2,200.00
Science Budget	
	Total: \$300.00
Writing Budget	
	Total: \$700.00
Attendance Budget	
	Total: \$0.00
Suspension Budget	

	Total: \$0.0
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total: \$0.0
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total: \$600.0
Additional Goals	
	Total: \$500.0
	Grand Total: \$6,000.0
<u>Differentiated Accountability</u>	
School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance	
Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desire	d box: 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value"
header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)	roco,
School	
Differentiated	
Accountability	
Status	
□Priority □Focus □Prevent	
• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated up	load link on the "Upload" page
School Advisory Council (SAC)	
SAC Membership Compliance	
The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is con	sposed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers,
education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and othe	
racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by	selecting "Yes" or "No" below.
□ Yes □ No	
If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.	

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
SAC members will meet to discuss the School Improvement Plan and other various components to improve the learning environment and outcomes of Kidz Choice Charter School.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount