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## PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

| School Grades Trend Data |
| :--- |
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/ Statewide Assessment Trend Data |
| High School Feedback Report |
| K- 12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan |

## ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25\%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | \# of Years at Current School | \# of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25\% ), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | Rick Shackle | M. ED. in Educational Leadership. M.S. in Mental Health | 7 | 9 | Under his leadership the school grade was: <br> 2011-2012 Grade Pending <br> 2010-2011 B <br> 2009-2010 B <br> 2008-2009 B <br> 2007-2008 C <br> 2006-2007 C <br> 2005-2006 B <br> AYP in: <br> 2011-2012 No <br> 2010-2011 No <br> 2009-2010 No <br> 2008-2009 No <br> 2007-2008 No <br> 2006-2007 No <br> 2005-2006 No <br> \% Meeting High Standards: <br> 2011-2012 Reading-57 Math-76 <br> 2010-2011: Reading-54 Math-79 <br> 2009-2010: Reading-52 Math-77 <br> 2008-2009: Reading-50 Math-76 |



## INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest $25 \%$ ), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ <br> Certification(s) | \# of Years at Current School | ```# of Years as an Instructional Coach``` | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25\% ), and AMO progress along with the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^0]|  |  |  |  |  |  | associated school year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literacy | Laurie Bedford | BA in Elementary Education <br> Holds reading endorsement certificaton. <br> Certified in Social Sciences 5-9. | 8 |  |  | School Grade: 2011-2012 Grade Pending 2010-2011 B 2009-2010 B 2008-2009 B AYP in: 2011-2012 Yes 2010-2011 No 2009-2010 No 2008-2009 No \% Meeting High Standards: 2011-2012: Reading-57 Math-76 2010-2011: Reading-54 Math-79 2009-2010: Reading-52 Math-77 2008-2009: Reading-50 Math-76 \% Making Learning Gains: 2011-2012: Reading-64 Math-75 2010-2011: Reading-54 Math-79 2009-2010: Reading-51 Math-74 2008-2009: Reading-52 Math-74 \% Lowest 25\% Making Gains: 2011-2012: Reading-62 Math-56 $2010-2011: ~ R e a d i n g-45 ~ M a t h-62 ~$ $2009-2010: ~ R e a d i n g-40 ~ M a t h-62 ~$ 2008-2009: Reading-47 Math-61 |

## EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|  | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Escambia County School District offers all teachers a competitive benefits package thtat includes health, dental, and vision insurance at a reasonable cost to the employee. Tate High School makes teachers aware of benefits that teachers have available to them. <br> Teachers who are new to Tate High School are assigned a "mentor" to help guide them through the first year. Mentors are highly skilled, veteral teachers from Tate high School. New teachers are given an orientation to the school on the first day that they report to work. <br> All new teacher hires at Tate High School are in-field. First year teachers participate in the START program, a program that entails supporting and evaluating first year teachers. <br> Teachers participate in the development of their teacher assignments. Teachers are encouraged to give input as to the courses they would like to teach. Teachers are also encouraged to become club sponsors, become class sponsors, coach sports, participate in a committee, or anything else that they feel they can contribute their skills and strengths to. | Rick Shackle | on-going |  |

## Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).
*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% [35]).

| Number of <br> staff and <br> paraprofessional <br> that are <br> teaching out- <br> of-field/ and <br> who are not <br> highly <br> effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
| :---: | :---: |
| There are 3 teachers at Tate High School that are teaching out-of-field. None of them received a less than effective rating. | The district is offering workshops for ESOL certification/endorsement. Teachers are also provided the opportunity to take subject area certification tests. The school district also provides study materials for teachers who plan to |

## Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.
*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Instructional } \\ \text { Staff }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% of } \\ \text { First-Year } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% of } \\ \text { Teachers } \\ \text { with 1-5 } \\ \text { Years of } \\ \text { Experience }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% of } \\ \text { Teachers } \\ \text { with 6-14 } \\ \text { Years of } \\ \text { Experience }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% of } \\ \text { Teachers } \\ \text { with 15+ } \\ \text { Years of } \\ \text { Experience }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% of } \\ \text { Teachers } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { Advanced } \\ \text { Degrees }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Highly } \\ \text { Effective } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Reading } \\ \text { Endorsed } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { National } \\ \text { Board } \\ \text { Certified } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array} \\ \hline 117 & 11.1 \%(13) & 17.1 \%(20) & 33.3 \%(39) & 38.5 \%(45) & 41.9 \%(49) & 95.7 \%(112) & 14.5 \%(17) & 4.3 \%(5) \\ \text { Endorsed } \\ \text { Teachers }\end{array}\right\}$

## Teacher Mentoring Program/ Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee <br> Assigned | Rationale <br> for Pairing | Planned Mentoring <br> Activities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Melissa Gibbs |  | Melissa Gibbs and Jaime <br> Allred will participate in <br> the Escambia County <br> School District's "Great <br> Beginnings" program for <br> teacher mentoring. |  |
| Mentor and mentee will |  |  |  |
| Mlan together, select |  |  |  |
| instructional materials, |  |  |  |
| develop behavior |  |  |  |
| management plans, plan |  |  |  |
| lessons and pacing, set |  |  |  |
| goals, and model a lesson |  |  |  |


| Erin Ruckman Janet Johnson | Jeanne Davis | teacher and her class is in close proximity for easy access. J anet Johnson is certified in science and the district START mentor. | JJanet Johnson will evaluate Mrs. Davis, provide professional support and district resources. Erin Ruckman will help orient Mrs. Davis to the school and its procedures. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pam Patton | Cynthia Domulot | Pam Patton is an experienced teacher and her classroom is in close proximity for easy access. | Pam Patton will help orient Mrs. Domulot to the school and its procedures. |
| Maria Cummins | Laura Gaesser | Maria Cummins is an experienced teacher and her classroom is in close proximity for easy access. | Maria Cummins will help orient Mrs. Gaesser to the school and its procedures. |
| Karen Robinson | Dana Gilmore | Karen Robinson is an experienced teacher. Her office is located centrally to the rooms that Ms. Gilmore is assigned to as a coteacher. | Karen Robinson will help orient Ms. Gilmore to the school and its procedures. |
| Cliff Milstead Ann Copenhauer | Christina Grey | Cliff Milstead is an experienced teacher and her class is in close proximity for easy access. J anet Johnson is certified in science and the district START mentor. | Janet Johnson will evaluate Mrs. Gray, provide professional support and district resources. Cliff Milstead will help orient Mrs. Gray to the school and its procedures. |
| John Reynolds | Christine Hamilton | John <br> Reynolds was chosen to mentor Christine Hamilton because their classrooms are next to each other and they both teach English. | Mr. Reynolds will help orient Mrs. Hamilton to the school and its procedures. |
| Scotty Skaggs | Susan Richter | Scotty Skaggs was chosen to mentor Susan Richter because their classrooms are close to each other and they both teach science. | Mr. Skaggs will help orient Mrs. Richter to the school and its procedures. |
| Eva Pardue Ann Copenhauer | J eremie Samuel | Eva Pardue was chosen to mentor Jeremie Samuel because they co-teach together and both are certified in | Ann Copenhauer will evaluate Mr. Samuel, provide professional support and district resources. Eva Pardue will help orient Mr. Samuel to the school and its procedures. |


|  |  | English. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scotty Skaggs J anet Johnson | Felicia Sotilleo | Scotty Skaggs is an experienced teacher and his classroom is in close proximity to Ms. Sotilleo's room. Janet Johnson is the district's START mentor and is also certified in science. | Janet Johnson will evaluate Ms. Sotilleo, provide professional support and district resources. Scotty Skaggs will help orient Ms. Sotilleo to the school and its procedures. |
| Laurie Bedford Ann Copenhauer | Kathryn Venettozzi | Laurie <br> Bedford is an experienced teacher and her class is in close proximity for easy access. Ann copenhauer is the district START mentor. | Ann Copenhauer will evaluate Ms. Venettozzi, provide professional support and district resources. Laurie Bedford will help orient Ms. Venettozzi to the school and its procedures. |
| John Hannon J anet Johnson | Raymond Wright | John Hannon is an experienced teacher and his classroom is in close proximity to Mr. Wright's room. Janet Johnson is certified in science and is the district's START mentor. | J anet Johnson will evaluate Mr. Wright, provide professional support and district resources. John Hannon will help orient Mr. Wright to the school and its procedures. |

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

## Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only
Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

## N/A

Title I, Part C- Migrant
N/A

Title I, Part D
N/A

Title II

Title III

## N/A

Title X- Homeless

Violence Prevention Programs
N/A
Nutrition Programs
N/A

Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
N/A
J ob Training
N/A

Other

N/A

## Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/ Response to Instruction/ Intervention (RtI)

$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { School- based MTSS/ Rtl Team } \\ \text { Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { The Response to Instruction Team at Tate High School is comprised of } 5 \text { members: } \\ \text { Rick Shackle - principal } \\ \text { Terry Colburn - assistant principal } \\ \text { Karen Robinson - ESE dept. chairperson } \\ \text { Laurie Bedford - Curriculum Coach } \\ \text { Audra Norman - guidance counselor }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RtI Team meets as needed with a minimum of one time per month. The RtI Team works in conjunction with the Literacy Team in collecting and interpreting data. General education teachers provide information about the core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier II interventions, and integrates Tier I materials/instruction with Tier II/III activities.

ESE teachers participate in the Tier process to provide support and offer strategies to the general education teacher. The Reading Coach identifies systematic patterns of the students' needs while working with district personnel to identify appropriate evidence-based interventions and strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children considered "at risk"; assists with monitoring "at risk" students, data collection, and data analysis. The reading coach also provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

The school psychologist (district assigned) participates in the collection, interpretation, and data analysis. The psychologist facilitates development of intervention plans and provides support for interventions and documentation.

The speech teacher educates the team in the role that language plays in curriculum, assessment and instruction as a basis for appropriate program design and helps identify systemic patterns of the student's needs with respect to language.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

## The RtI Leadership Team will meet to engage in the following activities:

Review screening data and link that data to instructional decisions.
Review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks and those who are at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Bused upon that information, the team will identify professional development and resources. They will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, practice new processes and skills, and make decisions about implementation.

[^1]Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during the teachers' planning time and small sessions throughout the school year. Teachers received training in the first week of the 2012-2013 school year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

All guidance counselors are trained in RtI. Guidance counselors support classroom teachers in the process and continue to train teachers new to Tate High School.

## Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

```
-School- Based Literacy Leadership Team
Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).
    The following are members of the Literacy Leadership Team at Tate High School:
    Laurie Bedford
    Terry Colburn
    Kelly Davis
    Barbara Hogan
    Ilana J ohns
    Ursula Lamontagne
    Stacey Litton
    Miriam Neese
    Pam Saxton
    Rick Shackle
    Sheri Sherrill
    Stephanie Small
    Katherine Venettozzi
    Elerene Walters
    Leslee Williams
```

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).
The Literacy Leadership Team at Tate High School meets on a regular basis. All members on the team are reading teachers or administrators. Teachers who teach FCAT remediation classes meet every other week as do several other reading department subgroups. The Literacy Team a whole meets every month.

The Tate High School Literacy Team identifies the reading areas that Tate High School teachers need to focus on during the
school year and strategies that all teachers may use within their content areas. The Literacy Team studies and provides reading data for Tate High School. The team distributes this information to the faculty and identifies to the faculty the areas of weakness and strengths.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Tate High School Literacy Team plans to focus intensively in two areas this school year. Reading teachers will teach all reading skills and all other teachers will teach reading skills within the content area. Special emphasis will be placed on Critical Thinking Skills and using questioning teachniques that require the use of higher order thinking skills. All teachers will also endeavor to build student reading "stamina". Teachers will have students read longer passages and build up to a level of comfortableness with multiple long reading passage assignments. As many of our FCAT Level 1 and Level 2 reading students report that they get tired of reading, teachers hope to improve their reading endurance.

## Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification
View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/17/2012)

## *Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Not applicable.
*Grades 6-12 Only
Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.
For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Teachers in all areas incorporate reading skills into their content areas. The Tate High School Literacy Leadership Team identifies areas of weakness through FCAT data and informs the faculty of their findings. All teachers are required to keep FCAT results on each of their students by using FCAT Star. All teachers at Tate High School have received training in CRISS, Cl M , and Differentiated Instruction.

Inservice will continue to be offered throughout the school year. Teachers will continue to use CIM strategies, curriculum mapping, AP strategies, CRISS, Word Walls, etc.

## *High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Tate High School offers a variety of courses that enable students to transition them from high school directly in to college, the military, or employment. The art department offers courses from Art I through AP art courses. Many of our students have participated in our bands and orchestra for four years and continue on with music on the college level. The choral and drama department also offer a four year program for students interested in the performing arts.

Tate High School offers 5 different career academies. The Health Academy is a four year program that culminates in a hospital placement where students work in several different departments that includes actual patient contact.

The Multi-Media Academy allows students to work on a TV set that includes a news desk, TV cameras, a sound room, and an editing room. Students write and edit scripts and produce videos. Students also produce live TV shows for the closed circuit TV system that Tate High School uses for a daily news show.

The Veterinary Assistance program is in its third school year. This four year program includes working with small and large animals, along with student experiences in local veterinarian clinics.

The Game and Simulation Academy is a four year program for students interested in video gaming and design. Guest college teaching assistants have worked with the third and fourth year students. Students have also taken their fourth year class as a dual enrollment class at the University of West Florida.

The Early Childhood Education Academy is a four year program that places students in the third and fourth year in day care centers and elementary schools. These academy students work on and often earn their certification. Many students are hired as day care workers and teaching assistants directly from high school.

Tate High School also offers a BCE and DCT program to upper classmen.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Students that are enrolled in an academy are in a four year program. All students meet with their guidance counselors on a regular basis. Courses of study and course selections are adjusted as a student's interest or goal changes. Counselors work with students in placing them in high school courses, college courses, and vocational courses that are appropriate to the students' goals.

## Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report

Tate High School has identified eleventh and twelfth grade students who have completed Algebra 2 but are not ready for College Algebra. Math for College Readiness is offered to these students.

Additional Advanced Placement courses are offered to all students. Students in the ninth grade may take AP Geography and additional courses are added in grades 10 through 12. The rigor of all academic classes has increased.

Students who have failed one or more grades and/or are credit deficient are able to take courses through Compass Learning. Students who are 16 years old or older may retake courses through Tate Community School.

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Tate High School will increase the number of students who score at the profienct level by $1 \%$.
Reading Goal \#la:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:
2012 Current Level of Performance:

Based on 2012 FCAT scores, 28\% (265) of Tate High School students scored at Level 3 in FCAT 2.0 Reading. 57\% (538) of students were proficient.
$58 \%$ or more of students taking FCAT 2.0 Reading in 2013 will achieve proficiency.

| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Large percentage of students enter high school at a reading level far below their grade level. <br> Students who score Level 1 or 2 on FCAT Reading often demonstrate poor attendance. <br> Students who score Level 1 or 2 on FCAT Reading often have poor study/organizational skills. | Increase use of Media Center and Media Center maaterials by teachers and students. <br> Extensive opportunities for guided practice in all classrooms. <br> Differentiated instruction based on student assessment data. <br> Integration of core academics text reading, text discussioin and writing in response to reading. <br> Offer and increase complex text materials in all classrooms through supplemented materials. <br> Independent reading practice in all classrooms <br> Focus on informational text. | Literacy Coach <br> Classroom teachers <br> Administrators | Classroom observation <br> Teacher tests | FAIR tests Teacher tests FCAT scores USA Test Prep |
| 2 | Students often struggle with endurance in completing the long passages on the FCAT Reading test. | Teachers model FCAT style passages(Think Aloud) <br> Students work through longer FCAT Non- fiction passages. <br> Teachers will instruct students how to "mark the text". <br> Higher Level Questioning | Curriculum Coach Classroom Teacher | Teachers will provide students opportunities to read and answer higher level questions on FCAT style passages. | Individual FCAT passage grade. FCAT Scores |


| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#1b: |  |  | Less than 10 students take the Alternate Assessment at Tate High School |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement

| Level 4 in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#2a: |  |  | Tate High School will increase the above proficiency levels by $1 \%$. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Based on the 2012 FCAT data, 29\% (273) of students achieved above proficiency (Level 4 or Level 5). 20\% (204) of students scored above proficiency on FCAT in 2011. |  |  | Tate High School will achieve 30\% above proficiency level (Level 4 and Level 5). |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | No anticipated barriers. | Higher level questioning used in the classroom and on assignments. Use pre AP strategies in honors courses. Place students in Advanced Placement courses when possible. | Curriculum coach Classroom teachers <br> Guidance counselors | Teachers will evaluate test results to determine effectiveness of higher order questions. Class registration | Enrollment in honors and AP classes. <br> Classroom tests <br> FCAT scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Students scoring at or above Achievement Level $\mathbf{7}$ in <br> reading. <br> Reading Goal \#2b: | Less than 10 students take the alternate assessment at <br> Tate High School. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| N/A | N/A |


| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#3a: |  |  | Tate High School will increase learning gains by 1\% in 2013. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Based on the 2012 FCAT data, 54\%(509) of students made learning gains in reading at Tate High School. 54\% (539) of students made learning gains in 2011. |  |  | Tate High School will achieve 55\% learning gains in Reading in 2012. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Intrinsic Motivation. <br> Lack of technology in the classroom. <br> Lack of reading experience outside of the school setting. | Continue Read 180 in 9th grade for Level 1 readers. <br> Continue Plugged In To Reading for Level 2/Level 3 9th and 10th grade students. Higher Level Questioning. <br> Implement reading skills in all classrooms and academic areas. | Reading Coach Content Area Teachers Administration | FCAT Reading focus area skills practice and implemented in the classroom | FCAT Reading focus area assessmentsFCAT 2013 data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: <br> Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#3b: |  |  | There are less than 10 students taking the alternate assessment at Tate High School. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25\% making learning gains in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#4: |  |  | Tate High School will increase Reading learning gains within the Lowest $25 \%$ quartile by $1 \%$ in 2013. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Based on 2012 FCAT data, $45 \%$ (106) of students in the lowest $25 \%$ quartile made learning gains in reading. $45 \%$ (113) of students in the lowest quartile made learning gains in 2011. |  |  | Tate High School will achieve 46\% Reading learning gains among the Lowest $25 \%$ quartile on the 2013 FCAT. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Absences <br> Parent participation <br> Lack of student <br> motivation <br> Unsuccessful reading strategies | Review students with habitual absences Mid-term progress reports to parents Graphic Organizers while reading Higher Level Questioning. <br> Implement reading skills in all classrooms and curriculums. | Classroom teacher Reading Coach | Parent's signature on progress reports Student test grades | Nine weeks assessments FAIR Data FCAT Data |


| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by $50 \%$. |  |  | Reading Goal \# |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |
|  | 63\% | 67\% | 70\% | 73\% | 77\% |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal \#5B:

| 2012 Current Level of Perfor |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Based on 2011-2012 FCAT sco subgroups achieved the target | MO, one o eading. | A minimum of 3 of $6(50 \%)$ of all subgroups at Tate High School will reach the 2013 target AMO in reading. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

1 \begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
Unsuccessful reading <br>
strategies <br>
Lack of student <br>
motivation <br>
Absences

$\quad$

Small group instruction <br>
Graphic Organizers <br>
Higher level <br>
questioning/discussion

$\quad$

Classroom teacher <br>
Reading Coach <br>
Administration

$\quad$

Student grades <br>
Project rubrics <br>
Reading Subject Area <br>
Exam.

$\quad$

FCAT data <br>
Student Nine <br>
Weeks/Semester <br>
grades
\end{tabular}

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#5C: |  |  | One ELL student is enrolled at Tate High School. He scored a Level 2 on FCAT reading. There are 2 ELL students enrolled for the 2012-2013 school year. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| ELL student scored a Level 2 on FCAT reading |  |  | Both ELL students will earn a Level 3 or higher on FCAT reading. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | One ELL student is excessively absent from school. | Placement in remedial reading classes. <br> Accommodations in general education classes. <br> Placement with ESOL trained teachers. | Classroom teachers <br> District ESOL teacher | classroom testing <br> reading tests | FCAT scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. <br> Reading Goal \#5D: |  |  | Tate High School will increase AYP Reading scores within the Students with Disabilites subgroup by 1\% on the 2012 FCAT. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Based on the 2009-2010 FCAT data, the Students with Disablitiles subgroup scored 39\% AYP in Reading. 23\% of SWD made AYP in Reading in 2010-2011. |  |  | 24\% or more of SWD at Tate High School will make AYP on the 2012 FCAT Reading. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Absences <br> Student Motivation Unsuccessful Reading strategies | Small group instruction <br> Meeting Student <br> accomodations <br> Graphic Organizers <br> Higher Level Questioning | ESE <br> classroom teacher <br> Administration <br> Reading Coach | Teacher Assessments State/District Assessments | FCAT data <br> FAIR data <br> Nine <br> Weeks/Semester <br> Grades |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

| Reading Goal \#5E: |  |  | score satisfactorily has remained contstant. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| Based on the 2011-2012 reading scores, $46 \%$ of economically disadvantaged students scored satisfactorily. |  |  | ly $51 \%$ of economically disadvantaged students will score satisfactorily on FCAT reading in 2013. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Absences Lack of Motivation Unsuccessful Reading strategies | Small group instruction Graphic Organizers Higher Level Questioning | Classroom Teacher Reading Coach Administration | Teacher made <br> Assessments <br> State/District <br> Assessments <br> Student projects | FAIR data FCAT data Nine Weeks/Semester Grades |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, subject, <br> grade level, or <br> school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Web Quest | Reading | Laurie <br> Bedford | All faculty | October 19, 2012 | Classroom <br> observations / <br> walkthroughs | Laurie Bedford |
| Classroom <br> Strategies | All curriculum <br> areas | Lynne Hood | All faculty | October 19,2012 | Classroom <br> observations / <br> walkthroughs | Administrators |

## Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  | Available <br> Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Budgeted Funds |
| Reading Comprehension | Non Fiction,Text <br> Complexity,Sequencing | Subtotal: \$2,800.00 |  |
|  |  | Funding Source | Available |
| Amount |  |  |  |$|$| \$10,500.00 |
| :---: |
| Technology |
| Strategy |


| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| NA | NA | NA | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |  | Subtotal: $\$ 0.00$ |

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/ speaking.

| CELLA Goal \#1: | Tate High School is not an ESOL Center. |
| :--- | :--- |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/ speaking:

N/A

| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non- ELL students.
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal \#2:
N/A

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement

|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non- ELL students.
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.

CELLA Goal \#3:

| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| N/A | Problem- Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |

## CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#1: |  |  | There are less than 10 students who take an alternate assessment at Tate High School. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |



Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal \#3:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| :--- | :--- |
| N/A | N/A |


| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |

## High School Mathematics AMO Goals

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO- 2, Reading and Math Performance Target |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by $50 \%$. |  |  | Mathematics Goal \# |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |
|  | 72\% | 74\% | 77\% | 79\% | 82\% |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, <br> Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making <br> satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5B: | Each subgroup at Tate High School made significant gains in <br> math from 2010-2011 to the 2011-2012 school year. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5C: |  |  | There are less than 10 ELL students at Tate High School. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. <br> Mathematics Goal \#5D: |  |  | The percent of SWD who scored at a satisfactory level increased from 51\% to 63\% in 2012. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 63\% of SWD scored at a satisfactory level in math in 2012. |  |  | 64\% or more of SWD will score at a satisfactory level in 2013. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Approximately 30\% of students attending Tate High School enter the 9th grade with math skills that are below grade level. | Singapore Math <br> Frequent review of basic math skills <br> Use the Algebra and Geometry EOC review on the district's website. <br> Differentiated Instruction <br> Small group instruction <br> Math vocabulary development | Math teachers <br> Math specialist | Teacher tests <br> SAE <br> Teacher observations | Algebra and Geometry EOC scores. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

## E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making

 satisfactory progress in mathematics.Mathematics Goal E:

There was a $10 \%$ increase in the number of Economically Disadvantaged students that scored at the satisfactory level in math in 2012.

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71\% of Economically Disadvantaged students scored at a satisfactory level in math in 2012. |  |  | $72 \%$ or more of Economically Disadvantaged students will score at the satisfactory level in math in 2013. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Approximately 30\% of students entering Tate High School in the 9th grade have math skills below grade level. | Singapore Math <br> Frequent review of basic math skills. <br> Use the Algebra and Geometry EOC reviews on the district's website. <br> Differentiated instruction <br> Math vocabulary development. | Math teachers <br> Math Specialist | Teacher tests <br> SAE <br> Teacher observations | Algebra and Geometry EOC scores |

## Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. <br> Algebra Goal \#1: |  |  | $30 \%$ (117) of students taking the Algebra EOC scored below Achievement Level 3. Students who score below Level 3 need remediation and to retake the EOC. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| $70 \%$ (273) of students who took the Algebra EOC earned a Level 3 or higher. |  |  | d1\% of students taking the Algebra EOC will earn an Achievement Level 3 or higher in 2013. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | There is a large number of students who enter the 9th grade with math skills that are below grade level. | Students who earn below a Level 3 on the 8th grade FCAT math test will be placed in a double block of Algebra 1 A and 1 B . <br> Use the district website for Algebra EOC skill reviews. | Math teachers <br> Asst. Principal for Curriculum | Chapter test grades <br> SAE scores | Algebra EOC scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. <br> Algebra Goal \#2: |  |  | $70 \%$ (273) of students taking the Algebra EOC passed the test. There is a need to move students who score in the upper range of Level 3 to move to a Level 4 or higher. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| $16 \%$ ((62) of students taking the Algebra EOC in 2012 scored at or above Level 4. |  |  | $17 \%$ or more of students taking the Algebra EOC in 2013 will score at or above Level 4. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | No anticipated barriers. | Place Level 4 and 5 FCAT Math students in an honors level course. <br> Use the district website for review of Algebra EOC skills. | Math teacher <br> Administration | SAE scores <br> Student grades <br> Teacher tests | Algebra EOC scores |

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.

Achievement levels have not been assigned to the Geometry EOC.

2013 Expected Level of Performance:
$70 \%$ of students who take the Geometry EOC in 2013 will earn a Level 3 or higher.

Scores were divided into thirds. $88 \%$ (341) of students who took the Geometry EOC in 2012 scored in the middle or upper third.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approximately 30\% of <br> math students have <br> math skills below their <br> grade level. | Implement Singapore <br> Math strategies in the <br> classroom. <br> Frequent review of <br> basic math skills. <br> Use Geometry EOC <br> review on district <br> website. <br> Use differentiated | Math teacher | Teacher tests | Geometry EOC <br> scores |  |
| instruction. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small group instruction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geometry vocabulary |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| GAE | Teacher observation |
| :--- | :--- |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. <br> Geometry Goal \#2: |  |  | Achievement levels have not been assigned to the Geometry EOC. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| 2012 scores were divided into thirds. 58\% (224) of students taking the Geometry EOC scored in the upper third. The mean scale score is 55 . |  |  | $15 \%$ of students taking the Geometry EOC in 2013 will score at Level 4 or higher. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | No anticipated barriers. | Differentiated instruction. <br> Small group instruction. <br> Geometry vocabulary development. <br> Use the Geometry EOC review on district website. | Math teachers Math Specialist | Teacher tests <br> SAE <br> Teacher observations | Geometry EOC scores |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singapore Math | Math 9-12 | Math Subject Area Specialist | Math teachers | TBA | Classroom observations | Administrators |
| Differentiated instruction | All grades and subjects | Lynne Hood Laurie Bedford | All teachers | October 19, 2012 | Clasroom observations <br> Walk-throughs | Administrators |
| Best Practices in the Classroom | Math 9-12 | Learning Communities | Mat Teachers | monthly | Lesson plans <br> Teacher Evaluations | Administrators |
| Incorporating Technology into the Curriculum | Math 9-12 | Technology Learning Group <br> Learning Communities | Math teachers | monthly | Lesson plans <br> Teacher evaluations | Administrators |
| Web Quest training | All grades and subjects | Lynne Hood Laurie Bedford | all teachers | October 19, 2012 | Classroom observations <br> Walk-throughs | Administrators |

Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s)

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Improve Math Proficiency | HYS/Accelerated Math EOC <br> Consumables | Budgeted Funds | $\$ 2,800.00$ |
| Technology |  |  | Subtotal: $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 8 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available <br> Amount |
|  | Projector/Docking Stations/2 <br> Computer Labs/Slates | District/SAI/SAC | \$31,500.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  | Funding Source |

End of Mathematics Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., $70 \%$ (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

There are less than 10 students taking an alternate assessment at Tate High School.
Science Goal \#1:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| I | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.

| Science Goal \#2: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| N/A |  |  | N/A |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level $\mathbf{3}$ in <br> Biology. <br> Biology Goal \#1: | Students who scored in the bottom third of scores <br> need to improve their science skills. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Current Level of Performance: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ Expected Level of Performance: |
| 138 students took the Biology EOC in 2012. 86\% (119) <br> of students who tested scored in the middle or high <br> third of scores. | $70 \%$ of students taking the EOC will score at <br> Achievement Level 3 or higher in 2013. |


| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited funds prohibit the purchase of updated lab equipment and technology. | Science teachers combine their resources/equipment for better use of available lab equipment. | Science department chairperson. Administrators. <br> District Science Subject Area Specialist. | Pretests are administered. Teachers administer chapter tests, unit tests. <br> SAE tests | Biology EOC scores. |
| 2 | Students with reading and math skills that are below their grade level. | Differentiated instruction <br> Small group learning <br> CRISS strategies <br> Project- based learning <br> Review Biology EOC skills on distrit website. | Science teachers | SAE <br> Teacher tests <br> Teacher observation | Biology EOC scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. <br> Biology Goal \#2: |  |  | Science courses need to increase opportunities for experimentation and hand's- on experiences. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| $58 \%$ (80) of students who took the Biology EOC in 201 scored in the upper third at Tate High School |  |  | $215 \%$ of students taking the Biology EOC in 2013 will score at Achievement Level 4 or higher. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lab facilities and materials must be shared among science teachers. <br> Funding for new lab materials and technology is low. | Conduct virtual labs. <br> Share materials among teachers. <br> Use the district website for Biology EOC review. | Science teachers <br> Science Subject Area Specialist | Teacher tests <br> SAE scores | Biology EOC scores |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates <br> (e.g., early <br> release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CRISS <br> Strategies | Science | Lynne Hood <br> Robin <br> Venettozzi | All science <br> teachers | Teacher Planning <br> Days | Classroom <br> observations | Administrators |
| Web Quest | All grades and <br> Subjects | Lynne Hood <br> Laurie <br> Bedford | All teaches | October 19, 2012 | Classroom <br> observations <br> Classroom walk- | Administrators |
| throughs |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s)

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Engagement | Lab Materials | District Funds | \$1,800.00 |
| Subtotal: \$1,800.00 |  |  |  |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |


|  | CRISS/Small Group Instruction | SAI |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Other |  | $\$ 400.00$ |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source |

## Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. <br> Writing Goal \#1a: |  |  | Writing skills need to be incorported into all classes, both academic and elective courses. Teachers need to continue emphasizing correct grammar, syntax, organization and focus. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| $88 \%$ (423) of students taking the FCAT Writing test in 2012 scored Level 3 or higher. |  |  | $90 \%$ or more of students will Level 3 or higher on FCAT Writing in 2013. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | None- Based on previous scores, Tate High students have been very successful in writing. | Teachers will implement Six Traits of Writing in both English and Social Studies classes. Intensive practice with the FCAT Writing format. <br> Incorporate writing skills in all classes. | Teachers <br> Language Arts Subject Area Specialist | Incorporate writing assignments in all curriculum areas. <br> Use writing rubric to grade writing assignments. | FCAT Writing scores |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. <br> Writing Goal \#1b: | Writing skills need to be incorported into all classes, both academic and elective courses. Teachers need to continue emphasizing correct grammar, syntax, organization and focus. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 47\% (227) of students taking FCAT Writing in 2012 scored 4 or higher. | $48 \%$ of students taking FCAT Writing in 2013 will score 4 or higher. |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |


|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | No barriers anticipated | Incorporate writing skills in all classes. <br> Teach 6 Traits of Writing in all English classes. <br> Differentiated instruction <br> Small group instruction | Teachers <br> Language Arts <br> Subject Area Specialist | Teacher tests <br> Teacher observations <br> Writing samples | FCAT Writing scores |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates <br> (e.g., early <br> release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Differentiated <br> Instruction | All teachers | Lynne Hood | All teachers | October 12, 2012 | Classroom <br> observations | Administrators |
| CRISS <br> training <br> Six Traits of <br> Writing | Selected <br> teachers on the <br> faculty | Lynne Hood <br> Robin <br> Venettozzi | Teachers who are <br> new on the faculty <br> and have not had <br> CRISS training | O planning period <br> throughout the <br> school year | Classroom <br> observations <br> Classroom <br> Walkthroughs | Administrators |

## Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Improve Proficiency | Materials/Consumables | Budgeted Funds | \$500.00 |
| Subtotal: \$500.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | l: \$500.00 |

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. <br> U.S. History Goal \# 1: |  |  | The US History EOC was field tested in 2012. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| The US History EOC was field tested in 2012. |  |  | N/A |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |



## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus | Grade Level/ Subject | PD Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD <br> Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g. , early release) and Schedules (e.g.) <br> frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Increase Proficiency EOC ACT/SAT | Consumables/Materials | Budgeted Funds | \$2,400.00 |
| Subtotal: \$2,400.00 |  |  |  |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|  | Projectors | AP | \$1,000.00 |
| Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |  |  |  |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|  | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|  | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$3,400.00 |  |  |  |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

## Attendance Goal(s)

| * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)). |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: |  |
| 1. Attendance <br> Attendance Goal \#1: | Improve daily attendance by at least 1\%. |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: |
| The average daily attendance rate for Tate High School in 2012 is $94.2 \%$ | The expected average daily attendance rate for the 2012-2013 school year is $95 \%$. |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) |
| 768 students had ten or more absences in 2012. | Tate High expects to decrease the number of students with excessive absences by $1 \%$, bringing the total to 760 students or less. |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies ( 10 or more) |
| 45 students had 10 or more tardies in 2012. | 41 or less students are expected to have ten or more tardies in the 2012-2013 school year. |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |


|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | sickness <br> transportation | encourage students to <br> attend <br> daily <br> monitor periodic late <br> students and buses | Rick Shackle <br> deans | Monitor absentee list <br> Identify students with <br> frequent absences | Daily attendance <br> rate |
| 2 | An increasing number of <br> families have <br> disconnected thier land <br> lines and have not <br> informed the school of <br> number changes. | Each student who is <br> absent will receive a <br> phone call informing the <br> parent that their child <br> was absent that day. | Cynthia Atkins | "School Messenger" is a <br> call system used to call <br> all students. The <br> software records how <br> each call was received. |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | tal: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

## Suspension Goal(s)

[^2]| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Suspension <br> Suspension Goal \#1: |  |  | During the 2010-2011 school year, Tate High School implemented an in-school suspension program and an alternative education class. Out- of- school suspensions have shown a drastic decrease as a result. Objective: The number of in-school suspensions and out-of-school suspensions will decrease by $1 \%$. |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions |  |  | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions |  |  |
| There were 316 in-school suspensions in the 2011-2012 school year. <br> 229 in-school suspensions were unduplicated. |  |  | 313(1\%) or less in- school suspensions are expected in the 2012-2013 school year. |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School |  |  | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended InSchool |  |  |
| There were 229 students who received an in-school suspension in the 2011-2012 school year. |  |  | 217 (1\%) or less in-school suspensions are expected in the 2012-2013 school year. |  |  |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions |  |  | 2013 Expected Number of Out- of-School Suspensions |  |  |
| There were 238 out- of-school suspensions in the 20112012 school year. |  |  | 236 (1\%) or less out-of- school suspensions are expected in the 2012-2013 school year. |  |  |
|  | Total Number of Stude ol | ents Suspended Out-of- | 2013 Expect of-School | d Number of Students | Suspended Out- |
| There were 167 students who received out-of-school suspension in the 2011-2012 school year. |  |  | 165 (1\%) or less students are expected to receive out-of-school suspensions in the 2012-2013 school year. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Any large incident involving large numbers of students may adversely affect the data. | Students receiving multiple discipline referrals will be referred and placed in an alternative education setting. <br> Parents will be contacted after each discipline referral of a serious nature. | Clinton Lott | Monitor students placed in alternative education. | Number of discipline referrals. <br> Data on number of suspensions both in and out of school. |
| 2 | Students who are multiple offenders. | Internal School Suspension (ISS) will be used as an alternative to out- of- school suspension. | Deans | Percent of students who are placed in ISS more than once for the same offense. | Unduplicated number of students assigned to ISS. |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

## Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$0.00 |  |  |  |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

## Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Dropout Prevention

Dropout Prevention Goal \#1:

* Please refer to the percentage of students who
dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.


## 2012 Current Dropout Rate:

Data for the 2011-2012 school year is not available at this time. The dropout rate for Tate High School for the 2009-2010 school year is $0.7 \%$.

## 2012 Current Graduation Rate:

Graduation rates for 2009-2010 for Tate High School are as follows: Florida rate $=92.7 \%$, NGA rate is $92.5 \%$

2013 Expected Dropout Rate:

2013 Expected Graduation Rate:
The dropout rate is calculated by the state of Florida and the NGA. The dropout rate continues to be low at Tate High School.

The dropout rate for 2010-2011 will remain stable at the . $7 \%$ level or improve.

The graduation rate for the 2010-2011 school year will increase to $94 \%$.

Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement

|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A larger number of students enter high school after being retained multiple times. This produces students who are over-age for their grade level and who feel disconnected from the school community. | Multiple opportunities for grade recovery will be offered to students who are behind appropriate grade level. Options include Florida Virtual School, Adult Community School programs and Compass Learning courses. Alternative school placements which are designed for credit recovery will be discussed with the student and parent/guardian. | Grade level counselors | Data on the numberof students who take advantage of credit recovery options will be collected as well as enrollment rates to alternative school placements. The percentage of students who are promoted in January will be assessed. | Documentation of number of students who participate in credit recovery programs and the number of credits earned through these options. The percentage of retained students who are promoted will be calculated. |
| 2 | Students who choose to leave us frequently come from families who have not completed high school. | Increase personal contact with the guardians of students at risk of dropping out | Grade level counselors | Record of conferences and phone calls with students at risk will be kept. | Documentation of number of parent conferences |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

Dropout Prevention Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

## Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Parent I nvolvement <br> Parent I nvolvement Goal \#1: <br> *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. |  |  | Parents are involved in academic planning for their children by particpating in the development of Individual Education Plans (IEP), Progress Monitoring Plans (PMP) for students in the lower quartile, Gifted Education Plans (GEP), and 504 Plans. The goal will be an increase in parental particapation in all planning activities and strategies for each student involved in creating these plans. <br> Parents are active in extracurricular activities such as athletics, clubs, band, and chorus. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent I nvolvement: |  |  | 2013 Expected Level of Parent I nvolvement: |  |  |
| Tate High School has approximately 400 parents involved in various booster clubs. |  |  | Membership in booster clubs will be maintained or increase in the 2012-2013 school year. |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Parents are often working multiple jobs and cannot participate regularly. | Parents are invited to monitor the booster club website and participate in meetings when able. <br> Post events on school website. <br> Use School Messenger to inform parents of school events. | Administration Athletic coaches Club sponsors Athletic Director | Monitor parental attendance . <br> School Climate Survey | Number of parents who are official members of the booster clubs. <br> Number of parents attending school events. |

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No <br> professional <br> development <br> will be <br> offered in <br> regard to |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Parent I nvolvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Curriculum Night | Consumables | Budgeted Funds | \$500.00 |
| Subtotal: \$500.00 |  |  |  |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$500.00 |  |  |  |

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. STEM

STEM Goal \#1:
Students enrollment in the Gaming and Simulation Academy, Web Design classes, IIT classes, and Digital Design classes will increase from 218 to 225 or more.

Problem-Solving Process to I ncrease Student Achievement

|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | No barriers are <br> anticipated. | Curriculum Fair <br> Technology teachers <br> advertise their courses <br> during the registration <br> process <br> Advertise within the <br> school for the district's <br> Career Academy Fair. | Administrators | Academy application <br> process <br> Academy enrollment <br> retention | Gaming and <br> Simulation <br> Academy <br> enrollment |
| Registration data |  |  |  |  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD <br> Content / Topic <br> and/ or PLC <br> Focus | Grade <br> Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator <br> and/ or PLC <br> Leader | PD Participants <br> (e.g., PLC, <br> subject, grade <br> level, or school- <br> wide) | Target Dates (e.g., <br> early release) and <br> Schedules (e.g., <br> frequency of <br> meetings) | Strategy for <br> Follow- <br> up/ Monitoring | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No <br> professional <br> development <br> will be <br> offered in <br> this area for <br> the 2012- <br> 2013 school <br> year. | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |

## STEM Budget:



End of STEM Goal(s)

## Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. CTE

CTE Goal \#1:
Tate High School will increase the number of students enrolled in the Multimedia Academy from the current enrollment of 81 students.

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or <br> Position <br> Responsible for <br> Monitoring | Process Used to <br> Determine <br> Effectiveness of <br> Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
|  | Other high schools in <br> the district offer a | Advertise the Tate High <br> School Multimedia | Multimedia <br> Academy teacher | Number of applications | Enrollment <br> numbers for |  |  |

1 | 1 |
| :--- |
|  |

| Academy through |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| various venues: | Guidance |
| District Career Academy | counselors |
| Fair |  |
| Tate High Curriculum | Administrators |
| Fair |  |
| Posters displayed |  |
| throughout registration |  |
| process for the 2014 |  |
| school year. |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| ```PD Content / Topic and/ or PLC Focus``` | Grade Level/ Subject | PD <br> Facilitator and/ or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or schoolwide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Followup/ Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No <br> professional development will be offered at Tate High School in this area during the 20122013 school year. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## CTE Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Improve Proficiency And Increase I ndustry <br> Certification/Engagement | Consumables/Materials/Labs | Budgeted Funds | \$6,300.00 |
|  |  |  | \$6,300.00 |
| Technology |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | otal: \$0.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |  |  |  |
| Grand Total: \$6,300.00 |  |  |  |

## Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

## FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ Material(s) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Reading Comprehension | Non Fiction, Text Complexity, Sequencing | Budgeted Funds | \$2,800.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | Improve Math Proficiency | HYS/Accelerated Math EOC Consumables | Budgeted Funds | \$2,800.00 |
| Science | Student Engagement | Lab Materials | District Funds | \$1,800.00 |
| Writing | NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
| U.S. History | Increase Proficiency EOC ACT/SAT | Consumables/Materials | Budgeted Funds | \$2,400.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Dropout Prevention | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Curriculum Night | Consumables | Budgeted Funds | \$500.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CTE | Improve Proficiency And Increase Industry Certification/Engagement | Consumables/Materials/Labs | Budgeted Funds | \$6,300.00 |
| Subtotal: \$16,600.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Technology |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading |  | USA Test Prep/Next Generation Read 180 | Internal Funds SAI/District Funds | \$10,500.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics |  | Projector/Docking Stations/2 Computer Labs/Slates | District/SAI/SAC | \$31,500.00 |
| Science | NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
| Writing | NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
| U.S. History |  | Projectors | AP | \$1,000.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Dropout Prevention | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CTE | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | btotal: \$43,000.00 |
| Professional Development |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading |  | CRISS/HYS Small Group Instruction (Engagement) | SAI/AP | \$6,200.00 |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics |  | CRISS/Small Group Instruction/Sequencing | SAI/Budgeted Funds | \$500.00 |
| Science |  | CRISS/Small Group Instruction | SAI | \$400.00 |
| Writing | NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
| U.S. History |  | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Dropout Prevention | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CTE | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | ubtotal: \$7,100.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |


| Reading | NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CELLA | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Mathematics | NA | NA | NA | \$0.00 |
| Science | Improve Proficiency EOC/ACT/SAT | Departmental <br> Materials/Needs Labs |  | \$2,400.00 |
| Writing | Improve Proficiency | Materials/Consumables | Budgeted Funds | \$500.00 |
| U.S. History |  | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Attendance | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Dropout Prevention | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| Parent Involvement | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| STEM | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
| CTE | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  | \$2,900.00 |
| Grand Total: \$69,600.00 |  |  |  |  |

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance
j’ Priority
jn Focus
jn Prevent
j NA

Are you a reward school: j Yes j No
A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/17/2012)

## School Advisory Council

## School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.
$\downarrow$ Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| At this time, no SAC funds are available for the 2012-13 school year. | $\$ 0.00$ |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

At Tate High School, the School Advisory Council assists with the following tasks:

1. Assists with the School Improvement Plan.
2. In the spring, assists with developing the school budget.
3. Provides input regarding the possibility of school uniforms.
4. Assists with the distribution of school recognition monies, if applicable.

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-201
Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010
SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Escambia School District
J. M. TATE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

2010-2011

|  | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade <br> Points <br> Earned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 54\% | 79\% | 86\% | 50\% | 269 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the \% scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the \% scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| \% of Students Making Learning Gains | 54\% | 79\% |  |  | 133 | 3 ways to make gains: <br> - Improve FCAT Levels <br> - Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 <br> - Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25\% in the School? | 45\% (NO) | 62\% (YES) |  |  | 107 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest $25 \%$ of students in reading and math. Yes, if $50 \%$ or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned |  |  |  |  | 519 |  |
| Percent Tested $=99 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* |  |  |  |  | B | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and \% of students tested |

Escambia School District
J. M. TATE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

2009-2010

|  | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade <br> Points <br> Earned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 52\% | 77\% | 91\% | 47\% | 267 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the \% scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the \% scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| \% of Students Making Learning Gains | 51\% | 74\% |  |  | 125 | 3 ways to make gains: <br> - Improve FCAT Levels <br> - Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 <br> - Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25\% in the School? | 40\% (NO) | 62\% (YES) |  |  | 102 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest $25 \%$ of students in reading and math. Yes, if $50 \%$ or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned |  |  |  |  | 504 |  |
| Percent Tested = 99\% |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* |  |  |  |  | B | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and \% of students tested |


[^0]:    Prior Performance Record/(include Assessment Achievement Levels,
    Learning Gains, Lowest $25 \%$ ), and AMO progress along with the

[^1]:    -MTSS I mplementation
    Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

    Baseline Data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT).

    Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Subject Area exams, FAIR, FCAT Simulation

    Midyear: FAIR, Subject Area Exams, FCAT Simulation

    End-of-Year: FAIR, FCAT, End-of-Course exams

[^2]:    * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70\% (35)).

