#### \_

# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: LINCOLN-MARTI CHARTER SCHOOLS (INTERNATIONAL

CAMPUS)

District Name: Dade

Principal: Marielys Llorente

SAC Chair: Lizzette Valentin

Superintendent: Alberto M. Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/19/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

## PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

## STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Marielys Llorente	Elementary Education (K-6), Exceptional Student Education (K- 12),ESOL Endorsement (Awaiting Masters in Educational Leadership)	1	1	'12 School Grade A High Standards- Rdg 43 High Standards- Math 50 Lrng Gains- Rdg – 83 Lrng Gains- Math 87 Gains-R-25 83 Gains-M-25 95

## INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
N/A					

## EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	1.Provide continuous support within the classrooms by providing professional developments on RtI, differentiated instruction, and classroom management.	Principal, Special Education Teacher	June 4, 2013	
2	2.Teachers will have common planning/collaboration on a regular basis.	Principal, Grade Level Chair	June 4, 2013	
3	3.Teachers will participate in ongoing professional developments	District coordinators, Principal	June 4, 2013	
4	4.Conduct teacher and parent meetings in order to plan and discuss strategies to reach student academic achievement.	Principal, Special Education teacher, Counselor, Teachers	June 4, 2013	

## Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
33% (6)	Teachers will be provided with study guides and orientations on how to register for the FTCE. In addition, professional development activities will be provided in areas determined through conducted classroom walkthroughs. Moreover, assistance on how to enroll for missing education courses in order to become highly qualified will be also granted.

## Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
19	21.1%(4)	57.9%(11)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	68.4%(13)	10.5%(2)	0.0%(0)	57.9%(11)

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
1.Laura Mijares 2.Migeily Mendez 3.Lourdez Gonzalez 4.Maria Garcia 5.Migeily Mendez 6.Maria Garcia 7.Maria Garcia 8.Tamara Valera		Same grade level.	Lesson planning,, enrichment activities, organization and classroom management strategies.

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

## Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

#### Title I, Part A

Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through after-school programs or summer school. The district coordinates with Title II and Title III in ensuring staff development needs are provided. Support services are provided to secondary students. Curriculum Coaches develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/programs; identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. They identify systematic patterns of students while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assist with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Other components that are integrated into the school-wide program include an extensive Parental Program; Supplemental Educational Services; and special support services to special needs population such as homeless, migrant, and neglected and delinquent students.

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

#### N/A

#### Title I, Part D

#### Title I. Part D

District receives funds to support the Educational Alternative Outreach program. Services are coordinated with district Dropout Prevention Programs.

#### Title II

#### Title II

The district uses supplemental funds for improving basic educational as follows:

- $\bullet$  Training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program
- Training for add-on endorsement programs such as Reading, Gifted, and ESOL
- Training and substitute release time for Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) at each school focusing on Professional learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, as well as Lesson Study Group implementation and protocols.

## Title III

#### Title III

Title III funds are used to supplement and enhance the programs for English Language learners (ELL) and immigrant students by providing funds to implement and provide:

• Reading and supplementary instructional materials

Waterford Connection and KidBiz (hardware and software) for the development of language and literacy skills in reading, mathematics, and science.

#### Title X- Homeless

- The Homeless Assistance Program seeks to ensure a successful educational experience for homeless children by collaborating with parents, schools, and the community.
- Project Upstart, Homeless Children & Youth Program assists schools with the identification, enrollment, attendance, and transportation of homeless students. All schools are eligible to receive services and will do so upon identification and classification of a student as homeless.
- The Homeless Liaison provides training for school registrars on the procedures for enrolling homeless students and for school counselors on the McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance Act-ensuring homeless children and youth are not to be stigmatized or separated, segregated, or isolated on their status as homeless-and are provided with all entitlements.
- · Project Upstart provides tutoring and counseling to twelve homeless shelters in the community.
- The District Homeless Student Liaison continues to participate in community organization meetings and task forces as it relates to homeless children and youth.

#### Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

#### Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Lincoln Marti Charter School will receive funding from the Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) allocation.

#### Violence Prevention Programs

#### Violence Prevention Programs

- The Safe and Drug Free Schools program addresses violence and drug prevention and intervention services for students through curriculum implemented by classroom teachers, elementary counselors, and TRUST specialist.
- Training for technical assistance for elementary and middle school teachers, administrators, counselors, TRUST specialist, and Safe Schools Specialist is also a component of this program.

#### **Nutrition Programs**

#### **Nutrition Programs**

- 1. Lincoln Marti Charter Schools Adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements stated in the District Wellness Policy.
- 2. Nutrition education, as per state Stature is taught through physical education.
- 3. The School Food Service, school breakfast, school lunch and aftercare snacks, follows the Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the Districts Wellness Policy.

## Housing Programs

N/A

## Head Start

#### Head Start

Head Start Programs are collocated in several Title I schools and/or communities. Joint activities including professional development and transition processes are shared. Through affiliating agreements, the summer VPK program is provided at head start sites.

#### Adult Education

N/A

#### Career and Technical Education

N/A

#### Job Training

N/A

#### Other

Involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extend an open invitation to our school's parent resource center or parent area in order to inform parents regarding available programs, their rights under No Child Left Behind and other referral services.

Increase parental engagement/involvement through developing (with on-going parental input) our school's Title I School-Parent Compact; our school's Title I Parental Involvement Plan; scheduling the Title I Annual Meeting; and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements.

Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops, Parent Academy

Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parents' schedules. This impacts our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement.

Complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly School Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913 03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118. Additionally, the M-DCPS Title I Parent/Family Survey, distributed to schools by Title I Administration, is to be completed by parents/families annually in May. The Survey's results are to be used to assist with revising our Title I parental documents for the approaching school year.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Identify the school-based RTI Leadership Team:

Principal, ESE teacher, 2nd grade Reading Teacher, 3rd grade Reading/Writing Teacher, 3rd and 4th grade Math Teacher

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures that the school based team is implementing RTI and conducts assessments of RTI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, and ensures adequate professional development to support RTI implementation.

Department Heads: Develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/programs pacing, sequencing and instructional strategies; identifies and analyzes intervention approaches, evidence based intervention strategies; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation of monitoring.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The principal will provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, ensures that the school based team is implementing RTI and conducts assessments of RTI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, and ensures adequate professional development to support RTI implementation.

Department Heads (Reading, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, Special Area): Develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/programs pacing, sequencing and instructional strategies; identifies and analyzes intervention approaches, evidence based intervention strategies; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation of monitoring.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the RTI Leadership Team meet with members of the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) and Principal to help develop the SIP. The team provides data on academic and social areas that need to be addressed; help set clear expectations for instruction, strategies for targeting specific groups of students, and pacing for the curriculum. They facilitate the development of a systemic approach to teaching and uniform effective teaching practices. The RTI Leadership Team will be providing data analysis to the EESAC during the academic year, to assist in evaluating and adjusting (when necessary) the SIP.

## MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data/FCAT 2012 Scores: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), FCAT 2012 Scores, FAIR Assessment, Edusoft, Interim Assessments, Student Behavior log, Student Case Management System, Attendance Records

Progress Monitoring & Midyear: PMRN (FAIR Assessments), Interim Assessments, Teacher-Made assessment, FCAT 2012 Simulations, Edusoft.

End of the Year: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), FCAT 2012, Finals, Student Behavior Log.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional Development will be provided during teacher's common planning times. The training will consist of a demonstration of the documents that need to be filled out by the teacher. The difference between RTI for Behavior and for Academics will be explained. The professional development will be focused on differentiated instruction, data based decision making, and intervention strategies that work.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The plan to support MTSS will include all of the following:

- -Professional developments and coaching
- -Data support
- -Program evaluation in order to ensure effective implementation of the RtI
- -Extended Learning Day
- -Daily interventions as necessary
- -Saturday Academy

## Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Marielys Llorente, Principal, Johanna Morales (ESE teacher), Tamara Valera- 5th grade teacher, Marian Cordova-3rd grade teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Principal/Administrator ensures that schools objectives, practices, and assessments are in alignment with districts requirements. Ensure faculty is taking advantage of all available professional development resources, and communicates all necessary information to parents and students.

Classroom teachers identify systematic patterns of students needs while working with school administration to identify appropriate research based interventions strategies; perform progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participate in professional development; identify opportunities for reading interventions teaching reading skills in other subject areas and provide support to faculty of other departments in teaching reading skills.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Literacy Leadership Team will have poetry contests, conduct book fair, and implement story time depending on the season or themes. Book reports will also be presented and displayed.

## Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

## \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Lincoln Marti Charter Schools will assist with providing young children with a variety of meaningful experiences, in environments that give them opportunities to create knowledge through initiatives shared with supportive adults. We will assist with the transition from early child hood programs to our school by conducting orientation meetings for parents and guardians. Policies, procedures, and curriculum are explained and discussed at this orientation. Parent-Teacher handbooks are provided to parents as a resource guide for the school year. Teachers provide an orientation at Open House and conduct

parent conferences, as needed throughout the school year. Monthly parent workshops are also offered to provide information on how parents can assist their children with the learning process and on the resources available in Miami-Dade County Public schools. Prior to entering kindergarten, the oral Language Proficiency Test is administered to determine language proficiency. FLKRS and FAIR assessments are used to determine social skills, student readiness, and reading skills. The FAIR Assessment is administered at the beginning of the year, and to monitor progress midyear and at the end of the year. Peabody and CELLA are administered at the end of the year. The results of these assessments are monitored through data analysis meetings, observations, classroom walk-though, and regular weekly benchmark assessments.

## \*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Lincoln Marti Charter Schools is providing middle school students with an enriched curriculum to prepare them for high school and college. Students are encouraged to take courses that are most challenging for their level by their teachers and administrators.

Professional development and trainings will be provided for teachers to refine and enhance their most creative teaching strategies, such as the use of visuals and manipulative, differentiated instruction to meet every students needs, the use of graphic organizers and other visual aids, CRISS strategies, and the use of a motivational reward and assertive discipline system.

Teachers will use innovative ideas and differentiated instruction to incorporate reading strategies into all the subjects.

Administrators will monitor the implementation to visit classrooms and observe teachers throughout the curriculum to insure resources are being used daily and discuss data findings.

## \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

## Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

N/A

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## Reading Goals

* Whe	n using percentages, i	nclude	the number of students the	perc	centage repre	sents	(e.g., 70% (35)).		
	on the analysis of sprovement for the following		t achievement data, and group:	refei	rence to "Gu	uiding	Questions", identify a	and d	define areas in nee
1a. For		corin	g at Achievement Level	3 ir		The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading test indicate that 179 (8) of students achieved level 3 proficiency.			
Read	Reading Goal #1a:						2012-2013 school ye my points to 25% (12)		to increase level 3
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Ехре	ected	d Level of Performan	ice:	
17%(	17%(8)								
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Bar	rier	Strategy	F	Person or Position Responsible Monitorin	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	analysis, the area of practice to expand their to		tea Lea	Administrator, RtI eam, Literacy eadership team.		Classroom observatio and coaching continu Data will be analyzed order to adjust instruction.	ium.	Formative: Mini Assessments, Interim assessments, FAII Assessment, and reports from reading plus. Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment	
2									
of imp	provement for the followida Alternate As	llowing sessn		refei	rence to "Gu	uiding	g Questions", identify a	and d	define areas in nee
2012	Current Level of P	erforr	nance:		2013 Expe	ected	d Level of Performan	ice:	
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement		
Antio	ipated Barrier	Strat	regy F	Posi Resp For	on or tion ponsible itoring	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ctiveness of Itegy	Eval	uation Tool
			No [	Data	Submitted				

of improvement for the following	nt achievement data, and r g group:	eference to "	Guiding	, Questions", identify a	and defi	ine areas in nee
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scorii Level 4 in reading.	ng at or above Achievem	The rest	The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading test indicate that 119 (5) of students achieved a Level 4 or 5 proficiency.			
Reading Goal #2a:			Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 4 and 5 by 3% points to 14%(7).			
2012 Current Level of Perfor	mance:	2013 E>	pected	d Level of Performan	ice:	
11%(5)		14%(7)	14%(7)			
Р	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase	Studer	nt Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person Position Responsible Monitor	on ole for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	F	Evaluation Tool
Based on the data analysis, the area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Reading Tes was reporting category 1- Vocabulary.	Use Project-Based learning in order to move students from guided learning to more tindependent learning.  Incorporate more enrichment activities for high-performing students through the use of Literature Circles, vocabulary development (journals), oral dialogue, and peer-student conferencing through novel based instruction.		r	The Literacy team wi monitor student prog by reviewing student journals and portfolio writing prompts, and adjust instruction wh data is indicating no progress.	ress As s' In s as As hen Pr Su	ormative: Mini ssessments, terim ssessments, FAIF ssessment, and ojects. ummative: 2013 CAT 2.0 Reading ssessment
Based on the analysis of studer of improvement for the following		eference to "	Guiding	g Questions", identify a	and defi	ine areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessi Students scoring at or above reading. Reading Goal #2b:	ment:					
2012 Current Level of Perfori	mance:	2013 Ex	pected	d Level of Performan	nce:	
Р	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase	Studer	nt Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Stra	tegy R	Person or Position Pesponsible or Monitoring	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ctiveness of Itegy	Evalua	tion Tool
	No D	ata Submitted				

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:					The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 60% (16) of students made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the amount of students achieving learning gains by 10% points to 70% (18).				
2012	2 Current Level of F	erforr'	mance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
60%(	(16)				70%(18)				
		Pr	roblem-Solving Process	toIr	ncrease Stu	uder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Bar	rier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible Monitoring	for	Process Used t Determine Effectiveness o Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	We have identified areas for growth fa Reporting Category Informational Text Research Process. Students lacked th ability to utilize crithinking strategies needed to locate, interpret and organinformation across	II in 4: and e :ical	Students will use a myriad of informational texts such as articles, brochures, and websites to identify text features.  Students will also use th reading plus software on a daily basis in order to increase their critical thinking skills.	e	ninistrator		Analyze the data an adjust instruction accordingly.	d	Formative: Mini Assessments, Interim Assessments, FAIF Assessment, and reports from Voyager Passport and reading plus. Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment
3b. F Perce read Read	ing. ling Goal #3b:	ssessr makir	nent: ng Learning Gains in		2010 5				
2012	2 Current Level of F	eriori	nance:		2013 Expe	ected	d Level of Performa	nce:	
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	toIr	ncrease Stu	uder	nt Achievement		
Anti	cipated Barrier	Strat	Fegy F	or	ion onsible	Det Effe	cess Used to ermine ectiveness of ategy	Eval	uation Tool
			No C	Data S	Submitted				
	d on the analysis of provement for the fo		t achievement data, and i	refere	ence to "Gui	iding	g Questions", identify	and c	define areas in nee
FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.						e 2012-2013 school y			
Read	ling Goal #4:				10 W G S L Z D 70	, stu	acints will acilieve led		gairis.
2012	2 Current Level of F	erforr	mance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

L						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	I	According to the 2012 administration of the FCAT, students lacked necessary skills needed to master reporting category 3: Literary Analysis.	Provide reading tutorial programs through the use of Florida Coach, BreakAway, and Ladders to Success, Crosswalk, and Reading Plus software in order to increase students' reading proficiency levels.	Administrator	teachers will meet biweekly to discuss data findings and how students' needs are being addressed. Instruction will be adjusted accordingly.	assessments, FAIF

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Reading Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Our goal from 2011-2017 is to reduce the percent of non-Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year proficient students by 50%. school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A: Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 39 44 50 55 61 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicated that 22% (12) in the Hispanic Subgroup made learning gains.

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the

Reading Goal #5B:

29%(12)

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

percentage of students in the Hispanic Subgroup making

learning gains by 23 percentage points to 52% (22).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

52%(22)

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	administration of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test, the Hispanic subgroup showed a need for improvement in Reporting Category 2: Reading Application.	through the use of reading programs such as BreakAway, Coach Crosswalk, and Ladders.		and coaching continuum. Data will be analyzed in order to adjust instruction.	Formative: Mini Assessments, Interim Assessments, FAIF Assessment, and reports from Reading Plus. Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment
2	N/A				

	on the analysis of studen or overnent for the following		eference to "Guid	ng Questions", identify and	define areas in need		
	nglish Language Learner factory progress in readi			The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicated that 14% (3) in the ELL Subgroup made learning gains.			
	ing Goal #5C:		percentage of	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the ELL Subgroup making learning gains by 9 percentage points to 23 %(5).			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expect	ed Level of Performance:			
14%(	3)		23%(5)	23%(5)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	lent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The area of deficiency as noted in the 2012 FCAT Reading Test is Reporting Category 1: Vocabulary 1.	strategies such as	Administrator	Following the FCIM model, the administrator and teachers will review assessment data weekly and adjust instruction as needed.  The administrator will review data bi-weekly and make recommendations based on needs assessment.	Formative: Mini Assessments, Interim Assessments, FAIF Assessment, and reports from Reading Plus. Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment		
2	N/A						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following subgroup:  5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D:		eference to "Guidi	ng Questions", identify and o	define areas in need			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expect	red Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A	N/A			

L

1

ī

	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5E:

Cour goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the ED Subgroup making learning gains by 17 percentage points to 48% (20).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

48%(20)

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup as noted in the 2012 FCAT Reading Test is Reporting Category 1: Vocabulary 1.	Emphasize reading strategies such as Reciprocal Teaching which help students determine the meaning of words by using context clues. Reading coach will train teachers on using this strategy throughout content areas.  Reading teachers will use concept maps to introduce and reinforce concepts such as multiple meaning of words, synonyms and antonyms, and roots and affixes derived from Greek and Latin to determine the meanings of unfamiliar words. Students will maintain word banks and vocabulary notebooks to use in their writing.	Administrator	and teachers will review assessment data weekly and adjust instruction as needed. The administrator will review data bi-weekly and make recommendations based on needs assessment.	Formative: Mini Assessments, tutorial assessments, interim assessments, FAIF Assessment, Projects and reports from Lexia Reading and reading plus. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment
2	N/A				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring
FCAT 2.0 and Item Specs	IK-6th IMrs Horente ISchool-Wide IAuc		August 17, 2012	Monitor student activity on a weekly basis	Principal	
Differentiated Instruction			August 16, 2012	Monitor student activity on a weekly basis	Principal	
Reading Plus	K-6th	Reading Plus Representative	School-Wide	August 13, 2012	Monitor student activity on a weekly basis	Principal
Search and Destroy	K-6th Grade	Mrs.Llorente	School-Wide	September 19, 2012	Monitor student activity on a weekly basis	Principal
Common Core Strategies	K-6th Grade	P.D Portal	School-Wide	October, 2012	Monitor student activity on a weekly basis	Principal

## Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	(Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Direct Instruction	Houghton Mifflin Reading	FTE	\$5,000.00
Tutoring	Reading Plus	FTE	\$5,500.00
Tutoring	Florida Coach Series	FTE	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$11,500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Coaching	Substitute coverage for teachers to attend training.	FTE	\$600.00
			Subtotal: \$600.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Enrichment	Literature books	FTE	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.00
			Grand Total: \$13,100.00

End of Reading Goa

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

The Results of the 2011-2012 administration of the CELLA indicates that 39% (42) of students achieved in Listening/Speaking.

2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:									
39%	39% (42)									
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	of the 2011-2012 CELLA Assessment, the area of greatest difficulty comprehending the	Students will be presented with a variety of strategies during small group instruction. Some of them include direct oral language, modeling, use simple, direct language, brainstorming, cooperative learning, repetition, think aloud, audio visuals, and reading plus software.	Administrator	monitor the effective use of ESOL strategies such as brainstorming, cooperative learning, and modeling. Administrator and ESOL Coordinator will use						

Stude	ents read in English at gr	ade level text in a manne	er similar to non-EL	L students.				
Students scoring proficient in reading.  CELLA Goal #2:				The Results of the 2011-2012 administration of the CELLA indicates that 14% (14) of students achieved in Reading.				
2012	2 Current Percent of Stu	udents Proficient in rea	nding:					
14%	14% (14)							
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	As noted in the results of the 2011-2012 CELLA Assessment, the area of greatest difficulty was vocabulary and lack of prior knowledge.	Students will be exposed to the following strategies: activate prior knowledge, task cards, differentiated instruction, read aloud, cooperative learning, vocabulary with context clues, interactive word walls, graphic organizers, reciprocal teaching, sustained silent reading (SSR).	Coordinator	Administrator and ESOL Coordinator will meet on a monthly basis to monitor the effective use of ESOL strategies such as brainstorming, cooperative learning, and modeling.  Administrator and ESOL Coordinator will use data collected from biweekly assessments to monitor students' progress.	Formative: Mini Assessments, District Assessments, FAIR Assessments, and reports from reading plus. Summative: 2013 CELLA Reports.			

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
Students scoring proficient in writing.  CELLA Goal #3:	The Results of the 2011-2012 administration of the CELLA indicates that 16% (17) of students achieved in Writing.						

2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:									
16%(	16%(17)									
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	As noted in the results of the 2011-2012 CELLA Assessment, an area of difficulty was basic writing skills.	The following strategies will be implemented: graphic organizers, illustrating and labeling, process writing, rubrics writing prompts, spelling strategies.	ESOL Coordinator	such as graphic organizers, process writing, and spelling strategies. Administrator and ESOL Coordinator will use	Formative: Mini Assessments, tutorial assessments, interim assessments, FAIR Assessments, and reports from reading plus. Summative: 2013 CELLA Reports.					

## CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Intervention	ESOL Interventions	FTE	\$1,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$1,000.00

## **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1a:

The result of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicates the 30% (14) of students achieved level 3 proficiency.

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 3 percentage points to 33% (16).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

30%(14)

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	1a.1 Based on our data analysis of 2011-2012 FCAT mathematics Test, third graders show a deficiency on Reporting Category 2 Number: Fractions due to a lack of knowledge in development and understanding of area, determining the area of two-three dimensional shapes, and classifying angles.	Increase opportunities for students to model equivalent representations of given numbers using manipulative.  f-Increase the use of writing in mathematics to help students communicate their understanding of difficult concepts, reinforcing skills and allowing for correction of misconceptions.	RTI team	Results of biweekly assessments will be reviewed by department/grade level chairs to ensure progress.  -Adjustments to curriculum focus will be made as needed	Formative: District Assessments and Edusoft Reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment					
2	Ta.2 Based on our data analysis of 2011-2012 FCAT mathematics Test, fourth graders show a deficiency on Reporting Category 3 Geometry and Measurement due to a lack of knowledge in development and understanding of area, determining the area of two-three dimensional shapes, and classifying angles.	with grade level appropriate opportunities that promote the use of geometric knowledge and spatial reasoning to	Principal and RTI team	Teacher observation of lessons, lesson plans, and student monitoring techniques. Meet with teachers review lesson plans student work	Formative: District Assessments and Edusoft reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment					
3	1a.3 According to the results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment the area of greatest difficulty for Grade 5 students was Reporting Category 2- Expressions, Equations, and Statistics.	numbers using manipulative.		Results of biweekly assessments will be reviewed by department/grade level chairs to ensure progress.  -Adjustments to curriculum focus will be made as needed.	Formative: Biweekly assessments and District Interim Assessments, Edusoft reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment					

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			correction of misconceptions.						
				,					
	on the analysis of sorovement for the follower		t achievement data, and g group:	refer	ence to "Gu	uiding	Questions", identify	and c	define areas in nee
	lorida Alternate Ass								
Stude	ents scoring at Leve	els 4,	5, and 6 in mathematic	CS.					
Math	ematics Goal #1b:								
2012	Current Level of Pe	erforn	nance:		2013 Ехр	ected	d Level of Performar	ice:	
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement		
				Perso	on or	Dan	cess Used to		
Antio	cipated Barrier	Strat	regy	for	ion onsible toring	Dete Effe	ermine ectiveness of ategy	Eval	uation Tool
			No I	Data	Submitted				
Based	d on the analysis of s	tuden	t achievement data, and	refer	ence to "Gu	uiding	Questions", identify a	and d	define areas in nee
of imp	provement for the foll	lowing	g group:		The results	c of t	he 2012 FCAT Mather	matic	es Tost indicate th
Level	I 4 in mathematics.		ng at or above Achiever	ment	17% (8) or goal for the and 5 stud	f stud e 201	the 2012 FCAT Mather dents achieved levels 12-2013 school year is proficiency by 2 perce	4 and s to i	d 5 proficiency. Ou ncrease levels 4
Math	ematics Goal #2a:				(9).				
2012	Current Level of Pe	erforn	mance:		2013 Expe	ected	d Level of Performar	nce:	
17%(	8)				19%(9)				
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy	R	Person o Position esponsible Monitorin	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Too
1	Based on our data analysis of 2012-207 FCAT mathematics of the Reporting Category of Geomet and Measurement sha deficiency in stude scoring a level 4 and due to a lack of knowledge in	Test ry hows ents	Providing enrichment activities and rigorous strategies for problem solving in the content area of math. Provide alternative learning methods that include manipulative and technology		ncipal and M Team	MTSS	Classroom observation and coaching continuate Data will be analyzed order to adjust instruction.	ıum.	Formative: Biweekly Assessments, District Interim Data, and Edusof reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0
	development and understanding of are determining the area two-three dimension shapes, and classify	a of nal							Mathematics Assessment.

	d on the analysis of st provement for the follo		achievement data, and group:	refer	ence to "Gu	uiding	g Questions", identify	and (	define areas in nee
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.									
Math	ematics Goal #2b:								
2012	Current Level of Pe	erform	nance:		2013 Expe	ecte	d Level of Performa	nce:	
		Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement		
Antio	cipated Barrier	Strate	egy F f	Posit Resp For	on or ion onsible toring	Det Effe	cess Used to ermine ectiveness of ategy	Eval	luation Tool
			No E	Data :	Submitted				
of im	provement for the foll	owing	achievement data, and group: cudents making learnin		The results	s of t	the 2012 FCAT Mathe	matio	cs Test indicate th
	s in mathematics. ematics Goal #3a:				2012-2013	sch	udents made learning ool year is to increase ing gains by 10 perce	the	amount of studen
2012	Current Level of Pe	erform	nance:		2013 Expe	ecte	d Level of Performa	nce:	
60%(	16)				70%(18)				
		Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible Monitorin	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Too
1	Based on our data analysis of 2012 FCA mathematics test, the reporting category on number fractions, shadeficiency in stude making learning gain to a lack of knowled Number Fractions and Geometry and Measurement.	AT he of nows ents s due ge in	Students will be provided with inquiry based lessons to provide authentic and rigorous student engagement in Number Fractions and Geometric knowledge. The focus will be on incorporating differentiated instruction into the classroom. Provide tailored instructions based on mini assessments.		ministrator		Classroom observation and coaching continuate Data will be analyzed order to adjust instruction.	um.	Formative: District Assesments, and Edusoft reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment.

of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

mathematics.

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in

Math	Mathematics Goal #3b:											
2012	2 Current	Level of Pe	rforr	mance:			2013 Exp	ectec	d Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
			Pı	roblem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Ach	nievement		
Anti	nticipated Barrier Strategy		tegy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Dete Effe	ermin	Jsed to le ness of	Eva	Evaluation Tool	
					No	Data :	Submitted				•	
					ent data, and	d refer	rence to "Gu	uiding	Ques	stions", identify	and	define areas in nee
4. FC mak	CAT 2.0: I	nt for the followersentage ing gains in Goal #4:	of st	udents in L	owest 25%					13 school year g gains in math		60% of our lowest
2012	2 Current	Level of Pe	rforr	mance:			2013 Exp	ectec	d Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
60% N<30							70% N<30					
			Pı	roblem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Ach	nievement		
	Antic	ipated Barri	er	Str	ategy		rson or Pos esponsible Monitorin	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			Evaluation Tool
1	FCAT 2.0 assessm quartile deficiend category	instruction. In addition, they will participate in activities that will help them analyze, compare, and build models that develop measurement concepts and skills. Moreover, they will analyze attributes and properties of two-and/three- dimensional		con	dministration/Math				s, ring ith le eview	Formative: Classroom Assessments, Baseline Data Assessment, FAIR Test, FCATexplorer.com Riverdeep.com, Gizmos, and Safar Summative: FCAT 2.0		
5A. A	Ambitious surable Ob	itious but Ac but Achieval bjectives (AM uce their ach	ole Ai	nnual In six year	Measurable Elementary Our go	Schoo al fr	ol Mathemat	ics Go	oal#	Reading and Ma		erformance Target nt of non-
	eline data 10-2011	2011-2012	2	2012-2013	2013-2	014	2014	1-201	5	2015-201	6	2016-2017
		27	33	<b>J</b>	40		47			53		

	on the analysis of student provement for the following		efer	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
Hispa satisi	tudent subgroups by eth anic, Asian, American I no factory progress in math ematics Goal #5B:	lian) not making		The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that 55%(23) of students in the Hispanic, subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase proficiency by one percentage point to 56% (24).				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
55%(	23)			56%(24)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy		R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	According to the results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment, the Hispanic subgroup showed a deficiency in reporting category 3: Geometry and Measurement, as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test.	Students will be provided with inquiry based lessons to provide authentic and rigorous student engagement in Number Fractions and Geometric knowledge. The focus will be on incorporating differentiated instruction into the classroom. Provide tailored instructions based on mini assessments.	Prin	ncipal	Monitor monthly student progress and the effectiveness of program by classrooms walk thoughts and assessments to ensure that hands on activities such as manipulative are being utilized. Data analysis in order to adjust instruction as necessary.	Formative: Biweekly Assessments and District Assessments, and Edusoft reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment.		
of imp	I on the analysis of student provement for the following nglish Language Learner factory progress in math	subgroup:	efer	The results of t	n Questions", identify and on the 2012 FCAT Mathematic lents in the English Langua ved proficiency. Our goal is	es Test indicate the age Learners		
Math	ematics Goal #5C:			student proficie to 49% (10).	ncy in this subgroup by 6	percentage points		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:		2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
43%(	9)			49%(10)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	According to the results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment, the ELL Subgroup showed a deficiency in reporting category 3: Geometry and Measurement, as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test.	Students will be provided with inquiry based lessons to provide authentic and rigorous student engagement in Number Fractions and Geometric knowledge. The focus will be on incorporating differentiated instruction into the classroom. Provide tailored instructions based on	Prii	ncipal	Monitor monthly student progress and the effectiveness of program by classrooms walk thoughts and assessments to ensure that hands on activities such as manipulative are being utilized. Data analysis in order to adjust instruction as necessary.	Formative: Biweekly assessments and District Interim Data reports  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment.		

		mini assessments.						
	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in nee			
	tudents with Disabilities factory progress in math		N/A					
Math	ematics Goal #5D:							
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A			N/A					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too			
1	N/A							
of imp	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following conomically Disadvantag factory progress in math ematics Goal #5E:	subgroup: ged students not making	The results of t 51% (21)of stur subgroup achie	he 2012 FCAT Mathemation dents in the English Langu ved proficiency.	cs Test indicate th age Learners			
				Our goal is to increase student proficiency in this subgroup by 4 percentage points to 55% (23).				
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
51%(	21)		55%(23)					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too			
1	According to the results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment, the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup showed a deficiency in reporting category 3: Geometry and Measurement, as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Mathematics Test.	Students will be provided with inquiry based lessons to provide authentic and rigorous student engagement in Number Fractions and Geometric knowledge. The focus will be on incorporating differentiated instruction into the classroom. Provide tailored instructions based on	Principal	Monitor monthly student progress and the effectiveness of program by classrooms walk thoughts and assessments to ensure that hands on activities such as manipulative are being utilized. Data analysis in order to adjust data as necessary.	District			

* Whe	n using percentages, inc	clude i	the number of students the	perc	entage repres	ents	(e.g., 70% (35)).		
	d on the analysis of st provement for the follo		t achievement data, and group:	refer	ence to "Gui	ding	Questions", identify	and d	define areas in ne
math	CAT2.0: Students so dematics. ematics Goal #1a:	coring	g at Achievement Level	3 in	30% of stud the 2012-20	dent 013	ne 2012 FCAT Mathems achieved level 3 proschool year is to incress percentage points to	oficie ease	ncy. Our goal for level 3 student
2012	Current Level of Pe	rform	nance:		2013 Expe	ctec	d Level of Performar	nce:	
30%(	14)				33%(16)				
		Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	ıder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barri	ier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible to Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness o Strategy		Evaluation Too
1	According to the res of the 2012 FCAT 2.1 Mathematics assess the area of greatest difficulty for Grade 6 students was Report Category 3: Geometriand Measurement	0 ment, iing ry	Provide students with models, both digital and tangible, to enable them to visualize, draw, and measure cross-sections of a range of geometric solids.  Develop guidelines for students to use writing and journaling to identify learned concepts and to eliminate misconceptions	/	ncipal		Following the FCIM, during department meetings results of biweekly assessment be reviewed by teach to ensure progress a adjust curriculum for as needed.  District Interim Data reports will be review by EESAC at monthly meetings and adjustments to strat made as needed.	hers nd cus ved	Formative: District Assessment and Edusoft reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment
of imp	orovement for the follo	owing sessm			ence to "Gui	ding	Questions", identify	and o	define areas in neo
	ematics Goal #1b:	15 4,	o, and o in mathematic	,3.					
2012	Current Level of Pe	rform	nance:		2013 Expe	ctec	d Level of Performar	nce:	
		Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	ıder	nt Achievement		
Antio	cipated Barrier S	Strate	egy F	Posit Resp For	onsible	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ctiveness of itegy	Eval	uation Tool
			No E	Data :	Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	evel 4 in mathematics. Nathematics Goal #2a:				17% (8) of students achieved levels 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase levels 4 and 5 student proficiency by 2 percentage points to 19% (2)					
2012	Current Level of P	erforr	nanco.		(9).	actec	d Level of Performar	nce.		
2012	Current Level of P	errorr	папсе.		2013 Expe	ectec	Level of Periorillar	ice.		
17%(	(8)				19%(9)					
		Pr	oblem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier		er Strategy		Person or Position Pesponsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
1			s Te	Administration and Teachers		Review of mini benchmark exams and interim assessments to adjust instruct as needed.		Formative: District Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT results		
of important of im	provement for the for lorida Alternate As	llowing ssessn			rence to "Gu	iding	Questions", identify a	and c	define areas in nee	
2012	? Current Level of P	erforr	nance:		2013 Expe	ectec	d Level of Performar	nce:		
		Pr	oblem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement			
Antio	cipated Barrier	Strat	egy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Dete Effe	ocess Used to etermine fectiveness of rategy		aluation Tool	
			No	Data	Submitted					
	d on the analysis of provement for the fo		t achievement data, and group:	l refer	rence to "Gu	iding	Questions", identify	and c	define areas in nee	
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a:			The result of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicates that 60% (16) of students achieved level 3 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency b 10 percentage points to 70% (18).							
2012	2 Current Level of P	erforr	nance:				d Level of Performar	nce:		
60%(	(16)				70%(18)					
		Pr	oblem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	uder	nt Achievement			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	According to the results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment, the area of greatest difficulty for Grade 6 students was Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement.	models, both digital and tangible, to enable them	Principal	biweekly assessment will be reviewed by teachers to ensure progress and adjust curriculum focus as needed.	Formative: District Assessments and Edusoft reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate the making learning gains in mathematics. 60% of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 is to increase in the lowest 25% Mathematics Goal #4: achieving learning gains by 10% points to 70%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 60% N<30 70% N<30 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Strategy Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of

Monitoring

Strategy

1	Mathematics assessment, the area of greatest difficulty for Grade 6	models, both digital and		during department meetings results of biweekly assessment will be reviewed by teachers to ensure progress and adjust curriculum focus as needed.	Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics assessment
---	---	--------------------------	--	--	--

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Middle School Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Our goal from 2011-2017 is to reduce the percent of non-Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year proficient students by 50%. school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A: Baseline data 2011-2012 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2012-2013 2016-2017 2010-2011 27 40 47 53 33 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate that Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making 55% (23) of students in the Hispanic, subgroup achieved satisfactory progress in mathematics. proficiency. Our goal is to increase proficiency by one percentage point to 56%(24). Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 55%(23) 56%(24) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Data will be analyzed and Formative: The area of deficiency as Provide students with a Administrator. noted on the 2012 variety of activities that adjustments to District curriculum focus and/or administration of the require using Assessments and FCAT for Hispanics was measurement tools such strategies will be made Edusoft reports. Reporting Category 3: as centimeter ruler, inch as needed. Geometry and ruler, yard stick, and Summative: Measurement. measuring tape as well as Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 modeling measuring using non-standard units such Mathematics as paper clips, pencils, Assessment. shoes, and piece of string; and present students with opportunities to investigate the accuracy of their measurements. The students will use the FCAT Explorer and FCAT Achieve softwares in

		order to address the areas of deficiency.						
-								
	I on the analysis of studer provement for the follow	dent achievement data, and ving subgroup:	refer	ence to "Gui	ding	Questions", identify a	and c	define areas in nee
	nglish Language Lear factory progress in m	ners (ELL) not making athematics.		The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate th 43% (9) of students in the English Language Learners subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase				
Math	ematics Goal #5C:			student prof to 49% (10)		ncy in this subgroup b	у 6	percentage points
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
43%(	9)			49%(10)				
		Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stu	der	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrie	r Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible f Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT for the ELL subgroup was Reportin Category 3: Geometry and Measurement.		t n as g n	ministrator		Data will be analyzed a monthly basis and adjustments to curriculum focus will I made as needed.		Formative: District Assesments, Bi- Weekly Assessments, and Edusoft reports.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment
	I on the analysis of stud provement for the follow	dent achievement data, and ving subgroup:	refer	ence to "Guid	ding	Questions", identify a	and d	define areas in nee
	tudents with Disabilit factory progress in m	ies (SWD) not making athematics.						
Math	ematics Goal #5D:							
2012	Current Level of Perfe	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stu	der	nt Achievement		
Antic	cipated Barrier St	rategy	Posit Resp for	onsible	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ctiveness of tegy	Eval	uation Tool
	,	<u>'</u>		Submitted		,		

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
satis	conomically Disadvantaç factory progress in math ematics Goal #5E:	ged students not making nematics.	51%(21) of stu subgroup achie	The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate th 51%(21) of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase student proficiency in this subgroup by 4 percentage points to 55%(23).			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
51%(	21)		55%(23)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT for the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup was Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement.	Provide students with a variety of activities that require using measurement tools such as centimeter ruler, inch ruler, yard stick, and measuring tape as well as modeling measuring using non-standard units such as paper clips, pencils, shoes, and piece of string; and present students with opportunities to investigate the accuracy of their measurements.		Ongoing classroom assessment focusing on activities that include the selection of appropriate units, strategies, and tools to solve problems involving measurement.	Formative: District Assessments and Edusoft reports. Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment.		
Algek	ora End-of-Course (E	EOC) Goals		End of Middle S	ichool Mathematics Goz		
* Whe	n using percentages, include	the number of students the p	percentage represents	(e.g., 70% (35)).			
	on the analysis of studen or overment for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
1. Stu	udents scoring at Achiev	ement Level 3 in Algebra	a.				

Algebra Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of

> Monitoring No Data Submitted

Strategy

Based on the analysis of improvement for the		ment data, and r	reference to "	Guiding Ques	stions", identify	and define areas in need			
2. Students scoring and 5 in Algebra.	at or above Achie	vement Levels	4						
Algebra Goal #2:									
2012 Current Level o	of Performance:		2013 E>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	Problem-S	olving Process	to Increase	Student Ach	nievement				
Anticipated Barrier	P R fc	Person or Position Responsible or Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool				
	,	No D	ata Submitted						
Based on Ambitious but 3A. Ambitious but Ach Measurable Objectives school will reduce their	ievable Annual (AMOs). In six ye	Algebra Goal #		Os), AMO-2,	Reading and Ma	ath Performance Target			
Baseline data 2011-	2012 2012-201	3A : 2013-201	14 20	14-2015	2015-2010	6 2016-2017			
2010-2011	2012 2012 201	2010 201			2010 2011	2010 2017			
			reference to "	Guiding Ques	stions", identify	and define areas in need			
of improvement for the 3B. Student subgrou- Hispanic, Asian, Ame satisfactory progres Algebra Goal #3B:	ips by ethnicity (Verican Indian) no	Vhite, Black,							
2012 Current Level o	of Performance:		2013 E>	pected Leve	el of Performa	nce:			
	Problem-S	olving Process	to Increase	Student Ach	nievement				
Anticipated Barrier Strategy		P R fc	Person or Position Responsible or Monitoring	Process l Determin Effective Strategy	ne ness of	Evaluation Tool			
	<u> </u>		ata Submitted			1			

ĮL

and refer	rence to "Gi	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in nee				
	2013 Expected Level of Performance:						
ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement					
Posit Resp for	tion oonsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
No Data	Submitted						
and refer	rence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in nee				
	2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforn	nance:				
ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement					
Posit Resp for	tion onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
No Data	Submitted						
and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in nee				
aking							
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement					
	Pers Positi Resp for Moni No Data  Pers Positi Resp for Moni No Data  Pers Positi Resp for Moni No Data	2013 Exp  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  2013 Exp  2013 Exp  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  and reference to "Gi  aking  2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Perform  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  2013 Expected Level of Perform  and reference to "Guiding Questions", ident  2013 Expected Level of Perform  2013 Expected Level of Perform  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  2013 Expected Level of Perform  2013 Expected Level of Perform  2013 Expected Level of Perform  2014 Expected Level of Perform  2015 Expected Level of Perform  2016 Expected Level of Perform  2017 Expected Level of Perform  2018 Expected Level of Perform  2019 Expected Level of Perform				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

End of Algebra EOC Goa

## Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^ when using percentages	s, include the number of stude	nts the	percentage i	represents (e.g., 10% (35)	)).
	of student achievement data t for the following group:	a, and r	reference to	o "Guiding Questions", ic	dentify and define areas
1. Students scoring a Geometry.	t Achievement Level 3 in				
Geometry Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforn	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to l	ncrease S	student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	II)etermine		Evaluation Tool
	N	√lo Data	Submitted		
	of student achievement data t for the following group:	a, and r	reference to	o "Guiding Questions", ic	dentify and define areas
2. Students scoring a 4 and 5 in Geometry.	t or above Achievement l	Levels			
Geometry Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	∍ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	son or ition ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	<u></u>	No Data	Submitted		

Based on Ambition Target	ıs but	Achievable	e Annual Measura	ble Ob	jectives (A	MOs),	AMO-2, Reading a	and Math Performance
Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea	3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		Geometry Goal #	:				<u> </u>
Baseline data 2011-2012	201	12-2013	2013-2014		2014-20	15	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on the anal				and r	reference to	o "Guid	ing Questions", ic	lentify and define areas
3B. Student subg Hispanic, Asian, satisfactory prog	Ameri gress	can India	n) not making	ck,				
Geometry Goal #	38:							
2012 Current Lev	vel of	Performa	nce:		2013 Exp	ected	Level of Perforn	nance:
		Problem	n-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent	t Achievement	
Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy		Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	ble Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
			No	Data	Submitted			
Based on the anal in need of improve				and r	eference to	o "Guid	ing Questions", ic	lentify and define areas
3C. English Lang satisfactory prog	_			g				
Geometry Goal #	43C:							
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Problem	n-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent	t Achievement	
Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy	Posit Resp for		on or tion oonsible itoring	Deter	iveness of	Evaluation Tool
			No	Data	Submitted	-		

in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfo	rmance:
	Problem-Solvino	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp	son or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis on the in need of improvement			reference t	o "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define areas	
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3E:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving F	Process to	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Res for	son or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Florida- Achieves and FCAT Explorer	3rd-6th Grade	Mrs.Llorente	All K-6th grade teachers	August 15th, 2012	Monitor student progress on programs.	Principal
Manipulative					Monitor student data	

in the Classroom	K-6th Grade	Mrs.Llorente	All K-6th grade teachers	August 17, 2012	to determine the impact of this	Principal
PD					strategy.	

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program	n(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Intruction	Go Math! Florida 2011	FTE	\$1,000.00
Tutoring	Florida Coach Series	FTE	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$2,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Coaching	Substitute coverage for teachers to attend training.	FTE	\$600.00
			Subtotal: \$600.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Intervention	V.Math	FTE	\$1,200.00
			Subtotal: \$1,200.00
			Grand Total: \$3,800.00

End of Mathematics Goals

## Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stud s in need of improvemen			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define		
	CAT2.0: Students scorel 3 in science.	ring at Achievement	indicate that (	The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment indicate that 0%(0) of 5th Grade students achieved proficiency (FCAT Level 3)			
Scie	nce Goal #1a:		to increase 5t	The goal for the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment is to increase 5th Grade students achieving proficiency (FCAT Level 3) by 10 percentage points to 10%(9).			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:		
0%(0	))		10%(9)	10%(9)			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		Support teachers with professional development supporting inquiry based science lab	Principal	Lab. Classroom observations and walkthroughs.	Formative: Science Lab Anecdotal data, Interim		

1	to the lack of exposure in instructional strategies and activities that are linked to increased inquiry based in learning in Earth and Space Science	investigations and student journaling.  A. Train teachers in creating an inquiry based classroom by having students make predictions, test predictions, analyze data, and design experiments to test predictions.  B. Expose students to different experiments/ observations related to daily life and infuse different manipulative to develop science processing skills.  Expose students to journal writing and science notebooks as a means of recording science investigations.	reports.  Data analysis in order to adjust instruction and/or strategies as necessary.	Assessments, Edusoft reports. Summative: FCAT 2.0 Science Exam
---	--	--	--	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:	The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment indicate that 0% (0) of 5th Grade students achieved above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 & 5)  The goal for the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment is to increase 5th Grade students achieving above			
	proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 & 5) by 10 percentage points to 10%(9).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
0%(0)	10%(9)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

			Person or	Process Used to	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The area of deficiency would be Earth and Space due to the lack of exposure in instructional strategies and activities that are linked to increased inquiry based in learning in Earth and Space Science.	professional development supporting inquiry based science lab		Use of the Science Lab. Classroom observations and walkthroughs. Evidence of lab reports.  Data analysis will be used to adjust instruction and/or strategies as necessary.	Formative: Science Lab Anecdotal data, Interim Assessments, Edusoft reports. Summative: FCAT 2.0 Science Exam

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
FCAT 2.0 Science Test Item Specification PD	K-6th Grade	Mrs. Llorente	K-6th Teachers		Monitor teacher/ student participation on a weekly basis.	Principal
Inquiry Based Science Lab investigations PD	K-6th Grade	Mrs. Llorente	K-6th Teachers		Monitor teacher/ student participation on a weekly basis.	Principal

#### Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
	<u> </u>		Amount
Inquiry based and Differentiated Instruction	Scott Foresman Science 2011 Holt McDougal Science Fusion	FTE	\$1,000.00
Intervention	Coach Series	FTE	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$2,000.0
echnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Coaching	Substitute coverage for teachers to attend training.	FTE	\$600.00
			Subtotal: \$600.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Tutoring	Printable materials for teachers and students	FTE	\$400.00
			Subtotal: \$400.0
			Grand Total: \$3,000.0

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd re	eference to "Gu	ilding Questions", identify	y and define areas
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1a:			evel t	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Writing Test indicates that 88% (14) of students achieved levels 3-6 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 4-6 student proficiency by 1 percentage point to 89% (14).		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	):
88%(14)				89%(14)		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to I n	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	The areas of anticipated deficiency would be informational text and essays that contain at least 3 paragraphs that include a topic sentence, supporting details, and relevant information.	Implement the use of an Instructional Focus Calendar in writing across all grade levels. Also, implementing the use of graphic organizers with logical sequencing, a beginning, middle, end, and use supporting details to develop focus and elaboration.	tear Lead	icipal, RTI m, Literacy dership Team	Data will be analyzed in order to adjust instruction and/or strategies as necessary.  Classroom walkthroughs in order to monitor correct implementation of strategies.	determined by comparing pre- tests prompts and mid-year prompts.
	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd re	eference to "Gu	liding Questions", identify	y and define areas
1b. F	lorida Alternate Assess	sment: Students scorin	ng			

	sed on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.						
Writing Goal #1b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfo	ormance:	
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing Across the Curriculum	K-6th Grade	Mrs.Llorente	K-6th Teachers	August 16, 2012	In house monthly prompt and District Interim Assessment.	Principal
Four Square Graphic Organizer	K-6th Grade	Mrs.Llorente	K-6th Teachers	August 14, 2012	In house monthly prompt and District Interim Assessment.	Principal

#### Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Direct Instruction	Houghton Mifflin Write Source Florida Coach Gold Edition	FTE	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Coaching	Substitute coverage for teachers to attend professional development.	FTE	\$600.00
			Subtotal: \$600.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Tutoring	Printable materials for students.	FTE	\$400.00
			Subtotal: \$400.0
			Grand Total: \$2,000.00

End of Writing Goals

# Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.					
3					
Civics Goal #1:					
2012 Command Lovel of Danfannana	2012 Functional and of Donforman				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

	f student achievement data for the following group:	a, and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions",	identify and define areas
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.					
Civics Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	N	lo Data :	Submitted		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00		

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of attemprovement:	manice data, and referen	Too to Guiding Qui	estions , identity and de	mic dicas ili need		
Attendance     Attendance Goal #1:				Our goal for this school year is to increase attendance from 92.6% (193)by one percentage points to 93.6%. (195).%.			
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:			
92.6(	(193)		93.6(195)				
	2 Current Number of Stu ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students or more)	with Excessive		
102			97	97			
	2 Current Number of Stuies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
34			32	32			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	As noted in the attendance data for the 2011-2012 school year, unexcused absences and illness were high.	Maintain a clean environment throughout the school. Teach and emulate healthy choices and prevention strategies. Inform parents of the importance of bringing their child to school	Principal	Administrator will monitor attendance rosters and tardiness daily.	Attendance roster, COGNOS and Quarterly Reports.		

consistently.		
Offer the Early Bird program in order to encourage parents to bring students to school before school hours.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Incentives	Awards	FTE	\$200.00
			Subtotal: \$200.00
			Grand Total: \$200.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Susp	ension Goal #1:		Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to decrease the number of suspensions from 6 to 5.					
2012	Total Number of In-Sc	hool Suspensions	2013 Expecte	d Number of In-School	Suspensions			
1			1					
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	ool	2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-		
1			1					
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions		2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions				
7	7				6			
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended Out-of	-	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School				
6				5				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Parents and students are unfamiliar with the School Code of Conduct and unaware of the reasons for child's suspensions.	In class behavior management plans, parents and students will be informed about the Code of Conduct and must sign a Code of Conduct contract	Prii	ncipal	Monitor Parent contact log and parent sign-in sheet for evidences of communication with parents of students who have been suspended.	Parent sign-in sheet/parent contact log.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
The Student Code of Conduct	K-6th	Administrator	School Wide		Monitor SPOT success monthly report	Leadership Team

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount			

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Communication with parents	Printable materials to provide to parents	FTE	\$200.00
			Subtotal: \$200.00
			Grand Total: \$200.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

# Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of parered of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	d ref	erence to "Guid	ding Questions", identify	and define areas
1. Pa	rent Involvement					
Parei	nt I nvolvement Goal #1	1:			Il for the 2012-2013 schercentage of parental inv	
partio	ise refer to the percentagicipated in school activitie plicated.			school-wide ac		olvernent in
2012	Current Level of Paren	it Involvement:		2013 Expecte	d Level of Parent I nvo	Ivement:
40%			!	50%		
	Prok	olem-Solving Process t	toIr	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of transportation makes it difficult for parents to attend school functions/meetings.	We will offer incentives for the parents and offer meetings at different times during the day.	Lea	dership team	Attendance Sign In Sheets	Attendance Sign in Sheets
2	learning.  Meeting schedules could negatively impact parental involvement in	Our school will provide flexible hours to hold meetings with parents taking into account their different work schedules.  Meetings will be held in the mornings, afternoons, and evenings for the parents' convenience.		ninistration Reading ach	Review of parent sign- in sheets.	Sign-In sheets

	Workshops focusing on District and State mandated tests where data will be explained		
	will be offered.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

#### Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	arri(3)/ Material(3)		Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

The students in grades K-5th will use the scientific process through the inquiry based approach to develop

STEM	1 Goal #1:		Science projects on going throughout the year. In addition, the students will also participate in the School's Science Fair.					
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Lack of hands-on opportunities for students to enrich critical thinking skills, problem solving strategies, interactive technology involvement, and technology literacy for core subjects.	Students will be provided with hand-on lessons and/or activities that continuously engage them in problem solving activities.	Principal	Review of lesson plans to ensure that STEM practices are being implemented.	Submission of Science Fair Projects. Classroom walkthrough observations.			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
STEM Practices infused in daily lessons.	K-6th Grade	Principal	Schoolwide	October 2012	Monitor consistency of STEM practices in daily lessons.	Principal

#### STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

## Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. CTE	1. CTE						
CTE Goal #1:							
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Person or Position Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsi for Monitorir				Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted							

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitted	d		

#### CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

### FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Pro	ogram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Direct Instruction	Houghton Mifflin Reading	FTE	\$5,000.00
Reading	Tutoring	Reading Plus	FTE	\$5,500.00
Reading	Tutoring	Florida Coach Series	FTE	\$1,000.00
CELLA	Intervention	ESOL Interventions	FTE	\$1,000.00
Mathematics	Intruction	Go Math! Florida 2011	FTE	\$1,000.00
Mathematics	Tutoring	Florida Coach Series	FTE	\$1,000.00
Science	Inquiry based and Differentiated Instruction	Scott Foresman Science 2011 Holt McDougal Science Fusion	FTE	\$1,000.00
Science	Intervention	Coach Series	FTE	\$1,000.00
Writing	Direct Instruction	Houghton Mifflin Write Source Florida Coach Gold Edition	FTE	\$1,000.00
				Subtotal: \$17,500.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Develo	opment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Coaching	Substitute coverage for teachers to attend training.	FTE	\$600.00
Mathematics	Coaching	Substitute coverage for teachers to attend training.	FTE	\$600.00
Science	Coaching	Substitute coverage for teachers to attend training.	FTE	\$600.00
Writing	Coaching	Substitute coverage for teachers to attend professional development.	FTE	\$600.00
				Subtotal: \$2,400.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Enrichment	Literature books	FTE	\$1,000.00
Mathematics	Intervention	V.Math	FTE	\$1,200.00
Science	Tutoring	Printable materials for teachers and students	FTE	\$400.00
Writing	Tutoring	Printable materials for students.	FTE	\$400.00
Attendance	Incentives	Awards	FTE	\$200.00
Suspension	Communication with parents	Printable materials to provide to parents	FTE	\$200.00
				Subtotal: \$3,400.00
				Grand Total: \$23,300.00

# Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

	jn Priority	jn Focus	j∩ Prevent	<b>j</b> ∩ NA	
--	-------------	----------	------------	---------------	--

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/12/2012)

# School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Reading Intervention Resources / Florida Coach Series. Library books.	\$1,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

- 1. Monitor the implementation of the SIP.
- 2. Implement FCAT/Data chats for parents and students
- 3. Business and community partnerships

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

## SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found