FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: DELTONA LAKES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Volusia

Principal: Judith Rivera

SAC Chair: Hope Noga

Superintendent: Dr. Margaret Smith

Date of School Board Approval: Pending School Board Action on

December 11, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/19/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Judith Rivera	Masters Degree English and Spanish Specialist Degree Educational Leadership Certifications Principal K-12 Spanish K-12 ESOL	8	12	2012-A School, 58%R/55%M; 68%R/73% M; 63%R/66%M 2011-C School, AYP 74%, 77%R/77%M; 59%R/53%M; 49%R/46%M 2010-B School, AYP 87% (81%R/75%M; 65%R/53%M; 57%R/51%)M* 2009-A School, AYP (79%R/77%M; 70% R/57%M; 66%R/61%)M* 2008-A School, AYP (81%R/81%M; 72% R/73%M; 64%R/79%M)* 2007-A School, AYP (85%R/68%M; 77% R/62%M; 68%R/58%M)* 2006-B School, AYP (80%R/70%M; 59% R/65%M; 51%R)* *Proficient reading/math; learning gains r/m; lowest 25% r/m)
		Bachelor's in Elem. Ed. Masters in Elem.			2012-A School, 58%R/55%M; 68%R/73% M; 63%R/66%M 2011-C School, AYP 74%, 77%R/77%M; 59%R/53%M; 49%R/46%M 2010-B School, AYP 87% (81%R/75%M; 65%R/53%M; 57%R/51%)M*

Assis Principal	Mae Thomson	Ed. Specialists in Leadership Curriculum Certifications ESOL	8	12	2009-A School, AYP (79%R/77%M; 70R/57%M; 66%R/61%)M* 2008-A School, AYP (81%R/81%M; 72% R/73%M; 64%R/79%M)* 2007-A School, AYP (85%R/68%M; 77% R/62%M; 68%R/58%M)* 2006-B School, AYP (80%R/70%M; 59% R/65%M; 51%R)*	
					*Proficient reading/math; learning gains r/m; lowest 25% r/m)	

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Academic Coach	Kerrie Brown	Bachelors in Elem. Ed.	16	7	2012-A School, 58%R/55%M; 68%R/73%M; 63%R/66%M 2011-C School, AYP 74%, 77%R/77%M; 59%R/53%M; 49%R/46%M 2010-B School, AYP 87% (81%R/75%M; 65%R/53%M; 57%R/51%)M* 2009-A School, AYP (79%R/77%M; 70%R/57%M; 66%R/61%)M* 2008-A School, AYP (81%R/81%M; 72%R/73%M; 64%R/79%M)* 2007-A School, AYP (85%R/68%M; 77%R/62%M; 68%R/58%M)* 2006-B School, AYP (80%R/70%M; 59%R/65%M; 51%R)* **Proficient reading/math; learning gains r/m; lowest 25% r/m)

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Staff Development for first year teachers	Academic Coach	May 2013	
2	Provide mentors for second year teachers and teachers new to our school.	Administration	May 2013	
3	Provide opportunities for classroom visitations	Administration	May 2013	
4	Provide access to other schools classrooms to observe teachers	Administration	May 2013	
5	Professional Development	Administration	May 2013	
6	PLC Activities	Administration	May 2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Ι.	Number of staff and baraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
6		Providing ESOL Modules for completion

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
64	3.1%(2)	14.1%(9)	48.4%(31)	34.4%(22)	35.9%(23)	98.4%(63)	20.3%(13)	1.6%(1)	42.2%(27)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
Academic Coach PAR Teacher	Teresa Iamesi	well as a	Coaching, observations, collaborative lesson planning, Empowering Educator Excellence Program (E3)

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Under the Title I Part A our school works with outside agencies that provide specific services to targeted children and their families. These organizations team with our school to provide specific services to students, parents, and staff, including all special needs groups. It is the expectation of those involved in these partnerships that the activities and services will benefit the students by providing the children served with the support, tools, and materials they need to be ready to learn as they move down the appropriate path to graduation.

Programs supported by Title I at Deltona Lakes Elementary include:

- * Academic Coach for the purpose of comprehensive staff development
- *Intervention Teacher for the purpose of providing intervention for students in need via a push-in model
- *Family Center Para-professional who facilitates our extensive parent involvement program
- *Technology Sys-op person to help facilitate technology
- *Supplemental Tutoring before and after school
- *Supplemental materials and supplies needed to close the achievement gap
- *Supplemental funds for on-going staff development as determined by the results of FCAT data
- *Parent to Kids workshops to teach literacy skills to parents so they can help their children to become better readers

Title I, Part C- Migrant

The District Migrant Education Program Coordinator, Migrant Advocates and Migrant Recruiters work together to provide services and support to the migrant students and their parents. The MEP coordinator works with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met. The Migrant Education Program provides the following:

- *Academic Assistance through credit accrual/recovery, tutoring and summer school
- *Translation Services for parent/teacher conferences

- *Parental support through parent/kid activity nights and workshops on school success
- *Migrant Parent Advisory Council (MPAC)
- *Medical Assistance through referrals to outside community agencies
- *Food Assistance through referrals to food assistance programs

Title I, Part D

The district receives funds to support the N & D programs to accelerate the rate of students' achievement and close the achievement gaps for students in these programs. Services are coordinated with district DJJ and Neglected programs. Students are transitioned from DJJ centers back into the district schools with a transition plan to ensure academic and social success.

Title II

The district provides ongoing Professional Development in the core subject areas to ensure quality instruction and student success.

Title III

The District ESOL Coordinator and staff provide ongoing support and Professional Development to teachers to ensure instructional best practices are utilized. Teachers consistently progress monitor the ELL students to identify specific needs, target interventions/enrichments to ensure the appropriate pathway toward graduation.

Title X- Homeless

The school works closely with Pam Woods, Title I Coordinator, to ensure that the homeless students have the materials and resources they need to be successful.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

The district provides remedial and supplemental instructional resources to students who fail to meet performance levels.

Violence Prevention Programs

The school offers the following non-violence and anti-drug programs:

- *Student mentoring program
- *Peer Mediation program
- *Bullying Assemblies

Nutrition Programs

Deltona Lakes Elementary offers a variety of nutrition programs including:

- *Free and Reduced Meal Plan
- *Wellness Policy School Plan
- *Nutrition and Wellness classes
- *Health classes
- *Personal Fitness classes
- *Girls on the Run program

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

The District, in conjunction with the Head Start agency serving the community, coordinates efforts to promote continuity of services and effective transitions for children and their families. These include:

- *Providing the opportunity for on going channels of communication with Head Start to facilitate coordination of programs and for shared expectations for children's learning and development as the children transition to elementary school.
- *Assisting in the development of a systematic procedure for transferring, with parental consent, Head Start program records, for each participating child to the school in which such child will enroll.
- *Collaborating and participating in joint Professional Development, including transition-related training for school staff and Head Start staff when feasible.
- *Coordinating the services being provided by Head Start with services in elementary schools.
- *Providing to the Head Start agency local public school policies, kindergarten registration and other relevant information to ease the transition of children and families from Head Start.

Adult Education

N/A

N/A

Job Training

Deltona Lakes Elementary offers students career awareness opportunities through Jr. Achievement programs, job shadowing opportunities, guest speakers from business and industry, vehicle day from area industries and field trips to business and industry locations.

Other

N/A

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making by promoting the Volusia Proficiency Model. Ensures that educators are implementing the district's Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) accessible through the K-12 curriculum link of the webpage and the VCS Problem Solving/RtI model (i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of Problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention) for those students who do not respond effectively to core instruction. For those students who do not respond positively to interventions beyond core, ensures that the school's Problem Solving Team (PST) is accessed as needed. Ensures adequate professional development is scheduled for faculty. School Psychologist will provide/facilitate training on skill building and understanding of the components of PS/RtI. Supports the school's team in the completion of resource mapping (academic and behavioral) with focus on standard protocol interventions in order to enhance implementation of PS/RtI. Communicates with parents through school newsletters, relevant meetings, and the sharing of the parent link of the VCS Problem Solving/RtI website (under Psychological Services) in order to address the purpose of PS/RtI in meeting students needs and to address frequently asked parental questions. In addition, parents are provided information about PS/RtI at PST meetings.

School Psychologist: Assists schools in interpreting individual, class-wide, grade-level and school-wide data in order to develop appropriate targeted interventions linked to the academic or emotional/behavioral problem. Ensures that on-going progress monitoring is in place in the area of intervention to most appropriately determine the student's response to intervention. Provides professional development to staff on PS/RtI.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary & Intermediate): Provide information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, deliver Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate Tier I materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers, including Speech/Language: Participate in student data collection, integrate core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborate with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching. Encompass Problem Solving/RtI practices when addressing the needs of ESE students with a focus on potential reintegration into General Education based on data.

Guidance Counselor and Social Worker: Provides assistance with students and parents during the PST process.

Academic Coach: Develops, leads and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at-risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Intervention Teacher: The school's intervention teacher provides daily instruction to students who are in the lowest 25% in grades 3, 4 and 5.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school based MTSS leadership team identifies school based resources (both materials and personnel) to determine the continuum of academic and behavioral supports available to students at the individual school site. Academic and behavioral data are considered in order to determine priorities and functions of other existing teams (e.g., Problem Solving Teams, Behavior Leadership Teams, and Professional Learning Communities). The Problem Solving process (i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of Problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention) is used as the way of work of all teams and not just for individual student concerns. Adherence to the Problem Solving process ensures that individual,

class-wide, and school-wide issues are addressed systematically with data; that interventions (supports) are tiered to the targeted problems; and that a plan is in place to monitor progress. The school-based MTSS leadership team meets regularly throughout the school year in order to address the academic and behavioral needs that develop throughout the year, as well as to monitor outcomes of supports and interventions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The school improvement plan is data driven and focuses on areas of school- based need for both specific content areas as well as specific student populations. Similarly, MTSS is a data-driven framework that seeks to find solutions/resources matched in intensity to student need in academic and behavioral areas. The MTSS framework follows the district's four-step problem solving process, with RtI as an integral component of the process. As a result, the school improvement plan is based on a strategic analysis of data, and identified resources (as identified by the MTSS school based leadership team) are matched to the needs of the students/schools. Building the SIP within the context of MTSS results in the school determining the areas of most significant need and, as importantly, enables the school to develop a plan that can be addressed based on existing resources.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Pinnacle Gradebook provides evidence of performance in core instruction across content areas. In addition, information gleaned from FAIR assessments, DRAs, OPM probes, interim assessments and FCAT provide valuable information regarding reading performance for both individuals and groups of students. Interim assessments and FCAT also provide critical information regarding student performance in the areas of mathematics, science, and writing. Pinnacle Insight reports provide further information regarding performance by both individual and groups of students (disaggregated by specific groups) in order to inform instruction and intervention. Behavioral expectations are communicated by the school to all students and parents. Those students who do not obtain proficiency in behavioral expectations are provided supports and interventions matched to student need. Office discipline data are maintained and monitored by the school site. Tier 2 and tier 3 supports/interventions and the response to these interventions are entered into the electronic PST system. Summary reports within the system are available to MTSS school-based leadership (i.e. the Principal, PST Chair, and school psychologist).

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The district Coordinator of MTSS in conjunction with the Deputy Superintendent for Instructional Services will be providing schools with relevant training materials on MTSS. In addition to an overview of MTSS that will be available to all schools, the foundational principles of MTSS and resources will be embedded within other resources and trainings (e.g., Deliberate Practice and Common Core State Standards Training).

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

School-based support for MTSS will be provided by the District MTSS Leadership Team. In turn, the school-based MTSS Leadership team will disseminate relevant MTSS information to teachers and parents. Data-based meetings throughout the school year will identify those students in need of academic and/or behavioral supports. Furthermore, based on this data-based decision making, supports will be implemented and monitored. School-specific reports, such as those available in Pinnacle Insight, will facilitate the development of a data-based MTSS framework. This data, in conjunction with identified school-based tiered resources, will ensure that a Multi-Tiered System of Supports is an overarching framework that guides the work of the school.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making by promoting the Volusia Proficiency Model. Ensures that educators are implementing the district's Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) accessible through the K-12 curriculum link of the webpage and the VCS Problem Solving/RtI model (i.e., Problem Identification, Analysis of Problem, Intervention Implementation and Response to Intervention) for those students who do not respond effectively to core instruction. For those students who do not respond positively to interventions beyond core, ensures that the school's Problem Solving Team (PST) is accessed as needed. Ensures adequate professional development is scheduled for faculty. School Psychologist will

provide/facilitate training on skills building and understanding of the components of PS/RtI. Support the school's team in the completion of resource mapping (academic and behavioral) with focus on standard protocol interventions in order to enhance implementation of PS/RtI. Communicates with parents through school newsletters, relevant meetings, and the sharing of the parent link of the VCS Problem Solving/RtI website (under Psychological Services) in order to address the purpose of PS/RtI in meeting students needs and to address frequently asked parental questions. In addition, parents are provided information about PS/RtI at PST meetings.

School Psychologist: Assists schools in interpreting individual, class-wide, grade-level and school-wide data in order to develop appropriate targeted interventions linked to the academic or emotional/behavioral problem. Ensures that on-going progress monitoring is in place in the area of intervention to most appropriately determine the student's response to intervention. Provides professional development to staff on PS/RtI.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary & Intermediate): Provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier I materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participate in student data collection, integrate core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborate with general education teachers through such activities as coteaching. Encompass Problem Solving/RtI practices when addressing the needs of ESE students with a focus on potential reintegration into General Education based on data.

Guidance Counselor & Social Worker: Provides assistance to students and parents during the PST process.

Academic Coach: Develops, leads and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at-risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Intervention Teacher: The school's intervention teacher provides daily instruction to students who are in the lowest 25%.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The school's RtI leadership Team functions as a natural extension of the school's Problem Solving Team (PST). The school's PST includes RtI as an explicit step of problem solving and addresses individual as well as class, grade level and school wide issues. The PST is embedded in the infrastructure of the school. Core members of the PST are the principal, assistant principal, curriculum specialists, academic coaches, school psychologist, speech/language clinician, school counselor, social social worker and ad hoc teachers. In addition, since parent collaboration is essential for the success of PS/RtI implementation, parent input will be actively sought to enhance student outcomes. The school's leadership team will focus PS/RtI meetings around two PLC essential questions: 1) "How will we respond when they don't learn?" and 2) "How will we respond when they already know it?" The team meets regularly to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and the classroom level to identify students who are either meeting/exceeding expectations or those who are at risk for not meeting benchmarks. For those students who are at risk, tiered level supports are in place to address the deficits and to ensure grade level proficiency as appropriate. For those students who are exceeding expectations, enrichment activities are in place to ensure acceleration of learning.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Continued implementation of the Volusia Proficiency Model and other major initiatives of research based practices to accelerate ESE students in making AYP.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/18/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

The District, in conjunction with the local Head Start agency, Early Learning Coalition, VPK Sites and other local pre-school facilities, coordinates efforts to promote continuity of services and effective transitions for children and their families. These

include:

- * Providing the opportunity for ongoing communication between agencies to facilitate coordination of programs and shared expectations for children's learning and development as the children transition to elementary school.
- *Collaborating and participating in joint professional development, including transition-related training for school staff and pre-school staff when feasible.
- *Providing to the pre-school agencies local public school policies, kindergarten registration, kindergarten orientation and other relevant information to ease the transition of children and families.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

N/A

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Students achieving proficiency (FCAT Level 3) in reading will increase by 2%. Reading Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Level 3- 28% (100) Level 3- 30% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Parental attendance Provide an FCAT parent Guidance Counselor Parent Input slips Parent Input slips night to explain in detail the FCAT 2.0 expectations No anticipated barrier Provide teachers with Academic Coach Ongoing monitoring and District professional development administration Assessments and related to effective Administrator observations FCAT results. 2 instructional strategies and implement those strategies in reading. The number of students Provide before and after Instructional Tutor Ongoing monitoring and District 3 needing transportation school tutoring. principal observations Assessments and FCAT The number of working Literacy night to focus District Academic Coach Parent surveys parents on reading strategies for Assessments and FCAT parents to use at home. Literacy Team No anticipated barrier Unra(a)vel reading Academic Coach Ongoing monitoring and District strategy administration Asssessments and FCAT observations Limited computer access Reading Counts! Media Specialist Ongoing monitoring and Reading Counts administration Quizzes 6 observation Teacher observations Student outcomes No Anticipated Barrier Thinking Maps! Academic Coach and Administration No Anticipated Barrier Kagan Strategies Academic Coach Ongoing monitoring and District and Administration 8 principal observations Assessments and FCAT

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reform of improvement for the following group:	erence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	Students scoring at or levels 4, 5, and 6 on FAA in reading will increase by 5%.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

L						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	Not all instruction has been consistently aligned to the NGSSS access points.	Implement Access courses in all core academic areas, as well as Standards Referenced Grading.	Administration ESE team		Unique Reports FAA Scores
	2	Difficulty finding high quality lessons for students with cognitive disabilities that also address varying complexity levels	District training for teachers on the implementation of Unque Learning Systemfor Access courses Follow-up coahcing provided by program specialist	Administration ESE Team	O	Unique Reports FAA scores
;	3	There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities	Participation of Access course teachers in District's monthly Virtual PLC using webinar platform	Administration ESE Team	1 3	Unique Reports Survey

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	Students achieving FCAT level 4 or higher in reading will increase by 2%.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Level 4 and higher- 30% (107)	Level 4 and higher-32%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Funds to purchase more advanced materials	professional development	Academic Coach Administrator	3 3	District Assessments and FCAT results
2	Limited number of computers having Reading Counts access	Reading Counts!	Media Specialist	Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	Reading Counts quizzes
3	No Anticipated Barrier	After school Book Club	Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring	District Assessments and FCAT results
	is needed, with more	Professional Development on Charlotte Danielson's Framework 3B: Using	Curriculum Team	Ratio of higher level questions to lower level questions will be	Walk-throughs

4	Questioning and Discussion Techniques (Domain 1)	assessed during walk- throughs and coaching provided to those with a low percentage of higher	
		level questions.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in Students scoring at or above level 7 on FAA in reading will reading. increase by 10%. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Level 7 or above-0% Level 7 or above-10% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Check usage and Difficulty of finding high-District training for Administration Unique Reports quality lessons for teachers on the ESE Team implementation, as well FAA Scores students with cognitive implementation of Unique as student progress data disabilities that also Learning System for using Unique Reports address varying Access courses complexity levels Administrative Follow-up coaching observation tools provided by program specialists Administration District follow-up survey There is a need for more Participation of Access Unique Reports collaboration time course teachers in ESE Team Survey amongst teachers of District's monthly Virtual Check student progress PLC using webinar students with cognitive data using Unique disabilities platform Reports Evaluation of the student's need to access more rigorous courses and change placement if necessary Discussion of application of skills and knowledge at a higher level and in various settings

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

68% (150)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	professional development		Academic Coach Administrator Administrator Administrator Administrator Administrator Administrator Administrator Academic Coach Assessments and meet regularly as grade level PLCs to foster growth among all students using formative data.		District assessments and FCAT
2	No Anticipated Barrier	UNRA(A)VEL Reading Strategy	Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring of assessments and administration observations	District Assessments and FCAT
3	Transportation issues	After school tutoring in reading	Academic Coach Instructional tutor	Ongoing monitoring of assessments and administration observations	District Assessments and FCAT
4	Limited computer access	Reading Counts!	Media Specialist	Ongoing Monitoring and administration observations	Reading Counts quizzes
5	No Anticipated Barrier	Kagan Strategies	Academic Coach	Ongoing Monitoring and administration observations	District Assessments and FCAT
6	Students with large gaps in reading achievement	Intensive assistance in reading will be provided by Intensive Reading Teacher, assisted by the evaluation and monitoring of the administrative team.		FAIR assessments will be analyzed 3 times each year. FCAT Explorer and District Interim Assessments will be monitoried monthly to note student improvements	FAIR Assessments FCAT Explorer District Interim Assessment

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
Perce	lorida Alternate Assessn entage of students makir ng. ing Goal #3b:			Students making learning gains on FAA in reading will increase by 7%.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
33%	(1)		40%	40%		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Not all instruction has been consistently aligned to the NGSSS access points.	Implement Access courses in all core academic areas, as well as Standards-Referenced Grading.	Administration ESE Team	Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports Administrative observation tools	Unique Reports FAA Scores	
2	There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities	Participation of Access course teachers in District's monthly Virtual PLC using webinar platform	Administration ESE Team	District follow-up survey Check student progress data using Unique Reports	Unique Reports Survey	
1	I	1	l	1	1	

Difficulty of finding high- District training for Administration Check usage and

Unique Reports

3	students with cognitive disabilities that also	teachers on the implementation of Unique Learning System for Access courses	implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports	
	complexity levels	Follow-up coaching provided by program specialists	Administrative observation tools	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

of improvement for the following group.	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	Students in the lowest 25% making Learning Gains will increase by 2%.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
63% (37)	65%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	No anticipated barrier	Provide teachers with professional development related to effective instructional strategies and implement those strategies in reading.	Academic Coach Administrator	Ongoing progress monitoring of the lowest 25% by class.	District Assessments and FCAT results
2	No Anticipated Barrier	Provide before and after school tutoring	Academic Coach and Administrator	Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	District assessments and FCAT
3	No Anticipated Barrier	UNRA(A)VEL Reading Strategy	Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	District Assessments and FCAT
4	Limited computer access	Reading Counts!	Media Specialist	Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	Reading Counts quizzes
5	No Anticipated Barrier	Kagan Strategies	AcademicCoach	Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	District Assessments and FCAT
6	No Anticipated Barrier	Read Naturally	Classroom Teacher Resource Teacher	Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	District Assessments and FCAT
7		Teams (with the support of the coaching staff) will meet weekly in Professional Learning Communities to work collaboratively in collecting and analyzing data in order to plan effective differentiated instruction and enrichment.	Coaching Staff Administrator Teachers	Ongoing monitoring of formative and summative assessment data Track student growth using Scantron assessments and meet regularly as grade-level teams to foster growth among all students	Reading assessment data, FAIR data, Science assessment data, FCAT results

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

Reading Goal #

Measur	able Obwill red	but Achievable A bjectives (AMOs) uce their achieve	. In six year		targ	get (64% prof:		he achievement g) or through Saf	
	ne data -2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		58%	2%	68%		71%		75%	
		analysis of stude		ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding	J Quest	ions", identify and	define areas in need
5B. Stu Hispar satisfa	udent s	subgroups by ean, American Ir progress in read	hnicity (Whadian) not m					ubgroup will reduce MO target or throug	
2012 (Current	Level of Perfor	mance:			2013 Expected	d Leve	I of Performance:	
Asian:	43% c: 53%					White: 67% (Sa Black: 49% (Sa Hispanic: 58% (Asian: N/A American Indiar	fe Hark (Safe F	oor)	
		F	Problem-Sol	ving Process	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Ach	ievement	
	Antio	ipated Barrier	St	rategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1 F		tudents do not ading materials a		fore and after oring.		demic Coach Administrator	monit obser	ng progress oring and vations by uistration	District Assessments and FCAT results
2	Barrier Black: N Barrier Hispanio growing Hispanio receive ESOL pr Asian: N		professiona related to instruction and impler	al development and		demic Coach Administrator	monit	ng progress oring and vations by istration	District Assessments and FCAT results
3 L	imited	computer access	Reading Co	ounts!	Med	lia Specialist	admir	ng monitoring and iistration vations	Reading Counts quizzes

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:		In 2012-2013, the achievement gap for ELL students will be reduced by meeting the AMO target or through Safe Harbor			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
37%	43% (Safe Harb	43% (Safe Harbor)			
Problem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studen	Increase Student Achievement			
	Person or	Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	DLE has a growing number of ELL students	Provide teachers with professional development related to effective instructional strategies and implement those strategies in reading for ELL's.		formative assessments	District Assessments FCAT results
2	Challenges working with students who come ELL backgrounds with significant gaps in vocabulary.	3 1		formative assessments	District Assessments FCAT results

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making In 2012-2013, the achievement gap for SWD students will be satisfactory progress in reading. reduced by meeting the AMO target or through Safe Harbor. Reading Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 33% Proficient 26% Proficient (Safe Harbor) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Academic Coach No Anticipated Barrier Kagan Strategies Ongoing monitoring and District Assessment and administration observations FCAT Ongoing monitoring and District No Anticipated Barrier Rewards and Making Administration administration Connections Assessment and FCAT observations No Anticipated Barrier Read Naturally Resource Teacher Ongoing monitoring and District administration Assessment and FCAT observations Ongoing monitoring and District No Anticipated Barrier Phonics for Reading and Resource Teacher Making Connections administration Assessment and FCAT observations

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:	In 2012-2013, the achievement gap for SWD students will b reduced by meeting the AMO target or through Safe Harbor.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
54% Proficient	59% Proficient (59% Proficient (Safe Harbor)			
Problem-Solving Process to	Increase Studen	t Achievement			
	Person or	Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	These students tend to not have enough appropriate reading materials at home.	Provide before and after school tutoring.	and Administrator	Ongoing progress monitoring and observations by administration	District Assessments and FCAT results
2		Provide teachers with professional development related to effective instructional strategies and implement those strategies in reading.		monitoring and	District Assessments and FCAT results
3	Limited computer access	Reading Counts!	·	Ongoing progress monitoring and observations by administration	Reading Counts quizzes

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Students' Role in CCSS: Cultivating Engagement	K-5	Dana and Dylan Campbell	School wide	12/5/12	Using VIMS to increase learner engagement	Administration
Designing Assessments Aligned to CCSS	K-5	Dana and Dylan Campbell	School wide	1/23/13	Designing assessments	Administration
Next Steps for CCSS	K-5	Dana and Dylan Campbell	School wide	ТВА	Reflection	Administration
Building Rigor for the CCSS	K-5	Dana and Dylan Campbell	School wide	11/14/12	Rigorous assessments in CCSS	Administration
Building Awareness of CCSS	K-5	Dylan and Dana Campbell	School wide	8/29/12	Implementation of Close Reading	Administration
VSET Training	K-5	Kerrie Brown	School wide	9/21/12	Deliberate Practice Plans	Administration
Pinnacle & Standards Reference Grading	K-5	District Personnel	School wide	9/26/12	SRG practices	Administration
Deepening Awareness of CCSS	K-5	Dana and Dylan Campbell	School wide	10/10/12	DPP follow up Alignment of PGP to SIP and CC	Administration
Planning for the CCSS	K-5	Dana and Dylan Campbell	School wide	10/24/12	Planning integrated lessons and units for CCSS	Administration
Students' Role in CCSS: Cultivating Engagement	K-5	Dana and Dylan Campbell	School wide	12/5/12	Using VIMS to increase learner engagement	Administration
Frequent Formative Assessments for CCSS	K-5	Dana and Dylan Campbell	School wide	2/6/13	Using assessments for learning	Administration

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Advanced Reading Materials Non- Fiction	Purchase advcanced non-fiction materials	Title I	\$10,000.00
			Subtotal: \$10,000.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Integrating the use of Mobi's into Instruction	Mobi Boards	Title I	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Professional Development in integrating the Common Core Standards into instruction	Provide teachers time during the school day for integration of the CSSS and data analysis	Title I	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide tutoring before and after school tutoring in Reading.	Provide extra instruction in Reading.	Title I	\$10,000.00
			Subtotal: \$10,000.0
			Grand Total: \$26,000.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. The percentage of students scoring proficient in CELLA Goal #1: Listening/Speaking on CELLA will increase by 2%. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 53% (40) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Providing Data on ELL students Administrator Ongoing monitoring of CELLA, IPT, comprehensible language proficiency Academic Coach formative assessments FCAT, District and achievement levels instruction to English and teacher Assessments Language Learners should be used for observations by differentiated principal instruction Providing Ensure that teachers Administrator Ongoing monitoring of CELLA, IPT, comprehensible use English Language Academic Coach formative assessments FCAT, District instruction to English **Proficiency Standards** and teacher Assessments Language Learners for English Language observations by Learners principal Administrator Ongoing monitoring of CELLA, IPT, Providing Ensure that teachers

		comprehensible	receive professional	Academic Coach	formative assessments	FCAT, District
3	2	instruction to English	development related to		and teacher	Assessments
	3	Language Learners	effective instructional		observations by	
			practices for teaching		principal	
			ELLs.			

Stude	Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
2. Sti	udents scoring proficie	nt in reading.					
CELL	A Goal #2:			e of students scoring pronce of students scoring pronce of students scoring pro	ficient in Reading		
2012	Current Percent of Stu	udents Proficient in rea	ding:				
43%	43% (33) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners	Data on ELL students language proficiency and achievement levels should be used for differentiated instruction	Administrator Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal	CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments		
2	Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners	Ensure that teachers use English Language Proficiency Standards for English Language Learners	Administrator Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal	CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments		
3	Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners	Ensure that teachers receive professional development related to effective instructional practices for teaching ELLs.	Administrator Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal	CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments		

Stude	Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
	3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:			The percentage of students scoring proficient in Writing on CELLA will increase by 2%.				
2012	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:							
72%	72% (55)							
	Pro	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners	Data on ELL students language proficiency and achievement levels should be used for differentiated instruction	Administrator Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal	CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments			

2	Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners			CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments
3	Providing comprehensible instruction to English Language Learners	Administrator Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring of formative assessments and teacher observations by principal	CELLA, IPT, FCAT, District Assessments

CELLA Budget:

0		o	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Students achieving proficiency (FCAT level 3) in reading will increase by 3%. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 31% (109) 34% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Parental attendance Guidance Counselor Parent Input slips Provide an FCAT parent Parent Input slips night to explain in detail the FCAT 2.0 expectations No anticipated barrier FAST Math Classroom Ongoing monitoring and Classroom reports Teachers teacher observations on FAST Math Teachers are not yet Provide professional Administration Ongoing monitoring of VSET Evaluation formative assessments, familiar with the Common development on Core State Standards in embedding the 8 Grade Level Chair summative district FSA, SSA, District assessments, and math Standards for interims Mathematical Practices teacher observations by into daily instruction as administrators FCAT 2.0 appropriate Implement new math Curriculum Maps, which have these standards incorporated Not all math teachers are Provide professional Administration Ongoing monitoring of VSET Evaluation familiar with incorporating development on literacy formative assessments, strategies appropriate for Grade Level Chair literacy strategies. FSA, SSA, District summative district math teachers. interims assessments, and teacher observations by

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.
Mathematics Goal #1b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

38% (3)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Person or Process Used to

FCAT 2.0

administrators

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Not all instruction has been consistently aligned to the NGSSS access points	Implement Equals Math in all Access courses, as well as Standards- Referenced Grading	Administration ESE Team	Equals Curriculum-based assessments Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports Administrative observation tools	Unique Reports FAA Scores
2	Difficulty of finding high- quality lessons for students with cognitive disabilities that also address varying complexity levels	District training for teachers on the implementation of Unique Learning System for Access courses Follow-up coaching provided by program specialists	Administration ESE Team	Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports Administrative observation tools	Unique Reports FAA Scores
3	There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities	Participation of Access course teachers in District's monthly Virtual PLC using webinar platform	Administration ESE Team	District follow-up survey Check student progress data using Unique Reports	Unique Reports Survey

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Students achieving above proficiency (FCAT Level 4 and 5) in math will increase by 2% in grades 3, 4, and 5. Mathematics Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 24% (85) 26% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Funds to purchase Ensure that all teachers Academic Coach Increased student District advanced math materials receive professional and Administration achievement and Assessments and development related to implementation of FCAT results effective instructional strategies in the delivery strategies in math of instruction specific to the higher level learner. No Anticipated Barrier Sunshine Math Classroom Ongoing monitoring and Classroom reports

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

Teachers

Classroom

Teachers

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at or above Achieveme

No Anticipated Barrier

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.

FAST Math

Students scoring at or above Level 7 on FAA in math will increase by 7%.

Ongoing monitoring and

Classroom reports

on FAST Math

administration

administration

observations

observations

2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:	
13%	(1)		20%		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
	Difficulty of finding high- quality lessons for students with cognitive disabilities that also address varying complexity levels	District training for teachers on the implementation of Unique Learning System for Access courses Follow-up coaching provided by program specialists	Administration ESE Team	Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports Administrative observation tools	Unique Reports FAA Scores
	There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities	Participation of Access course teachers in District's monthly Virtual PLC using webinar platform Evaluation of the student's need to access more rigorous courses and change placement if necessary Discussion of application of skills and knowledge at a higher level and in		District follow-up survey Check student progress data using Unique Reports	Unique Reports Survey

	on the analysis of student provement for the following		reference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
gains	CAT 2.0: Percentage of soin mathematics. ematics Goal #3a:	tudents making learning		Students making Learning Gains in math will increase by 3%.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
73% (169)		76%	76%		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

No Anticipated Barrier

Students needing

area of math.

Daily Word Problems

additional support in the school tutoring in math.

Provide before and after

Monitoring

Classroom Teacher Ongoing progress

Instructional Tutor Track student growth

Strategy

and administration

observations

using Scantron

assessments and meet

regularly as grade-level teams to foster growth

District

FCAT

District

Assessments and

FCAT Results

monitoring, math journals Assessments and

				among all students using formative data	
3	No Anticipated Barrier	EnVision Math Intervention Kit		monitoring, math journals	District Assessments and FCAT
4	No Anticipated Barrier	FAST Math		0 01 0	Classroom reports on FAST Math
5	Parental Attendance	Publix Family Math Night	Math Contact		District Assessments and FCAT

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in Students making learning gains on FAA in math will increase mathematics. by 3%. Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 67% (2) 70% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Not all instruction has Implement Access Administration Check usage and Unique Reports FAA Scores been consistently aligned courses in all core ESE Team implementation, as well to the NGSSS access academic areas, as well as student progress data points as Standards-Referenced using Unique Reports Grading Administrative observation tools There is a need for more Participation of Access Administration District follow-up survey Unique Reports collaboration time course teachers in ESE Team Survey amongst teachers of District's monthly Virtual Check student progress students with cognitive PLC using webinar data using Unique disabilities platform Reports Difficulty of finding high-District training for Administration Check usage and Unique Reports quality lessons for teachers on the ESE Team implementation, as well FAA Scores students with cognitive implementation of Unique as student progress data disabilities that also Learning System for using Unique Reports 3 address varying Access courses complexity levels Administrative Follow-up coaching observation tools provided by program specialists

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in of improvement for the following group:					
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:	Percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains will increase by 3%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
66% (39)	69%				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Students needing additional support in math.	Provide before and after school tutoring in math.	Instructional tutor	Track student growth using Scantron assessments and meet regularly as grade-level teams to foster growth among all students using formative data.	District Assessments and FCAT			
2	No anticipated barrier	FAST Math	Classroom Teacher	Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	Classroom reports on FAST Math			
3	Parental Attendance	Publix Family Math Night	Math Contact	Parent Surveys	District Assessment and FCAT			

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			·		the achievement g	ap by meeting	
			5A :			•	
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	55%	56%	60%	65%	69%		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making In 2012-2013, each subgroup will reduce the achievement satisfactory progress in mathematics. gap by meeting the AMO target or through Safe Harbor. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White-N/A White-N/A Black-54% (Safe Harbor) Black-49% Hispanic-N/A Hispanic-N/A Asian-N/A Asian-N/A American Indian-N/A American Indian-N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	No Anticipated Barrier	FAST Math		Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	Classroom reports on FAST Math
2	No Anticipated Barrier	Before and After school tutoring in math		Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	District Assessments and FCAT

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

			In 2012-2013, the achievement gap for ELL students will be reduced by meeting the AMO target or through Safe Harbor.					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
31%	Proficient			38% Proficie	ent (Safe Harbor)		
		Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease Stu	ıden [.]	t Achievement		
	Anticipated Barri	er Strategy	R	Person or Position Pesponsible t Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	Challenges working w students who come E backgrounds with significant gaps in vocabulary.			ademic Coac ministration	;	Ongoing monitoring of formative assessmen and teacher observation.	its	District Assessements and FCAT results
	d on the analysis of stu provement for the follo	udent achievement data, and wing subgroup:	d refer	rence to "Gui	ding	Questions", identify a	and d	lefine areas in need
satis	Students with Disabili factory progress in r nematics Goal #5D:	ties (SWD) not making nathematics.		N/A				
2012	2 Current Level of Per	formance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A				N/A				
		Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease Stu	ıden [.]	t Achievement		
Anti	cipated Barrier S	itrategy	Posit Resp for	onsible	Dete	ess Used to rmine ctiveness of tegy	Eval	uation Tool
		No	Data	Submitted				
	d on the analysis of stu provement for the follo	udent achievement data, and wing subgroup:	d refer	rence to "Gui	ding	Questions", identify a	and d	lefine areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.			N/A					
Math	nematics Goal #5E:							
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
N/A				N/A				
		Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease Stu	ıden [.]	t Achievement		

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
	No Data Submitted							

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Professional Development in integration of the Common Core Standards in Math.	K-5	District Personnel	K-5	Quarterly	Ongoing coaching	Administration
Data Analysis & ongoing progress monitoring	K-5	Academic Coach	K-5	Monthly meetings to analyze data	Ongoing coaching	Administration

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Professional Development in integration of the Common Core Standards in Math.	Provide teachers time during the school day for integration of the CSSS and data analysis	Title I	\$10,000.00
			Subtotal: \$10,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Tutoring before and after school in math	Provide extra instruction in math.	Title I	\$10,000.00
			Subtotal: \$10,000.00
			Grand Total: \$20,000.00

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Students achieving proficiency FCAT level 3 in science will increase by 3%. Science Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 35% (45) 38% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Fund to provide an After school science Academic Coach Ongoing monitoring and District after school science camp administration Assessment and camp observations **FCAT** No Anticipated Barrier FCAT Explorer-Science Classroom Ongoing monitoring and Classroom report Students will use on a Teacher administration on FCAT Explorer weekly basis. observations Science Lack of knowledge of Participate in Administration Administration FSA & SSA CCSS standards and professional development on the 5E Classroom District Interim literacy strategies to incorporate into Instructional Model Teachers Assessments science instruction Participate in training 3 on incorporating CCSS Literacy and Mathematics Standards in Science Lessons (such as close reading)

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:			Students scon	Students scoring at or Levels 4,5, and 6 on FAA in science will increase by 5%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:			
75%	75% (3)			80%				
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Not all instruction has been consistently aligned to the NGSSS access points	Implement Access courses in all core academic areas, as well as Standards- Referenced Grading	Administration ESE Team	Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports	Unique Reports FAA Scores			

		Administrative	
		observation tools	

	ed on the analysis of stud s in need of improvemen			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:				Students achieving above proficiency FCAT levels 4 and 5 in science will increase by 2%.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecto	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
23%	23% (29)			25%		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stud	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Fund to provide an after school science camp	After school science camp	Academic Coach	Ongoing monitoring and administration observations	District Assessments and FCAT	
2	No Anticipated Barrier	School wide science fair	Science Contact	Participation by all grade levels.	District Assessment and FCAT	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:			We will have a	We will have at least one of our two students score at or above level 7 on the FAA.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
0%			50%?			
Problem-Solving Process to I			o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Difficulty of finding high-quality lessons for students with cognitive disabilities that also address varying complexity levels	District training for teachers on the implementation of Unique Learning System for Access courses Follow-up coaching provided by program specialists	Administration ESE Team	Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using ASAP Science Curriculumbased assessments and Unique Reports Administrative observation tools	ASAP Science Curriculum-based assessments Unique Reports FAA Scores	
	There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities	Participation of Access course teachers in District's monthly Virtual PLC using webinar platform Evaluation of the	Administration ESE Team	District follow-up survey Check student progress data using ASAP Science Curriculum-based	ASAP Science Curriculum-based assessments Unique Reports Survey	

2	student's need to access more rigorous courses and change placement if necessary	assessments and Unique Reports	
	Discussion of application of skills and knowledge at a higher level and in various settings		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/	Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Science Camp after school	Provide extra instruction and enrichment at an after school science camp	Title I	\$3,500.00
			Subtotal: \$3,500.00
			Grand Total: \$3,500.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	I on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas		
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.Writing Goal #1a:			Students scori	Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing will increase by 3%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	÷:		
78% (87)			81%	81%			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	No anticipated barrier	Teachers will maintain student writing folders	Academic Coach and Administration	Quarterly monitoring of writing folders by Administration	District writing prompts		
	Teachers are not yet familiar enough with the state changes in scoring of FCAT Writing responses.	FCAT Writing responses	Academic Coach Administration	Monitor Volusia Writes scores	Volusia Writes FCAT Writing		
2		Implement writing strategies provided through district training which focus on the change in state writing expectations.					
3	No Anticipated Barrier	Administer Volusia Writes schedule with fidelity in all curriculum areas Provide support and coaching to teachers on scoring	Administration Academic Coach	Monitor growth of Volusia Writes scores	Volusia Writes data FCAT Writing scores		
		Implement CCSS Anchor Literacy Standards school-wide.					

	I on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, and e following group:	d reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
at 4 or bigher in writing			Students scorir	Students scoring a Level 4 or higher on FAA in writing will increase by 25%.		
Writing Goal #1b:			*we only have	*we only have 4 students this year in this category		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0% N/A			25%	25%		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Not all instruction has	Implement Access	Administration	Check usage and	Unique Reports	

1	been consistently aligned to the NGSSS access points	courses in all core academic areas, as well as Standards- Referenced Grading	ESE Team	implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports Administrative observation tools	FAA Scores
2	Difficulty of finding high-quality lessons for students with cognitive disabilities that also address varying complexity levels		Administration ESE Team	Check usage and implementation, as well as student progress data using Unique Reports Administrative observation tools	Unique Reports FAA Scores
3	There is a need for more collaboration time amongst teachers of students with cognitive disabilities	District's monthly	Administration ESE Team	District follow-up survey Check student progress data using Unique Reports	Unique Reports Survey

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Grammar in the Wild: National Writing Project	4th Grade	Bethune Cookman University	4th Grade Teachers	ISant 15 2012	Classroom implementation	Academic Coach

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grammar in the Wild: National Writing Project	Writing Workshop	Title I	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$500.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atte aprovement:	endance data, and refere	nce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and def	fine areas in need	
1. Attendance			D			
Attendance Goal #1:				Decrease the number of student with exessive absences and tardies by 5%.		
2012 Current Attendance Rate:			2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:		
94%			95% or higher			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students or more)	with Excessive	
314 students			304 students	304 students		
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
218 :	students		208 students			
	Pro	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
1	No Anticipated Barrier	Monthly Attendance Challenge by classes	Support Staff	Monthly attendance and tardies monitored	Monthly attendance and tardies monitored	
2	No Anticipated Barrier	Behavior Leadership Team	Support Staff	BLT minutes	Monthly attendance and tardies monitored	
3	No Anticipated Barrier	Problem Solving Team	RtI Team	PST minutes	Monthly attendance and tardies monitored	
4	Pattern of unexcused absences and lates	Parent/guardian notification of absences/tardies 5, 10, 15 day absence letters and/or tardy notes and Connect Ed PST or IEP Attendance Meetings	School Counselors, , School Social Workers	Analyzing data gathered from daily attendance reports to show patterns of non- attendance/ tardies	School-wide and/or individual student attendance reports	
		Attendance contracts w/student and/or parent/guardian	PST Chair or IEP Facilitator/Case Manager			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	lo Data Submitte	d		

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	Decrease the number of students with in or out of school suspensions by 5%.
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
43	41
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School

34			31 students	31 students		
2012	2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions		
33			31	31		
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School			- 2013 Expector of-School	ed Number of Students	Suspended Out-	
22			20 students	20 students		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stud	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	No anticipated barrier	Provide guidance services for students receiving multiple referrals	Guidance	Referrals decreasing	Number of referrals	
2	No Anticipated Barrier	Behavior Leadership Team	BLT Chairperson	Referrals decreasing	Number of referrals	
3	No Anticipated Barrier	Problem Solving Team	RtI Team	Referrals decreasing	Number of referrals	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		ľ	No Data Submitted	d		

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of pare ed of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	d reference to "Guid	ding Questions", identify	and define areas	
1. Pa	1. Parent Involvement					
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.			continuing pare	We will continue to maintain 5 Star School status by continuing parental involvement at events, programs and all functions related to school.		
2012	Current Level of Parer	nt Involvement:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:		
60%			70%	70%		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Refer to PIP	Refer to PIP	Refer to PIP	Refer to PIP	Refer to PIP	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Evidence-based Progra	nm(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	d on the analysis of school	ol data, identify and defir	ne areas in need of	improvement:			
1. STEM			The participation will be 98%	The participation of 5th grade students in Science Fair will be 98%			
STEM Goal #1:							
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students may not have access to all of the necessary materials at home for the science fair projecct	Utilizing various methods at school to provide for students who do not have the materials. Teachers will provide ample opportunity and time for all 5th grade students to participate.	5th grade teachers	98% of 5th grade students will complete a science fair project	Science Fair projects		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	arri(s)/ Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Parents and community members will be provided with training and information sessions regarding Standards Reference Grading and Pinnacle. Goal:

ı	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:					
Parents and community members will be provided with training and information sessions regarding Standards Reference Grading and Pinnacle. Goal Parents and community members will be provided with training and information sessions regarding Standards Reference Grading and Pinnacle. Goal #1:			Parent and con Pinnacle and S	Parent and community members participation in learning Pinnacle and Standards Reference Grading.		
2012 Current level:			2013 Expecte	d level:		
0%			70%	70%		
	Prok	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Participation from community members	DLE will have Standards Reference Grading nights to inform parents		Input slips	Input Slips	
2	Participation from parents	DLE will have Pinnacle information sessions in the evening	Administration	Input slips	Input Slips	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parents and community members will be provided with training and information sessions regarding Standards Reference Grading and Pinnacle. Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Advanced Reading Materials Non-Fiction	Purchase advcanced non-fiction materials	Title I	\$10,000.00
CELLA	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$10,000.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Integrating the use of Mobi's into Instruction	Mobi Boards	Title I	\$1,000.00
CELLA	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Professional Developm	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Professional Development in integrating the Common Core Standards into instruction	Provide teachers time during the school day for integration of the CSSS and data analysis	Title I	\$5,000.00
CELLA	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Mathematics	Professional Development in integration of the Common Core Standards in Math.	Provide teachers time during the school day for integration of the CSSS and data analysis	Title I	\$10,000.00
Writing	Grammar in the Wild: National Writing Project	Writing Workshop	Title I	\$500.00
				Subtotal: \$15,500.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Provide tutoring before and after school tutoring in Reading.	Provide extra instruction in Reading.	Title I	\$10,000.00
CELLA	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0.00
Mathematics	Tutoring before and after school in math	Provide extra instruction in math.	Title I	\$10,000.00
Science	Science Camp after school	Provide extra instruction and enrichment at an after school science camp	Title I	\$3,500.00
				Subtotal: \$23,500.00
				Grand Total: \$50,000.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	j∩ NA
-------------	----------	------------	-------

Are you a reward school: j n Yes j n No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded ${\bf A}.$

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/2/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
No funds have been released at this time	\$0.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Advisory Council meets monthly to review the school improvement plan progress. Each meeting teachers may submit requests for materials or supplies needed to implement any strategies in their classroom that supports the School Improvement Plan. Teachers may also request funds for professional development opportunities.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Volusia School District DELTONA LAKES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	77%	75%	74%	60%	286	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	59%	53%			112	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		46% (NO)			95	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					493	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Volusia School District DELTONA LAKES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	81%	75%	75%	51%	282	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	65%	53%			118	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	57% (YES)	51% (YES)			108	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					508	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested