## FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: SCHOOL FOR ADVANCED STUDIES HOMESTEAD

District Name: Dade

Principal: Dr. Omar Monteagudo

SAC Chair: Mr. James Coats

Superintendent: Mr. Alberto Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/12/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Omar Monteagudo	B.A. Political Science, Florida International University; M.A. Latin American Studies/Political Science, University of Florida; J.D. Law., Florida International University	8	11	<ul> <li>'11 '10 '09 '08 '07</li> <li>2011-2012:</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>2010-2011:</li> <li>FCAT* Science Mastery</li> <li>Homestead Campus 81%, Kendall Campus 90%,</li> <li>North Campus 90%, Wolfson Campus 90%</li> <li>2009-2010:</li> <li>FCAT* Science Mastery</li> <li>Homestead Campus 81%, Kendall Campus 89%,</li> <li>North Campus 93%, Wolfson Campus 84%</li> <li>2008-2009:</li> <li>FCAT*: Science Mastery</li> <li>Homestead Campus 85%, Kendall Campus 83%, North Campus 83% and Wolfson Campus 77%.</li> </ul>

					2007-2008: FCAT: Science Mastery Homestead Campus 86%, Kendall Campus 82%, North Campus 87% and Wolfson Campus 88%. *SAS is an 11-12th grade program. Consequently, only FCAT Science is administered.
Assis Principal	Mechi Anaut- Paget	B.A. Psychology/Special Education University of Miami; M.S. Educational Leadership, NOVA Southeastern University Areas of Certification: Emotionally Handicapped, Mentally Handicapped, Specific Learning Disabilities, Educational Leadership Certification - State of Florida	3	15	<ul> <li>'11 '10 '09 '08 '07</li> <li>2011-2012: N/A</li> <li>2010-2011: FCAT* Science Mastery Homestead Campus 81%, Kendall Campus 90%, North Campus 90%, Wolfson Campus 90%</li> <li>2009-2010 Reagan/Doral Senior: Assistant Principal for Curriculum Grade Pending: Reading Mastery: 63; Mathematics Mastery: 87%; Science Mastery: 52%</li> <li>AYP: Reading and Mathematics met for all sub groups.</li> <li>2008 - 2009 Reagan/Doral Senior: Assistant Principal for Curriculum Grade A: Reading Mastery: 55%; Mathematics Mastery: 85%; Science Mastery: 56%. AYP: Reading not met in any sub group, Mathematics was met for all sub groups.</li> <li>2007 – 2008 Reagan/Doral Senior: Assistant Principal for Curriculum Grade A: Reading Mastery: 61%; Mathematics Mastery: 86%; Science Mastery: 51%. AYP: Reading not met in Economically Disadvantaged and ELL, Mathematics was met for all sub groups.</li> </ul>

### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
N/A					

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	1. Regular meetings of new teachers with Principal	Principal	Ongoing	
2	2. Partnering new teachers with veteran teachers	Principal	Ongoing	
3	3. Soliciting referrals from current employees	Principal	Ongoing	
4	<ol> <li>Participation in workshops sponsored through district and national organizations.</li> </ol>	Principal	Ongoing	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None	

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
28	0.0%(0)	10.7%(3)	28.6%(8)	60.7%(17)	78.6%(22)	100.0%(28)	3.6%(1)	17.9%(5)	7.1%(2)

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
N/A			

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

N/A	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	
N/A	
Title I, Part D	
N/A	
Title II	
N/A	
Title III	
N/A	

Title X- Homeless

N/A

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

N/A

Violence Prevention Programs

### Anti-Bullying Initiative

Nutrition Programs

N/A

N/A

Housing Programs

The ashing the grants				
N/A				
Head Start				
N/A				
Adult Education				
N/A				
Career and Technical Education				
N/A				
Job Training				
Ν/Α				
Other				

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Select General Education Teacher: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Curriculum Council: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. ESE/Gifted Coordinator: Identifies systematic patterns of student needs while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervention services for children considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and intervention implementation monitoring.

Student Services Personnel: Provide quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child services and community agencies to the school and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Principal, Assistant Principal, Student Services Dept. Chair, Mathematics Dept. Chair, Language Arts Dept. Chair, Social Studies Dept. Chair, Activities Director; Gifted Coordinator

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team meets monthly in the first Tuesday of each month to engage in the following activities:

Review PSAT data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting the Advanced Placement benchmarks established by the College Board. Use AP data regarding demonstrated minimal levels of mastery in prior year's course to revise and/or concentrate curriculum delivery. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources to enhance instructional design. The team will also regularly collaborate, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and supporting implementation of effective instructional approaches.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team met with the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The Team looks for discrepancies in the correlation of AP scores, PSAT scores, academic grades, and projected success on the AP examination via AP potential. Students who did not meet the expected performance on AP scores are identified and their performance gaps as well. The Team makes recommendations based on this data. Recommendations target instruction through feedback to faculty, identifying skills that must be reinforced, opportunities for remediation via tutoring and testing. The Team recommends Professional Development modules designed to improve best practices.

-MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

• Baseline data: Preliminary Scholastic Achievement test (PSAT), Post-Secondary Education Readiness Test (PERT)Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Progress Monitoring: Chapter and Unit Examinations, AP rubric-centered writing assignments.

- Midyear: Advanced Placement Comprehensive Examination
- End of year: AP Subject Area Examination
- Examination of AP Subject Area Examination score correlation with end of year academic grade
- Examination of AP Subject Area Examination score correlation with initial PSAT predictions
- Frequency of Data Chats: twice a month for data analysis

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during teachers' common planning time and early release days.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

School administration will schedule periodic meetings with the leadership team to ascertain instructional needs, recommend instructional modifications, and review data to ensure compliance with district and school established goals.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team—

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal, Assistant Principal, Student Services Dept. Chair, Mathematics Dept. Chair, Language Arts Dept. Chair, Social Studies Dept. Chair, Activities Director, Gifted Coordinator

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The principal selects team members for the Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) based on a cross section of the faculty and administrative team that represents highly qualified professionals who are interested in serving to improve literacy instruction across the curriculum. The team will meet monthly throughout the school year but may choose to meet more often. During these meetings the Assistant Principal will advise the principal regarding professional development planned based on follow up visits from classroom observations. The principal will also update the Assistant Principal about district and state reading requirements that could impact reading instruction at the school. Additionally, the principal and the Assistant Principal will collaborate with Region and District reading support staff to deliver targeted professional development needed at the school.

#### What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT's major initiative will be to promote a culture of reading by encouraging the use of data to improve teaching and student achievement and by offering professional growth opportunities for team members and faculty. The principal and the Assistant Principal will consider student assessment data, classroom observational data, and the professional development listed on the teachers' IPEGS Goal Setting form, and School Improvement Plan, when planning professional development for the school. Additionally, the principal and the Assistant Principal will collaborate with Region and District reading support staff to deliver targeted professional development needed at the school.

The principal will monitor collection and utilization of assessment data, including progress monitoring data, observational data, and in-program assessment data. Progress monitoring and interim data will be collected a minimum of two times per year. Observational data is collected via principal classroom walkthroughs. In-program assessments will be administered as the program dictates (weekly or monthly).

The principal will conference with all teachers individually to analyze their students' data and determine strengths and weaknesses. If the data demonstrates a weakness in reading, the principal will encourage the teacher to incorporate reading into their SMART goal which is part of the IPEGS Goal Setting Process. During the IPEGS mid-year process, a conversation will take place relative to progress on meeting the goal. In addition to the regular data chats after each assessment period, data will be discussed at grade level meetings and department chair meetings for the purpose of refining and targeting instruction.

### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

### \*Grades 6-12 Only

#### Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

All teachers will incorporate reading strategies established by the curriculum council. In mathematics, this will involve assigning and reviewing word problems that are aligned to the Advanced Placement curriculum. These word problems will then be incorporated into all assessments scheduled throughout the year in mathematics. In Language Arts and Social Studies, all students are assigned supplementary materials in which the teachers will assess student comprehension through periodic chapter and unit exams.

### \*High Schools Only

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Language Arts and Social Studies teachers at School for Advanced Studies develop summer reading assignments for students

and assess student comprehension based on carefully crafted benchmarks. In addition, students are assigned weekly readings in social studies for which they must submit notes utilizing various note taking strategies, including Cornell Note Taking. These notes reflect the students' comprehension of the texts, skill in recognizing main ideas, and ability to synthesize text, diagram, chart, and pictorial primary sources of relevant information. Throughout the year, social studies teachers incorporate Advanced Placement readings and data based question responses in their classroom activities; responses are assessed to note level of comprehension.

Language Arts teachers work collaboratively to generate reading lists and share reading strategies which specifically address the sophistication and complexity of text encountered in Advanced Placement English Literature and Comprehension. Mathematics teachers incorporate consistent development of vocabulary relevant to calculus and pre-calculus study. Skill in determining the methods to apply for particular problem solving is enhanced by focus on inference from and analysis of text. Teachers meet in departmental meetings to discuss and develop reading strategies. Workshops are developed through early release and professional development days to ensure consistency in the implementation of reading strategies across all disciplines

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

As part of the schools strategic goals, students select courses that are directly aligned to their career goals. To this end, the school collaborates with the advisement office at Miami Dade College and students are enrolled in courses that satisfy both high school and college graduation requirement. The fruits of our collaborative efforts is evidenced by 80% of the students in the Class of 2012 who completed an Associates in Arts degree and high school diploma simultaneously.

### Postsecondary Transition

### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

All students enrolled at School for Advanced Studies passed the Post-Secondary Education Readiness Test(PERT). In addition, all students enrolled at School for Advanced Studies take Advanced Placement and Dual Enrollment courses. During the 2011-2012 school year, 100% of graduating seniors indicated they will attend a post-secondary institution, 80% earned an Associate in Arts degree and 95.4% of them qualified for the Bright Future Scholarship. 2012 SAT and ACT District Reports indicate that SAS students performed above district and state average on the reading and mathematics section of both the SAT/ACT exam.

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

### **Reading Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2011 Advanced Placement U.S. History Exam indicate that students correctly answered 15.925 questions out of 29 on the multiple-choice section of the exam covering the historical period from 1914 to present. 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase reading. student performance from 15.925 questions to 16.115 questions out of 29 answered correctly Reading Goal #1a: \*Please note that this course was last taught in 2011. Therefore, the point of reference for the 2013 results will be based on the 2011 exam results. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 54.9% (15.925 questions out of 29 answered correctly) 55.56% (16.115 questions out of 29 answered correctly)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	the 2011 Advanced Placement U.S. History exam reveals a need to increase student ability to analyze and interpret basic data relevant to the historical period from 1914 to present. This course was last taught in 2011 and this year's	prompt each week and monitor student progress	and Dept. Chair 1.2 Principal, Assistant Principal and Dept. Chair	<ul> <li>1.1 When visiting social studies classrooms, administrators will focus their attention to frequency of explicitly teaching to the reading benchmarks in social studies as well as a review of lesson plans and student data charts.</li> <li>1.2 Lesson Plans Review</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>1.1 Classroom Observations</li> <li>1.2 Lesson Plans</li> </ol>
		<ul> <li>1.3 Use visual aids (i.e. videos, maps, charts, graphs, political cartoons) to need to increase student ability to analyze and interpret basic data relevant to typical patterns of political processes and behavior and their consequences.</li> <li>1.4 Identify low performing students in AP social studies classes and provide tutoring.</li> </ul>		1.3Review Tutoring Logs	1.3. Tutoring Logs

	2	mentoring using supplementary instructional and remediation material 1.6 Schedule month department meeting share best practices 1.7Conduct two	<ul> <li>with all</li> <li>1.7 Principal,</li> <li>Assistant Principal and Dept. Chair</li> <li>Is.</li> <li>I.8Principal,</li> <li>Assistant Principal and Dept. Chair</li> <li>I.9 Principal,</li> <li>Assistant Principal and Dept. Chair</li> <li>1.9 Principal,</li> <li>Assistant Principal and Dept. Chair</li> <li>and Dept. Chair</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1.4Review Tutoring Logs</li> <li>1.5 Social Studies Department during departmental meeting will align instruction and analyze data.</li> <li>1.7 The administration of dry-run AP Exams</li> <li>1.8 Department Chair will visit all campuses and peer observes and provides feedback to teachers.</li> <li>1.9 Social Studies and Language Arts Department Chairs will coordinate student workshop using AP-style rubrics.</li> </ul>	1.4. Tutoring Logs 1.5. Social Studies Department minutes.
--	---	---	---	---	---

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	N/A			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A N/A				
Problem-Solving Process to Encrease Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need improvement for the following group:						
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.							
Reading Goal #2a:							
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:		
	Problem-Solving Pr	ocess to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		No Data S	Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need if improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.					
Reading Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Strategy					
	No	Submitted			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3a:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	son or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group:					
3b. Florida Alternate As Percentage of students reading. Reading Goal #3b:	sessment: making Learning Gains in				
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated BarrierStrategyPerson or Position Responsible for MonitoringProcess Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy					Evaluation Tool
	Nc	Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, ar Ilowing group:	nd refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	/ and define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.					
Reading Goal #4:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expe	ected Level of Performa	ance:
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to l	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on Amb	itious but A	chievable Annual	Measurable	Objectiv	ves (AMOs	), AMO-2, I	Reading and Ma	ith Pei	rformance Target
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.				al #					A
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-201	2 2012-2013	2013-2	2014	2014	4-2015	2015-2016	ò	2016-2017
		student achieveme llowing subgroup:	ent data, an	d refere	ence to "Gi	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and d	lefine areas in need
5B. Student s	subgroups an, Americ progress in	by ethnicity (Wh an Indian) not m							
2012 Current	Level of P	erformance:			2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to I n	icrease St	tudent Ach	lievement		
Anticipated E	3arrier	Strategy		Perso Positio Respo for Monito	on onsible	Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Evalı	uation Tool
			No	o Data S	ubmitted				
[									
		student achieveme llowing subgroup:	ent data, an	d refere	ence to "Gu	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and d	lefine areas in need
5C. English La satisfactory p		earners (ELL) no 1 reading.	t making						
Reading Goal	#5C:								
2012 Current	Level of P	erformance:			2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to I n	icrease St	tudent Ach	lievement		
Anticipated E	3arrier	Strategy		Perso Positio Respo for Monito	on onsible	Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Evalı	uation Tool
			No	o Data S	ubmitted				

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol	student achievement data, and llowing subgroup:	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
5D. Students with Disab	ilities (SWD) not making				
satisfactory progress in	reading.				
Reading Goal #5D:	-				
2012 Current Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Nc	o Data	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol	student achievement data, an Ilowing subgroup:	id refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.					
Reading Goal #5E:					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy					
	Ν	o Data	Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	------------------------	--	--	---	---------------------------------------	--

Analyzing Data to Target Instruction	11,12	Omar Monteagudo	Instructional Staff	August 2012	Develop strategies to address needs indicated in the Instructional Planning Report	Dr. Omar Monteagudo
FDOE 2012 Common Core Summer Institutes	11,12	FDOE	Literacy Leadership Team	June 25- 28, 2012	applying common core standards and present to	Dr. Omar Monteagudo Ms. Mechi Anaut-Paget

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
5 Steps to a 5: AP US History	Study Guides	General Ed Funds	\$7,475.00
			Subtotal: \$7,475.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$7,475.00

End of Reading Goals

comprehensive Er	nglish Language Learr	ning Assessm	ent (CELLA) Goal	ls
* When using percentages	s, include the number of studer	nts the percentage	represents next to the pe	ercentage (e.g., 70% (35)).
Students speak in Engli	sh and understand spoken Ei	nglish at grade le	vel in a manner similar	to non-ELL students.
1. Students scoring p	roficient in listening/spea	king.		
CELLA Goal #1:				
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in I	istening/speak	ing:	
N/A				
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

### CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progr Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmer	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", id	lentify and define areas
<ol> <li>Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.</li> <li>Mathematics Goal #1:</li> </ol>			N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvin	ng Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data s	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students					
making learning gains in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #3:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

	Problem-Solving	g Process to Increase	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Barrier Strategy Re for Model		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitted		

## Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, and Ilowing group:	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
<ol> <li>Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.</li> <li>Algebra Goal #1:</li> </ol>			N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Nc	Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for		a, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.					
Algebra Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving P	rocess to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on Amb	itious but A	chievable Annual	Measurable	Objecti	ives (AMOs	), AMO-2, F	Reading and Ma	ath Pe	rformance Target
			Algebra Goa		×				
	jectives (AN	ble Annual MOs). In six year hievement gap	3A :						×
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-201	2 2012-2013	2013-2	2014	2014-2015		2015-2016	6	2016-2017
		tudent achieveme lowing subgroup:	ent data, an	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and c	define areas in need
Hispanic, Asia satisfactory p	an, America progress in	by ethnicity (Wh an Indian) not m Algebra.							
Algebra Goal	#3B:								
2012 Current	Level of Pe	erformance:			2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	udent Ach	ievement		
Anticipated E	Barrier	Strategy		for		Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Eval	uation Tool
			No	o Data S	Submitted			•	
		tudent achieveme lowing subgroup:	ent data, an	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and o	define areas in need
3C. English La satisfactory p		earners (ELL) no Algebra.	t making						
Algebra Goal	#3C:								
2012 Current	Level of Pe	erformance:			2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	udent Ach	ievement		
Anticipated E	3arrier	Strategy		for		Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Eval	uation Tool
			No		Submitted	,			

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f			ference to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Sc	olving Process to	o Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Po Re for	rson or sition sponsible mitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Da	ta Submitted		

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, a Ilowing subgroup:	ind refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	/ and define areas in need
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.					
Algebra Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proc	ess to li	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

End of Algebra EOC Goals

## Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in
Geometry.

Geometry	Goal	#	1	:
----------	------	---	---	---

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
<ol> <li>Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li> <li>4 and 5 in Geometry.</li> </ol>						
Geometry Goal #2:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measura	ble Objectives	(AMOs), A	AMO-2,	Reading and Math	Performance
Target					

3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		Geometry Goal #						
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,

Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #3B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3C:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:	
	Problem-Solving Proc	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3D:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of	2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	and/or PLC	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.					
Science Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.					
Science Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Ir	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1:			N/A			
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.					
Biology Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
N/A			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Science Goals

## Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ad of improvement for the	ent achievement data, ar e following group:	nd reference to "Gu	iding Questions", identify	y and define areas		
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:			strategies reco and the College proficiency in t assertions offe increase from 4 on the free res Language and based on the n section of the 4	Given instruction using Common Core Standards and strategies recommended by Educational Testing Services and the College Board, SAS students will increase proficiency in their ability to analyze texts in support of assertions offered in analytic essays, as evidenced by an increase from 4.525 to 4.715 out of nine maximum points on the free response analysis section of the AP English Language and Composition exam. The school average based on the number of students mastering the analysis section of the AP English Language and Composition exam will increase from 4.525 to 4.715			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
4.525 out of 9 points			4.715 out of 9	4.715 out of 9 points			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1. All students enrolled at School for Advanced Studies are			1. Scored writing samples will be used to determine progress	1. Instructional Focus Calendar		

1	and are not familiar with the rigor, style and expectations of taking AP courses or are non- native speakers (over 40% of SAS students were exited from an ESOL program prior to entry into SAS). Consequently, we are required to assess student writing skills, provide necessary remediation and provide AP instruction in a confined period of time	students with performance expectations and Advanced Placement Language and Composition writing scoring methods. 2 Provide students with in-class and home learning analysis-based writing activities and monitor student progress to ensure competency in interpretation and expression. 3.Provide students demonstrating inadequate in language arts with one-on-one tutoring and mentoring using supplementary instruction and	Assistant Principal and Dept. Chair 3. Principal, Assistant Principal and Dept. Chair	prompt and mid-year prompt and then the final essay exam 2. Student writing samples will be reviewed to determine progress between the pre-test, mid-year, and	Meeting Agenda and Minutes
---	--	--	---	---	-------------------------------

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:		N/A			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
DBQ Writing and Rubric Scoring	11-12 Language Arts/Social Studies	Ellen Singer	All Language Arts and Social Studies Teachers	Early Release Days	Rubric Scoring of Student Essays	Dr. Omar Monteagudo

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/	Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
5 Steps to a 5: AP English Language	Supplementary Instructional Workbook	General Funds	\$7,475.00
Summer Writing Institute	Personnel Cost	General Funds	\$9,000.00
			Subtotal: \$16,475.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
AP Review Sessions	Hourly	General Funds	\$8,250.00
			Subtotal: \$8,250.0
			Grand Total: \$24,725.0

End of Writing Goals

## U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
<ol> <li>Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.</li> <li>U.S. History Goal #1:</li> </ol>			N/A				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A			N/A				
Problem-Solving Proce	ess to Li	ncrease S	tudent Achievement				
Strategy	Posit Resp for	onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	for the following group: Achievement Level 3 in Performance: Problem-Solving Proc	for the following group: Achievement Level 3 in U.S. Performance: Problem-Solving Process to I Strategy	for the following group: Achievement Level 3 in U.S. N/A Performance: 2013 Exp N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase S Strategy Person or Position Responsible	for the following group: Achievement Level 3 in U.S. N/A Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Perfor N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:							
Ũ	<ol> <li>Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li> <li>4 and 5 in U.S. History.</li> </ol>						
U.S. History Goal #2:							
2012 Current Level of		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfo	ormance:			
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to	Increase S	Student Achievemen	t		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pos Res for	son or sition sponsible nitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

### U.S. History Budget:

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
nt		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	No Data Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources	Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data         No Data       No Data         Description of Resources       Funding Source         No Data       No Data

Subtotal: \$0.00

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

## Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atter provement:	ndance data, and refere	nce	to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and def	ine areas in need	
	ttendance ndance Goal #1:		Given the dual enrollment nature of our program and the emphasis on Advanced Academics, the attendance rate in 2011-2012 for School for Advanced Studies will exceed the district rate of 95.11 in 2010-2011 by one percent, maintaining an attendance rate of at least 96.11 percent				
2012	2 Current Attendance Ra	ate:		2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:		
97.5	97% (102) SAS-North			<97.597% (10)	2) SAS-North		
97.64	4% (194) SAS-South			97.64% (194)			
96.40	6% (96) SAS-Wolfson			96.46% (96) S			
96.80	6% (89) SAS- Homestead				AS- Homestead		
	2 Current Number of Stu ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive	
3 SA 6 SA	S North S South S Wolfson S Homestead 3			3 SAS North 2 SAS South 5 SAS Wolfson 3 SAS Homestead			
	2 Current Number of Stu lies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
47 -	SAS-North			40 - SAS-North			
117 -	– SAS- South			100 – SAS-South			
47 —	SAS _ Wolfson			40 – SAS – Wolfson			
48 –	SAS - Homestead			40 – SAS - Homestead			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	<ul> <li>1.1 Illnesses –excused absences have increased by 1% from previous year.</li> <li>1.2. A large segment of the population provides</li> </ul>		Tea and 1.2	am; Faculty d Staff 2. ministrative	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 1.1Administrators will monitor school's environment and ascertain health education and health prevention strategies are implemented throughout the school.	1.2. PINNACLE ATTENDANCE MANAGER	

<ul> <li>their own transportation and travels long distances to arrive at our campuses.</li> <li>1.3. Parents are sometimes unaware of the excessive number of tardies students accumulate and the effect these have on student progress.</li> </ul>	start of the school year where information regarding attendance expectations will be shared. Additionally, homeroom teachers and office personnel will contact parents in accordance with a new attendance policy to be enacted during the 2011-2012 school year. 1.4 Develop an Attendance Recognition Contest among the various campuses of School for Advanced Studies resulting in the campus with the highest attendance rate for each grading period being recognized with either a breakfast, luncheon or school picnic.	will monito attendanc PINNACLE MANAGER report. 1.3. Schoo will monito attendanc PINNACLE	e via the ATTENDANCE attendance of personnel or student
--	---	--	---

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Opening of School Attendance Training	11,12	Monteagudo/Anaut	Non-Instructional Personnel		Periodic Meeting and Attendance Audit	Administrative Team

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

Subtotal: \$1,500.00

Grand Total: \$1,500.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

## Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of susp of improvement:	pension data, and reference	ce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and define	ne areas in need		
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:		environment, S	vide emphasis on maintain School for Advanced Stud ension rate during the 20 11-2012	lies will maintain		
2012 Total Number of In-S	chool Suspensions	2013 Expecte	d Number of In-School	l Suspensions		
SAS – North (0)		SAS – North (0	))			
SAS- Homestead (0)		SAS- Homeste	ad (0)			
SAS- South (3)		SAS- South (0	))			
SAS- Wolfson (0)		SAS- Wolfson	(0)			
2012 Total Number of Stud	ents Suspended In-Scho	2013 Expecte School	ed Number of Students	Suspended In-		
SAS – North (0)		SAS – North (C	))			
SAS- Homestead (1)		SAS- Homeste	ad (0)			
SAS- South (5)		SAS- South (3	)			
SAS- Wolfson (3)		SAS- Wolfson	SAS- Wolfson (0)			
2012 Number of Out-of-Sch	nool Suspensions	2013 Expecte Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			
SAS – North (0)		SAS – North (C	SAS – North (0)			
SAS- Homestead (1)		SAS- Homeste	SAS- Homestead (1)			
SAS- South (5)		SAS- South (5	SAS- South (5)			
SAS- Wolfson (3)		SAS- Wolfson	SAS- Wolfson (3)			
2012 Total Number of Stud School	ents Suspended Out-of-	2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
SAS – North (0)		SAS – North (C	SAS – North (0)			
SAS- Homestead (1)		SAS- Homeste	SAS- Homestead (1)			
SAS- South (5)		SAS- South (5	SAS- South (5)			
SAS- Wolfson (3)		SAS- Wolfson	SAS- Wolfson (3)			
Pro	blem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Toc		
1.1 The total number of indoor and outdoor suspension remained negligible during the	1.1 Utilize the Student		1.1. Monitor SPOT Success report by grade level and monitor PINNACLE ATTENDANCE MANAGER report on student indoor/outdoor	recognized for complying with		

1	2011-2012 school year. There are not enough opportunities to recognize students for positive behavior.	use of the Elementary and Secondary SPOT Success Recognition program.			of Conduct along with the monthly PINNACLE ATTENDANCE MANAGER suspension report.
	1.2. Given the rigor of our program, students may have difficulty in managing stress effectively and channeling their energy effectively.	SAS – North (0) SAS- Homestead (1) SAS- South (5) SAS- Wolfson (3) 1.2. Given the rigor of our program, students may have difficulty in managing stress effectively and channeling their energy effectively. 1.2. Students will be enrolled in a research class taught by the school counselor and intended as the equivalent of a freshman college orientation course. Students will be provided resources on time and stress management and will be provided an opportunity to participate in peer mediation sessions.	1.2. Student Services Chair	monitored on a biweekly basis. Students who are struggling	1.2. Lesson plan will be reviewed and counseling/peer mediation logs will be maintained by the counselor and reviewed periodically by the administration.
3	1.3. The total number of indoor and outdoor suspension remained negligible during the 2011-2012 school year. There are not enough opportunities to recognize students for positive behavior		Counselor	Contact Log for evidence of communication with parents of students	1.3 Parent Communication Log. Parent sign- in Log/Parental Involvement Monthly School Report.

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
				An instructional focus calendar will be created		

Student Orientation Curriculum	11,12	Student Services Chair	Student Services Department	outlining all activities and evaluation tools to be utilized. A review of the curriculum guide developed by the Student Services Dept. will be reviewed and periodic classroom visits scheduled to ensure the curriculum is being implementedeffectively.	Student Code of Conduct. Monitor	Administrative Team
Code of Student Conduct	11,12	Principal	Instructional Staff	Early Release Days	An instructional focus calendar will be created outlining all activities and evaluation tools to be utilized. A review of the curriculum guide developed by the Student Services Dept. will be reviewed and periodic classroom visits scheduled to	Administrative Team

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Suspension Goal(s)

## Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Dropout Prevention				
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:	We will maintain and ensure a 0 % drop out rate.			
*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.				
2012 Current Dropout Rate:	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:			

0%	0%			0%		
2012	Current Graduation Ra	ite:	2013 Expecte	d Graduation Rate:		
100.00%						
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	All our students graduated with a high school diploma and 80% of our students	2. Conduct periodic credit history.	1 1.1. Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselors and Teachers	1.1. Referrals and conference logs	1.1. Graduation	
2	1.2. Socioeconomically challenged students face housing and other needs that interfere with their daily performance.	1.2 Socioeconomically challenged students face housing and other needs that interfere with their daily performance	1.2. Counselors	1.2 Grade book and student files.	1.2. Report cards and transcripts.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Graduation Requirements	11112	Guidance Counselors	School Wide	November 6, 2012	Monitor parent sign- in roster and contact parents who did not attend the training.	Student Services Chair

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s	)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Honor Roll Luncheon	Luncheon	EESAC	\$800.00
School Wide Picnic	Luncheon	Clubs	\$1,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$1,800.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Food	EESAC	\$500.00
		Subtotal: \$500.00
		Grand Total: \$2,300.00
	Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources	Description of ResourcesFunding SourceNo DataNo DataDescription of ResourcesFunding Source

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

## Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of pare ed of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	I reference to "Gui	ding Questions", identify	and define areas	
1. Pa	rent Involvement					
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.			matriculation, percentage po who attend wo	Given school wide emphasis on post-secondary matriculation, parental involvement will increase by 1 percentage point as evidence by the number of parents who attend workshops scheduled by School for Advanced Studies throughout the 2012-2013.school year.		
2012	Current Level of Parer	it Involvement:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invol	vement:	
81.3	81.3			82.3		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1		these workshops will be provided with a hard copy of the power point presentation and any		1.1 Parent attendance log and survey will allow school personnel to gauge program effectiveness.	1. Parent logs will be maintained and evaluated by the administration to ascertain progress of this objective.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Financial Aid 101	11,12	Student Services Chair	Student Services Department	December 19, 2012	Parent Participation Log and Survey	Student Services Chair
College 101	11,12	Student Services Chair	Student Services Department		Parent Participation Log and Survey	Student Services Chair
CAP Overview	11,12	Student Services Chair	Student Services Department	Santambar 6 17	Parent Participation Log and Survey	Student Services Chair

Parent Involvement Budget:

Chaptern	Description of Description		Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
EESAC Parent Breakfast	Food, Workbook, and Certificates	Special Purpose/Corporate Donation	\$200.00
			Subtotal: \$200.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. ST	EM					
STEN	1 Goal #1:		N/A			
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

## Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:								
1. CT	1. CTE								
CTE Goal #1: N/A									
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1	N/A								

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	d		

CTE Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

## Additional Goal(s)

## Post-Secondary Goal Goal:

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	f student achievement data for the following group:	a, and i	reference t	o "Guiding Questions",	identify and define areas
1. Post-Secondary Goal Goal Post-Secondary Goal Goal #1:			Given emphasis on transitioning students to post secondary institutions and increasing the number of students meeting graduation requirements at Miami Dade College, the number of students earning an Associate in Arts degree at School for Advanced Studies in the 2011- 2012 school year will increase by three percentage points to 41% (100/243) when compared to the number of students who earned their Associate of Arts degree in the 2010-2011 school year 38% (94/243).		
2012 Current level:			2013 Expected level:		
38% (94/243)			41% (100/243)		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy For		on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	o Data S	Submitted	•	·

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement			reference	to "Guiding Questions",	, identify and define areas	
1. Post-Secondary Go Post-Secondary Goal			secondary students r College, tl Arts degre 2012 scho to 41% (1 students	he number of students ee at School for Advar ool year will increase b 00/243) when compar	asing the number of quirements at Miami Dade s earning an Associate in need Studies in the 2011- by three percentage points red to the number of iciate of Arts degree in	
2012 Current level:	2012 Current level:			2013 Expected level:		
38% (94/243)	38% (94/243)			41% (100/243)		
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	t	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data :	Submitted		•	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	lent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

## FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	5 Steps to a 5: AP US History	Study Guides	General Ed Funds	\$7,475.00
Mathematics	N/A			\$0.00
Science	N/A			\$0.00
Writing	5 Steps to a 5: AP English Language	Supplementary Instructional Workbook	General Funds	\$7,475.00
Writing	Summer Writing Institute	Personnel Cost	General Funds	\$9,000.00
Dropout Prevention	Honor Roll Luncheon	Luncheon	EESAC	\$800.00
Dropout Prevention	School Wide Picnic	Luncheon	Clubs	\$1,000.00
				Subtotal: \$25,750.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Mathematics				\$0.00
Science				\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Mathematics				\$0.00
Science				\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Mathematics				\$0.00
Science				\$0.00
Writing	AP Review Sessions	Hourly	General Funds	\$8,250.00
Attendance	Campus Wide Attendance Contest	Awards	EESAC Funds	\$1,500.00
Dropout Prevention	Ice Cream Social	Food	EESAC	\$500.00
Parent Involvement	EESAC Parent Breakfast	Food, Workbook, and Certificates	Special Purpose/Corporate Donation	\$200.00
				Subtotal: \$10,450.00
				Grand Total: \$36,200.00

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority

jm Focus jm Prevent

ja NA

Are you a reward school:  $j \cap Yes = j \cap No$ 

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/10/2012)

## School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

### Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
EESAC funds are to be used for academic and behavioral incentives, student rewards, student prizes, food, and other student-related expenses that otherwise have no funding source.	\$2,800.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The EESAC committee meets on a monthly basis in order to approve and monitor the SAS School Improvement Plan. The EESAC committee stays abreast of SIP activity by obtaining timely progress reports. Members provide insight and perspective into various facets of the Plan at all phases, from planning to fruition. The EESAC committee serves as the core source for parental feedback in all areas of school operation. Committee members are invaluable in recruitment efforts at all sites through community outreach. Members will provide valuable resources for faculty and student development. The principal keeps the EESAC committee informed of all funds at their disposal. The EESAC committee controls the quantity and distribution of these funds and ensures that both the letter and spirit of their intent are fulfilled for the enhancement of our students' educational experience. The EESAC committee provides specific parameters for spending according to the complexity of any given activity. Once these parameters are approved, the school site exercises a comfortable degree of autonomy in implementing the details.

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

## SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found