# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: FULFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Dade

Principal: Dr. Jean E. Teal

SAC Chair: Joella Nortelus

Superintendent: Alberto M. Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: November 22, 2011

Last Modified on: 10/11/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

## PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position  | Name                | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)  | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO Progress along with the<br>associated school year)  |
|-----------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Principal | Dr. Jean E.<br>Teal | Bachelor of Arts<br>– Elementary<br>Education,<br>University of<br>South Florida;<br>Master of<br>Science –<br>Elementary<br>Education, Nova<br>Southeastern<br>University; | 3                                     | 14                                   | 2011-2012<br>Grade: C<br>AMO Rdg: 65%<br>AMO Math: 76%<br>High Standards Reading: 41%<br>High Standards Math: 51%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 69%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 56%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 75%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 68%<br>2010-2011<br>Grade: C<br>Students Not Making a Satisfactory<br>Progress: No<br>High Standards Reading: 58%<br>High Standards Reading: 58%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 50%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 50%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 59%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 41%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 61% |

|                         | Doctorate of<br>Education –<br>Educational<br>Leadership, Nova<br>Southeastern<br>University  |   |   | Students Not Making a Satisfactory<br>Progress: No<br>High Standards Reading.: 71%<br>High Standards Math: 75%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 69%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 64%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 69%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 69%<br>2008-2009<br>Grade: N/A<br>Students Not Making a Satisfactory<br>Progress: Yes<br>High Standards Reading.: 14%<br>High Standards Reading.: 14%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 35%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 71%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 36%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 79%   |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Ars. Mileydis<br>orrens | Bachelor of Arts<br>– Elementary<br>Education,<br>Florida<br>International<br>University;<br>Master of<br>Science – Early<br>Childhood<br>Education,<br>Florida<br>International<br>University;<br>Specialist –<br>Educational<br>Leadership,<br>Florida<br>International<br>University | 1 | 1 | 2011-2012<br>Grade: C<br>AMO Rdg. 65%<br>AMO Math 76%<br>High Standards Reading: 41%<br>High Standards Reading: 41%<br>High Standards Reading: 69%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 69%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 56%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 75%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 75%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 68%<br>2010-2011<br>Grade: A<br>Students Not Making a Satisfactory<br>Progress: No<br>High Standards Reading: 79%<br>High Standards Math: 81%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 69%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 69%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 65%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 66%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 66%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 72%<br>2009-2010<br>Grade: A<br>Students Not Making a Satisfactory<br>Progress: No<br>High Standards Reading: 72%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 72%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 72%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 72%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 64%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 70%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 70%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 71%<br>High Standards Reading: 77%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 77%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 73% |

### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)∕<br>Certification(s) | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Instructional<br>Coach | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO progress along with the<br>associated school year)   |
|--------------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
|              |      |                                |                                       |   | 2011-2012<br>Grade: A<br>AMO Rdg: 88%<br>AMO Math: 92%<br>High Standards Reading: 77%<br>High Standards Math: 80%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 80%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 78%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 77%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 68%<br>2010-2011 |

| Reading | Mrs. Irmine<br>Butts | Elem.<br>Ed./ESOL/Reading | 1 | 2 | Grade: C<br>Students Not Making Satisfactory Progress:<br>No<br>High Standards Reading: 69%<br>High Standards Math: 72%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 66%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 61%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 50%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 60%<br>2009-2010<br>Grade: C<br>Students Not Making Satisfactory Progress:<br>No<br>High Standards Reading: 66%<br>High Standards Reading: 59%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 55%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 41%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 41%<br>Gains-Math-lowest 25%: 44%<br>2008-2009<br>Grade: A<br>Students Not Making Satisfactory Progress:<br>No<br>High Standards Reading: 72%<br>High Standards Math: 74%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 67%<br>Learning Gains-Reading: 67%<br>Learning Gains-Math: 66%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 58%<br>Gains-Reading-lowest 25%: 69% |
|---------|----------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|
|---------|----------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|

### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|   | Description of Strategy                             | Person<br>Responsible                             | Projected<br>Completion<br>Date | Not Applicable (If not, please<br>explain why) |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 1. Teachers will be paired with a mentor teacher.   | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal,<br>Teachers | June 2013                       |  |
| 2 | 2. Monthly Professional Learning Communities (PLC). | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal,<br>Teachers | June 2013                       |  |
| 3 | 3. Continuous utilization of IPEGS.                 | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal,<br>Teachers | June 2013                       |  |
| 4 | 4. School-based Teacher Incentive Programs.         | Principal,<br>Assistant<br>Principal,<br>Teachers | June 2013                       |  |

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of<br>staff and<br>paraprofessional<br>that are<br>teaching out-<br>of-field/ and<br>who are not<br>highly<br>effective. | Provide the strategies<br>that are being<br>implemented to<br>support the staff in<br>becoming highly<br>effective |
|---|--|
| 2   | Provide support for<br>completing HOUSSE.  |

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number<br>of<br>Instructional<br>Staff | % of<br>First-Year<br>Teachers |           | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 6-14<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 15+<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with<br>Advanced<br>Degrees | % Highly<br>Effective<br>Teachers | % Reading | % National<br>Board<br>Certified<br>Teachers | % ESOL<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
| 32   | 6.3%(2)                        | 31.3%(10) | 34.4%(11)   | 28.1%(9)   | 40.6%(13)                                       | 71.9%(23)                         | 12.5%(4)  | 0.0%(0)                                      | 81.3%(26)                      |

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name       | Mentee<br>Assigned      | Rationale<br>for Pairing                                  | Planned Mentoring<br>Activities  |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| Janet Hanmer      | Joline Green            | New to the<br>building and<br>the teaching<br>assignment. | The mentor and mentee<br>will meet in order to<br>develop rigorous lesson<br>plans for both whole<br>group and differentiated<br>instruction. Resources will<br>also be provided to the<br>mentee. |
| Rene Palmer       | Alexcia Buggs           | New to grade<br>level.                                    | The mentor and the<br>mentee will meet in order<br>to share best practices for<br>whole group and small<br>group instruction.  |
| Rene Palmer       | Sherise<br>Robinson     | New to the<br>building and<br>the teaching<br>assignment. | The mentor and mentee<br>will meet in order to<br>develop rigorous lesson<br>plans for both whole<br>group and differentiated<br>instruction. Resources will<br>also be provided to the<br>mentee. |
| Maria Caldera     | Ruth Gaillard-<br>Leger | New to the<br>building and<br>the teaching<br>assignment. | The mentor and mentee<br>will meet in order to<br>develop rigorous lesson<br>plans for both whole<br>group and differentiated<br>instruction. Resources will<br>also be provided to the<br>mentee. |
| Rosemica Bonhomme | Manuel<br>Barrios       | New to grade<br>level.                                    | The mentor and the<br>mentee will meet in order<br>to share best practices for<br>whole group and small<br>group instruction.  |

# ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

Fulford Elementary School staff ensures that students requiring additional remediation are assisted through differentiated instruction in reading and mathematics. Services are provided by the classroom teacher and through tutorial programs offered both during and after school. Summer school was offered to all third grade students that were retained and rising third graders in need of intervention.

Professional development is also provided for staff in order to ensure the new Common Core State Standards and rigorous instruction is addressed during instructional delivery. The Literacy/Leadership Team also works with school administrators to address the needs of the students as outlined by data results from various school-wide and district-wide assessments. In addition, focus calendars, which include systematic research-based materials, strategies, and activities addressing the targeted areas in need of improvement, are created.

Tutorial programs that provide early intervening services for children considered "at risk" are provided. On-going progress monitoring is a continuous component of the data driven instruction at Fulford Elementary School.

Fulford Elementary School provides services and support to migrant students and parents. The District Migrant liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs and conducts a comprehensive needs assessment of migrant students to ensure that the unique needs of migrant students are met. Students are also provided extended learning opportunities by the Title I, Part C, Migrant Education Program.

#### Title I, Part D

Fulford Elementary School coordinates services with the district to support the Educational Alternative Outreach program and Drop-out Prevention programs.

Title II

The District uses supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows:

- training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program
- training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL
- training and substitute release time for Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) at each school focusing on Professional
- Learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, as well as Lesson Study Group implementation and protocols.

Title III

Title III funds are used to supplement and enhance the programs for English Language Learner (ELL) by providing funds to implement and/or provide:

- tutorial programs (K-5)
- parent outreach activities (PK-5)
- professional development on best practices for ESOL and content area teachers
- coaching and mentoring for ESOL and content area teachers(PK-5)
- reading and supplementary instructional materials(PK-5)
- purchase of supplemental hardware and software for the development of language and literacy skills in reading,
- mathematics and science, is purchased for selected schools to be used by ELL students (PK-5, RFP Process)

The above services will be provided should funds become available for the 2012-2013 school year and should the FLDOE approve the application.

#### Title X- Homeless

Miami-Dade County Public Schools' School Board approved the School Board Policy 5111.01 titled, Homeless Students. The board policy defines the McKinney-Vento Law and ensures homeless students receive all the services they are entitled to.
 The Homeless Assistance Program seeks to ensure a successful educational experience for homeless children by

collaborating with parents, schools, and the community.

• Project Upstart, Homeless Children & Youth Program assists schools with the identification, enrollment, attendance, and transportation of homeless students. All schools are eligible to receive services and will do so upon identification and classification of a student as homeless.

• The Homeless Liaison provides training for school registrars on the procedures for enrolling homeless students and for school counselors on the McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance Act-ensuring homeless children and youth are not to be stigmatized or separated, segregated, or isolated on their status as homeless-and are provided with all entitlements.

• Project Upstart provides a homeless sensitivity, awareness campaign to all the schools - each school is provided a video and curriculum manual, and a contest is sponsored by the homeless trust-a community organization.

Project Upstart provides tutoring and counseling to twelve homeless shelters in the community.

• The District Homeless Student Liaison continues to participate in community organization meetings and task forces as it relates to homeless children and youth.

• A school based homeless coordinator to be trained on the McKinney-Vento Law ensuring appropriate services are provided to the homeless students.

#### Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Fulford Elementary School will receive funding from Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) allocation.

Violence Prevention Programs

Teachers will be provided with orientation and training in Bullying and Harassment Policy and Curriculum Program. Students will receive classroom awareness regarding bullying and harassment by the school counselor. District-wide vignettes viewed in classrooms for classroom discussion and reinforcement.

During the month of October, students will participate in weekly "Say No to Drugs" activities. Students will also participate in Bully-Free activities during blue ribbon week in the month of May.

Nutrition Programs

1) Selected to participate in the USDA Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program school-wide.

2) The school adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements stated in the District Wellness Policy.

3) Nutrition education, as per state statute, is taught through physical education.

4) The School Food Service Program, school breakfast, school lunch, and after care snacks, follows the Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the District's Wellness Policy.

Housing Programs

| N/A |  |  |
|-----|--|--|
|     |  |  |

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

N/A

Other

Fulford Elementary involves parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extends an open invitation to our school's parent resource center in order to inform parents regarding available programs, their rights under No Child Left Behind and other referral services.

Increase parental engagement/involvement through developing (with on-going parental input) our Title I School-Parent Compact (for each student); our school's Title I Parental Involvement Policy; scheduling the Title I Orientation Meeting (Open House); and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements.

Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops, Parent Academy Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parents' schedule as part of our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement.

Complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly School Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913 03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118. Additionally, the M-DCPS Title I Parent/Family Survey, distributed to schools by Title I Administration, is to be completed by parents/families annually in May. The Survey's results are to be used to assist with revising our Title I parental documents for the approaching school year.

Confidential "as-needed services" will be provided to any students in the school in "homeless situations" as applicable. Additional academic and support services will be provided to students and families of the Migrant population as applicable.

Fulford Elementary receives funding under the School Improvement Grant Fund/School Improvement Grant Initiative in order to increase the achievement of the lowest performing subgroups through comprehensive, ongoing data analysis, curriculum and instruction alignment, and specific interventions such as extended day remedial tutorial instruction, differentiated instruction/intervention, classroom libraries, and Project CRISS. Additionally, Title I School Improvement Grant/Fund support funding and assistance to schools in Differentiated Accountability based on need.

At Fulford Elementary we provide the Heiken Children's Vision Program in collaboration with the Miami Lighthouse and Division of Student Services Comprehensive Health Program.

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

At Fulford Elementary School the school-based MTSS/RtI Team is an extension of the school's Leadership Team, strategically integrated in order to support the administration through a process of problem solving as issues and concerns arise through an ongoing, systematic examination of available data with the goal of impacting student achievement, school safety, school culture, literacy, attendance, student social/emotional well being, and prevention of student failure through early intervention.

1. MTSS/Rt1 leadership is vital, therefore, in building our team Fulford Elementary School considered the following:

· Administrators who will ensure commitment and allocate resources;

• Teachers and the Reading Coach will extend and report on meeting the goals of the leadership team at grade level, subject area, and intervention group, problem solving

• Team members who will meet to review consensus, infrastructure, and implementation of building level.

2. The school's Leadership Team will include additional personnel as resources to the team, based on specific problems or concerns as warranted, such as:

• School reading, math, science, and behavior specialists

- Special education personnel
- School guidance counselor
- School psychologist
- School social worker
- Member of advisory group

3. Community stakeholders MTSS/RtI is a general education initiative in which the levels of support (resources) are allocated in direct proportion to student needs. MTSS/RtI uses increasingly more intense instruction and interventions.

• The first level of support is the core instructional and behavioral methodologies, practices, and supports designed for all students in the general curriculum.

• The second level of support consists of supplemental instruction and interventions provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and behavioral supports to groups of targeted students who need additional instructional and/or behavioral support.

• The third level of support consists of intensive instructional and/or behavioral interventions provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and the supplemental instruction and interventions with the goal of increasing an individual student's rate of progress academically and/or behaviorally.

There will be an ongoing evaluation method established for services at each tier to monitor the effectiveness of meeting Fulford Elementary School's goals and student growth as measured by benchmark and progress monitoring data. The MTSS/Rtl four step problem-solving model will be used to plan, monitor, and revise instruction and intervention. The four steps are problem identification, problem analysis, intervention implementation, and response evaluation.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The following steps will be considered by Fulford Elementary School's Leadership Team to address how we can utilize the MTSS/RtI process to enhance data collection, data analysis, problem solving, differentiated assistance, and progress monitoring.

The Leadership Team will:

1. Use the Tier 1 Problem Solving process to set Tier 1 goals, monitor academic and behavior data evaluating progress at least three times per year by addressing the following important questions:

• What will all students learn? (curriculum based on standards)

- What progress is expected in each core area?
- How will we determine if students have made expected levels of progress towards proficiency? (common assessments)
- How will we respond when grades, subject areas, or class of, or individual students have not learned? (Response to Intervention problem solving process and monitoring progress of interventions)

• How will we respond when students have learned or already know? (enrichment opportunities).

2. Gather and analyze data at all Tiers to determine professional development for faculty as indicated by group or individual student diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment.

3. Hold regular team meetings. Use the four step problem solving process as the basis for goal setting, planning, and program evaluation during all team meetings that focus on increasing student achievement or behavioral success.

4. Gather ongoing progress monitoring (OPM) for all interventions and analyze that data using the Tier 2 problem solving process after each OPM.

5. Maintain communication with staff for input and feedback, as well as updating them on procedures and progress.

6. Support a process and structure within the school to design, implement, and evaluate both daily instruction and specific interventions.

7. Provide clear indicators of student need and student progress, assisting in examining the validity and effectiveness of program delivery.

8. Assist with monitoring and responding to the needs of subgroups within the expectations for meeting Annual Measurable Objectives.

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will also collaborate with other school teams to improve student achievement through sharing of evidence-based practices, analyzing data for progress monitoring, root cause analysis; and, aligning support (instruction and Interventions). In addition, programs provided at various facilities will be discussed in order to address the specific needs of the students.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team at Fulford Elementary School has an active role in the development and implementation of the School Improvement Plan (SIP). The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team along with the SIP Writing Committee will analyze and disaggregate data presented in the SIP. Academic and behavioral goals will be monitored and adjusted through data gathering and data analysis. The fidelity of the delivery of instruction and intervention will be monitored and different levels of support will be provided to students as outlined by the data. Individualized Educational Plans (IEPs) will be developed, implemented, and monitored for maximum student success.

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team meets with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and Principal to help develop the SIP. The team provides data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that need to be addressed; helps set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitates the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligns processes and procedures.

#### MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Fulford Elementary School will utilize data to guide instructional decisions and system procedures for all students to:

- · adjust the delivery of curriculum and instruction to meet the specific needs of students
- · adjust the delivery of behavior management system
- · adjust the allocation of school-based resources
- · drive decisions regarding targeted professional development
- create student growth trajectories in order to identify and develop interventions

Managed data will include:

#### Academic

• FAIR assessment (Broad Screening, Progress Monitoring, Targeted Diagnostic Indicators, Broad Diagnostic Indicators, Ongoing Progress Monitoring Tools, Phonics Screening Inventory

- Oral Reading Fluency Measures
- Voyager Checkpoints
- Voyager Benchmark Assessments
- Baseline Benchmark Assessments
- Success Maker Utilization and Progress Reports
- Interim assessments
- State/Local Math and Science assessments
- FCAT
- Student grades
- School site specific assessments

#### Behavior

- Student Case Management System
- Detentions
- Suspensions/expulsions
- · Referrals by student behavior, staff behavior, and administrative context
- Office referrals per day per month
- Team climate surveys
- Attendance
- Referrals to special education programs

Data will be analyzed and interpreted through regularly scheduled data chats in order to adjust the Tier 1 core instructional practices being implemented through the general curriculum, develop Tier 2 supplemental instruction and interventions for targeted students that is aligned to the core instruction, and prescribe Tier 3 intensive instructional or behavioral intervention for individual students that is aligned with all core instructional methodologies.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

An informational review session will be provided for all teachers during the opening of school faculty meeting in order to train staff on MTSS as an essential element to our curriculum program, and to reinforce its significance for student achievement. Professional development will be provided during teachers' common planning time and small sessions will occur throughout the year to discuss data-based decision-making, and supporting and evaluating interventions. The MTSS Leadership team will also provide updates during faculty meetings as needed.

In addition, the district will provide professional development and support which includes training for all administrators in the RtI problem solving at Tiers 1, 2, and 3 (SST), using the Tier 1 Problem Solving Worksheet, Tier 2 Problem Solving Worksheet,

and Tier 3 Problem Solving Worksheet and Intervention Plan, and providing support for school staff to understand basic MTSS/RtI principles and procedures; and providing a network of ongoing support for MTSS/RtI organized through feeder patterns.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Based upon the information from http://www.florida-rti.org/educatorResources/MTSS\_Book\_ImplComp\_012612.pdf, but not limited to the following, Fulford Elementary plans to support MTSS through:

1. Effective, actively involved, and resolute leadership that frequently provides visible connections between a MTSS framework with district & school mission statements and organizational improvement efforts.

2. Alignment of policies and procedures across classroom, grade, building, district, and state levels.

3. Ongoing efficient facilitation and accurate use of a problem-solving process to support planning, implementing, and evaluating effectiveness of services.

4. Strong, positive, and ongoing collaborative partnerships with all stakeholders who provide education services or who otherwise would benefit from increases in student outcomes.

5. Comprehensive, efficient, and user-friendly data-systems for supporting decision-making at all levels from the individual student level up to the aggregate district level.

6. Sufficient availability of coaching supports to assist school team and staff problem-solving efforts.

7. Ongoing data-driven professional development activities that align to core student goals and staff needs.

8. Communicating outcomes with stakeholders and celebrating success frequently.

### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) consists of the Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, SPED Representative, Instructional Coaches, Media Specialist, ESOL Chair, and Grade Level Chairs.

Dr. Jean E. Teal, Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the schoolbased team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Ms. Mileydis Torrens, Assistant Principal: Assists in providing a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Ms. Tisha Harper, School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Ms. Kelly Twala, Social Worker: Collects information on students' social/emotional history; shares gathered data with the RTI team; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support to parents and staff on implementation of intervention plans.

TBA, Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills.

TBA, School Counselor: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, the school counselor will continue to link child service and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Mr. Marvin Boyd, SPED Representative: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as consultation.

Ms. Irmine Butts, Instructional Reading Coach: Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans.

Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches.

Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Ms. Rosalina Rodriguez-Soto, ESOL Chair: Updates the team on new policies related to the ESOL program. Identifies strategies, interventions, and best practices that will serve in the best interest of the ESOL student population.

Mr. Bernett Souffrant, Ms. Renee Palmer, Ms. Janet Hanmer, Ms. Robbi Mills, Ms. Joella Nortelus, and Ms. Ivonne Rosales, Grade Level Chairs (Primary and Intermediate): Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team meetings will be held monthly to review and discuss student progress, instructional focus, intervention programs, disaggregation of data, academic and behavioral adjustments and additional programs as needed.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives of the Literacy Leadership Team this year will be to implement the following:

- Have representation from all curricular areas on the LLT.
- Select team members who are skilled and committed to improving literacy.
- Provide professional growth opportunities for team members and instructional staff.
- Create a collaborative environment that fosters sharing and learning between all grade levels.
- Develop and implement a school-wide organizational model that supports literacy instruction in all classes.
- Utilize data to improve teaching and student achievement in all subgroups.
- Create a school-based library of exemplar texts.

• Identify systematic research-based programs, activities, and resources that will enhance the rigorous instruction as the transition from the Next Generation State Standards to Common Core State Standards is made.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/7/2012)

### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Title I Administration assists the school by providing supplemental funds beyond the State of Florida funded Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten Program (VPK). Funds are used to provide extended support through a full time highly qualified teacher and paraprofessional. This will assist with providing young children with a variety of meaningful learning experiences, in environments that give them opportunities to create knowledge through initiatives shared with supportive adults. In selected school communities, the Title I Program further provides assistance for preschool transition through the Home Instruction for Parents of Preschool Youngsters (HIPPY) Program. HIPPY provides in-home training for parents to become more involved in the educational process of their three- and four-year old children. Fulford Elementary School's Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten program (VPK) consists of a teacher and paraprofessional. These individuals are responsible for implementing strategies for an easy transition into Kindergarten. The students in VPK are registered for a full day of instruction from 8:20 a.m. until 1:50 p.m. During this time, students are engaged in whole group instruction and every 15 to 20 minutes the students are in skilled groups focusing on specific benchmarks and fine or gross motor skills. The students are required to utilize technology in the classroom and engage in the Pre-School Riverdeep program daily. The teacher performs every three months a benchmark assessment from the Houghton Mifflin Reading Series which indicates the student's area of strength and opportunities for improvement. These tri-monthly benchmark assessments will determine the child's readiness for the kindergarten curriculum. For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

### \*High Schools Only

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

### Postsecondary Transition

#### Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

N/A

# PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

# Reading Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1a.F<br>read | CAT2.0: Students scorin  | g at Achievement Level 3  |  | ne 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading<br>% (56) achieved proficien  |   |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|---|
|              | ding Goal #1a:   |   | percentage of s  | 2012-2013 school year is<br>tudents achieving proficie<br>its to 30% (79).   |   |
| 2012         | 2 Current Level of Perforr   | mance:  | 2013 Expected  | Level of Performance:  |   |
| 21%          | (56)   |   | 30% (79)   |  |   |
|              | Pi   | roblem-Solving Process  | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement   |   |
|              | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1            | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 3: 50% Reading<br>Application- Identify<br>Author's Purpose in text<br>and how Author's<br>Perspective influences<br>text | Grade 3<br>Use grade-level<br>appropriate texts that<br>include identifiable<br>author's purpose for<br>writing, including<br>informing, telling a story,<br>conveying a particular<br>mood, entertaining or<br>explaining.   | Administration<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team          | administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar addressing<br>specific benchmarks   | Formative:<br>School-site<br>Reading Response<br>Journals/Logs<br>Student work<br>folders<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment |
| 2            | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 4: 62% Literary<br>Analysis   | Grade 4<br>Teach students to<br>identify and interpret<br>elements of story<br>structure within and<br>across texts. Help<br>students understand<br>character development,<br>character point of view<br>by asking "What does he<br>think, what is his attitude<br>toward and what did<br>he say to let me know?"<br>Use poetry to practice<br>identifying descriptive<br>language that defines<br>moods and provides<br>imagery. Note how<br>authors use figurative<br>language such as similes,<br>metaphors, and<br>personification. | Literacy Leadership<br>Team                            | assessments and<br>conduct data chats<br>focusing on story<br>structure, character<br>development, character<br>point of view, and<br>descriptive and figurative<br>language following | 2013 FCAT 2.0   |
|              | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 5: 57%<br>Informational Text/57%<br>Reading Application   | Student will be given<br>opportunities to use<br>how-to articles,<br>brochures, fliers and<br>other real-world<br>documents to identify<br>text features (subtitles,  | Literacy Leadership<br>Team                            | Ongoing classroom<br>assessments focusing on<br>students' ability to make<br>connections within and<br>across text.  | Formative:<br>Monthly<br>Assessments<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading  |

| 3 |   | headings, charts, graphs,<br>diagrams, etc.) and to<br>locate, interpret and<br>organize information.<br>Teacher will help<br>facilitate students to<br>recognize the<br>characteristics of reliable<br>and valid information, if<br>valid information is<br>correct or sound, and<br>reliable information is<br>dependable. Use<br>supporting facts within<br>and across texts.<br>A variety of grade-level<br>appropriate text will be<br>provided in order to allow<br>students to make<br>inferences and draw<br>conclusions, identify<br>author's purpose for<br>writing, identify casual<br>relationships, identify<br>text structures, and<br>identify topics and<br>themes within and across<br>texts. |                        |  | Assessment   |
|---|---|--|------------------------|--|--|
| 4 | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2011<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 3: 56%<br>Reading<br>Application /Informational<br>Texts | Grade 3<br>Utilize real-world<br>documents such as how-<br>to articles, brochures,<br>fliers and websites that<br>use text structure to  | RtI Leadership<br>Team | Ongoing classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar addressing<br>specific benchmarks<br>utilizing selected<br>graphic organizers.<br>Review the data with<br>students following the<br>District Interim<br>Assessments; Review<br>SuccessMaker, STAR,<br>FAIR(PMRN) data to<br>monitor and<br>modifyinstruction.  | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of Interim<br>Assessment<br>results<br>Summative:<br>2012 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment |
| 5 | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2011<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 4: 55% Literary<br>Analysis /57% Vocabulary              | Grade 4<br>Duringpre-reading<br>activities students will be<br>instructed in the use of<br>concept maps to help<br>build their general<br>knowledge of word<br>meanings, relationships,<br>the study of synonyms<br>and antonyms, and the<br>practice of recognizing<br>examples and non-<br>examples of word<br>relationships.  | RtI Leadership<br>Team | Ongoing classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar addressing<br>specific benchmarks<br>utilizing selected<br>graphic organizers.<br>Review the data with<br>students following the<br>District Interim<br>Assessments; Review<br>SuccessMaker, STAR,<br>FAIR(PMRN) data to<br>monitor and modify<br>instruction. | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of Interim<br>Assessment<br>results<br>Summative:<br>2012 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment |
|   | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2011<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 5: 62%   | Grade 5<br>Student will be given<br>opportunities to use<br>how-to articles,<br>brochures, fliers and  | RtI Leadership<br>Team | Ongoing classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus  | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of Interim<br>Assessment  |

| 6 | informational Text | other real-world<br>documents to identify<br>text features (subtitles,<br>headings, charts, graphs,<br>diagrams, etc) and to<br>locate, interpret and<br>organize information.<br>Teacher will help<br>facilitate students to<br>recognize the<br>characteristics of reliable<br>and valid<br>information, ifvalid<br>information is correct or<br>sound, and reliable<br>information is<br>dependable. Use<br>supporting facts within<br>and across texts. | spec<br>utiliz<br>grap<br>Revi<br>stud<br>Distr<br>Asse<br>Succ<br>FAIR<br>moni | cific benchmarks<br>zing selected<br>bhic organizers. | results<br>Summative:<br>2012 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment |
|---|--------------------|---|---|---|---|
|---|--------------------|---|---|---|---|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                     |                         |  |  |                 |  |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.<br>Reading Goal #1b:   |                     |                         | N/A  | N/A  |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                     |                         | 2013 Expected  | Level of Performance:  |                 |  |
| N/A  |                     |                         | N/A  | N/A  |                 |  |
|  | Pr                  | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement   |                 |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy                | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
| 1  | N/A                 | N/A                     | N/A  | N/A  | N/A             |  |

|                                    | I on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following  |                       | eferen   | ce to "Guiding  | Questions", identify and  | define areas in need  |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|
|                                    | CAT 2.0: Students scorin<br>4 in reading.   |                       |  | ne 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading<br>% (48) achieved proficier |   |   |
| Read                               | ing Goal #2a:   | pe                    | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency by 4 percentage points to 22% (58). |   |   |   |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |   |                       | 20   | 013 Expected  | Level of Performance:   |   |
| 18% (48)                           |   |                       |  | 22% (58)  |   |   |
|                                    | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process | to I nc  | rease Studer  | nt Achievement  |   |
|                                    | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy              | Res  | Person or<br>Position<br>ponsible for<br>lonitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|                                    | In grade 3, students<br>require exposure to<br>enrichment activities with<br>higher order thinking skills<br>in vocabulary. |                       | Litera<br>Team   |   | Ongoing classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of<br>assessment results |

| 1 |  | motivation, vocabulary<br>development, and<br>higher-order thinking<br>through the use of<br>classroom novels and<br>Time for Kids.  | Calendar addressing<br>specific benchmarks<br>utilizing selected graphic<br>organizers. Use of<br>EduSoft to provide<br>ongoing classroom<br>assessments focusing on<br>students' ability to read<br>advanced text. Review<br>the data with students<br>following the District<br>Interim Assessments. | Student work<br>folders<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| 2 | In grades 4 and 5,<br>students require<br>exposure to enrichment<br>activities with higher<br>order thinking skills in<br>reading application. | Grade 4 & 5<br>Students will be provided<br>additional opportunities<br>to practice analyzing the<br>author's perspective,<br>choice of words, style,<br>and technique to<br>understand how these<br>elements influence the<br>meaning of text.<br>Utilization of instructional<br>strategies will include:<br>graphic organizers (e.g.,<br>note taking, mapping);<br>summarization activities;<br>questioning the author;<br>anchoring conclusions<br>back to the text (e.g.,<br>explaining and justifying<br>decisions); opinion proofs<br>(e.g., giving an opinion,<br>finding facts to support<br>the opinion within text);<br>text marking (e.g.,<br>making margin notes,<br>highlighting). |  |   |

|   | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and re<br>group: | eference to "Guiding                                   | g Questions", identify and                                   | define areas in need |  |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in<br>reading.<br>Reading Goal #2b: |   |                                      | N/A  | N/A  |                      |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |   |                                      | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:                                      |                      |  |
| N/A   |   |                                      | N/A  | N/A  |                      |  |
|   | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t              | o Increase Stude                                       | nt Achievement   |                      |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                   | Strategy                             | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool      |  |
| 1   | N/A   | N/A                                  | N/A  | N/A  | N/A                  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.

Based on the 2012 FCAT Reading Assessment data, 69% (104) of students in grades three through five made learning gains.

| Read  | ing Goal #3a:   |  | number of stude  | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 74% (112).       |                       |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |   |  | 2013 Expected  | Level of Performance:   |                       |  |
| 69%   | (104)   |  | 74% (112)  |   |                       |  |
|   | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process  | o Increase Studer  | nt Achievement  |                       |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Too        |  |
| 1   | FCAT 2.0 Reading test,<br>the percent of students<br>making learning gains<br>increased by 19<br>percentage points as<br>compared to the<br>2011administration of the | Individualize instruction<br>as much as possible<br>through differentiated<br>groups and the use of<br>Computer Assisted<br>Programs (CAP), such as<br>SuccessMaker, and<br>Reading Plus technology<br>programs to assist<br>students with Reading<br>Application. | Literacy Leadership<br>Team<br>MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership Team | Reading teachers will<br>monitor the differentiated<br>instruction groups and<br>review monthly CAP<br>reports to ensure student<br>progress. | Edusoft<br>Comparison |  |
|   | d on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following  |  | eference to "Guiding                                       | Questions", identify and c  | lefine areas in nee   |  |
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Percentage of students making Learning Gains in<br>reading.<br>Reading Goal #3b: |   |  | N/A  |   |                       |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |   |  | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |                       |  |
| N/A   |   |  | N/A  | N/A   |                       |  |
|   | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process  | o Increase Studer  | nt Achievement  |                       |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | N/A                 | N/A      | N/A  | N/A  | N/A             |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.  | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that 75% (29) of students in grades three through five of the lowest 25% making learning gains made gains. |  |  |  |
| Reading Goal #4:   | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the lowest 25% making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 80% (31).                                    |  |  |  |

| 2012  | 2012 Current Level of Performance:                      |          |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |
|-------|---|----------|--|---|--|--|
| 75% ( | (29)  |          | 80% (31)   | 80% (31)  |  |  |
|       | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |  |   |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |  |
| 1     |   |          | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership Team                            | monitor intervention<br>groups and review Florida<br>Ready and Voyager<br>assessment data to<br>ensure student progress<br>after every 5th and 10th | Formative:<br>Voyager Passport<br>Assessments<br>Florida Ready Pre<br>and Post<br>Assessments<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Assessment |  |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target                       |           |           |           |                                      |                  |              |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year<br>school will reduce their achievement gap<br>by 50%. |           |           |           | a 2011-2017 is to<br>cudents by 50%. | reduce the perce | nt of non- 🔺 |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011  | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015                            | 2015-2016        | 2016-2017    |
|   | 47        | 52        | 57        | 61                                   | 66               |              |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| Reading Goal #5B:The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading Assessmentindicate that 38% (80) of the students in the Black subgroupachieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase proficiency by12 percentage points to 50% (105) of students in the Blacksubgroup achieving proficiency.The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessmentindicate that 54% (24) of the students in the Hispanicsubgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increaseproficiency by 8 percentage points to 62% (27) of students |
|--|
| in the Hispanic subgroup achieving proficiency.  |
| 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| White: N/A<br>Black: 50% (105)<br>Hispanic: 62% (27)<br>Asian: N/A<br>American Indian: N/A   |
|  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier                                 | Strategy                                    | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Black: 38% (80)<br>Hispanic: 54% (24)<br>Asian: N/A | FCAT Level 1 and 2 students, place students | Leadership<br>Team, Literacy<br>Leadership Team        | teachers will analyze<br>student performance                 | Formative:<br>Intervention<br>Checkpoints<br>Success Maker<br>Reports |

| 1 | As noted on the 2012<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading<br>Assessment, the Black<br>subgroup did not make<br>annual measurable<br>progress when compared<br>to the 2011 FCAT<br>Reading administration.<br>Limited opportunities for<br>communication between<br>classroom teacher and<br>interventionist to monitor<br>student academic<br>programs.    | progress through monthly<br>collaboration between<br>teacher and<br>interventionist.<br>Implement the use of<br>SuccessMaker to<br>enhance the instruction<br>of student subgroups<br>that did not make annual<br>measurable progress. | and SuccessMaker<br>reports biweekly to<br>ensure students are<br>making progress.<br>Modifications to the<br>intervention program and<br>SuccessMaker will be<br>implemented on an "as-<br>needs" basis. | District Interim<br>Assessments<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment |
|---|---|--|---|---|
|   | As noted on the 2012<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading<br>Assessment, the Hispanic<br>subgroup did not make<br>annual measurable<br>progress when compared<br>to the 2011 FCAT<br>Reading administration.<br>Limited opportunities for<br>communication between<br>classroom teacher and<br>interventionist to monitor<br>student academic<br>programs. |  |   |   |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need<br>of improvement for the following subgroup: |                   |                                      |            |  |                 |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--|-----------------|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making<br>satisfactory progress in reading.<br>Reading Goal #5C:   |                   | N/A                                  |            |  |                 |
| 2012 Current Level of P  | erformance:       |                                      | 2013 Exp   | ected Level of Performa                                      | nce:            |
| N/A  |                   | N/A                                  |            |  |                 |
| Problem-Solving Process to   |                   |                                      | ncrease St | udent Achievement  |                 |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy          | Pers<br>Posit<br>Resp<br>for<br>Moni |            | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|  | No Data Submitted |                                      |            |  |                 |

| ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee<br>f improvement for the following subgroup: |                                     |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making<br>satisfactory progress in reading.<br>Reading Goal #5D:   | N/A                                 |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |
| N/A   | N/A                                 |  |  |

|   | Pr                  | oblem-Solving Process 1 | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement   |                 |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy                | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A                 | N/A                     | N/A  | N/A  | N/A             |

|  | l on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following  | t achievement data, and re<br>subgroup:   | eferen               | ice to "Guiding   | Questions", identify and c  | define areas in need  |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|---|---|
| satisfactory progress in reading.<br>Reading Goal #5E: |   |   | in<br>Di<br>in<br>of | The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment<br>indicate that 41% (103) of the students in the Economically<br>Disadvantaged subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal is to<br>increase proficiency by 12 percentage points to 53% (133)<br>of students in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup<br>achieving proficiency. |   |   |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perform  | nance:  | 20                   | 013 Expected  | Level of Performance:   |   |
| 41% (  | (103)   |   | 53                   | 3% (133)  |   |   |
|  | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t   | to I nc              | rease Studen  | t Achievement   |   |
|  | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Res                  | Person or<br>Position<br>ponsible for<br>Ionitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1  | As noted on the 2012<br>FCAT Reading<br>Assessment, the<br>Economically<br>Disadvantaged subgroup<br>did not make annual<br>measurable progress<br>(AMO) The students in<br>this subgroup need more<br>instruction in Text<br>Structures/<br>Organizational Patterns. | Utilizing data to identify<br>FCAT Level 1 and 2<br>students, place students<br>in appropriate<br>interventions within the<br>first two weeks, and<br>monitor progress<br>biweekly. Provide<br>adequate professional<br>development to support<br>MTSS/RtI<br>implementation, data<br>collection and<br>interpretation, knowledge<br>of core content<br>standards/programs, and<br>research-based<br>intervention strategies.<br>Implement the use of<br>SuccessMaker to<br>enhance the instruction<br>of Economically<br>Disadvantaged students<br>not making satisfactory<br>progress. Implementation<br>of graphic organizers<br>outlined in the Five Text<br>Structure Chart and<br>anchoring conclusions<br>back to the text will be<br>facilitated. | Team<br>Leade        | ership<br>n, Literacy<br>ership Team  | Reading teachers will<br>analyze SuccessMaker<br>reports biweekly to<br>ensure students are<br>making progress and will<br>modify instruction to<br>meet students' individual<br>needs. | Formative:<br>Success Maker<br>Reports<br>District Interim<br>Assessments<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus                                | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide)            | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring                        | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| MTSS/RtI   | K-5                    | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership<br>Team         | School-Wide  | August 20, 2012-<br>June 6, 2013  | RtI Tier<br>Intervention<br>Programs                            | Administration,<br>Reading Coach,<br>School Counselor  |
| PMRN<br>(Interpretation<br>of FAIR data<br>and available<br>lessons) | K-5                    | Classroom<br>Reading<br>Teachers       | K-5 (Classroom<br>reading Teachers)  | October 24, 2012  | Grade-Level<br>Meetings, Data<br>Chats after FAIR<br>Assessment | Administration   |
| Reporting<br>Categories/Common<br>Core State<br>Standards<br>(CCSS)  | 3-5                    | Region/District<br>Personnel           | Classroom Reading<br>Teachers  | September 6,<br>2012-April 26,<br>2013  | Data chats with<br>Reading Teachers                             | Administration   |
| Refresher<br>Voyager<br>Passport                                     | K-5                    | Reading Coach                          | K-5 Classrooms<br>Teachers   | September 6,<br>2012  | Results of Interim<br>and Monthly<br>Assessments                | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership Team                            |
| Refresher<br>Reading Plus<br>and<br>SuccessMaker                     | K-5                    | Region/District<br>Personnel           | K-5 Reading<br>Teachers for<br>SuccessMaker<br>3-5 Reading<br>Teachers for<br>Reading Plus | September 26,<br>2012   | Reading Plus<br>Reports and<br>SuccessMaker<br>Reports          | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership Team                            |

Reading Budget:

| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Utilize data to identify FCAT Level 1<br>and 2 students, place students in<br>appropriate interventions and<br>monitor progress. | Florida Ready            | Title I        | \$1,330.78              |
|  |                          |                | Subtotal: \$1,330.78    |
| Technology   |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|  |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development   |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|  |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other  |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|  |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
|  |                          |                | Grand Total: \$1,330.78 |

End of Reading Goals

# Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. | Based on the 2012 Florida Comprehensive English          |
|---|--|
|   | Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) data, 27% (48)      |
| CELLA Goal #1:  | of students in grades Kindergarten through five have met |
|   | proficiency in Listening/Speaking.                       |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

27% (48)

|   | Prol   | olem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement   |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1 | Students need to<br>acquire the common<br>background and<br>experiences other peers<br>have in order to<br>establish a<br>communication path<br>between the speaker<br>and listener. | Teacher-led groups will<br>be utilized in order to<br>address<br>Listening/Speaking. The<br>Teacher-led groups will<br>include whole-class,<br>small group, and<br>individual instruction<br>introducing material,<br>summing-up the<br>conclusions made by<br>individual groups,<br>meeting the common<br>needs of a large or<br>small group, and<br>providing individual<br>attention or instruction. | Assistant<br>Principal, ESOL                           | visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Teacher-led groups.<br>Following each school | District Interim<br>Assessments<br>Summative:<br>2013 CELLA |

| Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5,  | Based on the 2012 Florida Comprehensive English<br>Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) data, 27% (48) |  |  |  |
|   | of students in grades Kindergarten through five have met proficiency in Reading.                       |  |  |  |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

27% (48)

| Prot   | olem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | nt Achievement  |  |
|--|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Strategy  | Evaluation Toc                                       |
| vocabulary needed in<br>order to read and fully<br>comprehend text read. | organized collection of | Principal, Teacher                                     | visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of<br>interactive word walls.<br>Following each FAIR | Assessment<br>Summative:<br>2013 CELLA<br>Assessment |

| 1 | reading and writing,<br>promote independence<br>on the part of young<br>students as they work<br>with words in writing<br>and reading, provide a<br>visual map to help<br>students remember<br>connections between<br>words and the<br>characteristics that will<br>help them form<br>categories, develop a<br>growing core of words<br>that become part of a<br>reading and writing<br>vocabulary. |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
|---|---|--|--|--|

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

| Based on the 2012 Florida Comprehensive English          |
|--|
| Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) data, 19% (34)      |
| of students in grades Kindergarten through five have met |
| proficiency in Writing.                                  |
|  |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

19% (34)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | and understanding of<br>conventions of the<br>written English<br>language. | Instruction on process<br>writing: planning,<br>drafting, revising,<br>editing, and publishing<br>(according to each<br>child's individual writing<br>level), as well as,<br>sharing and responding<br>to writing will be<br>implemented with the<br>ELL Learners. | Principal, Teacher                                     | visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of<br>process writing. Writing<br>teachers will utilize the<br>writing rubric to score<br>students' writing and | Summative:      |

### CELLA Budget:

| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|            |                          | •              | Subtotal: \$0.0     |
| Technology |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|            | •                        | •              | Subtotal: \$0.0     |

| Ava<br>An    | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|
|              | No Data        | No Data                  | No Data  |
| Subtotal:    | -              |                          |          |
|              |                |                          | Other    |
| Ava<br>An    | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy |
|              | No Data        | No Data                  | No Data  |
| Subtotal:    |                |                          |          |
| Grand Total: |                |                          |          |
|              |                |                          |          |
| End of CEL   |                |                          |          |

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.   | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment indicate that 32% (85) achieved proficiency (Level 3)                               |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics Goal #1a:  | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency by 6 percentage points to 38% (100). |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| 32% (85)   | 38% (100)   |  |  |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |  |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |  |  |
| 1 | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 3: 50% Reading<br>Application- Identify<br>Author's Purpose in text<br>and how Author's<br>Perspective influences<br>text | Grade 3<br>Use grade-level<br>appropriate texts that<br>include identifiable<br>author's purpose for<br>writing, including<br>informing, telling a story,<br>conveying a particular<br>mood, entertaining or<br>explaining.   | Administration<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team          | Ongoing classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar addressing<br>specific benchmarks<br>utilizing selected graphic<br>organizers. Using Reading<br>Response Journals/Logs<br>during the reading<br>instruction. | Formative:<br>School-site<br>Reading Response<br>Journals/Logs<br>Student work<br>folders<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment           |  |  |
| 2 | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 4: 62% Literary<br>Analysis   | Grade 4<br>Teach students to<br>identify and interpret<br>elements of story<br>structure within and<br>across texts. Help<br>students understand<br>character development,<br>character point of view<br>by asking "What does he<br>think, what is his attitude<br>toward and what did<br>he say to let me know?"<br>Use poetry to practice<br>identifying descriptive<br>language that defines<br>moods and provides<br>imagery. Note how<br>authors use figurative<br>language such as similes,<br>metaphors, and<br>personification. |  | assessments and<br>conduct data chats<br>focusing on story<br>structure, character<br>development, character<br>point of view, and<br>descriptive and figurative<br>language following   | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of School-<br>site and Interim<br>Assessment results<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment |  |  |
|   | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Reading Test:<br>Grade 5: 57%<br>Informational Text/57%<br>Reading Application   | Student will be given<br>opportunities to use<br>how-to articles,<br>brochures, fliers and<br>other real-world<br>documents to identify<br>text features (subtitles,<br>headings, charts, graphs,<br>diagrams, etc.) and to<br>locate, interpret and<br>organize information.<br>Teacher will help  | Literacy Leadership<br>Team                            | Ongoing classroom<br>assessments focusing on<br>students' ability to make<br>connections within and<br>across text.  | Formative:<br>Monthly<br>Assessments<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Reading<br>Assessment  |  |  |

| 3 | facilitate students to<br>recognize the<br>characteristics of reliable<br>and valid information, if<br>valid information is<br>correct or sound, and<br>reliable information is<br>dependable. Use<br>supporting facts within<br>and across texts.   |  |
|---|--|--|
|   | A variety of grade-level<br>appropriate text will be<br>provided in order to allow<br>students to make<br>inferences and draw<br>conclusions, identify<br>author's purpose for<br>writing, identify casual<br>relationships, identify<br>text structures, and<br>identify topics and<br>themes within and across<br>texts. |  |

|  | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and re<br>group: | eference to "Guiding                                   | Questions", identify and o                                   | define areas in need |  |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #1b: |   |                                      | s.<br>N/A  | N/A  |                      |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |   |                                      | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                      |  |
| N/A  |   |                                      | N/A  | N/A  |                      |  |
|  | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t              | o Increase Studer                                      | nt Achievement   |                      |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier                                   | Strategy                             | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool      |  |
| 1  | N/A   | N/A                                  | N/A  | N/A  | N/A                  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe<br>of improvement for the following group: | rence to "Guiding  | Questions", identify and c | lefine areas in need |
|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievemen<br>Level 4 in mathematics.                       | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment indicate that 15% (39) of students in grades three through five have met state required standards at Level 4 and Level 5.                                      |                            |                      |
| Mathematics Goal #2a:  | Given instruction using the Sunshine State Standards,<br>students in grades three through five meeting Level 4 and<br>Level 5 standards will increase their mathematical skills by 2<br>percentage points to 17% (45). |                            |                      |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |                            |                      |
| 15% (39)   | 17% (45)   |                            |                      |
| Problem-Solving Process to   | Increase Studer  | nt Achievement             |                      |
|  | Person or  | Process Used to            |                      |

|   | Anticipated Barrier              | Strategy  | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | enhance<br>mathematical concepts | Go-Math Series higher-<br>order enrichment<br>activities and Gizmo<br>extension activities will<br>be used to enhance | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership<br>Team            | Ongoing classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar. Review the<br>data with students<br>following the District<br>Interim Assessments.<br>Review Gizmo results to<br>monitor and modify<br>instruction as needed. | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of District<br>Interim<br>Assessments<br>Gizmo Reports<br>Go-Math Weekly<br>and Monthly<br>Assessment<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Mathematics<br>Assessment<br>Go-Math End of<br>Year Assessment |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                   |                 |                                     |  |                 |  |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
|  |                   |                 |                                     |  |                 |  |
| Mathematics Goal #2k   | D:                |                 |                                     |  |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of  | Performance:      |                 | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                 |  |
|  |                   |                 |                                     |  |                 |  |
|  | Problem-Solvi     | ng Process to I | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                 |  |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy          | for             |                                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
|  | No Data Submitted |                 |                                     |  |                 |  |

|                                    | on the analysis of studen provement for the following |                         | eference to "Guiding  | Questions", identify and  | define areas in need |  |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
|                                    | CAT 2.0: Percentage of s                              | 1                       | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicate that 56% (85) of students made learning gains. |   |                      |  |
| Mathematics Goal #3a:              |   |                         | 0   | Our goal for the 2011-2012 school year is to increase students achieving learning gains by 10 percentage points to 66% (100). |                      |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |   |                         | 2013 Expected   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |                      |  |
| 56% (85)                           |   |                         | 66% (100)   | 66% (100)   |                      |  |
|                                    | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer  | nt Achievement  |                      |  |
|                                    | Anticipated Barrier                                   | Strategy                | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool      |  |
| -                                  | •   | -                       | * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | -   | -                    |  |

| 1 | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>administration of the<br>FCAT 2.0 Mathematics<br>Test:<br>Students need to be<br>engaged in real-world<br>activities engaging in<br>data analysis through the<br>use of manipulatives to<br>solve real-world<br>problems. | Grade level appropriate<br>utilization of Test Item<br>Specification Problem of<br>the Day will be<br>implemented daily.<br>Implement the Next<br>Generation Sunshine<br>State Standards (NGSSS)<br>with fidelity. Use the<br>District Pacing Guide, and<br>engage students in<br>activities such as<br>manipulatives. Utilize<br>technology, such as<br>Gizmos and<br>SuccessMaker. Use<br>literature in mathematics<br>to provide the necessary<br>meaning for children to<br>successfully grasp data<br>analysis concepts and<br>allow students to make<br>connections with real<br>world situations. |  | Daily classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar. Review the<br>data with students,<br>teachers, and<br>administration following<br>the District Interim<br>Assessments, Review<br>Gizmo and<br>SuccessMaker reports to<br>monitor<br>and modify instruction as<br>needed. | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of District<br>Interim<br>Assessments<br>Gizmo Reports<br>SuccessMaker<br>Reports<br>Go-Math Weekly<br>and Monthly<br>Assessment<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Mathematics<br>Assessment<br>Go-Math End of<br>Year Assessment |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|---|---|--|--|---|--|

|   | I on the analysis of studen provement for the following |                       | eference to "Guiding                                   | g Questions", identify and                                   | define areas in need |  |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Percentage of students making Learning Gains in<br>mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #3b: |   |                       | N/A  | N/A  |                      |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |   |                       | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:                                      |                      |  |
| N/A   |   |                       | N/A  | N/A  |                      |  |
|   | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement   |                      |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy              | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool      |  |
| 1   | N/A   | N/A                   | N/A  | N/A  | N/A                  |  |
|   | •   |                       |  |  |                      |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reform of improvement for the following group: | erence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.               | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicate that 68% (27) of students in grades three through five of the lowest 25% making learning gains made gains. |  |  |
| Mathematics Goal #4:  | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the lowest 25% making learning gains by 5 percentage points to 73% (29).  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |
| 68% (27)  | 73% (29)  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to  | Increase Student Achievement  |  |  |
|   | Person or Process Used to   |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                 | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|--|--------------------------|---|---|---|
| 1 | Students need additional<br>practice and support in<br>geometric knowledge and<br>spatial reasoning and<br>their relationship to<br>measurement. | utilization of Test Item | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership<br>Team            | Daily classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar. Review Florida<br>Ready data with<br>students, teachers, and<br>administration following<br>the District Interim<br>Assessments and<br>SuccessMaker reports to<br>monitor and modify<br>instruction as needed. | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of District<br>Interim<br>Assessments<br>SuccessMaker<br>Reports<br>Go-Math Weekly<br>and Monthly<br>Assessment<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Mathematics<br>Assessment<br>Go-Math End of<br>Year Assessment |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year<br>school will reduce their achievement gap<br>by 50%. |    |    | Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target<br>Elementary School Mathematics Goal #<br>Our goal from 2011-2017 is to reduce the percent of non-<br>proficient students by 50%. |           |           |           |
|---|----|----|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Baseline data         2011-2012         2012-2013   |    |    | 2013-2014   | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|   | 53 | 58 | 62  | 66        | 70        |           |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Assessment indicates that 48% (101) of the students in the Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making Black subgroup did not achieve proficiency. satisfactory progress in mathematics. Our goal is to increase proficiency by 8 percentage points to Mathematics Goal #5B: 56% (118) of students in the Black subgroup achieving proficiency. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White: N/A White: N/A Black: 48% (101) Black: 56% (118) Hispanic: 59% (26) Hispanic: 62% (27) Asian: N/A Asian: N/A American Indian: N/A American Indian: N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | White: N/A<br>Black: 48% (101)<br>Hispanic: 59% (26)<br>Asian: N/A<br>American Indian: N/A<br>Students in the Black<br>subgroup require<br>additional practice and<br>support in Number and<br>Operations. | Utilizing data to identify<br>targeted students, place<br>students in appropriate<br>interventions within the<br>first two weeks, and<br>monitor progress<br>biweekly. Implement the<br>use of SuccessMaker<br>reports and Math<br>Journals to supplement<br>instruction of subgroups<br>that did not make annual<br>measurable progress.<br>Provide opportunities for<br>students to verify the<br>reasonableness of<br>number operation results,<br>including in problem<br>situations. | MTSS/RtI<br>Leadership<br>Team            | Ongoing classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar. Review the<br>data with students,<br>teachers, and<br>administration following<br>the District Interim<br>Assessments, Review<br>Math Journals, and<br>SuccessMaker reports to<br>monitor and modify<br>instruction as needed. | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of District<br>Interim<br>Assessments<br>SuccessMaker<br>Reports<br>Go-Math Weekly<br>and Monthly<br>Assessment<br>Florida Ready Pre<br>and Post<br>Assessments<br>Math Journals<br>Review<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT 2.0<br>Mathematics<br>Assessment<br>Go-Math End of<br>Year Assessment |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo   | student achievement data, a<br>Illowing subgroup: | and refer | ence to "G | uiding Questions", identif                                   | y and define areas in need |
|--|---|-----------|------------|--|----------------------------|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making<br>satisfactory progress in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #5C: |   |           | N/A        |  |                            |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |   |           | 2013 Exp   | ected Level of Perform                                       | ance:                      |
| N/A  |   |           | N/A        |  |                            |
|  | Problem-Solving Proc                              | ess to L  | ncrease S  | tudent Achievement   |                            |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | for       |            | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool            |
|  |   | No Data S | Submitted  |  |                            |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need<br>of improvement for the following subgroup: |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making<br>satisfactory progress in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #5D:  | N/A                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |  |  |  |
| N/A  | N/A                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I   | ncrease Student Achievement         |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Person or Process Used to           |  |  |  |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | N/A                 | N/A      | N/A                                       | N/A                                       | N/A             |

|      | d on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following  |  | eference to "Guiding                                   | g Questions", identify and o  | define areas in need  |  |
|------|---|--|--|---|---|--|
|      | conomically Disadvanta<br>factory progress in math  | 0 0  | Assessment ind   | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics<br>Assessment indicates that 51% (128) of the students in the<br>Economically Disadvantaged subgroup did not achieve<br>proficiency.   |   |  |
| Math | ematics Goal #5E:   |  | 58% (146) of s   | ncrease proficiency by 7 p<br>tudents in the Economicall<br>ving proficiency.   |   |  |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform  | mance:   | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:   |   |  |
| 51%  | (128)   |  | 58% (146)  |   |   |  |
|      | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process  | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement  |   |  |
|      | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |  |
| 1    | Students in the<br>Economically<br>Disadvantaged subgroup<br>require additional<br>practice and support in<br>Number and<br>Operations. | Utilizing data to identify<br>targeted students, place<br>students in appropriate<br>interventions within the<br>first two weeks, and<br>monitor progress<br>biweekly. Implement the<br>use of SuccessMaker<br>reports and Math<br>Journals to supplement<br>instruction of subgroups<br>that did not make annual<br>measurable progress.<br>Provide opportunities for<br>students to verify the<br>reasonableness of<br>number operation results,<br>including in problem |  | Ongoing classroom<br>visitations by<br>administration to ensure<br>implementation of the<br>Instructional Focus<br>Calendar. Review the<br>data with students,<br>teachers, and<br>administration following<br>the District Interim<br>Assessments, Review<br>Math Journals, and<br>SuccessMaker reports to<br>monitor and modify<br>instruction as needed. | Formative:<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of District<br>Interim<br>Assessments<br>SuccessMaker<br>Reports<br>Go-Math Weekly<br>and Monthly<br>Assessment<br>Florida Ready Pre<br>and Post<br>Assessments<br>Math Journals<br>Review |  |

including in problem situations. Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment Go-Math End of Year Assessment

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus |     |                        | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC, subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring         | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---------------------------------------|-----|------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Refresher<br>Gizmo                    | 3-5 | Mathematics<br>Liaison | Math Department<br>Teachers   | September 5, 2012  | Results of Interim<br>and Monthly<br>Assessments | Administration                                      |

| Refresher<br>SuccessMaker                                       | K- 5 | Mathematics<br>Liaison | Math Department<br>Teachers | September 26, 2012 | Results of Interim<br>and Monthly<br>Assessments | Administration |
|---|------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|----------------|
| Next<br>Generation<br>Sunshine<br>State<br>Standards<br>(NGSSS) | K-5  | Mathematics<br>Liaison | Math Department<br>Teachers | September 26, 2012 | Results of Interim<br>and Monthly<br>Assessments | Administration |
| Go-Math!<br>(Think<br>Central)                                  | K-5  | Mathematics<br>Liaison | Math Department<br>Teachers | September 26, 2012 | Results of Interim<br>and Monthly<br>Assessments | Administration |

Mathematics Budget:

| Stratogy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source   | Available              |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Fullaling Source | Amoun                  |
| Utilizing data to identify those<br>students in need of an<br>appropriate intervention within<br>the first two weeks of school, and<br>monitor progress biweekly | Florida Ready            | Title I          | \$1,330.7              |
|  |                          |                  | Subtotal: \$1,330.7    |
| Technology   |                          |                  |                        |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amoun     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data          | \$0.00                 |
|  |                          |                  | Subtotal: \$0.0        |
| Professional Development   |                          |                  |                        |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amoun     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data          | \$0.00                 |
|  |                          |                  | Subtotal: \$0.0        |
| Other  |                          |                  |                        |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amoun     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data          | \$0.00                 |
|  |                          |                  | Subtotal: \$0.0        |
|  |                          |                  | Grand Total: \$1,330.3 |

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement<br>Level 3 in science.  | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment<br>indicate that 30% (26) achieved proficiency (FCAT<br>Level 3)                             |  |  |  |
| Science Goal #1a:  | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase<br>the percentage of students achieving proficiency by 5<br>percentage points to 35% (30). |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |  |
| 30% (26)   | 35% (30)   |  |  |  |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>administration of the<br>FCAT Science Test<br>was<br>Earth and Space<br>Science.<br>Students need<br>additional support to<br>understand the<br>rotation of Earth (day<br>and night) and<br>apparent movements<br>of the Sun, Moon, and<br>stars are connected. | Students will be<br>provided with<br>opportunities to utilize<br>researched-based<br>supplemental materials<br>for content knowledge<br>and vocabulary as it<br>relates to Earth and<br>Space in connection<br>with real- life<br>implications in addition<br>to teacher supported<br>instruction.<br>The web-based<br>GIZMOS science<br>program Essential Labs<br>A and B activities will<br>be utilized as well as<br>the science lab for<br>weekly scientific<br>investigations.<br>Students will make<br>real-world connections<br>through the use of<br>Science Bootcamp.<br>Administration<br>walkthroughs to<br>monitor implementation<br>of Science camp once<br>a semester utilizing<br>teacher strengths in<br>specific Science<br>components.<br>Utilization of Item Test<br>Specification to drive<br>instruction. | Science Liaison  | Science teachers will<br>review data following<br>GIZMOS mini-<br>assessments, Science<br>Bootcamp lessons, and<br>the District Interim<br>Assessments and will<br>modify instruction as<br>needed. | Formative:<br>GIZMOS Mini-<br>Assessments<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of<br>Interim<br>Assessments<br>Science<br>Bootcamp<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT<br>Science<br>Assessment |

|  | 3                   | lent achievement data, a<br>t for the following group: |  | Guiding Questions", ide                                      | ntify and define |  |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--|------------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.<br>Science Goal #1b: |                     |  | N/A  | N/A  |                  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                     |  | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performan  | ce:              |  |
| N/A  | N/A                 |  |  | N/A  |                  |  |
|  | Prob                | lem-Solving Process t                                  | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement  |                  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool  |  |
| 1  | N/A                 | N/A  | N/A  | N/A  | N/A              |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above<br>Achievement Level 4 in science.<br>Science Goal #2a:<br>2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |   | indicate that 5<br>above proficie<br>The goal for th<br>assessment is<br>above proficie<br>percentage po | <ul> <li>Based on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment<br/>indicate that 5% (4) of 5th grade students achieved<br/>above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5).</li> <li>The goal for the 2012-2013 FCAT 2.0 Science<br/>assessment is to increase 5th grade students achieving<br/>above proficiency (FCAT Levels 4 and 5) by 2<br/>percentage points to 7% (6).</li> <li>2013 Expected Level of Performance:</li> </ul> |                          |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| 5% (   | 4)   |   | 7% (6)   |   |                          |  |
|  | Prob   | lem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude   | ent Achievement   |                          |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool          |  |
| 1  | as indicated by the<br>2012 FCAT Science<br>Assessment is Physical<br>Science.<br>Students need<br>exposure to | Provide activities for<br>students to design and<br>develop science and<br>engineering projects to<br>increase scientific<br>thinking, and the<br>development and<br>implementation of<br>inquiry-based activities<br>that allow for testing<br>of hypotheses, data<br>analysis, explanation of<br>variables, and<br>experimental design in<br>Physical Science.<br>Utilize FCAT Explorer in<br>order to provide<br>students with<br>interactive simulations<br>in science for teachers<br>and students to utilize<br>as a supplemental<br>curriculum material<br>that supports state<br>standards. |  | The science teachers<br>will review the data for<br>students following the<br>District Interim<br>Assessments and FCAT<br>Explorer to modify<br>instruction.  | Comparison<br>Reports of |  |

| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7<br>in science. |                          | 7<br>N/A               |  |  |                |  |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|--|--|----------------|--|
| Scier  | nce Goal #2b:            |                        |  |  |                |  |
| 2012   | 2 Current Level of Perfo | ormance:               | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performan  | ce:            |  |
| N/A  |                          |                        | N/A  | N/A  |                |  |
|  | Prob                     | lem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement  |                |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier      | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Too |  |
|  | N/A                      | N/A                    | N/A  | N/A  | N/A            |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Gizmos                                      | 3-5                    | Science Liaison                        | Science<br>Department<br>Teachers  | September 12,<br>2012   | Interim<br>Assessments                   | Administrators   |
| Science<br>Camp                             | 5                      | Science Liaison                        | Grade 5 Science<br>Teacher   | October 29, 2012;<br>March 21, 2013   | Teacher-Created<br>Assessment            | Administrators   |
| Science Next<br>Generation<br>Standards     |                        | Region/District<br>Personnel           | Grade 5 Science<br>Teacher   | September 26,<br>2012   | Interim<br>Assessments                   | Administrators   |
| Science<br>Bootcamp                         | 5                      | Science Liaison                        | Grade 5 Science<br>Teacher   | September 28,<br>2012   | Interim<br>Assessments                   | Administrators   |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma   | terial(s)                |                |                         |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| Students will make real-world<br>connections through the use of<br>Science Bootcamp. | Science Bootcamp         | Title I        | \$1,800.00              |
|  |                          |                | Subtotal: \$1,800.0     |
| Technology   |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|  |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development   |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|  |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other  |                          |                |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|  |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
|  |                          |                | Grand Total: \$1,800.00 |

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

The results of the 2012 Writing FCAT 2.0 Assessment

| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. |   |   | indicate that 73% (58) of students in fourth grade achieved a score of 3.0 or above. |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Writ   | ing Goal #1a:   |   |  | increase 4th  | the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Writ<br>grade students achievir<br>g 2 percentage points to   | ng above proficiency   |
| 201  | 2 Current Level of Perf   | formance:   |  | 2013 Expec  | ted Level of Performa   | nce:   |
| 73%  | (58)  |   |  | 75% (60)  |   |  |
|  | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process   | s to I   | ncrease Stu   | dent Achievement  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Res  | Person or<br>Position<br>ponsible for<br>lonitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1  | The area of deficiency<br>as noted on the 2012<br>FCAT Writing<br>Assessment was<br>conventions and<br>supporting details.<br>Capitalization of proper<br>nouns at the beginning<br>of sentences. Proper<br>sentence structure<br>(predicate/subject).<br>Punctuation marks at<br>the end of sentences.<br>Elaborate ideas<br>through the use of<br>attributes. Include<br>vivid descriptions and<br>sizzling vocabulary. | instruction,<br>anchor papers,<br>exemplar student<br>writing, and mentor<br>text will be utilized to<br>model logical sequence | Lead<br>Tear<br>Tean   | S/RtI<br>ership<br>n, Literacy<br>n.                | Review the data with<br>students following the<br>FOLIO, Districts Pre,<br>Post, and Writing<br>Assessments.<br>Implementation of<br>writing camp one time<br>each grading period<br>utilizing teacher<br>strengths in specific<br>writing components.<br>Implementations of<br>monthly FCAT mock<br>writing assessments. | Formative:<br>FOLIO Writing<br>Assessments/District<br>Pre/Post Tests<br>Monthly FCAT Mock<br>Assessments<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT Writing<br>Monthly<br>Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring<br>at 4 or higher in writing.<br>Writing Goal #1b: |                     | N/A                    |     |   |  |                 |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|-----|---|--|-----------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |                     |                        |     | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                   |  |                 |
| N/A   |                     |                        |     | N/A   |  |                 |
|   | Prol                | olem-Solving Process t | οl  | ncrease Stude   | nt Achievement   |                 |
|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy               | Re  | Person or<br>Position<br>esponsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1   | N/A                 | N/A                    | N/A | Ą   | N/A  | N/A             |

# Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus                            | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader                 | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| FCAT Writing   | 4th Grade              | The Writing<br>Process                                 | Administrators &<br>Fourth Grade<br>Teachers                                    | August 16, 2012   | Quarterly<br>Writing Prompts             | Administration &<br>Fourth Grade<br>Teachers           |
| FCAT Writing:<br>Use of<br>Graphic<br>Organizers                       | 4th Grade              | The Writing<br>Block;<br>Conferencing<br>with Students | Fourth Grade<br>Teachers  | September 4,<br>2012  | Quarterly<br>Writing Prompts             | Administration &<br>Fourth Grade<br>Teachers           |
| Instructional<br>Strategies<br>and<br>Techniques<br>Writing<br>Process | 4th Grade              | Region/District<br>Personnel                           | Fourth Grade<br>Teachers  | September 17,<br>2012   | Monthly Writing<br>Tests                 | Administration &<br>Fourth Grade<br>Teachers           |
| FCAT Writing   | 4th Grade              | Region/District<br>Personnel                           | Fourth Grade<br>Teachers  | October 2 and 3,<br>2012  | District Pre-<br>Test/Post-Test          | Administration &<br>Fourth Grade<br>Teachers           |
| FOLIO<br>Writing   | 4th Grade              | Fourth Grade<br>Teachers                               | Administration &<br>Fourth Grade<br>Teachers                                    | November 2012;<br>January 2013  | FOLIO Writing                            | Administration &<br>Fourth Grade<br>Teachers           |

### Writing Budget:

| Strategy  | Description of Resources  | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount  |
|---|---|----------------|----------------------|
| During writing instruction, anchor<br>papers, exemplar student<br>writing, and mentor text will be<br>utilized to model logical<br>sequence beginning, middle, and<br>end of an essay and the use of<br>conventions and supporting<br>details. Grade four students will<br>take the FOLIO Writing<br>Assessment four times a year.<br>Detailed reports, provided by<br>FOLIO Writing, will be utilized for<br>student-teacher conferencing,<br>small-grouping, and<br>differentiated instruction in areas<br>of need and at the beginning of<br>sentences. Proper sentence<br>structure (predicate/subject).<br>Punctuation marks at the end of<br>sentences. Elaborate ideas<br>through the use of attributes.<br>Include vivid descriptions and<br>sizzling vocabulary. | FOLIO Writing Assessment is a<br>tool that is utilized to measure<br>the elements of writing for<br>students. | Title I        | \$2,000.00           |
|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Technology  |   |                | Available            |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources  | Funding Source | Available            |
| No Data   | No Data   | No Data        | \$0.00               |
|   |   |                | Subtotal: \$0.0      |
| Professional Development  |   |                |                      |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources  | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount  |
| No Data   | No Data   | No Data        | \$0.00               |

Subtotal: \$0.00

| Other    |                          |                |                         |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
|          |                          |                | Grand Total: \$2,000.00 |

End of Writing Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase 1. Attendance attendance from 96.87% (533) to 97.37% (536) by decreasing the number of students with excessive Attendance Goal #1: absences (10 or more) from 102 to 97 and excessive tardiness (10 or more) from 118 to 112. 2012 Current Attendance Rate: 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: 96.87% (533) 97.37% (536) 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Absences (10 or more) 102 97 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Tardies (10 or more) 118 112 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 102 students accrued Identify and refer Assistant The ARC committee will Formative: 10 or more absences students who may be Principal, review Attendance during the 2011-2012 Counselor, ARC Attendance Reports developing a pattern of Review school year. non-attendance to the with Committee (ARC) Attendance Review Absences and records Parents need a greater Committee (ARC) and Suspensions on a review the District's understanding of the monthly basis and will Summative: 1 District's attendance attendance policy. provide updates to the Attendance policy. Students with perfect faculty during grade Reports attendance will be level meetings. recognized via the Public Address System (PA) after each grading period. 118 students accrued Identify and refer The ARC committee will Formative: Assistant 10 or more tardies students who may be Principal, meet monthly, using Attendance during the 2011-2012 data to monitor student Review developing a pattern of Counselor, ARC school year. excessive tardies to the tardies and provide Committee (ARC) Attendance Review intervention when records Parents need a greater Committee (ARC) and necessary.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus     | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Truancy<br>Prevention /<br>Incentive<br>program | K-5                    | Administration                         |  | Opening of School<br>Meeting  |  | Administration<br>Counselor                            |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Suspension   | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to decrease                      |  |  |  |
| Suspension Coal #1:   | the total number of in-school and out of school suspensions from 26 to 24. |  |  |  |
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions  | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions                              |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |

| 1             |  |  | 1                   | 1  |   |                       |
|---------------|--|--|---------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| 2012          | Total Number of Stude  | ents Suspended In-Sch  |                     | 2013 Expecte<br>School                               | d Number of Students  | Suspended In-         |
| 1             |  |  | 1                   | 1  |   |                       |
| 2012          | Number of Out-of-Sch   | ool Suspensions  |                     | 2013 Expecte<br>Suspensions                          | d Number of Out-of-Sc   | hool                  |
| 25            |  |  | 2                   | 23   |   |                       |
| 2012<br>Schoo | Total Number of Stude<br>ol  | ents Suspended Out-of-   |                     | 2013 Expecte<br>of-School                            | d Number of Students  | Suspended Out-        |
| 15            |  |  | 1                   | 14   |   |                       |
|               | Prot   | olem-Solving Process t   | to I n              | crease Stude   | ent Achievement   |                       |
|               | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Res                 | Person or<br>Position<br>sponsible for<br>Vonitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool       |
|               | 0  | character traits and<br>ethical choices.<br>The school's counselor   | Assi<br>Prin<br>Cou | cipal,<br>stant<br>cipal, School<br>nselor, ARC      | The ARC committee will<br>monitor the COGNOS<br>report on student<br>outdoor suspension rate<br>and parent contact log<br>for evidence of<br>communication with<br>parents of students<br>who have been placed<br>on outdoor suspension<br>on a monthly basis and<br>will provide<br>interventions as<br>necessary. | communication<br>log. |
| 2             | Students need<br>additional support in<br>order to understand<br>alternative methods to<br>resolve conflict. | Provide incentives for<br>compliance through the<br>use of Elementary –<br>SPOT Success<br>Recognition programs. | Assi<br>Prin        |  | The ARC committee will<br>monitor SPOT Success<br>report by grade level<br>and student case<br>management report on<br>a monthly basis and will<br>provide interventions as<br>necessary.   | Report                |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus        | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | release) and<br>Schedules | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Student<br>Code of<br>Conduct                      | K-5                    | Behavior<br>Management<br>Teacher      | All Staff<br>Members  | August 16, 2012           | Classroom<br>walkthroughs,<br>Posted Behavior<br>Chart, Daily Student<br>Behavior Chart                  | Administration<br>Teachers                             |
| Anti-Bullying                                      | K-5                    | Safety/Discipline<br>Committee         | All Staff<br>Members  | October 17,<br>2012       | Reduction in student referrals   | Administration<br>Counselor<br>Teachers                |
| School Wide<br>Discipline<br>Committee<br>Meetings | K-5                    | Administration                         | Select Staff<br>Members   | September 12,<br>2012     | Classroom<br>walkthroughs,<br>Posted Behavior<br>Chart, Monitor of<br>suspension rates<br>through COGNOS | Administration<br>Teachers                             |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Program | m(s)/Material(s)         |                |                     |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology             |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developme | ent                      |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                  |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy               | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                        |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                        |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

# Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas<br>in need of improvement: |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Parent Involvement  |  |  |  |  |
| Parent Involvement Goal #1:  |  |  |  |  |
| *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.                                | N/A-Title 1 school see PIP                 |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:  | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

N/A-Title 1 school see PIP

|   | Pro                           | blem-Solving Process t        | o Increase Stude                                       | nt Achievement   |                               |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
|   | Anticipated Barrier           | Strategy                      | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool               |
| 1 | N/A-Title 1 school see<br>PIP | N/A-Title 1 school see<br>PIP |  | N/A-Title 1 school see<br>PIP                                | N/A-Title 1 school<br>see PIP |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
|   |                        | ٢                                      | No Data Submittee   | d  |  |  |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Church a sur          | Descriptions of Desc     |                | Available           |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount              |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|               | The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science and<br>Mathematics assessment indicate that 51% of students<br>in grades 3-5 achieved proficiency in Mathematics and<br>38% of the 5th graders achieved proficiency in science.  |
|---------------|---|
| 1. STEM       | Our goal for the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science and Mathematics  |
| STEM Goal #1: | assessment is to increase 3rd through 5th grade students<br>achieving a level 3 from 32% (85) to 38% (100) and<br>levels 4-5 from 15% (39) to 17% (45) in Mathematics<br>and increase 3rd through 5th grade students achieving a<br>level 3 from 30% (26) to 35% (30) and levels 4-5 from<br>5% (4) to 7% (6) in Science. |

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 1 |                     | Utilize differentiated<br>instruction strategies at<br>all levels of instruction<br>in the TEAM classes.<br>During delivery of<br>content, use multiple<br>media (oral, graphics,<br>written, technology) to<br>reach a wide range of<br>learning styles. Assign<br>projects and activities<br>based on student<br>interest and give<br>students the<br>opportunity to<br>demonstrate what they<br>have learned through<br>alternative<br>assessments.<br>A Math and Science<br>Night will be offered to<br>parents and students in<br>order to assist students<br>with making the<br>connections between<br>both subjects to real-<br>world situations. |  | walkthroughs by<br>administrators targeting<br>students' individual<br>learning styles in<br>Science and<br>Mathematics. | Formative:<br>GIZMOS Mini-<br>Assessments<br>Edusoft<br>Comparison<br>Reports of Interim<br>Assessments<br>Lab Reports<br>Summative:<br>2013 FCAT<br>Science and<br>Mathematics<br>Assessment |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| FAIR Game<br>Principle in<br>FCAT 2.0       | 3-5                    | Science Liaison                        | Science<br>Department<br>Teachers  | October 24, 2012  | Interim<br>Assessments                   | Administration   |
| Gizmos                                      | 3-5                    | Science Liaison                        | Science<br>Department<br>Teachers  | September 5,<br>2012  | Interim<br>Assessments                   | Administrators   |
| Refresher<br>SuccessMaker                   | K- 5                   | Mathematics<br>Liaison                 |  | September 26,<br>2012   | Interim and<br>Monthly<br>Assessments    | Administration   |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | •              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

### Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

# FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Pr  | ogram(s)/Material(s)   |  |                           |                      |
|--------------------|--|--|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Goal               | Strategy   | Description of<br>Resources  | Funding Source            | Available Amount     |
| Reading            | Utilize data to identify<br>FCAT Level 1 and 2<br>students, place<br>students in<br>appropriate<br>interventions and<br>monitor progress.  | Florida Ready  | Title I                   | \$1,330.78           |
| Mathematics        | Utilizing data to<br>identify those students<br>in need of an<br>appropriate<br>intervention within the<br>first two weeks of<br>school, and monitor<br>progress biweekly  | Florida Ready  | Title I                   | \$1,330.78           |
| Science            | Students will make<br>real-world connections<br>through the use of<br>Science Bootcamp.  | Science Bootcamp   | Title I                   | \$1,800.00           |
| Writing            | During writing<br>instruction, anchor<br>papers, exemplar<br>student writing, and<br>mentor text will be<br>utilized to model logical<br>sequence beginning,<br>middle, and end of an<br>essay and the use of<br>conventions and<br>supporting details.<br>Grade four students<br>will take the FOLIO<br>Writing Assessment<br>four times a year.<br>Detailed reports,<br>provided by FOLIO<br>Writing, will be utilized<br>for student-teacher<br>conferencing, small-<br>grouping, and<br>differentiated<br>instruction in areas of<br>need and at the<br>beginning of<br>sentences. Proper<br>sentence structure<br>(predicate/subject).<br>Punctuation marks at<br>the end of sentences.<br>Elaborate ideas<br>through the use of<br>attributes. Include vivid<br>descriptions and<br>sizzling vocabulary. | FOLIO Writing<br>Assessment is a tool<br>that is utilized to<br>measure the elements<br>of writing for students. | Title I                   | \$2,000.00           |
| Technology         |  |  |                           | Subtotal: \$6,461.50 |
| Goal               | Strategy   | Description of<br>Resources  | Funding Source            | Available Amount     |
| No Data            | No Data  | No Data  | No Data                   | \$0.00               |
| Professional Devel | opment   |  |                           | Subtotal: \$0.0      |
| Goal               | Strategy   | Description of<br>Resources  | Funding Source            | Available Amount     |
| No Data            | No Data  | No Data  | No Data                   | \$0.00               |
|                    |  |  |                           | Subtotal: \$0.0      |
| Other              | Ctrotomi   | Description of   | Funding Course            |                      |
| Goal<br>No Data    | Strategy<br>No Data  | Resources<br>No Data   | Funding Source<br>No Data | Available Amount     |
|                    | NO DATA  | BIBU OVI   | NO DALA                   | \$0.00               |

# **Differentiated Accountability**

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| ja Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | jn NA |
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|
|             | 5        |            |       |

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/9/2012)

# School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds   | Amount     |  |
|--|------------|--|
| Purchase research-based materials to support and enhance instruction in Reading. | \$1,600.00 |  |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The Educational Excellence School Advisory Council is the sole body responsible for final decision-making at the school relating to the implementation of the School Improvement Plan. The funds will be used to support and enhance instruction for research-based materials in Reading, Mathematics, Writing, and Science and purchase student incentives to promote attendance, academic excellence and positive behavior.

The implementation of the School Improvement Plan will be reviewed and monitored throughout the year by all stakeholders. The EESAC will review the strategies and action steps that have been taken both mid-year and end-of-year. The EESAC will review at the end of the year which strategies implemented were effective and identify which areas may need adjustments in order to increase effectiveness.

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Dade School District<br>FULFORD ELEMENTAR <sup>V</sup><br>2010-2011 | Y SCHOOL |           |         |         |                           |  |
|---|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|--|
|   | Reading  | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |  |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above)             | 58%      | 71%       | 70%     | 29%     | 228                       | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on<br>Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District<br>writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science<br>component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                              | 50%      | 59%       |         |         | 109                       | 3 ways to make gains:<br>Improve FCAT Levels<br>Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5<br>Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2  |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?                |          | 61% (YES) |         |         |                           | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.   |
| FCAT Points Earned  |          |           |         |         | 439                       |  |
| Percent Tested =<br>100%  |          |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested  |
| School Grade*   |          |           |         |         | с                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested   |

|   | Reading   | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |  |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above) | 71%       | 75%       | 91%     | 32%     | 269                       | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on<br>Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the<br>District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or<br>science component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                  | 69%       | 64%       |         |         | 133                       | 3 ways to make gains:<br>Improve FCAT Levels<br>Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5<br>Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2  |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?    | 69% (YES) | 69% (YES) |         |         | 138                       | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.   |
| FCAT Points Earned                                      |           |           |         |         | 540                       |  |
| Percent Tested =<br>100%                                |           |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested  |
| School Grade*   |           |           |         |         | А                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested   |