FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: NAPLES HIGH SCHOOL

District Name: Collier

Principal: Nancy J. Graham, Ed.D

SAC Chair: Steve Main

Superintendent: Dr. Kamela Patton

Date of School Board Approval: TBA

Last Modified on: 10/15/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
					2007 = C (477 points) Proficiency: 47% reading, 73% math,90% writing, 50% science; learning gains: 50% reading, 71% math; Lowest 25% gains: 40% reading, 56% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested 98%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 21%; Minority Rate: 35%; AYP: 69% 2008 = A (527 points) Proficiency: 58% reading, 82% math, 83% writing, 47% science; Learning gains: 62 reading, 80% math; Lowest 25% gains: 47% reading, 68% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested 97%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 23%; Minority Rate: 35%; AYP: 74% 2009 = C (509 points) Proficiency: 54% reading, 81% math,85% writing, 48% science; Learning gains: 52% reading,76% math; Lowest 25% gains: 40% reading, 63% math; Retakes: 10 points; Percentage tested 99%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 28%; Minority Rate: 36%; AYP: 77% 2010 = B (521) Proficiency: 60% reading,

Principal	Nancy J Graham	Degrees: Ed.D Ed Leadership MS Ed Leadership BA English Secondary Ed Certifications: Principal Certification English 6-12 Certification ESOL Endorsement	7	20	 81% math, 86% writing, 54% science; Learning gains: 58% reading, 77% math; Lowest 25% gains: 45% reading, 60% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested 99%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 34%; Minority Rate: 39%; AYP: 92%; Non-FCAT Components: 86% Graduation Rate (174/200 pts); At Risk: 67% (67/100 pts); Acceleration Course 58% Participation (130/150 pts)93% Performance (99/150 pts); Readiness 78% Reading (90/100 pts) 62% Math (78/100); High School Points 638/800; FCAT Points 521; Total 1159/1600(B) 2011 = B (517) Proficiency: 55% reading, 82% math,89% writing, 54% science; Learning gains: 58% reading,77% math; Lowest 25% gains: 41% reading, 63% math; Retakes: 10 points; Percentage tested >95%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 32%; Minority Rate: 38%; AYP: 79% Non-FCAT Components: 93% Graduation Rate (200/200 pts); At Risk: 93% (100/100 pts); Acceleration Course 67% Participation (133/150 pts)84% Performance (105/150 pts); Readiness 89% Reading (94/100 pts) 77% Math (84/100); High School Points 716/800; FCAT Points 517; Total 1233/1600(B) 2012 = Grade Pending; Proficiency: 64% reading, 76% math,84% writing: Learning gains: 68% reading,67% math; Lowest 25% gains: 28% reading, 56% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested >95%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 40%; Minority Rate: 38%; AYP: 79%; Non-FCAT Components Pending
Assis Principal	Denise Lindheim, Ed.D	Degrees: Ed.D Organizational Leadership & Human Resource Management MS Ed Leadership BA Social Sciences Certifications: Secondary Ed – Social Sciences Principal Certification Middle Grades 5- 9Certification Social Science 6- 12 ESOL Endorsement	4	14	2009 = C (509 points) Proficiency: 54% reading, 81% math,85% writing, 48% science; Learning gains: 52% reading, 76% math; Lowest 25% gains: 40% reading, 63% math; Retakes: 10 points; Percentage tested 99%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 28%; Minority Rate: 36%; AYP: 2010 = B (521) Proficiency: 60% reading, 81% math,86% writing, 54% science; Learning gains: 58% reading,77% math; Lowest 25% gains: 45% reading, 77% math; Lowest 25% gains: 45% reading, 60% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested 99%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 34%; Minority Rate: 39%; AYP: 92%; Non-FCAT Components: 86% Graduation Rate (174/200 pts); At Risk: 67% (67/100 pts); Acceleration Course 58% Participation (130/150 pts)93% Performance (99/150 pts); Readiness 78% Reading (90/100 pts) 62% Math (78/100); High School Points 638/800; FCAT Points 521; Total 1159/1600(B) 2011 = B (517) Proficiency: 55% reading, 82% math,89% writing, 54% science; Learning gains: 58% reading,77% math; Lowest 25% gains: 41% reading, 63% math; Retakes: 10 points; Percentage tested >95%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 32%; Minority Rate: 38%; AYP: 79% Non-FCAT Components: 93% Graduation Rate (200/200 pts); At Risk: 93% (100/100 pts); Acceleration Course 67% Participation (133/150 pts)84% Performance (105/150 pts); Readiness 89% Reading (94/100 pts) 77% Math (84/100); High School Points 716/800; FCAT Points 517; Total 1233/1600(B) 2012 = Grade Pending; Proficiency: 64% reading, 76% math,84% writing; Learning gains: 68% reading,67% math; Lowest 25% gains: 28% reading, 56% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested >95%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 40%; Minority Rate: 38%; AYP: 79%; Non-FCAT Components Pending
					2010 = B (521) Proficiency: 60% reading, 81% math,86% writing, 54% science; Learning gains: 58% reading,77% math; Lowest 25% gains: 45% reading, 60% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested 99%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 34%; Minority Rate: 39%; AYP: 92%; Non-FCAT Components: 86% Graduation Rate (174/200 pts); At Risk: 67% (67/100 pts); Acceleration Course 58% Participation (130/150 pts)93% Performance (99/150 pts); Readiness 78% Reading (90/100 pts)

Assis Principal	Michael Joyce	Degrees: MS Ed Leadership BA Sociology Certifications: Ed Leadership K- 12 General Science 5-9 Social Science 5- 9 ESOL Endorsement	3	14	62% Math (78/100); High School Points 638/800; FCAT Points 521; Total 1159/1600(B) 2011 = B (517) Proficiency: 55% reading, 82% math,89% writing, 54% science; Learning gains: 58% reading,77% math; Lowest 25% gains: 41% reading, 63% math; Retakes: 10 points; Percentage tested >95%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 32%; Minority Rate: 38%; AYP: 79% Non-FCAT Components: 93% Graduation Rate (200/200 pts); At Risk: 93% (100/100 pts); Acceleration Course 67% Participation (133/150 pts)84% Performance (105/150 pts); Readiness 89% Reading (94/100 pts) 77% Math (84/100); High School Points 716/800; FCAT Points 517; Total 1233/1600(B) 2012 = Grade Pending; Proficiency: 64% reading, 76% math,84% writing; Learning gains: 68% reading,67% math; Lowest 25% gains: 28% reading, 56% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested >95%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 40%; Minority Rate: 38%; AYP: 79%; Non-FCAT Components Pending
Assis Principal	Maryann Gallegos	Degrees: Ed.S Ed Leadership MS Reading BS Exceptional Student Education K-12	2	2	2011 = B (517) Proficiency: 55% reading, 82% math,89% writing, 54% science; Learning gains: 58% reading,77% math; Lowest 25% gains: 41% reading, 63% math; Retakes: 10 points; Percentage tested >95%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 32%; Minority Rate: 38%; AYP: 79% Non-FCAT Components: 93% Graduation Rate (200/200 pts); At Risk: 93% (100/100 pts); Acceleration Course 67% Participation (133/150 pts)84% Performance (105/150 pts); Readiness 89% Reading (94/100 pts) 77% Math (84/100); High School Points 716/800; FCAT Points 517; Total 1233/1600(B) 2012 = Grade Pending; Proficiency: 64% reading, 76% math,84% writing; Learning gains: 68% reading,67% math; Lowest 25% gains: 28% reading, 56% math; Retakes: 0 points; Percentage tested >95%; Free and Reduced Lunch: 40%; Minority Rate: 38%; AYP: 79%; Non-FCAT Components Pending

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Karin Stewart	Bachelor's Degree Certifications: English 6-12, Exceptional Student Education K-12, Reading Endorsement, ESOL Endorsement	3		FY 13: Coach allocated for one period per day
Math	Angela Jolliff	Bachelor's Degree Certification: Math 6-12	2		FY 13: Coach allocated for one period per day

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|--|

1	Subject Area Professional Learning Community	Assistant Principal for Curriculum /All Instructional Staff	Ongoing (meet 2x/month)
2	School-Based New Teacher Orientation Program	Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Peer Teacher/All Instructional Staff new to Naples High School	June 2013
3	Professional Support Team	Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Peer Teacher/DepartmentChairs/All Instructional Staff new to Naples High School	June 2013
4	District Recruitment Fair	All Administrators	Spring 2013
5	Interview criteria: Teacher Insight score of 67 or higher; Endorsements in ELL, Reading, and/or Gifted given preference	All Administrators	Ongoing as needed to fill teacher vacancies
6	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model based on Marzano's Art and Science of Teaching Framework	All Administrators, Teacher Leaders trained in Marzano's Framework, District Personnel	Ongoing

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None	N/A

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
92	5.4%(5)	29.3%(27)	26.1%(24)	43.5%(40)	48.9%(45)	100.0%(92)	13.0%(12)	5.4%(5)	26.1%(24)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
		Dustin is a new teacher whose only previous experience is with kindergarten	

Carol Mullen	Dustin Wojciechowski	students. Carol is not only a very experienced teacher but also a teacher leader modeling and observing teachers' use of Marzano's protocols associated with the Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM). Carol can offer support to Dustin as a teacher unfamiliar with effective instructional strategies looked for in our classrooms.	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; weekly peer teacher meetings to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, RtI, and classroom management strategies.
Louise Taylor	Emily Mastrobattisto	Louise is a veteran teacher eager to mentor new teachers. Emily is a new teacher hired at the District recruitment fair last spring. Louise is a valuable resource for Emily as he learns the role of classroom teacher.	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; weekly peer teacher meetings to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, RtI, and classroom management strategies.
Sam Dollar	Steve Edwards	Both teach H.O.P.E. and share teaching and planning space	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; participation in department PLC meetings twice a month to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, RtI, and classroom management strategies.
Nancy Brisson	Amy Rumore (Holloway)	Nancy is a veteran teacher with many years of successful classroom instruction. She is in close proximity to Amy who successfully taught for five years in another school district. Nancy is teaching Amy the "ins and outs" of the Collier Teacher Evaluation	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; weekly peer teacher meetings to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, Rtl, and classroom management strategies.

		Model and is a resource for Amy as she adjusts to her new environment.	
Carol Mitchell	Whitney Seay	Carol is a veteran English teacher, and Whitney had great teaching success in her prior district and requires a peer only because she is new to our District.	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; participation in department PLC monthly meetings to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, RtI, and classroom management strategies.
Catherine Weidenmiller	McKenzie Morton	Catherine is a veteran teacher happy to mentor new teachers. McKenzie is a new teacher and graduate of Naples High School.Katie is a valuable resource for McKenzie as he learns the role of classroom teacher.	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; participation in department PLC monthly meetings to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, RtI, and classroom management strategies.
Chelon Perez-Benitoa	Daniella Rosset	Chelon is a National Board- Certified teacher and the Department Chair for Fine and Performing Arts. Daniella is an experienced teacher from a different district and a Naples High School graduate. Chelon is Daniella's Department Chair and a valuable resource to Daniella as she continues to grow as a teacher.	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; participation in department PLC monthly meetings to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, RtI, and classroom management strategies.
Paul Horne	William Dubois	Paul is a National Board- Certified teacher and a willing peer coach. William (Jay) came from another district with teaching experience. Paul is a valuable resource to Jay in his new environment.	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; weekly peer teacher meetings to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, RtI, and classroom management strategies

Kip Wilson	Alfred Micieli	Kip is a veteran teacher of Exceptional Student Education students. Alfred (A.J.) is a new teacher and a graduate of Naples High School. Kip is a very experienced teacher and can assist A.J. as he becomes familiar with Marzano's protocols associated with the Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM). Kip can offer support to A.J. as a teacher unfamiliar with effective instructional strategies looked for in our classrooms.	Share lunch time to allow for informal conversations; participation in department PLC monthly meetings to analyze and synthesize data re: specific student performance; discussions re: research-based instructional strategies; meetings with APC and mentor; participation in Collier Teacher Evaluation Model; ongoing professional development activities re: school improvement, PBS, Rt1, and classroom management strategies.	
------------	----------------	--	---	--

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Title I, Part D

Title II

Title III

Title X- Homeless

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Nutrition Programs

Housing Programs

Head Start

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Nancy Ross, Intervention Support Specialist; Dr. Denise Lindheim, Assistant Principal for Curriculum and Instruction; Maryann Gallegos, Dean of Students; Dr. Janice Devlin, School Psychologist; Mary Linda Sanders, Counselor; Karin Stewart, Part-time Reading Coach; Angela Jolliff, Part-time Math Coach; Deborah Adkins, ESE Department Head; Monica Rodriguez, math teacher; Debra Lowery, ESE Inclusion teacher

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership Team meets at least once per month, communicates with staff as needed, and monitors the integrity of intervention implementation and data collected. Through the leadership of the Intervention Support Specialist, the MTSS Leadership Team works together with staff in identifying specific student challenges. Analysis is used as a first step towards implementation of an appropriate evidence-based intervention. The MTSS Leadership Team also identifies the person or person(s) responsible for implementation, including frequency and necessary data collection to assess the student's response to intervention. A review of the data occurs during implementation and throughout the process; readdressing interventions as needed to most appropriately serve the student. The school-based MTSS Leadership Team supports school-wide efforts to positively impact behavior and academic achievement through the following: resources provided to teachers, individual classroom teachers' research data re: students who may need to leave Tier 1; and active participation in PLCs, student/parent conferences, and data collection. The MTSS Leadership Team works closely with staff who oversee the implementation of school-wide PBS effort known as P.R.O. (Prepared/Respectful/On time).

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team is collaborative and uses a systematic problem solving process with the goal of significant overall improvement occuring among students. School Improvement goals will include consideration of any discrepancy between what is expected and what is occurring as evidenced by student achievement data.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Individual student data is gathered from the Collier County Public Schools Data Warehouse and other sources including Student Pass, progress monitoring assessments, PLC member discussions, and classroom assessments. This screening data helps determine the effectiveness of core instruction and student progress within the core. Mini-assessments based on focus lessons are administered bi-weekly. The student performance data is analyzed and appropriate instruction is designed. The response to intervention (RtI) model is incorporated in all core courses. In addition, more specific classroom interventions based on collected data are employed for students with specific academic needs. In some cases, supplemental and intensive instruction/interventions are implemented and documented. Mastery is set at 70% to ensure student proficiency of each language arts, reading, and math benchmark. Behavioral data sources including Student Pass and TERMS are also available when assessing students' core achievement. The "Student Snapshot" located in the District's Data Warehouse is utilized as a foundation for academic placement and teacher instruction. In addition, data chats will occur through Homeroom sessions designed solely for this purpose. All students are assigned a homeroom with 20-25 students who will be mentored by a Homeroom teacher regularly.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The training for MTSS is ongoing. The Internvention Support Specialist will provide individual training as needed for teachers directly involved in the potential movement of a student from one Tier to another.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The foundation of MTSS is the Leadership Team responding to referrals/inquiries from teachers, parents, and/or counselors regarding individual student needs. In some cases, students have also self-advocated for academic/behavioral interventions. The MTSS Leadership Team's focus is on finding solutions to the challenges outlined in referrals and inquiries. The Team uses a variety of resources available including those noted in other parts of this plan to address the students' needs that may require movement from one Tier to another.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

*Team Members:

Dr. Nancy Graham, Principal; Dr. Denise Lindheim, Assistant Principal for Curriculum and Instruction; Maryann Gallegos, Dean of Students; Heather Parks, Reading Coach; Karin Stewart, Language Arts/Intensive Reading teacher and part-time Reading Coach; All Intensive Reading and Intensive Language Arts teachers and co-teachers (12)

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team meets quarterly. Minutes will be documented through Collier County Public Schools' Data Warehouse. The Assistant Principal for Curriculum and Instruction oversees the FAIR Progress Monitoring assessment, and the implementation of FCIM mini-lessons. The English Department Chair facilitates the communication of the literacy initiatives at Naples High School and attends monthly meetings lead by the District Coordinator of language arts and reading, who provides updates re: District programs and processes. The Reading/ELL Department Chair who also serves as the lead reading teacher at Naples High keeps staff abreast of current reading programs and related instruction. The Secondary Literacy Specialist functions as a general support to those who teach English, ELL, and/or reading. Only assigned to Naples High School part-time, the Specialist works closely with the other members of the team to support the reading programs and teachers who must implement them to benefit students. The Specialist arranges her schedule to be on-site for FAIR testing and other initiatives as they are introduced to staff. All Naples High School teachers participate in Department PLC meetings and discuss students who have specific literacy needs. The discussions focus on appropriate strategies and interventions that will most impact student progress in reading. All teachers are expected to implement the District-wide "Check for Three" initiative: Capitalize the first letter of a sentence. Use correct punctuation at the end of a sentence. Written responses must be in complete sentences. In addition, there are three Superintendent "non-negotiables" that the Literacy Team is emphasizing in its role as leaders: 1) Rigor; 2) Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction; 3) Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Literacy Team serves as a resource for ongoing development and implementation of school and district-wide strategies. Research-based strategies receiving District-wide focus this year include T.H.I.E.V.E.S. (before instruction), Cornell Notetaking (during instruction), and Webb's Depth of Knowledge. The underlying theme of this strategy focus is that "We may not all be 'reading teachers,' but we are all 'comprehension teachers'!" For the FCAT Level I students reading at fifth grade level or below, Naples High School is using Achieve 3000's Empower 3000 as a resource to increase students' reading ability and comprehension. The goal of using this high-interest, level-appropriate program is to see learning gains among the lowest readers. Those who have access to Empower 3000 are representative of the Naples High School demographic. On a weekly basis, all teachers facilitate an extended reading opportunity called D.E.A.R. (Drop Everything and Read)for all students each period of the school day . This may include independent reading, guided reading, and/or shared reading. All teachers incorporate reading standards within their instructional focus using research-based strategies to teach reading skills within the context of their subject area. Department PLCs review data related to reading standards/benchmarks and adjust instructional strategies accordingly.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Authentic and content specific literacy is the responsibility of all teachers. Although not every teacher is a reading teacher per se, all teachers are indeed comprehension teachers who convey information to their students via the written word. In the effort to support literacy across disciplines, all secondary teachers in Collier County Public Schools utilize Collaborative Comprehension Strategies that guide students in pre-reading, comprehension monitoring, and summative question generating when encountering text. In addition, CCPS offers CAR-PD courses in order to build teachers' capacity to provide reading interventions to striving readers.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Career Education students are offered the opportunity to earn a third party industry approved certification which is designed to demonstrate to potential employers the technical skills and abilities of the students. Students may earn credentials through the Engineering Project Lead the Way Academy, Child Care, Teacher Assistant (articulation agreement with Edison State College), Web Design, and Computer Graphics. Students also have the opportunity to earn the Florida Ready to Work credential, designed to demonstrate to future employers these students' reading and mathematics skills. The purpose of these credentials is to integrate real world skills and abilities to the instructional objectives for both career and academic courses. In addition all Career Education programs offer the opportunity to include both On-the-Job Training and or Executive Internships to further demonstrate the relationships between high school programs and real world skills.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

School Counselors encourage all students to complete or update the FACTS.org planning document each school year. Counselors also meet regularly with Career Education (CE) students and other interested students to review CE Program of Study for each career education program that is offered at the school. Career guidance academic counseling provides access for students (and parents, as appropriate) to information regarding career awareness and planning with respect to an individual's occupational and academic future. This counseling also provides information with respect to career options, financial aid, and postsecondary options including college, technical, and post- secondary educational opportunities. Counselors specifically work with CE students in the implementation of the approved Program of Study, and familiarize students with articulations opportunities and other postsecondary programs that are related to high school career pathways. All CE students and all seniors are encouraged to earn a Florida Ready to Work certificate at the highest level possible. Students are also encouraged to take the appropriate pre-assessments in applied reading, applied math, and locating information tests which are a component of the Florida Ready to Work program. In addition to the Career and Technical courses available to all students, the Collier Skill Training for Employment Program (CO-STEP) is designed to meet the unique needs of students with disabilities. This program provides individualized instruction, training, and counseling services to assist students with disabilities in successfully developing marketable skills in career and technical coursework as well as on-the-job training in the community. Information sessions are offered for students and parents at various times throughout the school year including but not limited to September, January, and second semester prior to the start of the registration process for the following school year.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

According to the High School Feedback Report for 2010 Public High School Graduates, the most current report available, Naples High School had 356 graduates with standard diploma or GED. Pre-graduation indicators: The percent of graduates who scored at Level 3 or higher on the 10th grade FCAT in math was 85%, reading 57%, and 56% in both reading and math. The percent of 2010 graduates who completed a college prep curriculum was 74%, compared to the State's 60%. Among those who graduated in 2010, 41% were eligible for some level of Bright Futures awards: 11% Florida Academic Scholars, 31% Florida Medallion Scholars, and .28% Florida Gold Seal Vocational. Fifty-one percent completed at least one Advanced Placement or Dual Enrollment course. There were 26% enrolled in Algebra 1 or its equivalent in a public school prior to 9th grade, 75% who completed at least one Level 3 high school math course, and 2% who completed at least one dual enrollment math course. There was 59 who completed at least one Level 3 science course, and 1% who completed at least one dual enrollment science course. The PSAT was taken by 75% of the graduates, and 4% took the PLAN. In addition, 60% took the SAT and 61% the ACT, both above the State percentage and higher than the prior year's school percentages. There was 13% who took the CPT, under both the District average of 18% and the State average of 36%. Post-graduation indicators: Of those who graduated in 2010, 50% enrolled in a Florida public postsecondary institution in Fall 2010, and 8% were enrolled in Independent Colleges and Universities of Florida (ICUF). Other Florida postsecondary enrollments for 2010 graduates include 23% at a community college, 26% at a state university, and 1% at a technical education center. The percent of graduates enrolled in college credit courses in Fall 2010 at Florida public postsecondary institution earning a GPA above 2.0 was 79%, above the 78% District average and above the 75% State average. Those with a GPA above 2.0 enrolled in college credit courses at Independent Colleges and Universities in Florida was 87%, the District and State average of 79% and 80%, respectively. Of the graduates enrolled in a math course in Florida in Fall 2010, 70% successfully completed a remedial (non-college credit) math course. Sixty-one percent successfully completed intermediate algebra (for elective credit only), 76% in entry-level math (for math credit), and 74%% in advanced math who were successful. All of these averages were above both District and State averages. The percentages in English courses successfully completed were 96% remedial reading or writing (above District and State), 78% Freshmen Comp I or II (below District and equal to State average), and 78% in other college-level English, below both the District and State averages.

Planning for postsecondary participation is a critical activity that must begin when a student enters the ninth grade. The list below includes many areas of emphasis recognized by the Naples High School staff as ways to support students and parents during their high school years:

• Continuing implementation of the Pre-AICE program adding sophomores in preparation of providing AICE level courses junior and senior years

- Focus on improving and maintaining reading achievement scores
- · Focus on improving and maintaining math achievement scores
- Counsel towards upper level math and science courses
- Counsel towards foreign language credit requirements
- Counsel to maximize use of Bright Futures scholarships
- Counsel towards enrollment in college dual enrollment and Advanced Studies courses

• Increase availability of college dual enrollment courses both on campus and at college sites through local articulation agreements

· Counsel towards college placement exams including PERT, SAT, and ACT

• Counsel seniors towards enrollment in college level remedial English and mathematics courses including Math for College Readiness, Math for College Success, and English IV College Prep

- · Increase emphasis on career counseling and career planning with specific focus on postsecondary options
- · Focus on FACTS.org as planning tool for college and technical school enrollment
- Increase utilization of technical school dual enrollment as stepping stone to other postsecondary programs
- Increase focus on career academies that lead to college enrollment including Engineering Academy (Project Lead the Way),
- Teacher Education Academy, and Early Childhood Education Programs
- Encourage students towards earning industry certifications
- Utilize career and college planning on-line assistance

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
readi	CAT2.0: Students scoring ng. ing Goal #1a:	g at Achievement Level :		s to increase by 2% (43)s AT Level 3).	tudents achieving
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
21%	(135)		23% (178)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	appropriately differentiated to meet	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and	2. Incorporate technology resources to	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies		See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies

4			Instruction	Differentiated Instruction	and Differentiated Instruction
---	--	--	-------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
Stude				FY 13 Florida Alternate Assessment students scoring at Levels 4,5,6 is expected to increase from 45%(5) to 47%(51)		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
45%(5)		47% (51)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation	
2	appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation	
	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	

		daily checks for understanding.		
3		Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/ instruction.		
4	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/ instruction).	and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
5				

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
Level	CAT 2.0: Students scorir 4 in reading. ing Goal #2a:	ng at or above Achievem	FCAT 2.0 stude	t FCAT 2.0 students scoring at or above Level 4 in reading are expected to increase from 39% (267) to 43% (378).		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
39%	(267)		43% (378)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	(teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.		
	 Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated 	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies	Administrators Instructional personnel	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to	iObservation	

2	Lessons/activities are not appropriately	will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.		Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Incorporate technology resources to meet identified individual learning needs and to provide for higher levels of engagement. Eg., interactive use of Mimio/white board technology, use of mobile technologies (mobis, livescribe pens, e- readers), software specifically aligned to student's learning needs, web 2.0 tools (Angel, Edmodo, blogs, wikis)	and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and ref of improvement for the following group:	erence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:		ternate Assessment stude ve are expected to increas		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
18% (2)	20% (22)			
Problem-Solving Process to	Increase Studen	t Achievement		
	Person or	Process Used to		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	(teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
4	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/ instruction).		Learning Strategies and	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need if improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	FCAT 2.0 students making learning gains in reading is expected to increase from 68% (411) to 71% (550) reflecti a 3% (139) increase				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
68% (411)	71% (550)				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Person or Process Used to				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	clarifying and	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
				monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
		Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Incorporate technology resources to meet identified individual learning needs and to provide for higher levels of engagement. Eg., interactive use of Mimio/white board technology, use of mobile technologies (mobis, lifescribe pens, e- readers), software	and Differentiated Instruction		See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

	specifically aligned to student's learning needs web 2.0 tools (Angel, Edmodo, blogs, wikis)	
--	---	--

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:			FY 13 Florida Alternate Assessment students making learning gains in reading are expected to increase from 46% (5) to 51% (45).		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
46% ((5)		51% (45)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP	Learning Strategies	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

4	strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/ instruction).	
---	---	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	FY13 FCAT 2.0 students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading is expected to increase from 69% (103) to 72% (140.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
69% (103)	72% (140)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	(teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	appropriately differentiated to meet	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples

		Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Teacher will closely monitor low-expectancy students for understanding of content, providing immediate interventions as appropriate.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	instruction and multi-	0 0	Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal #			A	
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	FY12 Student subgroups that failed to make satisfactory progress in reading include Black, Hispanic, and American Indian. FY 13 expected level of performance will improve in each of these subgroups; however, the improvement will be too little to make satisfactory progress in reading.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Black: 22% (15) Hispanic: 49% (84) Asian: 100% (2)	White: 78% (405) Black: 30%(20) Hispanic: 54% (138) Asian: 100% (5) American Indian: 46% (3)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrie	- Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughour lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	(teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation

1				adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	For all sub-groups, provide leveled instruction as appropriate. In addition to daily checks for understanding, monitor progress a minimum of once every 2 weeks using mini-assessments. Disaggregate data by subgroup to determine additional supports that may be needed to close the gap for a specific group.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Monitor progress a minimum of once every 2 weeks by monitoring student participation in collaborative activities and maintaining empirical as well as assessment data. Disaggregate data by subgroup to determine additional supports that may be needed to close the gap for a specific group.	and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

	nglish Language Learner factory progress in readi	-		inguage Learners (ELL)not ected to increase in percen	
Reading Goal #5C:			(50) to 42% (2	2)though the total number tory progress is expected t	of students not
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
35%	(50)		42% (22)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	 Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; circulation to check for understanding, followed by instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity 	iObservation
1				During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson. Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.	iObservation
3	have inadequate opportunities for writing	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples

		teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Teacher will utilize a variety of ELL strategies to enhance understanding of content.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	0 0		See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and re of improvement for the following subgroup:	ference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	FY13 Students with Disabilities (SWD)not making satisfactory progress in reading is expected to increase from 33%(29) to 40%(44).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
33% (29)	40% (44)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	inappropriately in many classrooms.	appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	(teachers)	whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.				
2	Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	differentiated		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided	iObservation			

				Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/ instruction).	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Learning Strategies and	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference of improvement for the following subgroup:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:	FY 13 Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading is expected to increase from 44% (130) to 50% (198).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
44% (130)	50% (198)
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
inappropriately in many classrooms.		(teachers)	whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation

1				the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	 Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners. 	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor		See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Maintain high expectations for all students to participate in collaborative activities and to appropriately fulfill specified role within groups.	and Differentiated Instruction		See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade and/or PLC Level/Subject Focus		grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	--	---------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--

Marzano's Learning Framework	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/Instruction, Toachors (PLC Loadors)	Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel	Ongoing throughout school year beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in-service days, district in-service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Teachers, District Personnel
Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	9-12		Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel, Teachers	Ongoing throughout school year beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in-service days, district in-service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, CTEM Teacher Reps District Personnel
Best Practices	9-12	Principal for Curriculum/	Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel, Teachers	Ongoing throughout school year beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in-service days, district in-service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, CTEM Teacher Reps, District Personnel
Empower 3000	9-12	Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, Program Trainers, Teachers	Teachers of the following courses: Intensive Language Arts, Full-time SWD, Access Language Arts, Developmental	2012-13 school year in classes	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers, District Subject Area Coordinators
Springboard	9/10	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers	Language Arts Teachers	2012- 2013school year in classes noted for participation	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers, District Subject Area Coordinators
AICE	9-12	Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, School-based AICE Coordinator, Cambridge (AICE) Regional Coordinator, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Gifted Coordinator, Pre-	Instruction, Teachers of Pre- AICE English, Spanish, Biology,		Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, CTEM Teacher Reps, Teachers
Advanced Placement	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students,College Board Instructors,Teachers		Ongoing beginning July 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, CTEM Teacher Reps, Teachers
Live Scribe	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, Intervention Support Specialist, District ESE Personnel	Teachers	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, CTEM Teacher Reps, Teachers

Study Island9-12Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students,Media SpecialistMedia Specialist Teachers	Ongoing beginning August 2012	throughs; reflective	Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers
--	-------------------------------------	----------------------	---

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
01141095			Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
			Fri3 students	FY13 students scoring proficient in listening/speaking is expected to be at 36% (2).		
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:						
33% (28)						
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate	

1	classrooms.	obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.		techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity	questioning iObservation
				During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	Text across all Content	responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
		Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Teacher will utilize a variety of ELL strategies to enhance understanding of content.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
4		Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.			
	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction:	Teacher will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction:	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction:	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction:
5		Maintain high expectations for all			

		students to participate in collaborative activities and to appropriately fulfill specified role within groups.			
6	See 3.Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Teacher will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills	See 3.Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3.Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.	
	FY13 students scoring proficient in reading is expected to
CELLA Goal #2:	be at 20% (17).

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

18% (16)

	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ant Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
1	 Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners. 	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Monitoring Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Strategy 1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation

3	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor		See 1. Instructional Rigor
4	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	Learning Strategies and	Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

3. St	udents scoring proficie	nt in writing.	EV12 atudanta	scoring proficient in writ	ing is expected to
CELLA Goal #3:			be at 31% (26	0 1	ing is expected t
2012	2 Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ing:		
28%	(24)				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1. Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct	iObservation

learning levels. (Direct

Practice, Collaborative

and Guided Practice,

Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through

Independent and

CTEM.

Instruction, Guided

not appropriately

the needs of all

learners.

2

differentiated to meet

Evaluation Model

expectations and

support for low-

the area of

(CTEM) particularly in

expectancy students.

3	to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate	personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
	5	Teacher will utilize a variety of ELL strategies to enhance understanding of content.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
4		Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.			
5		Teacher will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations. Maintain high expectations for all students to participate in collaborative activities and to appropriately fulfill specified role within	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	groups. TE will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations. Maintain high expectations for all students to participate in collaborative activities and to appropriately fulfill specified role within groups.	across all Content to Teach Reading	See 3.Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3.Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmer	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of CELLA Goa

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

		of student achievement data, and read the student of the following group:	eference	e to "Guiding Q	uestions", identify an	nd define areas
L	. Florida Alternate A evels 4, 5, and 6 in Aathematics Goal #7		FY13 F Levels		Assessment Studen matics is expected to	
2	2012 Current Level o	f Performance:	2013 E	Expected Leve	l of Performance:	
3	8% (5)		60% (9))		
		Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease	e Student Ach	ievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate che understanding throughout lessons f ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g ticket, journal response, etc.	0		1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instru strategies will be monitored through Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectat and support for low-expectancy stu	n Collier ions	Instructional personnel	Teachers will utilize	iObservation
Г	3. Use of	In all content areas when assessing	9	Administrators	Students will be	Student

3	across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of	student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	(teachers)	accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	writing samples
2	Rigor	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.Provide differentiated instruction and multi-tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.		See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
Ę	and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	and Differentiated	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
ć	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

0	a abovo 20001 / In mathomatios.		FY13 Florida Alternate Assessment Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics is expected to increase from 23% (3) to 30% (5).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
2	3% (3)		30% (5))		
		Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease	e Student Ach	ievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	 Instructional Rigor: Checks for 	Teachers will utilize appropriate chound and the second se			1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards,	Exit slips, clicker mini-

1	understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Instructional personnel (teachers)	clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the	assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.	Instructional personnel (teachers)	lesson. Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3		Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction. In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.		Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction See 3. Use of	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction). Teacher will accommodate/adapt	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction See 3. Use of		See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction See 3. Use of

					Informational
	across all Content	strategies, working in small group or	Text across all	across all Content	Text across
	to Teach Reading	individually with students to support	Content to	to Teach Reading	all Content to
	and Writing Skills	improved reading skills(differentiated	Teach Reading	and Writing Skills	Teach
4	and Strategies	materials/instruction) . Provide lesson	and Writing	and Strategies	Reading and
0		plans in a central database (Angel) to	Skills and		Writing Skills
		increase ESE teacher	Strategies		and
		remediation/differentiation/accommodation			Strategies
		opportunities in daily instructional			
		practices.			

	. Florida Alternate / naking learning gair	Assessment: Percent of students		lorida Alternate	e Assessment percent	of students
	lathematics Goal #3		making		in mathematics is exp	
2	012 Current Level c	f Performance:	2013 E	Expected Leve	l of Performance:	
0	% (0)		.15% (2)		
		Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease	e Student Ach	ievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluatior Tool
1	 Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms. 	Teachers will utilize appropriate ch understanding throughout lessons ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g ticket, journal response, etc.	to	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instru strategies will be monitored through Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectat and support for low-expectancy st	n Collier ions	Instructional personnel		iObservation

				Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction		See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies Strategies	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in n of improvement for the following group:					
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	FY13 students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1 is				
Algebra Goal #1:	expected to be 48% (136).				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
47% (117)	48% (136)				

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
				During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will	Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	understanding. Based on triangulation of multiple data, Teacher will differentiate instruction and extensions as appropriate (multiple resources available in Angel).	and Differentiated Instruction	Learning Strategies and	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

	See 3. Use of	Learners will write to	See 3. Use of	See 3. Use of	See 3. Use of	
	Informational Text across	explain their reasoning on	Informational Text	Informational Text across	Informational Text	
4	all Content to Teach	mathematical tasks.	across all Content	all Content to Teach	across all Content	
0	Reading and Writing Skills		to Teach Reading	Reading and Writing Skills	to Teach Reading	
	and Strategies		and Writing Skills	and Strategies	and Writing Skills	
			and Strategies		and Strategies	

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
2. Stu and 5	udents scoring at or abov 5 in Algebra. 0ra Goal #2:		FY13 students	scoring at Achievement Lev pected to be 23% (65)	vel 4 AND 5 in
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:	
21%	(51)		23% (65)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional	1. Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
	to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be	Student writing samples

3		complete sentence.		displayed and used.	
		Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Based on triangulation of multiple data, Teacher will differentiate instruction and extensions as appropriate (multiple resources available in Angel).	and Differentiated Instruction	Learning Strategies and	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6		Learners will write to explain their reasoning on mathematical tasks.		Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	across all Content

Based on	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target								
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Algebra Goal #	ŧ				×.	
Baseline 2010-20		2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	the analysis of studer ement for the following		ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding	J Quest	ions", identify and o	define areas in need	
Hispanic satisfact	ent subgroups by eth , Asian, American I n ory progress in Alge Goal #3B:	dian) not m		:	satisfactory pro among Black stu	gress i udents	ups by ethnicity not in Algebra is expect from 47% (15) to 5 nts from 67% (2) to	ed to increase 2% (17)and among	
2012 Cur	rrent Level of Perforr	nance:			2013 Expected	d Leve	l of Performance:		
Black: 47% American	6 (15) Indian 67% (2)				Black: 52% (17) American Indian		6 (1)		
	Pi	oblem-Sol	ving Process	to I r	ncrease Studer	nt Achi	ievement		
Å	Anticipated Barrier Strategy			Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Instructional Rigor: Teachers wil Checks for understanding appropriate					ninistrators ructional	1.Utili	zation of exit slips,	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments,	

(teachers)

whiteboards, clickers,

clarifying and

appropriate questioning, appropriate

responses to

questioning

are not used or are used understanding throughout personnel

students are obtaining

inappropriately in many lessons to ensure

classrooms.

1		the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.		summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity	iObservation
				During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
		Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will maintain data by sub-group in order to identify issues specific to the risk- factors associated with the sub-group. As data uncovers specific barriers to closing the achievement gap. Teacher will identify appropriate differentiated instructional strategies to remove the barrier.	and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills	order to identify issues	across all Content	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills	across all Content

and S	J	factors associated with the sub-group. As data uncovers specific barriers to closing the achievement gap. Teacher will identify appropriate differentiated instructional strategies to remove the barrier.	and Strategies	0	and Writing Skills and Strategies
-------	---	--	----------------	---	--------------------------------------

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

 FY13 English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

55% (20)

of students will decrease.

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Algebra Goal #3C:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Text across all Content

assessing student

50% (28)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring 1. Instructional Rigor: Teachers will utilize Administrators 1. Utilization of exit slips, iObservation Checks for understanding appropriate checks for Instructional whiteboards, clickers, are not used or are used understanding throughout personnel appropriate questioning, inappropriately in many clarifying and lessons to ensure (teachers) summarizing techniques; classrooms. students are obtaining the necessary knowledge 2. circulation to check and skills, e.g., exit for understanding, ticket, journal response, followed by etc. 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity 1 During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson. Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning 2. Interactive Learning Teachers will utilize the Administrators Teacher use of iObservation Strategies and Instructional differentiated gradual release model Differentiated (GRM) of instruction to instructional strategies personnel Instruction: meet the needs of (teachers) will be monitored through Lessons/activities are not students at differing Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly appropriately learning levels. (Direct differentiated to meet Instruction, Guided in the area of the needs of all learners. expectations and support 2 Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, for low-expectancy Independent and Guided students. Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM. 3. Use of Informational In all content areas when Administrators Students will be Student writing

Instructional

accountable for writing

samples

3	to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during	personnel (teachers)	short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding. Maintain high expectations for all students to participate in collaborative activities		See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
		and to appropriately fulfill specified role within groups.			
5		Teacher will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.			See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	meet the needs of	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	across all Content

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
Sa	3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D:			FY13 Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra is expected to increase from 30% (9) to 37% (19).			
20	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
30)% (9)		37% (19)				
		Problem-Solving Process to I	ncreas	se Student Achi	evement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		Teachers will utilize appropriate cheo understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g.)	Instructional personnel	slips, whiteboards,	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to	

1	inappropriately in many classrooms.	ticket, journal response, etc.		and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity	appropriate questioning iObservation
				During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	Rigor	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
		Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all

6	Writing Skills and Strategies	improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to	Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Writing Skills and Strategies	Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies
---	----------------------------------	---	--	----------------------------------	--

	conomically Disadvantag factory progress in Algeb		FY13 Economica	FY13 Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra is expected to increase from			
lgek	bra Goal #3E:		44% (130) to 5				
2012	2 Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
14%	(130)		50% (200)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation		
				adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.			
2	Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation		
	to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed	Student writing samples		

	outside of language arts instruction.	of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.		expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	Differentiated Instruction		Learning Strategies		See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6		Learners will write to explain their reasoning on mathematical tasks.		Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	across all Content

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. Geometry Goal #1: 	FY 13 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry is expected to be 26% (102).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
24% (80)	26% (102)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	appropriate checks for understanding	(teachers)	slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation

1				of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3		In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Based on triangulation	Learning Strategies and	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Learners will write to explain their reasoning on mathematical tasks.			See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels

4 and 5 in Geometry. Geometry Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 46% (154)				FY 13 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in Geometry is expected to increase from 46% (154) to 49% (154).		
				2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	2:
				49% (154)		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o I r	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Adr Ins per (te	ministrators tructional sonnel achers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	Ins per	ministrators tructional sonnel achers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate	Ins per (te	ministrators tructional sonnel achers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples

		responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5		Based on triangulation of multiple data, TE will differentiate instruction and extensions as appropriate (multiple resources available in Angel).	Learning Strategies and	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Learners will write to explain their reasoning on mathematical tasks.	Informational Text	Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.		Geometry Goal # 3A :			×
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:	FY 13 Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry is expected to increase among Black students in percentage 37% (2) to 42% (2) though the number represented remains the same. FY 13 Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry is expected to increase among American Indian students in percentage 57% (1) to 60% (1) though the number represented remains the same.number represented remains the same.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Black: 37% (2) American Indian: 57% (1)	Black: 42% (2) American Indian: 60% (1)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning			

1		e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.		circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3		In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during	personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	department PLCs. Teacher will maintain data to monitor subgroups to determine needs relative to risk factor, e.g., limited background knowledge, vocabulary, language acquisition) and develop an individualized plan specific to student's needs.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2.Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction		Learning Strategies and Differentiated	See 2.Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2.Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

		differentiated instructional strategies to remove the barrier.			
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Teacher will maintain data by sub-group in order to identify issues specific to the risk- factors associated with the sub-group. As data uncovers specific barriers to closing the achievement gap, Teacher will identify appropriate differentiated instructional strategies to remove the barrier.	Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills	Teach Reading and	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C:	FY13 English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry will increase in percentage but decrease in number of studentsfrom 40% (11) to 45% (9).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
40% (11)	45% (9)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.		 Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson. Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning 	iObservation
	 Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet 	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in	iObservation

2	the needs of all learners.	Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.		the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Teacher will utilize a variety of ELL strategies to enhance understanding of content.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teacher will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Teacher will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies		See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

satistaciony progress in decinetry.			FY13 Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry is expected to increase from 20% (2) to 27% (5).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
20% (2)			27% (5)			
	Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease	e Student Ach	ievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
U U	Teachers will utilize appropriate ch understanding throughout lessons ensure students are obtaining the			1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate		

1		necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	personnel (teachers)	questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.		Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	across all Content	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4		Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5		Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction

See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing SkillsTeacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction) . Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodatio opportunities in daily instructional practices.	Text across al Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies		See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies
--	--	--	--

٦

Г

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3E:		satisfactory pr	FY13 Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry is expected to increas from 46% (33) to 50% (52).		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	2:
46%	(33)		50% (52)		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
2	to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate

3	classrooms.	obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.		techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	questioning iObservation
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Based on triangulation of multiple data, Teacher will differentiate instruction and intervention as appropriate (multiple resources available in Angel).	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3.Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Based on triangulation of multiple data, Teacher will differentiate instruction and intervention as appropriate (multiple resources available in Angel).	across all Content to Teach Reading	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano's Learning Framework	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/Instruction, Department Heads (PLC Leaders)	District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human	Ongoing beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in- service days, district in- service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Teachers, District Personnel
			Administrators,	Ongoing		

Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, CTEM Lead Teachers	Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel, Teache	beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in- service days, district in- service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, CTEM Teacher Reps District Personnel
Best Practices	9-12	Assistant Principal for Principal,Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students	Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel, Teachers	Ongoing beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in- service days, district in- service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, CTEM Teacher Reps, District Personnel
Agile Mind Algebra	9	Assistant Principal for Principal,Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, District Math Coordinator,Math Teachers	Administrators, Teachers of Intensive Math for Algebra and Intensified Algebra	Ongoing beginning August 2012; training dates determined by District personnel	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, District Personnel
AICE	9-11	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, AICE School- based Coordinator, Cambridge (AICE) Regional Coordinator, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Gifted Coordinator, Pre-AICE/ AICE Teachers	Administrators, Teachers of Pre- AICE Math I, Math II, and AICE Math I	Ongoing beginning August 2011	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, District Personnel
Advanced Placement	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, College Board Instructors, Teachers	Advanced Placement teachers of calculus, probability and statistics	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, District Personnel
Live Scribe	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, Intervention Support Specialist, District ESE Personnel	ESE Inclusion, ESE Teachers	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers
Study Island	9-12	Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students,Media Specialist	Media Specialist Teachers	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Review monthly report	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·		Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1:	FY13 Florida Alternate Assessment Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science is expected to increase in percentage from 37% (3) to 50% (2).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
37% (3)	50% (2)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	understanding throughout lessons to	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation	
	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher	(teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at	iObservation	

2	not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.		differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
 Plorida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2: 	FY13 Florida Alternate Assessment Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science is expected to increase from 13% (1) to 25% (1) though the total number of students remains the same.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
13% (1)	25% (1)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-	(teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice,	iObservation

		expectancy students.		Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stuc in need of improvemen			'Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
Biolo	 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1: 			FY 13 Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology is expected to increase from 20% (94) to 23% (77).		
2012	Current Level of Perfe	ormance:	2013 Expect	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
20% (94)			23% (77)			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	iObservation	
	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct	iObservation	

2	the needs of all learners.	the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.		Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
		Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Teachers will utilize the 5E Model of instruction based in Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate and Evaluate content providing scaffolded support as appropriate.	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Students will extend their learning by writing in a science notebook as a matter of routine to organize their authentic thoughts about labs and content learning. This habit will encourage student's original thoughts and beliefs about science in their world.	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2:	FY 13 Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in Biology from 55% (258) to 58% (194).		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
55% (258)	58% (194)		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	throughout lessons to	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	 Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, 	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
				administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	(teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	
	See 1. Instructional	coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs. Provide differentiated	See 1.	See 1. Instructional	See 1.
ţ	Rigor	instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	Instructional Rigor	Rigor	Instructional Rigor

5	and Differentiated Instruction	instruction based in Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate and Evaluate content. TE will a variety of curriculum resources to provide enrichment activities for advanced learners.		and Differentiated Instruction	Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	Students will extend their learning by writing in a science notebook as a matter of routine to organize their authentic thoughts about labs and content learning. This habit will encourage student's original thoughts and beliefs about science in their world. The science notebook can serve as an end-of- year portfolio of essential learning.	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	5	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano's Learning Framework	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/Instruction, Department Heads (PLC Leaders)	Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel Ongoing	Ongoing beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in- service days, district in- service	questioning; PLC discussion/feedback;	Administrators, Department Heads, Teachers,
Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, CTEM Lead Teachers	Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel, Teachers	service days, district in- service days	throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback;	Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, CTEM Teacher Reps District Personnel
				Ongoing beginning		

Best Practices	9-12	Assistant Principal for Principal,Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students	Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel, Teachers	August 2012; early release days, teacher in- service days, district in- service days		Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, District Personnel
Technology - iPad/Apple TV	9-10	Assistant Principal for Principal,Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, District Science Coordinator, Teachers trained in technology,	Assistant Principal for Principal,Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, District Science Coordinator, Teachers trained in technology, Earth Space Science Teachers,selected biology teachers	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback;	Administrators, Department Heads, District Personnel
Advanced Placement	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, College Board Instructors, Teachers	Advanced Placement teachers of chemistry and physics,	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, District Personnel

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	and higher in writing. ing Goal #1a:		to 92% (397). If Level 4 had current level of	higher in writing are expected to increase from 84% (277) to 92% (397). If Level 4 had been the proficiency level for FY12, current level of performance would be 42% (137) and FY13 expected performance would be 46% (198)			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	9:		
84%	(277)		92% (397)				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too		
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.		1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation		
				During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.			
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation		
3		responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate	Administrators Instructional personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples		
		Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on					

		short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.			
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor:	Provide differentiated instruction and multi- tiered supports as appropriate based on daily checks for understanding.	See 1. Instructional Rigor:	See 1. Instructional Rigor:	See 1. Instructional Rigor:
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	h		See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence.	Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills	across all Content to	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:	FY Florida ALternate Assessment Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing is expected to increase from 50%(2) to 75% (3).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
50% (2)	75% (3)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Ļ					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.	Instructional	slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding,	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation

				Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	
2	2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	Teacher use of differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low-expectancy students.	Instructional personnel (teachers)	Teachers will utilize the gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	iObservation
3	3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.		Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples
4	See 1. Instructional Rigor	Teacher will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP strategies, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills (differentiated materials/instruction. Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor	See 1. Instructional Rigor
5	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence.	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction	Learning Strategies and Differentiated	See 2. Interactive Learning Strategies and Differentiated Instruction
6	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence.	Content to	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies	See 3. Use of Informational Text across all Content to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano's Learning Framework	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, CTEM Lead Teachers	Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel, Teachers	Ongoing beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in- service days, district in- service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, CTEM Teacher Reps District Personnel
Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/Instruction, Teachers (PLC Leaders)	Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel	Ongoing throughout school year beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in- service days, district in- service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, CTEM Teacher Reps District Personnel
AICE	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students, School- based AICE Coordinator, Cambridge (AICE) Regional Coordinator, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Gifted Coordinator, Pre-AICE/ AICE Teachers	School-based AICE Coordinator, Assistant Principal Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers of Pre- AICE English, Spanish, Biology, Algebra,Geometry, AICE Math I, General Paper, International History, Thinking Skills, Biology,Global Perspectives	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers, Cambridge Representatives, District Advanced Studies Personnel
Best Practices	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction,Assistant Principal for Discipline and Attendance, Dean of Students	Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, District Subject Area Coordinators, District Human Resource Personnel, Teachers	Ongoing beginning August 2012; early release days, teacher in- service days, district in- service days	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Department Heads, Peer Teachers, CTEM Teacher Reps, District Personnel

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

			Grand Total: \$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Other			
			Subtotal: \$0.00
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Professional Development			
			Subtotal: \$0.00
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	
U.S. History Goal #1:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1. Instructional Rigor: Checks for understanding are not used or are used inappropriately in many classrooms.	Teachers will utilize appropriate checks for understanding throughout lessons to ensure students are obtaining the necessary knowledge and skills, e.g., exit ticket, journal response, etc.		1.Utilization of exit slips, whiteboards, clickers, appropriate questioning, clarifying and summarizing techniques; 2. circulation to check for understanding, followed by 3. instructional adaptation as a result of the monitoring activity During observations, administrators will utilize CTEM/ Art and Science of Teaching Observation and Feedback Protocol to monitor checks for understanding as a routine part of the lesson.	Exit slips, clicker mini- assessments, responses to appropriate questioning iObservation
	2. Interactive Learning	Teacher use of	Administrators	Teachers will utilize the	iObservation

2	Strategies and Differentiated Instruction: Lessons/activities are not appropriately differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.	differentiated instructional strategies will be monitored through Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM) particularly in the area of expectations and support for low- expectancy students.	Instructional personnel (teachers)	gradual release model (GRM) of instruction to meet the needs of students at differing learning levels. (Direct Instruction, Guided Practice, Collaborative and Guided Practice, Independent and Guided Practice). Use of this model will be included in lesson plans and monitored through CTEM.	
3	to Teach Reading and Writing Skills and Strategies: Students have inadequate	In all content areas when assessing student responses, check for proper capitalization of the first word of the sentence, appropriate punctuation at the end of the sentence, and that the response is a complete sentence. Part-time reading coach and language arts teachers trained by District personnel will provide inservice on short and extended responses and writing rubrics during department PLCs.	personnel (teachers)	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Student writing samples

ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas a need of improvement for the following group:					
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. 					
U.S. History Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	is to li	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	d		

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Progr			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Attendance				
Attendance Goal #1:	FY13 attendance rate is to expected to increase by 1%.			
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:			
96% (1546)	97% (1567)			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
21% (401)	22% (371)			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			

	1	Γ	I	Γ	Γ
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
I	Learner's motivation to attend school	Track students at 5, 7, 10 days absent.Communicate with parents/ guardians of absent students at 5 days by phone, 7 by USPS letter, and 10 days by USPS certified letter. Hold conference between parent/guardian/student and Student Services personnel to develop an attendance plan to improve attendance Schedule conferences with School Counselors for habitually absent students beginning no later than seventh absence. Offer PBS incentives.	for Attendance and Discipline; Dean of Students; Guidance School Teachers; PBS facilitator; MTSS Support Services; Students; Parents	Review attendance data every five, seven, and 10 days	TERMS/STUDENT PASS - District systems merging for attendance data
2	Students are difficult to track for attendance/truancy issues when teachers are inconsistent at taking accurate attendance.	Communicate details of District attendance policy with emphasis on credit denial potential. Meet with teachers whose data suggests inconsistent record- keeping. Provide training in technology used for	for Attendance and Discipline; and	Review attendance data every five, seven, and 10 days; Review data collected in weekly administration meetings.	TERMS and Student Pass - District system for attendance data and teache attendance records.
3	Students' level of engagement is based, in part, on instructional strategies used by teacher.	attendance records. Continue to train teachers in the Art and Science of Teaching focusing on Domain 1 of Marzano's Art and Science of Teaching Framework. Domain 1 identifies 41 instructional categories that happen in the classroom. Teachers will continue to receive ongoing feedback and training re: the implementation of research-based classroom strategies designed to improve teachers' instructional expertise leading to greater student engagement and achievement.	Teachers,	Formal, Informal Observations; Classroom Walk Throughs; (All using Marzano's Framework Protocols)	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and Schedules	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Positive Behavior Support	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Assistant Principal for Attendance and Discipline, Dean of Students, InterventionSupport Specialist, PBS Committee Members, MTSS Committee Members	All Staff	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, PBS Committee, Teachers

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
			Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

The FY13 goal is to decrease total in-school suspension

1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	by 1%(16); total number of students suspended in- school by 2%(32); number of out of school suspensions by 1% (16); and total number of students suspended out of school by 1% (16).
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
41% (663)	40% (646)
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School
14% (234)	12% (193)
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions
13% (218)	12% (193)
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School
8% (124)	7% (113)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Student behavior can be inconsistent and/or unpredictable.	Maintain visibility of staff throughout campus. Communicate behavior expectations to students and parents through a variety of venues including but not limited to school newsletter, new student orientation, class meetings, school website, District website, etc. Provide consistent expectations from all staff for all students. Implement consistent interventions from all staff.	for Attendance and Discipline; Dean of Students; Assistant Principal for Curriculum and Instruction; Principal; Counselors; Teachers; Intervention Support Specialist, MTSS Committee, PBS Committee, Students; Parents		Student Pass, District system for discipline reporting.
	An inconsistency in enforcing the Code of Student Conduct can affect student behavior.	Increase PBS incentives Maintain visibility of staff throughout campus.	Assistant Principal for Attendance and Discipline; Dean of Students; Assistant Principal for Curriculum and		TERMS and Student Pass, District system for discipline reporting.

b Principal; counselors; ty of Teachers; ng but Intervention school Support w Specialist, PBS ation, Committee , school Students; ct Parents tant om all dents. sistent	Communicate behavior expectations to students and parents through a variety of venues including but not limited to school newsletter, new student orientation, class meetings, school website, District website, etc. Provide consistant expectations from all staff for all students. Implement consistent interventions from all staff.
---	---

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Multi-Tier Support System (MTSS)	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Assistant Principal for Attendance and Discipline, Dean of Students, InterventionSupport Specialist, MTSS Committee Members	All Instructional Staff	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Intervention Support Specialist,MTSS Committee, School Psychologist, Teachers
Positive Behavior Support	9-12	Principal, Assistant Principal for Curriculum/ Instruction, Teachers, Para-professionals, Support Staff	All Staff	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, PBS Committee, Teachers

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		·	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		·	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·		Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

	d on the analysis of parened of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	I reference to "Guid	ding Questions", identify a	and define areas	
1. Dro	opout Prevention					
Dropo	out Prevention Goal #1	:	EV13 Graduatio	FY13 Graduation Rate is expected to increase from 93%		
*Plea	se refer to the percentage	ge of students who	(477) to 95% (
	ped out during the 2011-2	-				
2012	Current Dropout Rate:		2013 Expecte	d Dropout Rate:		
1% (1	8)		.5% (7)			
2012	Current Graduation Ra	te:	2013 Expecte	d Graduation Rate:		
93% (477)			95% (359)	95% (359)		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Students may leave without officially withdrawing from school.	School Counselors review student withdrawal data weekly and pursue collecting students' location/plans	for Curriculum and Instruction; Counselors;	Review dropout data quarterly; Review grades quarterly to determine if student is on track for	Graduation rate Dropout rate information through Data Warehouse and TERMS	
1		Counselors conference re: program options with students who are in danger of failing and include parents/guardians in discussions		each semester to determine if student is on track for graduatio		
2	At-risk students are reluctant to accept the reality they are in danger of not graduating.	Counselors conference re: program options with students who are in danger of failing and include parents/guardians in discussions	Assistant Principal for Curriculum and Instruction; Counselors	Monitor progress of those enrolled in alternative programs Monitor at-risk student data	Graduation rate Dropout rate information through Data Warehouse and TERMS	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Positive Behavior Support	9-12	Administrators, Teachers, Para- professionals, PBS Committee Members, MTSS Committee Members	All Staff	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, PBS Committee, Teachers
Multi-Tier Support System (MTSS)	9-12	Administrators, Teachers, Para- professionals, MTSS Committee Members, InterventionSupport Specialist,	All Instructional Staff	Ongoing beginning August 2012	Formal/Informal observations, classroom walk- throughs; reflective questioning; PLC discussion/feedback; Leadership Council agendas	Administrators, Intervention Support Specialist,MTSS Committee Members, School Psychologist

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·		Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based	d on the analysis of pare	nt involvement data, and	I reference to "Guid	ding Questions", identify	and define areas
	ed of improvement:				
1. Pa	rent Involvement				
Parer	nt Involvement Goal #7	1:			
partic	se refer to the percenta cipated in school activitie plicated.				
2012	Current Level of Parer	it Involvement:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Parent I nvol	vement:
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of understanding among some parents of volunteer registration process that leads to documentation of involvement.	Present process to capture volunteer hours to all parents/ guardians.	Administrators, Counselors, Intervention Support Specialists, Teachers, Clerical Personnel, Parent Volunteer Coordinator, School-based Volunteer liaison, District Volunteer Liaison President/Chair of each Booster group	Quarterly monitoring of volunteers registered	District system data
2	See anticipated barrier.	Provide written instructions for volunteer registration to all parent groups (i.e. Sports, Academic Boosters, SAC, JROTC, Engineering Task Force, etc.).	See anticipated barrier.	See anticipated barrier.	See anticipated barrier.
3	See anticipated barrier.	Include volunteer process and opportunities in quarterly newsletter.	See anticipated barrier.	See anticipated barrier.	See anticipated barrier.
4	See anticipated barrier.	Increase frequency of email blasts and email news.	See anticipated barrier.	See anticipated barrier.	See anticipated barrier.

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	b		

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progr			Aveilable
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
			'	1) To continue participation in the State Robotics competition and improve placement in final event.		
STEM Goal #1:			members of th	2) To continue to foster the relationship between members of the Project Lead the Way Community Task Force as a support for Project Lead the Way activities.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1		Incorporate learning from last year's initial competitive experience.	Engineering Teachers	Development of robot that will be used in competition will be	Competition placement and feedback	

				incrementally built and tested	
2		Integrate students from Programming course to assist in robotics development			See anticipated barrier
3	are active leaders in community and may have limited time to devote to Engineering	Work with key members to garner additional community participation of peers/advocates for Project Lead the Way curriculum.	Academy Teachers	Attendance Logs	Feedback from Task Force Members re: involvement

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
	No Data Submitted							

STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. CTE	Students in the Teaching Academy will have the
CTE Goal #1:	opportunity to earn industry certification(s) in three different areas and/or up to six (6) college credits.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Students may fail to adequately prepare for industry exams.	Teachers will incorporate industry certification test preparation in their curriculum.	Teaching Academy Teachers	assessed regularly to determine readiness for	Scores on industry certification exams			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s).	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

Additional Goal(s)

The FY13 ACT goal is to earn a composite scored above the state averages and for students to score at the college readiness benchmark scores for designated college courses as established by ACT through collaborative research with postsecondary institutions nationwide.

Goal:

Based	d on the analysis of stud	ent achievement data, a	ind re	eference to "Gu	uiding Ouestions", identif	v and define areas
	ed of improvement for the				,,,,,	,
 The FY13 ACT goal is to earn a composite scored above the state averages and for students to score at the college readiness benchmark scores for designated college courses as established by ACT through collaborative research with postsecondary institutions nationwide. Goal The FY13 ACT goal is to earn a composite scored above the state averages and for students to score at the college readiness benchmark scores for designated college courses as established by ACT through collaborative research with postsecondary institutions nationwide. Goal #1: 					e will increase from 26% her than the State level.	(68) to 30% (81)
2012	Current level:		2	2013 Expected level:		
Average composite score for the school 21.7 and 19.8 for state. 26% (68)				30% (81)for school composite score		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	toIn	crease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	More students are expected to take the ACT.	Provide test- preparation opportunities and exposure to the types of questions asked on the ACT.	<u> </u>		Registration enrollment when course is offered Review/compare scores of students who take ACT Prep course to those who have no such preparation	College Readiness information from ACT ACT scores through District's Data Warehouse
2	See anticipated barrier	Provide several sessions of ACT "Bootcamp" two weeks prior to each scheduled ACT held at Naples High School.			See anticipated barrier	See anticipated barrier
3	See anticipated barrier	Utilize Study Island with all students.	n See barri		See anticipated barrier	See anticipated barrier

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
	No Data Submitted							

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of The FY13 ACT goal is to earn a composite scored above the state averages and for students to score at the college readiness benchmark scores for designated college courses as established by ACT through collaborative research with postsecondary institutions nationwide.

Goal(s)

The FY13 goal is to increase the percentage of scores at 3 or higher on Advanced Placement tests.

Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and in need of improvement for the following group:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
 The FY13 goal is to increase the percentage of scores at 3 or higher on Advanced Placement tests. Goal The FY13 goal is to increase the percentage of scores at 3 or higher on Advanced Placement tests. Goal #1: 	FY13 students earning a 3, 4, or 5 on Advanced Placement exams will increase in percentage from 67% (254)to 70% (231).

2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	d level:	
67%	(254)		70% (231)		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students who enroll in multiple Advanced Placement classes selectively study for exams.	Offer guidance to students and parents during the enrollment process. Encourage teachers to work with students to develop study timeline prior to exam date.	Advanced Placement teachers		Data Warehouse and College Board reporting

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitte	b		

Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of The FY13 goal is to increase the percentage of scores at 3 or higher on Advanced Placement tests.

Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based	Program(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Dev	velopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$0.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jm Priority jm Focus jm Prevent jm NA

Are you a reward school: in Yes in No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/24/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Professional Development instructional/administrative in areas related to SIP goals Instructional materials/supplements related to SIP goals	\$12,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Each member of the School Advisory Council (SAC) is expected to be an active participant in regularly scheduled SAC meetings and other related activities (i.e. new student orientation, Open House, etc.). The SAC serves in an advisory capacity and shall assume none of the powers or duties now reserved by Florida Statutes for the School Board, the principal, or other administrative or

instructional staff. In the event a conflict emerges between the SAC and the principal, the law which makes the SAC advisory to the principal will prevail. The duties of the SAC shall be as follows:

1) Assist in the development, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the School Improvement Plan and the Annual Report of Educational Improvement;

- 2) Review the results of all needs assessments;
- 3) Prepare and distribute information to the public to report the status of implementing the School Improvement Plan, the

performance of students and educational programs, and progression in accomplishing the school goals;

- 4) Serve as a resource for the principal;
- 5) Provide assistance as the principal may request in the preparation of the school budget (FS1008.385(1)).
- 6) Approve expenditures of school improvement funds;
- 7) Act as a liaison between the school and the community;
- 8) Consult with persons or departments for assistance regarding the school improvement process and other school related matters.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

NAPLES HIGH SCHOOL						
2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	55%	82%	89%	50%	276	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the Distric writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	52%	75%			127	 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		63% (YES)			104	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					517	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*						Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students
					В	tested
Collier School District NAPLES HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010					В	
Collier School District NAPLES HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Crada	tested
Collier School District NAPLES HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010 % Meeting High		Math 81%	Writing 86%	Science 54%	Grade Points	tested Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the Distric
Collier School District NAPLES HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010 % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	Reading 60%				Grade Points Earned	tested Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the Distric writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science
Collier School District NAPLES HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010 % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) % of Students Making Learning Gains Adequate Progress of	Reading 60% 58%	81%			Grade Points Earned 281	tested Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the Distric writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. 3 ways to make gains: • Improve FCAT Levels • Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5
Collier School District NAPLES HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010 % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) % of Students Making Learning Gains Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the	Reading 60% 58%	81%		54%	Grade Points Earned 281 135	tested Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the Distric writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. 3 ways to make gains: • Improve FCAT Levels • Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 • Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
Collier School District NAPLES HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010 % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) % of Students Making Learning Gains Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	Reading 60% 58%	81%		54%	Grade Points Earned 281 135 105	tested Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the Distric writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. 3 ways to make gains: • Improve FCAT Levels • Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 • Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading