FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: SANTALUCES COMMUNITY HIGH

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Dr. Kathleen Weigel

SAC Chair: Laura Messler

Superintendent: Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: December 2012

Last Modified on: 10/18/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal | Dr. Kathleen Weigel | AA-Journalism, BA-English with Education Certification, MS- Administration and Supervision, Ed. D- Educational Leadership | | 30 | Principal of Atlantic High School: 2009-2010: Grade B Reading Mastery 52%, Math Mastery 72%, Science Mastery 42%, Learning Gains Read 55%, Learning Gains Math 77%, Low 25% Read Gains 40%, Low 25% Learning Gains Math 71% 2008-2009: Grade B Reading Mastery 52%, Math Mastery 74%, Science Mastery 43%, Learning Gains Read 56%, Learning Gains Math 76%, Low 25% Read Gains 49%, Low 25% Learning Gains Math 67% 2007-2008: Grade C Reading Mastery 49%, Math Mastery 69%, Science Mastery 44%, Learning Gains Read 57%, Learning Gains Math 73%, Low 25% Read Gains 45%, Low 25% Learning Gains Math 67% 2011-2012: Grade Pending |
| l | 1 | l | l | | 2011-2012: Grade Pending |

| Assis Principal | Terry Gaddy | MA-Educational Leadership, BA- Speech | 5 | 10 | Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 57%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 55%, Learning Gains Math 64%, Low 25% Reading Gains 59%, Low 25% Math Gains 76% 2010-2011: Grade B Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 76%, Science Mastery 40%, Learning Gains: 45% in Reading, 74% in Math, Low 25% Learning Gains: 46% in Reading, 66% in Math 2009-2010 Grade A Reading Mastery , 43%, Math Mastery, 76%, Science Mastery, 47% Learning gains-56% in reading, 80% in Math, Lowest 25%-Reading, 52% Math, Lowest 25%-Math, 72% 2008-2009: Grade D Reading Mastery, 35%, Math Mastery, 73%, Science Mastery, 32%Learning gains-46% reading, 74% Math, Lowest 25%-Reading, 44%(no), Math, 68%(yes) 2007 - 2008: Grade C: Reading Mastery, 38%, Math Mastery, 69%, Science Mastery, 36% AYP: 64%, White subgroup made AYP in math, did not make AYP in any other subgroup for math or reading; AP of Gold Coast Middle 2006 - 2007: Grade P: Reading Mastery, 21%, Math Mastery, 13%, Science Mastery, 3%, AYP: 77% Did not make AYP in any subgroups for reading or math; |
|-----------------|-------------|--|----|----|--|
| Assis Principal | Cara Hayden | MS/BS-Emotional Disturbances/Learning Disabilities,Educational Leadership BS-Social work | 1 | 1 | 2011-2012: Grade Pending Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 57%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 55%, Learning Gains Math 64%, Low 25% Reading Gains 59%, Low 25% Math Gains 76% 2010-2011: Grade B Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 76%, Science Mastery 40%, Learning Gains: 45% in Reading, 74% in Math, Low 25% Learning Gains: 46% in Reading, 66% in Math |
| Assis Principal | Jim Krupa | BS- Education/Physical Education, MA- Educational Leadership, Certifications: PE K-12, School Principal, k-12 | 16 | 23 | 2011-2012: Grade Pending Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 57%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 55%, Learning Gains Math 64%, Low 25% Reading Gains 59%, Low 25% Math Gains 76% 2010-2011: Grade B Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 76%, Science Mastery 40%, Learning Gains: 45% in Reading, 74% in Math, Low 25% Learning Gains: 46% in Reading, 66% in Math 2009-2010 Grade A Reading Mastery , 43%, Math Mastery, 76%, Science Mastery, 47% Learning gains-56% in reading, 80% in Math, Lowest 25%- Reading, 52% Math, Lowest 25%- Math,72% 2008-2009: Grade D Reading Mastery, 35%, Math Mastery,73%, Science Mastery, 32%Learning gains-46% reading, 74% Math, Lowest 25%- Reading, 44%(no), Math, 68%(yes) 2007 – 2008: Grade C: Reading Mastery, 38%, Math Mastery, 69%, Science Mastery, 36% AYP: 64%, White subgroup made AYP in math, did not make AYP in any other subgroup for math or reading; 2006 – 2007: Grade C: |

| | | I | | | Reading Mastery, 35%, |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | Math Mastery, 64%, Science Mastery, 34%, AYP: 59% White subgroup made AYP in math, did not make AYP in any other subgroup for math or reading: |
| | | | | | 2005 – 2006: Grade: C Reading Mastery, 29%, Math Mastery, 69% |
| | | | | | AYP: 72%, White, Hispanic, Econ. Dis. Subgroups made AYP in math, did not make AYP in any other subgroups for math or reading; |
| | | | | | 2004 – 2005 Grade: D Reading Mastery, 26%, |
| | | | | | 2011-2012: Grade Pending Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 57%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 55%, Learning Gains Math 64%, Low 25% Reading Gains 59%, Low 25% Math Gains 76% |
| | | | | | 2010-2011: Grade B Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 76%, Science Mastery 40%, Learning Gains: 45% in Reading, 74% in Math, Low 25% Learning Gains: 46% in Reading, 66% in Math |
| Accis Principal | Wakisha Mawali | MA-Educational Leadership, BA- Business Education | 4 | 5 | 2009-2010 Grade A Reading Mastery , 43%, Math Mastery, 76%, Science Mastery, 47% Learning gains-56% in reading, 80% in Math, Lowest 25%- Reading, 52% Math, Lowest 25%-Math, 72% |
| Assis Principal | | | | 5 | 2008-2009: Grade D Reading Mastery, 35%, Math Mastery,73%, Science Mastery, 32%Learning gains-46% reading, 74% Math, Lowest 25%- Reading, 44%(no), Math, 68%(yes) |
| | | | | | AP of Lake Worth Middle 2007 – 2008, Grade C: Reading Mastery, 40%, Math Mastery, 50%, Science Mastery, 28%, AYP: 67%, did not make AYP in any other subgroups for math or reading; |
| | | | | | 2006 – 2007 Grade C: Reading Mastery, 43%, Math Mastery, 54%, Science Mastery, 22%, AYP: 67%, did not make AYP in any other subgroups for math or reading; |
| | | | | | 2011-2012: Grade Pending Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 57%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 55%, Learning Gains Math 64%, Low 25% Reading Gains 59%, Low 25% Math Gains 76% |
| | | | | | 2010-2011: Grade B Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 76%, Science Mastery 40%, Learning Gains: 45% in Reading, 74% in Math, Low 25% Learning Gains: 46% in Reading, 66% in Math |
| | | | | | 2009-2010 Grade A Reading Mastery , 43%, Math Mastery,76%, Science Mastery, 47% |
| | | | | | Learning gains-56% in reading, 80% in Math, Lowest 25%- Reading, 52% Math, Lowest 25%-Math,72% 2008-2009: Grade D Reading Mastery, 35%, Math Mastery,73%, Science Mastery, 32%Learning gains-46% reading, 74% Math, Lowest 25%- Reading, |
| | | BA- Hotel/Restaurant Management, MBA-, | | | 44%(no), Math, 68%(yes) 2007 - 2008 Grade C: |

| Assis Principal | Jim Utterback | Educational Leadership, Certifications: School principal, (all levels), Business(6-12), elementary | 5 | 14 | Reading Mastery, 38%, Math Mastery, 69%, Science Mastery, 36% AYP: 64%, White subgroup made AYP in math, did not make AYP in any other subgroup for math or reading; 2006 – 2007: Grade C: Reading Mastery, 35%, Math Mastery, 64%, Science Mastery, 34%, AYP: 59% White subgroup made AYP in math, did not make AYP in any other subgroup for math or reading; Principal of Palm Beach Military Academy Charter School 2006 -2007 AP/Community School Director of Conniston Middle School 2005 – 2006 Grade A: Reading Mastery, 59%, Math Mastery 59%, Science Mastery, 29%, AYP: 85% White, black, Hispanic, econ. disadv. Subgroups made AYP in reading; white, Hispanic subgroups made AYP in math. 2004 – 2005 Grade B: Reading Mastery, 53%, Math mastery, 59%, AYP: 77%, White, Hispanic, econ. disadv subgroups made AYP in reading and math, did not make AYP in reading and math, did not make AYP in reading or math. |
|-----------------|------------------|--|---|----|--|
| Assis Principal | David Montoya | BA- Secondary Ed. Biology MA-Educational Leadership | 1 | 4 | 2011-2012: Grade Pending Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 57%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 55%, Learning Gains Math 64%, Low 25% Reading Gains 59%, Low 25% Math Gains 76% |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Math | Tracy Paletti | BA- business, MA-Curriculum and Instruction, Educational Leadership, K-12 Math, Reading endorsement | 3 | 3 | 2011-2012: Grade Pending Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 57%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 55%, Learning Gains Math 64%, Low 25% Reading Gains 59%, Low 25% Math Gains 76% 2010-2011: Grade B Reading Mastery 39%, Math Mastery 76%, Science Mastery 40%, Learning Gains: 45% in Reading, 74% in Math, Low 25% Learning Gains: 46% in Reading, 66% in Math 2009-2010 Reading Mastery , 43%, Math Mastery, 76%, Science Mastery, 47% Learning gains-56% in reading, 80% in Math, Lowest 25%-Reading, 52% Math, Lowest 25%-Math, 72% |
| Reading | Dr. Catherine Bishop- Temple | BS-Biology- Health Physical Education and Recreation, MEd- Curriculum and Instruction, Doctorate in Education | | 2 | Atlantic High School 2011-2012: Grade Pending Reading Mastery 55%, Math Mastery 59%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 65%, Learning Gains Math 44%, Low 25% Reading Gains 68%, Low 25% Math Gains 54% 2010-2011: Grade A Reading Mastery 53%, Math Mastery 72%, Science Mastery 47%, Learning Gains Reading 53%, Learning Gains Math 74%, Low 25% Reading Gains 43%, Low 25% Math Gains 71% |

| | | | | 2009-2010: PBCSD Secondary Reading Program Planner |
|------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| Graduation | Debbie Tanguay | BS-ESE, Certification-ESE | 7 | Boynton Beach High School 2011-2012: Grade Pending Reading Mastery 27%, Math Mastery 42%, Science Mastery N/A, Learning Gains Reading 53%, Learning Gains Math 56%, Low 25% Reading Gains 63%, Low 25% Math Gains 64% 2010-2011: Grade B Reading Mastery 28%, Math Mastery 60%, Science Mastery 22%, Learning Gains Reading 42%, Learning Gains Math 70%, Low 25% Reading Gains 48%, Low 25% Math Gains 61% 2010-2009: Grade B Reading Mastery 25%, Math Mastery 56%, Science Mastery 20%, Learning Gains Reading 37%, Learning Gains Math 67%, Low 25% Reading Gains 37%, Low 25% Math Gains 70% |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | District New teachers Mentoring program | District personnel | on-going | |
| 2 | Regular meetings of new teachers with the principal and/or Assistant Principal | Principal and Assistant Principal | on-going | |
| 3 | Partner new teachers with veteran teachers | Assistant Principal | on-going | |
| 4 | TIPS Training | District personnel | on-going | |
| 5 | Common Planning Meetings | Assistant Principal | on-going | |
| 6 | AVID Training | Assistant Principal, AVID coordinator | on-going | |
| 7 | National Board certified Teachers meet with new and 2nd year teachers to mentor | Teachers, Assistant Principal | on-going | |
| 8 | Hire Highly Qualified teachers and paraprofessionals. | Principal, Assistant Principals | | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|--|
| 8%[10] teachers are out- of-field 0%[0] received less than an effective rating | *Mentoring support from reading and academic coaches *Establishment of professional learning communities to support teacher development and best practices *Establish teacher "buddy" program to provide support to out-of- field teachers |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 133 | 4.5%(6) | 17.3%(23) | 34.6%(46) | 43.6%(58) | 35.3%(47) | 92.5%(123) | 16.5%(22) | 2.3%(3) | 28.6%(38) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Dorathy Shroader | Chaquita Collie | Mrs. Shroader is ClinEd trained and is familiar with Academies and requirements | Participation in monthy ESP meetings that cover best practices, concerns, procedures, etc. |
| Lucy Moriera | Rubria Guillen- DeSanabria | Mrs. Moriera is ClinEd trained and teaching the same subject matter | Participation in monthy ESP meetings that cover best practices, concerns, procedures, etc. |
| Michael Woods | Cynthia Klinger | Mr. Woods is ClinEd trained and teaches in the same department | Participation in monthy ESP meetings that cover best practices, concerns, procedures, etc. |
| Laura Hamilton | Janice Labat | Mrs. Hamilton is ClinEd trained and teaches in the same department | Participation in monthy ESP meetings that cover best practices, concerns, procedures, etc. |
| DeeAnne Feulner | Cari Woodward | Mrs. Woodward has worked as the inclusion teacherwith Mrs. Feulner | Participation in monthy ESP meetings that cover best practices, concerns, procedures, etc. |
| Richard Andreacchio | Kelsey Lin | Mr. Andreacchio teaches within the same department. | Participation in monthy ESP meetings that cover best practices, concerns, procedures, etc. |
| Laura Hamilton | Melini Latham | Mrs. Hamilton is ClinEd trained and teaches in the same department | Participation in monthy ESP meetings that cover best practices, concerns, procedures, etc. |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

provide services to minority and low income students. In addition, teachers will be provided with staff development to increase their capacity to teach literacy. Remediation in the form of after school and Saturday tutorials will be provided. Parents will be involved to participate in on- going informational and training sessions to assist in preparing their students to be successful in high school and beyond. A summer transition program for incoming 9th graders and their parents will also be offered, in addition to course remediation through E2020.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Migrant Liaison provides services and support to students and parents. The liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs to ensure student needs are met.

Title I, Part D

District receives funds to support the Educational Alternative Outreach Program. Services are coordinated with district Drop-Out Prevention programs.

Title II

District receives supplemental funds for improving basic education programs throughout the purchase of small equipment to supplement education programs. New technology in classrooms will increase the instructional strategies provided to students and new instructional software will enhance literacy and math skills of struggling students.

Title III

Services are provided through the district for education and materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of English Language Learners

Title X- Homeless

District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide interventions for Level 1, 2 readers, as well as, retake students

Violence Prevention Programs

Administrators provide a pull-out cyber bullying program to address the needs of students. In addition, there is a bullying hotline to allow students to call if they feel they are being harassed by other students.

District-wide implementation of Single School Culture and Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity.

Nutrition Programs

N/A

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

Classes are offered through Community Education. Some classes include English for Speakers of other Languages

Career and Technical Education

Career and Technical Education programs are provided at SCHS. They include: early childhood education, culinary, band/music industry, visual arts, web design and CAD.

Job Training

Both ESE and regular education students can participate in on -the -job training programs

Other

Required instruction listed in Fla. Stat. 1003.42(2), as applicable to appropriate grade levels.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school based Team is comprised of the following members:

Principal, assistant principal, ESE contacts, school psychologist, classroom teacher, reading/math coach and guidance staff (Which includes an ELL and ESE counselor).

The principal provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making to ensure:

- a sound, effective academic program is in place
- · a process to address and monitor subsequent needs is created
- the School Based team (SBT) is implementing Rtl processes
- · Assessment of Rtl skills of school staff is conducted.
- fidelity of implementation of intervention support is documented
- adequate professional development to support Rtl implementation is provided
- effective communication with parents regarding school-based Rtl plans and activities occurs

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school-based Rtl Leadership Team will meet regularly to review universal screening data, diagnostic data, and progress monitoring data. Based on this information, the team will identify the professional development activities needed to create effective learning environments. After determining that effective Tier 1 – Core Instruction is in place, the team will identify students who are not meeting identified academic targets. The identified students will be referred to the school-based Rtl Leadership Team.

The SBT will use the Problem Solving Model* to conduct all meeting. Based on data and discussion, the team will identify student who are in need of additional academic and/or behavioral support (supplemental or intensive). An intervention plan will be developed (PBCSD Form 2284) which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team will ensure the necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity. Each case will be assigned a case liaison to support the interventionist (e.g., teacher, Rtl/Inclusion Facilitator, guidance counselor) and report back on all data collected for further discussion at future meetings.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the school-based Rtl leadership Team will meet with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and will help develop the 2012-2013 SIP. Utilizing the previous year's data, information on Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 targets and focus attention on deficient areas will be discussed.

Topics for discussion include, but are not limited to, the following;

- FCAT scores and the lowest 25%
- AYP and subgroups
- strengths and weaknesses of intensive programs
- · mentoring, tutoring, and other services

MTSS I mplementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data:

- Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- · Curriculum Based Measurement
- Palm Beach County Fall Diagnostics
- · Palm Beach Writes
- Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR)
- Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
- Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)
- Office Discipline Referrals

- · Algebra, Geometry, Biology EOC
- Retentions
- Absences

Midyear data:

- Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR)
- Palm Beach County Winter Diagnostics
- Palm Beach Writes
- Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)

End of year data:

- Florida comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)
- FCAT Writes
- ACT/SAT/CPT
- End of the year course assessments

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The SBT leader will provide professional development to the faculty on designated professional development days (PDD). These in-service opportunities will include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Problem Solving Model
- · consensus building
- Positive Behavioral Intervention and Support (PBIS)
- · data-based decision-making to drive instruction
- · progress monitoring
- selection and availability of research-based interventions
- tools utilized to identify specific discrepancies in reading.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The School-Based Leadership team will meet every 4 weeks to review students' diagnostic data and determine if Tier 2 or Tier 3 interventions plans are needed, successful and/or if adjustments are required.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Dr. Kathleen Weigel-Principal

Cara Hayden-Assistant Principal

David Montoya-Assistant Principal

Dr. Catherine Bishop-Temple-Reading Coach

Tracy Paletti-Academics Coach

Debbie Tanguay-Graduation Coach

Dana Rosenstein-Reading Instructional Leader / Teacher

DeeAnne Feulner-English Teacher

Allison Moe-Media Specialist

Cindy Corcoran-ESE Contact / Guidance Counselor

Stacie Lipten-Block-ELL coordinator

Judy Lehman-Graduation Coach / Academy Coordinator

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

LLT will meet to develop and implement a comprehensive reading program to address the needs of all students. They will meet two times a month to analyze data and to revise the reading plan as needed.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiative will be to focus on the needs of the lowest 25% and seniors.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/11/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Reading teachers will explicitly teach the Primary Reading Benchmark to the students in the Intensive Reading classes. All other teachers will be provided with reading staff development (i.e. CRISS I and CRISS II, FCAT 2.0 Item Specs, and AVID strategies) and a secondary reading benchmark calendar based on schoolwide weaknesses. Teachers will be expected to utilize strategies learned, while embedding secondary benchmarks into their everyday lessons. Student samples of assessments (including listed secondary benchmarks) will be required as evidence of instruction.

On Late Start Days, the reading coaches and Assistant Principals will review an activity from the FCAT item specs to build the knowledge base of all teachers.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

The school offers students elective courses in art, business, technology, music, fashion design, culinary arts, early childhood education, and visual technology. Many of these courses focus on job skills and offer students internships. Diversified Cooperative Training is also offered to the students.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Students are given the ability to choose a Major Area of Interest(MAI) or Academy when they complete their course selection sheet. As they progress through high school, their courses are structured around the MAI/Academy. Students take the PSAT to ascertain their success in Advanced Placement courses. Informational sessions are held for parents and students on topics such as Advanced Placement, Dual Enrollment and college planning.

Counselors conduct informal sessions relative to course selection through classroom visits. They , also, meet with students individually to discuss and determine course selection.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

Based on the 2011-2012 High School Feedback Report, Santaluces High School students ranked below the district and state in the percentage of students who completed at least one AP, dual enrollment, or ACIE course. The same can be said for the areas of participation and performance in college readiness tests such as SAT and CPT. The new state grading system, as well as, the Advanced Placement Initiative Program and Smaller Learning Communities Grant Initiatives, places an increased emphasis in all of these areas.

ACT/SAT/PERT Preparation Courses will be promoted throughout the school year. Various options such as on site courses designed in collaboration with PBSC, and courses through Virtual School will be pursued to provide convenient access to any interested students. Students scoring in ranges not considered college ready will be directly solicited for participation.

ACT/SAT/PERT Preparation courses will also be offered on Saturday mornings during our Saturday Success Academy as well as

after school.

Direct solicitation for Dual Enrollment qualified students will be aggressively pursued in the 12-13 school year. Special invitations for students to informational sessions, parent trainings and presentations from the College and University contacts will be utilized to cultivate in interest and participation. In addition, the AP Potential Tool by College Board for identification of prospective students will be used to target participants and communicate to their parents. Special Programs to increase participation and performance in these areas are APIP Summer Enrichment Program, Summer Bridge/Trio Program through PBSC, AP Institutes and 1 day trainings for teachers, Incoming 9th Grade Trailblazer (Pre-AP) Summer Boot Camp Program.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eferer | nce to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need |
|------|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| | CAT2.0: Students scoring | | 3 in | | | |
| read | | | 4 | | s will be proficient or mee | t the state |
| Read | ling Goal #1a: | | S | tandards on FC | CAT 2.0 Reading. | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2 | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | |
| 39% | (445) | | | | s will be proficient or mee CAT 2.0 Reading. | t the state |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I n | crease Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students struggle with fluency and comprehension in grade level content area/literacy passages. | Utilize Reading Plus twice weekly in all reading classes and once weekly in 9th and 10th grade social studies and science classes. | cont teacl | inistration, ent area hers, and ing coach. | Monitor weekly "Reading Plus" progress reports. | FCAT 2.0, Classroom Assessments, FAIR Results, Fluency Probes, Fall/Winter Diagnostic Results. |
| 2 | Low attendance for Saturday and after- school tutorial program due to lack of interest and transportation after school and on Saturdays. | Small group direct reading instruction on annually assessed benchmarks, Reading Plus tutorial groups, Biology and Mathematics homework help / test remediation on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday. | | inistration | Monitor attendance and gradebook for students participating in tutorial. Advertise success to throughout school | FCAT 2.0, Algebra 1, Geometry, and Biology EOC assessment results. |
| 3 | Teachers lack professional development in infusing reading strategies into core content. | All content area and elective teachers will infuse reading secondary benchmarks into daily lessons. Teachers will also incorporate secondary reading benchmarks into classroom assessments. | read | inistration, ing coach | Classroom Walkthroughs, lesson plan reviews, learning team discussions, "Backward Design" and administrative review of assessments prior to units/concepts covered in classes. | Walkthrough Logs, FAIR Results, Learning Team notes, FCAT 2.0 Reading, Classroom Assessment Results. |
| 4 | Students struggle with higher order thinking questions which is a determinant to their success on the FCAT. | Utilize Collegeboard's Springboard Curriculum in all English classes where a scaffolded approach to critical thinking is utilized. Additionally, AVID, Springboard, and CRISS strategies will be implemented in all CORE and ELECTIVE classes. | AVID Teachers. | | Research on AVID and Collegeboard's Springboard strategies. | Walkthrough log, PLC notes, Lesson Plans, FCAT 2.0 Reading, FAIR Results |
| 5 | Students have low interest in reading. | Increase students' independent reading time by increasing the availability of reading materials such as classroom libraries and | | acy Team, inistration | Lesson Plans, Classroom Visits | Assessments, fluency probes |

magazines.

| | d on the analysis of studen approvement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Stuc | Florida Alternate Assessn dents scoring at Levels 4, ding Goal #1b: | | 19% of student | 19% of students will score a 4,5, or 6. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 17% | (2) | | 19% of student | 19% of students will score a 4,5, or 6. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students with disabilities may have coding and/or processing problems which does not aid in motivation | Academic Interventionist inclusion teachers, push into reading classrooms containing Students with Disabilities. | Assistant Principal, Reading coach, ESE coordinator | IEP meetings, Diagnostic testing | Knowledge checks, Diagnostic tests, Semester exams, FAA | |
| 2 | Lack of training for teachers to implement the program effectively | Wilson reading program | Assistant Principal, Reading coach, ESE coordinator | Diagnostic testing | Knowledge Checks diagnostic tests, semester exams, FAA | |

| | ed on the analysis of student achievement d nprovement for the following group: | ata, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area |
|-----|--|---|
| 2a. | FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above A | chievement |
| Lev | el 4 in reading. | 21% percent of students will earn a level 4 or 5 on F |
| Rea | ding Goal #2a: | 2013 Reading Assessment. |
| 201 | 2 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 18% | (206) | 21% percent of students will earn a level 4 or 5 on F 2013 Reading Assessment. |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | Low attendance for tutorial program due to lack of interest and transportation on Saturdays | Target students who are in the Advanced Placement and Pre-AP/Honors courses and recruit for Saturday and after school tutoring | Assistant Principal,Reading Coach | All students will be given the opportunity to be involved in after school and Saturday Tutoring. Advanced Placement, Pre-AP, and Honors students will be highly encouraged. | FCAT 2013, Advanced Placement exams |
| 2 | Students have not internalized reading strategies. | Utilize Writing, Inquiry, Collaboration, and Reading Strategies in all classes to increase student ability to answer higher order thinking questions. | Administration, Literacy Team | Classroom walkthroughs,PLC meetings, Lesson Plans, Common Assessments. | Diagnostic Tests, Common Assessments, FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment, AP Exams. |
| | Lack of rigor in the classroom | Incorporate higher order thinking questions that will require students to | Assistant Principal | Classroom walkthroughs, SLT meetings | Diagnostic Tests, Common Assessments, |

| 3 | use critical thinkir | g. Use | FCAT 2.0 Reading |
|---|----------------------|--------|------------------|
| | Cornell Notes, WI | CR and | Assessment, AP |
| | CRISS strategies | n the | Exams, PSAT |
| | classroom. | | Results. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in 75% of the students will be at proficiency level of 7 or higher reading. on FAA. Reading Goal #2b: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 75% 67% (8) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students with disabilities | Academic Interventionist Assistant Principal, IEP meetings, Diagnostic Knowledge checks, may have coding and/or inclusion teachers, push Reading coach, testing Diagnostic tests, processing problems into reading classrooms ESE coordinator Semester exams, FAA which does not aid in containing Students with motivation Disabilities Classroom Walkthroughs, Teachers lack All content area and Administration, Walkthrough logs, professional development elective teachers will reading coach lesson plan reviews, FAIR results, Learning Team in infusing reading infuse reading secondary learning team strategies into core benchmarks into daily discussions, "Backward notes, Classroom Design" and content lessons; Teachers will assessment results, FAA also incorporate administrative review of secondary reading assessments prior to benchmarks into units/concepts covered classroom assessments in classes. Classroom walkthroughs, Lack of rigor in the Incorporate higher order Assistant Principal Walkthrough logs, classroom SLT meetings FAIR results, thinking questions that will require students to Learning Team 3 use critical thinking; use notes, Classroom Cornell Notes, WICR and assessment CRISS strategies in the results, FAA classroom

| 1 | l on the analysis of student provement for the following | t achievement data, and re group: | ference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | | | 60% of students will make learning gains in Reading on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment. | | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 55%(| 55%(383) | | | 60% (685) | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |

| 1 | Scheduling students into reading classes | | Administration, Guidance | l |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | Lack of teacher development needed to implement the program effectiviely | 5 | 3 | Walkthrough logs, FAIR reports |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in 88% of students will make a learning gain on FCAT 2.0 reading. Reading. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 88% of students will make a learning gain on FCAT 2.0 83%(10) Reading. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students with disabilities Academic Interventionist Assistant Principal, IEP meetings, diagnostic Knowledge Checks, may have coding and/or inclusion teachers, push Reading coach, testing diagnostic tests, processing problems into reading classrooms **ESE** Coordinator semester exams, which does not aid in containing Students with FAA Disabilities. motivation Walkthrough Logs, Teachers lack All content area and Administration, Classroom Walkthroughs, professional development elective teachers will reading coach lesson plan reviews, FAIR Results, in infusing reading infuse reading secondary learning team Learning Team benchmarks into daily discussions, "Backward notes, Classroom strategies into core 2 content. lessons. Teachers will Design" and Assessment administrative review of also incorporate Results, FAA secondary reading assessments prior to benchmarks into units/concepts covered classroom assessments. in classes. Lack of rigor in the Incorporate higher order Assistant Principal Classroom walkthroughs, Walkthrough Logs, classroom thinking questions that SLT meetings FAIR Results, will require students to Learning Team notes, Classroom 3 use critical thinking. Use Cornell Notes, WICR and Assessment CRISS strategies in the Results, FAA classroom.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 65% of students in the Lowest 25% will make learning gains on the FCAT 2.0 Reading. | | | |
| | | | | |

| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 50% | | | | 65% of students in the Lowest 25% will make learning gains on the FCAT 2.0 Reading. | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of participation in tutorials | <u> </u> | Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading coach | All students will be given the opportunity to be involved in after school and Saturday Tutoring | FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment 2013 | |
| 2 | Students struggle with higher order thinking questions which is a determinant for them to score at proficiency level. | AVID reading strategies will be utilized | Administration, Reading Coach, Teachers | Lesson Plans, Classroom walkthroughs | Fall and Winter Diagnostics, FAIR Assessments, Common Assessments, and FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment. | |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Reading Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual In 2010-2011 42% of the students were scoring at Level 3 or Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year higher on FCAT 2.0 Reading; by 2016-2017, 71% of students school will reduce their achievement gap will score a level 3 or higher on FCAT 2.0 Reading. by 50%. Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 42% 52% 57% 61% 66%

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

White 58% (174), Black 26% (105), Hispanic 39% (145)

60% Black, 51% Hispanic, and 65% White students will meet proficiency in FCAT 2.0 Reading.

60% Black, 51% Hispanic, and 65% White students will meet proficiency in FCAT 2.0 Reading.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Students come to high school with exisitng low reading levels. | 0 1 | Reading coach, Administration, Literacy Team | Reading Plus assessments | Reading Plus Diagnostics |
| 2 | Lack of participation in tutorials | After school and Saturday tutorials will be provided with transportation; in addition, to pullouts | | Attendance rosters | FCAT 2013 |

| | | during the school day. | | |
|---|----|------------------------|--|--|
| 3 | 00 | will be utilized | Administration, Reading Coach, Literacy Team | Diagnostic testing, Classroom Walkthrough Logs, Common Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. 24% of ELL students will meet proficiency on the Reading FCAT 2.0. Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 24% of ELL students will meet proficiency on the Reading 6% (7) FCAT 2.0. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Disfluent readers in Administration, Monitoring Fall and Fall and Winter Students come to high school significantly Intermediate ELL courses Guidance, ELL Winter Diagnostics, FAIR, Diagnostics, FAIR, behind in their reading will be placed in a double Coordinator. and Common Assessment FCAT 2013, and block of reading in data Common addition to multiple core Assessments courses with ELL and Reading Endorsed Teachers. ELL students often have After school, and Assistant Principal, Increase in students Fall and Winter trouble reading in their Saturday tutorials will be ELL coordinator, reading levels Diagnostics, FAIR, Reading Coach, ELL FCAT 2013, and offered. In addition, native langauage and 2 pullouts for small group have tremendous district personel Common difficulty reading english. remediation will be Assessments provided.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: | | | | 29% of Students with Disabilities will be proficient in reading as measured on the FCAT 2.0. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 22% (38) | | | | 29% of Students with Disabilities will be proficient in reading as measured on the FCAT 2.0. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | | Academic Interventionist inclusion teachers, push into reading classrooms containing Students with | Assistant Principal, Reading coach, ESE Coordinator | IEP meetings, diagnostic testing | Knowledge Checks, diagnostic tests, semester exams | |

FCAT 2013

motivation

Lack of training for

Disabilities.

| 2 | teachers to implement | Reading Coach, | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| | the program effectively | ESE coordinator | |

| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: | | | | 47% of students will be proficient in Reading as measured on the FCAT 2.0. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 32% (329) | | | 47% of student the FCAT 2.0. | 47% of students will be proficient in Reading as measured on the FCAT 2.0. | | |
| | Pr | roblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students come to school with existing low reading levels | | Reading Coach, Assistant Principal | Reading Plus assessments | Reading Plus Diagnostics | |
| 2 | Lack of participation in tutorials | After school and Saturday tutorials will be provided with transportation; in addition, to pullouts during the day. | Assistant Principal, Reading Coach | Attendance roster | FCAT 2013, Diagnostic assessments | |
| 3 | Students struggle with higher order thinking questions which is a determinant in them being successful on the FCAT. | AVID reading strategies will be utilized. | Assistant Principal, Reading coach, teachers | Lesson Plans | Diagnostic testing | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | early release) and Schedules (e.g., | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Content Enhancement | 9-12, Social Studies Teachers | Social Studies Instructional Leader | Social Studies teachers | Late Start Day, PLC | Agendas, Lesson Plans | Administation |
| AVID | 9-12, all subjects | Avid Site Team | All Teachers | Late start day,Professional Development Day, Faculty Meetings | Classroom walkthroughs | Administration |
| RtI | 9-12, all subjects | School Based Team | All teachers | Late start day | Agendas sign in | Administration |
| Item Specs Training for FCAT Reading 2.0 | 9-12, all subjects | Administration / Literacy Team | All Teachers | Late Start Day, Professional Development Day, Common Planning and Learning Team Meetings | Classroom Walkthroughts, Lesson Plans, Assessments including Item Specs | Administration |

| Student Engagement Strategies and Training | 9-12, all | Student | Guidance Counselor | October | Agendas & Lesson Plans from student implementation | Administration |
|---|-----------|---------|-----------------------|---------|--|----------------|
|---|-----------|---------|-----------------------|---------|--|----------------|

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater | IdI(S) | | |
|---|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Availabl Amoun |
| Enhance instructional materials to implement reading strategies. Provide students with materials for success. | Classroom libraries, chart paper, binders, dividers, paper & ink for classroom use, markers, etc. | Title 1 | \$9,000.00 |
| Increase the students scoring a 3 or above on FCAT Reading. | Reading Teacher | Title 1 | \$63,644.00 |
| Provide reading endorsed resource teacher for pull outs and push ins after diagnostics. | .5 Resource Teacher | Title 1 | \$31,822.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$104,466.0 |
| 「echnology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Utilize technology to implement Reading Plus program and other research based educational supports. | Lap Top Cart | Title 1 | \$35,000.00 |
| Utilize technology to implement a rotational model in additional reading classroom. | Desk Top Computers | Title 1 | \$4,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$39,000.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Provide PD such as modeling lessons, student engagement strategies, write curriculum, develop mini-assessments, analyze data with teachers, facilitate trainings | State Reading Conference, AVID conference, 2012 Educational Strategies & Student Engagement Institute, District and in school professional development (Including registration fees, travel expenses, lodging, and meals.) | Title 1 | \$4,000.00 |
| Supplies for professional development activities | Paper, ink for EDW reports, resource books, anchor charts, markers, poster machine paper, laminating film, etc | Title 1 | \$1,000.0 |
| Provide substitutes for teachers in need of reading strategy professional development. | Substitutes for teacher release time to attend professional development (Benefits 4.3%) | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 |
| Hire a 1/2 time reading coach to provide professional development to teachers to include modeling lessons, student engagement strategies, write curriculum, develop mini-assessments, analyze data with teachers. | Reading Coach | Title 1 | \$33,794.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$41,794.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Transportation for after school and Saturday tutoring | Transportation for Tutorials | Title 1 | \$500.00 |
| Tutoring for students | Salaries for teachers in tutorials | Title 1 | \$18,000.0 |
| Supplies for student tutorials | Paper, ink, folders, ect. | Title 1 | \$2,216.0 |
| Subs for Title 1 funded classroom teachers. | substitutes to provide coverage when Title 1 teacher is absent. | Title 1 | \$1,200.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$21,916.0 |

Grand Total: \$207,176.00

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. 77% of ELL students will make gains by moving up proficiency level(s) per AMAO. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 39% (73) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students come to high Disfluent readers in Administration, Monitoring Fall and Fall and Winter Intermediate ELL Guidance, ELL Diagnostics, FAIR, school significantly Winter behind in their reading courses will be placed Coordinator. FCAT 2013, and Diagnostics,FAIR, and in a double block of Common Assessment Common reading in addition to data Assessments multiple core courses with ELL and Reading Endorsed Teachers. ELL students often After school tutorials Assistant Increase in students FCAT 2013, will be offered. In Principal, ELL have trouble reading in reading levels diagnostics, FAIR, their native langauage addition, pullouts for coordinator, CELLA and have tremendous Reading Coach, small group remediation difficulty reading will be ELL district english. provided. personel

| Stude | ents read in English at gr | ade level text in a manne | er similar to non-EL | L students. | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2: | | | | 63% of ELL students will make gains by moving up proficiency level(s) per AMAO. | | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: | | | | | | |
| 12%(| 12%(22) | | | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students come to high school significantly behind in their reading | Disfluent readers in Intermediate ELL courses will be placed in a double block of reading in addition to multiple core courses with ELL and Reading | Administration, Guidance, ELL Coordinator. | Monitoring Fall and Winter Diagnostics,FAIR, and Common Assessment data | Fall and Winter Diagnostics, FAIR FCAT 2013, CELLA, and Common Assessments | | |

Endorsed Teachers.

| | | ELL students often | After school, and | Assistant | Increase in students | FCAT 2013, |
|---|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | | have trouble reading in | Saturday tutorials will | Principal, ELL | reading levels | diagnostics, |
| | 2 | their native langauage | be offered. In addition, | coordinator, | | CELLA, FAIR |
| ľ | _ | and have tremendous | pullouts for small group | Reading Coach, | | |
| ١ | | difficulty reading | remediation will be | ELL district | | |
| | | english. | provided. | personel | | |

| Stude | Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | udents scoring proficies A Goal #3: | nt in writing. | | 61% of ELL students will make gains by moving up proficiency level(s) per AMAO. | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: | | | | | | | |
| 17%(31) | | | | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students come to high school significanity behind in their writing proficiency. | Students will use the writing process daily; all writing will be dated, and recorded in a work folder for monitoring of growth across time. | Administration | Teachers will upload scores to EDW and review at the learning team meetings and common planning | Progress of scores on EDW, FCAT Writes 2013, CELLA | | |
| 2 | Teachers lack consistency in scoring students writing | During common planning and professional development days, teachers will blind score each others writing. | District Personnel | District support personnel will score samples of writing for consistency checks. | Progress of scores on EDW, FCAT Writes 2013, CELLA | | |
| 3 | Students come to high school significanity behind in their writing proficiency | Students will be given large and small group instruction on specific test items | personel, | Administration will monitor progress by reviewing Palm Beach Writes | Progress scores on EDW, FCAT Writes 2013, CELLA | | |
| 4 | Students come to high school significantly behind in their writing proficiency. | Students not proficient in writing per Palm Beach Writes Diagnostics will be provided tutorials after school. | Teachers, Administrators | Teachers, Administrators | Progress scores on EDW, FCAT Writes 2013, CELLA | | |

CELLA Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | | N- D-t- | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 Subtotal: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. 22% of the of students will score a 4,5, or 6. Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 18%(2) 22% of the of students will score a 4,5, or 6. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy IEP meetings, Students with Academic Assistant Knowledge Interventionist inclusion Principal, disabilities may have diagnostic testing Checks, Academic Coach, coding and/or teachers, push into diagnostic tests, processing problems mathematics **ESE** Coordinator semester exams, which does not aid in classrooms containing motivation Students with Disabilities. Teachers will utilize Administration, Students do not Formative assessments Classroom comprehend instruction technology tools to Academic Coach Walkthroughs, PLC with traditional enhance student meetings approaches to learning learning styles (ie.GIZMOS, Mobi Interwrite Pads, graphing calculators, scientific calculators, audience response systems) Teachers lack of Introduce item Lesson plans, Learning Academic Coach Common knowledge FAA Item specification to team meetings assessements, specs teachers to utilize when Classroom creating lesson plans walkthroughs and assessments. 3 Utilize Backward Design in Lesson Planning, planning around each assessment which is benchmark driven.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2: | 80% of the students will score a 7 or above. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 73%(8) | 80% of the students will score a 7 or above. | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Students with disabilities may have coding and/or processing problems which does not aid in motivation | Academic Interventionist inclusion teachers, push into mathematics classrooms containing Students with Disabilities. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach, ESE Coordinator | IEP meetings, diagnostic testing | Knowledge Checks, diagnostic tests, semester exams, FAA |
| 2 | Students do not comprehend instruction with traditional approaches to learning | Teachers will utilize technology tools to enhance student learning styles (ie.GIZMOS, Mobi Interwrite Pads, graphing calculators, scientific calculators, audience response systems) | Administration, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Classroom Walkthroughs,PLC meetings |
| 3 | Teachers lack of knowledge FAA Item specs | Introduce item specification to teachers to utilize when creating lesson plans and assessments. Utilize Backward Design in Lesson Planning, planning around each assessment which is benchmark driven. | Academic Coach | Lesson plans, Learning team meetings | Common assessements, Class room walkthroughs |
| 4 | Lack of rigor in the classroom | Incorporate higher order thinking questions that will require students to use critical thinking. Use Cornell Notes, WICR and CRISS strategies in the classroom. | Assistant Principal | Classroom walkthroughs, SLT meetings | Diagnostic Tests, Common Assessments, FAA |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|------|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| maki | 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: | | | 95% of students will make a learning gain on the FAA. | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 91% | 91% (10) | | | 95% of students will make a learning gain on the FAA. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students with disabilities may have coding and/or processing problems which does not aid in motivation | Academic Interventionist inclusion teachers, push into mathematics classrooms containing Students with Disabilities. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach, ESE Coordinator | IEP meetings, diagnostic testing | Knowledge Checks, diagnostic tests, semester exams, FAA | |
| | Students do not comprehend instruction with traditional | Teachers will utilize technology tools to enhance student | Administration, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Classroom Walkthroughs,PLC meetings | |

| 2 | approaches to learning | learning styles (ie.GIZMOS, Mobi Interwrite Pads, graphing calculators, scientific calculators, audience response systems) | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 3 | Teachers lack of knowledge FAA Item specs | Introduce item specification to teachers to utilize when creating lesson plans and assessments. Utilize Backward Design in Lesson Planning, planning around each assessment which is benchmark driven. | team meetings | Common assessements, Classroom walkthroughs |
| 4 | Lack of rigor in the classroom | Incorporate higher order thinking questions that will require students to use critical thinking. Use Cornell Notes, WICR and CRISS strategies in the classroom. | Classroom walkthroughs, SLT meetings | Diagnostic Tests, Common Assessments, FAA |

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and reg group: | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1: | | | The proficiency rate for the Algebra EOC will be 55%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforr | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 38% (| (198) | | The proficiency | rate for the Algebra EOC v | will be 55%. | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students struggle with higher order thinking questions which is a determinant to their success on the FCAT. | Utilize Collegeboard's Springboard Curriculum in all English classes where a scaffolded approach to critical thinking is utilized. Additionally, AVID, Springboard, and CRISS strategies will be implemented in all CORE and ELECTIVE classes. | AVID Teachers | Research on AVID and Collegeboard's Springboard strategies. | Walkthrough log, PLC notes, Lesson Plans, FCAT Reading 2.0 2013, FAIR Results | |
| 2 | Students do not comprehend instruction with traditional approaches to learning | Teachers will utilize technology tools to enhance student learning styles(ie.GIZMOS, Mobi Interwrite Pads, graphing calculators, scientific calculators, audience response systems) | Administration, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Classroom Walkthroughs,PLC meetings | |
| | Teachers lack of | Introduce item | Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning | Common | |

| 3 | knowledge of Algebra 1 and Geometry EOC Item specs | specification to teachers to utilize when creating lesson plans and assessments. Utilize Backward Design in Lesson Planning, planning around each assessment which is benchmark driven. | | team meetings | assessments, classroom walkthroughs |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 4 | Low attendance for after-school tutorial program due to lack of interest and transportation after school. | Small group direct reading instruction on annually assessed benchmarks, Reading Plus tutorial groups, Biology and Mathematics homework help / test remediation on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday. | Administration | gradebook for students participating in tutorial. | FCAT 2.0 2013, Algebra 1, Geometry, and Biology EOC assessment results. |
| 5 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Algebra 1 classes | Algebra 1 teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Algebra 1 EOC, District diagnostics |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. The proficiency rate for achievment levels 4 or higher on the Algebra EOC will be 13%. Algebra Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: The proficiency rate for achievment levels 4 or higher on the 8%(40) Algebra EOC will be 13%. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teachers lack Teachers will be provided Assistant Principal, Formative Classroom professional development professional development Academic Coach assessments, PLC observations to develop appropriately to support rigorous meetings rigorous materials and instruction and assessments. appropriate assessments. Teachers lack Teachers will be provided Assistant Principal, Formative assessments, Classroom professional development professional development Academic Coach, PLC meetings observations to support differentiated District personel skills to differentiate needs of students instruction efforts in the classroom to meet the needs of individual students Student determined Algebra 1 teachers will Administration, Lesson plans, learning Algebra 1 EOC, weaknesses not fully incorportate secondary Academic Coach team meetings, formative District diagnostics addressed in Algebra 1 benchmarks to address and informative classes student weaknesses. will assesments provide push-in tutoring

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.

Algebra Goal #

To reduce the achievement gap, by 2012-2013 the percentage of students proficient will be 51% on the Algebra EOC.

4

3A :

| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 46% | 55% | 60% | 64% | 69% | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American I ndian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #3B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

White 52%(45), Black 43%(83), Hispanic 46%(72)

Students in the White subgroup will be at 65%, Black subgroup will be at 52% on the 2012-2013 Algrebra 1 EOC.

Students in the White subgroup will be at 65%, Black subgroup will be at 65%, Black subgroup will be at 50% and Hispanic subgroup will be at 50% and Hispanic subgroup will be at 50% and Hispanic subgroup will be at 52% on the 2012-2013 Algrebra 1 EOC.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Low attendance for Saturday and after- school tutorial program due to lack of interest and transportation after school and on Saturdays. | Small group direct reading instruction on annually assessed benchmarks, Reading Plus tutorial groups, Biology and Mathematics homework help / test remediation on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday. | Administration | | FCAT 2.0 2013, Algebra 1, Geometry, and Biology EOC assessment results. |
| 2 | Students struggle with higher order thinking questions which is a determinant to their success on the FCAT. | Utilize Collegeboard's Springboard Curriculum in all English classes where a scaffolded approach to critical thinking is utilized. Additionally, AVID, Springboard, and CRISS strategies will be implemented in all CORE and ELECTIVE classes. | Administration, AVID Coordinator, AVID Teachers | Research on AVID and Collegeboard's Springboard strategies. | Walkthrough log, PLC notes, Lesson Plans, FCAT Reading 2.0 2013, FAIR Results |
| 3 | Students do not comprehend instruction with traditional approaches to learning | Teachers will utilize technology tools to enhance student learning styles(ie.GIZMOS, Mobi Interwrite Pads, graphing calculators, scientific calculators, audience response systems) | Administration, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Classroom Walkthroughs,PLC meetings |
| 4 | Teachers lack of knowledge of Algebra 1 and Geometry EOC Item specs | Introduce item specification to teachers to utilize when creating lesson plans and assessments. Utilize Backward Design in Lesson Planning, planning around each assessment which is benchmark driven. | Academic Coach | Lesson plans, Learning team meetings | Common assessments, Classroom walkthroughs |
| 5 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Algebra 1 classes | Algebra 1 teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Algebra 1 EOC, District diagnostics |

| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and c | define areas in nee | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3C: | | | The proficiency | The proficiency rate on the Algebra 1 EOC will be 58%. | | |
| 2012 | ? Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 45%(| (35) | | The proficiency | rate on the Algebra 1 EOC | will be 58%. | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Teachers are lacking professional development skills to differentiate instructional needs of students. | Teachers will be provided professional development to support differentiated instruction efforts in the classroom to meet the needs of individual students. Teachers will infuse AVID | Academic Coach, District Personel | Formative assessments,SLC meetings | Classroom walkthroughs, Lesson Plans | |
| | | strategies in their lessons to increase rigor through active learning opportunities | | | | |
| 2 | Student weaknesses not fully addressed in Algebra 1 classes | Algebra 1 teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Algebra 1 EOC, District diagnostic | |

| of im | provement for the following | g subgroup: | | , | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3D: | | | | Students with disabilities will have a proficiency rate of 44% on the Algebra 1 EOC. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforr | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 33%(32) | | | | Students with disabilities will have a proficiency rate of 44% on the Algebra 1 EOC. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students with disabilites have challenges of processing and coding. | Academic interventionist inclusion teachers push into math classroooms containing Students with Disabilities to aide in instruction and small groups. | Assistant Principal, ESE Coordinator, Academic Coach | IEP Meetings, Formative assessements, informal observations | Diagnostic assessments, semester exams | |
| | Teachers are lacking professional develoment skills to differentiate | Teachers will be provided professional development to support differentiated | | Formative assessments, PLC meetings | Classroom walkthroughs, lesson plans | |

| 2 | instructional needs of students. | instruction efforts in the classroom to meet the needs of individual students. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Teachers will infuse AVID stategies in their lessons to increase rigor through active learning opportunities | | | |
| 3 | Transportation | After school and Saturday tutorials | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Algebra and Geomerty EOCs |
| 4 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Algebra 1 classes | 3 | Administration, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Algebra 1 EOC, District diagnostics |

| | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and re | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | lefine areas in need | |
|--------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| satisf | conomically Disadvantag factory progress in Algeb ora Goal #3E: | ged students not making ora. | Economically di | Economically disadvantaged students will have a proficiency rate of 53% on the Algebra 1 EOC. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| 47%(1 | 168) | | | sadvantaged students will the Algebra 1 EOC. | have a proficiency | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of participation in tutorials | After school and Saturday tutorials with transportation will be provided. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | FCAT 2.0 2013, EOCs | |
| 2 | Teachers are lacking professional development skills to differentiate instructional needs of students | Teachers will be provided professional development to support differentiated instruction efforts in the classroom to meet the needs of individual students. Teachers will infuse AVID strategies in their lessons to increase rigor through active learning opportunities. | | Formative assessments | Classroom walkthroughs | |
| 3 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Algebra 1 classes | Algebra 1 teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Algebra 1 EOC, District diagnostics | |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. Geometry Goal #1: | For the Geometry EOC, 25% of students tested will be in the top third, 42% in the middle third, and 38% in the bottom third. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 43%(333) bottom third, 37%(286) middle third, 20%(159) top third | For the Geometry EOC, 25% of students tested will be in the top third, 42% in the middle third, and 38% in the bottom third. | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Students struggle with higher order thinking questions which is a determinant to their success on the FCAT. | Utilize Collegeboard's Springboard Curriculum in all English classes where a scaffolded approach to critical thinking is utilized. Additionally, AVID, Springboard, and CRISS strategies will be implemented in all CORE and ELECTIVE classes | Administration, AVID Coordinator, AVID Teachers. | 3 | Walkthrough log, PLC notes, Lesson Plans, FCAT Reading 2.0 2013, FAIR Results |
| 2 | Students do not comprehend instruction with traditional approaches to learning | Teachers will utilize technology tools to enhance student learning styles (ie.GIZMOS, Mobi Interwrite Pads, graphing calculators, scientific calculators, audience response systems) | Administration, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Classroom Walkthroughs,PLC meetings |
| 3 | | Introduce item specification to teachers to utilize when creating lesson plans and assessments. Utilize Backward Design in Lesson Planning, planning around each assessment which is benchmark driven. | Academic Coach | Lesson plans, Learning team meetings | Common assessements, Classroom walkthroughs |
| 4 | Low attendance for Saturday and after- school tutorial program due to lack of interest and transportation after school and on Saturdays. | Small group direct reading instruction on annually assessed benchmarks, Reading Plus tutorial groups, Biology and Mathematics homework help / test remediation on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday. | Administration | | FCAT 2.0 2013, Algebra 1, Geometry, and Biology EOC assessment results. |
| 5 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Geometry classes | Geometry teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Geometry EOC, Distric diagnostics |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | d 5 in Geometry. netry Goal #2: | | State has not | State has not defined Level 4 scores | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expect | ed Level of Performance | e: | |
| State has not defined Level 4 scores | | | State has not | defined Level 4 scores | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stud | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Teachers lack professional development to develop appropriately rigorous materials and assessments. | Teachers will be provided professional development to support rigorous instruction and appropriate assessments. | | Formative assessments,PLC meetings | Classroom observations | |
| 2 | Teachers lack professional development skills to differentiate needs of students | Teachers will be provided professional development to support differentiated instruction efforts in the classroom to meet the needs of individual students | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach District personel | Formative assessments, PLC meetings | Classroom Observations | |
| 3 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Geometry classes | Geometry teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide psuh-in tutoring | Administration, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Geometry EOC, District diagnostics | |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | Geometry Goal # State has not defined EOC Levels 3A: | | | | |
| Baseline data 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B: | State has not defined Geometry EOC Levels. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| State has not defined Geometry EOC Levels. | State has not defined Geometry EOC Levels. | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | Lack of participation in tutorials | After school and Saturday tutorials with transportation will be provided. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Geometry EOC |
| 2 | Students' foundations in math are weak | Pull out/push in small group instruction for identified students | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Diagnostic assessments | Geometry EOC |
| 3 | Teachers are lacking professional development skills to differentitate instructional needs to students | Teachers will be provided professional develpoment to support differentiated instruction efforts in the classroom to meet the needs of the students. Teachers will infuse AVID strategies in their lessons to increase rigor through active learning opportunities. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach, District Personel | SLC meetings | Common assessments |
| 4 | | | Academic Coach | Lesson plans, Learning team meetings | Common assessments, Classroom walkthroughs |
| 5 | Student weaknesses not fully addressed in Geometry classes | Geometry teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Geometry EOC, District diagnostics |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3C: | | | State has not o | defined Geometry EOC L | evels. | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| State has not defined Geometry EOC Levels. | | | State has not o | State has not defined Geometry EOC Levels. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier Strategy Ro | | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| professional provided professional Printed development skills to development to support Aca | | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach, District Personel | Formative assessments,SLC meetings | Classroom walkthroughs, Lesson Plans | | |

| | | Teachers will infuse AVID strategies in their lessons to increase rigor through active learning opportunities | | | |
|---|--|---|---|------------------------|--|
| 2 | Lack of participation in tutorials | After school and Saturday tutorials with transportation will be provided. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Geometry EOC |
| 3 | Students' foundations in math are weak | Pull out/push in small group instruction for identified students | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Diagnostic assessments | Geometry EOC |
| 4 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Geometry classes | Geometry teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic coach | team meetings, | Geometry EOC, District diagnostics |

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify | y and define areas | |
|-------|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| satis | 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D: | | | State has not defined Geometry EOC Levels. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | > : | |
| State | has not defined Geomet | ry EOC Levels. | State has not o | defined Geometry EOC Le | evels. | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students with disabilites have challenges of processing and coding. | Academic interventionist inclusion teachers push into math classroooms containing Students with Disabilities to aide in instruction and small groups. | Assistant Principal, ESE Coordinator, Academic Coach | IEP Meetings, Formative assessemtns, informal observations | Diagnostic assessments, semester exams | |
| 2 | Teachers are lacking professional develoment skills to differentiate instructional needs of students. | Teachers will be provided professional development to support differentiated instruction efforts in the classroom to meet the needs of individual students. Teachers will infuse AVID stategies in their lessons to increase rigor through active learning opportunities | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments, PLC meetings | Classroom walkthroughs, lesson plans | |
| 3 | Transportation | After school and Saturday tutorials | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Geometry EOC | |
| 4 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Geometry classes | Geometry teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Geometry EOC, District diagnostics | |

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th | | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify | y and define areas | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3E: | | | State has not | defined Geometry EOC Le | evels. | |
| 2012 | ? Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performance | e: | |
| State has not defined Geometry EOC Levels. | | | State has not | defined Geometry EOC Le | evels. | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of participation in tutorials | After school and Saturday tutorials with transportation will be provided. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Geometry EOC | |
| 2 | Teachers are lacking professional development skills to differentiate instructional needs of students | Teachers will be provided professional development to support differentiated instruction efforts in the classroom to meet the needs of individual students. Teachers will infuse AVID strategies in their lessons to increase rigor through active learning opportunities. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments | Classroom walkthroughs | |
| 3 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Geometry classes | Geometry teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses. Will provide push-in tutoring | Administration, Academic coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Geometry EOC, District diagnostics | |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Differentitated Instruction | 0-12 | Academic Coach, Assistant Principal, District Personnel | Math Teachers | Professional development days and PLC meetings | Lesson Plans, classroom walkthroughs | Academic Coach, Administration |
| AVID strategies | 9-12 | Literacy Team, AVID coordinator | All teachers | PLC meetings, Professional development days | Lesson plans, Classroom walkthroughs | Administration, Academic Coach |
| Geometry Content and Item Specs Training | 9-12 | Academic Coach, District Personnel | Math Teachers | Professional Development days, and PLC meetings | Lesson Plans, Classroom walkthroughs | Administration, Academic Coach |

| Response to Intervention (RtI) | 9-12 | School Based Team | All teachers | Professional Development days, and PLC meetings | Lesson Plans | Administration |
|--|------|--|---------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Algebra 1 Content and Item Specs Training | 9-12 | Academic Coach, District Personnel | Math Teachers | Professional Development days, and PLC meetings | Lesson Plans, Classroom walkthroughs | Administration, Academic Coach |

Mathematics Budget:

| .5 Resource Teacher | Title 1 | |
|---|--|--|
| | | \$31,822.00 |
| | | Subtotal: \$31,822.0 |
| | | |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Salary for Substitute | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 |
| Paper, ink, training materials, etc. | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| .5 Math Coach | Title 1 | \$33,794.00 |
| | | Subtotal: \$37,794.00 |
| | | |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Salaries for tutors | Title 1 | \$18,000.00 |
| Supplies (calculators, maker boards, paper, pends, pencils, rulers, ect.), Everglades EOC Practice Workbooks | Title 1 | \$2,970.00 |
| | | Subtotal: \$20,970.0 |
| | Description of Resources Salary for Substitute Paper, ink, training materials, etc. .5 Math Coach Description of Resources Salaries for tutors Supplies (calculators, maker boards, paper, pends, pencils, rulers, ect.), Everglades EOC | No Data Description of Resources Funding Source Salary for Substitute Title 1 Paper, ink, training materials, etc. Title 1 Description of Resources Funding Source Title 1 Description of Resources Funding Source Title 1 Supplies (calculators, maker boards, paper, pends, pencils, rulers, ect.), Everglades EOC Title 1 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1: | 60% of the students will score a 4,5, or 6. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Students with disabilities may have coding and/or processing problems which does not aid in motivation | Academic Interventionist inclusion teachers, push into science classrooms containing Students with Disabilities. | Assistant Principal, ESE Coordinator | IEP meetings, diagnostic testing | Knowledge Checks, diagnostic tests, semester exams, FAA |
| 2 | Students do not comprehend instruction with traditional approaches to learning | enhance student | Administration | Formative assessments | Classroom Walkthroughs,PLC meetings |
| 3 | Teachers lack of knowledge FAA Item specs | Introduce item specification to teachers to utilize when creating lesson plans and assessments. Utilize Backward Design in Lesson Planning, planning around each assessment which is benchmark driven. | Academic Coach | Lesson plans, Learning team meetings | Common assessments, Classroom walkthroughs |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.

Science Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

2014 Evel of Performance:

2015 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

2015 Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Evaluation Tool

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | Students with disabilities may have coding and/or processing problems which does not aid in motivation | Academic Interventionist inclusion teachers, push into science classrooms containing Students with Disabilities. | Assistant Principal, ESE Coordinator, Academic Coach | diagnostic testing | Knowledge Checks, diagnostic tests, semester exams, FAA | | |
| 2 | Students do not comprehend instruction with traditional approaches to learning | enhance student | Administration, Academic Coach | | Classroom Walkthroughs,PLC meetings | | |

| | | graphing calculators, scientific calculators, audience response systems) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 3 | Teachers lack of knowledge FAA Item specs | · | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | | Common assessments, Classroom walkthroughs |
| 4 | Lack of rigor in the classroom | Incorporate higher order thinking questions that will require students to use critical thinking. Use Cornell Notes, WICR and CRISS strategies in the classroom. | Assistant Principal | Classroom walkthroughs, SLT meetings | Diagnostic Tests, Common Assessments, FAA |

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of studes in need of improvement | | | ference to " | Guiding Questions", ider | ntify and define |
|---|--|--|--------|---|--|---|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1: | | | | State has not defined Level 3 Achievement on Biology EOC. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 20 |)13 Expecte | ed Level of Performand | ce: |
| State EOC. | has not defined Level 3 | 3 Achievement on Biolog | | State has not defined Level 3 Achievement on Biology EOC. | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | to Inc | rease Stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Resp | erson or Position ponsible for pnitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students struggle with fluency and comprehension in grade level content area/literacy passages. | Utilize Reading Plus Adr twice weekly in all cor reading classes and tea once weekly in 9th and rea | | nistration, ent area ers, and ng coach. | Monitor weekly "Reading Plus" progress reports. | FCAT 2.0, Classroom Assessments, FAIR Results, Fluency Probes, Fall/Winter Diagnostic Results. |
| 2 | Low attendance for Saturday and after- school tutorial program due to lack of interest and transportation after school and on Saturdays. | Small group direct reading instruction on annually assessed benchmarks, Reading Plus tutorial groups, Biology and Mathematics homework help / test remediation on Tuesday, and Saturday. | | nistration | Monitor attendance and gradebook for students participating in tutorial. Advertise success to throughout school | FCAT 2.0, Algebra 1, Geometry, and Biology EOC assessment results. |
| | Teachers lack professional | All content area and | | nistration, ng coach | Classroom Walkthroughs, lesson | Walkthrough Logs, FAIR |

| 3 | development in infusing reading strategies into core content. | infuse reading secondary benchmarks into daily lessons. Teachers will also incorporate secondary reading benchmarks into classroom assessments. | | plan reviews, learning team discussions, "Backward Design" and administrative review of assessments prior to units/concepts covered in classes. | Results, Learning Team notes, FCAT 2.0 Reading, Classroom Assessment Results. |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 4 | Students struggle with higher order thinking questions which is a determinant to their success on the FCAT. | Utilize Collegeboard's Springboard Curriculum in all English classes where a scaffolded approach to critical thinking is utilized. Additionally, AVID, Springboard, and CRISS strategies will be implemented in all CORE and ELECTIVE classes. | Administration, AVID Coordinator, AVID Teachers. | Research on AVID and Collegeboard's Springboard strategies. | Walkthrough log, PLC notes, Lesson Plans, FCAT 2.0 Reading, FAIR Results |
| 5 | Students require enhanced instruction due to reading difficulty. | Utilize "GIZMOS" as a supplemental program to remediate, accelerate, enrich and enhance the instructional program. Provide students opportunites to engage in interactive labs. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Lesson plans, Classroom walkthroughs | "GIZMOS" usage reports, Classroom Walkthrough logs including labs. |
| 6 | Students retaining curriculum knowledge throughout the school year | Establish and maintain science interactive notebooks | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach, Science Department Instructional Leader | Lesson Plans, Classroom Walkthroughs, Professional Learning Communities | Common Assessments, PLC notes, Diagnostics, Biology EOC |
| 7 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Biology classes | Biology teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses through bell-ringers/warm-ups | Administration, Academic coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Biology EOC, District diagnostics |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2: | | | State has not EOC. | State has not defined Level 4 Acheivement on Biology EOC. | | | |
| 201 | 2 Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | | |
| State has not defined Level 4 Acheivement on Biology EOC. | | gy State has not EOC. | State has not defined Level 4 Acheivement on Biology EOC. | | | | |
| | Prob | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Teachers lack professional development to develop appropriately rigorous materials and assessments. | Teachers will be provided professional development to support rigorous instruction and appropriate assessments. | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach | Formative assessments,PLC meetings | Classroom observations | | |

| 2 | Teachers lack professional development skills to differentiate needs of students | provided professional development to | | Formative assessments, PLC meetings | Classroom observations |
|---|--|--|----------------|---|---|
| 3 | Student determined weaknesses not fully addressed in Biology classes | Biology teachers will incorporate secondary benchmarks to address student weaknesses through bell-ringers/warm-ups | Academic coach | Lesson plans, learning team meetings, formative and informative assessments | Biology EOC, District diagnostics |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| GIZMOS | 9-12 | Science Instructional Leader | Science teachers | | Classroom walkthroughs, GIMZOS usage report | Administration |
| Biology Content, and Item Specs Training | 9-12 | District Personnel | Science Teachers | learning team | Lesson Plans, Classroom Walkthroughs, Common Assessments | Administration |
| AVID Strategies (WICR) | 9-12 | AVID Coordinator | All teachers | Late start day, small group learning team meetings | Classroom walkthroughs | Administration |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/M | aterial(s) | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Tutorials for Biology EOC | Tutor salaries | Title 1 | \$3,930.00 |
| AVID & Lab Strategy Supplies | Materials-Paper, pens, marker boards, interactive notebooks, pencils, ect. | Title 1 | \$2,000.00 |

Subtotal: \$5,930.00

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th | ent achievement data, ar e following group: | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | |
|-------|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 3.0 a | CAT 2.0: Students scornd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a: | ring at Achievement Le | 90% of studen | 90% of students will be proficient or meet state standard in FCAT 2.0 Writing 2013. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | e: | |
| 88%(| 464) | | 90% of studen in FCAT 2.0 Wr | ts will be proficient or m iting 2013. | eet state standard | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students come to high school significanlty behind in their writing proficiency | Students will use the writing process daily; all writing will be dated, and recorded in a work folder for monitoring of growth across time | Administration | Teachers will upload scores to EDW and review at the learning team meetings and common planning | Progress of scores on EDW, FCAT Writes 2013 | |
| 2 | Teachers lack consistency in scoring students writing | During common planning and professional development days, teachers will blind score each others writing | District Personnel | District support personnel will score samples of writing for consistency checks | Progress of scores on EDW, FCAT Writes 2013 | |
| 3 | Students come to high school significanlty behind in their writing proficiency | 10th grade English teachers will conference with each student to discuss strengths and weaknesses and goals of writing | Teachers, Assistant Principals | Teachers will provide a log of student conferences including student goals | Progress scores on EDW, FCAT Writes 2013 | |
| 4 | Students come to high school significanlty behind in their writing proficiency | Students will be given large and small group instruction on specific test items | personel, | Administration will monitor progress by reviewing Palmbeach writes | Progress scores on EDW, FCAT 2013 | |
| 5 | Students come to high school significanlty behind in their writing proficiency | Students not proficient in writing per Palm Beach Writes Diagnostics will be provided tutorials after school and on Saturdays | Teachers, Administrators | Monitor Palm Beach Writes Scores | Progress scores on EDW, FCAT 2013 | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | 55% of students will score at proficient or meet the state writing standard for the FAA in 2013. | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
|--------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 50%(2) | | | | 55% of students will score at proficient or meet the state writing standard for the FAA in 2013. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students come to high school significantly behind in their writing proficiency. | Students will use the writing process daily; all writing will be dated, and recorded in a work folder for monitoring of growth across time. | Assistant Principal, ESE Coordinator | Teachers will upload scores to EDW and review at the learning team meetings | Progress of scores on EDW, FAA for Writing 2013 | |
| 2 | Students come to high school significantly behind in their writing proficiency. | 10th grade English/Inclusion/ESE teachers will conference with each student to discuss strengths and weaknesses and goals of writing. | Teachers, Assistant Principals | Teachers will provide a log of student conferences including student goals | Progress of scores on EDW, FAA for Writing 2013 | |
| 3 | Students come to high school significantly behind in their writing proficiency. | Students will be pulled out of class for remediation on task specific items | Assistant Principal, District personel | Administration will monitor the progress of practice writes | Progress of scores on EDW, FAA for Writing 2013 | |
| 4 | Students with disabilities tend to have coding and or processing problems which contribute to the writing process. | classrooms containing students with | Assistant Principal, ESE Coordiantor | IEP meetings, Diagnostic testing | Semester exams, formative assessments | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------|--|
| FCAT Writes rubric scoring | 9-10 | District Personnel | Social Studies, English teachers | August , LTM | cample writing | Assistant Principal |
| Updates to FCAT 2.0 | 9-10 | District Personnel | English teachers | August Pre-school | Sample Writithd | Assistant Principal |
| AVID Strategies | 9-12 | AVID trained teachers | English Department | | | Assistant Principal |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | |
| | - | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |

| | | | Available |
|--|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Rubric Scoring | Substitutes for teachers to be trained in Rubric scoring and Writer's Workshop. | Title 1 | \$5,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$5,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Tutoring for students not attaining proficient scores in Palm Beach Writes | Salary for Writing Tutors (Benefits 25%) | Title 1 | \$6,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$6,000.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$11,000.00 |

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | ed of improvement for the | e following group: | id reference to Ge | maing Questions , identify | y and define areas | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1: | | | | The State has not defined Acheivement Level 3 for U.S. History EOC. | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| The State has not defined Acheivement Level 3 for U.S. History EOC. | | | S. The State has History EOC. | not defined Acheivement | Level 3 for U.S. | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students struggle with fluency and comprehension in grade level content area/literacy passages. | Utilize Reading Plus twice weekly in all reading classes and once weekly in 9th and 10th grade social studies and science classes. | Administration, content area teachers, and reading coach. | Monitor weekly "Reading Plus" progress reports. | FCAT 2.0, Classroom Assessments, FAIR Results, Fluency Probes, Fall/Winter Diagnostic Results. | |
| 2 | Teachers lack professional development in infusing reading strategies into core content. | All content area and elective teachers will infuse reading secondary benchmarks into daily lessons. Teachers will also incorporate secondary reading benchmarks into classroom assessments. | Administration, reading coach | Classroom Walkthroughs, lesson plan reviews, learning team discussions, "Backward Design" and administrative review of assessments prior to units/concepts covered in classes. | Classroom | |
| | Students struggle with higher order thinking questions which is a | Utilize Collegeboard's Springboard Curriculum in all English classes | Administration, AVID Coordinator, AVID Teachers. | Research on AVID and Collegeboard's Springboard strategies. | Walkthrough log, PLC notes, Lesson Plans, | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas

| 3 | determinant to their success on the FCAT. | where a scaffolded approach to critical thinking is utilized. Additionally, AVID, Springboard, and CRISS strategies will be implemented in all CORE and ELECTIVE classes. | | | FCAT 2.0 Reading, FAIR Results |
|---|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
|---|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th | ent achievement data, ar e following group: | nd reference to "Gu | iiding Questions", identif | y and define areas |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in U.S. History.U.S. History Goal #2: | | | | not defined Acheivemen y EOC. | t Level 4 or above |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | |
| 1 | state has not defined Ach S. History EOC. | not defined Acheivemen y EOC. | t Level 4 or above | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students have not internalized reading strategies | Utilize Writing, Inquiry, Collaboration, and Reading Strategies in all classes to increase student ability to answer higher order thinking questions | Administration, Literacy Team | Classroom walkthroughs,PLC meetings, Lesson Plans, Common Assessments | Diagnostic Tests, Common Assessments, District derived exam, AP Exams |
| 2 | Lack of rigor in the classroom | Incorporate higher order thinking questions that will require students to use critical thinking. Use Cornell Notes, WICR and CRISS strategies in the classroom. | Assistant Principal | Classroom walkthroughs, SLT meetings | Diagnostic Tests, Common Assessments, District derived exam, AP Exams |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--------------|--|
| Differentitated Instruction | 0-12 | Assistant Principal, District Personnel, Academic Coach | Social Studies | development days | classroom | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach |
| Response to Intervention (RtI) | 9-12 | School Based Team | | Professional development days and PLC meetings | Lesson Plans | Administration |
| | | Assistant | | | | |

| U.S. History Content and Item Specs Training | U.S. History | Principal, District Personnel, Academic Coach | U.S. HISTORY | Professional development days and PLC meetings | classroom | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach |
|---|--------------|---|--------------|--|-----------|---|
| AVID strategies | 9-12 | Literacy Team, AVID coordinator | All teachers | Professional development days and PLC meetings | classroom | Assistant Principal, Academic Coach |

U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Attendance | | | | | |
| Attendance Goal #1: | Decrease the number of absences by 2%, by June 2013 | | | | |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: | | | | |
| 91% | 93% | | | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | | | |
| 236/2527 | 5% decrease | | | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | | | |
| 515/2527 | 5% decrease | | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | Outside of school, social and/or family issues | Provide SBT resources for families | Administration, Guidance Counselors | SBT minutes | Attendance rate | | | |
| 2 | Incorrect address/phone numbers for students and parents | Teachers notify parents and counselors when student accumulates 4 absences. Offer incentives for students updating records | Administration, Guidance Counselors | Phone logs,student contact logs | Attendance rate | | | |
| 3 | Many students are over age without enough credits when registering for high school | Insure appropriate initial placements of students with age considerations | Administration, Guidance Counselors | Interviews of students, EDW | EDW Reports | | | |
| 4 | Students are not motivated to come to class on time | Progressive consequence plan for tardies. | Administration, Teachers | Administrative Plan | Decrease in Tardies | | | |
| 5 | Students are not motivated to come to class on time. | School Wide Positive Discipline (Incentive Plan) | SWPD team, Administration | Monitor Tardies and Attendance reports | Attendance and Tardy Rate | | | |
| 6 | Students are not motivated to come to class on time. | Implementation of Tardy Room | Administration, Teachers | Monitor Tardies and Attendance reports | Attendance and Tardy Rate | | | |

 $\label{thm:please} \textit{Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|
| School Based Team / RTI Strategies | 9-12 | SBT Leaders | | II lawalanmant Haws | | Administration, School Based Team |

Attendance Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Strategy | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of susp provement: | ension data, and referen | ice to "Guiding Que | estions", identify and defi | ine areas in need | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | uspension pension Goal #1: | | Decrease the r 7%, by June 2 | number of out of school 013. | suspensions by | | |
| 2012 | 2 Total Number of In-Sc | hool Suspensions | 2013 Expecte | d Number of In-Schoo | l Suspensions | | |
| 909 | | | 3% decrease | | | | |
| 2012 | 2 Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Sch | ool 2013 Expecte School | d Number of Students | Suspended In- | | |
| 476 | | | 3% decrease | | | | |
| 2012 | 2 Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | 2013 Expecte Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | | |
| 470 | | | 5% decrease | 5% decrease | | | |
| 2012 Scho | 2 Total Number of Stude ool | ents Suspended Out-of | - 2013 Expecte of-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | | |
| 299 | | | 5% decrease | 5% decrease | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too | | |
| 1 | Behavior is detrimental to the learning of others and the misbehaving students learning | Refer to Alternative to Out of School Suspension (ATOSS) in January 2013 | Administration | Referral Forms | Reduction in out of school suspensions | | |
| 2 | Outside social and/or family issues | Provide SBT resources for families | Administration, Guidance Counselors | SBT minutes | Reduction in suspensions | | |
| | Behavioral issues in the classroom | Schoolwide Positive Support Strategies- | Administration, SWPS Team | Monitor suspension rate and discipline referrals | | | |

| 3 | | Catch students doing the "right thing" and provide incentives | | | reduction in suspensions |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 4 | Incoming ninth grade students have a hard time transitioning to high school | Initiate freshman transition program ,which gives each new student a senior as their guide to high school(LINK CREW) | CREW teachers | | Reduction in suspensions |
| 5 | Incoming ninth grade students have a hard time transitioning to high school | Establish a 9th Grade Academy | Administration, teachers | Monitor suspension rate and discipline referrals. | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| School Wide Positive Discipline | 10-17 | Assistant Principal | All taachars | Develonment Davs | Monitor OSS, ISS, and referral reports | Administration |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma | terial(s) | | |
|---|---|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Provide professional development for LINK CREW leaders. Link Crew Leaders train juniors and seniors to peer mentor incoming freshmen. | Out of state travel including registrations, airfare, meals, hotels, etc. | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | - | | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,000.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: To increase graduation rate by 1% to 80% by June 2013. *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. 2012 Current Dropout Rate: 2013 Expected Dropout Rate: 2% (39) 1% 2012 Current Graduation Rate: 2013 Expected Graduation Rate: 79% 80% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Decrease in dropout out EDW reports, Time to meet Provide an adult staff Administration, individually with mentor to every Guidance rate surveys students student(Advisory Counselors. Teachers program) Graduation coach Graduation coach to Graduation coach, Increase in graduation EDW report work with at risk position funding Guidance rate 2 students in graduation counselors cohort Time for students Provide credit recovery Guidance Decrease in drop out EDW report, E2020 report programs for students Counselors, rate 3 lacking credits for Teacher in graduation recovery classes, Administration EDW reports, Funding Implement AVID AVID coordinator, Decrease in drop out AVID teachers, strategies classroom Administration walkthroughs Implement LINK CREW LINK trained Increase in graduation EDW reports Funding 5 strategies teachers, rate

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Administration

Administration.

Graduation coach

Increase in graduation

rate and decrease in

drop out rate

EDW reports,

walkthroughs,

e2020 reports

classroom

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Funding of school based Provide an after school

program for credit

prevention

recovery and drop out

alternative for

traditional classrooms

6

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g., PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--------------|--|--|
| School Based Team / RTI Strategies | 9-12 | SBT Leaders | All Teachers | Meetings, Professional | Administration, School Based Team |

Dropout Prevention Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. | | | To increase par (duplicated). | To increase parent involvement by 5% to 66% (duplicated). | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: | | | | |
| 61% | (1415) duplicated | | To increase par (duplicated). | To increase parent involvement by 5% to 66% (duplicated). | | | | |
| | Prok | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |

| 1 | Parents need help in preparing students for future endeavors | and career information (Parent University), curriculum, assessments, proficiency levels students are expected to meet; and how they will be encouraged to participate in decision making opportunities about their child's education. | Administration, Guidance Counselors, College Coach,Title 1 contact | Attendance at meetings | sign in sheets |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | Offer incentives for parent attendance and conduct regular parent evaluation/feedback forms during following informational meetings to help modify future plans and be more inclusive. | | | |
| 2 | Phone numbers not updated; no access to computers in their homes | Utilize Edline and Parent Link(One Voice) and school marquee for frequent parent communications (multiple languages) so they can receive timely information about the Title 1 program, curriculum, assessments and proficiency levels students are expected to meet. | Principal, Technology coordinator | EDW report | Edline, Parent Link and Title I surveys |
| 3 | Language barriers of ELL students | Utilize language facilitators at all Title 1 informational meetings and provide all written letters in Spanish and Haitian Creole. Utililize Parent Link language facilitation for mass phone calls home. | ELL Coordiantor, Guidance counselor(ELL) | Monitor attendance of ELL parents at Title 1/Parent Involvement meetings | Sign In Sheets |
| 4 | The location of the school does not lend itself to direct access for business in the community. | community agencies to support the school and students achievement. | Title 1 contact, Assistant Principal, Academy coordinator, Math Coach | Feedback from Business and community resources | Documentation of Business partnerships. |
| 5 | students do not participate as readily as younger students' parents. Parents unable to come at the designated time due to work obligations. | Title 1 program. Allow parents to provide input in the development of | | Sign in sheets | Evaluations and feedback from the parents. |

program.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Using technology to increase parental involvment | 9-12 | Assistant prinicipal, teacher | All teachers | LTM | Increase in parent involvment through attendance sign-ins | Principal, Title I |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat | terial(s) | | |
|--|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Improve communication by using Edline, One Voice, newsletters, flyers, mailings, ect. | Postage and Freight | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 |
| Provide parent trainings such as FCAT and End of Course Assessment Item Spec, technology, college requirements, transitioning to workforce, ect. | Refreshments for trainings, paper for newsletters, ink, training materials, etc. | Title 1 | \$2,098.00 |
| Provide interpreters and parent liasons for parent trainings | Salary for language facilitators and parent liasons | Title 1 | \$500.00 |
| Teachers will conduct parent trainings on College Readiness, Financial Aid, Academic Resources, technology, etc. | Part time In System | Title 1 | \$750.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$6,348.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$6,348.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1. STEM | | | | | | | |
| STEM Goal #1: | | | STEM program not in place. | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi: Resp for | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | arri(3)/ Material(3) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based | d on the analysis of school | ol data, identify and defir | ne areas in need of | improvement: | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1. CTE Career and Technical education students will have increased opportunities to establish a successful transition from the school to the workforce through Career Academies. | | | | successful | |
| | Prob | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Limited business support | Develop partnerships to establish opportunities for job-shadowing, internships, and career placements | Academy coordinator, Assistant principal | Business and student surveys | Results from surveys, placement of students |
| 2 | Certification exam costs | Offer certification exams when possible | Academy coordinator, Assistant principal | Certification exam results | Certification exam results |
| 3 | Diversity of Career Academies | Establish more programs to meet student interest | Prinicipal | Increased enrollment in academies | Increased enrollemnt in academies |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

CTE Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

College Readiness Goal:

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| College Readiness Goal College Readiness Goal #1: | Increase the percentage of students scoring college ready on State approved assessments by 2%. The overall percentage of students scoring college ready has not been released by the State. | | | |
| 2012 Current level: | 2013 Expected level: | | | |
| % of AP students scoring 3+: 50%(174) % of Math ACT students scoring college ready: 19%(50) % of Reading ACT students scoring college ready: 29% (77) | AP 3+: 52% Math ACT: 22% English ACT: 32% | | | |
| | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Funding-Students not on Free and Reduced Lunch unable to pay for ACT/SAT. | Encourage all juniors and seniors to take PERT, free of charge at Palm Beach State College. | Guidance, Administration | Monitor College Readiness Reports | EDW College Readiness Reports |
| 2 | Teachers unaware of content assessed on SAT/ACT/PERT. | Encourage all teachers to take PERT, free of charge at Palm Beach State College. | Administration | Survey, Monitor Secondary Benchmarks | Lesson Plans, Classroom Walkthroughs |
| 3 | Students unaware of importance of SAT/ACT/PERT Assessments and how they impact their future education. | Conduct ACT/SAT/PERT information sessions with parents and students during parent nights, classroom opening activities, in school newspaper, and morning television announcements. | Communications Teacher, Guidance, Administration. | Monitor ACT/SAT/PERT participation rates. | EDW reports, FLDOE reports |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| SAT/ACT requirements and preparation tools | 11-12 | Assistant Principal, Reading Coach | MILTARCHARS | PLC LATE STAFF | Common Assessments, 2013 SAT/ACT results, classroom walkthroughs | Administration |

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of College Readiness Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Pro | ogram(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|----------------|---------------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Enhance instructional materials to implement reading strategies. Provide students with materials for success. | Classroom libraries, chart paper, binders, dividers, paper & ink for classroom use, markers, etc. | Title 1 | \$9,000.00 |
| Reading | Increase the students scoring a 3 or above on FCAT Reading. | Reading Teacher | Title 1 | \$63,644.00 |
| Reading | Provide reading endorsed resource teacher for pull outs and push ins after diagnostics. | .5 Resource Teacher | Title 1 | \$31,822.00 |
| Mathematics | Utilize resource teacher to conduct pull outs for students failing to perform at proficient levels on diagnostics. | .5 Resource Teacher | Title 1 | \$31,822.00 |
| Technology | _ | | | Subtotal: \$136,288.00 |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Utilize technology to implement Reading Plus program and other research based educational supports. | Lap Top Cart | Title 1 | \$35,000.00 |
| Reading | Utilize technology to implement a rotational model in additional reading classroom. | Desk Top Computers | Title 1 | \$4,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$39,000.00 |
| Professional Develo | opment | Description of | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Provide PD such as modeling lessons, student engagement strategies, write curriculum, develop mini-assessments, analyze data with teachers, facilitate trainings | State Reading Conference, AVID conference, 2012 Educational Strategies & Student Engagement Institute, District and in school professional development(Including registration fees, travel expenses, lodging, and meals.) | Title 1 | \$4,000.00 |
| Reading | Supplies for professional development activities | Paper, ink for EDW reports, resource books, anchor charts, markers, poster machine paper, laminating film, etc | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | Substitutes for teacher | | |
| Reading | Provide substitutes for teachers in need of reading strategy professional development. | release time to attend professional development (Benefits 4.3%) | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 |
| Reading Reading | teachers in need of reading strategy professional | release time to attend professional development (Benefits | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 \$33,794.00 |

| Mathematics | professional development (Benefits 4.3%) | Salary for Substitute | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 |
|--------------------|---|--|----------------|-----------------------|
| Mathematics | Provide necessary supplies in order to conduct professional development. | Paper, ink, training materials, etc. | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| Mathematics | Increase percentage of students earning a proficient score on Algebra 1 and Geometry EOC's. | .5 Math Coach | Title 1 | \$33,794.00 |
| Writing | Rubric Scoring | Substitutes for teachers to be trained in Rubric scoring and Writer's Workshop. | Title 1 | \$5,000.00 |
| Suspension | Provide professional development for LINK CREW leaders. Link Crew Leaders train juniors and seniors to peer mentor incoming freshmen. | Out of state travel including registrations, airfare, meals, hotels, etc. | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$85,588.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Transportation for after school and Saturday tutoring | Transportation for Tutorials | Title 1 | \$500.00 |
| Reading | Tutoring for students | Salaries for teachers in tutorials | Title 1 | \$18,000.00 |
| Reading | Supplies for student tutorials | Paper, ink, folders, ect. | Title 1 | \$2,216.00 |
| Reading | Subs for Title 1 funded classroom teachers. | substitutes to provide coverage when Title 1 teacher is absent. | Title 1 | \$1,200.00 |
| Mathematics | Tutorials | Salaries for tutors | Title 1 | \$18,000.00 |
| Mathematics | Provide supplies to mathematics tutors to ensure understanding of materials and availability to students. | Supplies (calculators, maker boards, paper, pends, pencils, rulers, ect.), Everglades EOC Practice Workbooks | Title 1 | \$2,970.00 |
| Science | Tutorials for Biology EOC | Tutor salaries | Title 1 | \$3,930.00 |
| Science | AVID & Lab Strategy Supplies | Materials-Paper, pens, marker boards, interactive notebooks, pencils, ect. | Title 1 | \$2,000.00 |
| Writing | Tutoring for students not attaining proficient scores in Palm Beach Writes | Salary for Writing Tutors (Benefits 25%) | Title 1 | \$6,000.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Improve communication by using Edline, One Voice, newsletters. | Postage and Freight | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 |

Refreshments for

newsletters, ink,

trainings, paper for

training materials, etc.

Salary for language

Part time In System

liasons

facilitators and parent

Title 1

Title 1

Title 1

Parent Involvement

Parent Involvement

Parent Involvement

Voice, newsletters, flyers, mailings, ect. Provide parent trainings such as FCAT and End of Course

Assessment Item Spec,

technology, college

and parent liasons for parent trainings

Teachers will conduct parent trainings on College Readiness,

Financial Aid, Academic Resources, technology,

requirements,

transitioning to workforce, ect. Provide interpreters

Subtotal: \$61,164.00

\$2,098.00

\$500.00

\$750.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | j n NA |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/12/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|--|------------|
| Incentives to motivate and boost staff morale | \$4,000.00 |
| Supplemental books/materials for teachers, clubs, and sports. | \$5,000.00 |
| Provide snacks/refreshments to students for Saturday and after school tutorials. | \$3,000.00 |
| Additional funds for professional development and growth opportunities. | \$5,000.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Advisory Council's activities in 2012-2013 will include monitoring the School Improvement Plan and all of its components, provide funding and monitor the use of that funding for school programs that may enhance the lives of our students, and advise on activities and events within the 2012-2013 school year which may impact the daily running of the school.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Palm Beach School District SANTALUCES COMMUNITY HIGH 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|---------|-----|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | | Grade Points Earned | | | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 39% | 76% | 88% | 40% | 243 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. | | |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 45% | 74% | | | 119 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 | | |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 46% (NO) | 66% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. | | |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 484 | | | |
| Percent Tested = 99% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested | | |
| School Grade* | | · | | | В | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested | | |

| Palm Beach School District SANTALUCES COMMUNITY HIGH 2009-2010 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 43% | 76% | 89% | 47% | | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. | |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 56% | 80% | | | 136 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 | |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 52% (YES) | 72% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. | |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 525 | | |
| Percent Tested = 99% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested | |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested | |