## FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: GULFVIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Collier

Principal: Kevin Huelsman

SAC Chair: Laird Lile Chair Vince Laz Co-Chair

Superintendent: Dr. Kamela Patton

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 10/22/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Kevin Huelsman	BA Music; MA Education School Principal (all levels)	6	24	According to statute, the Superintendent has the authority to strategically place administrators within the school district.
Assis Principal	Kristina Lee	BA Secondary Education and Mathematics; MA Educational Leadership and Administration  Educational Leadership; Mathematics 6- 12	4	1	According to statute, the Superintendent has the authority to strategically place administrators within the school district.

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include

history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading Coach	Lisa Wilk	BS - Elementary Education; Master in Instructional Technology 1-6 Certification; Reading Endorsed, ESOL Endorsed, and CAR-PD Academy	1	3	Has a history of academic excellence and past work performance with at-risk students.
Intervention Support Specialist	Kathy Swart	BA - Speech; Master in Special Ed, Masters in Ed. Leadership Speech 9-12 (middle school endorsement), VE K-12, Ed. Leadership	2	21	Has a history of academic excellence and past work performance with at-risk students.

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Careful selection of candidates for employment through interview process to ensure high quality employees.	Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean	Ongoing	
2	Highly qualified teachers are recruited utilizing district screening tools.	Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean	Ongoing	
3	3. Peer mentoring	Peer Teacher	Ongoing	
4	4. Professional Learning communities, leadership team, grade level, and department team meetings held on a regular basis. These meetings are opportunities to share pertinent information with staff and to focus on unique staff development needs.	Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean	Ongoing	

## Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field / and	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective			
No data submitted				

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
47	6.4%(3)	17.0%(8)	19.1%(9)	57.4%(27)	53.2%(25)	100.0%(47)	23.4%(11)	4.3%(2)	23.4%(11)

## Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Sharon Condon	Devon Toohey	Mrs. Condon's students have shown substantial gains in Math. Ms. Toohey would benefit through shared best practices with Mrs. Condon.	The mentor and mentee are participating in the activities assigned through the mentor/mentee program. The mentor will be given release time to observe the mentee. Time for feedback, coaching, and planning will be provided.
Kathleen Deye	Elizabeth Carlson	Mrs. Deye's students have shown substantial gains in Language Arts. Ms. Carlson would benefit through shared best practices with Mrs. Deye.	The mentor and mentee are participating in the activities assigned through the mentor/mentee program. The mentor will be given release time to observe the mentee. Time for feedback, coaching, and planning will be provided.
Kathleen Deye	Eric Ruiz	Mrs. Deye's students have shown substantial gains in Language Arts. Mr. Ruiz would benefit through shared best practices with Mrs. Deye.	The mentor and mentee are participating in the activities assigned through the mentor/mentee program. The mentor will be given release time to observe the mentee. Time for feedback, coaching, and planning will be provided.
Latham Hill	Elaine Reilly	Mr. Hill's students have shown substantial gains in Reading as well as History. Ms. Reilly would benefit through shared best practices with Mr. Hill.	The mentor and mentee are participating in the activities assigned through the mentor/mentee program. The mentor will be given release time to observe the mentee. Time for feedback, coaching, and planning will be provided.
Lori Frontz	Jeffrey Spartz	Mrs. Frontz's students have shown substantial gains in Science. Mr. Spartz would benefit through shared best practices with Mrs. Frontz.	The mentor and mentee are logging their weekly meetings. The mentor will be given release time to observe the mentee. Time for feedback, coaching, and planning will be provided.

## ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

# Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable. Title I, Part A Title I, Part C- Migrant Title I, Part D Title II Title III Title X- Homeless Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) Violence Prevention Programs **Nutrition Programs** Housing Programs **Head Start** Adult Education Career and Technical Education Job Training Other Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI) -School-based MTSS/RtI Team-Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. The RTI Leadership Team consists of the Principal, Administrative Team, Intervention Support Specialist, Counselors, Teachers, Behavior Specialist and Identified District support staff (psychologist, Literacy Specialist, Gifted Specialist).

Note: For Title I schools only

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RTI Leadership Team will be meeting on a monthly basis or more frequently as needed. The function of the RTI Leadership Team will be to formulate strategies that will be shared in the bi-weekly grade level/RTI Coordinator meetings. The intervention support specialist will be instrumental in training staff on the tier processes. The intervention support specialist will be meeting on a bi-weekly basis with each grade level team. The purpose of these meetings will be to share data and to assist staff with strategies and effective curriculum practices that will meet student needs.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The SIP was developed in conjunction with the RTI Leadership Team and SAC. The RTI leadership team will meet to check implementation and to ensure fidelity within the identified intervention strategies utilized by teachers. These checks will be both visual and data driven.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Students are expected to reach progress goals subsequent to quality instruction through differentiation and intervention strategies. Targeted skill populations are brought to the attention of the RtI Leadership Team, Task Force Leaders, and discussed at PLC meetings. Progress is analyzed and those students who have not mastered the skills are considered for Tier 2 strategies. Online assessments and other data points are tracked on the charts and graphs in the Data Warehouse. In addition, any documentation provided by the teachers, recording benchmarks, other assessments and observations are shared..

Tier 3 interventions will be explored upon analysis of Tier 2 progression and Tier 3 interventions may result in a change line affecting the frequency of the intervention duration and grouping. Specific scientifically based research interventions will be implemented at all multi-levels of student support.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

District-level training has established and online staff development program in which all staff members were expected to complete the course of study.

To further reach support at the school building level, an intervention support specialist has been designated to assist in coaching and mentoring of staff. The role of the intervention support specialist is to oversee the problem solving process, ensure the integrity and consistency of implementation of the process, and facilitate the RtI Team Meetings.

Finally, mini-workshops on RtI-related topics, such as differentiating instruction, data analysis, and specific intervention training are available through district personnel throughout the school year upon the request of a school administrator. In addition to district face-to-face training, a variety of online tools are available for use in the schools. ANGEL is being used as an online facilitator for RtI related documents, video clips, training materials and power points, research links, intervention tools, and has a district Problem Solving/Response to Intervention manual. The PLC teams will continue to monitor progress for all students throughout the year, through the use of the Data Warehouse resources. Professional Development will be provided during in-service days, planning, and early release days. The RTI team will also evaluate additional staff professional development needs during the RTI Leadership Team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Literacy Leadership Team will be comprised of the Reading Coach, four Reading/Lang Arts teachers, the ELL teacher, the Social Studies Department Chair, the Media Specialist, and the Principal/Assistant Principal.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The meetings are held twice a month. The function of the team will be to design projects to engage our students in a number of literacy-type activities throughout the school year.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Parental involvement in all students reading at home (U.S. Dept of Ed info to parents at Curriculum Night);

How to assist 8th Graders in reading (scores drop dramatically with 8th Grade FCAT);

Sunshine State Young Reader's Award Program (state book list of 15 novels, students read, get rewards for # of books read, and get to vote in a statewide election for favorite book of the 15);

Book Clubs (before school, lunch, or after school);

Vocabulary Word of the Day/Week (on Morning Announcements);

Poetry Jam/Poetry Tournament;

Coordination with the Public Library next door (book lists, book talks, etc.).

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

\*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Following the district model, we have adopted Marzano's comprehensive framework for effective instruction. Each staff member was provided with a copy of his book The Art and Science of Teaching. This work will be referenced throughout the year as time will be devoted to discussing these strategies at faculty meetings and early release days. During these times, training will also be provided to support all content area teachers on teaching reading in their content areas.

\*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## Reading Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

^ vvnei	n using percentages, include	the number of students the p	percentage represents	S (e.g., 70% (35)).			
	on the analysis of studen or overheat for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.  Reading Goal #1a:			reading will inc	reading will increase by 10%(14); from the current level of performance 27% (169) to the expected level of performance			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:			
27% (	(169)		27% (183)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)			
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports		
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM		
	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical,	TE will develop higher order questions that are text dependent and require students to utilize close reading and rereading of complex texts.	LA Department	Compare Pre/Post Assessment results to identify students that may require reteaching of key concepts/skills. Develop FCIM calendar	Evaluate prior knowledge (pre- test) CTEM FAIR testing		

4	creative thinking.	Questions should be designed in such a way as to lead students into strategic and extended thinking to match the level of rigor appropriate to the standard/benchmark.		for reteaching.		
---	--------------------	--	--	-----------------	--	--

		level of rigor appropriate to the standard/benchmark.			
	I on the analysis of studen		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
1b. F Stude	provement for the following lorida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at Levels 4, ing Goal #1b:	nent:	raw score on th	2012-2013 school year is e Reading assessment for a level 5 with a raw score	our FAA student by
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
100%	(1)		100% (1)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Data-driven planning for instruction is limited, and instructional practices and interventions are not uniform for students working on Florida's Access Points.	professional learning on planning and instruction to support modified curriculum through multiple means of: a) Representation- vary the ways students obtain/receive information and knowledge b) Action and Expression-vary the options for demonstrating/ acting upon information and knowledge c) Engagement- identify learners' interests and offer appropriate challenges to increase motivation.	Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Coaches, Literacy Leadership Team, IEP Team Members	Progress Monitoring Data collected through Pre and Post-tests Monthly Benchmark Assessments	Unique Learning System (ULS): Monthly Benchmark Assessments, Unit Checkpoints, and Student Profile Comparisons UNIQUE Goals, Preferences, Skills (GPS)  Raz Kids Discrete Trial Trainer My Reading Coaches CTEM
2	Inconsistent use of Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) does not support students' effective modes of communication, or provide consistent, understandable or readable responses.	Professional Learning Communities will focus professional learning activities on: a) Incorporating modes of communication in IEP development. b) Identifying a variety of communication tools/strategies based on individual student needs for instructional presentation, responses and engagement.	Principal, Reading Coaches, Literacy Leadership Team, IEP Team Members	Observations: the use of a variety of communication modalities is evident when incorporated into daily lessons and differentiated for group/individual student needs.	Technology Evaluation ULS: AT Decision
3	Students lack practice in utilizing informational text as it applies to gaining information from reading, applying the reading process, and interpreting information.	explicit instruction and practice in the use of text features to: locate information, compare	Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Coaches, Literacy Leadership Team, IEP Team Members	Progress Monitoring Data collected through Pre and Post-tests Monthly Benchmark Assessments	Unique Learning System (ULS): Monthly Benchmark Assessments, Unit Checkpoints, and Student Profile

sequenced directions, and analyze information in graphs/charts.	Comparisons UNIQUE Goals, Preferences, Skills (GPS)
	CTEM

	d on the analysis of studen		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and c	define areas in need
	provement for the following CAT 2.0: Students scoring		ent		
Leve	I 4 in reading. ling Goal #2a:	J	The percent of	students scoring above pro 013 FCAT in reading will in 352).	
2012	? Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
47%	(296)		52% (352)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	TE will develop higher order questions that are text dependent and require students to utilize close reading and rereading of complex texts. Questions should be designed in such a way as to lead students into	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Compare Pre/Post Assessment results to identify students that may require reteaching of key concepts/skills. Develop FCIM calendar for reteaching.	Evaluate prior knowledge (pre- test) CTEM FAIR testing

strategic and extended thinking to match the level of rigor appropriate to the standard/benchmark and providing evidence of mastery at exemplary levels.		
--	--	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase FAA reading. Reading proficiency by 5 raw scores or 10 percentage points to 10%. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0% (0) 10% (0) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:								
			The percent of s	The percent of students achieving learning gains on the 2013 FCAT in reading will increase from 77% (424) to 79% (501).				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
77% (424)			79% (501)	79% (501)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	Instructional: Lessons do	Teachers will plan for and	Principal	During classroom	Collier Teacher			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	questions in weekly lesson plans so that the	Assistant Principal Dean	observations	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)
	do not have opportunities		Principal Assistant Principal Dean		CTEM Trend Reports

2	accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.  Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.		be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair		Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	expectations for all students to participate in collaborative activities and to appropriately fulfill	Reading Coach		CTEM Common Formative Assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase reading. learning gains for our FAA student by 5 points, from a level 5 raw score of 78 to a raw score of 83. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% (1) 100% (1) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Inconsistent use of Professional Learning Principal, Assistant Observations: the use of Assistive Augmentative and Communities will focus Principal, Reading a variety of Technology professional learning communication modalities Evaluation (AT) Alternative Coaches, Literacy Communication (AAC) activities on: Leadership Team, is evident when ULS: AT Decision does not support a) Incorporating modes IEP Team Members incorporated into daily lessons and differentiated Guide students' effective of communication in IEP modes of communication, development. for group/individual or provide consistent, b) Identifying a variety of student needs. CTEM understandable or communication tools/strategies based on readable responses. individual student needs for instructional presentation, responses and engagement. Data-driven planning for Provide UDL based Principal, Assistant Progress Monitoring Unique Learning instruction is limited, and professional learning on System (ULS): Principal, Reading Data collected through planning and instruction Coaches, Literacy instructional practices Pre and Post-tests Monthly Benchmark and interventions are not to support modified Leadership Team, Monthly Benchmark Assessments, uniform for students curriculum through IEP Team Members Assessments Unit Checkpoints, working on Florida's multiple means of: and

Student Profile

a) Representation- vary

Access Points.

2		the ways students obtain/receive information and knowledge b) Action and Expression- vary the options for demonstrating/ acting upon information and knowledge c) Engagement- identify learners' interests and offer appropriate challenges to increase motivation			Comparisons UNIQUE Goals, Preferences, Skills (GPS)
3	applying the reading	explicit instruction and practice in the use of	Principal, Reading Coaches, Literacy	Monthly Benchmark	Unique Learning System (ULS): Monthly Benchmark Assessments, Unit Checkpoints, and Student Profile Comparisons UNIQUE Goals, Preferences, Skills (GPS) CTEM

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need							
of improvement for the following group:							
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #4:				The percent of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT in reading will increase from 74% (105) to 77% (122).			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
74%	(105)		77% (122)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1		Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)			
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports		

3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	responses a minimum of	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Through differentiated instruction and multitiered supports, TE will scaffold support for meeting high expectations. Secondary; intensive reading classes provide for co-teach opportunities so that student's intensive reading needs are met in daily small group instruction with both the basic and co-teach personnel as well as independent practice and use of tech applications specific to the student's needs.	Instructional Support Specialist	 Common Summative Assessments DW Submitted Meeting Minutes

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target								
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Reading Goal #  In 6 years the achievement gap will be reduced by 50%  5A:				by 50%				
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

		, in the second second		,		,	,	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:								
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5B:			2 k i	2013 FCAT in re by 10% of the p	eading percer	nts achieving level 3 in each ethnic subg ntage not currently p for specific current a	roup will increase proficient. (See	
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
White: 80% (343) Black: 38% (17) Hispanic: 61% (74) Asian: 91% (10) American Indian: 67% (2)			E H	White: 82% (364) Black: 44% (26) Hispanic: 65% (85) Asian: 92% (11) American Indian: 70% (4)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	s to I n	crease Studer	nt Ach	ilevement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	questioning strategies	Teachers will plan for ar include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the	Assi: Dear	stant Principal	obser admii	g classroom vations nistrators will mine whether highe	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	

1	critical, independent, and creative thinking.	questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.		order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	TE will maintain data by sub-group in order to identify issues specific to the risk-factors associated with the sub-group. As data uncovers specific barriers to closing the achievement gap, TE will identify appropriate differentiated instructional strategies to remove the barrier.	Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Utilize content area coaches and the coaching cycle, designating time to debrief, discuss observations and plan for next steps.	Common Formative Assessments FAIR Testing

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5C:				English language learners or the 2013 FCAT in react to 58% (26).		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
53% (44)			58% (26)	58% (26)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	questions in weekly lesson plans so that the	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions.		

				(See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	TE will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Utilize agreed upon, reasearch-based effective teaching strategies.	Common Formative Assessments FAIR Testing

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D:	The percent of students with disabilities (SWD) achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT in reading will increase from 40% (35) to 46% (36).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
40% (35)	46% (36)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

L					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	do not routinely incorporate	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	observations	
2	opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned	Principal Assistant Principal Dean		CTEM Trend Reports

	prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.			
3	Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to	TE will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP goals or accomodations, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction). Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	Chair Instructional	Compare Pre/Post Assessment results to identify students that may require reteaching of key concepts/skills. Utilize FCIM calendar for re- teaching.	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
satisfactory progress in reading.			achieving level	The percent of economically disadvantaged students achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT in reading will increase from 57% (129) to 61% (171).		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
57% (	(129)		61% (171)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	questions in weekly lesson plans so that the	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports	

3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	responses a minimum of	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Maintain high expectations for all students to appropriately respond to higher order questions, providing scaffolded support and structure as appropriate for low-expectancy students, enabling their success in meeting rigorous expectations.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Conduct walkthroughs and observations and provide specific feedback to teachers.	CTEM Provided Comments on Walkthroughs and Post-Conference Conversation

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Professional Development in higher- order thinking skills, Web's Depth of Knowledge, & Close Reading.	6-8 Language Arts Related Arts Social Studies Math Science	Reading Coach Language Arts Department Chair District Language Arts Personnel	PLC (Denartment	Early Release Days	CTEM	Principal Assistant Principal Dean

## Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Grand Total: \$0.00

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. The percentage of students scoring proficient in listening/speaking on the CELLA will increase from the CELLA Goal #1: current percent of 57% (8) to the expected 63% (11). 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 57% (8) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students have Provide scaffolded Principal Utilize agreed upon, Teacher made insufficient background support for ELL learners Assistant Principal research-based Pre/Post Tests knowledge of US by inclusion in small Dean effective teaching Formative cultural norms and group support for L 1 ELL Guidance Assessment strategies. content specific and 2 students as CELLA vocabulary to fully appropriate. understand oral language.

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2:			The percentage of students scoring proficient in reading on the CELLA will increase from the current percent of 14% (2) to the expected 15% (3).				
2012 Current Percent	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:						
14% (2)							
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
Anticipated Ba	rrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
ELL students experience delays acquisition of reac skills due to limite vocabulary, limite	ding d	Employ checks for understanding that include 1:1 questioning with the student or written responses to	Principal Assistant Principal Dean ELL Guidance	Utilize a variety of assessments, including but not limited to formative, summative and performance-based	Formative Classroom Assessments Summative Classroom		

	1	experience to build	text dependent	Counselor	assessments.	Assessments
1	background knowledge,	questions to determine			CELLA	
		limited English usage in	student's level of			
		the home and in many				
		cases, illiteracy in the	was read.			
		home.				
Į						

Stude	Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
	udents scoring proficies A Goal #3:	nt in writing.	on the CELLA	e of students scoring prowill increase from the curexpected 8% (1).				
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ing:					
7% (	1)							
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Students do not have opportunities for authentic conversations and evaluation of their own or others writing.	As evidence of strategic and extended thinking in writing, TE will hold students accountable for producing an oral or written analysis of multiple genres of thematically connected texts a minimum of six times per year. Depending on students' writing skills, the process may be implemented through Read-Alouds.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean ELL Guidance Counselor	Utilize agreed upon, research-based effective teaching strategies.	Rubrics Writing Samples CELLA			

## CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

## Middle School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

^ vvnei	n using percentages, include	the number of students the p	percentage represents	(e.g., 70% (35)).	
	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
math	CAT2.0: Students scoring ematics. ematics Goal #1a:	g at Achievement Level 3	mathematics wi	students scoring level 3 or Il increase by 10%(12); fro 26% (164) to the expected % (176).	om the current level
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
26% (	(164)		26% (176)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	responses a minimum of	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Utilize embedded learning goals and scales, appropriate questioning techniques, and multiple representations with the expectation that students develop conceptual understandings and are able to explain their	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Math Department Chair	Check students' level of understanding through discussion and higher- order questioning; adjust instruction based on need.	Learning Goals and Scales to determine levels of understanding

		thinking both orally and in writing.			
--	--	--------------------------------------	--	--	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Elevida Alternata Assessment:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1b:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase FAA

Math by 5 points, from a level 3 raw scores of 45 to a raw

0% (0)

10% (0)

score of 50.

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) does not support students' effective modes of communication, or provide consistent, understandable or readable (discernible) responses.	professional learning activities on: a) Incorporating multiple modes of communication	Principal, Academic Coaches, PLC Teams, IEP Team Members	Observations: the use of a variety of communication modalities is evident when incorporated into daily lessons and differentiated for group/individual student needs.	Technology Evaluation (AT) ULS: AT Decision
2	instruction is limited, and instructional practices and interventions are not uniform for students working on Florida's Access Points.	planning and instruction	Principal, Academic Coaches, PLC	Progress Monitoring Data collected through Pre and Post-tests Monthly Benchmark Assessments	Unique Learning System (ULS): Monthly Benchmar Assessments, Unit Checkpoints, and Student Profile Comparisons UNIQUE Goals, Preferences, Skills (GPS) CTEM
	solving and interpreting information.	a) Teachers will adapt and modify classroom work to be consistent with academic functioning as outlined in the IEP b) Teachers will differentiate materials	Principal, Academic Coaches, PLC Teams, IEP Team	Progress Monitoring Data collected through Pre and Post-tests Monthly Benchmark Assessments	Unique Learning System (ULS): Monthly Benchmar Assessments, Unit Checkpoints, and Student Profile Comparisons

	and instruction, and will		UNIQUE Goals,
3	work in centers, small		Preferences, Skills
J	groups or individually to		(GPS)
	support improved math		
	skills		CTEM
	c) Teachers will		
	incorporate IEP goals into		
	lesson plans to support		
	remediation,		
	differentiation, and		
	accommodations in daily		
	math instruction.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

er mile er en	
	The percent of students scoring above proficiency (levels 4 and 5) on the 2013 FCAT in mathematics will increase from 48% (301) to 53% (358).
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
48% (301)	53% (358)

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely	Utilize embedded learning goals and scales, appropriate questioning	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Implement and provide feedback for math journals/notebooks/exit	Quarterly Benchmark Assessments

	incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	techniques, and multiple representations with the expectation that students demonstrate their conceptual understandings both orally and in writing. Provide challenge opportunities for advanced learners to demonstrate mastery of the standard/benchmark at exemplary levels.	!	tickets.	
--	--	---	---	----------	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase FAA Math proficiency by 5 raw scores or 10 percentage points to 10%. Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0% (0) 10% (0) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning The percent of students achieving learning gains on the 2013 gains in mathematics. FCAT in mathematics will increase from 84% (466) to 86% (545).Mathematics Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 84% (466) 86% (545) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Instructional: Lessons do Teachers will plan for and Principal During classroom Collier Teacher not routinely incorporate include higher order Assistant Principal observations **Evaluation Model** Dean questioning strategies questions in weekly administrators will (CTEM) designed to promote lesson plans so that the determine whether higher critical, independent, and questions are purposeful order questions are part creative thinking. and aligned to the NGSSS of lesson plan and or CCSS. interview 1-3 students to

determine expectations

				for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.		Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	for writing outside of	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to the Instructional Barrier: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	and to appropriately fulfill	Math Department	Conduct walkthroughs and observations and provide specific feedback to teachers.	CTEM walkthrough comments provided through iObservation CTEM formal observation post conference

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3b:			Math gains by 5	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase FAA Math gains by 5 points, from a level 3 raw scores of 45 to a raw score of 50.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
0% (0)			10% (0)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Data-driven planning for instruction is limited, and instructional practices and interventions are not uniform for students working on Florida's Access Points.	planning and instruction		Progress Monitoring Data collected through Pre and Post-tests Monthly Benchmark Assessments	Unique Learning System (ULS): Monthly Benchmark Assessments, Unit Checkpoints, and Student Profile Comparisons UNIQUE Goals, Preferences, Skills (GPS) CTEM	

		c) Engagement- identify learners' interests and offer appropriate challenges to increase motivation.			
2	Inconsistent use of Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) does not support students' effective modes of communication, or provide consistent, understandable or readable (discernible) responses.	Communities will focus professional learning activities on:  a) Incorporating multiple modes of communication	Principal, Academic Coaches, PLC Teams, IEP Team Members	communication modalities is evident when	Technology Evaluation (AT)  ULS: AT Decision
3	Students lack practice in utilizing informational text as it applies to gaining information from math applications, problem solving and interpreting information.	a) Teachers will adapt and modify classroom work to be consistent with academic functioning as outlined in the IEP b) Teachers will differentiate materials and instruction, and will work in centers, small groups or individually to support improved math skills c) Teachers will incorporate IEP goals into lesson plans to support remediation, differentiation, and accommodations in daily math instruction.	Principal, Academic Coaches, PLC Teams, IEP Team	Data collected through Pre and Post-tests Monthly Benchmark Assessments	Unique Learning System (ULS): Monthly Benchmark Assessments, Unit Checkpoints, and Student Profile Comparisons UNIQUE Goals, Preferences, Skills (GPS) CTEM

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
maki	4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #4:			The percent of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT in mathematics will increase from 80% (109) to 82% (129).		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
80%	80% (109)			82% (129)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to I n	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	1	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS	Assi Dea	stant Principal	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)

		or CCSS.		interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Through differentiated instruction and multitiered supports, TE will scaffold support for meeting high expectations. Intensive math classes provide for co-teach opportunities so that student's intensive math needs are met in daily small group instruction with both the basic and co-teach personnel as well as independent practice and use of tech applications specific to the student's needs.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Math Department Chair	Provide tiered interventions to assist in mastery of grade-level benchmarks. Collect ongoing progress monitoring data weekly or bi-weekly to make data-driven decisions.	CTEM Benchmark Assessments

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target								
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Middle School Mathe In 6 years th		p will be reduced	by 50%.		
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5B:	The percent of students achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT in mathematics in each ethnic subgroup will increase by 10% of the percentage not currently proficient. (See individual subgroups for specific current and expected percentages.)			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
•	·			

White: 82% (350) Black: 36% (16) Hispanic: 61% (74) Asian: 100% (11) American Indian: 67% (2) White: 84% (373) Black: 42% (25) Hispanic: 65% (85) Asian: 100% (12) American Indian: 70% (4)

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	TE will maintain data by sub-group in order to identify issues specific to the risk-factors associated with the subgroup. As data uncovers specific barriers to closing the achievement gap, TE will identify appropriate differentiated instructional strategies to remove the barrier.	Math Department Chair	Compare Pre/Post Assessment results to identify students that may require reteaching of key concepts/skills. Develop FCIM calendar for reteaching.	Benchmark Assessments FCIM Assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #5C:

The percent of English language learners (ELL) achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT in mathematics will increase from 50% (41) to 55% (24).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

50% (41)

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	questions in weekly lesson plans so that the	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)			
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports			
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	responses a minimum of	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM			
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	TE will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Math Department Chair	Utilize agreed upon, research-based effective teaching strategies.	CELLA Benchmark Assessments			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D:			The percent of students with disabilities (SWD) achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT in mathematics will increase from 45% (39) to 51% (40).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			Expected Level	of Performance:		
45% (39)			51% (40)			
	Problem-Solving Process to I	ncreas	se Student Achi	evement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Instructional: Lessons	Teachers will plan for and include hig	gher	Principal	During classroom	Collier Teacher	

	1	incorporate	order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	Evaluation Model (CTEM)
	2	Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
,		inadequate opportunities for writing outside of	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	4	Instructional Barrier: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the	TE will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP goals and accomodations, working in small group or individually with students to support improved reading skills(differentiated materials/instruction). Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Instructional Support Specialist Math Department Chair	Utilize a variety of assessments, including but not limited to formative, summative and performance-based assessments.	Benchmark Assessments Common Summative Assessments Common Formative Assessments

1	d on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
			The percent of achieving level	The percent of economically disadvantaged students achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT in mathematics will increase from 58% (131) to 62% (174).		
2012	2 Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
58%	58% (131)			62% (174)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	

				for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	and to appropriately fulfill	Math Department	Conduct walkthroughs and observations and provide specific feedback to teachers.	CTEM

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

## Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #1:			students scoring current level of	On the 2013 Algebra End-of-Course Exam, the percentage of students scoring achievement level 3 will increase from the current level of performance 2% (2) to the expected level of performance 2% (3).		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
2% (2)			2% (3)	2% (3)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)		

2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Utilize embedded learning goals and scales, appropriate questioning techniques, and multiple representations with the expectation that students demonstrate their conceptual understandings both orally and in writing. Provide challenge opportunities for advanced learners to demonstrate mastery of the standard/benchmark at exemplary levels.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Math Department Chair	Check students' level of understanding through discussion and higher-order questioning; adjust instruction based on need.	CTEM Benchmark Assessments Common Formative Assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 On the 2013 Algebra End-of-Course Exam, the percentage of and 5 in Algebra. students scoring achievement levels 4 or 5 will increase from the current level of performance 98% (84) to the expected Algebra Goal #2: level of performance 100% (126). 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 98% (84) 100% (126) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Principal Instructional: Teachers will plan for During classroom Collier Teacher Lessons do not routinely and include higher order Assistant Principal observations **Evaluation Model** incorporate questioning questions in weekly Dean administrators will (CTEM) lesson plans so that the strategies designed to determine whether

higher order questions

are part of lesson plan

students to determine expectations for

and interview 1-3

questions are purposeful

and aligned to the

NGSSS or CCSS.

promote critical,

independent, and

creative thinking.

				answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2		Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students biweekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Learners will write to explain their reasoning on mathematical tasks.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Math Department Chair	journals/notebooks/exit tickets.	CTEM Benchmark Assessments Students' Notebooks/Journals/Exit Tickets

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target													
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.				In 6	oal# years	the	e achievement	gap	will be	reduced	by	50%.	<u></u>
Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013			2013	-2014		2014-2015		2015-	2016		2016-2017		

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		d refere	nce to "Guiding	Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.				The percent of students achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 EOC in Algebra in each ethnic subgroup will increase as defined below. (See individual subgroups for specific current and expected percentages.)				
Algebra Goal #3B:								
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
White: 100% (68) Black: 100% (1) Hispanic: 100% (11) Asian: American Indian:				White: 100% (93) Black: 100% (4) Hispanic: 100% (21) Asian: American Indian:				
Problem-Solving Process to I				crease Studen	t Ach	ievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position sponsible for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

I	I	I	Monitoring	Strategy	I .
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	questions in weekly lesson plans so that the	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	TE will maintain data by sub-group in order to identify issues specific to the risk-factors associated with the sub-	Math Department Chair	Compare Pre/Post Assessment results to identify students that may require reteaching of key concepts/skills. Develop FCIM calendar for reteaching.	Benchmark Assessments FCIM Mini- Assessments

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:							
satisf	nglish Language Learner factory progress in Algel ora Goal #3C:	_	level 3 or highe	The percent of English language learners (ELL) achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 EOC in Algebra will increase from 100% (5) to 100% (2).				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:				
100%	(5)		100% (2)	100% (2)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote	include higher order	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)			

1	critical, independent, and creative thinking.	questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.		order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	for writing outside of	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	TE will utilize multiple ELL strategies to meet the needs of second language learners, scaffolding support for meeting high expectations.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Math Department Chair	Check students' level of understanding through discussion and higher-order questioning; adjust instruction based on need.	Benchmark Assessments Common Formative Assessments CTEM

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3D:	The percent of Students with Disabilities(SWD) achieving level 3 or higher on the 2013 EOC in Algebra will increase from 100% (4) to 100% (4).					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
100% (4)	100% (4)					

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

L					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	do not routinely incorporate	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	observations	

2	opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	designed to promote	TE will accommodate/adapt classroom work to be consistent with IEP goals and accomodations, working in small group or individually with students to support improved math skills(differentiated materials/instruction). Provide lesson plans in a central database (Angel) to increase ESE teacher remediation/differentiation/accommodation opportunities in daily instructional practices.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Instructional Support Specialist Math Department Chair	Compare Pre/Post Assessment results to identify students that may require reteaching of key concepts/skills. Develop FCIM calendar for reteaching.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making The percent of Economically Disadvantaged(ED) achieving satisfactory progress in Algebra. level 3 or higher on the 2013 EOC in Algebra will increase from 100% (13) to 100% (33). Algebra Goal #3E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 100% (13) 100% (33) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Instructional: Lessons do Teachers will plan for and Principal During classroom Collier Teacher include higher order Assistant Principal Evaluation Model not routinely incorporate observations questioning strategies questions in weekly Dean administrators will (CTEM) lesson plans so that the designed to promote determine whether higher critical, independent, and questions are purposeful order questions are part creative thinking. and aligned to the NGSSS of lesson plan and or CCSS. interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.) Instructional: Students Teachers' use of Teachers will utilize Principal CTEM Trend do not have opportunities appropriate cooperative Assistant Principal cooperative Reports to engage in rigorous structures/strategies Dean structures/strategies will accountable talk to be monitored through that provide support for show, tell, explain and student accountable talk quarterly trend reports. prove reasoning aligned during both whole and to the standards. small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and

		prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.			
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair		Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards	expectations for all students to participate in collaborative activities and to appropriately fulfill	Dean Math Department	5	CTEM Walkthrough Observation Comments (provided through iObservation)

End of Algebra EOC Goals

# Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas						
in need of improvement					J	
<ol> <li>Students scoring at Geometry.</li> </ol>	Achievement Level 3 in					
Geometry Goal #1:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

		Problem	n-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student	Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy				Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Deter	iveness of	Evaluation Tool
		•	No	Data	Submitted			
Based on Ambitiou Target	us but	Achievable	e Annual Measural	ble Ob	jectives (A	AMOs), A	AMO-2, Reading a	and Math Performance
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Geometry Goal #						<u></u>		
Baseline data 2011-2012	20	12-2013	2013-2014		2014-20	)15	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on the anal				and r	eference t	o "Guid	ing Questions", id	lentify and define areas
satisfactory progress in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #3B:  2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Problem	n-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student	Achievement	
Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy		Posit Resp for	con or tion Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		mine iveness of	Evaluation Tool
			No	Data	Submitted			
Based on the anal in need of improve 3C. English Lang satisfactory prog	ement uage	for the following the following for the followin	owing subgroup: (ELL) not making		eference t	o "Guid	ing Questions", id	lentify and define areas
Geometry Goal #	Geometry Goal #3C:							
2012 Current Lev	vel of	Performa	nce:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			nance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3D. Students with Disa satisfactory progress					
Geometry Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Agile Mind CCPS Questioning Strategies	6-8 Mathematics	Department Chair District Math Coordinator Agile Mind Trainer	6-8 Math Department PLC	Inservice Days Early Release	СТЕМ	Principal Assistant Principal Dean

#### Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:	The percent of students scoring level 3 on the 2013 FCAT in science will increase by 10%(9); from the current level of performance 42% (91) to the expect level of performance 42% (100).				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	<u>'</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
42% (91)	42% (100)				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Person or	Process Used to			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	TE will utilize text- specific, complex questions and cognitively complex tasks with the expectation that students will use text to support responses and will appropriately apply scientific thinking and inquiry in performing these tasks.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach Science Department Chair	through discussion and higher-order questioning; adjust instruction based on	and Scales to

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

		NO Da	ita Submitted		
	d on the analysis of studin need of improvemen			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define
Achie	2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:			f students scoring abov 5) on the 2013 FCAT in 26% (56) to 29% (69).	
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:
26%	(56)		29% (69)		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trendreports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	
1	1	TE	Daire also al	Charle strodenstar lavial	Lagrania a Capla

Principal Assistant Check students' level of understanding

Learning Goals and Scales to

TE will utilize textspecific, complex

In reference to Instructional Barrier:

4	Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	questions and cognitively complex tasks with the expectation that students will use text to support responses and will appropriately apply scientific thinking and inquiry in performing these tasks. TE will provide challenge opportunities for advanced learners to demonstrate exemplary mastery of standard/benchmark.	Dean Science Department Chair	through discussion and higher-order questioning; adjust instruction based on need.	determine levels of understanding
---	---	---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------------

	of student achievement data vement for the following gro		reference	e to "Guiding Questions"	", identify and define
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Ir	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for		for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	lo Data Submitted	d		

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	I on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the		id reference to "Gu	ilding Questions", identify	y and define areas	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1a:			The percent of	The percent of students achieving proficiency on 2013 FCAT writing (3.0 or higher) will increase from 84% (183)		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	<b>)</b> :	
84% (183)			92% (218)			
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Dean .	During classroom observations administrators will determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	
	opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell,	appropriate cooperative	Dean .	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports	

2	reasoning aligned to the standards.	and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.			
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary journals/exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.		Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach	1	Writing Samples CTEM

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", ic	lentify and define areas
1b. Florida Alternate A at 4 or higher in writin	ssessment: Students scorg.	ring			
Writing Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or ion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitted	d		

#### Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

# Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1	ed on the analysis of st eed of improvement for	"Guiding Questions", i	dentify and define areas				
	Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.  Civics Goal #1:				To implement the new Civics course with instructional resources and curriculum guides to pace the content of the class for student success on the EOC.		
201	2 Current Level of Pe	rformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:	
NA	NA			NA			
	Р	roblem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Po		rson or osition onsible for nitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	Instructional: Lessons do not routinely incorporate	Teachers will plan for and include higher order questions in	Princip Assista Princip	ant	During classroom observations administrators will	Collier Teacher Evaluation Model (CTEM)	

1	questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	weekly lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.	Dean	determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	Teachers will utilize appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole and small group instruction, requiring students to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards. Teachers will include use of these in weekly lesson plans.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach LA Department Chair	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary utilizing academic notebooks, journals, and exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Lessons do not routinely incorporate questioning strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	Regularly utilize Intertextual Triad and Close Reading designed around the primary documents of the founding fathers and essentials documents that established our country.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Reading Coach Social Studies Department Chair	Examine students' work to determine if they are appropriately integrating a variety of source material when completing Intertextual Triads.	Students' Notebooks/Journals/Exit Tickets

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels To implement rigorous and historically relevant writing 4 and 5 in Civics. extensions through critical reading of primary historical sources. (Document Based Questions - DBQ) Civics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: NA NA Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teachers will plan for Instructional: Lessons Principal During classroom Collier Teacher do not routinely and include higher order Assistant Principal observations **Evaluation Model** incorporate questioning questions in weekly administrators will (CTEM)

1	strategies designed to promote critical, independent, and creative thinking.	lesson plans so that the questions are purposeful and aligned to the NGSSS or CCSS.		determine whether higher order questions are part of lesson plan and interview 1-3 students to determine expectations for answering questions. (See CTEM alignment.)	
2	Instructional: Students do not have opportunities to engage in rigorous accountable talk to show, tell, explain and prove reasoning aligned to the standards.	appropriate cooperative structures/strategies that provide support for student accountable talk during both whole	Dean	Teachers' use of cooperative structures/strategies will be monitored through quarterly trend reports.	CTEM Trend Reports
3	Instructional: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	Students will be accountable for writing short and extended responses a minimum of once each week in all classes. Writing rubrics with detailed expectations for response writing will be displayed and used.	Dean	Incorporate writing across the content area with response and summary journals/exit tickets to improve both prompt and writing process writing. Provide feedback to students bi-weekly.	Writing Samples CTEM
4	In reference to Instructional Barrier: Students have inadequate opportunities for writing outside of language arts instruction.	The cadre of Civics teachers at 7th grade across the district belong to an Angel group where resources will be shared in key areas of curriculum development including vocabulary, comprehension and writing extensions.	Principal Assistant Principal Dean Social Studies Department Chair	Compare monthly Writing Assessments/Prompts results to identify students that may require reteaching of key concepts/skills.	Notebooks Writing samples

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	lo Data Submitte	d		

#### Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)							
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount				
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00				

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atten provement:	ndance data, and referer	nce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and def	ine areas in need		
	ttendance ndance Goal #1:		Daily Attendand At the close of of students acc year period will At the close of of students acc	At the close of the 2012-2013 school year, the Average Daily Attendance (ADA) will increase from 96% to 97%. At the close of the 2012-2013 school year, the percent of students accruing 10 or more days absent in a one year period will decrease from 24% to 15%. At the close of the 2012-2013 school year, the percent of students accruing 10 or more tardies in a one year period will decrease by 2%			
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	d Attendance Rate:			
96%	(629)		97% (655)				
	2 Current Number of Stu ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
24%	(171)		20% (135)	20% (135)			
	2 Current Number of Stulies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
12%	(89)		10% (68)	10% (68)			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Due to economic issues some students may have limited home resources and limited school readiness.	Implement new student attendance policy with fidelity.	Assistant Principal Dean Data Entry Clerk	Analysis of attendance data on a weekly basis.	Attendance reports StudentPass Average Daily Attendance		

						Reports
					Analysis of attendance	
			of attendance in school		data on a weekly basis.	
Ŀ	)	3	during School Advisory	Data Entry Clerk		StudentPass
			Council meetings and			Average Daily
		with some of our	family nights.			Attendance
		students' families.				Reports

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	lo Data Submitted	d		

#### Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

### Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

A. By July 2013, number of in-school suspension, total inschool suspension days assigned, and percent of

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1. Su	spension			students receiving in-school suspension days will be decreased by 10%.			
Susp	ension Goal #1:		total out-of-so percent of stu	B. By July 2013, number of out-of-school suspension, total out-of-school suspension days assigned, and percent of students receiving out-of-school suspension days will be decreased by 10%.			
2012	Total Number of In-Sc	hool Suspensions	2013 Expecte	ed Number of In-Schoo	l Suspensions		
164			148				
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended I n-Sch	ool 2013 Expecte School	ed Number of Students	Suspended In-		
11%	(74)		10% (68)				
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions	2013 Expecte Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			
67			60	60			
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended Out-of	- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School			
6% (4	11)		5% (34)	5% (34)			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	There is a lack of social norm and self-discipline instruction within our instructional programming.	Teachers will implement and instruct PBS expectations and utilize PBS incentive processes in their classrooms.	Principal Assistant Principa Dean Behavior Specialist PBS Team	Analyzing student I discipline data.	StudentPass		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitte	d		

Evidence-based Progr			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of pare ed of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	reference to "Gui	ding Questions", identify	and define areas		
1. Pa	arent Involvement						
Parent I nvolvement Goal #1:  *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.			involved in sch	The percent of parents and parent volunteer hours involved in school activities will increase by 2%, from 12 Volunteers and 2038 Hours to 123 Volunteers and 2079 Hours			
2012	2 Current Level of Parer	nt Involvement:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invol	vement:		
. —	Parent Volunteers Volunteer Hours			123 Parent Volunteers 2079 Volunteer Hours (+2%)			
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Having a high percentage of parents involved makes it difficult to increase the amount of involvement.	Use of PTA and SAC meetings, newsletters, personal contacts, school messenger, curriculum night, and parent nights with the intention to include various parental groups and establish/foster community partnerships.	Principal Assistant Principa Dean Media Specialist		Volunteer Office Records Community Partnership Records		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and de	efine areas in need of	improvement:					
1. STEM	in Common Co	All secondary math teachers will receive two years of PD in Common Core State Standards content and pedagogy					
STEM Goal #1:	teaching/coach	from Agile Mind to include group-face to face, co- teaching/coaching and individual effort, PLC lesson planning of CCSS STEM-focused lessons.					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Person or	Process Used to					

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	been trained in STEM- focused strategies.	learning opportunities in STEM skills and strategies with a focus	Assistant Principal Dean	provide specific feedback to teachers.	CTEM Walkthrough Observation Comments (provided through iObservation)

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Educators will present and/or participate in the CCPS 2013 STEM conference.	6-8 Math Science	District Personnel	Science and Math Department PLC	Inservice Day	СТЕМ	Principal Assistant Principal Dean

#### STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			A ! ! - !- ! - !
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

### Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	CTE E Goal #1:		statutory requi	Provide 8th grade a Career Planning Program that meets statutory requirements for middle school career planning including completion of four to six year high school/postsecondary school plan.			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	counselors not prepared to implement statutory	Development for	Assistant Principal Dean		Administrator's Observations		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		<u> </u>	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

ı				1

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

#### FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based	Program(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources		
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources		
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Dev	velopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources		
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources		
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$0.00

### Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance



Are you a reward school: † Yes † No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/12/2012)

### School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds	Amount
No data submitted	

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

- 1) Assist in the development of the school improvement plan.
- 2) Provide for parent education and/or solicit parent involvement and communication.

	l

### AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

### SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Collier School District GULFVI EW MI DDLE SCHOOL 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	80%	85%	94%	67%	326	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	65%	82%			147	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	64% (YES)	75% (YES)			139	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					612	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Collier School District GULFVIEW MIDDLE SC 2009-2010	HOOL					
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	81%	83%	94%	68%	326	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	67%	76%			143	3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	61% (YES)	70% (YES)			131	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					600	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested