FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: CITRUS COVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Laura Green

SAC Chair: Colette Ceparano

Superintendent: Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: December

Last Modified on: 11/8/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Laura Green	BA Elementary Education MS – Educational Leadership Prek-3 ESOL Endorsement School Principal	1	8	08-09 A - Indian Pines Elementary 09-10 B - Indian Pines Elementary 10-11 B - Indian Pines Elementary 11-12 A - Citrus Cove Elementary
Assis Principal	Bernadette Standish	BS Education, Indiana University MS Educational Leadership, FAU Gifted Endorsement Certifications: Exceptional Student Education, Elementary Education &			

r e e	i e			
		ESOL		
		LUUL		

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
None at this time					

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Regular meetings with ESP Team and Coordinator.	Assistant Principal	Ongoing.	
2	Partnership of new teachers with experienced educators on campus	Assistant Prinicpal and mentors.	Ongoing.	
3	Conduct book studies and provide differentiated PDD to meet individual professional development needs.	Principal & Assistant Principal.	June 2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
None at this time.	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
71	4.2%(3)	26.8%(19)	36.6%(26)	32.4%(23)	26.8%(19)	100.0%(71)	7.0%(5)	8.5%(6)	73.2%(52)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

|--|

Collette Ceperano	Victoria	Experienced	District Beginning Teacher
	DeSantis	Mentor	Program
Jeanne Ippolito	Alexandria	Experienced	District Beginning Teacher
	Wilkinson	Mentor	Program.
Cheryl Converse	Christine Zoumis	Same grade level and experienced mentor.	District Beginning Teacher Program

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.
Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
District-wide implementation of Single School Culture as well as Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity.
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education

Job Training

Other

Required instruction listed in Florida Statute 1003.42 (42), as applicable to appropriate grade levels.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: will oversee the process of MTSS/RTI and share a vision for the use of data-based decisions, ensure the school based team is implementing MTSS/RTI, appropriate staff development to support its implementation, and have open communication, ie. SAC, Newsletters, evening and Saturday events, with all parents regarding activities. Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate) to share and provide student data collection as well as information about the core instruction, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention, provide and collaborate with other staff (School Based Team, ESOL and ESE teachers), to implement Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: will participate in student data collection, integrate core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborate with general education teachers.

Exceptional Student Education Coordinator will participate in student data collection, and collaborate with general and exceptional student education teachers. ESE coordinator will facilitate meetings for ESE students going through the RTI process.

School Psychologist will participate in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitate development of intervention plans; provide support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provide professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitate data-based decision making activities.

Speech Language Pathologist will collaborate with SBT to identify language disorders. Develop and implement language interventions for the RTI process. Conduct language evaluations for students not progressing on Tier 2. Explain to the team the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assist in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills. Guidance Counselor will provide quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, the guidance counselor will continue to link the community agencies to the school and home to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral and social success. MTSS/RTI Facilitator will facilitate Tier 2 interventions and provide direct instruction to Tier 3 students. Facilitates and supports data collection activities, assists in data analysis, monitors interventions for fidelity, provides professional development for teachers with tier level students.

ESOL teacher will attend all meetings involving ESOL students to explain ESOL strategies and best practices for implementation

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team will meet weekly to discuss the following:

Review screening data and connect to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. The team will identify professional development and resources. The team will share effective practices, make decisions, evaluate implementation and collaborate regularly to determine new processes and skills needed.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RTI Leadership Team met with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and principal to help develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear goals and expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship) and aligned processes and procedures.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test, Fall Diagnostic, Fountas/Pinnell K-4 Assessment, SRI, School Wide Behavior Plan, FAIR & ECHOS(Kindergarten only), CELLA.

Progress Monitoring: FCAT Simulation, Fountas/Pinnell K-4 assessment, Fluency probes

Midyear: Winter Diagnostics, Fountas/Pinnell K-4 Assessment, SRI, Common Assessments.

scribe the plan to train stair on wires.	
rofessional development will be provided during Learning Team, Grade Level, Departmental meetings and on PD days	· .
raining will be on-going throughout the year.	
scribe the plan to support MTSS.	

End of Year: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test, Spring Diagnostic, Fountas/Pinnell K-4 Assessment, SRI.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal- Laura Green, Assistant Principal - Bernadette Standish, Kindergarten- Michele White and Lynn Shultis, First Grade - Jessica Brown and Annette CruzNelson, Second Grade - Victoria Francisco, Jeannine Ippolito, Mimi Richards, and Margo Ferrailo, Third Grade - Maryann Cain and Lanina Lawson, Fourth Grade - Maryellen Larkin and Diane Martini, Fifth Grade - Diana White and Adina Kerr, ESE/RTI - Sandra Correia, Fine Arts - Nicole Lee and Meghan Cilley, SAI Resource - Cheryl Converse

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) creates the format and support of the teaching of reading knowledge within the school building and focuses on areas of literacy concern across the school. The team meets monthly. Agenda topics include the discussion of the team's goals and progress, as well as identification of new strategies and activities to implement. As additional needs and concerns arise, ie., the new teacher evaluation system, the LLT investigates the concern, studies and plans a course of action, implements the action, analyzes its effectiveness, and reflects on the process. This is a continuous process throughout the entire school year.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT plays an vital role in developing a rich literacy environment at the school for all students and staff. The team builds professional conversations; collaboration, and a literacy culture. Initiatives are based on literacy-related data and needs assessments related to the school. Based on AYP, specific attention will be paid to developing strategies targeted for concerns across the sub-group spectrum. All members report to team members and facilitate continuous conversation relative to planning for student achievement in literacy.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

We offer tours for the parents of prospective students.

We offer staggered start for kindergarten, which means each class is divided into 3 even groups, each group attends one day, and then on the fourth day, all students attend together.

The members of the kindergarten team have no p.m. duty until the sixth week of school. This allows them to closely monitor and model afternoon dismissal procedures.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

High Schools Only	
Note: Required for Hig	h School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school in relevance to their futu	ncorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and re?
	ncorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that
	ncorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that udy is personally meaningful?
students' course of st	udy is personally meaningful?
students' course of st	udy is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Tra	udy is personally meaningful?

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in

Reading Goals

reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

There will be an increase of 3 % of students achieving

proficiency in reading on the 2013 FCAT.

2012	2 Current Level of P	erforr	mance:		2013 Expe	ected	d Level of Performand	ce:	
	(381) of students w 3 or above on the 2		oficient in reading, scorinç CAT.	g a	80 %(396)of students will meet proficiency in reading, scoring a level 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT.				
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	uder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Bar	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
1	Maintaining proficie rigor of curriculum intensifies.	ency as	Indepth differentiated instruction and use of authentic assessments while closely monitoring classroom performance.	Ass Cla	ncipal sistant Princi ssroom achers	ipal	Utilization of Data Binders, Data Chat Records and LTM stud achievement analysis		FCAT 2013
2	Providing reading Utilization of Propportunities that appeal to varied student reading program: Ticket CI				ncipal sistant Princi ssroom achers	ipal	Lesson Plans and Administrative Walk Throughs.		FCAT 2013
3	Providing a variety of literary reading material in classroom libraries and libraries with a variety of CI				ncipal sistant Princi ssroom achers	ipal	Lesson Plans and Administrative Walk Throughs.	I	FCAT 2013
of im 1b. F Stud	provement for the for	ollowing ssessr		refer			g Questions", identify and graph of the FC.		
2012	? Current Level of P	erforr	mance:		2013 Expe	ected	d Level of Performand	ce:	
0					0				
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stu	uder	nt Achievement		
Anti	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Pos for			Posit Resp for	onsible	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ctiveness of ltegy	Evalu	uation Tool
			No [Data S	Submitted				

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
			There will be a	There will be a 2% increase in students scoring Levels 4 & 5 on the 2013 FCAT.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
48%(238)		50%(248).	50%(248).		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Providing varied enriched opportunities to infuse technology with curriculum.	Utilization of targeted technology programs and implimentation of iPad Learning Lab.	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Lesson Plans, Data Chat Record Log, Walkthroughs, Formal/Informal iObservations	FCAT 2013.	
2	Student interest in reading has decreased	Incentives such as certificates, recognition within the classroom and school wide from Reading Counts and Ticket to Read.	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Lesson Plans, Data Chat Record Log, Walkthroughs, Formal/Informal iObservations	FCAT 2013	
	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
2b. F	lorida Alternate Assessn	nent:				

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the following the following the following the same of th	student achievement data, and Ilowing group:	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:			All students were administered the FCAT 2.0			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Ехр	ected Level of Performa	ince:	
0			0			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	85% of all students tested will make learning gains on FCAT				
Reading Goal #3a:	2013.				

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
70%(347)of students made learning gains in reading on the 2012 FCAT.			1 /	85%(421) of students will make learning gains in the area of reading on the 2013 FCAT.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Aligning student data for effective implementation of differentiated instruction.	<u> </u>	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Lesson Plans, Data Chat Record Log, Walkthroughs, Formal/Informal iObservations	FCAT 2013	
2	Students who struggle with proficiency in reading are limited to exposure of literary technology programs.	Computer Labs will be utilized before and after school to target struggling readers. School wide incentives and recognition will promote attendance.	Principal Assistant Principal	Student Usage Data Reports	FCAT 2013	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. All students were administered the FCAT 2.0 Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	80%(99)of the lowest 25th percentile will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
70%(86) of the lowest 25th percentile made learning gains on the 2012 FCAT.	80%(99)of the lowest 25th percentile will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT.
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1 3	Tutorial services will be provided for the students indentified in the lowest 25th percentile	Assistant Principal	and student attendance records	Monitor tutorial lesson plans, analyze district diagnostic results and FCAT 2013

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

Reading Goal #

5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.				In six y 50%.		Citrus Cove	will	reduce our ach	niev	rement gap by
	ine data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-2015		2015-2016		2016-2017
		69	72	75		77		80		
		analysis of student for the follow			eferer	nce to "Guiding	J Ques	tions", identify ar	nd d	define areas in need
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:					86% (260) Black and Hispanic students will achieve proficiency on the 2013 FCAT.					
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	ormance:		2	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		ack Students a t on the 2012		Hispanic studen	ts s	86% (260) of Black and Hispanic students: 86% (113)Black students and 86% (147)Hispanic students will achieve proficiency on the 2013 FCAT.				
			Problem-Sol	ving Process	to Ind	crease Studer	nt Ach	nievement		
	Antic	ipated Barriei	- St	rategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1		roups are y not achieving ncy	participation activities v		Princ Assis	ipal tant Principal	Parer Shee	nt/Student Sign Ir ts	n	FCAT 2013

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in reading.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Reading Goal #5C:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	l e	Utilize CLFs to for translation of written and verbal communication to families.	ESOL Teachers	Parent/Student Participation and Sign In Sheets SEQ Parent Survey	FCAT 2013			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
			` '	86%(69) of Students With Disabilities will score proficient in reading on 2013 FCAT.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:		
54%(43)students with Disabilities scored proficient on the 2012 FCAT.				86%(69)of Students With Disabilities will score proficient in reading on 2013 FCAT.		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Teachers do not utilize student data effectively for differentiating instruction.	Provide professional development on effective inclusion practices and utilization of data to determine best practices.	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Classroom Walkthroughs,LTM Agenda & Notes, Informal Observations, and Formal iObservations,	FCAT 2013	

	d on the analysis of studer aprovement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in nee	
satis	Economically Disadvanta sfactory progress in read ding Goal #5E:	ged students not making ing.	86% (206) of E	86% (206) of Economically Disadvantage students will be score level 3 or higher on FCAT 2013.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfor	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
67%	(160) of students were pro	oficient on the 2012 FCAT.		86%(206)of Economically Disadvantage students will be score level 3 or higher on FCAT 2013.		
	Р	roblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students do not spend adequate amounts of time engaged in independent reading.	Students have access to media center and computer labs before school hours to engage in independent reading.	Assistant Principal Media Specialist	Media circulation logs and computer lab attendance records.	FCAT 2013.	
2	Students do not have access to resources to supplement needs.	Students will be provided after school tutorial with opportunities for small group instruction and technology enriched		Diagnostics, SRI Scores and RRR	FCAT 2013.	

lessons.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	rial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Utilization of on-line resources: purchase of school wide Ticket to Read Program	Ticket To Read Technology Program		\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$1,000.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

80% of the students who will take the CELLA Assessment will score proficient in listening/speaking portion of the assessment.

CELLA Goal #1:

2012	Current Percent of	of Stu	dents Proficient in liste	ening/speaking	g:		
	of the students who sment.	o took	the CELLA Assessment	scored proficien	cy in listeninç	g/speaking por	tion of the
		Prok	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stu	ıdent Achiev	ement	
	Anticipated Bar	rier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible f Monitoring	De [.] For Effect	ss Used to termine iveness of rategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Providing research based intervention instruction to mee needs of all English Language Learners	s and t the	Effective implementation of differentiated instruction supported by the Passport Series.	Principal Assistant Princi ESOL Teachers		ighs, Lesson servations and	FCAT 2013
Stude	nts read in English	at ora	ide level text in a manne	er similar to non-	-FII students	:	
	udents scoring pro						
	A Goal #2:		Ü	80% of ELL the 2013 CE		be proficient in	n reading based on
2012	Current Percent	of Stu	dents Proficient in rea	ding:			
36% (of students were pr		nt in reading based on the		udent Achiev	ement	
	Anticipated Bar	rier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible f Monitoring	De [.] For Effect	ss Used to termine iveness of rategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students do not span adequate amoutime independent reading.		Increase media center open hours and classroom library variety of literary texts	Principal Assistant Princi	Classroom pal Walkthrou Circulation	1	FCAT 2013
Stude	nts write in Fnalish	at dra	ade level in a manner sir	nilar to non-FLL	students		
	udents scoring pro					acces proficies	nt on the CELLA
CELL	A Goal #3:			2013	Students will	score proficie	nt on the CELLA
2012	Current Percent o	of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ting:			
25%	of the ELL Students	s were	proficient in writing bas	sed on the 2012	CELLA		
		Prok	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stu	ıdent Achiev	ement	
Antic	cipated Barrier	Strat	egy P R fc	esponsible E	Process Used Determine Effectiveness Strategy	Eva	luation Tool
			'	ata Submitted		1	

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. There will be an increase of 6% of students scoring Level 3 on FCAT 2013. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 80%(380) scored proficient on the 2012 FCAT. 86%(409) of students will score a level 3 on the 2013 FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Maintaining proficiency as Indepth differentiated Utilization of Data FCAT 2013 Principal rigor of curriculum instruction and use of Assistant Principal Binders, Data Chat intensifies. authentic assessments Classroom Records and LTM student while closely monitoring Teachers achievement analysis classroom performance. Technology is not utilized Implementation of Reflux Principal Utilization of Data FCAT 2013 Assistant Principal to support the Math Program school Binders, Data Chat mathematics curriculum. 2 wide with incentives to Classroom Records and LTM student support student Teachers achievement analysis academic progress.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. All students were administered the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0 0 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Responsible Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics.

There will be a 2% increase in students scoring Levels 4 & 5

Math	ematics Goal #2a:		on FCAT 2013.	on FCAT 2013.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
51%(242).			53%(253)of stu FCAT 2013.	53%(253)of students will increase in scoring Levels 4 & 5 on FCAT 2013.			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Providing varied enriched opportunities to infuse technology with curriculum.	Utilization of targeted technology programs and implimentation of iPad Learning Lab.	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Lesson Plans, Data Chat Record Log, Walkthroughs, Formal/Informal iObservations	FCAT 2013.		
2	Students lack comprehension and application of mathematics vocabulary	Implementation of school wide Math Journals to increase connections with math vocabulary and application of terms.	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Lesson Plans, Data Chat Record Log, Walkthroughs, Formal/Informal iObservations	FCAT 2013.		
	l on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
	lorida Alternate Assessm						
Stude	ents scoring at or above	Achievement Level 7 in					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:			All students were administered the FCAT 2.0			
2012 Current Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nce:	
0			0			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy						
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #3a:

There will be an increase of 22% in students making learning gains on FCAT 2013.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

86%(275) of students will increase in students making learning gains on FCAT 2013.

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Aligning student data for effective implementation of differentiated instruction.	closely analyze student	Classroom	Lesson Plans, Data Chat Record Log, Walkthroughs, Formal/Informal iObservations	FCAT 2013		
2	Parents lack resources to support their children in the home.	students and families to	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Lesson Plans, Data Chat Record Log, Walkthroughs, Formal/Informal iObservations	FCAT 2013		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. All students were administered the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Responsible Strategy Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. There will be an increase of 22% in Lowest 25% making learning gains on FCAT 2013. Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 86%(106)students will make learning gains in math on the 64%(79) of students scored proficient on the 2012 FCAT. 2013 FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Targeting the lowest Tutorial services will be Principal Analyze and review data Monitor tutorial provided for the students Assistant Principal analysis, walkthroughs 25th percentile and lesson plans,

1	providing resources to properly differentiate instruction and meet student needs.	indentified in the lowest 25th percentile		analyze district diagnostic results and FCAT 2013

Dasce	I OII AIIIL	ntious but Acri	CVabic Ailitaai	Wicasarabic Ob	jectiv	es (Alvios), Alv	10-2, 1	reading and water i	errormance rarger
Measu	urable Ob I will red	e Annual s). In six year evement gap			Mathematics G , Citrus Cov		l reduce our ach:	ievement gap	
l	Baseline data 2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2				4	2014-201	15	2015-2016	2016-2017
		71	73	76		79		81	
					efere	nce to "Guidino	g Ques	stions", identify and	define areas in need
of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:					36% of Black a he FCAT 2013		panic Students will	score proficiency on	
2012	Current	Level of Perf	formance:		2	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
`	104) blad (96) Hisp					86% (89) black students 86% (126)hispanic students			
			Problem-Sol	ving Process	toIn	crease Studei	nt Ach	nievement	
	Antio	cipated Barrie	r St	rategy		Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		roups are y not achievin ncy	g participation		Princ Assis	sipal stant Principal	Parer Shee	nt/Student Sign In ts	FCAT 2013

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		80% of FLL stud	80% of ELL students will meet proficiency on the 2013 FCAT		
Mathematics Goal #5C:					0070 OF EEE Stud
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
33%	of ELL Students were proficie	nt on FCAT 2012	80% of ELL stud	ents will meet proficienc	y on the 2013 FCA
33%			80% of ELL stud	·	y on the 2013 FCA

communication between the home and school. to be the home and school. to be translation of written and the home and school. to be translation of written and translation of written and translation to to translation to to translation to to translation to translation of written and translation of written and translation to translation		Provide effective	Utilize CLFs to for	Principal	Parent/Student	FCAT 2013
	1	communication between	translation of written and	Assistant Principal	Participation and Sign In	
families. SEQ Parent Survey	1	the home and school.	verbal communication to	ESOL Teachers	Sheets	
			families.		SEQ Parent Survey	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Eighty-six percent of students with disabilities with will make adequate yearly progress on FCAT 2013. Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 62%(48). 86%(56). Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Effective transition and Utilize student oriented Principal Lesson Plans, FCAT 2013. Assistant Principal Walkthrough, LTM Notes implementation of language, ICAN Common Core standards statements and anchor & iObservations charts.

	d on the analysis of studer approvement for the following	nt achievement data, and reg g subgroup:	eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
satis	conomically Disadvantag sfactory progress in mati nematics Goal E:	0		n 16% increase in Economion g AYP based on FCAT 2013	,
201	2 Current Level of Perfor	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
70%	(167)		86%(194)		
	Р	roblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students do not spend adequate amounts of time engaged in independent reading.	Students have access to media center and computer labs before school hours to engage in independent reading.	Assistant Principal Media Specialist	Media circulation logs and computer lab attendance records.	FCAT 2013.
2	Students do not have access to resources to supplement needs.	Students will be provided after school tutorial with opportunities for small group instruction and technology enriched lessons.	Principal Assistant Principal Media Specialist	Diagnostics, SRI Scores and RRR	FCAT 2013.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Γ	No Data Submitte	d		

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement darareas in need of improvement for the following groups		ference to "(Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievemer Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:	Th	There will be a 2% increase students achieving proficiency on FCAT 2013.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	20	13 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:
65%(93).	67	%(110).		
Problem-Solving Proce	ss to Incr	ease Stude	ent Achievement	
	Pe	erson or	Process Used to	

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Loss of science resource teacher.	responsible for planning		Lesson plans, science lab rotation schedule and science diagnostic testing Grades 3, 4, & 5.	FCAT 2013
2	Limited opportunities to build real world connections with science curriculum.	science based field trip	Classroom Teachers, Administration	Lesson plans and science diagnostic testing Grades 3, 4, & 5.	FCAT 2013

	f student achievement data ement for the following grou		reference	to "Guiding Questions",	, identify and define
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:			All students were administered the FCAT 2.0		
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforr	mance:
0			0		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data \$	Submitted		

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
Achi	CAT 2.0: Students sco evement Level 4 in sci nce Goal #2a:	O .		There will be a 2% increase in students achieving above proficiency (level 4 & 5) on FCAT 2013.			
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:		
27%(39).			29%(48).	29%(48).			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Providing science enrichment opportunities after school hours.	Utilize The Science Education through Communication, Mathematics and Engineering (SECME) Program to provide fifth grade students	Administration & SECME Chairperson	District SECME Olympiad results, Grade 5 science diagnostic results, and benchmark assessments.	FCAT 2013		

	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define reas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:			All students were administered the FCAT 2.0			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
0			0			
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

with instruction after

school.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ŋ	No Data Submitte	d		

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Students will attend science based field trip that focuses on science application in real world experience.	Utilization of local communities that offer real world science experiences.	School Improvement & District Funds.	\$500.00
		Subto	otal: \$500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Su	btotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			, , ,			
	I on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, ar e following group:	nd reference to "Gu	ilding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
3.0 aı	CAT 2.0: Students scor nd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a:	ing at Achievement Le	91% percent w	91% percent will achieve Adequate Yearly Progress in writing on FCAT 2013.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	e:	
90%(149)		91% (158)			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Comprehension-lack of understanding of writing prompt.	Scheduling extended writing period for fourth grades.	Fourth Grade Writing Teachers	Palm Beach Writes	FCAT 2013	
2	Mechanics and Conventions will be equally evaluated relative to previous paramaters of assessment.	Rigorous practice of F will be mechanics and V luated conventions.		Palm Beach Writes	FCAT 2013	
3	A percentage of students do not complete the assessment within the alloted time.	School wide participation in "Citrus Cove Writes" the first day of the Month.	Principal Assistant Principal Classroom Teachers	Palm Beach Writes, inclass assessments.	FCAT 2013	
4	Inadequate scoring by untrained staff.	Have fourth grade teachers attend scoring seminars.	Fourth Grade Teachers	Citrus Cove Writes	Citrus Cove Writes EDW	
5	Inadequate collection of student data and specific feedback for improvement.	Write Score LLC	Principal Assistant Principal Fourth Grade teachers	Citrus Cove Writes Palm Beach Writes Write Score prompts	Write Score prompts and feedback	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.

All students were administered the FCAT 2.0 Writing

Writing Goal #1b:						
2012 Current Level o	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
0			0			
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi: Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
	No Data Submitted							

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Use a Write Score company to provide detailed feedback and compare to teachers scoring	Write Score LLC	School Improvement Money	\$1,500.00
		Subto	tal: \$1,500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
·		S	ubtotal: \$0.00
		Grand To	tal: \$1,500.00

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1	d on the analysis of atte	ndance data, and referer	nce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and de	fine areas in need	
	Attendance Goal #1:			Citrus Cove will lower its average daily absentee rate from 4% to 3.5% or a total of 34 students for school year FY13. Number of tardies will decrease by 1% in school year FY13.		
2012	! Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:		
	percent of students abse udents each day.	nt on average was 4% o		The percent of students absent on average will be 3.5% or 35 students.		
	Current Number of St nces (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	s with Excessive	
5.2% or (48)			4.3% or (40).	4.3% or (40).		
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
15.49	% or (142).		13% or (129).	13% or (129).		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Student Health.	Committ to Be Fit program for Grades 3-5 and the statewide mandate of 30 minutes of physical education per day for al students.	Attendance Clerk, Assistant Principal, Principal	Daily Attendance	FCAT 2013.	
2	Parental Cooperation	Communication from school to home, ie., letters, phone calls, conferences.	Attendance Clerk, Classroom Teachers & Administration	Daily Attendance	FCAT 2013.	
3	District transportation issues.	Administration will stay in close contact with bus compound to help resolve issues.	Administration.	Daily bus arrival log.	FCAT 2013.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	arri(s)/iviateriar(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	The number of in In-School supensions will drop to 1 and remain at less than 1>% of student population. The number of Out-of-School suspensions will drop to 2 or >1% of the student population.
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
>1% (3)	>1% (1)
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School
>1% (3)	>1% (1)
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions

>1%	or (5)		>1% or (2)	>1% or (2)			
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude ol	ents Suspended Out-of-	2013 Expecte of-School	d Number of Students	Suspended Out-		
>1%	(2)		>1% (1)	>1% (1)			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Student decision making regarding personal conduct.	School Wide Positive Behavior Plan.	School Wide Positive Behavior Plan Team.	Number of students earning tickets and rewards, number of referrals and suspensions.	Percentage of students attending Positive Panther Reward Parties and District data for suspensions.		
2	Students including kindergarten and transfers new to the school who do not know current behavior plan.	All classes tour the school during first two weeks of school and teachers model behavioral expectations based on School Wide Positive Behavior Plan.	Classroom teacher, School Wide Behavior Plan Team.	Rewards and consequences as documented by teacher on the monthly tally sheets.	Percentage of students attending Positive Panther Reward Parties.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.				At least one parent of fifty percent of all students will attend curriculum night.			
2012	? Current Level of Parer	nt Involvement:		2013 Expecte	d Level of Parent Invol	vement:	
25(2.5%)				498(50%) This number represents the amount of students who have a least one parent attend curriculum night.			
	Problem-Solving Process to I				ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Family availbility and time constraints relative to the provided yearly schedule of acitivites.	By doing a yearly schedule parents are afforded the opportunity to attend multiple events.	and	ministration d scheudling rsonell.	Parent Survey.	Sign In Sheets.	
2	Need for better understanding of science curriculum and methods to reinforce standards in the home.	All parents are encouraged to attend Curriculum Night.	Теа	nssroom achers, ministration	FCAT 2013	Sign In Sheets.	
3	Lack of opportunities to support science curriculum in the home.	where students and	Теа	assroom achers, ministration	Analysis of attendance Parent Survey	Sign In Sheets Science Diagostic & FCAT results of Grades 3, 4, & 5.	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. STEM						
STEM Goal #1:						
	Problem-Solving	Process to Ir	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based F	Program(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Utilization of on-line resources: purchase of school wide Ticket to Read Program	Ticket To Read Technology Program		\$1,000.00
Science	Students will attend science based field trip that focuses on science application in real world experience.	Utilization of local communities that offer real world science experiences.	School Improvement & District Funds.	\$500.00
Writing	Use a Write Score company to provide detailed feedback and compare to teachers scoring	Write Score LLC	School Improvement Money	\$1,500.00
				Subtotal: \$3,000.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Deve	elopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$3,000.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance



Are you a reward school: in Yes in No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 11/7/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

	Describe projected use of SAC funds	Amount	t
o data subm	nitted		
ibe the act	ivities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year		

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Palm Beach School Dis CITRUS COVE ELEMEN 2010-2011		OL				
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	83%	82%	90%	65%	320	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	69%	64%			133	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	67% (YES)	64% (YES)			131	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					584	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Palm Beach School Dis CITRUS COVE ELEMEN 2009-2010		DL				
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	86%	85%	94%	69%	334	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	74%	64%			138	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	62% (YES)	52% (YES)			114	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					586	
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested