# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: THE QUEST CENTER

District Name: Broward

Principal: Leo Nesmith

SAC Chair: Michelle Laurent

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/23/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position  | Name        | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)   | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include<br>prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide<br>Assessment Achievement Levels,<br>Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and<br>AMO Progress along with the<br>associated school year)   |
|-----------|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal | Leo Nesmith | B.A. Special Education M.S. Special Education K-12 Educational Leadership Certification Specialist in School Leaders K-12 Currently enrolled in Educational Leadership Doctoral Program at Florida Atlantic University | 4                                     | 10                                   | Beginning 7th year as Principal, 3 years at Lauderhill Middle and beginning 4th year at The Quest Center. The Quest Center received an IMPROVING rating in reading (48), math (46), and overall based on results of the 2012 Florida Alternative Assessment. |
|           |             | B.A. in Special<br>Education<br>M.A. in<br>Educational   |                                       |                                      |  |

| Assis Principal | Valerie Scott | Leadership K-12 Specialist in Leadership K-12 Curriculum and Instruction Currently enrolled in Doctoral Program for Exceptional Student Education at Barry University | 2 | 8 | Served six years in the position of Assistant Principal at the district level. Presently, beginning second year as Assistant Principal at school level. The Quest Center received an IMPROVING rating in reading (48), math (46), and overall based on results of the 2012 Florida Alternative Assessment. |
|-----------------|---------------|---|---|---|--|
|-----------------|---------------|---|---|---|--|

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name  | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)   | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Instructional<br>Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| ESE          | Debra Kalitan<br>(Autism<br>Coach)                | B.A. in Special<br>Education for<br>Developmentally<br>Handicapped<br>M.A. in Special<br>Education<br>specializing in<br>SLD<br>Certifications:<br>VE, EH, SLD, &<br>ESOL endorsed | 25                                    | 10  | The Quest Center received an IMPROVING rating in reading (48), math (46), and overall based on results of the 2012 Florida Alternative Assessment.  |
| ESE          | Michelle<br>Laurent<br>(Behavioral<br>Specialist) | B.A in Mental Retardation Education M.A. in Special Education with Reading Endorsement Currently enrolled in Specialist Program for Educational Leadership                         | 3                                     | 3   | The Quest Center received an IMPROVING rating in reading (48), math (46), and overall based on results of the 2012 Florida Alternative Assessment.  |

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|   | Description of Strategy   | Person<br>Responsible                  | Projected<br>Completion<br>Date | Not Applicable (If not, please<br>explain why) |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Professional Learning Communities - Teachers receive consultation through instructional coaching in scheduling, teaching skills relevant to independent functioning and problem solving.  | Leadership<br>Team                     | June 2013                       |  |
| 2 | Teacher Mentoring Program - Teachers receive in- class support and consultation through instructional coaching and the NESS process.  | Leadership<br>Team                     | Continuous<br>Process           |  |
| 3 | Press Release/Grants/Awards/Recognition – Teachers will be acknowledged through various school wide recognition initiatives.  | Leadership<br>Team                     | Continuous<br>Process           |  |
| 4 | IEP Development - Teachers receive support and consultation in IEP development and data collection as well as the implementation of benchmark assessments through instructional coaching. | ESE Specialist /<br>Leadership<br>Team | Continuous<br>Process           |  |
| 5 | Marzano Framework – Teachers will receive feedback and support to encourage continuous growth and improvement.  | Administration                         | Continuous<br>Process           |  |
| 6 | Behavior Support - Teachers receive in-class support for<br>intensive behavior concerns through research based<br>strategies and collaboration.   | Behavior<br>Support Team               | Continuous<br>Process           |  |

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an

effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| 0   | N/A   |

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number<br>of<br>Instructional<br>Staff | % of<br>First-Year<br>Teachers |         | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 6-14<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 15+<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with<br>Advanced<br>Degrees | % Highly<br>Effective<br>Teachers |         | % National<br>Board<br>Certified<br>Teachers | % ESOL<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|---------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------|
| 31   | 9.7%(3)                        | 6.5%(2) | 29.0%(9)  | 54.8%(17)  | 51.6%(16)                                       | 100.0%(31)                        | 3.2%(1) | 9.7%(3)                                      | 35.5%(11)                      |

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name      | Mentee<br>Assigned | Rationale<br>for Pairing  | Planned Mentoring<br>Activities  |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| Michelle Laurent | Deanna<br>Nieves   | New Teacher   | Classroom Management<br>Curriculum Planning<br>Data Collection<br>Data Chats<br>Best Practices |
| Marlene Louis    | Ellen Kay          | Limited<br>experience/skills<br>in grade level<br>and student<br>population | Classroom Management<br>Curriculum Planning<br>Data Collection<br>Data Chats<br>Best Practices |
| Nancy Tomlian    | Kristen Max        | New Teacher   | Classroom Management<br>Curriculum Planning<br>Data Collection<br>Data Chats<br>Best Practices |
| Pattie Mckenzie  | Tina Toller        | New Teacher   | Classroom Management<br>Curriculum Planning<br>Data Collection<br>Data Chats<br>Best Practices |
| Debra Kalitan    | Carla Todd         | New to the school   | Classroom Management<br>Curriculum Planning<br>Data Collection<br>Data Chats<br>Best Practices |

#### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

| Title I, Part C- Migrant                |  |
|---|--|
| N/A                                     |  |
| Title I, Part D                         |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Title II                                |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Title III                               |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Title X- Homeless                       |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Violence Prevention Programs            |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Nutrition Programs                      |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Housing Programs                        |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Head Start                              |  |
| 1                                       |  |
| Adult Education                         |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Career and Technical Education          |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Job Training                            |  |
| N/A                                     |  |
| Other                                   |  |
| N/A                                     |  |

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal – Leo Nesmith, Assistant Principal – Valerie Scott, ESE Specialists – John Vezza & Kia Shinhoster, Autism Coach – Debra Kalitan, Teacher of Behavior Support – Michelle Laurent, Speech Therapists, Occupational & Physical Therapists and Team Leaders.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Biweekly meetings are held to discuss district concerns, students' needs, and school-based issues. Universal data monitoring for teachers, school-wide issues, crisis management, and Positive Behavior Support are topics of discussion. Team members discuss student progress based on data collected from Unique Curriculum and progress monitoring of Individual Education Plan (IEP) goals and Positive Behavior Intervention Plans (PBIPS). Strategies are discussed to more effectively meet the

needs of students. The information and strategies are disseminated to the School Advisory Committee (SAC) for monitoring and alignment of school goals. Benchmark Assessments and FAA results are managed through the Filemaker Pro Management System. Teachers and classroom support staff, collect Tier I data on effectiveness of interventions (instruction) for each student within each classroom. Data chats are utilized in Tier II to collaborate and address on going behavior and academic concerns of identified students and to make decisions to increase classroom support. In Tier III, students' individualized Positive Behavior Intervention Plans, academic and (IEP) concerns are addressed through corrective action by way of interim IEP meetings to address specific student needs and to provide additional supports to students and teachers. Data is closely monitored at each Tier to assess students and their success. Team members also collaborate and analyze composite data sets for individual students that demonstrate potential and readiness for change of placement back into the least restrictive environment. Students eligible for re-integration to a least restrictive environment will participate in a level system targeting prerequisites for success. In regards to training, requests will be made to District Personnel to conduct RTI follow-up activities to ensure staff has a clear understanding of expectations and that all process(es) are implemented with fidelity.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team meets with the School Advisory Council and Principal to help develop and approve the School Improvement Plan goals. The RtI process is continuously discussed and reviewed with staff. Staff members are provided with Biweekly Professional Learning Communities (PLC), Best Practices, Staff Development and monthly Team Meetings to promote implementation of effective school improvement strategies.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Reading, Writing, Mathematics, and Science data are collected through the Unique Curriculum Pre and Post monthly test, daily IEP goal tracking, and Classroom Behavior tracking forms. Classroom scores are sent monthly to team leaders and submitted to the administrative team for review and later discussion. Teachers and support-staff collect data to revise and implement needed academic and behavioral strategies for individual students. The Filemaker Pro Database is used to collaborate and communicate the function and concerns discussed during regularly scheduled PLC meetings and Team meetings.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Teachers will participate in a training to discuss and review RtI at The Quest Center during Pre-planning. As the year progresses, during monthly Team Meetings team leaders will review and discuss concerns and implementation of the process. When students are considered for re-integration to a least restrictive environment, District Personnel will be contacted and at least two collaboration meetings will occur with one of the meetings involving the receiving school. Data from the school based level system will be reviewed in addition to effective strategies enabling the students' success.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

MTSS members will have weekly meetings with the Administrative Team to discuss and review school-wide expectations, concerns and processes. Individual members will complete Team Assessment Inventories. Results from the inventory will guide the Administrative Team in supporting the MTSS.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal – Leo Nesmith, Assistant Principal – Valerie Scott, ESE Specialists – John Vezza & Kia Shinhoster, Autism Coach – Debra Kalitan, Teacher of Behavior Support & SAC Co-Chair – Michelle Laurent and Team Leaders – Deeana Pomales (Pre-K Teacher & SAC Co-Chair) & Pattie McKenzie (ESE Teacher).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team meets monthly to discuss and develop school-wide plans and processes for curriculum, high quality instruction and the Marzano observation / evaluation process, continuous improvement and staff development, and

effective communication to include interaction with parents and community partners.

The Master Schedule is discussed and revised as needed to maximize direct instructional time, therapeutic interventions, physical education, fine arts and quality of life needs. The Instructional Coaches work closely with the Speech/Language pathologists to make sure that each student has a consistently used and functional communication system that they can use to express their wants and needs, and use as a means to access literature. They also work closely with our behavior support team to ensure that behavior intervention plans are implemented with fidelity so that quality instructional time is increased. All team members assist in the review of weekly student data to determine which students require additional support.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Literacy Leadership Team will work collaboratively to analyze and evaluate student data daily and determine which students require either an increased or decreased level of support. Monitoring of data will include frequent classroom walk-throughs as one of the most essential elements of this improvement model. Each student at The Quest Center in grades K-11 is working several times a day in Direct and Small Group Instruction focusing on Access Points to Sunshine State Standards in Reading and Writing (and also Math and Science) at their Cognitive Level. Access Points are Curriculum Mapped annually and each teacher documents the Access Points taught for the week in their plan books. Additionally, each student in grades 3-11 is participating in the Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA). Another initiative for this school year is the continued development of the Media Center to provide students with disabilities access to literature utilizing a multiple media approach in efforts to provide modified content with accommodations necessary for each individual to actively engage in reading and writing within the limitations of their cognitive ability. Information regarding Reading activities and progress monitoring will be shared with teachers through faculty meetings, team meetings, data chats, classroom reviews and literacy team meeting notes shared during team meetings.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

The Quest Center offers the following Pre-School Programs: Three Specialized Instruction Pre-School classes and five Intensive Instruction Pre-School classes. These programs utilize the Letter People and Strategies for Teaching based on Autism Research (STAR) curriculums. Handwriting Without Tears is a supplemental instructional tool used for Pre-school classes at The Quest Center. Pre-K teachers hold valid certifications in both ESE and Pre-Kindergarten. Disaggregated data is used to determine kindergarten placement and program eligibility.

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The Quest Center utilizes data from formal and informal assessments along with parental input to determine each student's respective present level of performance in reading. Faculty and staff are trained to utilize the Unique program as a supplement coupled with Meville to Weville Program, Edmark Reading Program, and Strategies for Teaching based on Autism Research when applicable. Speech/Language Pathologists will work with classroom teachers to ensure that each student is being presented information in the specific way that they learn best and that each student has access to an appropriately developed communication system that they can understand and use effectively. Reading activities for each student will be planned around the use of the communication system to make sure that instruction is appropriately accessible and appropriately paced.

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Students at The Quest Center require careful planning of their schedules to make sure that they are taking courses that will lead to having the right number of credits for graduation with their cohort. Support Staff have developed a carefully crafted suggested Program of Study to assure that appropriate course decisions are made on behalf of students who, due to a disability, are unable to plan their own educational schedules. Schedules will be assigned to each student at the beginning of

the school year. Students entering school after the start of the school year will receive a review of course records. The Assistant Principal will develop corrective course schedules to ensure students are eligible for graduation with their cohort. Progress of each student will be monitored through course schedules and school credit reports from TERMS.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

All students' course of study is evaluated on an individual basis. The vast majority of students are placed on a track and academic programming that is designed to increase their independent living/functional skills, as most will require adult-supported living upon graduation. Support Staff have developed a carefully crafted suggested Program of Study to assure that appropriate course decision are made on behalf of students who, due to a disability, are unable to plan their own educational schedules. Due to the nature of their disabilities, students at The Quest Center are all pursuing a Special Diploma, Option One. Once students have enough credits to graduate, they are invited to return for FAPE in our transition PASS Program, where they are given the opportunity to pursue activities within five separate curriculum bands. Data is collected regarding which activities the students prefer as well as which ones they have an affinity for. When a student begins to develop a pattern of activities that suggest a preferred curriculum band, then the student is given additional activities and instruction in that area in addition to the behavioral, pacing, and instructional support necessary for their continued success.

#### Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

At The Quest Center, job skills are taught through the PASS program paired with information gathered from the IEP process based on each student's present level of performance. Parental input is sought and instrumental in the development of strategies/interventions/programs that will be employed to increase independence of daily living skills for all students as applicable to their individual needs.

For the students at The Quest Center, Post-secondary Transition is part of the IEP Process. Starting at age 14, members of the IEP committee begin to identify Post-secondary goals for each student in the areas of Education, Employment, and Independent Living. The student's IEP is then designed to help each student make reasonable progress toward mastery of those goals.

In High School, targeted students are also selected for On the Job Training (OJT) opportunities, as well as Community-Based Instruction (CBI), in order to maximize their potential as members of the community.

## PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## Reading Goals

| ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need<br>f improvement for the following group: |   |          |   |                         |                 |  |
|---|---|----------|---|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students s<br>reading.<br>Reading Goal #1a:  | coring at Achievement Lev                 | vel 3 in | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |                         |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Po  | erformance:                               |          | 2013 Exp  | ected Level of Performa | ince:           |  |
| N/A   |   |          | N/A   |                         |                 |  |
|   | Problem-Solving Proce                     | ess to L | ncrease St  | udent Achievement       |                 |  |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Posi<br>ated Barrier Strategy Resk<br>for |          | Determine   |                         | Evaluation Tool |  |
|   | No Data Submitted                         |          |   |                         |                 |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.<br>Reading Goal #1b:   | By June 2013, 23.5% (10) of all students taking the FAA will score at Levels, 4, 5, & 6 in reading. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| By June 2013, 23.5% (10) of all students taking the FAA will score at Levels, 4, 5, & 6 in reading.  | 23.5%(10)   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |

## Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| _ |   |   |  |   |                                |
|---|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------|
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy        | Evaluation Tool                |
| 1 | Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning | individualized instruction<br>based on learning needs<br>to increase acquisition of | Coaches<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team                 | portfolios. Documentation of specific reading access points will be | based on rubric established by |
|   | The Quest Center's  | All students will continue  | Instructional  | Copies and/or samples of  | Graded product                 |

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 2 | Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and | acquisition of pre-reading<br>skills according to their<br>ability level as | Literacy Leadership<br>Team | portfolios. Documentation of specific reading access points will be within teachers' lesson | established by  |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 3 | curriculum, strategies<br>and best practices for<br>students with profound<br>cognitive impairments.                               |   | Coaches<br>Administration   | practice assessments.<br>Analyze on site progress<br>monitoring data through                | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

|  | on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following         |  | eference to "Guiding   | Questions", identify and o   | define areas in need |
|--|--|--|--|--|----------------------|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in<br>reading. |  |  |  | 28% (12) of all students ta<br>ve Level 7 in reading.                    | king the FAA will    |
| Read   | ing Goal #2b:  |  |  |  |                      |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |  |  | 2013 Expected  | Level of Performance:  |                      |
| 25% (  | (11)   |  | 28% (12)   |  |                      |
|  | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t  | to Increase Studer   | nt Achievement   |                      |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy R   |  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring         | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool  |                      |
|  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant | Teachers will provide students with individualized instruction | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Literacy Leadership              | Copies and/or samples of graded student products will be kept in student | · ·                  |

| 1 | Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.  | based on learning needs to increase acquisition of targeted reading skills. Resources to assist with this will include (as applicable) adaptive reading books including talking pen, iPad storybooks and magazines. |                     | access points will be within teachers' lesson   | teachers<br>Florida Alternate<br>Assessment<br>Concepts of Print<br>Checklist               |
|---|--|---|---------------------|---|---|
| 2 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their  | Coaches             | portfolios. Documentation of specific reading access points will be within teachers' lesson | based on rubric established by  |
| 3 | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.   |   | Literacy Leadership |   | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning By June 2011, 35% of all students in Grades 3-10 scoring at gains in reading. the Emergent Level (Levels 1, 2, and 3) on the 2010 Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) will improve one proficiency level Reading Goal #3a: on the 2011 FAA. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Level 1 - 16 (30%) Level 2 - 22 (42%) 35% (5) Level 3 - 10 (19%) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:   | By June 2013, 50.5% (20) of all students taking the FAA will make a learning gain in Reading. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47.5% (19)   | 50.5% (20)  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | individualized instruction<br>based on learning needs   | Team   | graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation  | Graded product<br>based on rubric<br>established by<br>teachers<br>Florida Alternate<br>Assessment<br>Concepts of Print<br>Checklist |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their  | Coaches<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team                 | graded student products  | Graded product<br>based on rubric<br>established by<br>teachers<br>Florida Alternate<br>Assessment<br>Concepts of Print<br>Checklist |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.   | Provide monthly on site staff development and coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Coaches<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team                 | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring data through data chats between teachers. | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments  |  |  |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                        |         |  |  |                        |  |  |
|--|------------------------|---------|--|--|------------------------|--|--|
|  |                        |         |  | unique student populatio<br>to our school. | n, this section is not |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of P  | erformance:            |         | 2013 Exp   | ected Level of Performa                    | nce:                   |  |  |
| N/A  |                        |         | N/A  |  |                        |  |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease St   | rudent Achievement                         |                        |  |  |
| for  |                        |         | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                            |                        |  |  |
|  | No Data Submitted      |         |  |  |                        |  |  |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap

Reading Goal #

By 2016-2017, the reading achievement gap will be reduced by 33.5% in students taking the FAA.

| by 50%. |  |  |   |  | 7              |                       |  |  |   |
|---------|--|--|---|--|----------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|
|         | ine data<br>D-2011   | 2011-2012  | 2012-2013   | 2013-2014  | 4              | 2014-201              | 5  | 2015-2016  | 2016-2017   |
|         |  | 22   | 29  | 29   |                | 36                    |  | 43   |   |
|         |  | analysis of stud   |   |  | eferen         | ce to "Guiding        | Questi   | ons", identify and o   | define areas in need  |
| Hispa   | ınic, Asia   | subgroups by ean, American I<br>progress in rea  | ndian) not m  |  |                |                       |  |  |   |
| Read    | ing Goal   | #5B:   |   |  |                |                       |  |  |   |
| 2012    | Current  | Level of Perfo   | rmance:   |  | 20             | 013 Expected          | d Level  | of Performance:  |   |
|         |  |  |   |  |                |                       |  |  |   |
|         |  |  | Problem-Sol   | ving Process t   | to I nc        | rease Studer          | nt Achie   | evement  |   |
|         | Antic  | ipated Barrier   | St  | rategy   | ategy Position |                       |  | ocess Used to<br>Determine<br>Tectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1       | students<br>having S<br>Cognitiv<br>that inh<br>make sig<br>gains, re<br>ethnicity<br>allowabl | est Center's are identified Significant e Impairments ibit their ability gnificant learning egardless of y, even with e course addations and ations. | as students windividualize based on leto to increase targeted received this will incapplicable) | zed instruction<br>earning needs<br>e acquisition of<br>eading skills.<br>to assist with<br>clude (as<br>adaptive<br>oks including<br>n, iPad<br>and | Coacl          | acy Leadership        | graded<br>will be<br>portfoli<br>of spec<br>access | and/or samples of<br>student products<br>kept in student<br>os. Documentation<br>dific reading<br>points will be<br>teachers' lesson | based on rubric established by  |
| 2       | students<br>having S<br>Cognitiv<br>that inh<br>make sig<br>gains, re<br>ethnicity<br>allowabl | est Center's are identified Significant e Impairments ibit their ability gnificant learning egardless of y, even with e course addations and ations. | All student as to demons acquisition skills accor to ability leve g demonstra score on co       | s will continue trate of pre-reading ding to their as ted by their omprehension related to ries in Unique  | Coacl          | hes<br>acy Leadership | graded<br>will be<br>portfoli<br>of spec<br>access | and/or samples of<br>student products<br>kept in student<br>os. Documentation<br>lific reading<br>points will be<br>teachers' lesson | based on rubric established by  |
| 3       | curriculu<br>and bes<br>students   | knowledge of<br>um, strategies<br>t practices for<br>s with profound<br>e impairments.   | staff devel<br>coaching d<br>collaborati<br>strategies<br>practices f                           | or students<br>und cognitive   | Coacl          | acy Leadership        | practic<br>Analyze<br>monito<br>data ch<br>teache  | e results of FAA<br>e assessments.<br>e on site progress<br>ring data through<br>nats between<br>rs, support staff,<br>ministration. | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |
|         |  |  |   |  |                |                       |  |  |   |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

By June 2013, the % of ELL students not making satisfactory progress in reading will decrease by at least 3%.

Reading Goal #5C:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |   | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 100%                               | 100%(2)  |   |  |   |  |  |
|                                    | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Studen                                      | t Achievement   |  |  |
|                                    | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |  |
| 1                                  |  |   | Literacy Leadership<br>Team                            | Copies and/or samples of graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific reading access points will be within teachers' lesson plans. | based on rubric<br>established by  |  |
| 2                                  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, regardless of ELL status, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their  | Coaches<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team                 | graded student products   | Graded product<br>based on rubric<br>established by<br>teachers<br>Florida Alternate<br>Assessment<br>Concepts of Print<br>Checklist |  |
| 3                                  | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.   | Provide monthly on site staff development and coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Team   | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring data through data chats between teachers, support staff, and administration.               | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments  |  |

|       | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |                         |  |  |                                |  |  |  |
|-------|---|-------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| satis | 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5D:  |                         |  | By June 2013, the % of SWD not making satisfactory progress in reading will decrease by at least 3%.                   |                                |  |  |  |
| 2012  | Current Level of Perform  | nance:                  | 2013 Expected  | Level of Performance:  |                                |  |  |  |
| 54.5% | 54.5%(24)   |                         |  | 50%(22)  |                                |  |  |  |
|       | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement   |                                |  |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier Strategy R  |                         | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool                |  |  |  |
|       | students are identified as<br>having Significant<br>Cognitive Impairments   |                         | Team   | Copies and/or samples of graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific reading | based on rubric established by |  |  |  |

| 1 | make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.  | targeted reading skills. Resources to assist with this will include (as applicable) adaptive reading books including talking pen, iPad storybooks and magazines.              |  |   | Assessment<br>Concepts of Print<br>Checklist  |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 2 | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.   | Provide monthly on site staff development and coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Coaches<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team | will be kept in student<br>portfolios. Documentation<br>of specific reading<br>access points will be<br>within teachers' lesson | based on rubric<br>established by   |
| 3 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | acquisition of pre-reading<br>skills according to their<br>ability level as   | Coaches<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team | practice assessments.<br>Analyze on site progress<br>monitoring data through  | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

|   | on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following   |  | eference to "Guiding                                   | Questions", identify and c  | define areas in need   |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E: |  |  |  | he % of Economically Disa<br>sfactory progress in readin  |  |
| 2012  | Current Level of Perforn   | nance:   | 2013 Expected  | Level of Performance:   |  |
| 48.1%   | 6(13)  |  | 44.4%(12)  |   |  |
|   | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t  | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement  |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1   | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | Teachers will provide students with individualized instruction based on learning needs to increase acquisition of targeted reading skills. Resources to assist with this will include (as applicable) adaptive reading books including talking pen, iPad storybooks and magazines. | Team   | Copies and/or samples of graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific reading access points will be within teachers' lesson plans. | based on rubric<br>established by  |
| 2   | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | acquisition of pre-reading skills according to their   | Coaches  | graded student products   | Graded product<br>based on rubric<br>established by<br>teachers<br>Florida Alternate<br>Assessment<br>Concepts of Print<br>Checklist |
|   | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies  | Provide monthly on site staff development and  | Instructional<br>Coaches                               | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments.  | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress  |

|  | students with profound cognitive impairments | coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Team | monitoring data through |  |
|--|--|---|------|-------------------------|--|
|--|--|---|------|-------------------------|--|

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus  |      | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC, subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring                          | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------|--|---|--|---|---|
| PLC Positive Behavior Intervention Plan- Classroom Implementation during Reading Instruction | K-12 | PLC Leaders                            | School-wide   | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                        |
| PLC InD<br>Centers<br>Standards in<br>Reading  | K-12 | PLC Leaders                            | School-wide   | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                        |
| PLC Autism<br>Cluster<br>Standards in<br>Reading   | K-12 | PLC Leaders                            | School-wide   | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                        |

#### Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/N | Material(s)                    |                |                       |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy                    | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| Unique Learning Systems     | Web-Based Curriculum Materials | SAC            | \$350.00              |
|                             |                                |                | Subtotal: \$350.00    |
| Technology                  |                                |                |                       |
| Strategy                    | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| No Data                     | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00                |
|                             |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
| Professional Development    |                                |                |                       |
| Strategy                    | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| No Data                     | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00                |
|                             |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
| Other                       |                                |                |                       |
| Strategy                    | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| No Data                     | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00                |
|                             |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
|                             |                                |                | Grand Total: \$350.00 |

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.                |                        |                 |   |  |  |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|
| Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.  CELLA Goal #1:   |                        | Due to ou       | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:   |                        |                 |   |  |  |
| N/A  | N/A                    |                 |   |  |  |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces | s to Increase S | tudent Achievement  |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy |                        |                 |   |  |  |
|  | No                     | Data Submitted  |   |  |  |
|  |                        |                 |   |  |  |

| Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.  |                        |                 |   |                 |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2:  |                        |                 | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |                 |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:  |                        |                 |   |                 |
| N/A  | N/A                    |                 |   |                 |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces | s to Increase S | tudent Achievement  |                 |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy |                        |                 |   | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted  |                        |                 |   |                 |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing.  | Due to our unique student population, this section is not                           |  |  |  |
|   | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing                            | :   |  |  |  |
| N/A   |   |  |  |  |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |   |  |                 |  |
|---|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
| No Data Submitted                                       |          |   |  |                 |  |

## CELLA Budget:

| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

## Elementary School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo  |                 | ata, and refer   | ence to "G | Guiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need |
|---|-----------------|--|------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #1a: |                 | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.        |            |                           |                              |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |                 |  | 2013 Exp   | pected Level of Perfori   | mance:                       |
| N/A   |                 | N/A  |            |                           |                              |
|   | Problem-Solving | Process to I   | ncrease S  | tudent Achievement        |                              |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp. for  |                 | oon or tion Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool |            | Evaluation Tool           |                              |
|   |                 | No Data S  | Submitted  |                           | ,                            |

|  | I on the analysis of studen provement for the following  | t achievement data, and re                | eference to "Guiding                                   | Questions", identify and o  | define areas in need                                   |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.<br>Mathematics Goal #1b: |  |   | by Julie 2013, .                                       | 42.8% of elementary stude<br>vels 4, 5, & 6 in Math.  | ents taking the FAA                                    |  |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perforn   | nance:                                    | 2013 Expected  | d Level of Performance:   |  |  |
| 28.5% (2)  |  |   | 42.8%(3)   | 42.8%(3)  |  |  |
|  | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t                   | o Increase Studer                                      | nt Achievement  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                                  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |  |
| 1  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | and sequencing in a variety of real-world |  | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week. | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice Tests |  |

| 2 | students are identified as<br>having Significant<br>Cognitive Impairments<br>that inhibit their ability to<br>make significant learning | graphing and tracking their daily lunch choices. A sample of their  | Team Leaders            | l .  | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice Tests                                      |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|--|---|
| 3 | curriculum, strategies<br>and best practices for<br>students with profound  | Provide monthly on site staff development and coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Coaches<br>Team Leaders | practice assessments.<br>Analyze on site progress<br>monitoring data through | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                        |   |  |                          |      |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|------|
|  |                        | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |                          |      |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                        |   | 2013 Expe  | ected Level of Performar | nce: |
| N/A  |                        | N/A   |  |                          |      |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I  | ncrease St   | udent Achievement        |      |
| for  |                        |   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |      |
| No Data Submitted  |                        |   |  |                          |      |

| 1                                  | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |                                  |  |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|--|
|                                    |  |   | By June 2013, 3% of elementary students taking the FAA will score at or above Level 7 in Math. |  |                                  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |  |   | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                                  |  |
| 0%(0)                              |  |   | 14.2%(1)   | 14.2%(1)   |                                  |  |
|                                    | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t                   | to Increase Studer   | nt Achievement   |                                  |  |
|                                    | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                                  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                  |  |
|                                    | The Quest Center's students are identified as  | All students will participate in matching | Instructional<br>Coaches   | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math                 | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments |  |

| 1 | having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications.   | and sequencing in a variety of real-world tasks (i.e., assembling / disassembling, completing a work system, completing scripting real-world routines, following schedules, etc.). This will include work products created by the Unique Learning Systems. Activities and work products will be presented / exhibited / showcased at our Quest Fair. |  | question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week.  | FAA Practice Tests  |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 2 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | participate in charting,<br>graphing and tracking<br>their daily lunch choices.  | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week.                                     | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice Tests                                      |
| 3 | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.   |  | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring data through data chats between teachers, support staff, and administration. | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. Mathematics Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.

By June 2013, 85.7%(6) of students in elementary grades taking the FAA will make a learning gain in Math.

Mathematics Goal #3b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

| 71.49 | 6 (5)  |   | 85.7%(6)   | 85.7%(6)  |   |  |  |
|-------|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
|       | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Studer                                     | nt Achievement  |   |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |  |  |
| ı     | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | and sequencing in a variety of real-world   |  | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week.                                     | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice Test                                       |  |  |
| !     | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | graphing and tracking their daily lunch choices.  | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders               | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week.                                     | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice Test                                       |  |  |
| }     | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.   | Provide monthly on site staff development and coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders               | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring data through data chats between teachers, support staff, and administration. | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |  |  |
| Basec | I on the analysis of studen  | t achievement data, and re  | eference to "Guidino                                   | g Questions", identify and c  | define areas in nee   |  |  |
|       | provement for the following  | 3 1   |  |   |   |  |  |
|       | AT 2.0: Percentage of stung learning gains in mat  |   | Due to our unic  | ue student population, this   | s section is not  |  |  |

2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

| Based on Amb                       | itious but A               | chievable Annual                                       | Measurable (  | Objecti                                  | ves (AMOs                           | ), AMO-2, I                                     | Reading and Ma                 | ath Pe    | erformance Target    |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
|                                    | ojectives (Al              | able Annual<br>MOs). In six year<br>chievement gap     | 1 1 -         | 6-2017                                   | 7,the matl                          | hematics a                                      | achievement g<br>taking the FA |           | ill be               |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011         | 2011-201                   | 2 2012-2013  | 2013-20       | 014                                      | 2014                                | 4-2015 2015-2                                   |                                | 2016-2017 |                      |
|                                    | 20                         | 28   | 35            |  | 42                                  |   | 49                             |           |                      |
|                                    |                            | student achieveme<br>llowing subgroup:                 | ent data, and | d refere                                 | ence to "Gu                         | uiding Ques                                     | tions", identify               | and       | define areas in need |
| Hispanic, Asia                     | an, America<br>orogress in | by ethnicity (Wh<br>an Indian) not m<br>n mathematics. |               |  |                                     | unique stu<br>to our scho                       |                                | n, thi    | s section is not     |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |                            |  |               |  | 2013 Exp                            | ected Leve                                      | el of Performa                 | nce:      |                      |
| N/A                                |                            |  |               |  | N/A                                 |   |                                |           |                      |
|                                    |                            | Problem-Sol  | ving Proces   | s to I r                                 | ncrease St                          | tudent Ach                                      | nievement                      |           |                      |
| Anticipated E                      | Barrier                    | Strategy   |               | Perso<br>Positi<br>Respo<br>for<br>Monit | on<br>onsible                       | Process U<br>Determin<br>Effective<br>Strategy  | e                              | Eva       | luation Tool         |
|                                    |                            |  | No            | Data S                                   | Submitted                           |   |                                |           |                      |
| 5                                  |                            |  |               |  |                                     |   |                                |           |                      |
|                                    |                            | llowing subgroup:                                      | ent data, and | refere                                   | ence to "Gl                         | ulaing Ques                                     | tions", identify               | and       | define areas in need |
| _                                  | orogress in                | earners (ELL) no<br>n mathematics.                     | t making      |  |                                     | unique stu<br>to our scho                       |                                | n, thi    | s section is not     |
| 2012 Current                       | Level of P                 | erformance:  |               |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |   |                                |           |                      |
| N/A                                |                            |  |               |  | n/A                                 |   |                                |           |                      |
|                                    |                            | Problem-Sol  | ving Proces   | s to I r                                 | ncrease St                          | tudent Ach                                      | nievement                      |           |                      |
| Anticipated E                      | Barrier                    | Strategy   |               | Perso<br>Positi<br>Respo<br>for<br>Monit | on<br>onsible                       | Process U<br>Determin<br>Effectiver<br>Strategy | е                              | Eva       | luation Tool         |
|                                    |                            |  | No            | Data S                                   | Submitted                           |   |                                |           |                      |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for                       |                | data, and refer      | ence to "G  | Guiding Questions", iden                                     | tify and define areas in need |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| 5D. Students with Disa<br>satisfactory progress i<br>Mathematics Goal #5D | n mathematics. | naking               | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |                               |
| 2012 Current Level of I   | Performance:   |                      | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |                               |
| N/A   |                | N/A                  |   |  |                               |
|   | Problem-Solvir | ng Process to I      | ncrease S   | Student Achievement  |                               |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy       | Posit<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>toring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool               |
|   |                | No Data              | Submitted   |  |                               |
| <u> </u>  |                |                      |   |  |                               |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f                       |                 | ata, and refer       | rence to "G   | Guiding Questions", iden                                     | tify and define areas in need |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| 5E. Economically Disac<br>satisfactory progress<br>Mathematics Goal #5E | in mathematics. | ot making            | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |                               |
| 2012 Current Level of   | Performance:    |                      | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |                               |
| N/A   |                 | N/A                  |   |  |                               |
|   | Problem-Solving | Process to I         | ncrease S   | tudent Achievement   |                               |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy        | Posit<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>tion<br>ponsible<br>toring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool               |
|   | •               | No Data              | Submitted   | •  | ,                             |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

#### Middle School Mathematics Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.

Due to our unique student population, this section is not

Mathematics Goal #1a:

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

| 2012 Current Level of I | Performance:          |           | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                 |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| N/A                     |                       | N/A       |                                     |  |                 |  |
|                         | tudent Achievement    |           |                                     |  |                 |  |
| Anticipated Barrier     | Strategy Posi<br>Resp |           | on or<br>ion<br>onsible<br>toring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
|                         |                       | No Data : | Submitted                           |  |                 |  |

|       | on the analysis of student<br>provement for the following          | t achievement data, and re<br>group: | eference to "Guiding                     | Questions", identify and   | define areas in need |  |  |
|-------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Stude | orida Alternate Assessments scoring at Levels 4, ematics Goal #1b: | nent:<br>5, and 6 in mathematics     | by Julie 2013, 4                         | By June 2013, 44%(11) of middle school students taking the FAA will score at Levels 4, 5, & 6 in Math. |                      |  |  |
| 2012  | Current Level of Perform   | nance:                               | 2013 Expected                            | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |                      |  |  |
| 40% ( | 10   |                                      | 44%(11)                                  | 44%(11)  |                      |  |  |
|       | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t              | o Increase Studen                        | t Achievement  |                      |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                             | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of   | Evaluation Tool      |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | and sequencing in a variety of real-world   | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders               | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week. | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice Tests                                      |
| 2 | Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to                 | graphing and tracking their daily lunch choices.  | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders               | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week. | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice Tests                                      |
| 3 |   | Provide monthly on site<br>staff development and<br>coaching during PLCs to<br>collaboratively develop<br>strategies and best | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders               | monitoring data through   | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

|   |  | practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.   |  | teachers, support staff, and administration.  |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 4 | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Provide monthly on site staff development and coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring data through data chats between teachers, support staff, and administration. | FAA practice tests<br>Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

| •        |  |        |               |             |   |  |                |                      | ·                  |           |
|----------|--|--------|---------------|-------------|---|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|
|          | the analysis of seement for the following      |        |               | data, and r | efere   | ence to "Gu                                  | uiding         | Questions", identify | , and define areas | s in need |
|          | 2.0: Students<br>n mathematics                 |        | g at or above | Achievem    | nent  |  |                |                      |                    |           |
| Mathema  | atics Goal #2a:                                |        |               |             |   |  |                |                      |                    |           |
| 2012 Cui | rrent Level of P                               | erform | nance:        |             |   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:          |                |                      |                    |           |
|          |  |        |               |             |   |  |                |                      |                    |           |
|          |  | Pro    | oblem-Solving | g Process   | to I r  | ncrease St                                   | tudent         | t Achievement        |                    |           |
| Anticipa | nticipated Barrier Strategy Pos<br>Res<br>for  |        | or            |             | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy |  | Evaluation Too | ol                   |                    |           |
|          |  |        |               | No D        | ata S   | Submitted                                    |                |                      |                    |           |
|          |  |        |               |             |   |  |                |                      |                    |           |
|          | the analysis of seement for the following      |        |               | data, and r | efere   | ence to "Gi                                  | uiding         | Questions", identify | , and define areas | s in need |
|          | da Alternate As<br>s scoring at or a<br>atics. |        |               | Level 7 in  |   |  |                |                      |                    |           |
| Mathema  | atics Goal #2b:                                |        |               |             |   |  |                |                      |                    |           |
| 2012 Cui | rrent Level of P                               | erform | nance:        |             |   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:          |                |                      |                    |           |
|          |  |        |               |             |   |  |                |                      |                    |           |
|          |  | Pro    | oblem-Solving | g Process   | to I r  | ncrease St                                   | tudent         | t Achievement        |                    |           |
| Anticipa | nticipated Barrier Strategy Re                 |        | or            |             | Dete  | ess Used to<br>rmine<br>ctiveness of<br>tegy | Evaluation Too | ol .                 |                    |           |
|          |  |        |               | No D        | ata S   | Submitted                                    |                |                      |                    |           |
|          |  |        |               |             |   |  |                |                      |                    |           |

| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percenta gains in mathematics.                                    | ge of students makir           | ng learning           |                                     |  |                              |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Mathematics Goal #3a  | :                              |                       |                                     |  |                              |
| 2012 Current Level of I   | Performance:                   |                       | 2013 Exp                            | pected Level of Perform                                      | nance:                       |
|   |                                |                       |                                     |  |                              |
|   | Problem-Solvin                 | g Process to I        | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                              |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Anticipated Barrier Strategy f |                       | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>toring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool              |
|   |                                | No Data               | Submitted                           |  |                              |
| of improvement for the for<br>3b. Florida Alternate A<br>Percentage of students | ollowing group:                |                       | rence to "G                         | uiding Questions", ident                                     | ify and define areas in need |
| mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3b  | :                              |                       |                                     |  |                              |
| 2012 Current Level of I   | Performance:                   |                       | 2013 Exp                            | pected Level of Perforn                                      | nance:                       |
|   |                                |                       |                                     |  |                              |
|   | Problem-Solvin                 | g Process to I        | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                              |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy                       | Positi<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>tion<br>ponsible<br>toring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool              |
|   |                                | No Data               | Submitted                           |  |                              |
|   |                                |                       |                                     |  |                              |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for                             |                                | data, and refer       | ence to "G                          | uiding Questions", identi                                    | ify and define areas in need |
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentag making learning gains                                    |                                | est 25%               |                                     |  |                              |
| Mathematics Goal #4:  |                                |                       |                                     |  |                              |
| 2012 Current Level of I   | Performance:                   |                       | 2013 Ехр                            | pected Level of Perforn                                      | nance:                       |
|   |                                |                       |                                     |  |                              |
|   | Problem-Solvin                 | g Process to I        | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                              |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |             |  |                 |  |  |  |

| Based on Amb                     | itious but Ach  | nievable Annual                                    | Measurable Ol | bjectiv   | ves (AMOs)                          | ), AMO-2, I  | Reading and Ma   | ath Pe | rformance Target     |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|--------|----------------------|
| Measurable Ob                    | 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year<br>school will reduce their achievement gap<br>by 50%. |  |               | l Math  | nematics G                          | oal #  |                  |        | Ā                    |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011       | 2011-2012   | 2012-2013  | 5A : 2013-20  | 14  | 2014-2015 2015-201                  |  | 2015-2016        | 6      | 2016-2017            |
|                                  |   |  |               |   |                                     |  |                  |        |                      |
|                                  |   | udent achievemo                                    | ent data, and | refere  | ence to "Gu                         | uiding Ques  | tions", identify | and o  | define areas in need |
|                                  | an, Americar  | y ethnicity (Wh<br>n Indian) not m<br>mathematics. |               |   |                                     |  |                  |        |                      |
| Mathematics                      | Goal #5B:   |  |               |   |                                     |  |                  |        |                      |
| 2012 Current                     | Level of Per  | rformance:   |               |   | 2013 Expe                           | ected Leve   | el of Performa   | nce:   |                      |
|                                  |   |  |               |   |                                     |  |                  |        |                      |
|                                  |   | Problem-Sol  | ving Process  | toIn  | ncrease St                          | udent Ach  | ievement         |        |                      |
| Anticipated E                    | Barrier S   | Strategy   | F<br>F<br>f   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |                                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy |                  | Eval   | uation Tool          |
|                                  |   |  | No [          | Data S  | ubmitted                            |  |                  | •      |                      |
|                                  |   |  |               |   |                                     |  |                  |        |                      |
|                                  |   | udent achieveme<br>wing subgroup:                  | ent data, and | refere  | ence to "Gu                         | uiding Ques  | tions", identify | and o  | define areas in need |
| 5C. English La<br>satisfactory p |   | nrners (ELL) no<br>mathematics.                    | t making      |   |                                     |  |                  |        |                      |
| Mathematics                      | Goal #5C:   |  |               |   |                                     |  |                  |        |                      |
| 2012 Current                     | Level of Per  | formance:  |               | :   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                  |        |                      |
|                                  |   |  |               |   |                                     |  |                  |        |                      |
|                                  |   | Problem-Sol  | ving Process  | s to In   | ncrease St                          | udent Ach  | ilevement        |        |                      |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |             |  |                 |  |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup: |                       |   |            |  |                 |
|--|-----------------------|---|------------|--|-----------------|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  |                       |   |            |  |                 |
| Mathematics Goal #5D:  |                       |   |            |  |                 |
| 2012 Current Level of P  | erformance:           |   | 2013 Expe  | ected Level of Performar                                     | nce:            |
|  |                       |   |            |  |                 |
|  | Problem-Solving Proce | ss to I   | ncrease St | udent Achievement  |                 |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy              | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring |            | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted  |                       |   |            |  |                 |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the form                                     |                | data, and refer      | ence to "G                          | uiding Questions", iden                                      | itify and define areas in need |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. |                |                      |                                     |  |                                |
| Mathematics Goal #5E   | :              |                      |                                     |  |                                |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                |                      | 2013 Ехр                            | pected Level of Perfor                                       | mance:                         |
|  |                |                      |                                     |  |                                |
|  | Problem-Solvin | g Process to I       | ncrease S                           | tudent Achievement   |                                |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy       | Posit<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>toring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                |
|  |                | No Data              | Submitted                           |  |                                |

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

## Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. By June 2013, 37%(7) of high schools students taking the FAA will score at Levels 4, 5, & 6 in Math. Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 32% (6) 37%(7) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy All students will The Quest Center's Instructional Teachers will ask each Unique Pre & Post students are identified participate in matching Coaches student a weekly math Assessments as having Significant and sequencing in a Team Leaders question from the **FAA Practice** Cognitive Impairments variety of real-world Unique curriculum Tests that inhibit their ability tasks (i.e., assembling related to the access to make significant disassembling, point of the week. learning gains, even completing a work with allowable course system, completing accommodations and scripting real-world modifications. routines, following schedules, etc.). This will include work products created by the Unique Learning Systems. Activities and work products will be presented / exhibited / showcased at our Quest Fair. Unique Pre & Post The Quest Center's All students will Instructional Teachers will ask each students are identified participate in charting, student a weekly math Assessments Coaches as having Significant FAA Practice graphing and tracking Team Leaders question from the Cognitive Impairments their daily lunch Unique curriculum Tests that inhibit their ability choices. A sample of related to the access their graphing will be point of the week. to make significant learning gains, even showcased at our with allowable course Quest Fair. accommodations and modifications. Teacher knowledge of Provide monthly on site Instructional Analyze results of FAA Unique progress curriculum, strategies staff development and practice assessments. monitoring tool Coaches and best practices for coaching during PLCs to Team Leaders Informal teacher Analyze on site students with profound collaboratively develop progress monitoring assessments 3 cognitive impairments. strategies and best data through data practices for students chats between with profound cognitive teachers, support staff, impairments. and administration.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2:   | By June 2013, 5.3%(1) of high schools students taking the FAA will score at or Level 7 in Math. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | All students will participate in matching and sequencing in a variety of real-world tasks (i.e., assembling / disassembling, completing a work system, completing scripting real-world routines, following schedules, etc.). This will include work products created by the Unique Learning Systems. Activities and work products will be presented / exhibited / showcased at our Quest Fair. | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders               | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week.                                     | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice<br>Tests             |
| 2 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | All students will participate in charting, graphing and tracking their daily lunch choices. A sample of their graphing will be showcased at our Quest Fair.  | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders               | Teachers will ask each student a weekly math question from the Unique curriculum related to the access point of the week.                                     | Unique Pre & Post<br>Assessments<br>FAA Practice<br>Tests             |
| 3 | Teacher knowledge of<br>curriculum, strategies<br>and best practices for<br>students with profound<br>cognitive impairments.   | Provide monthly on site staff development and coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.  | Coaches  | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring data through data chats between teachers, support staff, and administration. | Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring

## Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo | student achievement data, and<br>Ilowing group: | d refer   | ence to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify                                  | and define areas in need |
|--|---|---|-------------|--|--------------------------|
|  |   | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |             |  |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of P                              | erformance:                                     |   | 2013 Exp    | ected Level of Performan                                     | nce:                     |
| N/A  |   |   | N/A         |  |                          |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces                          | s to I  | ncrease St  | udent Achievement  |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier                                  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                           |             | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |
|  | No  | Data S  | Submitted   |  |                          |
|  |   |   |             |  |                          |
| Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo | student achievement data, and<br>Ilowing group: | d refer   | ence to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify                                  | and define areas in need |
| 2. Students scoring at c                             | or above Achievement Level                      | s 4   |             |  |                          |

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. Algebra Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap

Algebra Goal #

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.



| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Aslan, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3B:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  2014 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  2015 Process Used to Determine Determine Determine Process Used to Determine Of Improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making Satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  2014 Expected Level of Performance:  2015 Expected Level of Performance:  2016 Expected Level of Performance:  2017 Expected Level of Performance:  2018 Expected Level of Performance:  2019 Expected Level of Performance:  2019 Expected Level of Performance:  2019 Expected Level of Performance:  2010 Expected Level of Performance:  2010 Expected Level of Performance:  2011 Expected Level of Performance:  2012 Expected Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  2014 Expected Level of Performance:  2015 Expected Level of Performance:  2016 Expected Level of Performance:  2017 Expected Level of Performance:  2018 Expected Level of Performance:  2019 | by 50%.  |   |             | 3A :          |                         |   |                           |                  |                | ▽                   |
|---|--|---|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| of improvement for the following subgroup:  3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3B:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance in applicable to our school.  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible Frectiveness of Strategy  Person or Position Responsible Frectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of Strategy   |  | 2011-2012   | 2 2012-2013 | 2013-20       | 014                     | 2014                                    | -2015                     | 2015-2016        | 5              | 2016-2017           |
| of improvement for the following subgroup:  3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3B:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance in applicable to our school.  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible Frectiveness of Strategy  Person or Position Responsible Frectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of Strategy   |  |   |             |               |                         |   |                           |                  |                |                     |
| Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3B:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.  Pue to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool Frocess Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  |  |   |             | ent data, and | l referer               | nce to "Gu                              | uiding Ques               | tions", identify | and d          | efine areas in need |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Evaluation Tool  Evaluation Tool  | Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. |   |             |               |                         |   |                           | n, this          | section is not |                     |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement    Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring   Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy   Evaluation Tool  | 2012 Current   | Level of Pe                                       | erformance: |               | 2                       | 2013 Expe                               | ected Leve                | el of Performai  | nce:           |                     |
| Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.  Due to our school.  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  | N/A  |   |             |               | Ν                       | N/A                                     |                           |                  |                |                     |
| Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Strategy  Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Evaluation Tool  Evaluation Tool   |  |   | Problem-Sol | ving Proces   | s to Ind                | crease St                               | udent Ach                 | nievement        |                |                     |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Evaluation Tool  | Anticipated E  | Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posit<br>Resp<br>for |             |               | Positic<br>Respo<br>for | ion Determine Effectiveness of Strategy |                           |                  | uation Tool    |                     |
| of improvement for the following subgroup:  3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Evaluation Tool   |  |   |             | No            | Data Su                 | ubmitted                                |                           |                  |                |                     |
| Satisfactory progress in Algebra.  Algebra Goal #3C:  Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.  2012 Current Level of Performance:  N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Evaluation Tool   |  |   |             | ent data, and | l referer               | nce to "Gu                              | uiding Ques               | tions", identify | and d          | efine areas in need |
| N/A  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool   | satisfactory p   | rogress in  |             | t making      | [<br>a                  | Due to our<br>applicable                | unique stu<br>to our scho | udent population | n, this        | section is not      |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  | 2012 Current   | Level of Pe                                       | erformance: |               | 2                       | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:     |                           |                  |                |                     |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool  | N/A  |   |             |               | N                       | N/A                                     |                           |                  |                |                     |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  |  |   | Problem-Sol | ving Proces   | s to Ind                | crease St                               | udent Ach                 | nievement        |                |                     |
| No Data Submitted   | Anticipated E  | Barrier   | Strategy    |               | Positic<br>Respo<br>for | on<br>nsible                            | Determin<br>Effective     | е                | Evalu          | uation Tool         |
|   |  |   |             | No            | Data Su                 | ubmitted                                |                           |                  |                |                     |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

Algebra Goal #3D:

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

| 2012 Current Level of Performance:                      |          | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |                 |  |  |
|---|----------|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| N/A   |          | N/A                                 |  |  |                 |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |                                     |  |  |                 |  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | for                                 |  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
| No Data Submitted                                       |          |                                     |  |  |                 |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f  |                   | ta, and refer        | ence to "G  | Guiding Questions", iden                                     | tify and define areas in need |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3E: |                   |                      | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |                               |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                   |                      | 2013 Exp  | pected Level of Perfor                                       | mance:                        |
| N/A  |                   |                      | N/A   |  |                               |
|  | Problem-Solving I | Process to I         | ncrease S   | tudent Achievement   |                               |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy          | Posit<br>Resp<br>for | on or<br>ion<br>onsible<br>toring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool               |
|  |                   | No Data              | Submitted   | •  |                               |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

## Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |   |  |                 |  |  |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group: |                         |   |           |  |                 |  |
|---|-------------------------|---|-----------|--|-----------------|--|
| <ul><li>2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels</li><li>4 and 5 in Geometry.</li><li>Geometry Goal #2:</li></ul>                                       |                         | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |           |  |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  |                         |   | 2013 Exp  | ected Level of Perform                                       | nance:          |  |
| N/A   |                         |   | N/A       |  |                 |  |
|   | Problem-Solving Process | s to I  | ncrease S | tudent Achievement   |                 |  |
| Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy                | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                           |           | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
| No Data Submitted   |                         |   |           |  |                 |  |

| Based on Ambitiou<br>Target  | ıs but Achievable              | Annual Measurable  | Objectives (AMOs), | AMO-2, Reading and | Math Performance |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| 3A. Ambitious but<br>Annual Measurable<br>(AMOs). In six yea<br>reduce their achie<br>50%. | e Objectives<br>ar school will | Geometry Goal #  Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |                    |                    |                  |  |  |  |
| Baseline data<br>2011-2012   | 2012-2013                      | 2013-2014  | 2014-2015          | 2015-2016          | 2016-2017        |  |  |  |
|  |                                |  |                    |                    |                  |  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #3B:

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |             |  |                 |  |  |

| 3                                  | student achievement data, for the following subgroup: | and r   | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", io                                    | dentify and define areas |
|------------------------------------|---|---|-------------|--|--------------------------|
|                                    |   | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |             |  |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |             |  |                          |
| N/A                                |   | N/A   |             |  |                          |
|                                    | Problem-Solving Process                               | s to I  | ncrease S   | tudent Achievement   |                          |
| Anticipated Barrier                | Strategy  | Person<br>Position<br>Responsion<br>for<br>Monito                                   |             | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool          |
|                                    | No  | Data :  | Submitted   |  |                          |

| 3                                  | student achievement data, for the following subgroup: | and r   | eference to  | o "Guiding Questions", id | dentify and define areas |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                    |   | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |                           |                          |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |                           |                          |
| N/A                                |   |   | N/A  |                           |                          |
|                                    | Problem-Solving Process                               | s to I  | ncrease S  | tudent Achievement        |                          |
| for                                |   |   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool           |                          |
|                                    | No  | Data :  | Submitted  |                           |                          |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and rein need of improvement for the following subgroup: | eference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas |
|---|--|
| 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not   |  |
| making satisfactory progress in Geometry.   | Due to our unique student population, this section is not  |

Geometry Goal #3E:

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

| 2012 Current Level of Performance:                      |          |  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |                 |
|---|----------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| N/A   |          |  | N/A                                 |  |                 |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |  |                                     |  |                 |
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Pers<br>Posit<br>/ Resp<br>for<br>Moni |                                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted                                       |          |  |                                     |  |                 |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus   | Grade | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g.<br>, PLC, subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g.,<br>early release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring                          | Person or Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|-------|--|---|--|---|---|
| PLC InD<br>Centers<br>Standards in<br>Math  | K-12  | PLC Leaders                            | School-wide   | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                        |
| PLC Autism<br>Cluster<br>Standards in<br>Math   | K-12  | PLC Leaders                            | School-wide   | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                        |
| PLC Positive Behavior Intervention Plan- Classroom Implementation during Math Instruction | K-12  | PLC Leaders                            | School-wide   | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                        |

#### Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/N | Material(s)                    |                |                     |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                    | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| Unique Learning Systems     | Web-Based Curriculum Materials | SAC            | \$350.00            |
|                             |                                |                | Subtotal: \$350.00  |
| Technology                  |                                |                |                     |
| Strategy                    | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                     | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                             |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Development    |                                |                |                     |
| Strategy                    | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                     | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                             |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |

| Other    |                          |                |                       |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| No Data  | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00                |
|          |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
|          |                          |                | Grand Total: \$350.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

## Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

| * Whe  | en using percentages, inclu  | ide the number of students   | s the percentage rep   | presents (e.g., 70% (35)).   |   |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
|  | d on the analysis of stud<br>in need of improvemen   |  |  | Guiding Questions", ider   | ntify and define  |  |
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: |  |  |  | By June 2013, 28.6%(2) of high school students taking the FAA will score at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in Science. |   |  |
| 2012   | 2 Current Level of Perfo   | ormance:   | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performand   | ce:   |  |
| 14.39  | % (1)  |  | 28.6%(2)   |  |   |  |
|  | Prob   | lem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude   | ent Achievement  |   |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring           | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |  |
| 1  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | science curriculum<br>provided by the Unique   | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders<br>Science<br>Committee | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly.   | Unique Learning<br>System<br>FAA Practice<br>Tests                    |  |
| 2  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | Each classroom will identify and demonstrate one example of the use of fruit and/or vegetables that can be grown in their grade level fruit/vegetable/herb garden. These examples will be shared with other classes in one of the following ways: create video of students cooking or preparing items, share samples of items prepared, share recipes or invite other grade levels to visit that grade level garden. |  | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly.   | Teacher – made<br>Rubric<br>Scheduled<br>Activities                   |  |
|  | Teacher knowledge of<br>curriculum, strategies<br>and best practices for<br>students with profound   | Provide monthly on site<br>staff development and<br>coaching during PLCs   |  | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring                           | Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |  |

|   | 1                      | i i                    | 1                 |  |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 3 | cognitive impairments. | develop strategies and | data through data |  |
|   |                        | best practices for     | chats between     |  |
|   |                        | students with profound | teachers, support |  |
|   |                        | cognitive impairments. | staff, and        |  |
|   |                        |                        | administration.   |  |

|       |  |  |  | dariii iisti dalori.  |   |
|-------|--|--|--|---|---|
|       |  |  |  |   |   |
|       |  | lent achievement data, a<br>t for the following group  |  | Guiding Questions", ider  | ntify and define  |
|       | lorida Alternate Asses   |  | D I  | 4.4.00//4) -5  -1   | Laterday to talk a  |
| Stude | ents scoring at Levels   | 4, 5, and 6 in science.  |  | 14.3%(1) of high schoo<br>core at or above Level 7  |   |
| Scier | nce Goal #1b:  |  |  |   |   |
| 2012  | Current Level of Perfo   | ormance:   | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performand  | ce:   |
| 0     |  |  | 14.3% (1)  |   |   |
|       | Prob   | lem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude   | ent Achievement   |   |
|       | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring           | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1     | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | Each classroom<br>teacher will follow a<br>science curriculum<br>provided by the Unique<br>Learning System to<br>teach Access Points<br>on each student's<br>developmental level<br>weekly   | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders<br>Science<br>Committee | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly.  | Unique Learning<br>System<br>FAA Practice<br>Tests                    |
| 2     | as having Significant<br>Cognitive Impairments   | Each classroom will identify and demonstrate one example of the use of fruit and/or vegetables that can be grown in their grade level fruit/vegetable/herb garden. These examples will be shared with other classes in one of the following ways: create video of students cooking or preparing items, share samples of items prepared, share recipes or invite other grade levels to visit that grade level garden. |  | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly.  | Teacher – made<br>Rubric<br>Scheduled<br>Activities                   |
| 3     | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments.   | Provide monthly on site<br>staff development and<br>coaching during PLCs   | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders                         | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring data through data chats between teachers, support staff, and administration. | Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |
| 4     | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant  | Each classroom<br>teacher will follow a<br>science curriculum<br>provided by the Unique<br>Learning System to<br>teach Access Points   | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders<br>Science<br>Committee | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly.  | Unique Learning<br>System<br>FAA Practice<br>Tests                    |

|   | learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | on each student's<br>developmental level<br>weekly. |  |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| 5 |  | demonstrate one                                     | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly. | Teacher – made<br>Rubric<br>Scheduled<br>Activities |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. Science Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7<br>in science.<br>Science Goal #2b:  | By June 2013, 8.3%(1) of elementary and middle school students taking the FAA will score at or above Level 7 in Science. |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |  |
| 0% (0) elementary and middle   | 8.3%(1) elementary and middle  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |  |  |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring           | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool                                     |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | Each classroom will identify and demonstrate one example of the use of fruit and/or vegetables that can be grown in their grade level fruit/vegetable/herb garden. These examples will be shared with other classes in one of the following ways: create video of students cooking or preparing items, share samples of items prepared, share recipes or invite other grade levels to visit that grade level garden. |  | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly. | Teacher – made<br>Rubric<br>Scheduled<br>Activities |
| 2 | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | Each classroom teacher will follow a science curriculum provided by the Unique Learning System to teach Access Points on each student's developmental level weekly.  | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders<br>Science<br>Committee | Analysis of progress monitoring data collected weekly.       | Unique Learning<br>System<br>FAA Practice<br>Tests  |

# Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

|  | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.  Science Goal #1: |  |   | By June 2013,  | By June 2013, 28.6%(2) of high school students taking the FAA will score at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in Science. |  |  |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perfo   | ormance:  | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performan  | ce:  |  |
| 14.3% (1)  |  |   | 28.6%(2)   | 28.6%(2)   |  |  |
|  | Prob   | lem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude   | ent Achievement  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring           | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool                                    |  |
| 1  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even         | Each classroom<br>teacher will follow a<br>science curriculum<br>provided by the Unique<br>Learning System to<br>teach Access Points<br>on each student's | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders<br>Science<br>Committee | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly.   | Unique Learning<br>System<br>FAA Practice<br>Tests |  |

|   | with allowable course accommodations and modifications.  | developmental level<br>weekly.  |                         |   |   |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|---|---|
| 2 | as having Significant<br>Cognitive Impairments   |   |                         | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly.  | Teacher – made<br>Rubric<br>Scheduled<br>Activities                   |
| 3 | Teacher knowledge of curriculum, strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Provide monthly on site staff development and coaching during PLCs to collaboratively develop strategies and best practices for students with profound cognitive impairments. | Coaches<br>Team Leaders | Analyze results of FAA practice assessments. Analyze on site progress monitoring data through data chats between teachers, support staff, and administration. | Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define |  |  |  |  |   |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| areas in need of improvement for the following group:  |  |  |  |  |   |  |
| Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.  Science Goal #2:             |  |  | By June 2013,  | By June 2013, 14.3%(1) of high school students taking the FAA will score at or above Level 7 in Science. |   |  |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perfo   | ormance:   | 2013 Expecto   | ed Level of Performan  | ce:   |  |
| 0  |  |  | 14.3% (1)  | 14.3% (1)  |   |  |
|  | Prob   | lem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stud  | ent Achievement  |   |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring           | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool                                     |  |
| 1  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | Each classroom<br>teacher will follow a<br>science curriculum<br>provided by the Unique<br>Learning System to<br>teach Access Points<br>on each student's<br>developmental level<br>weekly | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders<br>Science<br>Committee | Analysis of progress<br>monitoring data<br>collected weekly.   | Unique Learning<br>System<br>FAA Practice<br>Tests  |  |
|  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course                                   | demonstrate one example of the use of  | Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders<br>Science<br>Committee | Analysis of progress monitoring data collected weekly.   | Teacher – made<br>Rubric<br>Scheduled<br>Activities |  |

|   | modifications.   | garden. These examples will be shared with other classes in one of the following ways: create video of students cooking or preparing items, share samples of items prepared, share recipes or invite other grade levels to visit that grade level garden. |                         |                 |   |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------|---|
| 3 | curriculum, strategies<br>and best practices for<br>students with profound<br>cognitive impairments. | 3   | Coaches<br>Team Leaders | Analyze on site | Unique progress<br>monitoring tool<br>Informal teacher<br>assessments |

# Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                          |        |   |  |                 |
|--|--------------------------|--------|---|--|-----------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.  Biology Goal #1:  |                          |        | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |                 |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                          |        | 2013 Exp  | pected Level of Perform                                      | mance:          |
| N/A  |                          |        | N/A   |  |                 |
|  | Problem-Solving Process  | s to I | ncrease S   | tudent Achievement   |                 |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Po<br>Strategy Re<br>for |        | on or<br>tion<br>oonsible<br>toring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted  |                          |        |   |  |                 |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.  Biology Goal #2:  | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| N/A  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |   |  |                 |  |
|---|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
| No Data Submitted                                       |          |   |  |                 |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus  | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring                          | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| PLC InD<br>Centers<br>Standards in<br>Science  | K-12                   | PLC Leaders                               | School-wide  | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                           |
| PLC Autism<br>Cluster<br>Standards in<br>Science   | K-12                   | PLC Leaders                               | School-wide  | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                           |
| PLC Positive Behavior Intervention Plan- Classroom Implementation during Science Instruction | K-12                   | PLC Leaders                               | School-wide  | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                           |

#### Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ | Material(s)                    |                |                     |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy                   | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| Unique Learning Systems    | Web-based Curriculum Materials | SAC            | \$350.00            |
|                            |                                |                | Subtotal: \$350.00  |
| Technology                 |                                |                |                     |
| Strategy                   | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                    | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                            |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Development   |                                |                |                     |
| Strategy                   | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                    | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                            |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                      |                                |                |                     |
| Strategy                   | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data                    | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                            |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |

## Writing Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                        |   |           |  |                 |
|--|------------------------|---|-----------|--|-----------------|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:   |                        | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |           | tion, this section is not                                    |                 |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                        |   | 2013 Exp  | ected Level of Perform                                       | nance:          |
| N/A  |                        |   | N/A       |  |                 |
|  | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I  | ncrease S | tudent Achievement   |                 |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring                           |           | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted  |                        |   |           |  |                 |

|  | d on the analysis of stude<br>ed of improvement for th   |   | nd reference to "Gu   | uiding Questions", identif  | y and define areas   |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1b: |  |   | By June 2013,   | 30%(6) of students in G<br>ast a Level 4 in Writing o   |  |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perfo   | rmance:   | 2013 Expecte  | ed Level of Performance   | e:   |
| 25%  | (5) of students scored a   | Level 4 or higher in Writ   | ing. 30%(6) of stud<br>Writing.   | dents will score a Level 4  | or higher in   |
|  | Pro  | blem-Solving Process t  | to Increase Stude   | ent Achievement   |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                  | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
| 1  | The Quest Center's students are identified as having Significant Cognitive Impairments that inhibit their ability to make significant learning gains, even with allowable course accommodations and modifications. | Quest students will participate in a variety of writing exercises to generate journals using a preferred means (paper or computer) including, but not limited to pictures, picture strips, picture/text completion and/or object choices. | Literacy<br>Leadership Team<br>Instructional<br>Coaches<br>Team Leaders | Copies and/or samples of graded student products will be kept in student portfolios. Documentation of specific writing access points will be within teachers' lesson plans. | Teacher<br>generated<br>Assessments<br>Florida Alternate<br>Assessment |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus  | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring                          | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| PLC Positive Behavior Intervention Plan- Classroom Implementation during Writing Instruction | K-12                   | PLC Leaders                               | School-wide  | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                           |
| PLC Autism<br>Cluster<br>Standards in<br>Writing   | K-12                   | PLC Leaders                               | School-wide  | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                           |
| PLC InD<br>Centers<br>Standards in<br>Writing  | K-12                   | PLC Leaders                               | School-wide  | Weekly   | Self- Need<br>Assessment<br>Video<br>Grade Level<br>Collaboration | Administration<br>PLC Leader                           |

#### Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ | Material(s)                    |                |                       |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy                   | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| Unique Learning Systems    | Web-Based Curriculum Materials | SAC            | \$350.00              |
|                            |                                |                | Subtotal: \$350.00    |
| Technology                 |                                |                |                       |
| Strategy                   | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| No Data                    | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00                |
|                            |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
| Professional Development   |                                |                |                       |
| Strategy                   | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| No Data                    | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00                |
|                            |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
| Other                      |                                |                |                       |
| Strategy                   | Description of Resources       | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount   |
| No Data                    | No Data                        | No Data        | \$0.00                |
|                            |                                |                | Subtotal: \$0.00      |
|                            |                                |                | Grand Total: \$350.00 |

End of Writing Goals

## Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|                                    |                     |                         | Due to our uni   | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |                 |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |                     |                         | 2013 Expecte   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |                 |  |
| N/A                                |                     |                         | N/A  | N/A   |                 |  |
|                                    | Prol                | olem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement   |                 |  |
|                                    | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy                | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                        | Evaluation Tool |  |
| 1                                  | N/A                 | N/A                     | N/A  | N/A   | N/A             |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. Civics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |

| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | ent                      |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Civics Goals

### U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. U.S. History Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: NA NA Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy NA NA NA NA NA

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels
4 and 5 in U.S. History.

U.S. History Goal #2:

Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

| N/A   |          |  | N/A |  |                 |
|---|----------|--|-----|--|-----------------|
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |          |  |     |  |                 |
| Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy | Perso<br>Positi<br>Respo<br>for<br>Monit |     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted                                       |          |  |     |  |                 |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr  | am(3)/ Waterial(3)       |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developn | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Attendance By June 2013, 94.7% of all registered students will be in regular attendance as evidence by daily attendance Attendance Goal #1: records 2012 Current Attendance Rate: 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: 91.7% 94.7% 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Absences (10 or more) 71 41 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) Tardies (10 or more) 21 11 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Nursing staff and IMT will closely monitor Attendance A large percentage of **ESE Specialist** students attending The attendance to look for classroom teachers will Nurses Records Quest Center have closely monitor the Teachers patterns of non-Teacher/Parent Administration attendance and report severe and profound students who are home notes medical conditions that identified as medically results to ESE may contribute to fragile and report any Specialist and sign of illness to Administration. frequent absences. parents/caregivers in a timely manner. ESE Specialist Our families are Regular messages IMT will closely monitor Attendance challenged by the regarding attendance Nurses attendance to look for Records illnesses of their will be communicated to Teachers patterns of non-Teacher/Parent 2 children. parents/caregivers as Administration attendance and report home notes needed. results to ESE Specialist and Administration.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC Focus | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Illness/conditions affecting          |   |   |  |  |  |

| students<br>with<br>severe/profound<br>disabilities;<br>medically | PK-12 | Nurse | School-wide | Farly Release | CTUIDANTC | IMT<br>Administration<br>Teachers |
|---|-------|-------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| fragile   |       |       |             |               |           |                                   |
| students  |       |       |             |               |           |                                   |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | ·              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

# Suspension Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement: | to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need  |
|---|--|
| Suspension     Suspension Goal #1:                                      | During the 2012-13 school year, The Quest Center will continue to follow all federal and state guidelines regarding behavioral issues for our student population and by June 2013, the number of internal and external school suspensions will maintain their current acceptable levels. |
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions                              | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions  |
| 0   | 0  |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School                       | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-<br>School   |
| 0   | 0  |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions                                | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School<br>Suspensions   |
| 0   | 0  |

| 2012<br>Scho | ? Total Number of Stude<br>ol   | ents Suspended Out-of-   | - 2013 Expecte of-School   | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-<br>of-School   |   |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| O            |   |  | 0  |  |   |  |  |  |
|              | Prol  | olem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Stude  | nt Achievement   |   |  |  |  |
|              | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                     | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Too  |  |  |  |
|              | Many students in this population have persistent behavior problems that require intensive interventions to keep them actively engaged in an academic environment. | Autism Coach. The<br>Behavior Specialist will  | Behavior<br>Specialist<br>Autism Coach<br>ESE Specialist<br>Administration | Data collection will be reviewed weekly and graphed monthly by the Behavior Specialist and behavior support team. The PBIP for each student will be revised as needed.   | Frequency & ABC<br>Data Charts &<br>Graphs                  |  |  |  |
| 2            | aberrant behavior as a<br>result of ineffective<br>attempts to<br>communicate with  | communication systems<br>will be in place in all<br>environments on<br>campus. Communication | Speech/Language<br>Pathologists  | Data collection regarding communication goals on the IEP and communication targets will be collected by the SLPs. Consultation will take place between classroom teachers and SLPs regarding communication for | Communication<br>data collected by<br>teachers and<br>SLPs. |  |  |  |

students experiencing difficulty.

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | release) and | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--------------|--|--|
| Positive<br>Behavioral<br>Support           | All grades             | -   | All Instructional<br>Staff   | Pre-Planning | trequent walk-                           | Administration<br>and Leadership<br>Team               |

| Evidence-based Progr  | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developn | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

# Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: |   |                        |   |  |                 |  |  |
|---|---|------------------------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1. Dr   | opout Prevention  |                        |   |  |                 |  |  |
| *Plea   | out Prevention Goal #1 se refer to the percenta ped out during the 2011-2 | ge of students who     |   | By June 2013, 80% of our 4th year seniors will graduate on time with their cohort  |                 |  |  |
| 2012  | Current Dropout Rate:   |                        | 2013 Expect   | ed Dropout Rate:   |                 |  |  |
| N/A   |   |                        | N/A   | N/A  |                 |  |  |
| 2012  | Current Graduation Ra   | te:                    | 2013 Expect   | 2013 Expected Graduation Rate:   |                 |  |  |
| 17%   |   |                        | 80%   | 80%  |                 |  |  |
|   | Prob  | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stud                                       | ent Achievement  |                 |  |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible fo<br>Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
|   |   |                        | Administration<br>Support Staff<br>IMT                | Schedules will be assigned to each student at the beginning of the school year. Students entering school after the start | TERMS Reports   |  |  |

| 1 | students who may<br>come to us with no<br>transcripts or with<br>transcripts that show a | of the school year will receive a review of course records. The development of corrective course schedules will be utilized to ensure students are eligible for graduation with their |  |
|---|--|---|--|
|   |  | cohort.   |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Dropout Prevention Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       | •                        | •              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1. Pa | arent Involvement   |   |  |   |   |  |
|-------|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| *Plea | nt Involvement Goal #' ase refer to the percenta<br>cipated in school activitie<br>plicated.  | ge of parents who   | The Quest Cer such as comm fairs, workshop             | By June 2013, 35% of parents of students enrolled at The Quest Center will attend school-based functions such as community resource, math, science, literacy fairs, workshops, as well as parent conferences, SAC/SAF and IEP meetings. |   |  |
| 2012  | 2 Current Level of Parer  | nt Involvement:   | 2013 Expecte   | d Level of Parent Invo  | Ivement:                                  |  |
| 31%   |   |   | 35%  |   |   |  |
|       | Prol  | olem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement   |   |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                           |  |
| 1     | The nature of the students' disabilities often inhibits parents from attending school-based activities  | Providing on-site child<br>care by trained staff<br>members           | Team Leaders<br>Administration                         | Attendance at functions Increase of parental involvement in school based activities Joining PTA   | Parental<br>Attendance<br>sheets          |  |
| 2     | Due to the level of medical needs, increased therapeutic interventions and frequent illnesses, the parents of the students in this population have significant life pressures causing a need to access community resources and gather information from local agencies to provide services for them. | community   | Team Leaders<br>Administration                         | Parental attendance at school wide functions  | Parental<br>attendance sign-<br>in sheets |  |
| 3     | Parents have difficulty attending events in the evening because they need childcare for their children with special needs.  | We plan to provide on-<br>site childcare by trained<br>staff members. | Team Leaders<br>Administration                         | Parental attendance at<br>school wide functions   | Parental<br>attendance sign-<br>in sheets |  |

ESE Specialist

Support Team

Track numbers of

students that have

support coordinators

Frequency data

related to

numbers of

process of

students that

getting support

coordinators.

have or are in the

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Teachers will follow

conferences and all

opportunities (IEPs,

get access to vital programs like the Medwaiver and APD.

SAC Meetings, Open

House) to help parents

during parent

parent contact

through with parents

Parents have difficulty

navigating the system

of agency supports

that are available to

them, and therefore

may miss out on some

of the opportunities for

assistance that they

need.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| PLC Parent<br>Groups                        | PK-12                  | PLC Leaders                               | PLC Group   |  | Workshops for parents/increased attendance | Administration<br>Teachers                             |

#### Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       | -                        | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          | •              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

### Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Basec   | on the analysis of school | ol data, identify and defir | ne areas in need of                                    | improvement:  |                 |  |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 1. ST   |                           |                             |  | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |                 |  |
| STEM  | Goal #1:                  |                             |  |   |                 |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |                           |                             |  |   |                 |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier       | Strategy                    | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                        | Evaluation Tool |  |
| 1   | N/A                       | N/A                         | N/A  | N/A   | N/A             |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |  |  |  |  |

#### STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

## Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based | I on the analysis of school                             | ol data, identify and defi | ne areas in need of                                    | improvement:  |                     |  |  |
|-------|---|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| 1. CT | E   |                            | Due to our uni   | aug student population  | this section is not |  |  |
| CTE C | Goal #1:  |                            |  | Due to our unique student population, this section is not applicable to our school. |                     |  |  |
|       | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |                            |  |   |                     |  |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy                   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                        | Evaluation Tool     |  |  |
| 1     | N/A   | N/A                        | N/A  | N/A   | N/A                 |  |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g.,<br>PLC,subject,<br>grade level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules<br>(e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted                           |                        |  |   |  |  |  |

#### CTE Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CTE Goal(s)

## Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

#### FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Pro  | ogram(s)/Material(s)       |                                   |                |                         |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Goal                | Strategy                   | Description of Resources          | Funding Source | Available Amount        |
| Reading             | Unique Learning<br>Systems | Web-Based Curriculum<br>Materials | SAC            | \$350.00                |
| Mathematics         | Unique Learning<br>Systems | Web-Based Curriculum<br>Materials | SAC            | \$350.00                |
| Science             | Unique Learning<br>Systems | Web-based Curriculum<br>Materials | SAC            | \$350.00                |
| Writing             | Unique Learning<br>Systems | Web-Based Curriculum<br>Materials | SAC            | \$350.00                |
|                     |                            |                                   |                | Subtotal: \$1,400.00    |
| Technology          |                            |                                   |                |                         |
| Goal                | Strategy                   | Description of Resources          | Funding Source | Available Amount        |
| No Data             | No Data                    | No Data                           | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|                     |                            |                                   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Develo | pment                      |                                   |                |                         |
| Goal                | Strategy                   | Description of Resources          | Funding Source | Available Amount        |
| No Data             | No Data                    | No Data                           | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|                     |                            |                                   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other               |                            |                                   |                |                         |
| Goal                | Strategy                   | Description of Resources          | Funding Source | Available Amount        |
| No Data             | No Data                    | No Data                           | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|                     |                            |                                   |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
|                     |                            |                                   |                | Grand Total: \$1,400.00 |

## Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jm Focus | j∩ Prevent | <b>j</b> ∩ NA |  |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|--|
|             |          |            |               |  |

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/17/2012)

## School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds                                   | Amount     |
|--|------------|
| SAC funds will be utilized to purchase curriculum materials. | \$1,500.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The activities for the School Advisory Council will focus on the following: School Improvement Rating, Superintendent's Strategic Goals relative to The Quest Center and students with severe/profound disabilities, increase parental involvement school-wide, curriculum rigor, effective data collection and analysis, Marzano Framework, strategic planning for continuous improvement, forums for post-secondary alternatives for students after graduation, effective behavior management, curriculum assessments, and preschool (progress monitoring to enhance elementary preparedness).

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

### SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found