FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: CARTER PARRAMORE ACADEMY

District Name: Gadsden

Principal: Pauline West

SAC Chair: Rev. Tony Hannah

Superintendent: Reginald C. James

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 10/21/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal | Pauline West | B.S. Early Childhood, Elementary Education, General Science and Mathematics M.Ed. Educational Leadership School Principal | | 13 | West Gadsden High School 2011-2012 Grade Pending (Principal) 2010-2011 C to C 79% AYP (Assistant Principal) 2009-2010 F to C 90% AYP (Assistant Principal) James A. Shanks Middle School 2008-2009 D to C; AYP - No (Assistant Principal) WGHS 2007-2008 F (276 points to 392 points); AYP - No Gretna Elementary 2005-2006 D to C; AYP - No |
| | | B.S. Mathematics and | | | |

| Assis Principal | Keith Dowdell | Computer Science M.Ed. Educational Leadership Ed. Doctorate Candidate in Educational Leadership | | 3 | West Gadsden High School 2011-2012 Grade Pending (Assistant Principal for Discipline) 2010 - 2011 C to C (Assistant Principal for Discipline) |
|-----------------|---------------|---|--|---|---|
|-----------------|---------------|---|--|---|---|

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|-----------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Reading | Carla Wells | B.A. English M.Ed. Education Administration Reading Endorsed Middle Grades Endorsement | | 4 | EGHS 2011-2012 School Grade Pending 2011-2012 proficiency level increased by 13% (18% to 31%) 2011-2012 students making learning gains increased by 16% (32% to 48%) 2011-2012 lowest 25% making learning gains increased by 19% (40% to 59%) |
| Science | Yasmeen Leon | B.S. Biology M.Ed. Secondary Education Biology M.Ed. Educational Leadership | | 3 | WGHS 2011-2012 School Grade Pending WGHS 2009-2011 School Grade improved from "F" to "C" while science coach. WGHS 2009-2010 Science proficiency increased by 11% 2008 - 2009 James A. Shanks Middle School Quincy, Florida School Grade D to C 2007 - 2008 CollegeBoard Managed 7 low-performing at-risk districts 2006 - 2007 Florida High School Tallahassee, Florida School Grade A; 11th grade Science Proficiency - 50% |
| Mathematics | Brenda Holt | | | .5 | WGHS 2011-2012 School Grade Pending |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Each teacher will complete an Professional Learning Plan (highlighting goals, strategies, and professional development needs) through PAEC. Regular meetings with new and returning teachers wil be scheduled to insure that teachers receive assistance to perfect their crafts. | Principal Pauline West | On-going | |
| | Provide support to all instructional personnel. Newly hired and beginning teachers are assigned to grade-level and department teams as well as highly qualified and effective mentors in their subject-area departments to introduce | Principal Pauline West Assistant Principal Keith Dowdell | | |

| 2 | procedures of the school and assist with academic planning/teaching, strategies/resources, and classroom management. Professional faculty (more than 3 years successful teaching experience) are given coaching plans for supportative purposes only. The academic coaches provide the data, instructional focus materials,and coaching/modeling/training assistance as needed throughout the year. The administrative team provides assistance through professional development, routine observations (CWTs) and feedback, and the use of data to guide the overall instructional delivery and assessment processes. | Academic Coaches Reading - Carla Wells; Science - Yasmeen Leon; Mathematics - Brenda Holt; Carolyn Francis - Senior High Reading Consultant with ETO Department | On-going | |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--|
| 3 | The district will improve opportunities for career and professional growth. After evaluations, teachers that have raised student achievement and learning gains of their students at least 65% will be given incentive pay as a reward. Bonuses will range from \$1000 to \$3000. | Principal Pauline West District RTTT | Beginning September 2012 | |
| 4 | The administrative team along with the district's academic leadership team will provide teachers with ongoing, high- quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the district's strategic plan and the school's goals and alternative program plan. | District (Personnel/Professional Development Director, K-12 Director, and Deputy Superintendent) and School Administrative leadership teams | On-going | |
| 5 | Prior to first week of employment, the Personnel Department along with the Director, hosts an orientation workshop to formally welcome new hires, complete necessary induction forms/applications/email apps, register for and practice using district communication/TERMS programs as they pertain to job performance. Certification/licensure processing is also provided for those requiring temporary and/or permanent issuance of teaching certificates. At the building site level, new hirees are extended the courtesy of school and community information if seeking to relocate in area or vicinity of district. Grade level and/or subject area teams are established so that all teachers have professional learning communities in which to collaborate and partner. | District and School-level Administrative Teams | On-going | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|
| |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
| 24 | 4.2%(1) | 25.0%(6) | 16.7%(4) | 45.8%(11) | 41.7%(10) | 62.5%(15) | 12.5%(3) | 0.0%(0) | 8.3%(2) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|-------------|------------------------|--|---|
| Carla Wells | Reginald Cunningham | First year Teacher; Both persons have an established rapport. The reading coach has over 15 years of teaching and curriculum training and experience as both a school level reading coach and administrative team member in a Differentiated Accountability School. The coach also has extensive background knowledge in the NG CARPD, NGSSS, FCAT test item specifications, and assessment development and alignment to the CCSS. | One-on-one mentoring in lesson planning, classroom management strategies, individual student interventions, technical assistance, data analysis, organizational skills and management; demonstrate, develop and share model lessons; co- teach in another classroom; plan and/or deliver professional development; facilitate Professional Learning Communities (PLCs); model lessons for teachers to students; share best practices; develop and share lessons plans; collaborate with administration and colleagues on school- based activities to promote student engagement and parent/community involvement; Complete the district's Professional Teacher Competency Program |
| Brenda Holt | Reginald Forehand | Returning first Teacher after seven years; Both persons have an established rapport. The mathematics coach has over 25 years of teaching and curriculum training and experience as both a school level mathematics coach and administrative team member in a Differentiated Accountability School. The coach also has extensive background knowledge in the NGSSS, FCAT test item specifications, and Algebra 1 and Geometry EOCS, assessment development and alignment to the using Data Director. | One-on-one mentoring in lesson planning, classroom management strategies, individual student interventions, technical assistance, data analysis, organizational skills and management; demonstrate, develop and share model lessons; co- teach in another classroom; plan and/or deliver professional development; facilitate Professional Learning Communities (PLCs); model lessons for teachers to students; share best practices; develop and share lessons plans; collaborate with administration and colleagues on school- based activities to promote student engagement and parent/community involvement; Complete the district's Professional Teacher Competency Program |

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I, Part A, resources and services will be used to help students achieve a high-quality education and acquire the skills necessary to be successful beyond graduation. Requested resources will be use to hire teachers, provide teacher training, and pay for stipends that focuses on raising student achievement levels. Professional development, such as NG CAR-PD --- content area reading professional development --- will be provided for the faculty members who are current teaching core academic areas other than reading.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Through the Title I, Part C-Migrant Education Program (MEP), funds, services and supports for students and parents have already been satisfied through the district. Because migrant students have the same risk factors as other students and also face further challenges because of their frequent moves, we have established a partnership for liaison and academic services on a needs basis with local office (PAEC) for after-school and week-end tutorials and ESOL strategies classes. PAEC along with the district also promotes the coordination of educational and support services including the timely transfer of academic records.

Title I, Part D

The District receives funds from the Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth who are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk which supports our efforts to improve educational services for such students to have the opportunity to meet the same challenging State academic content standards and challenging State student academic achievement standards that all children in the State are expected to meet; to provide the services needed to make a successful transition from institutionalization to further schooling; to prevent these at-risk youth from dropping out of school; and to provide dropouts, children, and youth returning from correctional facilities or institutions with the follow-up services they need to continue their education and to meet the same challenging state standards required of all students.

Title II

District receives funds for developing and implementing initiatives to assist in recruiting and retaining highly qualified teachers (particularly initiatives that have proven effective in retaining highly qualified teachers), and hiring highly qualified teachers, who will be assigned teaching positions within their fields (including recruiting and hiring highly qualified teachers to reduce class size, particularly in the early grades). Funds have also been secured to provide professional development activities. We are proposing to provide differentiated instruction and CAR-PD training for the entire faculty. As a low performing school and the district's only alternative school site, we are also proposing to use funds to improve student academic achievement through K-12 school reform particularly as it relates to teacher professional development and the infusion of innovative and engaging technology-based learning tools and digital content. Technology-based programs for teachers and students include FCAT Explorer for test preparation, Florida Achieve (assessments), Accelerated Reader (intervention, assessment, and monitoring), Data Director (assessment and progress monitoring), READ 180 and EDGE (reading supplement and intervention), SuccessMaker (reading and mathematics) and OdysseyWare (course credit recovery).

Title III

Services are provided through the district to help ensure ELLs attain English proficiency, achieve at high academic levels in English, and meet the same challenging state academic content and student academic achievement standards as all other students.

Title X- Homeless

District Homeless Liaison Coordinator and District Social Worker both team together to provide resources (clothing, tutorials, social services referrals --- medical, dental, mental, domestic violence, etc., school supplies, etc.) for students identified as homeless under the Mc-Kinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

The district's academic intervention programs and funds are coordinated through the Title I program. SAI funds are used to help students gain at least a year of knowledge for each year in school and to help students not to be left behind. SAI providers have been contracted to offer eligible students supplemental instruction strategies including, but not limited to reading instruction, after-school instruction, tutoring, mentoring and intensive skills development in summer school and other methods to improve student achievement. Additionally, the school has begun its 21st Century Community Learning Centers and SES after-school tutorials and programs. The 21st CCLC program will target elementary, middle and high school students exclusively for academic enrichment and recreation.

The school offers a number of preventative non-violence measures to combat violence among its students including the following: partnerships with local law enforcement agencies; teen court program; a school resource officer; three security officers; gang-resistance officer on call; administrator for discipline; and in-school suspension teacher; implementation of the district student code of conduct, including a bullying policy; restriction of use of cell phones on campus; faculty and staff duty assignments before, during and after-school; and the wearing of school uniforms (both students and staff throughout the school).

Nutrition Programs

The school and district participate in the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and School Breakfast Program (SBP) which assist in providing nutritious meals to children at a reasonable price or reduced cost or free. In addition to financial assistance, the School Lunch Program provides donated commodity foods to help reduce lunch program costs. All students are encouraged to participate to minimize the stress and anxiety often associated with hunger during the school day. Breakfast is free on a daily basis for all students regardless of economic status.

Housing Programs

Head Start

Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Through grant funding and a partnership with the Gadsden Technical Institute and Tallahassee Community College, students in grades 10 - 12 are allowed to enroll in programs such as the Cosmetology, Small Engine Repair, Welding, Automotive Repair, Business and Computer Applications (9-12), Health Care and Nursing, etc.

Job Training

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The Rtl Leadership Team includes the Administrative Team, Behavior Specialists, Guidance Counselor, Teachers, ESE Teacher, School Psychologist, Program Specialist, Instructional Coaches as Needed, Speech Language Pathologist, and Parents.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RtI Team will function accordingly using the problem-solving method as follows:

1. Step 1, the team defines the problem by determining the discrepancy between what is expected and what is occurring. Ask, "What is the problem?"

2. Step 2, the team analyzes the problem using data to determine why the discrepancy is occurring. Ask, "Why is it taking place?"

3. Step 3, Establish a student performance goal, develop an intervention plan to address the goal, and delineate how the student's progress will be monitored and implementation integrity will be ensured. Ask, "What are we going to do about it?" 4. Step 4, Use progress monitoring data to evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention plan based on the student's response to the intervention plan. Ask, "Is it working?" If not, how will the intervention plan be adjusted to better support the student's progress?

How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?.

1) The administrative team role is to develop a school implementation plan and ensure its implementation. Meeting will be held monthly and more frequently if requested.

2) The school psychologist will be responsible for administering the assessment(s) and completing the psychological or

evaluation report(s).

3) General Education Teachers will provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention (Core Instruction and Universal Interventions), collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions (Strategic and Targeted Group Interventions), and integrate Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities (Comprehensive and Intensive Interventions.

4) ESE teacher will participate in the data collection phase also, integrate core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborate with general education teachers, especially through co-teaching.

5) program Special will serve as a resource person for interventions and evidenced-based strategies in working with all students, and a programming resource for ESE teacher. This person will also assist with the responsible of keeping parents informed throughout entire process, monitoring ESE paperwork, and scheduling and conducting student study team (IEP) and manifestation conferences.

6) Instructional Coaches (Reading, Science, and Mathematics) will identify appropriate, evidenced-based intervention strategies; assist with the whole school screening programs that provide early intervention services for students to be considered "at-risk", assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis, participate in professional development, and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI Leadership Team's role is to meet with the SAC and principal to help develop the SIP. The team is expected to: provide data regarding the efficacy of interventions so that meaningful decisions can be made about which instruction and interventions should be maintained; help set clear expectations for instruction; facilitate the development of a systemic approach to teaching and align processes and procedures.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Performance Matters and Skyward will be the new data collection systems for the district and all school sites – Data includes baseline, midterm, and end of year reports of academic, discipline, and demographics as well as student/parent(s)/teacher feedback/interactions; Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network – FAIR (Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading); FCAT Explorer and Florida Achieve (Benchmark Assessments in reading, mathematics, and science); Academic Intervention Programs such as SuccessMaker (reading and mathematics); Acaletics, Go Math, READ 180, EDGE, Accelerated Reader, Write Score, etc.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during faculty meetings, teachers' planning time, and small sessions throughout the year until completion. The RtI team (which includes the Principal) will be responsible for scheduling training sessions through the district's staff development/personnel director.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Pauline West, Principal Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Carla Wells, Reading Coach Carolyn Francis, ETO Reading Specialist Yasmeen Leon, Science Coach/Teacher Brenda Holt, Mathematics Coach ESE Teacher Social Studies Chairperson Claudia Campbell, Media Specialist Andrea Hayes, Data Entry Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The literacy leadership team is comprised of people within the school and district who all have expertise in effective literacy instruction for children from birth to grade 12. This team is presently being formed and will be meeting throughout the 2012-13 school year to develop a school-based literacy plan for Carter-Parramore and HOPE Academies. The function of the team is as follows: establishing literacy as a priority; developing an appropriate platform of beliefs; ensuring quality instruction; maximizing time; assessing performance and ensuring accountability; fostering staff development and promoting communities of learners; foraging links between home and school and building capacity.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Major initiatives include the following: engaging in peer coaching; videoing class sessions; conducting mini-workshops throughout the year; initiating PLCs; visiting schools who have had success with similar concerns; researching strategies to address the concerns; providing materials, resources, assistance to address concerns; attending workshops/conferences on topics; collecting and analyzing additional data on subjects; creating surveys, portfolios and interviews with school community; modeling lessons in classrooms; analyzing and reviewing data; and sharing and reporting data.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Students need to develop thoughtful interpretations of what they read. They need to approach the task as active makers of meaning. Teachers will encourage students to become active participants in the classroom community by tailoring their instructional strategies and methods to the needs of their classes and the individuals in those classes. Various instructional activities will be considered and utilized to ensure that teaching reading strategies becomes the responsibility of every teacher at Carter-Parramore Academy. Plans include 1) Helping students prepare to read; 2) Help students employ effective reading strategies during reading; 3) Help students understand and respond after reading; 4) Using pre-reading; 5) Activating what students know; 6) Building background knowledge; 7) Determining purpose and strategy; 8) Embed strategies specific to content that bridges understanding of text understanding; 9) Understanding author's key vocabulary; 10) Explicitly modeling for the students the thinking/reading process one might go through as one reads using think-alouds, response journals, reciprocal questioning, reading and thinking guides, and directed reading-thinking activity; 11) After reading, facilitate responses in ways that bridge reader and text by though the use of critical questionings and shared inquiry; and 12) Offering NGCAR-PD training.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Workforce Education has responsibility for the development of curriculum frameworks for career and technical education programs from middle school through AS degrees. These programs are organized into 16 Career Clusters. Each program curriculum framework includes the technical and academic skills that are essential to the career/occupation. "What a student needs to know and be able to do." Teachers incorporate the frameworks as they teach core academic content as applicable.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

CPA uses The Career Cruiser, a career exploration resource used to promote career development for students at the elementary and middle school level. It provides self-assessment activities to assist students in thinking about the relationship between personal interests and career goals. Charts display sample occupations in 17 career clusters. Occupational descriptions, average earnings, and minimum educational level required for the job are also listed.

CPA also uses Florida CHOICES Planner, the state's career information delivery system. It provides career and educational exploration and information as well. Florida CHOICES includes assessments for interests, skills, and values as well as information on careers and postsecondary education. Accountability reports for the school's management of student usage are retrievable from the Professional Tools section of CHOICES.

At the end of students' eighth grade year, all are enrolled in personal accounts and trained to use the state's FACTS.org tool for college and career readiness and monitoring.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

Gadsden is currently at the state's average total for graduates entering community colleges by the Fall of their graduation year (31%). Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report include:

1) Begin providing College Placement Testing and Postsecondary Education Readiness Test preparation during students' freshman year and continuing through their senior high year. After-school programs, similar to SES provider, that serve our students will also be encouraged to provide these services as well.

2) Host Career/College fair or expo on campus; invite all students in grades 4 - 12.

3) Administer the ACT placement tests to eligible 10th-12th grade students.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1a. FCAT2.0: Stu | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| reading. Reading Goal #1 | | g at Achievement Level 3 | at least a Level Less than 10% have achieveme Students earn le content areas o | By May 2013, 10% of CPA students in grades 4-10 will score at least a Level 3 in Reading on FCAT 2.0 (8% increase) Less than 10% of students in individual grade levels 4-10 have achievement level scores higher than Levels 1 and 2. Students earn less than 50% of available points in the content areas of Vocabulary, Reading Application, Literary Analysis, and Informational Text and Research Process. | | | |
| 2012 Current Le | vel of Perforr | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | | |
| Reading 2% | | | Reading 10% | | | | |
| | Pr | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | |
| Anticipa | ted Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| School Atte Reading De | | reading across the curriculum; i.e., NGCAR- PD Utilize classroom libraries in every class Establish a school literacy council to address best practice literacy strategies. Use Progress Monitoring Reporting Network (PMRN) as suggested by the state reading initiative. Use FCIM as a capacity- building approach to focus on providing data- | Pauline West, Principal Carla Wells, Reading Coach Shelia Galloway, Middle Grades Reading Teacher Elaine Anderson, High School Reading Teacher Sharon Paul, 6th Grade Teacher Bridget Butler, Elementary Education Teacher (4th - 5th Grade) Carolyn Francis, Secondary Reading Program Specialist, ETO RTI Team Annette Baker, | FAIR, FCAT, FCIM focus lesson assessments. Standard Plus Common Core Lessons grades 5-8. Monitor tardies and absences. Data Chats with students on a quarterly basis. Classroom walkthroughs. | Mini Assessments Progress Monitoring Reporting Network Performance Matters Success-Maker FCIM Assessments | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.

Reading Goal #1b:

| | | | 1 | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2 | | | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or tion ponsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

| | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and re group: | ference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| Level | 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: | | | t N/A | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process to | o Increase Studer | t Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of P | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | By May 2013, 47% of CPA students will make Learning Gains in Reading on FCAT 2.0 (5% increase) Less than 10% of students in individual grade levels 4-10 have achievement level scores higher than Levels 1 and 2. Students earn less than 50% of available points in the content areas of Vocabulary, Reading Application, Literary Analysis, and Informational Text and Research Process. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 42% (50) | 47% (65) | | | |
| Decklare, Coluine Decessor to | | | | |

| | Pr | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | School attendance. Reading deficiencies. | Develop a school-wide attendance plan. Implement the District's Reading Plan. Provide staff development to teach reading across the curriculum. Establish a school literacy council to address best practice literacy strategies. Used Progress Monitoring Reporting Network (PMRN) as suggested by the state reading initiative. Use FCIM as a capacity- building approach to focus on providing data- driven instruction for all students | Pauline West, Principal Carla Wells, Reading Coach Sheila Galloway, Reading Teacher Elaine Anderson, Reading Teacher Sharon Paul,6th Grade Teacher Bridget Butler, Elementary Teacher (4th -5th Grade) Carolyn Francis, Secondary Reading Program Specialist, ETO | FAIR, FCAT, FCIM focus lesson assessments. Monitor tardies and absences. Data Chats with students. Classroom walkthroughs. | Progress Monitoring Reporting Network (PMRN). Success-Maker CAI for Reading Standard Plus Daily CCSS Lessons and Assessments FCIM assessments. Report Cards. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and referred of improvement for the following group: | erence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |
| | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Resnonsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following group: | rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | By May 2013, 50% of students in the lowest 25 percentile (in grades 4-10) will make Learning Gains in Reading on FCAT 2.0. (baseline) Less than 10% of students in individual grade levels 4-10 have achievement level scores higher than Levels 1 and 2. Students earn less than 50% of available points in the content areas of Vocabulary, Reading Application, Literary Analysis, and Informational Text and Research Process. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| N/A | 50% (69) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | 5 | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | School Attendance Reading Deficiencies | Develop a school-wide attendance plan. Implement the District's Reading Plan Provide staff development to teach reading across the curriculum; i.e., NGCAR- RD Utilize classroom libraries in every class Establish a school literacy council to address best practice literacy strategies. Use Progress Monitoring Reporting Network (PMRN) as suggested by the state reading initiative Use FCIM as a capacity- building approach to focus on providing data- driven instruction for all students | Pauline West, Principal Carla Wells, Reading Coach Shelia Galloway, Middle Grades Reading Teacher Elaine Anderson, High School Reading Teacher Sharon Paul, 6th Grade Teacher Bridget Butler, Elementary Education Teacher (4th - 5th Grade) Carolyn Francis, Secondary Reading Program Specialist, ETO RTI Team | FAIR, FCAT, FCIM focus lesson assessments. Standard Plus Common Core Lessons grades 5-8. Monitor tardies and absences. Data Chats with students on a quarterly basis. Classroom walkthroughs. | Performance Matters Success-Maker |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

Reading Goal #

| school will red by 50%. | uce their achi | evement gap | 5A : | | | | v | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 201 | 4-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | udent achieveme wing subgroup: | ent data, and refe | erence to "G | uiding Ques | tions", identify a | and define areas in need | |
| Hispanic, Asia | 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. | | | | | | | |
| Reading Goal | #5B: | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current | Level of Per | formance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | Problem-Sol | ving Process to | Increase S ⁻ | tudent Ach | ievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy | | Pos Res for | son or ition ponsible iitoring | Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy | е | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|-----------|--|-----------------|
| 5C. English Language Le satisfactory progress in | | ing | | | |
| Reading Goal #5C: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perform | nance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving P | Process to L | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5D:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exj | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solv | ing Process to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | | No Data S | Submitted | | | |

| Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo | | ata, and refer | ence to "G | uiding Questions", iden | tify and define areas in need |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. | | | | | |
| Reading Goal #5E: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving | Process to I | ncrease S ⁻ | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or ion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| FCIM Overview | English/ Language Arts Grades 4 - 12 | Principal Reading Coach Assistant Principal | All Reading and English/Language Arts Teacher - Grades 4 through | August 13, 2012 Initial October 22, 2012 Follow-up | CWTs and Lesson Plans | Reading Coach Principal Assistant Principal |

| Literacy Across the Curriculum – Use text complexity, close reading, comprehension instruction sequence, and vocabulary development in mathematics classes to improve reading and writing | English/ Language Arts Grades 4 - 12 | Principal Dr. T. Madge, Consultant Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist | All Reading and English/Language Arts Teacher - Grades 4 through 12 | October 22, 2012 Intial Follow-up Ongoing throughout May 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Teacher reflections on level of student engagement and change in academic performance (effectiveness | Principal Dr. T. Madge, Consultant Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| CPALMS – Florida's Platform for educators to Collaborate, Plan, Align, Learn, Motivate and Share - Training to provide a resource for assistance with effective implementation Florida's standards (both NGSSS and CCSS) and course content and professional development in a self- paced format | English/ Language Arts Grades 4 - 12 | Principal Reading Coach Assistant Principal | All Reading and English/Language Arts Teacher - Grades 4 through 12 | October 22, 2012 through May 31, 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans | Principal Assistant Principal Academic Coaches |
| Literacy and Reading http://www.startwithsuccess.com Reading Strategies for Middle and Secondary Teachers Vocabulary Development Three Interactive Elements of Reading Motivating Materials and Strategies for Readers Using Environmental Print; Gathering Environmental Print; Environmental Print in 4-8; Environmental Print in 9-12; and Issues Surrounding the Use of Environmental Print Differentiating | All subject areas 4-12 | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach | All Teachers 4-12 | October 22, 2012 - May 31, 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Reflection Journals | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach |

| Instruction http://www.startwithsuccess.com Implementing Differentiated Instruction; K-6 Strategies: 1; K-6 Strategies: II; 7-12 | All subject areas 4-12 | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach | All Teachers 4-12 | October 22, 2012 - May 31, 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Reflection Journals | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach |
|--|------------------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Strategies: I; 7-12 Strategies: II | | Science Coach | | | Data Reports shared through | Science Coach Principal |
| Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisions | All subject areas 4-12 | District Staff | All Teachers 4-12 | October 2012 - May 2013 | Data Chats with Administrators and Students (Parent Conferences) Instructional Delivery (CWTs and lesson plans) | Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist Assistant Principal |
| Best Teaching Practices http://www.startwithsuccess.com Developing a Classroom Climate Reinforcing Effort and Providing Recognition Teacher Expectations Homework and Practice | All subject areas 4-12 | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach | All Teachers 4-12 | October 22, 2012 - May 31, 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Reflection Journals | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach |
| Using Data to Make Decisions - A self-directed course to learn about techniques for collecting and analyzing data that does not require complex mathematics. Participants will get lesson plans, practice exercises, links to relevant articles, and case studies of teachers who are using data in their classrooms. PD is basedContinuous Improvement Cycle of Plan, Do, Study, and Act. | All subject areas 4-12 | Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach Mathematics Coach Science Coach | All Teachers 4-12 | October 2012 - May 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans FCIM Implementation Models (charts, graphs, tables, spreadsheets, etc. of data analysis) | Principal Reading Coach Mathematics Coach Science Coach Assistant Principal |

| (Florida Center for Instructional Technology - http://fcit.usf.edu/data/index.html | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| Multimedia in the Classroom: Engaging Students - PD focuses on using multimedia in the classroom as tools to help students visualize, synthesize, convey, and construct knowledge. This course will be used in a PLC forum. (Florida Center for Instructional Technology - http://fcit.usf.edu/multimedia/index.html) | All subject areas 4-12 | Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach Science Coach Mathematics Coach | All Teachers 4-12 | October 2012 - May 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Teacher reflections on level of student engagement (effectiveness) | Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach Science Coach Mathematics Coach |
| Overview of Common Core State Standards Specific PD 1) Text Complexity 2) CIS 3) Close Reading 4) Vocabulary Development | All subject areas 4-12 | Principal Assistant Principal Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist | All Teachers 4-12 | August 2012 (Pre- planning) October 2012 - May 2013 (Ongoing) | CWTs and Lesson Plans Teacher reflections on level of student engagement (effectiveness) | Principal Reading Coach Assistant Principal ETO Reading Specialist |
| NGCAR-PD | Selected Teachers in Science and Social Studies Areas | Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist District Reading Team | Selected Teachers in Science and Social Studies Areas | October 2012 - May 2013 Ongoing through completion | CWTs, Lesson Plans, and Implementation of components until completions | |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | m(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| NGCAR-PD | Completion of NG CAR-PD and NG CAR-PD Practicum will make a teacher eligible to serve as a reading intervention teacher at district discretion by having FCAT level 2 students who are in prime need of vocabulary and comprehension intervention in the CTE elective courses. | Title I Title II | \$2,000.00 |
| | Series of self-paced training as needed for teacher effectiveness to include: Developing a Classroom Climate Reinforcing Effort and Providing Recognition Teacher Expectations Homework and | | |

| Technology Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount CPALMS Florida's Platform for educators to conduct and Share - Training to provide a resource for assistance with effective implementation Florida's standards (both NGSSS and CCSS) and course content and professional development in a self- paced format Title I S500.00 Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisions Atta management and teacher effectiveness platform that supports the information needs of today's teachers, school system end to sustain student learning and instructional decisions District Technology Funds \$4,000.00 Professional Development Subtotal: \$4,500.00 S4,500.00 S4,000.00 Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount Overview of Common Core State Standards Scompenent Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount Overview of Common Core State Standards Scompenent The Common Core State Standards provide a consistent, clear understanding of what students are expected to learn, so teachers and parents Knowledge and skills that our young people need for success in college and careers. Title I Title I \$2,000.00 Shared Inquiry TM Discussion using CCSS Classroom tubraries and Blutord Series collectio | | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Literacy Across the Curriculum - Use that complexity, close reading, strategies and workdonce supporting the need for an indicide praces and high schools. The goal of this PD is to show participants how they can mount a successful campaign resulting in nore literate graduates with a portion instruction instruction successful campaign resulting in nore literate graduates with a portion instruction in mount instruction in portion of Resources is tornising lutures.Title 1 Title II\$2,000.00Iterate ControllogyDescription of Resources is provide a resources in provide a resource in assignment and communication. Provide a resource in assignment and communication. Provide a resource in assignment and command provide a resource in assignment and command provide a resource in assignment and command in the provide and indication instruction provide a resource in assignment and command in the provide and indication instruction and command interview in the information interview in the information needs of today's teachers, school system and instruction.Title 1 Title II\$4,000.00Performance Matters training to support data-civiem instructional decisions - when the information needs of today's teachers, school system and instruction.District Technology Funds\$4,000.00Performance Matters training to support data-civiem instructional decisions - when the information needs of today's teachers, school system and instruction.District Technology Funds\$4,000.00Performance Matters training to support data civiem instructional decisio | Differentiating Instruction; and Literacy and Reading | Differentiated Instruction K-6 Strategies: 1; K-6 Strategies: 11; 7- 12 Strategies: 1; 7-12 Strategies: 11 Reading Strategies for Middle and Secondary Teachers Vocabulary Development Three Interactive Elements of Reading Motivating Materials and Strategies for Readers Using Environmental Print; Gathering Environmental Print; Environmental Print in 4-8; Environmental Print in 9-12; and Issues Surrounding the Use of Environmental Print | Title I Title II | \$1,500.00 |
| Technology Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount CPALMS Florida's Platform for educators to conduct and Share - Training to provide a resource for assistance with effective implementation Florida's standards (both NGSSS and CCSS) and course content and professional development in a self- paced format Title I S500.00 Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisions Atta management and teacher effectiveness platform that supports the information needs of today's teachers, school system end to sustain student learning and instructional decisions District Technology Funds \$4,000.00 Professional Development Subtotal: \$4,500.00 S4,500.00 S4,000.00 Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount Overview of Common Core State Standards Scompenent Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount Overview of Common Core State Standards Scompenent The Common Core State Standards provide a consistent, clear understanding of what students are expected to learn, so teachers and parents Knowledge and skills that our young people need for success in college and careers. Title I Title I \$2,000.00 Shared Inquiry TM Discussion using CCSS Classroom tubraries and Blutord Series collectio | Use text complexity, close reading, comprehension instruction sequence, and vocabulary development in mathematics classes to improve reading, writing | up through CWT To provide training, strategies and evidence supporting the need for an intensive literacy campaign in middle grades and high schools. The goal of this PD is to show participants how they can mount a successful campaign resulting in more literate graduates with a greater chance of completing postsecondary education and qualifying for well-paying jobs with | Title I Title II | \$2,000.00 |
| StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAvailable AmountCPALMSFlorida's Platform for educators to Collaborate, Plan, Align, Learn, Mitwitate and Shares Training — to privite fractive implementation effective implementation of the formatic standards (both MGSs) and CGSS) and course content and professional development in a self- paced formatTitle I\$500.00Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisionsEnterspective implementation professional development in a self- paced formatDistrict Technology Funds\$4,000.00Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisionsDistrict Technology Funds\$4,000.00Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisionsDescription of Resources to the instructional process have ready access to the information and resources to the instructional provide a consistent, clear understanding of what student learning are expected to learn, so teachers are expect | | | | Subtotal: \$5,500.00 |
| Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Amount CPALMS Fordia's Platform for educators to Collaborate, Plan, Align, Learn, Portovice are Sthere - Training into | | | | Available |
| CPALMSCollaborate, Pian, Align, Learn, provide a resource for assistance provide a resource for assistance processional development in a self- paced formatTitle I\$500.00Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisionsPerformance Matters provides an integrated student assessment, data management and teacher effectiveness platform that support data-driven instructional decisionsDistrict Technology Funds\$4,000.00Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisionsDistrict Technology Funds\$4,000.00Performance Matters training to today's teachers, school systems usuport data-driven instructional decisionsDistrict Technology Funds\$4,000.00Porforssional DevelopmentDescription of Resources to the information and instruction.Subtotal: \$4,500.00Professional DevelopmentDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAvailable AmountOverview of Common Core State Standards Specific PD 1) Text complexity 2) (IS 3) Close Reading are designed to be robust and reflexing the knowledge and skills are designed to be robust and reflexing the knowledge and skills are designed to be robust and reflexing the knowledge and skills and issurces in college and careers.Title I Title II\$2,000.00Shared Inquiry ^{rw} Discussion using Buldrof Series collections.Sis a leader in text-based. Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, and resources for Shared Inquiry ^{rw} Discussion sing Buldrof Series collections.Sis a leader in text-based. Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, and resources for Shared Inquiry ^{rw} Discussion matcher reformating resulted in andi | Strategy | | Funding Source | |
| Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisionsIntegrated student assessment, data management and teacher effectiveness platform that supports the information needs of today's teachers, school system leaders, students and parents. Using Performance Matters, all stakeholders in the educational process have ready access to the information and resources they need to sustain student learning and instruction.District Technology Funds\$4,000.00Subtotal: \$4,500.00Professional DevelopmentSubtotal: \$4,500.00Overview of Common Core State StrategyDescription of Resources norder state and parents know what they need to do to help them. The standards are designed to be robust and relevant to the real world, reflecting the knowledge and skills that our young people need for success in college and careers.Title I Title II\$2,000.00Shared Inquiry™ Discussion using Bluford Series collections.Professional Learning: Great Books is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, and resources for Shared Inquiry™Title I Title II\$500.00Shared Inquiry™ Discussion using Bluford Series collections.Professional Learning: Great Books is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion programs that build young minds, improve critical thinking, and prove critical thinking, and prove tribal thinking, and prove critical thinking, and prove critical thinking, and prove tribal thinking, and prove critical thinking, and provecritical | CPALMS | Collaborate, Plan, Align, Learn, Motivate and Share - Training to provide a resource for assistance with effective implementation Florida's standards (both NGSSS and CCSS) and course content and professional development in a self- | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Professional Development Available Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Available Amount Overview of Common Core State Standards Specific PD 1) Text Complexity 2) CIS 3) Close Reading 4) Vocabulary Development The Common Core State Standards are expected to learn, so teachers and parents know what they need to do to help them. The standards are designed to be robust and relevant to the real world, reflecting the knowledge and skills that our young people need for success in college and careers. Title I Title II \$2,000.00 Shared Inquiry™ Discussion using CCSS Classroom Libraries and Bluford Series collections. Professional Learning: Great Books is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, and resources for Shared Inquiry™ Discussion The K-12 entails | support data-driven instructional | integrated student assessment, data management and teacher effectiveness platform that supports the information needs of today's teachers, school system leaders, students and parents. Using Performance Matters, all stakeholders in the educational process have ready access to the information and resources they need to sustain student learning | District Technology Funds | \$4,000.00 |
| StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAvailable AmountOverview of Common Core State Standards Specific PD 1) Text Complexity 2) CIS 3) Close Reading 4) Vocabulary DevelopmentThe Common Core State Standards are expected to learn, so teachers and parents know what they need to do to help them. The standards are designed to be robust and relevant to the real world, reflecting the knowledge and skills that our young people need for success in college and careers.Title I Title II\$2,000.00Shared Inquiry™ Discussion using Bluford Series collections.Professional Learning: Great Books is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, Discussion The K-12 entails classroom reading and discussion programs that build young minds, improve critical thinking, and promote higher student achievement.Title I Title II\$500.00 | | | | Subtotal: \$4,500.00 |
| StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAmountOverview of Common Core StateThe Common Core State Standards provide a consistent, clear understanding of what students are expected to learn, so teachers and parents know what they need to do to help them. The standards are designed to be robust and relevant to the real world, reflecting the knowledge and skills that our young people need for success in college and careers.Title I Title II\$2,000.00Shared Inquiry™ Discussion using CCSS Classroom Libraries and Bluford Series collections.Professional Learning: Great Books is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion, The K-12 entails classroom reading and discussion programs that build young minds, improve critical thinking, and promote higher studentTitle I Title II\$500.00 | Professional Development | | | |
| Overview of Common Core State Standards Specific PD 1) Text Complexity 2) CIS 3) Close Reading 4) Vocabulary Developmentprovide a consistent, clear understanding of what students are expected to learn, so teachers and parents know what they need to do to help them. The standards are designed to be robust and relevant to the real world, reflecting the knowledge and skills that our young people need for success in college and careers.Title I Title II\$2,000.00Shared Inquiry™ Discussion using CCSS Classroom Libraries and Bluford Series collections.Professional Learning: Great Books is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, and resources for Shared Inquiry™ Discussion. The K-12 entails classroom reading and discussion programs that build young minds, improve critical thinking, and promote higher student achievement.Title I Title II\$500.00 | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | |
| is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, and resources for Shared Inquiry™ Discussion. The K-12 entails classroom Libraries and Bluford Series collections. Title I Title II \$500.00 programs that build young minds, improve critical thinking, and promote higher student achievement. | Standards Specific PD 1) Text Complexity 2) CIS 3) Close Reading | provide a consistent, clear understanding of what students are expected to learn, so teachers and parents know what they need to do to help them. The standards are designed to be robust and relevant to the real world, reflecting the knowledge and skills that our young people need for | Title I Title II | \$2,000.00 |
| Subtotal: \$2,500.00 | CCSS Classroom Libraries and | is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, and resources for Shared Inquiry™ Discussion. The K-12 entails classroom reading and discussion programs that build young minds, improve critical thinking, and promote higher student | Title I Title II | \$500.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$2,500.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| Florida Test Ready for Reading | Test Ready curriculum provides robust support for educators of all levels—all in an easy-to-use format. Suggested pacing charts and detailed lessons plans included. Step by Step sections provide background material and scripting. The program also provides rigorous instruction on the Common Core using a proven-effective gradual- release approach that builds student confidence, and prepare students for more complex assessment items with full-length practice tests and interim assessments. | Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| Standards Plus - CIM Lessons in Reading and Reading Intervention | Lessons specifically written to address the content, rigor, and enhanced student performance expectations embedded in the Common Core State Standards. The Language Arts materials address the following Common Core Strands: Reading: Literature, Reading: Informational Text, Reading: Foundational Skills, Writing: Opinion, Writing: Informative/Explantory, Writing: Narrative, Language: Capitalization, Language: Grammar and Usage, Language: Punctuation, Language: Spelling, and Language: Vocabulary Acquisition and Use. | Title I | \$4,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$6,000.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$18,500.00 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. | | | | | | |
| CELLA Goal #1: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent | of Students Proficient in | listening/speaki | ing: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ess to Increase S | tudent Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Monitoring Strategy Evaluation Tool | | | | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

| CELLA Goal #2: | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving | Process to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi [:] Resp for | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Students scoring pr | oficient in writing. | | | | | | |
| CELLA Goal #3: | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pro | ocess to Increase S | Student Achievement | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Monitoring Person or Position Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | | | | | | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

CELLA Budget:

| /Material(s) | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | No Data Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources | Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data |

| of Resources Funding Source Available Amount |
|--|
| No Data \$0.00 |
| Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Grand Total: \$0.00 |
| 1 (|

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scorir mathematics. | ng at Achievement Level 3 | score at least a 3 in Less than 22% | By May 2013, 44% of the elementary school students will score at least a Level 3 in Mathematics on FCAT 2.0. Less than 22% of students school-wide (economically disadvantaged and African-American specifically) performed at proficiency in the areas of Geometry and Measurement, Statistics and Probability, Expressions, Equations, Functions, Ratios and Proportional Relationships, and Fractions as measured by FCAT Math 2.0, FCAT Math Retake and the Algebra 1 EOC assessment. | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Mathematics Goal #1a: | | at proficiency ir Statistics and P Ratios and Prop measured by F | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Perform | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | | |
| 0% (0) | | 44% (4) | | | | |
| Ρ | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| Lack of interest and motivation | Bell-to-Bell Instruction including bell ringers, essential questions, and lesson agenda (Marzano)Instructional delivery of content using Focus Calendars (FCIM); NGSSS and blended CCSSUtilize SuccessMaker3/FCAT Explorer/Florida Achieve to target areas of difficulty for differentiated instructionUse Go Math Adoption series with fidelityConcentrated daily practice and review using word problemsReading in the content areaAcaletics implementation of all components (Quik Piks, P-reviews/Hook Strategies) with fidelityHands-on- materials/centers to build computational, geometrical and algebraic thinking skillsApplication of Real-world situations to make connections with mathematical theories | Elementary Education Math Teacher Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Pauline West, Principal | Instructional Lesson Plans Classroom Observations (CWT) FCIM Implementation Coaching Action Plans to include student-teacher modeling | Data Analysis fron Mini-Assessments District's Baseline, Mid-Term and Enc of Year Assessments; Acaletics Pre-Pos Comprehensive Assessments; and SuccessMaker3 Reports 2013 FCAT 2.0 Results CWTs Response to Coaching (Teache Reflections) | | |

| base leve | dents knowledge- e is far below grade- l and differs greatly sk student readiness) | _ | Instructional Lesson Plans Classroom Observations (CWT) | Data Analysis from Mini-Assessments; District's Baseline, Mid-Term and End of Year Assessments; |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | | Utilize SuccessMaker3/FCAT | Coaching Action Plans to | Acaletics Pre-Post Comprehensive Assessments; and SuccessMaker3 Reports 2013 FCAT 2.0 Results CWTs Response to Coaching (Teacher Reflections |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. | | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #1b: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of P | | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvir | ng Process to | Increase S ⁻ | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for | | on or tion ponsible Effectiveness of Strategy | | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| 5 | based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students Level 4 in mathematics | scoring at or above Achiev | ement | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #2a: | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ess to I r | ncrease St | udent Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Perso Positi Respo for Monit | ion onsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. | | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

T

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning | By May 2013, 44% of all students in elementary grades 4-5 will make Learning Gains in Mathematics on FCAT 2.0 (44 % increase) |
|--|---|
| | Less than 22% of students school-wide (economically |
| gains in mathematics. | disadvantaged and African-American specifically) performed |
| Mathematics Goal #3a: | at proficiency in the areas of Geometry and Measurement, Statistics and Probability, Expressions, Equations, Functions, Ratios and Proportional Relationships, and Fractions as measured by FCAT Math 2.0, FCAT Math Retake and the Algebra 1 EOC assessment. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 0% (0) | 44% (4/9) |

| Pr | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | | | |
| Lack of interest and motivation | Bell-to-Bell Instruction including bell ringers, essential questions, and lesson agenda (Marzano) Instructional delivery of content using Focus Calendars (FCIM); NGSSS and blended CCSS Utilize SuccessMaker3/FCAT Explorer/Florida Achieve to target areas of difficulty for differentiated instruction. Use Go Math Adoption series with fidelity Concentrated daily practice and review using word problems Reading in the content area Acaletics implementation of all components (Quik Piks, P-reviews/Hook Strategies) with fidelity Hands-on- materials/centers to build computational, | Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Pauline West, Principal | Instructional Lesson Plans Classroom Observations (CWT) FCIM Implementation Coaching Action Plans to include student-teacher modeling | Data Analysis from Mini-Assessments; District's Baseline, Mid-Term and End of Year Assessments; Acaletics Pre-Post Comprehensive Assessments; and SuccessMaker3 Reports 2013 FCAT 2.0 Results CWTs Response to Coaching (Teacher Reflections) | | | | | | |

| Students knowledge- | geometrical and algebraic thinking skills Application of Real-world situations to make connections with mathematical theories Oral Presentations Hear It, See It, Say It, Feel It, Do It Strategies Bi-Weekly Assessments CWTs After-school Practice on Basic and Developmental Skills Use FCIM as a capacity- building approach to focus on providing data- driven instruction for all students | | Instructional Lesson | Data Analysis from |
|---------------------|--|---|---|--|
| 2 | Bell-to-Bell Instruction including bell ringers, essential questions, and lesson agenda (Marzano) Instructional delivery of content using Focus Calendars (FCIM); NGSSS and blended CCSS Utilize SuccessMaker3/FCAT Explorer/Florida Achieve to target areas of difficulty for differentiated instruction Use Go Math Adoption series with fidelity Concentrated daily practice and review using word problems Reading in the content area Acaletics implementation of all components (Quik Piks, P-reviews/Hook Strategies) with fidelity Hands-on- materials/centers to build computational, geometrical and algebraic thinking skills Application of Real-world situations to make connections with mathematical theories Oral Presentations Hear It, See It, Say It, Feel It, Do It Strategies Bi-Weekly Assessments CWTs After-school Practice on Basic and Developmental | Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Pauline West, Principal | (CWT) FCIM Implementation Coaching Action Plans to include student-teacher modeling | Data Analysis from Mini-Assessments District's Baseline, Mid-Term and Enco of Year Assessments; Acaletics Pre-Post Comprehensive Assessments; and SuccessMaker3 Reports 2013 FCAT 2.0 Results CWTs Response to Coaching (Teache Reflections) |

| | Skills Use FCIM as a capacity- building approach to focus on providing data- driven instruction for all students | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
|--|---|--|--|--|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to Li | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy For for | | son or Process Used to Determine ponsible Effectiveness of Strategy browner Strategy | | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| makiı | AT 2.0: Percentage of stung ng learning gains in math ematics Goal #4: | | Grades 4 & 5 | Grades 4 & 5 | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | | |
| 5% NO av | vailable Baseline Data for F(| CAT 2.0 for Grades 4 & 5 | 5% | 5% | | | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | t Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | ated Barrier Strategy R | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual | Meas | surable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |
|--|------|---|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap | | nentary School Mathematics Goal # |
| by 50%. | 5A : | × |

| L | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|-------------|-----------------|------|--|--------|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | ine data D-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | | 2014-201 | 5 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: | | | | | G | Grade 4 Black 2 Grade 5 Black 7 Non Black 1 | | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current | Level of Perf | ormance: | | 2 | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | | | |
| 88% | 88% | | | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| | | | Problem-Sol | ving Process to | olno | crease Studen | it Ach | nievement | | | | |
| | Antic | ipated Barrie | - Sti | rategy R | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------|------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. | | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #5C: | Mathematics Goal #5C: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Performa | nce: | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ess to I | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

| Mathematics Goal #5D: | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|--|-----------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solv | ing Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. | | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #5E: | Mathematics Goal #5E: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Performa | ance: | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proc | ess to I | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in new of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a: | By May 2013, 12% of the middle school students in grades 6, 7, and 8 will score at achievement Level 3or above in Mathematics on FCAT 2.0. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 6% (3) | 12% (8) | | | | |

| | | Person or | Process Used to | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position | Determine | Evaluation Too |
| Anticipated barrier | Strategy | Responsible for | Effectiveness of | Evaluation 100 |
| | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
| Lack of interest and motivation | Bell-to-Bell Instruction including bell ringers, essential questions, and | Brenda Holt, Math Coach Sharon Paul 6th | Instructional Lesson Plans Classroom Observations | Data Analysis from Mini-Assessments District's Baseline Mid-Term and En |
| | lesson agenda (Marzano) Instructional delivery of | Grade Math Teacher | (CWT) | of Year Assessments; |
| | content using Focus Calendars (FCIM); NGSSS and blended CCSS | Grades Teacher | Coaching Action Plans to include student-teacher modeling | Comprehensive Assessments; an SuccessMaker3 |
| | Utilize SuccessMaker3/FCAT Explorer/Florida Achieve to target areas of | Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Pauline West, | | Reports CWTs |
| | difficulty for differentiated instruction. | Principal | | Response to Coaching (Teach Reflections) |
| | Concentrated daily practice and review using word problems | | | |
| | Reading in the content area | | | |
| | Acaletics implementation of all components (Quik Piks, P-reviews/Hook Strategies) with fidelity | | | |
| | Hands-on- materials/centers to build computational, geometrical and algebraic thinking skills | | | |
| | Application of Real-world situations to make connections with mathematical theories | | | |
| | Oral Presentations Hear It, See It, Say It, Feel It, Do It Strategies | | | |
| | Bi-Weekly Assessments | | | |
| | CWTs | | | |
| | After-school Practice on Basic and Developmental Skills | | | |
| Students knowledge- base is far below grade- level and differs greatly (Lack student readiness) | Bell-to-Bell Instruction including bell ringers, essential questions, and lesson agenda (Marzano) | Brenda Holt, Math Coach Sharon Paul 6th | Instructional Lesson Plans Classroom Observations | Data Analysis fro Mini-Assessment District's Baseline Mid-Term and Er |
| Lack student (ddiness) | Instructional delivery of content using Focus | Grade Math Teacher | (CWT) Coaching Action Plans to | of Year Assessments; |
| | Calendars (FCIM); NGSSS and blended CCSS | Grades Teacher | | Comprehensive Assessments; ar SuccessMaker3 |
| | Utilize SuccessMaker3/FCAT Explorer/Florida Achieve to target areas of | Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Pauline West, | | Reports CWTs |
| | difficulty for differentiated instruction. | Principal | | Response to Coaching (Teach Reflections) |
| | Concentrated daily practice and review using word problems | | | |

| | | Reading in the content area | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | | Acaletics implementation of all components (Quik Piks, P-reviews/Hook Strategies) with fidelity | | | |
| | | Hands-on- materials/centers to build computational, geometrical and algebraic thinking skills | | | |
| | | Application of Real-world situations to make connections with mathematical theories | | | |
| | | Oral Presentations Hear It, See It, Say It, Feel It, Do It Strategies | | | |
| | | Bi-Weekly Assessments CWTs | | | |
| | | After-school Practice on Basic and Developmental Skills | | | |
| 3 | Inadequate school attendance performance; High Absenteeism | Monthly school-wide activities, incentives (including awards and rewards) for satisfactory and improved attendance | | School-wide monitoring of all homeroom (daily bulletin) and core academic classes for attendance on a daily basis | Monthly attendance reports from district's ITS Department |
| | | | Data Entry Secretary | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------|--|-----------------|
| Students scoring at Lev | 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #1b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Performa | ance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proc | cess to Li | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement

| Level 4 in mathematics. | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| Mathematics Goal #2a: | Mathematics Goal #2a: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvi | ing Process | to Increase S | tudent Achievement | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | P R f(| Person or Position Responsible or Aonitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|------------|--|-----------------|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Performa | nce: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease St | udent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| | on the analysis of student provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | | |
|--|---|----------|--|---|----------------------|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a: | | | By May 2013, 30 | By May 2013, 30% of all middle school students will make learning gains in Mathematics on FCAT 2.0 (9% increase) | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 21% (| [14] | | 30% (19) | 30% (19) | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool | | |

| | | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | base is far below grade- level and differs greatly (Lack student readiness) | Bell-to-Bell Instruction including bell ringers, essential questions, and lesson agenda (Marzano) Instructional delivery of content using Focus Calendars (FCIM); NGSSS and blended CCSS Utilize SuccessMaker3/FCAT Explorer/Florida Achieve to target areas of difficulty for differentiated instruction. Concentrated daily practice and review using word problems Reading in the content area Acaletics implementation of all components (Quik Piks, P-reviews/Hook Strategies) with fidelity Hands-on- materials/centers to build computational, geometrical and algebraic thinking skills Application of Real-world situations to make connections with mathematical theories Oral Presentations Hear It, See It, Say It, Feel It, Do It Strategies Bi-Weekly Assessments CWTs After-school Practice on Basic and Developmental Skills | Brenda Holt, Math Coach Sharon Paul, 6th Grade Math Teacher Wendy Gee, Middle Grades Teacher Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Pauline West, Principal | Instructional Lesson Plans Classroom Observations (CWT) Coaching Action Plans to | Data Analysis from Mini-Assessments; District's Baseline, Mid-Term and End of Year Assessments; Acaletics Pre-Post Comprehensive Assessments; and SuccessMaker3 Reports 2013 FCAT 2.0 Results CWTs Response to Coaching (Teacher Reflections) |
| 2 | attendance performance; High Absenteeism | Monthly school-wide activities, incentives (including awards and rewards) for satisfactory and improved attendance | RTI Team (including District Social Worker, Guidance Counselor, and Assistant Principal) Data Entry Secretary | School-wide monitoring of all homeroom (daily bulletin) and core academic classes for attendance on a daily basis | Monthly attendance reports from district's ITS Department |
| 3 | motivation | Periodically provide classrooms with highly respected motivational speakers/presentors from workforce who able to related to students | Mathematics Instructors Mathematics | Students Modeling and/or shadowing high profiled professionals gathering professional data that lead to their successes Students collected data to set own career goals using charts & graphs. | Students' profiles of professional and their own personal career paths and plans |

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvii | ng Process to I | ncrease S | itudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | · | No Data S | Submitted | | |

| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and a | define areas in need | | |
|------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| maki | AT 2.0: Percentage of sting ng learning gains in mat ematics Goal #4: | | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 0% | | | 50% (31) | 50% (31) | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | Students knowledge- base is far below grade- level and differs greatly (Lack student readiness) | Bell-to-Bell Instruction including bell ringers, essential questions, and lesson agenda (Marzano) Instructional delivery of content using Focus Calendars (FCIM); NGSSS and blended CCSS Utilize SuccessMaker3/FCAT Explorer/Florida Achieve to target areas of difficulty for differentiated instruction. Concentrated daily practice and review using word problems Reading in the content area Acaletics implementation of all components (Quik | Grades Teacher Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Pauline West, Principal | Instructional Lesson Plans Classroom Observations (CWT) FCIM Implementation Coaching Action Plans to include student-teacher modeling | Data Analysis from Mini-Assessments; District's Baseline, Mid-Term and End of Year Assessments; Acaletics Pre-Post Comprehensive Assessments; and SuccessMaker3 Reports 2013 FCAT 2.0 Results CWTs Response to Coaching (Teacher Reflections) | | |

| 1 | Piks, P-reviews/Hook Strategies) with fidelity |
|---|---|
| | Hands-on- materials/centers to build computational, geometrical and algebraic thinking skills |
| | Application of Real-world situations to make connections with mathematical theories |
| | Oral Presentations Hear It, See It, Say It, Feel It, Do It Strategies |
| | Bi-Weekly Assessments |
| | CWTs |
| | Use FCIM as a capacity- building approach to focus on providing data- driven instruction for all students |
| | After-school Practice on Basic and Developmental Skills |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | Middle School Mathematics Goal # | | | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data | , and reference to | "Guiding Questions", | identify and define | areas in need |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |

| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: | | | Grades 6, 7, 8 Blacks 55 Non Black 1 Total 56 | Blacks 55 Non Black 1 | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | |

| | ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #5C: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvi | ng Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or ion onsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| 5 | ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 5D. Students with Disab | D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making | | | | |
| satisfactory progress ir | satisfactory progress in mathematics. | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #5D: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Stu | | | | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: | Need Data | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 0 | 0 | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | | | | | |

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proc | ess to Li | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving I | Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

| in need of improvemen | it for the following gr | oup: | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: | | | Grades Elementary, Middle, and High School Mathematics | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 20% | | | 26% | | |
| | Problem-Solvin | g Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievemen | t |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data S | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| | I on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | udents scoring at Achiev ora Goal #1: | ement Level 3 in Algebra | will score at act a. Less than 5% o time takers fou Algebra I supple be with fidelity | By May 2013, 20% of all students taking the Algebra I EOC will score at achievement level 3. Less than 5% of students taking the Algebra I EOC as first-time takers found success. Implementation of the Acaletics Algebra I supplement and Glencoe Algebra I program has to be with fidelity for maximum success for the 2013 Algebra I EOC assessment. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 4% (2) | | | 20% (9) | 20% (9) | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process 1 | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | Lack of prerequisite skills and concepts for performing algebraic functions | Bell to Bell Instruction Supplement with Acaletics Algebra 1 Quik Piks and Pre-post comprehensive assessments Engage students in visual learning/models so that they can interact with mathematical concepts, process information, observe change, reflect on their experiences, | Brenda Holt, Math Coach Reginald Forehand, Secondary Math Instructor Wendy Gee, Middle Grades Teacher Keith Dowdell, Assistant Principal Pauline West, Principal | Curriculum guide and accompanying mini- assessments Acaletics components used with fidelity CWTs Coaching Action Plan FCIM implementation | Data Analysis from Mini-Assessments; District's Baseline, Mid-Term and End of Year Assessments; Acaletics Pre-Post Comprehensive Assessments; SuccessMaker3 Reports; FCAT Explorer and Florida Achieve 2013 Algebra 1 | |

| | modify their thinking and draw conclusions. | EOC Results |
|---|---|-------------|
| 1 | Have teacher teach for understanding using: the Big Ideas, which allows for alignment with the NGSSS; Problem Solving (provides opportunities to model effective thinking and reasoning strategies necessary for the EOC exam); and Differentiated Instruction (helps all students develop conceptual understanding, faster mathematical reasoning, and refine problem solving strategies). | |
| | Assess for Success frequently and ensure that it is varied. Use both formative and summative assessments. (Florida Achieve) | |
| | Remediate using SuccessMaker (CAI) and practice and reinforce with FCAT Explorer, Florida Achieve and the adopted textbook ancillary materials. | |
| | Utilize the ETO Algebra 1 curriculum guide to pace instruction. | |
| | Use FCIM as a capacity- building approach to focus on providing data- driven instruction for all students | |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo | | nt data, and refer | ence to "G | uiding Questions", iden | tify and define areas in need |
|---|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. | | | | | |
| Algebra Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solv | ing Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data s | Submitted | | |

| Based | d on Ambitious but Achieva | able Annual Measurable Ob | ojectives (AMOs), AM | 10-2, Reading and Math I | Performance Target |
|-----------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Measu | mbitious but Achievable A urable Objectives (AMOs). I will reduce their achieve %. | In six year | # | | <u> </u> |
| | line data 0-2011 2011-2012 2 | 2012-2013 2013-201 | 4 2014-201 | 15 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | | | | | |
| of imp | I on the analysis of studer provement for the following tudent subgroups by eth | | reference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and | d define areas in need |
| Hispa satisf | anic, Asian, American In factory progress in Alge ora Goal #3B: | dian) not making | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance | : |
| | | | | | |
| | Pi | roblem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Lack of Motivation; poor Reading and Mathematical skills; High Absenteeism | Use of Acaletics; Hook Strategies; Hands on Materials; Real World Use of Mathematical Theories; Use of Focus Calendars; Drill and Practice of factual Data; Oral Presentations, Algebra on Your Fingers; Hear It, See It, Say It, Feel It, Do It Strategies; Bi-Weekly Tests; Classroom Observation; After School Practice on Basic and Developmental Skills; and Bell Ringers | Ms. Pauline West Principal; Brenda Holt Math Coach; Mr. Forehand; Ms. Gee Algebra Instructors. | 5 Problem Mini Tests; Oral Presentations; Teacher –Student Modeling; Classroom Observation | Mini Tests; Bi- Weekly Tests; Mid-Term; FCAT 2.0 Mini Tests on Skills Taught; Bi – Weekly Tests; Classroom Observation |

| of improvement for the following subgroup: | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3C: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | | Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo | | a, and refere | ence to "Gi | uiding Questions", iden | tify and define areas in need |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 3D. Students with Disab satisfactory progress in | | ng | | | |
| Algebra Goal #3D: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving P | rocess to Li | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 5 | 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. | | | | |
| Algebra Goal #3E: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pro | cess to Li | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| 1. Students scoring at Achie | | By May 2013, 20% of all students taking the Geometry EOC will score at achievement level 3. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Geometry. Geometry Goal #1: | line curriculum program has to | n of the FCAT Explorer/F for Geometry and the P be with fidelity for max netry EOC assessment. | earson's Geometry | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performand | ce: | | |
| 0% (0) | | 20% (5) | | | | |
| Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too | | |
| Lack of prerequisite skills and concepts for performing algebraic functions | Bell to Bell Instruction Engage students in visual learning/models so that they can interact with mathematical concepts, process information, observe change, reflect on their experiences, modify their thinking and draw conclusions. Have teacher teach for understanding using: the Big Ideas, which allows for alignment with the NGSSS; Problem Solving (provides opportunities to model effective thinking and reasoning strategies necessary for the EOC exam); and Differentiated Instruction (helps all students develop conceptual understanding, faster mathematical reasoning, and refine problem solving strategies). Assess for Success frequently and ensure that it is varied. Use both formative and summative assessments. (Florida Achieve) Remediate using SuccessMaker (CAI) and practice and reinforce with FCAT Explorer, Florida Achieve and the adopted textbook ancillary materials. | Coach Reginald Forehand, Secondary Math Instructor | Curriculum guide and accompanying mini- assessments CWTs Coaching Action Plan FCIM implementation | Data Analysis from Mini- Assessments; District's Baseline Mid-Term and En of Year Assessments; Assessments; SuccessMaker3 Reports; FCAT Explorer and Florida Achieve 2013 Geometry EOC Results | | |

| Geometry curriculum guide to pace instruction. | | |
|--|--|--|
| Use FCIM as a capacity-building approach to focus on providing data-driven instruction for all students | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. | | | | | |
| Geometry Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to l | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| 3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%. | e Objectives ar school will | Geometry Goal # | | | | | |
| Baseline data 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, | and reference to | "Guiding Questions" | , identify and | define areas |
|--|------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|
| in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |

| 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B: | Need Data |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 0 | 0 |
| Droblem Solving Drososs to L | neroace Student Achievement |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| | f student achievement data for the following subgroup: | | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", | identify and define areas |
|------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| 0 0 0 | 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. | | | | |
| Geometry Goal #3C: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | N | o Data | Submitted | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. | | | | | |
| Geometry Goal #3D: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #3E:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
|---|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| FCIM Overview | All Subject Areas 4-12 | Principal | All Subject Area Teachers | August 13, 2012 Initial October 22, 2012 Follow- up | CWTs and Lesson Plans | All Academic Coaches Principal Assistant Principal |
| CPALMS – Florida's Platform for educators to Collaborate, Plan, Align, Learn, Motivate and Share - Training to provide a resource for assistance with effective implementation Florida's standards (both NGSSS and CCSS) and course content and professional development in a self- paced format | All subject areas 4-12 | Principal Assistant Principal Academic Coaches | All Teachers | October 22 - December 31, 2012 | Lesson Plans CWTS | Principal Assistant Principal Academic Coaches |
| Understanding and preparing students for Algebra I and Geometry | Mathematics Grades 8, 9, and 10 | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach | All Mathematics Teachers | October 22 - December 31, 2012 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Teacher reflections on level of student engagement and | Principal Mathematics |

| EOC assessments | | ETO Mathematics Specialist | | | readiness for instruction (effectiveness) | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Literacy Across the Curriculum – Use text complexity, close reading, comprehension instruction sequence, and vocabulary development in mathematics classes to improve reading and writing | Mathematics 4 - 12 | Principal Dr. T. Madge, Consultant Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist | All Mathematics Teachers | October 22, 2012 Intial Follow-up Ongoing throughout May 2012 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Teacher reflections on level of student engagement and change in academic performance (effectiveness) | Principal Dr. T. Madge, Consultant Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist |
| Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisions | All subject areas 4-12 | District Staff | All Teachers | October 2012 - May 2013 | Data Reports shared through Data Chats with Administrators and Students (Parent Conferences) Instructional Delivery (CWTs and lesson plans) | Principal Mathematics Coach ETO Mathematics Specialist Assistant Principal |
| Best Teaching Practices http://www.startwithsucces Developing a Classroom Climate Reinforcing Effort and Providing Recognition Teacher Expectations Homework and Practice | All subject areas 4-12 grade | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach | All Teachers 4-12 | October 22, 2012 - May 31, 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Reflection Journals | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach |
| Literacy and Reading http://www.startwithsucces for Middle and Secondary Teachers Vocabulary Development Three Interactive Elements of Reading Motivating Materials and Strategies for Readers Using Environmental Print; Gathering Environmental Print; | All subject areas 4-12 grade | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach | All Teachers 4-12 | October 22, 2012 - May 31, 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Reflection Journals | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach |

| Environmental Print in 4-8; Environmental Print in 9-12; and Issues Surrounding the Use of Environmental Print | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Differentiating Instruction http://www.startwithsucces Implementing Differentiated Instruction; K-6 Strategies: 1; K-6 Strategies: 1; 7-12 Strategies: 1; 7-12 Strategies: 11 | All subject areas 4-12 grade | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematics Coach Reading Coach Science Coach | All Teachers 4-12 | October 22, 2012 - May 31, 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Reflection Journals | Principal Assistant Principal Mathematic: Coach Reading Coach Science Coach |
| Acaletics with Fidelity | Mathematics 4-9 | Acaletics Consultant Mathematics Coach | Mathematics Grades 4 - 9 | October 22, 2012 - May 31, 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Models (charts, graphs, tables, spreadsheets, etc. of data analysis) | Principal Mathematics Coach Acaletics Consultant |
| Using Data to Make Decisions - A self-directed course to learn about techniques for collecting and analyzing data that does not require complex mathematics. Participants will get lesson plans, practice exercises, links to relevant articles, and case studies of teachers who are using data in their classrooms. PD is based on the continuous improvement cycle of Plan, Do, Study, and Act. (Florida Center for Instructional Technology - http://fcit.usf.edu/data/inde | All Subject Areas 4 - 12 | Principal | All Teachers | October 22 - December 31, 2012 | CWTs and Lesson Plans FCIM Implementation Models (charts, graphs, tables, spreadsheets, etc. of data analysis) | Principal All Academic Coaches |
| | | | | | | |

| on using multimedia in the classroom as tools to help students visualize, synthesize, convey, and construct knowledge. This course will be used in a PLC forum. (Florida Center for Instructional | All Subject Areas 4 - 12 | Principal | All Teachers | October 22 - December 31, 2012 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Teacher reflections on level of student engagement (effectiveness) | Principal All Academic Coaches |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Technology - http://fcit.usf.edu/multimed | | | | | | |

Mathematics Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
|---|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Amoun |
| Literacy Across the Curriculum – Use text complexity, close reading, comprehension instruction sequence, and vocabulary development in mathematics classes to improve reading, writing and communicating. | Face to Face Training with Follow-up through CWT To provide training, strategies and evidence supporting the need for an intensive literacy campaign in middle grades and high schools. The goal of this PD is to show participants how they can mount a successful campaign resulting in more literate graduates with a greater chance of completing postsecondary education and qualifying for well-paying jobs with promising futures. | Title II Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisions | Performance Matters provides an integrated student assessment, data management and teacher effectiveness platform that supports the information needs of today's teachers, school system leaders, students and parents. Using Performance Matters, all stakeholders in the educational process have ready access to the information and resources they need to sustain student learning and instruction. | Technology Funds | \$0.00 |
| Multimedia in the Classroom: Engaging Students | PD focuses on using multimedia in the classroom as tools to help students visualize, synthesize, convey, and construct knowledge. This course will be used in a PLC forum. (Florida Center for Instructional Technology - http://fcit.usf.edu/multimedia/index.html) | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$500.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Best Teaching Practices http://www.startwithsuccess.com Developing a Classroom Climate Reinforcing Effort and Providing Recognition Teacher Expectations Homework and Practice | Self-Paced Training for All Teachers | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| Literacy and Reading http://www.startwithsuccess.com Reading Strategies for Middle and Secondary Teachers Vocabulary Development Three Interactive Elements of Reading Motivating Materials and Strategies for Readers Using Environmental | Self-Paced Training for All Teachers | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |

| Print; Gathering Environmental Print; Environmental Print in 4-8; Environmental Print in 9-12; and Issues Surrounding the Use of Environmental Print | | | |
|--|---|------------------|------------------------|
| Differentiating Instruction http://www.startwithsuccess.com Differentiating Instruction: Meeting Students Where They Are – PD explores learning style, language proficiency, background knowledge, readiness to learn, and other factors that impact success for all in the classroom. Participants will be challenge to structure learning environments that address the variety of learning styles, interests, and abilities found within a classroom. Implementing Differentiated Instruction; K-6 Strategies: 1; K-6 Strategies: II; 7-12 Strategies: 1; | Self-Paced Training for All Teachers (As scheduled and as needed) | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| Using Data to Make Decisions | A self-directed course to learn about techniques for collecting and analyzing data that does not require complex mathematics. Participants will get lesson plans, practice exercises, links to relevant articles, and case studies of teachers who are using data in their classrooms. PD is basedContinuous Improvement Cycle of Plan, Do, Study, and Act. (Florida Center for Instructional Technology - http://fcit.usf.edu/data/index.html | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| Others | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Other | | | Available |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Florida Test Ready | Florida Ready Mathematics covers Geometry and Measurement, Number and Operations, Algebra, Data Analysis, and Probability. It also provides support to help all educators successfully implement the program, from paraprofessionals to experienced teachers; suggested pacing charts and detailed lesson plans; at a Glance sections, which provide a short overview of each concept; step by step sections, which provide background material and scripting; and special ELL support, mini lessons, and real world examples, which extend the classroom learning opportunities. | Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| Standards Plus for Mathematics | Our Mathematics materials address the following Common Core Domains: Counting and Cardinality, Operations and Algebraic Thinking, Numbers and Operations, Measurement and Data, and Geometry. Standards Plus Algebra 1 Support provides daily lessons and weekly assessments written directly to the Algebra 1 standards with scaffolded support lessons. The scaffolded lessons ensure that students receive the support they need to be successful with Algebra 1 skills and concepts. The Mathematics Intervention System lessons provide scaffolded instruction in four major topics: Fractions, Decimals, & Numbers; Computing & Problem Solving; Algebraic Operations & Problem Solving; and Measurement, Geometry, Statistics, & Probability. The scaffolds begin at the fourth grade level and build to eighth grade level competency. These lessons are unique to our Daily Model lessons, are based on the Direct Instruction Delivery model. | Title I | \$5,500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$7,500.00 |
| | | Gra | and Total: \$12,000.00 |

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stuc s in need of improvemen | | | Guiding Questions", ider | ntify and define | | |
|-------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Leve | CAT2.0: Students sco I 3 in science. nce Goal #1a: | ring at Achievement | students will s (21% increase Less than 5% disadvantaged tested perforn Nature of Scie | By May 2013, 25% of CPA elementary/middle school students will score a Level 3 in Science on FCAT 2.0. (21% increase) Less than 5% of students school-wide (economically disadvantaged and African-American specifically) tested performed at proficiency in the content areas of Nature of Science, Physical Science, Life Science, Earth and Space Science as measured by FCAT Science | | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performanc | ce: | | |
| 5th a | and 8th Grades 4% (1) | | 5th and 8th 25 | 5% (9) | | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Student attendance | Follow -up communications with parents | Science Teachers RtI Team Assistant Principal | Attendance Plan and RTI Data | Teacher Call logs Communications with RtI team Referrals to District Social Worker, Rolando Jackson | | |
| 2 | Lack of prerequisite skills. | Teachers will follow Curriculum Map/Instructional Focus Calendar ensure that benchmarks are taught and re-teaching strategies are in place. (FCIM and ETO Curriculum Guides) | | Administration will monitor the implementation of the curriculum map and focus calendar through classroom observations. CWTs/FCIM Implementation | District Science assessments for 5th, 8th, and Biology students (Baseline, Mid- year, and End of Year); School progress monitoring assessments 2013 FCAT Science Assessments for 5th and 8th grade 2013 Biology EOC | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.

Science Goal #1b:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving | g Process to I | ncrease S | itudent Achievemen | t | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. | | | | | | | |
| Science Goal #2a: | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at o in science. Science Goal #2b: | Assessment: r above Achievement Lev | vel 7 | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No | Data | Submitted | | | |

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. | | | | | |
| Science Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfo | ormance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving F | Process to I | ncrease S | Student Achievemen | t |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| | 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. | | | | |
| Science Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | pected Level of Perfor | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pr | rocess to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | son or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| | By May 2013, 25% of CPA Biology students will score a Level 3 in Science on FCAT 2.0. (Baseline) |
|--|--|
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1: | State mean score was 50 on 2012 EOC assessment; CPA students had a mean scale score of 40. Students struggled with Biology EOC assessed content basically because they lack the necessary vocabulary acquisition |
| | and were below grade level in reading comprehension. Tasks involving comparing, differentiating, relating structure and functions, identifying supporting evidence, analyzing, evaluating impact, and determining conditions, also posed great challenges. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| Baseline will be established. Of the 11 tenth grade students tested: 0% scored at level 3; 38% scored at level 2 (4 students). | 10th grade - 25% (6 of 22 students) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | iem solving rocess t | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
| 1 | 1)Acquisition of lab supplies to sustain and deliver inquiry based lab experiences. | 1) District purchase lab supplies for Biology Labs | 1)District Race To The Top Coordinator | 1) Tracking of completed lab experiments via student portfolios | 1)Baseline Science Data Classroom leveled laboratory reports |
| 2 | 2)Limited student use of computer based science experiences | 2)Students will use FCAT Science Explorer for both remediation and enrichment | 2)Science teachers Computer Lab Proctor | 2) Examination of Lesson Plans Review of Computer logs | 2)Collection of reports from Science FCAT Explorer by Science Coach |
| 3 | 3) Limited incorporation of scientific vocabulary within classroom between student to teacher as well as between student to student | 3)Science teachers will incorporate essential science vocabulary in each topic covered by their curriculum and display words on word wall | teachers | 3) Examination of lesson plans Classroom walkthroughs | 3)Teacher observations Coach Logs |
| 4 | 4)Limited understanding and application of the Nature of Science skills | 4) Science teachers will incorporate experimental design, theoretical concepts and scientific method via investigations (Strand H) across the curriculum | 4)Science Coach Science Teachers | 4) Examination of lesson plans Classroom walkthroughs Use of Focus Calendars | 4)Student work samples Lab reports |
| 5 | 5) Student lack knowledge and meaning of assessment process in relations to understanding their ranking on FCAT, EOCs and Classroom assessments | encourage and advise students on their | 5)Science Coach Assistant Principal | 5) Lesson Plans Classroom Walkthroughs Student tracking of their Assessment Data | 5)Improved classroom and FCAT mini assessment performance Monitoring of Data to determine students' progress |
| | 6)Students are accustomed to | 6)Differentiate science instruction with the | 6)Science teachers | 6) Lesson Plans | 6)Classroom Walkthroughs |

| 6 | instruction delivery primarily with worksheets | use of technology and documentation of common core standards | Assistant Principal | Classroom Walkthroughs | School and district level assessments 2013 Biology EOC results |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 7 | Undeveloped literacy skills; Poor spelling and reading skills | Incorporation of reading strategies to improve spelling and reading abilities. | 7)Science teachers Science Coach | Use of reading focused ancillary and progress monitoring materials which were purchased with textbook system. | 7) School and district level assessments scores Student Portfolios |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2. Students scoring a Levels 4 and 5 in Biol | | ient | | | |
| Biology Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfor | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pr | ocess to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | oon or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------|---|
| Differentiating Instruction http://www.startwithsuccess.com Implementing Differentiated Instruction; K-6 Strategies: 1; K-6 Strategies: II; 7-12 Strategies: 1; | Science 4-12 Teachers | Science Coach Principal | All Science Teachers | October 22, 2012 - May 31, 2013 | Peer Debriefing | Principal Science Coach |

| | | I. | 1 | | 1 | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 7-12 Strategies: II | | | | | | |
| Using Data to Make Decisions - A self-directed course to learn about techniques for collecting and analyzing data that does not require complex mathematics. Participants will get lesson plans, practice exercises, links to relevant articles, and case studies of teachers who are using data in their classrooms. PD is based on the Continuous Improvement Cycle of Plan, Do, Study, and Act. | | Science Coach Principal | All Science Teachers | October 22 - December 31, 2012 | CWTs and Lesson Plans FCIM Implementation Models (charts, graphs, tables, spreadsheets, etc. of data analysis) | Principal Science Coach |
| http://fcit.usf.edu/data/index.html Literacy and Reading http://www.startwithsuccess.com Reading Strategies for Middle and Secondary Teachers Vocabulary Development Three Interactive Elements of Reading Motivating Materials and Strategies for Readers Using Environmental Print; Gathering Environmental Print in 4-8; Environmental Print in 9-12; and Issues Surrounding the Use of Environmental | Science 4-12 | Science Coach Principal | All Science Teachers | October 2012 - May 2013 (Self-paced Training) | 1. Reflective Journal 2. Peer Debriefing 3. Classroom Observations | Principal Science Coach |

| Print | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---|-------------------------|---|--|---|
| FCIM Overview | Science 4-12 | Principal | All Science Teachers | August 13, 2012 Initial October 22, 2012 Follow- up | Lesson Plans CWTS | Principal Science Coach Assistant Principal |
| Literacy Across the Curriculum – Use text complexity, close reading, comprehension instruction sequence, and vocabulary development in mathematics classes to improve reading and writing | Science 4-12 | Principal Dr. T. Madge, Consultant Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist | All Science Teachers | October 22, 2012 Intial Follow-up Ongoing throughout May 2013 | CWTs and Lesson Plans Teacher reflections on level of student engagement and change in academic performance (effectiveness) | Principal Dr. T. Madge, Consultant Reading Coach ETO Reading Specialist |
| Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisions | All Areas 4-12 | District Team | All Teachers 4-12 | October 2012 - May 2013 | Data Reports shared through Data Chats with Administrators and Students (Parent Conferences) Instructional Delivery (CWTs and lesson plans) | Principal Science Coach Assistant Principal |
| CPALMS – Florida's Platform for educators to Collaborate, Plan, Align, Learn, Motivate and Share - Training to provide a resource for assistance with effective implementation Florida's standards (both NGSSS and CCSS) and course content and professional development in a self- paced format | Science 4-12 | Science Coach Principal | All Science Teachers | October 2012 - May 2013 | Lesson Plans CWTS | Principal Science Coach Assistant Principal |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | Online interactive math and science simulations that power inquiry and understanding of content and concepts for students in grades 3-12. | | |

| Gizmos Program | Program includes inquiry-based lessons, assessment & reporting; correlation to all State | Title I | \$5,000.00 |
|--|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| | Standards and leading textbooks; and teacher home pages for classroom management. | | |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$5,000.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Overview and Implementation Individual Benchmark Specifications for Biology End-of- Course Assessment and the FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment for Grade 8 | Biology End-of-Course and Assessment and FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment for Grade 8 Test Item Specifications (FLDOE) | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| Item Difficulty and Cognitive Complexity of Biology End-of- Course and FCAT 2.0 Science Grade 8 Assessments(FLDOE) Assessment Test Items | Biology End-of-Course and Assessment and FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment for Grade 8 Test Item Specifications (FLDOE) | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$7,000.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | | nd reference to "Gu | iiding Questions", identify | y and define areas | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | | | Increase the p and higher by | I Increase the percentage of students scoring at level 3 and higher by 9% at 10th grade level, 11% at 8th grade level, and 100% at 4th grade level | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | e: | |
| 41%(| 41%(9) 10th grade 29%(8) 8th grade 0%(0) 4th grade 46% () 10th grade 35%() 8th grade 50%() 4th grade | | | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 1.1 In addition to the elements of focus, organization, support, and conventions described in the FCAT 2.0 writing rubrics, scoring decisions now include increased attention to the correct use of standard English conventions (scoring previously applied with | to differentiate instruction to meet the needs of all students 1.1b Focus instruction on teaching students the various forms of writing, organizational patterns | 1.1 Principal Reading Coach ETO Specialist Sharon Paul, 6th GR. Bridget Butler, 4th - 5th GR. Pearl Davis, Middle Grades Steve Aggelis, High School | 1.1 1. Write Score Timed Writing Administrations on a quarterly basis for grades 4-12 2. Marzano CWT | 1.1 Write Score Assessment Responses and Results Marzano CWT Evaluation Results | |

| | leniency) and to the quality of details; the quality of the support depends on word choice, specificity, depth, relevance, and thoroughness; required use of relevant, logical, and plausible support. | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 2 | 1.2 Beginning in 2013, students will have 60 minutes to respond to the writing prompt instead of 45 minutes. | 1.2 Instruct and have students to model the following expectation through scheduled Mock FCAT 2.0 Writing administrations (quarterly) for grades 4-12: For the 60- minute timed writing assessment, students are expected to read the prompt independently and to plan the response according to the topic and purpose for writing (grade 4 narrative or expository, grades 8 and 10 persuasive or expository). After planning, the student should draft the response while using a continual process of revision, checking to be sure that the writing is clear and effective. Finally, the student should proofread and edit for the correct use of standard English conventions. | 1.2 Principal Reading Coach All ELA and supporting core/elective area teachers | 1.2 1.Write Score Timed Writing Administrations on a quarterly basis for grades 4-12 | 1.2 Write Score |
| 3 | | writing responses and using the 2012 FCAT Writing Anchor Sets which are aligned to the FCAT 2.0 Writing | | 1.3 Evaluation,Response, and Implementation of training from the FCAT 2.0 Writing Workshop as evidenced through CWTs | 1.3 Scored results of classroom writing assignments prior to and after administration of Write Score Assessments |
| 4 | 1.4 Alignment of Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) and Florida's implementation plan of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in English Language Arts to the Florida writing assessment | 1.4 As part of the instructional focus for grades 4-12 in 2012- 2013, include continuous training and implementation of PD to include the transition and blending of the appropriate NGSSS with the CCSS (which | | 1.4 Observation of teachers' level of use in integrating activities that clearly demonstrate knowledge and implementation of standards throughout their lesson plans and instructional delivery | 1.4 Lesson plans and Marzano CWTs |

| | describe what students should know and be able to do in writing) to ensure preparation for at-risk students for upcoming FCAT 2.0 assessments. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. | | | | | |
| Writing Goal #1b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfor | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pro | ocess to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Rest for | | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| Using the FCAT 2.0 Writing Calibration Scoring Guides, 2012 FCAT Writing Anchor Sets, and 2012 FCAT Writing Exemplar Sets | Grades 4-12 | Reading Coaches and ETO Reading Specialists | School-wide | District-wide inservice day; early release days | Administration and Coaching Team CWTs for implementation and effective use of training and strategies; review of scored samples from classroom students | Administration, Reading Coach and ETO Reading Specialist |
| FCAT 2.0 Writing Workshop with alignment of Next Generation Sunshine | | | | | | |

| State Standards (NGSSS) and Florida's implementation plan of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in English Language Arts to the Florida writing assessment | scheduled | Implementation and | Administration, Reading Coach |
|---|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
|---|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------------|

Writing Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|--|---------------------|------------------------|
| Schedule Mock FCAT 2.0 Writing administrations (quarterly) using Write Score assessments for grades 4-12 | School Writing Assessment administered thoughout year through core academic and elective area classes | Title 1 | \$2,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.0 |
| echnology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Use of Glencoe Essay Grader for on-line response practice | The Glencoe Online Essay Grader offers valuable online instruction and reporting tools to track and improve student writing and assessment performance. It provides Individualized automatic scoring, presents narrative feedback and a holistic score as well as six trait scores six-point scale. | Textbook Allocation | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| FCAT 2.0 Writing Workshop which includes Scoring Training; The Research Base for Writing Instruction K-12; Real World Writing Purposes; Approaching a Topic – the Power of Modeling, Choosing a Topic; Participant Writing Experience; Revision, RADaR (Replace, Add, Delete and Reorder) – Modeling; Participants Revising Their Pieces and Sharing | The FCAT 2.0 Writing Calibration Scoring Guides, 2012 FCAT Writing Anchor Sets, and 2012 FCAT Writing Exemplar Sets that are currently being used to provide a basis for scoring decisions for FCAT 2.0 Writing through 2014 (FLDOE Training Materials) | Title I and SIG | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$3,000.0 |

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. Civics Goal #1: | By spring 2013, 35% (6/17) of seventh grade students will scored at achievement level 3 or above on the Civics EOC assessment. For success, civics curriculum: 1) should be placed in a real-world context unless otherwise noted in the individual benchmark specifications. The context should lead the student cognitively to the question. 2) or any other subject-area curriculum that's of moderate and high cognitive complexity pose a challenging level difficulty for at-risk students who are currently performing below proficiency (96%) 3) should use background knowledge of subject and related content areas, pre-reading strategies, graphic representations, and knowledge of text structure to make and confirm complex predictions of content, purpose, and |
|--|---|
| | organization of a subject-area reading selection. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| N/A | 35% (6) Baseline |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 1.1 This is the first state assessment students must pass in middle school for promotion to ninth grade. The Florida Department of Education civics curriculum includes four strands: American history, civics- government, geography and economics of which students are not characteristically exposed to nor taught prior to grade 8. | extending new curriculum into the mid- elementary school years and incorporate the new civics curriculum into middle school beginning at the | 1.1 District Instructional Team Principal and Leadership Team | Social Studies Curriculum Guides (Development, Implementation and Progress Monitoring through the use of District and School- base assessments) | District and School-based assessments specifically social studies and civics grades 3-8 |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group: | eference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas |
|---|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Civics.Civics Goal #2: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Overview and Implementation Individual Benchmark Specifications for Civics End-of- Course Assessment | All Social Studies Teacher Grades 4-8 | Administrators and Academic Coaches | All Teachers (Academic and Elective Areas) | October 2012 - May 2013 | Lesson Plans Instructional Focus Lessons CWTs | Administrators and Academic Coaches |
| Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) levels | All Subject Areas and Grades 4 - 12 | Administrators and Academic Coaches | All Teachers (Academic and Elective Areas) | October 2012 - May 2013 | Lesson Plans Instructional Focus Lessons CWTs | Administrators and Academic Coaches |
| Item Difficulty and Cognitive Complexity of Civics End-of- Course Assessment Test Items | All Social Studies Teacher Grades 4-8 | Administrators and Academic Coaches | All Social Studies Teacher Grades 4-8 | October 2012 - May 2013 | Lesson Plans Instructional Focus Lessons CWTs School and District Assessments 2013 Civics EOC Results | Administrators and Academic Coaches |

Civics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s |)/Material(s) | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Overview and Implementati | on | | _ |

| Individual Benchmark Specifications for Civics End-of- Course Assessment | Civics End-of-Course Assessment Test Item Specifications (FLDOE) | Title I | \$500.00 |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Item Difficulty and Cognitive Complexity of Civics End-of- Course Assessment Test Items | Civics End-of-Course Assessment Test Item Specifications and CCSS(FLDOE) | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) levels | Academic Coaches and ETO Reading Specialist | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$2,000.00 |

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

| | d on the analysis of stude | | nd reference to "G | uiding Questions", identi | fy and define areas | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | | | By spring 2013, 23% (5/21) of eleventh grade students will scored at achievement level 3 or above on the U. S. History EOC assessment. | | | | |
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1: | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| N/A | | | 23% (5) Basel | 23% (5) Baseline | | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| | The Grades 9–12 American History course consists of the following content area strands: American History, Geography, and Humanities. The primary content emphasis for this course pertains to the study of American history from Reconstruction to the present day. Students should be exposed to | prepared for assessment by extending new | | Social Studies Curriculum Guides (Development, Implementation and Progress Monitoring through the use of District and School- base assessments) | District and School-based assessments specifically U. S. History 2013 U. S. History EOC Results | | | |

| the historical, geographic, political, economic, and sociological events which influenced the development of the United States and the resulting impact on world history. So that students can clearly see the relationship between cause and effect in historical events, students shoul have the opportunity t review those fundamental ideas and events, which occurred before the end of Reconstruction as well. The scope and sequence of courses for 6-12 students should seamlessly include curriculum that address the above so that the focus is not limited to content but reading proficiency as well. | D I I | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | | | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", i | dentify and define areas |
|--|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 2. Students scoring at 4 and 5 in U.S. History | nt Levels | | | | |
| U.S. History Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pro | ocess to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data S | Submitted | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g. | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Overview | | | | | | |

| and Implementation Individual Benchmark Specifications for U. S. History End- of-Course Assessment | All Social Studies Teacher Grades 9-12 | | All Teachers (Academic and Elective Areas) | October 2012 - May 2013 | Lesson Plans Instructional Focus Lessons CWTs | Administrators and Academic Coaches |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|---|---|
| Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) levels | All Subject Areas and Grades 4 - 12 | Administrators and Academic Coaches | All Teachers (Academic and Elective Areas) | October 2012 - May 2013 | Lesson Plans Instructional Focus Lessons CWTs | Administrators and Academic Coaches |
| Item Difficulty and Cognitive Complexity of U. S. History End-of- Course Assessment Test Items | All Social Studies Teacher Grades 4-12 | Administrators and Academic Coaches | All Social Studies Teacher Grades 4-12 | October 2012 - May 2013 | Lesson Plans Instructional Focus Lessons CWTs School and District Assessments 2013 U. S. History EOC Results | Administrators and Academic Coaches |

U.S. History Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|---|----------------|----------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Overview and Implementation Individual Benchmark Specifications for U. S. History End-of-Course Assessment | U. S. History End-of-Course Assessment Test Item Specifications (FLDOE) | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Item Difficulty and Cognitive Complexity of U. S. History End- of-Course Assessment Test Items | U. S. History End-of-Course Assessment Test Item Specifications and CCSS(FLDOE) | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) levels | Academic Coaches and ETO Reading Specialist | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | - | • | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

| | | | 2011 Current | Attendance Rate was 85. | 71%· 2012 | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | showed a 2.19 | %. 2011 Current Number ences was 46; 2012 show | of Students with | | |
| | tendance | | rate by 1%, in | 3, CPA will improve its av cluding each of the follow panic, Hispanic, and Whit | ving subgroups: | | |
| Atter | ndance Goal #1: | | records will ret | 3, CPA 2012-2013 excess flect a 10% reduction in 10 or more absences. | | | |
| | | | records will ret | 3, CPA 2012-2013 excess flect a 10% reduction in 10 or more tardies. | | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Attendance R | ate: | 2013 Expecte | ed Attendance Rate: | | | |
| 83.52 | 2 % ADA | | 84.52% ADA | | | | |
| 77.50 100.0 0.00% | 55% - Black, Non-Hispani 00% - Hispanics 00% - Multi-racial % - Causasian (0% of stu asians) | | 78.500% - His 100.00% - Mul 100.00% - Cau | • | three Causasians | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | | 2013 Expecte Absences (10 | ed Number of Students) or more) | with Excessive | | |
| 124 | | | 111 | 111 | | | |
| | 2 Current Number of Stu ies (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | 2013 Expecte Tardies (10 o | ed Number of Students r more) | with Excessive | | |
| 00 | | | 00 | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | Out of School Suspensions | School will continue partnerships with outside agencies (Serenity, Inc., Disc | Behavior Specialists Guidance Counselor | Make and follow up on referrals to outside agencies (especially those that provide | Monthly Suspension Reports | | |
| 1 | | Village, CCYS, Apalachee Mental Health, Gadsden Juvenile Court System, Teen Court, and DJJ) as an intervention for deterring and reducing the number of out of school suspensions. | Assistant Principa Principal | counseling services) for assistance when students receive out of school suspensions. | counseling | | |
| | Excessive Absences (Truancy) | 1. Closely monitor all students who have excessive absences. The teachers and guidance counselor will be contacting those students' parents to encourage them to get those students to school or help to resolve any issues. | Guidance Counselor Data Entry and Discipline Secretary | Adherence to District Attendance Policy and Referral Process (teacher, counselor and administrative interventions complete prior to referral to social worker) 2. Follow-up on referrals to outside agencies for assistance | Attendance Referrals to Socia Worker (cases reported and resolved) Cases referred | | |

| 2 | 2. School will contin partnerships (Disc Village, Gadsden Juvenile Court Syst Teen Court, and DJ with outside agenci as an intervention f enforcing attendan policy and deterring truancy. | Coordinator District Social em, Worker J) Principal es or Ce | with students with chronic absenteeism | Court System including DJJ and Teen Court with the assistance of outside agencies and the district |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| | Behavioral specia work closely with | | | |
| | the Guidance Couns | selor | | |
| | and Assistant Princi to monitor student | pal | | |
| | absents. Follow-up | | | |
| | will be made to par | | | |
| | when students hav been found in viola | | | |
| | especially if they have | , | | |
| | been court or schoo | | | |
| | board ordered or to attend. | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| District Absentee and Truancy Policy (Student Code of Conduct) 2. Classroom Management to include accurately reporting absentees, tardies, and/or truancy 3. School- wide Discipline Plan to include Bullying 4. School- wide Positive Behavior Support/Response to Intervention (MTSS) 5. Partnerships, Roles, Responsibilities, and Referral Process with | All Subject Areas Grades 4-12 | Assistant Principal Principal, Assistant Principal, Dr. T. Madge, Consultant, and Data Entry Secretary MTSS formally RTI/PBS Team MTSS formally RTI/PBS Team Behavior Specialist, Counselor, and Assistant Principal | All Subject Areas Grades 4- 12 school-wide | August 2012 - May 2013 | Sign-in Rosters for In-service Participation in PLCs and PD Monthly Reports reflecting reduction in truancy rates. | MTSS Team which includes the Administration (Multi-tiered System of Supports) |

| issues. | Outside Agencies to assist with truancy and suspension | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Attendance Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|---|----------------|----------------------|
| School-wide Positive Behavior Support/Response to Intervention (MTSS) | Response to Intervention (RtI) has been described in Florida as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for providing high quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to inform instructional decisions. | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| echnology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Classroom Management to include an overview of the Marzano evaluation process and accurately reporting absentees, tardies, and/or truancy | Marzano Teacher Evaluation Handbook Harry and Mary Wong, First Day of School Modules | Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

| Based on the analysis of suspension dat of improvement: | ta, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|--|
| 1. Suspension | One hundred fifty-seven (157) students were suspended during school year 2011 and 103 were suspended for school year 2012 which is a reduction of 54 students. This equates to a 34% decrease for school year 2012. There were 530 suspensions for school year 2011 and 337 for school year 2012 which is a reductions of 193 suspensions. This equates to a 36% decrease for school year 2012. |
| Suspension Goal #1: | By July 2013, CPA suspension records will reflect a 20% reduction in the percentage of students receiving out of school suspensions. By July 2013, CPA suspension records will reflect a 20% reduction in the percentage of out of school suspensions |

| | | | | CPA suspension records v ents receiving in-school | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions | | |
| Schoo | School did not have an ISSP during 2011-2012. | | | > 125 | | |
| 2012 | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Sch | ool 2013 Expecte School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended I n- School | | |
| Schoo | School did not have an ISSP during 2011-2012. | | | > 92 | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | |
| 337 | | | 269 | 269 | | |
| 2012 Scho | Total Number of Stude ol | ents Suspended Out-of | - 2013 Expecte of-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | |
| 103 | 103 | | | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students failing to take responsibility for actions and/or inappropriate behaviors, e.g. anger, profanity, disrespect to adults, fighting, etc. | discover/counseling services through our | MTSS Team, Parent and Community Involvement Services, District Social Worker and Homeless Coordinator, Faculty and Staff, School | Referrals will be made to the appropriate intervention agency or partner for each student that receives 1 or more out of school suspension and/or prior to recommendation for a hearing that may or | (especially | |
| 2 | Implementation a set of core values or strategies that students will adhere to so that they do their utmost to never be suspended again. | Provide for prevention of and education in the following areas A. Unwanted physical or verbal aggression: sexual harassment B. Forms of bullying (especially cyber- bullying), in school and on school grounds, and on school vehicles (school buses). C. Character building | Consultant; Parent and Community Involvement Services, District | Monthly suspension reports from district will be monitored to determine if strategies being implemented are effective. Also, school's MTSS team, SRO, and Administration will meet quarterly to monitor and/or modify strategies as recommended. | Reports Student Referrals (especially multiple | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1A. School safety and crisis intervention training for faculty and staff 1B. Core values and behaviors workshops for students | | Dr. T. Madge, Consultant | School-wide (CPA, HOPE Academy, and Bold Steps) | Beginning October 22, 2012-2013 and ending by May 31, 2013 (Early Release and Teacher Planning Days) | Observation of implementation of training by Administration and Consultant | Administration and Consultant |
| 2. Positive Behavior Support Program and Response to Intervention Training (MTSS) | All subject areas, grades 4-12 | MTSS Team | School-wide (CPA, HOPE Academy, and Bold Steps) | Beginning October 22, 2012-2013 and ending by May 31, 2013 Tuesdays (2nd and 4th) Faculty/Staff Professional Development Days | Administration and Consultant | Administration and MTSS Team |

Suspension Budget:

| | | | Available |
|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| Positive Behavior Support Program and Response to Intervention Training (MTSS) | Response to Intervention (RtI) has been described in Florida as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for providing high quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to inform instructional decisions. | Title I Dropout Prevention | \$0.00 |
| Creating a Safe and Respectful Environment in our Nation's Classroom | This training toolkit is made up of two modules that address bullying in classrooms. Specifically, it is designed to assist teachers in cultivating meaningful relationships with students while creating a positive climate in the classroom. | USDOE | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| | This nonviolent safety and crisis intervention training is designed to teach best practices for managing difficult situations and disruptive behaviors. Participants | | |

| School safety and crisis intervention training for faculty | learn how to identify at-risk individuals and use nonverbal | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
|--|---|----------------|-------------------------|
| and staff | and verbal techniques to defuse hostile or belligerent behavior. They also learn how to control their fear and anxiety in a crisis situation or avoid injury when a crisis situation becomes physical. | | \$1,000.00 |
| Core values and behaviors workshops for students | Consultant will work with students to create an effective school culture that promotes improved learning for all students. Presenter will also identify a set of core values and beliefs about learning that will function as explicit foundational commitment for both students and the school. Once established, the core values, beliefs, and learning expectations will be actively reflected in the culture of the school and drive curriculum, instruction, and assessment practices in every classroom. As well, the school will use them to guide the school's policies, procedures, decisions, and resource allocations. | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$2,000.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 1. Dropout Prevention | By May 31, 2013, CPA dropout prevention records will | | |
| Dropout Prevention Goal #1: | reflect 0.5% decline in its dropout rate. | | |
| *Please refer to the percentage of students who | By May 31, 2013, CPA graduation records will reflect a 2% increase in the number of students graduating as compared to 2011-2012. | | |
| dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. | | | |
| 2012 Current Dropout Rate: | 2013 Expected Dropout Rate: | | |
| | 0.5% decline at the school level | | |
| 2.7% District Rate for 2010-2011 | | | |
| 2012 Current Graduation Rate: | 2013 Expected Graduation Rate: | | |
| | | | |
| 67.6% District Rate for 2010-2011 | 2% increase at the school level | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | |
| | Person or Process Used to | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Potential dropouts based on criteria such as retained in multiple grades, high absenteeism, failing academic grades, or low Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) scores. | Offering a Modified Curriculum of coursework in academic content areas Dual-enrollment at vocational-technical school for grade 10 students and higher Test Prep for FCAT Retakers face-to-face (Florida TestReady), after-school (21st CCLC program), and on-line (FCAT Explorer) Credit Recovery through Florida Virtual School and OdysseyWare School-wide school- wide plan for teachers, guidance counselors, and administrators to monitor quarterly progress of over-age students. | administrators, and registrar | Dual-enrollment, Florida Virtual School status, OdysseyWare, and district quarterly progress reports | Graduation and Dropout Rates for 2012-2013 Progress Monitoring Reports |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Utilize data systems that support a realistic diagnosis of the number of students who drop out and that help identify individual students at high risk of dropping out. | All subject areas and grade level 4- 12. | Administration, Data Entry Secretary, and MTSS Team | | | MTSS Reports to include student transcripts, attendance, grade distribution, suspensions, test results from FALL, WINTER and SPRING | Administration Behavior Specialists Counselor Data Entry Secretary |
| Assign adult advocates to students at risk of dropping out. Adult advocates should have an appropriate background and low caseloads, and be | | | | | | Administration |

| purposefully matched with students. Adequate training and support should be provided or should have already been provided for advocates (CCYS, Disc Village, Appalachee Mental Health, DJJ, Teen Court, and/or other persons or agencies). | All subject areas and grade level 4- 12. | Administration and MTSS Team | School-wide | August 2012 - May 2013 | Student referrals and assignments to receive assistance from individuals or participating agencies. | Behavior Specialists Counselors Case Managers (DJJ, Disc Village, etc.) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Provide academic support and enrichment to improve academic performance. Help students to improve academic performance and reengage in school. This should be implemented in conjunction with other recommendations. | All subject areas and grade level 4- 12. | Administration and MTSS Team | School-wide | August 2012 - May 2013 | MTSS Reports to include student transcripts, attendance, grade distribution, suspensions, test results from FALL, WINTER and SPRING | Administration Behavior Specialists Counselor Data Entry Secretary |
| Provide rigorous and relevant instruction to better engage students in learning and provide the skills needed to graduate and to serve them after they leave school (postsecondary options). | All subject areas and grade level 4- 12. | Administration and MTSS Team | School-wide | August 2012 - May 2013 | MTSS Reports to include student transcripts, attendance, grade distribution, suspensions, test results from FALL, WINTER and SPRING | Administration Behavior Specialists Counselor Data Entry Secretary |

Dropout Prevention Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|---|----------------|---------------------|
| Learning for Life is an action- learning process and uses teaching techniques such as role-playing, small-group discussions, reflective and moral dilemma exercises, online interactive lessons and hands-on activities. | Learning for Life features grade- appropriate, theme-oriented lesson plans to be used in the classroom to enhance and support the core curriculum. Lessons are designed to be conducted by the classroom teachers in conjunction with the core curriculum. The Learning for Life curriculum is research based and has uniquely blended character development traits into lessons that are aligned to core curriculum standards in all 50 states. This enables students to practice and reinforce core curriculum topics and skills while learning critical character | Grant | \$6,000.00 |

| Subtotal: \$6,000.0 | 50 | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | Fechnology |
| ce Available Amoun | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy |
| \$0.00 | No Data | No Data | No Data |
| Subtotal: \$0.0 | | | |
| ce Available Amoun | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Professional Development Strategy |
| \$0.00 | No Data | No Data | No Data |
| Subtotal: \$0.0 | | | |
| | | | Other |
| ce Available Amoun | Funding Source | Description of Resources | Strategy |
| \$1,000.00 | | The Teenage Parent (TAP) Program is designed for students who are pregnant or parenting. TAP programs offer regular academic classes so students can continue their educational program in addition to providing classes in child growth and development, nutrition, and parenting skills. | Teenage Parent (TAP) Program |
| | Public and Private Sector contributions/scholarships | The Black Male College Explorers Program is an At-Risk prevention/ intervention program designed specifically to prevent black males from dropping out of high school, facilitate their admission to college and significantly increase their chances of earning a college degree. CPA currently has a Brotherhood of Respect Program which prepares males for entry into this program (6 weeks each summer). | The Black Male College Explorers Program |
| | | | |

Grand Total: \$10,000.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. | According to the Title I-Part A Parental Involvement Plan, there were 310 documented participants (parents/guardians) attending scheduled activities/ events during the 2010-2011. At the end of 2011-2012, the number had increased to 430.There is still a need to increased participation and attendance at SIP/SAC meetings, parenting workshops and training especially since the school is entering the school accreditation process. | | | | |
| | By the end of the 2013 school year, CPA will show a 10% increase in its parent involvement activities. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: | | | | |
| 430 participants | 473 participants | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Parents lack of participation on the SAC, PTO, and other parent involvement initiatives and forums that directly impact decisions made on behalf of their students' education. | Provide one on one interviews with at least one parent of every child who enrolls in the school during the 2012- 2013 year. (Interviews maybe held via phone conversations.) Encourage parents to take the opportunity to participate in the development and implementation of the School Improvement Plan, to serve on the School Advisory Council (SAC), and or to join the PTO. | involving Guidance | Strategy Logs, including those by phone, reflecting parent (s) interviews and enrolling students Invitations to join SAC/PTO; Public appeals through all communications to parents and community; Sign-in rosters | |
| 2 | they are endanger of not getting promoted or not being able to graduate. Also, parents complain of not being able to access available help for failing students. | Host Parent Expos each nine weeks to issue report cards, report student progress and data related | Coaches, Teachers, Behavior Specialist, and Guidance Counselor | Sign-in records reflecting participation and attendance Survey of responders and attendees to school events and sign- in rosters. Rosters and sign-in sheets of after-school and/or SES providers participants. | Sign-in records, requests for academic and/or behavioral assistance; participation in mini-academic sessions and after-school programs |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | · |
|--|------|--|--|----------------------------------|---|---|
| School Advisory Councils, Parent Teacher Organizations, School Improvement Plan, Promotion and Graduation Requirements 2013 | 4-12 | Administrative and MTSS Teams, Academic Coaches, District Resource Person; Teachers; District Parent Involvement Coordinato | School-wide Community,Parents, and their Student Families | August 2012 - May 2013 | Sign-in Roster of Participants (parents, students, and community) | Administrative Team Title I Office Parent Involvement Office |
| Student Code of Conduct, RTI, Immunization Requirements, Bullying, Attendance, and Drop Pants Legislation Grades 6 - 12 | 4-12 | Administrative and MTSS Teams | School-wide Community,Parents, and their Student Families | August 2012 | Sign-in Roster of Participants (parents, students, and community) | Administrative Team |
| Common Core State Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards, College Readiness and Career Preparatory (ACT, SAT, CPT and PERT, PARCC etc.), FACTS.org, FCAT 2.0 and EOCS, | 4-12 | Administrative and MTSS Teams, Academic Coaches; Guidance Counselor; District Resource Person; Assessment Coordinator; Teachers; Title I Coordinator and District Parent Involvement Coordinator | School-wide Community,Parents, and their Student Families | October 2012 - May 2013 | Sign-in Roster of Participants (parents, students, and community) | Administrative Team Title I Office Parent Involvement Office |
| Title I Parent Involvement Meeting | 4-12 | Principal Parent Involvement/Title I Office | Parents of all students School-wide Faculty and Staff | August 2012 - October 2012 | Sign-in Roster | Principal and Assistant Principal |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|---|----------------|---------------------|
| Promote the use of the district's DLOPI project Inservice training for all staff and parents on DLOPI-Different Levels of Parental Involvement and how both group can impact its usefulness in improving student engagement | Different Levels of Parental Involvement - documentation tool for use my teachers to manage parents and guardians participation in their students' education | Title I | \$500.0C |
| | | | Subtotal: \$500.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Use of computers for trainings, demonstration sessions, and completion of surveys for upcoming school accreditation for | School's Computer Labs | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| parents and familiesComputer labs available during non-school hours | | | |
| parents and familiesComputer labs available during non-school | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.0 |
| parents and familiesComputer labs available during non-school | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.0 |

| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|--|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Post all upcoming events and activities on district and school website | Calendar of events posted on district and school | Title I | \$500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$500.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$2,000.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 1. STEM | | | | | | | |
| STEM Goal #1: | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | ٢ | lo Data Submitte | d | | |

STEM Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Other | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of | school data, identify and de | efine areas in ne | ed of improvement: | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|-----------------|--|
| 1. CTE | | | | | |
| CTE Goal #1: | | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Ν | lo Data Submitted | d | • | |

CTE Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developmer | ht | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |
| | | | End of CTE Goal(|

Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-base | ed Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | NGCAR-PD | Completion of NG CAR-PD and NG CAR- PD Practicum will make a teacher eligible to serve as a reading intervention teacher at district discretion by having FCAT level 2 students who are in prime need of vocabulary and comprehension intervention in the CTE elective courses. | Title I Title II | \$2,000.00 |
| Reading | Best Teaching Practices; Differentiating Instruction; and Literacy and Reading http://www.startwithsuccess.com | Series of self-paced training as needed for teacher effectiveness to include: Developing a Classroom Climate Reinforcing Effort and Providing Recognition Teacher Expectations Homework and Practice Implementing Differentiated Instruction K-6 Strategies: I; K-6 Strategies: II; 7-12 Strategies: I; 7-12 Strategies: II Reading Strategies for Middle and Secondary Teachers Vocabulary Development Three Interactive Elements of Reading Motivating Materials and Strategies for Readers Using Environmental Print; Gathering Environmental Print; Environmental Print in 4-8; Environmental Print in 9-12; and Issues Surrounding the Use of Environmental Print | Title I Title II | \$1,500.00 |
| Reading | Literacy Across the Curriculum – Use text complexity, close reading, comprehension instruction sequence, and vocabulary development in mathematics classes to improve reading, writing and communicating. | Face to Face Training with Follow-up through CWT To provide training, strategies and evidence supporting the need for an intensive literacy campaign in middle grades and high schools. The goal of this PD is to show participants how they can mount a successful campaign resulting in more literate graduates with a greater chance of completing postsecondary education and qualifying for well-paying jobs with promising futures. | Title I Title II | \$2,000.00 |
| Mathematics | Literacy Across the Curriculum – Use text complexity, close reading, comprehension instruction sequence, and vocabulary development in mathematics classes to improve reading, writing and communicating. | Face to Face Training with Follow-up through CWT To provide training, strategies and evidence supporting the need for an intensive literacy campaign in middle grades and high schools. The goal of this PD is to show participants how they can mount a successful campaign resulting in more literate graduates with a greater chance of completing postsecondary education and qualifying for well-paying jobs with promising futures. | Title II Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| Writing | Schedule Mock FCAT 2.0 Writing administrations (quarterly) using Write Score assessments for grades 4-12 | School Writing Assessment administered thoughout year through core academic and elective area classes | Title 1 | \$2,000.00 |
| Attendance | School-wide Positive Behavior Support/Response to Intervention (MTSS) | Response to Intervention (RtI) has been described in Florida as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for providing high quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to inform instructional decisions. | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| Suspension | Positive Behavior Support Program and Response to Intervention Training (MTSS) | Response to Intervention (RtI) has been described in Florida as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for providing high quality instruction and intervention matched to student needs using learning rate over time and level of performance to inform instructional decisions. | Title I Dropout Prevention | \$0.00 |
| Suspension | Creating a Safe and Respectful Environment in our Nation's Classroom | This training toolkit is made up of two modules that address bullying in classrooms. Specifically, it is designed to assist teachers in cultivating meaningful relationships with students while creating a positive climate in the | USDOE | \$0.00 |

| Dropout Prevention | Learning for Life is an action- learning process and uses teaching techniques such as role- playing, small-group discussions, reflective and moral dilemma exercises, online interactive lessons and hands-on activities. | classroom. Learning for Life features grade- appropriate, theme-oriented lesson plans to be used in the classroom to enhance and support the core curriculum. Lessons are designed to be conducted by the classroom teachers in conjunction with the core curriculum. The Learning for Life curriculum is research based and has uniquely blended character development traits into lessons that are aligned to core curriculum standards in all 50 states. This enables students to practice and reinforce core curriculum topics and skills while learning critical character development and life skills. | Grant | \$6,000.00 |
|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Parent Involvement | Promote the use of the district's DLOPI project Inservice training for all staff and parents on DLOPI-Different Levels of Parental Involvement and how both group can impact its usefulness in improving student engagement | Different Levels of Parental Involvement - documentation tool for use my teachers to manage parents and guardians participation in their students' education | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Technology | | | Subtotal: | \$17,000.00 |
| Technology | Chaoke and | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| Goal | CPALMS | Florida's Platform for educators to Collaborate, Plan, Align, Learn, Motivate and Share - Training to provide a resource for assistance with effective implementation Florida's standards (both NGSSS and CCSS) and course content and professional development in a self-paced format | Title I | Amount \$500.00 |
| Reading | Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisions | Performance Matters provides an integrated student assessment, data management and teacher effectiveness platform that supports the information needs of today's teachers, school system leaders, students and parents. Using Performance Matters, all stakeholders in the educational process have ready access to the information and resources they need to sustain student learning and instruction. | District Technology Funds | \$4,000.00 |
| Mathematics | Performance Matters training to support data-driven instructional decisions | Performance Matters provides an integrated student assessment, data management and teacher effectiveness platform that supports the information needs of today's teachers, school system leaders, students and parents. Using Performance Matters, all stakeholders in the educational process have ready access to the information and resources they need to sustain student learning and instruction. | Technology Funds | \$0.0C |
| Mathematics | Multimedia in the Classroom: Engaging Students | PD focuses on using multimedia in the classroom as tools to help students visualize, synthesize, convey, and construct knowledge. This course will be used in a PLC forum. (Florida Center for Instructional Technology - http://fcit.usf.edu/multimedia/index.html) | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| Science | Gizmos Program | Online interactive math and science simulations that power inquiry and understanding of content and concepts for students in grades 3-12. Program includes inquiry-based lessons, assessment & reporting; correlation to all State Standards and leading textbooks; and teacher home pages for classroom management. | Title I | \$5,000.00 |
| Writing | Use of Glencoe Essay Grader for on-line response practice | The Glencoe Online Essay Grader offers valuable online instruction and reporting tools to track and improve student writing and assessment performance. It provides Individualized automatic scoring, presents narrative feedback and a holistic score as well as six trait scores six-point scale. | Textbook Allocation | \$0.00 |
| | Use of computers for trainings, | | | |

| Parent Involvement | demonstration sessions, and completion of surveys for upcoming school accreditation for parents and familiesComputer labs available during non-school hours | School's Computer Labs | Title I |
|-----------------------|--|------------------------|---------|
|-----------------------|--|------------------------|---------|

\$1,000.00

Subtotal: \$11,000.00

| Professional [| Development | | | |
|----------------|---|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Overview of Common Core State Standards Specific PD 1) Text Complexity 2) CIS 3) Close Reading 4) Vocabulary Development | The Common Core State Standards provide a consistent, clear understanding of what students are expected to learn, so teachers and parents know what they need to do to help them. The standards are designed to be robust and relevant to the real world, reflecting the knowledge and skills that our young people need for success in college and careers. | Title I Title II | \$2,000.00 |
| Reading | Shared Inquiry™ Discussion using CCSS Classroom Libraries and Bluford Series collections. | Professional Learning: Great Books is a leader in text-based, Socratic discussion, with courses, coaching, and resources for Shared Inquiry™ Discussion. The K- 12 entails classroom reading and discussion programs that build young minds, improve critical thinking, and promote higher student achievement. | Title I Title II | \$500.00 |
| Mathematics | Best Teaching Practices http://www.startwithsuccess.com Developing a Classroom Climate Reinforcing Effort and Providing Recognition Teacher Expectations Homework and Practice | Self-Paced Training for All Teachers | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| Mathematics | Literacy and Reading http://www.startwithsuccess.com Reading Strategies for Middle and Secondary Teachers Vocabulary Development Three Interactive Elements of Reading Motivating Materials and Strategies for Readers Using Environmental Print; Gathering Environmental Print; Environmental Print in 4-8; Environmental Print in 9-12; and Issues Surrounding the Use of Environmental Print | Self-Paced Training for All Teachers | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| Mathematics | Differentiating Instruction http://www.startwithsuccess.com Differentiating Instruction: Meeting Students Where They Are – PD explores learning style, language proficiency, background knowledge, readiness to learn, and other factors that impact success for all in the classroom. Participants will be challenge to structure learning environments that address the variety of learning styles, interests, and abilities found within a classroom. Implementing Differentiated Instruction; K-6 Strategies: 1; K-6 Strategies: 11; 7-12 Strategies: 1; 7-12 Strategies: 11 | Self-Paced Training for All Teachers (As scheduled and as needed) | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| Mathematics | Using Data to Make Decisions | A self-directed course to learn about techniques for collecting and analyzing data that does not require complex mathematics. Participants will get lesson plans, practice exercises, links to relevant articles, and case studies of teachers who are using data in their classrooms. PD is basedContinuous Improvement Cycle of Plan, Do, Study, and Act. (Florida Center for Instructional Technology - http://fcit.usf.edu/data/index.html | Title II Title I | \$500.00 |
| Science | Overview and Implementation Individual Benchmark Specifications for Biology End-of- Course Assessment and the FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment for Grade 8 | Biology End-of-Course and Assessment and FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment for Grade 8 Test Item Specifications (FLDOE) | Title I | \$1,000.00 |

Item Difficulty and Cognitive

| Science | Complexity of Biology End-of- Course and FCAT 2.0 Science Grade 8 Assessments(FLDOE) Assessment Test Items | Biology End-of-Course and Assessment and FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment for Grade 8 Test Item Specifications (FLDOE) | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
|---------------|--|---|-----------------|----------------------|
| Writing | FCAT 2.0 Writing Workshop which includes Scoring Training; The Research Base for Writing Instruction K-12; Real World Writing Purposes; Approaching a Topic – the Power of Modeling, Choosing a Topic; Participant Writing Experience; Revision, RADaR (Replace, Add, Delete and Reorder) – Modeling; Participants Revising Their Pieces and Sharing | The FCAT 2.0 Writing Calibration Scoring Guides, 2012 FCAT Writing Anchor Sets, and 2012 FCAT Writing Exemplar Sets that are currently being used to provide a basis for scoring decisions for FCAT 2.0 Writing through 2014 (FLDOE Training Materials) | Title I and SIG | \$1,000.00 |
| Civics | Overview and Implementation Individual Benchmark Specifications for Civics End-of- Course Assessment | Civics End-of-Course Assessment Test Item Specifications (FLDOE) | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Civics | Item Difficulty and Cognitive Complexity of Civics End-of- Course Assessment Test Items | Civics End-of-Course Assessment Test Item Specifications and CCSS(FLDOE) | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Civics | Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) levels | Academic Coaches and ETO Reading Specialist | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| U.S. History | Overview and Implementation Individual Benchmark Specifications for U. S. History End-of-Course Assessment | U. S. History End-of-Course Assessment Test Item Specifications (FLDOE) | Title I | \$500.00 |
| U.S. History | Item Difficulty and Cognitive Complexity of U. S. History End- of-Course Assessment Test Items | U. S. History End-of-Course Assessment Test Item Specifications and CCSS (FLDOE) | Title I | \$500.00 |
| U.S. History | Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) levels | Academic Coaches and ETO Reading Specialist | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| Attendance | Classroom Management to include an overview of the Marzano evaluation process and accurately reporting absentees, tardies, and/or truancy | Marzano Teacher Evaluation Handbook Harry and Mary Wong, First Day of School Modules | Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| Suspension | School safety and crisis intervention training for faculty and staff | This nonviolent safety and crisis intervention training is designed to teach best practices for managing difficult situations and disruptive behaviors. Participants learn how to identify at-risk individuals and use nonverbal and verbal techniques to defuse hostile or belligerent behavior. They also learn how to control their fear and anxiety in a crisis situation or avoid injury when a crisis situation becomes physical. | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| Suspension | Core values and behaviors workshops for students | Consultant will work with students to create an effective school culture that promotes improved learning for all students. Presenter will also identify a set of core values and beliefs about learning that will function as explicit foundational commitment for both students and the school. Once established, the core values, beliefs, and learning expectations will be actively reflected in the culture of the school and drive curriculum, instruction, and assessment practices in every classroom. As well, the school will use them to guide the school's policies, procedures, decisions, and resource allocations. | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subt | otal: \$15,500.00 |
| Other Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| Reading | Florida Test Ready for Reading | Test Ready curriculum provides robust support for educators of all levels—all in an easy-to-use format. Suggested pacing charts and detailed lessons plans included. Step by Step sections provide background material and scripting. The program also provides rigorous instruction on the Common Core using a proven-effective gradual-release approach that builds student confidence, and prepare students for more complex assessment items with full-length | Title I | Amount \$2,000.00 |

| Mathematics Florida Ready Mathematics covers Geometry and Measurement, Number, and Operations, Algebra, Data Analysis, and Probability. It also provides support to help all educators successfully implements in fram- metry and the some interview of each concept: step by step sections, which provide a short overview of each concept: step by step sections, which provide background material and exections which provide a short overview of each concept: step by step sections, which provide background material and opportunities. Title 1 \$2,000.0 Mathematics Standards Plus for Mathematics Support lessons and real world examples, which extond the classroom learning opportunities. Title 1 \$2,000.0 Mathematics Standards Plus for Mathematics Support lessons. The scalfolded lessons ensure that sludents receive the material sludent receive the material sludent receives the materis sludent receives the materis sludent receives the ma | Reading | Standards Plus - CIM Lessons in Reading and Reading Intervention | the content, rigor, and enhanced student performance expectations embedded in the Common Core State Standards. The Language Arts materials address the following Common Core Strands: Reading: Literature, Reading: Informational Text, Reading: Foundational Skills, Writing: Opinion, Writing: Informative/Explantory, Writing: Narrative, Language: Capitalization, Language: Grammar and Usage, Language: Punctuation, Language: Spelling, and Language: Vocabulary Acquisition and Use. | Title I | \$4,000.00 |
|--|-------------|--|--|---------|------------|
| Propout PreventionTeenage Parent (TAP) ProgramFollowing Common Core Domains: Counting and Cardinality, Operations, and Algebraic Thinking, Numbers and Operations, Measurement and Data, and Geometry. Standards Plus Algebra 1 Support provides daily lessons and weekly assessments written directly to the Algebra 1 standards with scaffolded lessons. The scaffolded lessons and tudents receive the support they need to be successful with Algebra 1 standards with scaffolded lessons. The scaffolded lessons resons provides caffolded instruction in four major topics: Fractions, Decimals, & Numbers: Computing & Problem Solving; Algebra1 skills and concepts. The Mathematics Intervention System lessons provides caffolded lessons are based on the Direct Instruction in four major topics: Fractions, Decimals, & Numbers: Computing & Problem Solving; Algebra1 skills and concepts. Statistics, & Probability. The scaffolds begin at the round deleasons, are based on the Direct InstructionTitle 1\$5,500.0Dropout PreventionTeenage Parent (TAP) ProgramThe Teenage Parent (TAP) Program is academic classes so students who are pregnant or parenting skills.The Teenage Parent (TAP) Program\$1,000.0Dropout PreventionThe Black Male College Explorers ProgramThe Black Male College Explorers ProgramS1,000.0PreventionThe Black Male College Explorers ProgramThe Black Male College Explorers ProgramPublic and Private Sector contributions/scholarships\$3,000.0PreventionThe Black Male College Explorers ProgramThe Black Male College Explorers ProgramPublic and Private Sector contributions/scholarships\$3,000.0PreventionThe Black | Mathematics | Florida Test Ready | Florida Ready Mathematics covers Geometry and Measurement, Number and Operations, Algebra, Data Analysis, and Probability. It also provides support to help all educators successfully implement the program, from paraprofessionals to experienced teachers; suggested pacing charts and detailed lesson plans; at a Glance sections, which provide a short overview of each concept; step by step sections, which provide background material and scripting; and special ELL support, mini lessons, and real world examples, which extend the classroom learning | Title I | \$2,000.00 |
| Dropout PreventionTeenage Parent (TAP) ProgramThe Teenage Parent (TAP) Program is designed for students who are pregnant or parenting. TAP programs offer regular academic classes so students can continue their educational program in addition to providing classes in child growth and development, nutrition, and parenting skills.\$1,000.01Dropout PreventionThe Black Male College Explorers ProgramThe Black Male College Explorers Program is an At-Risk prevention/ intervention program designed specifically to prevent black males from dropping out of high school, facilitate their admission to college and significantly increase their chances of earning a college degree. CPA currently has a Brotherhood of Respect Program which prepares males for entry into this program (6 weeks each summer).Public and Private Sector contributions/scholarships\$3,000.01Parent hyvolvementPost all upcoming events and activities on district and schoolCalendar of events posted on district and schoolTitle 1\$500.00 | Mathematics | Standards Plus for Mathematics | Our Mathematics materials address the following Common Core Domains: Counting and Cardinality, Operations and Algebraic Thinking, Numbers and Operations, Measurement and Data, and Geometry. Standards Plus Algebra 1 Support provides daily lessons and weekly assessments written directly to the Algebra 1 standards with scaffolded support lessons. The scaffolded lessons ensure that students receive the support they need to be successful with Algebra 1 skills and concepts. The Mathematics Intervention System lessons provide scaffolded instruction in four major topics: Fractions, Decimals, & Numbers: Computing & Problem Solving: Algebraic Operations & Problem Solving: and Measurement, Geometry, Statistics, & Probability. The scaffolds begin at the fourth grade level and build to eighth grade level competency. These lessons are unique to our Daily Model lessons, are based on the Direct Instruction | Title I | \$5,500.00 |
| Dropout PreventionThe Black Male College Explorers ProgramProgram is an At-Risk prevention/ intervention program designed specifically to prevent black males from dropping out of high school, facilitate their admission to college and significantly increase their chances of earning a college degree. CPA currently has a Brotherhood of Respect Program which prepares males for entry into this program (6 weeks each summer).Public and Private Sector contributions/scholarships\$3,000.01Parent LovolvementPost all upcoming events and activities on district and schoolCalendar of events posted on district and schoolTitle I\$500.01 | | Teenage Parent (TAP) Program | The Teenage Parent (TAP) Program is designed for students who are pregnant or parenting. TAP programs offer regular academic classes so students can continue their educational program in addition to providing classes in child growth and development, nutrition, and | | \$1,000.00 |
| Involvement activities on district and school and school and school Title I \$500.00 | | | Program is an At-Risk prevention/ intervention program designed specifically to prevent black males from dropping out of high school, facilitate their admission to college and significantly increase their chances of earning a college degree. CPA currently has a Brotherhood of Respect Program which prepares males for entry into this | | \$3,000.00 |
| WEDSILE | | | | Title I | \$500.00 |

Grand Total: \$61,500.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | jn NA |
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|

Are you a reward school: in Yes in No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Amount

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Updating and Rewriting School Improvement Plans (goal, strategies, professional development, and budget) Monitoring the Implementation and Keeping the Public Informed of SAC actions and efforts

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found