# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: SOMERSET GRACE ACADEMY

District Name: Dade

Principal: Suzette Ruiz

SAC Chair: Maria C. Cabrera

Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 11/1/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Ruiz, Suzette	Bachelors in Elementary Education, Masters in Reading, Certificate in Educational Leadership Certifications: Educational Leadership K-12, Elementary Education 1-6, ESOL K-12 and Reading K-12	8	8	'12 '11 '10 ' 09 '08 School Grade A A A A A High Standards Rdg. 80 89 85 86 82 High Standards Math 83 86 88 87 86 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 77 79 75 80 76 Lrng Gains-Math 76 62 67 63 74 Gains-Rdg-25% 66 75 78 76 71 Gains-Math-25% 69 70 80 49 78

#### **INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES**

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest

25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subj	ect Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
N/A		N/A	N/A			N/A

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	1. Job Fair	Principal	May 2013	
2	2. Meeting with new teachers with principal and lead teacher.	Principal	On-going	
3	3. Partnering new teachers with experienced teachers.	Lead Teacher	On-going	
4	4. Referrals from current employees.	Principal	On-going	

### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0% (0)	N/A

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers		% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
15	6.7%(1)	40.0%(6)	26.7%(4)	26.7%(4)	66.7%(10)	100.0%(15)	26.7%(4)	6.7%(1)	80.0%(12)

### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
		Ms. Cabrera is paired with the Kindergarten to Third Grade teachers because she has extensive	The mentor and mentees

Maria C. Cabrera	Tiffany Cruz	the core subject areas. Her students	are meeting weekly to discuss monthly best practices and evidence- based strategies for each domain. Time is given for	
			the feedback, coaching and planning.	
		achievement as per SAT scores.		

### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.
Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education

Career and Technical Education

Job Training			
Other			,

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Fosters a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSS/RtI plans and activities.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary): Lead Teacher, (Kindergarten to Fourth Grade Level Chairperson) provides information about core instruction to corresponding grade level teachers and participates in student data collection for the school. The above mentioned team members were selected based on their knowledge and commitment to the core subject areas in which they teach. In addition, they have attended professional development workshops and shared the fundamental material learned within their grade groups.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Leadership team meetings will focus on monitoring and analyzing student data to maintain a problem solving system that brings out the best in our school, teachers, and our students. The team will follow Tier I,II,III core instruction/intervention (define the problem/analyze the problem and develop an intervention plan/implement the plan and monitor progress/evaluate the results.)

The team meets periodically to engage in the following activities:

Evaluate data and correlate it to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students and their academic levels. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development to enhance students' achievement levels. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new programs and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team met with the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) and principal to help develop the School Improvement Plan. The team provided data on: academic and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; helped set clear expectations for instruction; facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching; and aligned processes and procedures.

#### MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR),), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) scores from district stated averages, Interim Assessment Test, STAR Reading Test, STAR Math Test and EDUSOFT data.

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, FAIR, Interim Assessment Test, STAR Reading Test, STAR Math Test and EDUSOFT. Ie: Referral Behavioral Form (Somerset Gables Discipline Referral Form)

End of year: PMRN, Interim Assessment Test, STAR Reading Test, STAR Math Test and EDUSOFT.

Frequency of Data Days: The RTI Leadership team conducts periodic meetings for data analysis.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The MTSS Leadership team will provide professional development through best practices during common teacher planning times, weekly grade level meetings, and monthly faculty meetings. In addition, teachers will be provided with added professional development to correspond with the subject area being taught.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS Leadership team will foster the ongoing efficient facilitation and accurate use of a problem-solving process to support planning, implementing, and evaluating effectiveness of services.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The LLT consists of Maria C. Cabrera (Lead Teacher) and Suzette Ruiz (Principal)

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team will provide professional development through best practices during common teacher planning times, weekly grade level meetings, and monthly faculty meetings. For instance, the LLT will support and provide ongoing professional development to teachers on the major reading components based on student performance data, administration and data interpretation of instructional assessments, and differentiated instruction techniques.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The initiative for the LLT this year is to model effective instructional strategies for teachers, facilitate study groups, train teachers in interpreting data charts, meet with teachers to ensure that research based reading programs and strategies are implemented. In addition, the LLT will help to increase instructional density to meet the needs of all students, increase teachers' knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction and intervention strategies.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

\*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

\*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

\*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High Scho</u> o		of study is personally meaningful?	
Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.  Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School	Postsecondary	Transition	
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High Schoo</u> Feedback Report	, and the second		
	· ·	es for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analy	sis of the <u>High Schoo</u>

# PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

# Reading Goals

	3 /	,			( ) , ( , , ,		
	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to	"Guiding	Questions", identify a	and d	efine areas in nee
readi	CAT2.0: Students scoring ng. ing Goal #1a:	g at Achievement Level 3	Our go	Our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on district average of 31% (4).			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 E	Expected	d Level of Performan	ice:	
31% (	(4).		31% (4	31% (4).			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase	e Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Perso Posit Respons Monito	ion ible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	I.	Students will use real-world documents such as, how to articles, brochures, fliers and websites to locate, interpret and organize information.  -Incorporate Accelerated Readers as a motivating factor to increase reading/fluency.	Leadership MTSS/RtI <sup>-</sup> Lead Teach	Team	Leadership team will analyze the results o assessments and will meet with teachers t adjust instruction.	f O	Summative: FCAT 2013 Formative FAIR Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmarl Assessments Data Chats
2	Based on district data analysis Reference and Research seems to be the content cluster students need most assistance.	Students will use real- world documents such as, how to articles, brochures, fliers and websites to locate, interpret and organize information.	Leadership MTSS/RtI <sup>-</sup> Lead Teacl	Team	Leadership team will analyze the results o assessments and will meet with teachers t adjust instruction.	f O	FCAT 2013 FAIR Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmarl Assessments Data Chats

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	
Reading Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.  Reading Goal #2a:			Our goal is to m	Our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on district average of 54% (7).		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
54% (	(7)		54% (7)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Based on district data analysis informational text and research process seems to be the content cluster students need most assistance.	Students will, in cooperative groups, create real-world documents such as, how to articles, brochures, fliers and websites in order to locate, interpret and organize information.  - Incorporate Accelerated Readers as a motivating factor to increase reading/fluency.	Leadership Team MTSS/RtI Team	Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Summative: FCAT 2013 Formative FAIR Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats	
2	Based on district data analysis Reference and Research seems to be the content cluster students need most assistance.	Students will, in cooperative groups, use real-world documents such as, how to articles, brochures, fliers and websites in order to locate, interpret and organize information.	Leadership Team MTSS/RtI Team Grade-Level Chair Reading Coach	Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	FCAT 2013 FAIR Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitted		

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re	eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:			As a new school	As a new school, our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on district average of 73% (179).		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
68%	(166)		73% (179)	73% (179)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Based on district data analysis informational text and research process seems to be the content cluster students need most assistance	Students will, in cooperative groups, interpret and exchange information using real-world documents such as articles, brochures, fliers and websites.  - Incorporate Accelerated Readers as a motivating factor to increase reading/fluency.	Leadership Team MTSS/RtI Team Reading Coach	Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Summative: FCAT 2013 Formative FAIR Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats	
2	Based on district data analysis Reference and Research seems to be the content cluster students need most assistance.	Students will, in cooperative groups, interpret and exchange information using real-world documents such as articles, brochures, fliers and websites	Leadership Team RtI Team Grade-Level Chair Reading Coach	Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	FCAT FAIR Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% As a new school, our goal is to master or improve the making learning gains in reading. expected level of performance based on district average of 75% (183). Reading Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 70% (182) 75% (183) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Based on district data Students will, in Leadership Team Leadership team will Summative: analysis informational cooperative/small groups, MTSS/RtI Team analyze the results of FCAT 2013 Formative text and research interpret and exchange Reading Coach assessments and will process seems to be the FAIR information using realmeet with teachers to content cluster students Teacher made world adjust instruction. need most assistance documents such as tests articles, brochures, fliers Interim and websites. Assessments - Incorporate District Benchmark Accelerated Readers as a Assessments motivating factor to Data Chats increase reading/fluency. Based on district data Students will, in Leadership Team Leadership team will **FCAT** cooperative/small groups, RtI Team FAIR analysis Reference and analyze the results of Research seems to be interpret and exchange Grade-Level Chair assessments and will Teacher made the content cluster information using real-Reading Coach meet with teachers to tests students need most world adjust instruction. Interim assistance. documents such as Assessments articles, brochures, fliers District Benchmark and websites Assessments Data Chats

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.  Reading Goal #  Our goal from 2011-201 proficient students by 50%.					_	_
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,

Read	ing Goal #5B:						
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:		2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
N/A				N/A			
	Pi	roblem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/	Α	N/A	N/A	
5C. E satisf Read	provement for the following nglish Language Learne factory progress in reading Goal #5C:  Current Level of Perform	rs (ELL) not making ing.		N/A	d Level of Performance:		
N/A		nance.		N/A	2 2000 01 1 011011111111111111111111111		
	Pi	roblem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position Pesponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	A	N/A	N/A	
of imp 5D. S satisf	I on the analysis of studer provement for the following tudents with Disabilities factory progress in read ing Goal #5D:	g subgroup: (SWD) not making	d refer	rence to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:		2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
N/A				N/A			
	Pi	roblem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position Pesponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	A	N/A	N/A	

N/A

Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making

satisfactory progress in reading.

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5E:			N/A	N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Accelerated Reader	K-4	Principal	IK -/I I Dachors	August 2012-June 2013	Accelerated Reader Student Performance Data	Teacher

### Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater	ial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Grammar and Conventions	Time for Kids	EESAC	\$725.00
			Subtotal: \$725.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
To incorporate state standards while aligning it with best practices through the integration of technology into the curriculum.	Accelerated Reader (AR) is a motivational program that encourages independent reading. AR includes online quizzes and measures reading comprehension and vocabulary skills.	Operating Account	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

Substitutes	Substitutes will be used for classroom coverage during scheduled professional development.	School Fund	\$5,400.00
		_	Subtotal: \$5,400.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$8 625 00

End of Reading Goals

## Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA Test indicate that 45% (2) of the students tested scored a level of proficiency in the Listening/Speaking portion of the test.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA Test indicate that 45% (2) of the students tested scored a level of proficiency in the Listening/Speaking portion of the test.

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	the Listening portion of the CELLA Assessment, students need exposure to a proficient level of the English listening/spoken language.	(LEA) as a strategy to	Leadership Team MTSS/RtI Team	Leadership Team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	CELLA FCAT 2013/Reading Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats
2	students need exposure to a proficient level of the English listening/spoken language.	as a dynamic strategy through which students	Leadership Team MTSS/RtI Team ESOL Liaison General Ed. Teacher	Leadership Team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	CELLA FCAT 2013/Reading Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA Test indicate that 28% (2) of the students tested scored a level of proficiency in the Reading portion of the test.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA Test indicate that 28% (2) of the students tested scored a level of proficiency in the Reading portion of the test.

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Based on the results of the Reading portion of the CELLA Assessment, students need access to written text in the English language that meets their level of proficiency.	Implement differentiated instruction as a teaching strategy based on the premise that teachers should adapt instruction to student differences (reading levels). Teachers will infuse ESOL strategies such as Activating Prior Knowledge, Picture Walk, Use of Task Cards, Choral Reading and Cooperative Learning.	Leadership Team MTSS/RtI Team	Leadership Team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	CELLA FCAT 2013/Reading Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats
2	Based on the results of the Writing portion of the CELLA Assessment, students need opportunities to develop their Written/English language proficiency skills.	Strategies for writing	Leadership Team MTSS/RtI Team	Leadership Team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction	CELLA FCAT 2013/Reading Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
	The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA Test indicate that 27% (1) of the students tested scored a level of proficiency in the Writing portion of the test.				
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in wri	ting:		
27%	(1)				
	Pro	olem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Based on the results of the Writing portion of	Integrate ESOL Strategies for writing	Leadership Team MTSS/RtI Team	Leadership Team will analyze the results of	CELLA FCAT

1	* *	such as Dialogue, Journals, Illustrating and Labeling, Process Writing, Summarizing and Writing Prompts.		meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	2013/Reading Teacher made tests Interim Assessments District Benchmark Assessments Data Chats	
---	-----	--	--	---	---	--

### CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on the average of 54%(7). Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 54%(7) 54% (7)

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	I				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Based on district data, the content cluster that students show a deficiency in is Number Operations, Problems and Statistics (Content 1)	Students will be provided the opportunities for data analysis to include making and stating conclusions and predictions based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the range of the data, or identifying different parts of a graph.		Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Teacher made tests Baseline Assessment District Interim Benchmark Assessments 2013 FCAT
2	Based on district data analysis out students demonstrated a lack of prior knowledge and exposure to scientific thinking	Students will conduct weekly Science investigations pertaining to Life/Environmental Benchmark during classroom instruction. Students will write their observations and results in science journals, analyze data and apply scientific thinking weekly.	Leadership Team	Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Interim Assessment; FCAT Science projects Teacher made tests Interim Assessment Baseline Assessment 2013 FCAT
3	Based on district data, the content cluster that students show a deficiency in is Data Analysis.	Students will be provided the opportunities for data analysis to include making and stating conclusions and predictions based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the range of the data, or identifying different parts of a graph.		Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Teacher made tests Baseline Assessment District Interim Benchmark Assessments 2013 FCAT

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to Incr	ease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person of Position Responsion Monitori	n sible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
	Our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on the average of 46% (6).				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
46% (6)	46% (6)				

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Based on district data, the content cluster that students show a deficiency in is Number Operations, Problems and Statistics (Content 1)	Students will be provided the opportunities for data analysis to include making and stating conclusions and predictions based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the range of the data, or identifying different parts of a graph. In order to increase Geometry content cluster the school will provide students with the opportunity to investigate and discover geometric concepts and relationships, in addition to engaging students in activities for visual stimulus to develop students' geometry and spatial sense, and provide hands on experience.		Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Teacher made tests Baseline Assessments District Benchmark Assessments 2013 FCAT
	Based on district data analysis out students demonstrated a lack of prior knowledge and	Teachers will provide enrichment activities for students to design science projects to	Leadership Team	Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to	Interim Assessment; FCAT Science projects

2	exposure to scientific thinking.	increase scientific thinking. They will provide a variety of hands-on inquiry-based learning opportunities for students to analyze, draw appropriate conclusions, and apply knowledge gained on key instructional concepts.	adjust instruction.	Teacher made tests Interim Assessment Baseline Assessment 2012 FCAT
3	Based on district data, the content cluster that students show a deficiency in is Data Analysis and Geometry	Students will be provided the opportunities for data analysis to include making and stating conclusions and predictions based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the range of the data, or identifying different parts of a graph. In order to increase Geometry content cluster the school will provide students with the opportunity to investigate and discover geometric concepts and relationships, in addition to engaging students in activities for visual stimulus to develop students' geometry and spatial sense, and provide hands on experience.	Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Teacher made tests Baseline Assessments District Benchmark Assessments 2013 FCAT

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
2b. Florida Alternate As Students scoring at or a mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:	sessment: Ibove Achievement Level						
2012 Current Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Problem-Solving Prod	cess to L	ncrease St	udent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a:				As a new school, our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on district average of 73% (179).		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
68%	(167)		73% (179)			
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Based on district data, the content cluster that students show a deficiency in is Number Operations, Problems and Statistics (Content 1)	Students will be provided the opportunities for data analysis to include making and stating conclusions and predictions based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the range of the data, or identifying different parts of a graph. In order to increase Geometry content cluster the school will provide students with the opportunity to investigate and discover geometric concepts and relationships, in addition to engaging students in activities for visual stimulus to develop students' geometry and spatial sense, and provide hands on experience.		Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Teacher made tests Baseline Assessments District Benchmark Assessments 2013 FCAT	
2	Based on district data, the content cluster that students show a deficiency in is Data Analysis and Geometry.	Students will be provided the opportunities for data analysis to include making and stating conclusions and predictions based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the range of the data, or identifying different parts of a graph. In order to increase Geometry content cluster the school will provide students with the opportunity to investigate and discover geometric concepts and relationships, in addition to engaging students in activities for visual stimulus to develop students' geometry and spatial sense, and provide hands on experience.		Leadership team will analyze the results of assessments and will meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Teacher made tests Baseline Assessments District Benchmark Assessments 2013 FCAT	

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		nt data, and refe	rence to "G	Guiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solv	ing Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		nt data, and refe	rence to "G	Guiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #4:	As a new school, our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on district average of 71% (174).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
66% 162)	71% (174)			

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Based on district data, the content cluster that students show a deficiency in is Number Operations, Problems and Statistics (Content 1)	Students will be provided the opportunities for data analysis to include making and stating conclusions and predictions based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the range of the data, or identifying different parts of a graph. For Geometry students will work in small cooperative groups, use manipulative to interpret and analyze concepts.  -30 minutes of tutoring/intervention.	MTSS/RtI <sup>*</sup> Team		Teacher made tests Baseline Assessments District Benchmark Assessments 2013 FCAT
		Students will be provided the opportunities for data analysis to include			Teacher made tests Baseline

deficiency in is Data Analysis and Geometry.	making and stating conclusions and predictions based on data, comparing data, determining appropriate scale increments dependent upon the range of the data, or identifying different parts of a graph. For Geometry students will work in small cooperative groups, use manipulative to interpret and analyze concepts.	meet with teachers to adjust instruction.	Assessments District Benchmark Assessments 2013 FCAT

Based	l on Amb	itious but Achie	evable Annual	Measurable Obje	ectiv	es (AMOs), AM	IO-2, I	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.				from	n 2011-2017 i	is to	reduce the perce per district sco		
	line data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014		2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		analysis of stud at for the follow		ent data, and re	ferer	nce to "Guiding	J Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5B:				Ν	N/A				
2012	Current	Level of Perf	ormance:		2	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A				Ν	N/A				
			Problem-Sol	ving Process to	olno	crease Studer	nt Ach	ievement	
	Antic	ipated Barrie	- St	rategy R		Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A		N/A	[	N/A		N/A		N/A
Rason	l on the	analysis of stud	lent achieveme	ant data and re	foror	ace to "Guidine	ı Oues	tions" identify and	define areas in need

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5C:	N/A			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	N/A			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

	on the analysis of student provement for the following	t achievement data, and resubgroup:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5D:			N/A	N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

1	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidin	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
satisf	onomically Disadvantage factory progress in math ematics Goal E:	9	N/A	N/A		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

# Middle School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1a. FCAT2.0: Students mathematics.	scoring at Achieve	ment Level 3 in	1		
Mathematics Goal #1a	1:				
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforr	mance:
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		t data, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate A					
Students scoring at Le		nathematics.			
Mathematics Goal #1b	): 				
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
			Submitted	1	
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		t data, and refer	rence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students Level 4 in mathematic	_	e Achievement			
Mathematics Goal #2a	1:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	

Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responsik for		Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of stood of improvement for the follow		ta, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ider	ntify and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of Pe	rformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	rmance:
	Problem-Solving F	Process to L	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier S	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data S	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of str of improvement for the follo	udent achievement da owing group:	ta, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ider	ntify and define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	rmance:
	Problem-Solving F	Process to L	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	

	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase St	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.

Mathematics	Goal #3b:							
2012 Current	Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Problem-Sol	ving Process to	I ncrease St	udent Ach	ievement		
		<u> </u>						
Anticipated E	3arrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	son or tion consible itoring	Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Evalua	tion Tool
			No Data	Submitted				
Based on the a of improvemen			ent data, and refe	rence to "Gu	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and def	ine areas in need
		e of students in L n mathematics.	owest 25%					
Mathematics	Goal #4:							
2012 Current	Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Process to	I ncrease St	udent Ach	ievement		
Anticipated E	3arrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	son or tion consible itoring	Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Evalua	tion Tool
				Submitted			1	
Based on Amb	itious but A	chievable Annual	Measurable Objec	tives (AMOs	), AMO-2, F	Reading and Ma	ith Perfo	ormance Target
	jectives (Al	able Annual MOs). In six year hievement gap	Middle School Ma	thematics G	oal #			_
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-201	2 2012-2013	2013-2014	2014	1-2015	2015-2016	5	2016-2017
		student achievemo	ent data, and refe	rence to "Gu	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and def	ine areas in need
5B. Student s Hispanic, Asia	ubgroups l an, America	by ethnicity (Whan Indian) not mathematics.						
Mathematics	Goal #5R·							

2012	Current Level of Ferrorn	nance.	2013 Expected	a Level of Periormance.	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
				1	1
	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re g subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
	nglish Language Learner actory progress in math				
Math	ematics Goal #5C:				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
of imp	on the analysis of studen provement for the following tudents with Disabilities factory progress in math	(SWD) not making	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
Math	ematics Goal #5D:				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			·	'	1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

	onomically Disadvanta factory progress in ma		aking						
Math	ematics Goal E:								
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013	Expected	d Level of Pert	formance:		
		Problem-Solving Pro	ocess t	o Increas	se Studer	nt Achievemer	nt		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Posi	sible for	Process l Deterr Effective Strat	nine ness of	Evaluation <sup>-</sup>	Tool
1	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
——Algeb	ora End-of-Course	(EOC) Goals				Ε	ind of Middle S	School Mathematio	cs Goa
* Whe	n using percentages, inclu	de the number of student	ts the p	ercentage	represents	s (e.g., 70% (35)	)).		
	d on the analysis of studed of improvement for the		and re	eference to	์ "Guidino	g Questions", ic	lentify and	define areas	
1. Stu Algeb	udents scoring at Achi ora.	evement Level 3 in							
_	ora Goal #1:								
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	Pro	bblem-Solving Proces	ss to Ir	ncrease S	tudent A	chievement			
Antic	cipated Barrier Stra	tegy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool			on Tool			
		No	) Data S	Submitted					
	d on the analysis of studed of improvement for the		and re	eference to	o "Guidinç	g Questions", ic	lentify and	define areas	
2. Stu	udents scoring at or ald 5 in Algebra.		evels						
Algeb	ora Goal #2:								
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

End of Algebra EOC Goals

# Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

December the analysis of student askinyonant data	and reference to "Cuiding Outstiere"	

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012 Current Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position  Person or Position  Position  Process Used to Determine	in need of improvement	for the following group	D:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>.</b>
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible Infractiveness of Strategy  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Process Used to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible from Continue Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool Evaluation Tool Strategy	_	t Achievement Level	3 in			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool Evaluation Tool Strategy	Geometry Goal #1:					
Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  Responsible for Monitoring  Responsible for Monitorin	2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Ex	pected Level of Perfor	rmance:
Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Strategy  Evaluation Tool						
Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Responsible for Monitoring  No Data Submitted  No Data Submitted  No Data Submitted  Responsible for Monitoring  Responsible for Monitoring  Responsible for Monitoring  Evaluation Tool		Problem-Solving P	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool	Anticipated Barrier	Posit Resp for	ion onsible	Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
in need of improvement for the following group:  2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool			No Data S	Submitted		
4 and 5 in Geometry.  Geometry Goal #2:  2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool  Strategy	Based on the analysis on the analysis of improvement	of student achievement for the following group	data, and r	eference t	o "Guiding Questions",	identify and define areas
2012 Current Level of Performance:  2013 Expected Level of Performance:  Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool		t or above Achievem	ent Levels			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool	Geometry Goal #2:					
Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool	2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Ex	pected Level of Perfor	rmance:
Anticipated Barrier  Strategy  Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  Evaluation Tool						
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responsible for Monitoring Process used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Problem-Solving P	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
No Data Submitted	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	ion onsible	Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
			No Data :	Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
	No Data Submitted							

#### Mathematics Budget:

erial(s)		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Math IXL	Operating Account	\$1,500.00
		Subtotal: \$1,500.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
		Grand Total: \$1,500.00
	Description of Resources  Math IXL  Description of Resources  No Data  Description of Resources  No Data  Description of Resources  No Data	Description of Resources  Math IXL  Operating Account  Description of Resources  Funding Source  No Data  No Data  Description of Resources  Funding Source  No Data  Description of Resources  Funding Source  No Data  Description of Resources  Funding Source  Funding Source

End of Mathematics Goals

# Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement					
Level 3 in science.	As a new school, our goal is to master or improve the				
Science Goal #1a:	expected level of performance based on district average of 36%(88).				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

journals, analyze data and apply scientific thinking weekly.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above As a new school, our goal is to master or improve the Achievement Level 4 in science. expected level of performance based on district average of 15% (38). Science Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 13% (32) 15% (38) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	demonstrated a lack of prior knowledge and	enrichment activities	Leadership Team		Interim Assessment; FCAT Science projects Teacher made tests Interim Assessment Baseline Assessment 2013 FCAT

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at d in science. Science Goal #2b:		ent Level 7					
2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Leve				pected Level of Perf	formance:		
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemer	nt		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		No Data :	Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
AIMS/Integrating Math and Science Instruction	K-4	Principal	K-4 Teachers	- 3 - 3	Teacher Observations/Teacher Made Tests	General Ed. Teacher

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
To prepare K-4 students with skills that meet the FCAT Science Test Standards.	Science Experiment supplies/mobile lab will be ordered to properly conduct weekly investigations.	Operating Account	\$4,000.00
			Subtotal: \$4,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$4,000.00

End of Science Goals

# Writing Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1a:			As a new scho	As a new school, our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on district average			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performanc	e:		
80% (196)			82% (207)	82% (207)			
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Based on district data analysis, students demonstrated a weakness on focus and elaboration in their writing samples.	Students should use a graphic organizer to write a draft organized with a logical sequence of beginning, middle, and end and use supporting details to develop focus and elaboration.  -Incorporate Melissa Forney writing strategies to increase writing skills.	Leadership Team	Administer and score students' monthly writing prompts to monitor students' progress ad to adjust focus as needed.	Monthly writing assessments FCAT 2013		

in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.					
Writing Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Zaner- Bloser: 6 Writing Traits	K-4th	Principal	K-4 Teachers	August 2012-June 2013	In-Class Writers Workshop	General Ed. Teacher

### Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
To prepare K-4 students with skills that meet the FCAT Writing Test standards.	Zaner-Bloser: 6 Traits of Writing is an instructional/motivational curriculum that develops the students writing skills according to state standards.	Operating Account	\$1,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$1,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

 No Data
 No Data
 \$0.00

 Subtotal: \$0.00

 Grand Total: \$1,000.00

End of Writing Goals

# Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentage	= !=aluda tha number of	atudanta tha	- arcontago		-\\
* When using percentages	s, Include the Hulfiber of	stuaenis ine į	bercentage i	represents (e.g., 70% (35	·//.
Based on the analysis of in need of improvement			eference to	o "Guiding Questions", i	dentify and define areas
1. Students scoring a	t Achievement Level	3 in Civics.			
Civics Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of	f Performance:		2013 Ехр	pected Level of Perform	mance:
	Problem-Solving F	Process to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Posi Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for		on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data S	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of in need of improvement			eference to	o "Guiding Questions", i	dentify and define areas
2. Students scoring a 4 and 5 in Civics.	t or above Achievem	ent Levels			
Civics Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of	f Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
	Problem-Solving F	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Suhmitted		

### (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

### Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	<u> </u>	·	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

# Attendance Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
Attendance  Attendance Goal #1:	Our goal is to master or improve the expected level of performance based on the 2012-2013 average of 97.22% (73).				
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:				
97.22% (73)	97.22% (73)				
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
0	0				

2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
0			0	0		
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Based on district data, it has been determined that illnesses and absences may affect student performance.	Students with monthly, perfect attendance will receive school-wide recognition.	Leadership Team	General Ed. Teacher will contact students' guardian after 2 absences. Leadership team will monitor weekly call logs as needed.	Roster	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Truancy Prevention	Provide incentives for students with improved attendance.	EESAC	\$500.00
			Subtotal: \$500.0
echnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
			Subtotal: \$0.0

# Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

D -	d an the analysis of	and a data				
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. Su	spension		Our goal is to	Our goal is to master or improve the expected level of		
Suspension Goal #1:				ased on district average		
2012	Total Number of In-Sc	chool Suspensions	2013 Expecte	d Number of In-School	Suspensions	
0			0	0		
2012	! Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-	
0			0	0		
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			2013 Expecte Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions		
0			0	0		
2012 Scho		ents Suspended Out-of-	- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
0			0	0		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students and parents are unfamiliar with the Student Code of Contact.	In Class Behavior Management Plans. Parents will be informed of Code of Conduct and must sign Code of Conduct Contact	Principal	General Ed. Teacher will keep parent contact logs as evidence of communication; principal will monitor parent contact logs.	Parent Contact Logs and Suspension Reports	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

# Parent Involvement Goal(s)

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. Parent Involvement						
			Our goal is to have 90% (221) of our parents to volunteer in our school activities and events.			
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:			2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
85%(208)			90% (221)			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	maintain parent participation in school wide activities by 5 %.	parents' home language	Involvement Delegate	to determine the	Review sign in sheets to determine the number of parents attending school events.
2	Parents have limited knowledge and understanding of information of the curriculum in use at school.	Open House	General Ed. Teacher	Review sign in sheets to determine the number of parents attending school events	Sign In sheets

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
	No Data Submitted							

#### Parent Involvement Budget:

Stratogy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
Strategy			Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

# Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. STEM Our goal is to meet or increase the 2012-2013 current level of enrollment in the Lego Club based on current STEM Goal #1: average of 23% (56). Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Effectiveness of Responsible for Strategy Monitoring Lego Club Director will Ability to increase Create a fundraiser to Principal Lego Club sponsor and facilitate Lego Club Director audit new registration enrollment due to Registration monetary hardship. student(s) opportunity applications to Application, to enroll/attend the determine increase of 2012-2013 Lego Club. Lego Club enrollment. Lego Club Enrollment Records, 2012-2013 Lego Club Attendance Records, 2012-2013

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/	Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

### Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. CTE CTE Goal #1: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

#### CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

### FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Pr	rogram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Grammar and Conventions	Time for Kids	EESAC	\$725.00
Mathematics	Incorporation of FCAT math skills in K-4.	Math IXL	Operating Account	\$1,500.00
Science	To prepare K-4 students with skills that meet the FCAT Science Test Standards.	Science Experiment supplies/mobile lab will be ordered to properly conduct weekly investigations.	Operating Account	\$4,000.00
Attendance	Truancy Prevention	Provide incentives for students with improved attendance.	EESAC	\$500.00
				Subtotal: \$6,725.0
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	To incorporate state standards while aligning it with best practices through the integration of technology into the curriculum.	Accelerated Reader (AR) is a motivational program that encourages independent reading. AR includes online quizzes and measures reading comprehension and vocabulary skills.	Operating Account	\$2,500.00
				Subtotal: \$2,500.00
Professional Devel	opment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Substitutes	Substitutes will be used for classroom coverage during scheduled professional development.	School Fund	\$5,400.00
Writing	To prepare K-4 students with skills that meet the FCAT Writing Test standards.	Zaner-Bloser: 6 Traits of Writing is an instructional/motivational curriculum that develops the students writing skills according to state standards.	Operating Account	\$1,000.00
				Subtotal: \$6,400.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$15,625.0

# Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority	jn Focus	j∩ Prevent	<b>j</b> ∩ NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

# School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Truancy Prevention	\$500.00
SAC funds will be used to purchase Time for Kids.	\$725.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Develop and monitor the implementation and effectiveness of School Improvement Plan. Review student performance data with School Advisory Council. Oversee budget expenditures of SAC funds.

# AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

# SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found