FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Priscilla Ribeiro

SAC Chair: John Hudson and Sean Curran

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: 12/4/12

Last Modified on: 10/24/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
					Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT Performance Measures, and AYP information along with the associated school year) School Grades: 11-12: 10-11: B 09-10: B 08-09: B 07-08: A 06-07: A 05-06: A 04-05: A 03-04: A Reading % Proficient & Above: 2012: 60 2011: 64 2010: 64 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 64 2006: 63 2005: 56

				1	2004: 52	
					Reading	
					% Lrng Gains:	
					2012: 62	
					2011: 61	
					2010: 61	
					2009: 62	
					2008: 68	
					2007: 63	
					2006: 66	
					2005: 58	
					2004: 56	
					Reading	
					% of Lowest 25 %	
					Mkg Gains:	
					2012: 62	
					2012: 62	
					2010: 61	
					2009: 48	
					2009: 48	
					2007: 52	
					2006: 67	
					2005: 57	
					2004: 54	
					Math	
					% Proficient & Above:	
					2012: 70	
					2011: 66	
				1	2010: 63	
					2009: 94	
					2008: 91	
					2007: 90	
					2006: 88	
					2005: 86	
					2004: 86	
					% Lrng Gains:	
					2012: 75	
					2011: 64	
					2010: 65	
					2009: 83	
					2008: 81	
					2007: 80	
					2006: 80	
					2005: 77	
					2004: 77	
					% of Lowest 25 %	
					Mkg Gains:	
					2012: 71	
					2011: 58	
					2010: 62	
					2009: 83	
					2008: 82	
					2007: 75	
					Writing	
					% 3.5 and above:	
					2012: 90	
					2011: 92	
					2010: 86	
					2009: 94	
					2008: 92	
					2007: 94	
					2006: 89	
					2005: 95	
					2004: 95	
					Science	
					% Proficient & Above:	
					2012: N/A	
					2011: 39	
					2010: 42	
					2009: 52	
					2008: 48	
					2007: 51	
					AYP % Reading Total:	
					11-12: 47	
					10-11: 59	
					09-10: 59	
					08-09: 69	
					07-08: 64	
					06-07: 61	
					05-06: 59	
					AYP % Reading White:	
					11-12: 82	
					10-11: 70	
					09-10: 73	
					08-09: 77	
		M.S. Business			07-08: 70	
					06-07-65	
	Priscilla	Administration/ Educational			06-07: 65 05-06: 65	
Principal	Ribeiro	Specialist in	2	9	AYP % Reading Black:	
	KIDEIIO	Educational		1		
					11-12: 49	
		Leadership			10-11: 49	
					09-10: 53	
					08-09: NA	
					07-08: 59	
I	I	I	I	I	06-07: 51	- 1

SS-06 SS SS SS SS SS SS SS SS					
11-12 As Sign				05-06: 53	
10 11 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50				AYP % Reading Hispanic:	
100-111 50 100-111					
SECTION OF					
0 0 0 0 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6					
DES-06-52 APP Resident Asian Test Te				07-08: 57	
APP & Recarding Asiant 11-12 TM 09-10 MA 090-00 MA 090-0					
11 12 74 10 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16					
10-11. NA OP 10-10. NA OP 10-10					
Grant Gran					
07-08 73 73					
0 0. 07. MA 0 0. 09. 39 and ing FRL: 11-12-15-15 10-11-15-15 10-1					
0.5-06 95 95 APP % Modeling FRL 11 1-11 1-54 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-11 1-55 10 1-					
APP 5. Rendering FRI: 11.12. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15					
11-12 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51					
10-11: 54 00-10: 54 00-10: 54 00-10: 54 00-10: 54 00-10: 55 00-10: 54 00-10: 55 00-10: 56					
08 09 55 07 00 48 07 07 00 48 07 07 00 48 07 07 00 48 07 07 00 48 07 07 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08					
07 Oil. 46 0 Co.07: 30 0 Co.07: 33 0 Co.07: 31 0 Co.07: 32 0 Co.07: 32 0 Co.07: 32 0 Co.07: 33 0 Co.07: 34 0 Co.07: 35 0 Co.07: 35 0 Co.07: 35 0 Co.07: 35 0 Co.07: 36 0 Co.07: 37 0 Co.07					
06.07: 35 07.06: 30 and getter 10-11: 30 07.06: 30 07.06: 37 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 33 06.07: 34 06.07: 21 07.06: 21 07.06					
05.06. 43 AVP 56 Roading ELL: 110-11: 30 09-10: 30 09-10: 30 09-10: 30 09-00: 33 09-00: 39 AVP 56 Reading SWD: 110-11: 25 09-10: 33 08-20: NA 4 00-07: 21 09-00: 20 AVP 56 Reading SWD: 110-11: 25 09-10: 33 08-20: NA 4 00-07: 21 09-00: 20 AVP 56 Meth Total: 110-11: 60 09-10: 88 00-88: 89 00-88: 80 00-88: 80 00-88: 80 00-88: 80					
AVP % Reading EU: 11-12 2 10-10-13 0 10-10-13 0 10-10-13 0 10-10-13 0 10-10-13 0 10-10-13 0 10-10-13 1 10-10-1					
111-12: 2 10-10: 30 10-10: 30 10-10: 30 10-10: 30 10-10: 30 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 37 10-10: 38 10-10:					
09-10-30				11-12: 2	
GS-OP-39 GO-OP-39					
07-08-37 06-07-33 08-07-33 08-07-33 08-07-34 08-07-36-20 01-11-25 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-24 08-06-22 08-06-22 08-06-22 08-06-22 08-06-22 08-06-22 08-06-22 08-06-22 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-23 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-24 08-06-25 08-06-26					
06. 07: 33 SA-Gas, 30 adding SWD:					
05-06-38 34P-90 Reading SWD: 11-12-30 33 34P-90 34P-					
AVP 56, Reading SWDC: 11-12: 300 110-11: 320 110-11: 320 100-00: 340 100-00: 341 100-00: 321 100-00: 3				05-06: 39	
10-11: 25 007-10: 33 08-08-10. 34 08-09-10. 33 08-09-10. 34 09-07: 21 09-07: 21 09-07: 21 09-07: 21 09-07: 21 09-07: 21 11: 17: 38 11: 17: 38 11: 17: 38 10: 19-10: 58 09-09- 92 07-08-89 08-09- 92 07-08-89 08-09- 98-99 08-09- 9					
09-10-33 08-09-NA 07-08-34 07-08-34 07-08-34 07-08-34 09-06-29 APV 96. Maint Total: 11-12-36 11-11: 20 09-10-58 09-00-07-87 08-99 09-07-87 08-96-88 APV 96. Maint White: 11-11-12-36 11-12-36 11-13-12-36 11-13-12-36 11-13-12-36 11-13-12-36 11-13-12-36 11-13-12-36 11-13-12-36 11-13-13-13-36 11-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-1					
08-09- NA 07-08: 34 06-07: 17 96-08-07: 17 97 96-08-07: 17 97 96-08-08-08-11 11-12: 36 10-11: 40 09-10: 58 08-09: 92 07-08: 39 07-08: 39 08-08-99: 92 07-08: 39 08-09: 92 08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-08-0					
07-08: 34 06-07: 21 05-06: 29 AIP % Math Total: 110-11: 40 00-10: 58 08:09: 92 07-08: 80 08:09: 92 07-08: 80 08:09: 93 08:09: 94 08:09: 94 08:09: 94 08:09: 94 09: 95: 95: 95: 95: 95: 95: 95: 95: 95: 9					
06-07: 21 05-06: 29 AVP % Math Total: 11-12: 30 11-13: 3					
AYP % Math Total: 11-12: 36 10-11: 60 09-10: 58 00-70: 89 06-67: 87 05-66: 86 AYP % Math White: 11-12: 86 10-11: 75 09-07: 90 05-66: 88 AYP % Math Black: 11-12: 20 10-10: 15 06-07: 90 05-68 AYP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 62 10-11: 55 06-07: 81 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 07-08: 81 07-08: 81 07-08: 81 07-08: 81 07-08: 81 07-08: 81 07-08: 81 07-08: 94 08-09: 94 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98 09-09: 98					
11-12: 36 10-11: 60 09-10: 58 08-09: 92 07-09: 92 07-09: 97 05-06: 66 AVP 96 Math White: 11-12: 66 10-11: 75 09-10: 74 08-09: NA 07-09: 90 06-06: 88 AVP 96 Math Black: 11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-09: 81 08-09: NA 07-09: 81 08-09: NA 07-09: 81 08-09: 91 07-09: 81 08-09: 91 07-09: 81 08-09: 91 07-09: 81 08-09: 91 07-09: 81 08-09: 91 07-09: 81 08-09: 92 08-09: 93 08-09: 98					
10-11: 06 09-10: 58 08-09: 92 07-08: 89 08-09: 92 07-08: 89 08-09: 88					
09-10: 58 08-09: 92 07-08: 89 08-09: 92 07-08: 89 08-09: 97 08-08: 87 08-08: 87 08-08: 87 08-08: 87 08-08: 88 08-09: NA 07-08: 91 08-09: NA 09-10:					
08.09.92 07.08.89 06-07.87 06-07.87 08-686 MYP 98. Math White: 111-12.05 07-08.91 08-09.10.74					
06-07: 87 05-06: 86 AVP % Math White:					
05-06: 86					
AYP % Math White: 11-12: 86 11-13: 86 10-11: 75 09-10: 74 08-09: NA 07-08: 91 06-07: 90 09-06: 88 AYP % Math Black: 110-11: 62 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 06-07: 81 06-06: 78 AYP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 08-09: 81 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 08-09: 81 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 08-09: 81 09-09: 84 09-09: 85 09-09: 85 09-09: 85 09-09: 85 09-09: 86					
11-12: 86 10-11: 75 09-10: 74 08-09: NA 07-08: 91 06-07: 90 06-50: 88 AYP % Math Black: 11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 10-11: 58 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 84 AYP % Math Aslan: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 08-09: NA					
10-11: 75 09-10: 74 08-09: NA 07-08: 91 06-07: 90 06-07: 90 05-06: 88 AVP % Math Black: 11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 06-07: 81 06-07: 81 06-07: 81 07-08: 87 08-09: NA 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 06-07: 85 06-07: 85 06-07: 85 06-07: 85 06-07: 85 06-07: 85 06-07: 86 11-12: 86					
09-10: 74 08-09: NA 07-08: 91 06-07: 90 06-07: 90 06-06: 88 APP® Math Black: 11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 06-07: 81 06-07: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 48 08-09: 91 07-08: 81 06-07: 85 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 06-07: 84 06-07: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 08-09: NA 09-09: NA					
07-08: 91 06-07: 90 05-06: 88 AYP % Math Black: 11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 06-07: 81 07-08: 81 07-08: 81 08-07: 88 09-10: 58 09-10: 58 09-10: 58 09-10: 58 09-10: 58 09-10: 58 09-10: 58 09-10: 58 09-10: 58 09-10: NA 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 07-08: 84 08-09: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 08-09: NA 09-10: SA 08-09: NB					
06-07: 90 05-06: 88 AYP % Math Black: 11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 05-06: 78 AYP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 86 10-11: 59 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 08-09: 88 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 08-09: 88 08-09: 86					
S					
APP % Math Black: 11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 05-06: 78 APP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: NA 09-10: 87 06-07: NA 09-10: 86 09-10: 56 09-10:					
11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 05-06: 78 AVP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 06-06: 84 AVP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 08-09: NA				AYP % Math Black:	
09-10: 45 08-09: NA 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 05-06: 78 AYP% Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 88 08-09: 91 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 89 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 04-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 04-09: 88 07-09: 88 07-09: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 08-09: 86				11-12: 62	
08-09: NA 07-08: 81 06-07: 81 05-06: 78 AYP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 06-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36					
07-08: 81 06-07: 81 05-06: 78 APP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 APP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 APP % Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 APP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36					
06-07: 81 05-06: 78 AYP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP % Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
05-06: 78 AVP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 909-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AVP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 08-09: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AVP % Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 90-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36					
AYP % Math Hispanic: 11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36					
11-12: 85 10-11: 59 09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 09-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36				AYP % Math Hispanic:	
09-10: 58 08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36				11-12: 85	
08-09: 91 07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP % Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36					
07-08: 87 06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
06-07: 85 05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86				07-08: 87	
05-06: 84 AYP % Math Asian: 11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86				06-07: 85	
11-12: 86 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86				05-06: 84	
10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
08-09: NA 07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
07-08: 94 06-07: NA 05-06: 94 AYP Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
05-06: 94 AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86				07-08: 94	
AYP% Math FRL: 11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
11-12: 66 10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
10-11: 56 09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
09-10: 54 08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
08-09: 88 07-08: 82 06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86				09-10: 54	
06-07: 75 05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86				08-09: 88	
05-06: 80 AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
AYP % Math ELL: 11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
11-12: 27 10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
10-11: 32 09-10: 36 08-09: 86					
09-10: 36 08-09: 86				10-11: 32	
				09-10: 36	
[07-08: 80					
	l	1	1 1	J07-08: 80	I

One-Dr. 70 Anthris Main SWD 11-12-19 10-10-33 00-50-9 A8 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-77 00-50-7-7-7 00-50-7-7-7 00-50-7 00-7-7 00-50-7 00-7-7 00-				
AVP 96 Math SWID: 110-111 29 107-110 33 107-100 34 100 34 1				06-07: 78
171-17, 41 9 90 91 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-33 91 99 10-34 91 90				
10 11 20 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)				
19				
17 68: 65 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18				09-10: 33
06-07-47 05-06-8 05-				
School Clarities				
School Crades 1 122 103-11 B 00-09 D 00-08 D				
11-12: 10-15: B 00: 00: D 00:				
10-11: B 10-12: B				
09-10-8 06-07-17 06-40-17 06-4				-
Bill Color D D D D D D D D D				
07 GB ID 06 GPT F 07 GB ID 07 GB ID 08 GPT F 08 GPT GB ID 08 GPT GB				
00-06 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C				
Oct 05: C Oct - Oct Oct - Oc				
03-01 C Reading Spot (Company to the company to the				
Reading Springleman & Above: 2011 64				
Septicificant & Above: 2012-00 2010-64 2010-64 2009-22 2008-25 2006-25 2006-25 2006-25 2006-25 2006-40 2004-40 2004-40 2004-10 2011-61 2012-62 2011-61 2012-62 2011-61 2009-39 2006-42 2007-34 2008-39 2006-42 2007-34 2008-31 2008-31 2008-31 2012-62 2011-61 2009-31 2008-31 2012-62 2011-61 2009-31 2008-31 2012-62 2011-63 2018-63 2018-64 2018-65 2018-64 2018-65 2018-65 2018-66 2018-66 2018-66 2018-66 2018-69 2008-69				
2012: 60 2011: 04 2009: 22 2008: 25 2009: 25 2007: 72 2008: 25 2007: 72 2008: 25 2007: 72 2008: 25 2007: 72 2008: 25 2007: 72 2008: 41 Reading % Lungualins: 2011: 61 2011: 61 2011: 61 2011: 61 2011: 61 2009: 42 2009: 42 2009: 42 2009: 64 2008: 64 2008: 64 2008: 64 2008: 64 2008: 64 2008: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 64 2009: 65 2009: 64 2009: 65 2009: 64 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 65 2009: 66 2009: 67				
2010. 64 2009. 22 2007. 22 2007. 22 2006. 25 2008. 40 2004. 41 2004. 41 2004. 43 2012. 62 2011. 61 2012. 62 2011. 61 2009. 39 2008. 42 2008. 42 2007. 37 2006. 64 2007. 37 2006. 64 2009. 65 2010. 61 2009. 61 2011. 65 2010. 61 2009. 61 200				
2009-25 2008-25 2008-25 2008-25 2008-25 2008-25 2008-26 2008-36 2008-37 2008-38				2011: 64
2008: 32 2009: 40 2008: 40 2008: 41 Reading We Fring Galvis: 2011: 61 2010: 01 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 30 2009:				
2007: 22 2006: 25 2006: 41 2006: 41 Reading % Lrng Calns: 2012: 62 2010: 61 2010: 61 2009: 39 2008: 42 2007: 37 2008: 42 2009: 39 2008: 42 2009: 63 2011: 65 2010: 61 2009: 67 2009: 68 2010: 61 2009: 68 2010: 61 2009: 68 2010: 61 2009: 68 2010: 68				
2006: 25 205: 41 205: 41 205: 41 206: 41 207: 62 2011: 61 2010: 61 2009: 30 2008: 42 2007: 37 2006: 63 2008: 50 Reading World Lowest 25 % Mix Galans: 2010: 61 2009: 51 2008: 50 2010: 61 2009: 51 2008: 50 2010: 61 2009: 51 2008: 50 2010: 61 2009: 51 2008: 47 2008: 47 2008: 47 2008: 47 2008: 47 2008: 48 2008: 48 2008: 54 2009: 53 2009: 54 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 65 2019:				2008: 25 2007: 22
2005: 40 2004: 41 Reading 2012: 6alans: 2012: 6alans: 2012: 6alans: 2012: 6alans: 2013: 61 2010: 61 2009: 39 2009: 39 2009: 39 2000: 64 2005: 51 2004: 50 Reading Read				2007. 22 2006: 25
2001- 41 Reading % Ling Calins: 2011- 61 2010- 61 2010- 61 2010- 61 2009- 39 2008- 42 2007- 34 2008- 51 2008- 51 2008- 50 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Miking Calins: 2011- 66 2010- 61 2009- 51 2008- 54 200				
Reading % Lring Galns: 2011 62 2011 62 2011 62 2010 61 2009 39 2008 42 2000 54 2000 54 2000 51 2001 50 Reading % of Lowest 25 96 88 61 2010 61 2010 61 2009 51 2011 65 2010 61 2009 51 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 69 2009 69 2009 69 2009 69 2009 69 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61 2009 61				
2012: 62 2011: 61 2010: 61 2010: 61 2010: 61 2010: 62 2007: 37 2006: 64 2007: 37 2006: 64 2008: 51 2009: 50 88 Mg Gains: 2012: 62 2011: 65 2010: 61 2009: 42 2007: 47 2006: 76 2009: 42 2007: 47 2006: 76 2009: 48 88 89: Preficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2009: 53 2009: 53 2009: 53 2009: 54 2009: 55 2009: 56 2009: 57 2009: 58 2009: 58 2009: 58 2009: 58 2009: 58 2009: 58 2009: 58 2009: 58 2009: 58 2009: 58				Reading
2011: 61 2000: 39 2000: 37 2000: 37 2000: 37 2000: 41 2000: 51 2004: 50 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8				% Lrng Gains:
2010: 61 2009: 39 2008: 42 2007: 34 2008: 42 2007: 34 2005: 51 2006: 50 Reading				
2009: 39 2008: 42 2007: 37 2008: 42 2007: 37 2008: 42 2007: 37 2008: 61 2004: 50 2008: 61 2004: 50 2008: 61				
2008: 42 2007: 37 2006: 46 2008: 61 2008: 60 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 62 2011: 65 2010: 61 2009: 61 2009: 61 2009: 61 2009: 64 2007: 47 2006: 76 2008: 48 Methin the state of the state				
2007: 37 2006: 64 2005: 51 2004: 50 Reading Well (1998) Reading Well (2011) Reading Re				
2005: 51 2004: 50 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 42 2010: 61 2009: 51 2008: 42 2007: 47 2006: 76 2005: 54 2011: 68 2011: 68 2011: 68 2011: 68 2011: 68 2011: 68 2011: 68 2011: 68 2009: 53 2008: 84 2007: 53 2008: 84 2007: 53 2008: 84 2007: 65 2010: 65 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 74 2009: 76 2009: 69 200				
2004; 50 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains; 2011; 62 2010; 61 2009; 51 2008; 42 2007; 47 2006; 76 2009; 54 2009; 54 2009; 54 2009; 54 2009; 54 2009; 54 2009; 54 2009; 54 2009; 55 2009; 53 2009; 53 2009; 53 2009; 53 2009; 53 2009; 53 2009; 54 2007; 54 2009; 54 2009; 56 2009; 57 2011; 66 2010; 66 2010; 66 2010; 66 2010; 66 2010; 66 2010; 66 2010; 66 2010; 66 2010; 67 2009; 69 2009; 78 2009; 87 200				
Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Cains: 2012: 62 2011: 65 2011: 65 2009: 41 2009: 42 2008: 42 2008: 42 2008: 42 2008: 44 2004: 48 Math %, Preficient & Above: 2012: 70 2016: 63 2009: 54 2009: 54 2009: 54 2009: 54 2009: 54 2009: 54 2009: 68 2012: 75 2016: 68 2012: 75 2016: 68 2012: 75 2017: 65 2018: 75 2008: 87				
% of Lowest 25 %				
Mkg Gains: 2012: 62 2011: 65 2010: 61 2009: 51 2009: 51 2008: 54 2009: 74 2008: 74 2008: 74 2008: 74 2008: 74 2008: 75 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2009: 53 2009: 53 2009: 53 2009: 54 2007: 75 2011: 64 2006: 40 2006: 75 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 64 2011: 65 2012: 75 2011: 64 2016: 75 2011: 64 2016: 69 2008: 69 2009: 71 2016: 71 2016: 71 2011: 58 2016: 71 2011: 58 2019: 69 2009: 81 2008: 87 2006: 89 2006: 89 2006: 89				
2011: 65 2010: 61 2010: 61 2008: 42 2008: 47 2008: 47 2008: 47 2008: 48 2008: 48 2008: 48 2008: 48 2008: 48 2008: 54 2008: 53 2008: 54 2008: 54 2008: 54 2008: 54 2008: 54 2008: 54 2008: 54 2008: 54 2008: 54 2008: 69				
2011: 65 2010: 61 2009: 51 2009: 51 2009: 42 2007: 47 2006: 76 2005: 54 2005: 54 2005: 54 2006: 64 2006: 64 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2009: 54 2007: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 70 2006: 70 2006: 70 2006: 68 2011: 64 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2006: 71 2006: 71 2006: 71 2006: 71 2011: 58 2011: 71 2011: 58 2011: 64 2009: 69 200				
2009: 51 2008: 42 2007: 47 2006: 76 2005: 54 2004: 48 Math % Proficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 % Lring Galins: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 70 2004: 68 2009: 70 2004: 68 2009: 70 2004: 68 2009: 70 2004: 68 2009: 70 2004: 68 2009: 70 2004: 68 2009: 70 2008: 70 2009:				
2008: 42 2007: 47 2006: 76 2005: 54 2004: 48 Math Math Whith % Proficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2009: 53 2009: 53 2009: 64 2009: 70 2004: 68 % Lring Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 70 2004: 74 2004: 78 8				
2006: 76 2006: 76 2006: 76 2006: 76 2008: 54 2004: 48 Math % Proficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2018: 68 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2009: 69 2011: 92 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2006: 89				
2006: 76 2005: 54 2004: 48 Math Math % Proficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2004: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2012: 71 2011: 58 2012: 71 2011: 58 2012: 71 2011: 58 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 81 2009: 87 2009: 89 2006: 89 2006: 89				
2005: 54 2004: 48 Math % Proficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2018: 68 % Lung Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 70 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2006: 89				
2004: 48 Math Math White Proficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 66 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2009: 53 2006: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2006: 70 2004: 68 White English En				
Math % Proficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 70 2004: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 9% Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2007: 65 2008: 69 2007: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2011: 92 2011: 92 2011: 92 2011: 92 2011: 92 2011: 92 2011: 98 2006: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2007: 78 2006: 89 2007: 78 2006: 89 2007: 78 2006: 89 2007: 78 2006: 99 2007: 78 2006: 99 2007: 78 2006: 89 2007: 78 2006: 89				
2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 3				Math
2011: 66 2010: 63 2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2006: 71 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2010: 50 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing Willing W				% Proficient & Above:
2010: 63 2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2006: 70 2004: 63 3 % Lrng Galns: 2011: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2007: 65 2009: 69 2007: 65 2006: 71 2006: 71 2006: 71 2006: 71 2006: 71 2006: 71 2006: 71 2007: 78 3 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2007: 65 2009: 69 2007: 65 Witting Writing				
2009: 53 2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2008: 89 2006: 89				
2008: 54 2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2006: 71 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2009: 81 2009: 81 2009: 87 2007: 78 2006: 87				
2007: 53 2006: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 % Lrng Gains: 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 2006: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2010: 62 2010: 62 2010: 62 2010: 62 2011: 92 2010: 86 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2009: 81 2009: 87 2007: 78 2007: 78 2007: 78 2006: 89				
2006: 40 2005: 70 2004: 68 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2006: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2008: 89 2006: 89				2007: 53
2004: 68 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 2006: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2009: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 87				2006: 40
% Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 2006: 71 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2009: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2006: 89 2007: 78 2006: 89 2007: 78 2006: 87				2005: 70
2012: 75 2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 2006: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2008: 87				2004: 68 % Irng Coince
2011: 64 2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2006: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2009: 81 2009: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2006: 89 2006: 89				76 LITIN GAILIS.
2010: 65 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 2006: 71 2006: 74 2004: 78 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2007: 78 2006: 89 2006: 87				
2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 2006: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2006: 89				2010: 65
2006: 71 2006: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2009: 81 2009: 87	- 1			2009: 69
2006: 71 2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
2005: 74 2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2006: 89 2006: 89 2005: 87	- 1			
2004: 78 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2006: 89 2006: 89 2006: 89				
% of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2006: 89 2006: 87				
Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
2012: 71 2011: 58 2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				Mkg Gains:
2010: 62 2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				2012: 71
2009: 69 2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
2008: 69 2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
2007: 65 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
% 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
2012: 90 2011: 92 2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2006: 89 2005: 87				% 3.5 and above:
2010: 86 2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				2012: 90
2009: 81 2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				2011: 92
2008: 87 2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
2007: 78 2006: 89 2005: 87				
2006: 89 2005: 87				
2005: 87				2007. 70 2006: 89
2004: 91	- 1			2005: 87
				2004: 91
	•	,	•	 •

					Science % Proficient & Above: 2012: N/A 2011: 39 2010: 42	
					2009: 20 2008: 19 2007: 14 AYP % Reading Total: 11-12: 47 10-11: 59 09-10: 59 08-09: 21 07-08: 24	
					06-07: 19 05-06: 23 AYP % Reading White: 11-12: 82 10-11: 70 09-10: 73 08-09: NA 07-08: NA 06-07: NA	
Assis Princ	ipal Frank Gaines	Bachelor of Arts- Finance, Master of Science- Educational Leadership	2	10	05-06: NA AYP % Reading Black: 11-12: 49 10-11: 49 09-10: 53 08-09: 18 07-08: 21 06-07: 16	
					05-06: 23 AYP % Reading Hispanic: 11-12: 66 10-11: 58 09-10: 55 08-09: NA 07-08: NA 06-07: NA 05-06: NA	
					AYP % Reading Asian: 11-12: 74 10-11: NA 09-10: NA 08-09: NA 07-08: NA 06-07: NA 05-06: NA	
					AYP % Reading FRL: 11-12: 51 10-11: 54 09-10: 54 08-09: 18 07-08: 20 06-07: 18 05-06: 24	
					AYP % Reading ELL: 11-12: 2 10-11: 30 09-10: 30 08-09: NA 07-08: 6 06-07: 6 05-06: 11	
					AYP % Reading SWD: 11-12: 30 10-11: 25 09-10: 33 08-09: 22 07-08: 24 06-07: 11 05-06: 12 AYP % Math Total:	
					11-12: 36 10-11: 60 09-10: 58 08-09: 50 07-08: 50 06-07: 48 05-06: 34 AYP % Math White:	
					11-12: 86 10-11: 75 09-10: 74 08-09: NA 07-08: NA 06-07: NA 05-06: NA AYP % Math Black:	
					11-12: 62 10-11: 52 09-10: 45 08-09: 49 07-08: NA 06-07: NA	

			05-06: 34	
			AYP % Math Hispanic:	
			11-12: 85	
			10-11: 59	
			09-10: 58	
			08-09: NA	
			07-08: NA	
			06-07: NA	
			05-06: NA	
			AYP % Math Asian:	
			11-12: 86	
			10-11: NA	
			09-10: NA	
			08-09: NA	
			07-08: NA	
			06-07: NA	
			05-06: NA	
			AYP% Math FRL:	
			11-12: 66	
			10-11: 56	
			09-10: 54	
			08-09: 48	
			07-08: 47	
			06-07: 47	
			05-06: 35	
			AYP % Math ELL:	
			11-12: 27	
			10-11: 32	
			09-10: 36	
			08-09: 39	
			07-08: 30	
			06-07: 31	
			05-06: 17	
			AYP % Math SWD:	
			11-12: 41	
			10-11: 29	
			09-10: 33	
			08-09: 35	
			07-08: 29	
			06-07: 18	
			05-06: 10	
			School Grades	
			11-12:	
			10-11: B	
			09-10: B	
			08-09: C	
			07-08: B	
			06-07: C	
			05-06: B	
			04-05: D	
			03-04: C	
			Reading	
			% Proficient & Above:	
			2012: 60	
			2011: 55	
			2010: 52	
			2009: 49	
			2008: 50	
			2007: 44	
			2006: 39	
			2005: 35	
			2003: 35	
			Reading	
			% Lrng Gains:	
			2012: 62 2011: 56	
			2010: 51	
			2009: 51	
			2009: 51	
			2008: 55	
			2007: 51	
			2006: 52	
			2005: 45	
			Reading	
			% of Lowest 25 %	
			% of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains:	
			2012: 62	
			2011: 53	
			2010: 43	
			2009: 50	
			2008: 43	
			2007: 53	
			2006: 56	
			2005: 43	
			2004: 43	
			Math	
			% Proficient & Above:	
			2012: 70	
			2011: 84	
			2010: 80	
			2009: 79	
			2008: 77	
			2007: 74	
			111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
			2006: 76	
	l	l	2006: 76	•

Assis F	Principal	Bryan O'Toole	Bachelor of Arts, Social Sciences, Spanish/Latin American Studies Master of Science, Educational Leadership Educational Leadership, K-12 Spanish, K-12	16	9	2005: 70 2004: 64 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 74 2010: 75 2011: 74 2010: 75 2009: 77 2008: 77 2008: 77 2006: 75 2004: 70 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 61 2010: 57 2009: 61 2009: 61 2008: 68 2007: 64 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 86 2010: 94 2009: 92 2008: 89 2006: 87 2006: 88 2006: 87 2006: 84 2004: 89 Science % Proficient & Above: 2012: NA 2011: 37 2010: 32 2009: 34 2008: 31 2007: 28 AVP % Reading Total: 11-12: 47 10-11: 53 09-10: 51 08-09: 46 07-08: 47 AVP % Reading Black: 11-12: 49 10-11: 11 09-10: 71 08-09: 67 77 AVP % Reading Hispanic: 11-12: 51 10-11: 11 11-12: 51 10-11: 14 09-10: 37 08-09: 36 06-07: 32 06-07: 32 06-07: 32 07-08: 35 06-07: 32 07-08: 35 06-07: 32 07-08: 36 06-07: 32 07-08: 36 06-07: 32 07-08: 36 06-07: 32 07-08: 36 06-07: 32 07-08: 36 06-07: 30 08-09: N/A 07-08: N/A		
---------	-----------	------------------	--	----	---	--	--	--

	r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 The state of the s	1
		AYP % Math Total:	
		11-12: 36	
		10-11: 82	
		09-10: 49	
		08-09: 75	
		07-08: 72	
		06-07: 68	
		05-06: 71	
		AYP % Math White:	
		11-12: 86	
		10-11: 90	
		09-10: 90	
		08-09: 89	
		07-08: 89	
		06-07: 83	
		05-06: 88	
		AYP % Math Black:	
		11-12: 62	
		10-11: 75	
		09-10: 72	
		08-09: 67	
		07-08: 64	
		06-07: 61	
		05-06: 63	
		AYP % Math Hispanic:	
		11-12: 85	
		10-11: N/A	
		09-10: 91	
		08-09: N/A	
		07-08: N/A	
		06-07: N/A	
		05-06: 77	
		AYP% Math FRL:	
		11-12: 66	
		10-11: 78	
		09-10: 71	
		08-09: 70	
		07-08: 65	
		06-07: 64	
		05-06: 65	
		AYP % Math ELL:	
		11-12: 27	
		10-11: N/A	
		09-10: 43	
		08-09: N/A	
		07-08: 42	
		06-07: 45	
		05-06: 45	
		AYP % Math SWD:	
		11-12: 41	
		10-11: N/A	
		09-10: 48	
		08-09: N/A	
		07-08: N/A	
		06-07: N/A	
		05-06: 43	
		School Grades:	
		10-11: B	
		09-10: A	
		09-10: A 08-09: A	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above:	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008:67	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008:67 2007: 66	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008:67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008:67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains:	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2009: 64 2008: 68	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2009: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008:67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2009: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 %	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains:	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008:67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41 2010: 46	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41 2010: 46 2009: 65	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 206: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41 2010: 46 2009: 65 2008: 66	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41 2010: 46 2009: 65 2008: 66 2007: 67	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008:67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41 2010: 46 2009: 65 2009: 65 2008: 66 2007: 67 2006: 74	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41 2010: 46 2009: 65 2008: 66 2007: 67 2006: 74 2006: 74 2006: 74	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41 2010: 46 2009: 65 2008: 66 2007: 67 2006: 74 2005: 47 Math	
		09-10: A 08-09: A 07-08: B 06-07: A 05-06: B 04-05: C Reading % Proficient & Above: 2011: 42 2010: 47 2009: 71 2008: 67 2007: 66 2006: 60 2005: 34 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2011: 46 2010: 51 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 30 2006: 29 2005: 46 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2011: 41 2010: 46 2009: 65 2008: 66 2007: 67 2006: 74 2006: 74 2006: 74	

Assis Principal	Michael Marhefka	Bachelor of Arts in History, Master of Science Leadership in Educational Administration, Certification in Educational Leadership, K-12 Certification in Social Sciences 6-12 ESOL Endorsed	1	1	2010: 81 2009: 68 2008: 65 2007: 64 2006: 66 2005: 60 2005: 60 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 78 2009: 64 2008: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 68 2007: 67 2006: 74 2006: 47 2006: 47 2006: 47 2006: 47 2006: 47 2006: 48 2009: 98 2009: 98 2009: 97 2007: 94 2006: 93 2005: 83 82009: 97 2007: 94 2006: 93 2005: 83 82009: 41 2010: 46 2009: 41 2017: 53 208: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 88 209: 40 207: 41 209	
-----------------	---------------------	--	---	---	--	--

					AYP % Math White: 10-11: 89 09-10: 92 08-09: 86 07-08: 83 06-07: 81 05-06: 77 AYP % Math Black: 10-11: 73 09-10: 69 08-09: 67 07-08: 70 06-07: 72 05-06: 63 AYP % Math Hispanic: 10-11: 71 09-10: 78 08-09: N/A 07-08: N/A 06-07: 68 05-06: 60 AYP% Math FRL: 10-11: N/A 09-10: N/A 08-09: 67 07-08: 70 06-07: 68 05-06: 60 AYP % Math ELL: 10-11: N/A 09-10: N/A 09-10: N/A 09-10: N/A 09-09: 67 07-08: 70 06-07: 68 05-06: 60 AYP % Math ELL: 10-11: N/A 09-10: N/A 08-09: 43 07-08: 47 06-07: 40 05-06: 37 AYP % Math SWD:
					AYP % Math SWD: 10-11: N/A 09-10: N/A 08-09: 44 07-08: 49 06-07: 43 05-06: 48 School Grades 11-12: TBA 10-11: B Reading % Proficient & Above: 2012: 60 2011: 55 Reading % Lrng Gains: 2012: 62 2011: 56 Reading % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 62 2011: 53 Math % Proficient & Above: 2012: 70 2011: 84 % Lrng Gains: 2012: 75 2011: 74 % of Lowest 25 % Mkg Gains: 2012: 71 2011: 61 Writing % 3.5 and above: 2012: 90 2011: 86
Assis Principal	Sara Larosa	Bachelor of Arts, Communication and Master of Arts, Educational Leadership Certification in Educational Leadership, ESOL Endorsed	3	3	Science % Proficient & Above: 2012: NA 2011: 37 AYP % Reading Total: 11-12: 47 10-11: 53 AYP % Reading White: 11-12: 82 10-11: 71 AYP % Reading Black: 11-12: 49 09-10: 41 AYP % Reading Hispanic: 11-12: 66 10-11: N/A AYP % Reading FRL: 11-12: 51 10-11: 45 AYP % Reading ELL: 11-12: 2

	10-11: N/A
	AYP % Reading SWD:
	11-12: 30
	10-11: N/A
	AYP % Math Total:
	11-12: 36
	10-11: 82
	AYP % Math White:
	11-12: 86
	10-11: 90
	AYP % Math Black:
	11-12: 62
	10-11: 75
	AYP % Math Hispanic:
	11-12: 85
	10-11: N/A
	AYP% Math FRL:
	11-12: 66
	10-11: 78
	AYP % Math ELL:
	11-12: 27
	10-11: N/A
	AYP % Math SWD:
	11-12: 41
	10-11: N/A
	10-11. N/A

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Nadine Anchell	Master of Arts, Elementary Education Bachelor of Arts, English	4	4	School Grades: 11-12: TBA 10-11: B 09-10: B 08-09: C FCAT Reading Proficiency: 11-12: 60 10-11: 55 09-10: 52 08-09: 49 FCAT Reading Learning Gains: 11-12: 62 10-11: 56 09-10: 51 08-09: 51 FCAT Reading LG of Lowest 25%: 11-12: 62 10-11: 53 09-10: 43 08-09: 50 FCAT Writing 11-12: 90 10-11: 86 09-10: 94 08-09: 92 AYP Reading Total: 11-12: 47 10-11: 53 09-10: 51 08-09: 46 AYP Reading White: 11-12: 82 10-11: 71 09-10: 71 08-09: 67 AYP Reading Black: 11-12: 49 10-11: 41 09-10: 37 08-09: 36 AYP Reading Hispanic: 11-12: 66 10-11: N/A 09-10: 71 08-09: N/A AYP Reading FRL: 11-12: 51 10-11: 45 09-10: 42 08-09: 37

1	ı	1	1	Table 2 to 1
				AYP Reading ELL:
				11-12: 2
				10-11: N/A
				09-10: N/A
				08-09: N/A
				AYP Reading SWD:
				11-12: 30
				10-11: N/A
				09-10: N/A
				08-09: N/A

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	1. Teacher of the Month program	Sara Larosa	August 2013	
2	2. Staff Development Opportunities	Valerie Ruwe	August 2013	
3	3. New staff induction program	Sara Larosa	August 2013	
4	4.Rising Leaders Program	Frank Gaines	August 2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
N/A	N/A

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
84	6.0%(5)	36.9%(31)	39.3%(33)	36.9%(31)	59.5%(50)	119.0% (100)	15.5%(13)	9.5%(8)	75.0%(63)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
Priscilla Ribeiro	John Hudson Sean Curran Marie Hautigan Dan Katz	Aspiring Leaders will work with the Principal in order to have the opportunities to explore administrative duties the	Aspiring Leaders will meet with the Principal on the six Professional Study Days to discuss, plan and evaluate the various experiences of leadership/organizational experiences with operations: Aspiring Leaders will be paired with Asst. Principals and

		Principal open to them.	Principal to oversee the specific campus operations.
Val Ruwe	Jordanna Hass	National Board Certified Teacher AYA Biology Mentor & Clinical Educator	Weekly Mentoring, Marzano iobservations, Monthly Group Meeting
Marie Hautigan		Mentor is completing Principal Rapid Orientation and Preparation in Educational Leadership Program (PROPEL)	Weekly Mentoring, Marzano iobservations, Monthly Group Meeting
Jan March	Marina Batist	Mentor is a Clinical educator with experience as a Math Instructional Coach	Weekly Mentoring, Marzano iobservations, Monthly Group Meeting
Casey Portnoy	Israel Gonzales	Mentor is a Clinical Educator with a Maters degree & also has Experience in Vocational Education	Weekly Mentoring, Marzano iobservations, Monthly Group Meeting
Dan Katz	Ihsin Chang	National Board Certified Teacher & Certified Lawyer experienced in teaching International Relations and a Clinical educator.	Weekly Mentoring, Marzano iobservations, Monthly Group Meeting

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

N/A

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

	•	
Title I, Part A		
N/A		
Title I, Part C- Migrant		
N/A		
Title I, Part D		
N/A		
Title II		
N/A		
Title III		

N/A	
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)	
N/A	
Violence Prevention Programs	
N/A	
Nutrition Programs	
N/A	
Housing Programs	
N/A	
Head Start	
N/A	
Adult Education	
N/A	
Career and Technical Education	
N/A	
Job Training	
N/A	
Other	
N/A	

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal

Grade Level Administrators

ESE/ESOL Specialist

Guidance Director

School Social Worker

School Psychologist

Reading Coach

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Teachers are assigned at-risk students in August and then again in January. Teachers monitor student progress, communicate with student's other teachers, and implement interventions. If the student is not making progress, a referral is made to the RtI Leadership team. The RtI leadership team meets bi-weekly on Tuesday's to evaluate Tier 2/3 interventions, or more often if needed. Grade level teams meet quarterly to develop interventions.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI team communicates with the School Advisory Council (SAC), principal, department chair-persons, instructional coaches, and administrators to ensure the academic goals set forth in the School Improvement Plan are achieved and aligned with district initiatives. The RtI Leadership team develops and implements the action steps to accomplish school goals as determined by gaps in data.

-MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The RtI chair designates selected RtI members to collect and analysis tiered data. Depending on the evidence-based intervention, appropriate data will be collected using selected criteria specific to the evidence-based intervention being implemented. Review of the data occurs regularly and the need for a higher tier evidence-based intervention is evaluated. A variety of data management systems are used including, but not limited to Data Warehouse reports, Pinnacle reports, and classroom observations using a variety of collection methods, counselor and agency reports.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff will be introduced to the RtI concept on the first day back to school (August 15). A more comprehensive procedural type in-service will be given on August 16. The grade level teams will meet and grade level teachers will receive their student assignments on August 19. The RtI Leadership team will meet on August 18.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Administration, guidance and faculty will work together to identify and work with students in need of RtI. It will be a collective effort to then provide appropriate interventions to assist students on an individual basis.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Fort Lauderdale Literacy Leadership Team consists of the principal, assistant principals, Reading Coach, department heads, and guidance staff. This team also functions as the school based leadership team to identify school needs.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

This leadership team will meet monthly to evaluate school-wide reading data, analyze data trends and adjust literacy initiatives accordingly. The leadership team members then report to their respective departments to share and discuss recommendations from the LLT so that teachers can then adjust their instructional focus.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Goals: Level 4-5 Sustain

Level 3 Sustain-Improve 65%

Level 1-2 Improve 65%

This leadership team will focus on improving the achievement of the lowest 25% percentile of students in Reading.

School Wide Literacy Practices:

- Sustained Silent Reading and Writing (SSRW)
- 1. Teachers will continue to follow the Departmentalized Monday, Wednesday, and Friday schedule hanging in their classrooms, dedicating 30 minutes to sustained silent reading one day per week. Math/Social Studies scheduled on Monday, English/Fine Arts/CTACE scheduled on Wednesday, and Science/World Languages scheduled on Friday. Teachers are expected to include this time in their IFC's and will be held accountable through classroom walkthroughs and observation
- 2. Teachers should be modeling effective silent reading during this time. An example of this is the teacher sitting visibly in front of the class reading text silently.
- 3. Writing activities should be meaningful, relevant, and accountable. Discussion activities are encouraged to promote motivation and authenticity. (How will you ensure or account for those teachers that are not equipped to come up with "relevant, meaningful writing activities."
- Word of the Day and Theme of the Week Programs
- 1. Words will continue to be read-aloud during morning announcements with a review day at the end of the week. Teachers are encouraged to post the words on a daily basis and review the word with students after it is read on announcements.
- 2. This year there will be a theme of the week (content driven) with an emphasis on common roots, prefixes, and suffixes.

- 3. Smoothie Incentive Program will continue with two students being awarded free smoothies in the cafeteria for knowing the word of the day.
- 4. Entire faculty is urged to use the daily word as much as possible throughout the day to maximize the fidelity of this practice. Examples are having the students use the word in written assignments and class discussions.
- Mastery Check Mini-Assessment Program
- 1. Homogenous 9th and 10th grade classrooms will continue to participate in six Mastery Check's throughout the school year in preparation of 2011 FCAT.
- 2. Homogeneous 11th and 12th grade students will continue to participate in six SAT Prep Assessments.
- 3. This year the benchmarks tested will include Math and Reading, but exclude Science. Reading benchmarks will follow the FCAT 2 content.
- 4. Dissemination and scoring of the assessments will remain the same with N.Anchell delivering bubble sheets and assessments in teachers' mailboxes prior to the scheduled date. Completed bubble sheets will then be turned in to N.Anchell who will place data in Virtual Counselor.
- 5. Teachers are encouraged to check data as soon as reports are available and share information with the students via data chats or class review.

School Wide Literacy Instructional Focus Calendar

- 1. The Literacy IFC will be provided to teachers once per marking period and will outline the school wide assessment schedule (including practice writing prompts and district mandated testing such as BAT and FCAT testing).
- 2. Benchmarks tested on the Mastery Check will be taught utilizing literacy strategies suggested in IFC. They will be broken down into two to three week increments.
- 3. All suggested strategies could be found in the CAB Learning Strategies Conference. N. Anchell will schedule periodic modeling of popular strategies during future planning periods (dates TBA).
- Enrichment Program (formerly known as Pull-Out)
- 1. This year an enrichment program will be provided to eleventh grade retake students prior to October FCAT retakes. Schedule and guidelines will be provided to teachers prior to sessions.
- 2. After school tutoring sessions will be provided the week before October Retakes.
- 3. Enrichment will be provided to 9th and 10th grade students prior to April FCAT. Schedule and guidelines will be given to teachers prior to sessions. This year there needs to be a renewed vigor in ensuring students attend enrichment.

 Administration will support this renewed attendance accountability
- 4. FCAT after school tutoring sessions will be provided and dates will be announced as they are scheduled.
- CAR-PD Student Support
- 1. This year's students designated as CAR-PD, will receive additional support through a push-in model in the classroom, conducted by N.Anchell. Schedules and details will be forthcoming to affected teachers.
- 2. CAR-PD teachers will continue to receive a list of designated students, their relevant data, and suggestions on improving performance.
- Word Walls / Class Libraries/ Print Rich Environment
- 1. Word Walls will vary from class to class and can be displayed through creative venues (multi-media, artwork, etc) They should continuously change throughout the year as needed by student progress and be interactive (not just displayed, but used within class activities).
- 2. All classrooms should have a designated class library, preferably labeled as such, with students gaining access to library as needed
- 3. A Print Rich environment includes displayed student work (changing as content changes), charts, graphic organizers, teacher notes, and anything printed or displayed (multi-media acceptable) that is authentic and relevant to student learning. It is an environment that encourages students to read and write because they are surrounded with examples of literacy. It is the first thing an observer notices when they step into a classroom.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Teachers will receive training as needed on early release days, pre planning, and planning days, which includes, but is not limited to Reading in the Content Area, CAR-PD strategies, CRISS strategies, Vocabulary development, differentiated instruction, and other applicable programs to enhance classroom instruction as needed. Trainings are provided by reading coach and district personnel. Reading coach will provide ongoing training on the interpretation of Reading data gathered from 2012 FCAT and district BAT. Reading Coach will provide training on instructional strategies to support reading in the content areas. All teachers will be offered bi-weekly learning communities in reading addressing each benchmark to infuse reading strategies and reading across the content areas. The school has implemented a school wide reading and writing across curriculum process that ensures the all department participate in the schools reading and writing initiatives. The program incorporates the word of the day vocabulary strategy, trip (SSR), and elaboration through writing.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

FLHS offers Vocational courses where students can earn certifications that can be used in the following career fields: Fashion, Tech Studies, and Web Design. Success in these courses in addition to overall academic success, can be applied towards the Gold Seal Vocational Scholarship through Bright Futures. Each applied and integrated course includes real life lessons and hands on activities that would be carried out in their actual field of study. These hands on activities offer students invaluable first-hand experience with regard to field of education.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Course choice is based on student goals, interests, and talents. There are a variety of elective classes offered that allow students to either continue in an area of interest or explore new areas. Programs provide varying levels of academic core courses ranging from basic college prep to Advanced Placement. Students are also able to enroll in additional "core electives" which may include upper level Science and Math classes, or additional Foreign Languages. Course selections are advertised through: elective fairs, grade level assemblies, curriculum guide, classroom visits by guidance and course instructors, and school website. Counselors make classroom visitations to instruct students on completing interest inventories and career planning tools. Each student plans out his/her high school coursework and selects a Major Area of Interest to help relate daily coursework to the students' interest and goals. Each year, during the course selections process, students are able to sit one-on-one with a counselor to discuss career and educational interest so that course selections may be catered to the individual.

We also hold an Elective Fair during this time each year, in which students are able to speak with members of clubs and organizations, as well as current students in elective courses, to get a better understanding of what the elective entails. It is during this registration process that students will select academic core and elective courses for the following year. They are instructed through grade level assemblies, involving a Power Point presentation and handouts, how to access Virtual Counselor and select their courses via an online system. A couple of weeks later, each student sits with a counselor to discuss and finalize these course selections.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

Objective 1: The number of College Ready students, as defined by FLDOE SB1908, will increase by 10% as compared to the 11-12 school year.

Measurement: The leadership team will identify high performing 9th & 10th graders and provide practice and opportunities to engage in the ACT,SAT and PERT tests prior to traditional test taking time lines for college admissions.

Objective 2: The number of students participating in Advanced Placement or AICE courses will increase by 10% as compared to the number of students that participated in the 2011-2012 school year.

Measurement: The leadership team will conduct an analysis of students' PSAT, SAT, ACT and FCAT scores (as applicable) along with course grades/GPA and identify students who have not registered for AP/AICE courses and encourage their registration prior to September 2012.

1			I

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

assed on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.	level 3 on the FCAT Reading assessment will increase by			
Reading Goal #1a:	3 percentage points from 28.3% to 31% for the 2013 administration of the test.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
28.3% (265)	31.3% (290)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	According to 2012 Reading FCAT 2.0 score report, 9th Grade students demonstrated student deficiencies in vocabulary and 10th Grade students demonstrated deficiencies in Literary Analysis.	School wide Word of the Day program using common SAT and FCAT 2.0 affixes will be implemented daily. Students in grades nine through twelve will participate in daily "DO Now" warm up activities aligned to specific benchmarks that pertain to vocabulary development. Students in grades 9-10 will be utilizing Spring Board strategies in all English classrooms to aid in increasing Literary Analysis achievement.	Literacy Coach (Ms. Anchell) and Administrative Team as well as LLT.	Monitor and analyze Word of the Day Test data and tailor instructional plans accordingly. Monitor classroom lesson planning and assessments to ensure teacher accountability	Word of the Day Test Classroom Walkthrough tool Classroom Assessments
2	Limited direct reading instruction for fringe level 3 students	These targeted students will participate in Enrichment Programs facilitated by Literacy Coach.	Literacy Coach	Strategic Plan and administrative support	Mastery Check Data and Bat 2 Data
3	Inconsistency of effective content area literacy strategies	A Reading Plan will be implemented across the curriculum. The plan will include a Literacy Instructional Focus Calendar and Staff development opportunities. Each department will support the instructional focus benchmarks that will be covered by using content-based reading selections from their curriculum. A revamped Sustained Silent Reading and Writing Program (SSRW) will also be implemented to address	Literacy Coach	Monitor classroom lesson planning and design SSRW specifically tailored to meet content area teachers' needs.	Classroom Walkthrough tool Classroom Assessments as well as Mastery Check Data

		all levels of readers.					
	d on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:			4,5, or 6 on the	The percentage of students scoring at the proficient level 4,5, or 6 on the FAA reading will increase by 3 percentage points from 33% to 36% for the 2013 administration of the test.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
33%	(3)		36%				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students lack of analytical skills necessary for comprehension	Use of who, what, where, when, and how. Use of graphic organizers.	ESE teacher	Teacher observations, graded work samples, Classroom walkthrough tool, and assessments	Florida Alternative Assessment (FAA)		
2	Students lack the skills to utilize context clues	Predicting outcomes and paraphrasing	ESE teacher	Teacher observations, graded work samples, Classroom walkthrough tool, and assessments	Florida Alternative Assessment (FAA)		
3	Students lack the appropriate skills to identify the main characters, setting, and simple plot of the story	Sequencing using prompting (first then last). To make real world connections to text.	ESE teacher	Teacher observations, graded work samples, Classroom walkthrough tool, and assessments	Florida Alternative Assessment (FAA)		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	The percentage of students scoring a level 4 or above on the FCAT Reading assessment will increase by 3 percentage points from 31% to 34% for the 2013 administration of the test.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
31% (291)	34% (318)				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	0 0	Revamped SSRW Program utilizes high lexile text to ensure students are reading and are exposed to complex text on a weekly basis.	Administrative Team as well as		Rhetorical Triangle Writing activity that accompanies complex text provided to classroom teachers.		
2		School wide word of the day initiative as well as SSRW	Administrative	Classroom Teachers are trained to monitor and facilitate this process.	Mastery Check Data		

	Enrichment Opportunities	Monthly Gifted Seminars	Literacy Coach	Student Survey and	Seminar
3		to address needs of		Input	Assessments
		higher level learners			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in The percentage of students scoring at the proficient level 7 reading. on the FAA reading will increase by 3 percentage points from 44% to 47% for the 2013 administration of the test. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 47% 44% (4) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy ESE teacher Teacher observations, Students do not read Graphic organizers Florida Alternative text with accuracy including KWL and word Assessment (FAA) graded work samples, webs Classroom walkthrough tool, and assessments Students have difficulty Front loading text and ESE teacher Teacher observations, Florida Alternative decoding words above graded work samples, Assessment (FAA) using resource material level 3 Classroom walkthrough tool, and assessments Students have difficulty ESE teacher Teacher observations, Florida Alternative Use of dictionary and comprehending words technological resources graded work samples, Assessment (FAA) they decode Classroom walkthrough tool, and assessments

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the followin	nt achievement data, and r g group:	eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:			FCAT Reading a	The percentage of students making Learning Gains on the FCAT Reading assessment will increase by 3 percentage points from 62% to 65% for the 2013 administration of the test	
2012	Current Level of Perfor	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	:
62% (564)			65% (590)	65% (590)	
	Р	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Demonstrated student deficiencies in comprehension and fluency	The school will implement an afternoon FCAT tutoring program from January through April. Students will receive both individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. Topics to	Literacy Coach and Administrative Team	Pre and Post Tutoring data	USA Test Prep Online Software

	include but will not be				
1	limited to reading in the				
1	content area, general				
	reading skills, FCAT				
	skills, study skills, test				
	taking skills, and FCAT				
	questioning techniques.				
	The program is open to				
	all 9th and 10th				
	students as well as				
	11th and 12th grade				
	students that have not				
	successfully passed the				
	FCAT reading				
		1	l .	1	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in The percentage of students making learning gains on the FAA reading. reading will increase by 3 percentage points from 22% to 25% for the 2013 administration of the test. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 22% (2) 25% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy ESE teacher Students lack of Use of who, what, Teacher observations, Florida Alternative analytical skills necessary where, when, and how. graded work samples, Assessment (FAA) for comprehension Use of graphic Classroom walkthrough organizers. tool, and assessments Teacher observations, Students are not likely to Modeling with graphic ESE teacher Florida Alternative predict outcomes organizer and small group graded work samples, Assessment (FAA) Classroom walkthrough instruction tool, and assessments Students have difficulty Peer teaching, teacher ESE teacher Teacher observations, Florida Alternative inferring meaning of text modeling, cooperative graded work samples, Assessment (FAA)

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:			gains in reading increase by 3 p	The percentage of students in lowest quartile making learning gains in reading on the FCAT Reading assessment will increase by 3 percentage points from 62% to 65% for the 2013 administration of the test		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
62.9%	5(147)		65% (152)	65% (152)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

Classroom walkthrough tool, and assessments

learning

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	quartile based on	Utilizing FAIR Progress Monitoring to meet individual learner needs		Progress Monitoring of AP 1 and AP 2 Data to ensure adequate progress and growth is being made with all Level 1 and 2 students	

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives,, the reading achievement gap will be reduced by 50%, beginning with baseline data in 2010-2011, and ending with data from the school year 2016-2017.			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	47%	40%	35%	30%	25%	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: The percentage of white students not making satisfactory progress in reading on the 2013 FCAT will be reduced 2 percentage points from 17% to 15%. The percentage of black students not making satisfactory 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, progress in reading on the 2013 FCAT will be reduced 2 Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making percentage points from 51% to 49%. satisfactory progress in reading. The percentage of Hispanic students not making satisfactory Reading Goal #5B: progress in reading on the 2013 FCAT will be reduced 2 percentage points from 33% to 31%. The percentage of Asian students not making satisfactory progress in reading on the 2013 FCAT will be reduced 2 percentage points from 26% to 24%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White: 17%(37) White: 15%(31) Black: 51%(297) Black: 49% (277) Hispanic: 33%(38) Hispanic: 31% (35) Asian: 26%(6) Asian: 24%(5) American Indian: 0 American Indian: 0

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

		3			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	report, students demonstrated deficiencies in Literary	will utilize all the Literacy strategies and interventions that are used school wide including Word of Day, SSRW, Push-In and Pull- Out Models, Enrichment, Progress Monitoring through FAIR, BAT 2, Mastery Checks, Data	Literacy Coach	All data gathered through these programs will be monitored for each subgroup individual data.	Mastery Check , BAT 2, SSRW, FAI

	2012 Reading FCAT 2.0 score report, students demonstrated deficiencies in Literary Analysis and Vocabulary. American Indian: : According to 2012 Reading FCAT 2.0 score report, students demonstrated deficiencies in Literary Analysis and Vocabulary.				
2	subgroups are unable to	A Push-In model will be utilized to ensure equal access to tutoring.	ESE /ELL Support Person	All data gathered through these programs will be monitored for each subgroup individual data.	Mastery Check BAT 2, SSRW, FAIR
3	Struggling Readers in these subgroups need additional individualized instruction.	Access to READ-ON software will be utilized in Reading Classrooms.		READ-ON Data will be evaluated	READ-ON

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making The percentage of English Language Learners (ELL) students satisfactory progress in reading. not making satisfactory progress in reading on the 2013 FCAT will be reduced 2 percentage points from 98% to 96%. Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 98% (51) 96%(49) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students need more All teachers will be given ESOL Contact Anecdotal Records Observation/Notes chances to interact strategies and tips for involving ELL's in class verbally with peers in English Language. communication. All teachers will be given ESOL Contact Curriculum is not Mastery Check Data / Monitor Mastery Check and BAT 2 differentiated to meet BAT 2 strategies and tips for 2 ELL student needs. differentiating curriculum Data to meet ELL student needs. REWARDS Program Decoding, fluency, and Pre/Post Test within Rewards Pre/Post Reading Teachers, 3 comprehension skills need Literacy Coach, Rewards scale to be reinforced. and ESOL Contact

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	The percentage of Students With Disabilities (SWD) students not making satisfactory progress in reading on the 2013 FCAT will be reduced 2 percentage points from 70% to 68%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
70% (41)	68% (39)				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	SWD need additional support inside of the classroom environment.	Push-In model with support facilitator.	ESE Support Facilitator	Msstery Check/ BAT 2	Monitor Mastery Check and BAT 2 Data		
2	Curriculum is not differentiated to meet SWD student needs.	All teachers will be given strategies and tips for differentiating curriculum to meet SWD student needs.	ESE Support Facilitator	Mastery Check Data / BAT 2	Monitor Mastery Check and BAT 2 Data		
3	Decoding, fluency, and comprehension skills need to be reinforced.	REWARDS Program	3	Pre/Post Test within Rewards	Rewards Pre/Post scale		

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
satisf	conomically Disadvantag factory progress in readi ing Goal #5E:	9	The percentage making satisfact	The percentage of Economically Disadvantaged Students not making satisfactory progress in reading will be reduced 3 percentage points from 49% to 46%.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
49% ((313)		46% (290)	46% (290)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	These students may not have access to materials at home as other students.		Classroom	Data garnered from these tools will be monitored and evaluated	USA Test Prep, FCAT Pro, FCAT Explorer and Florida Achieves website data		
2	Lack of Attendance may be a factor	Utilize motivational programs to encourage attendance	Administrative Team	Student Feedback and Attendance Levels will be monitored	Attendance Records		
3	Lack of Motivation is possible barrier	Data Chats and Motivational Programs	Literacy Coach and Administrative Team	Mastery Check and BAT 2 Data will be monitored and evaluated	Mastery Check and BAT 2		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NGCARPD	9-12	District Trainings	Subject	October Trainings	Practicum/Portfolio	Literacy Coach

School Wide Literacy IFC/Trainings	9-12	Literacy Coach	SCHOOL WILL			Literacy Coach/LLT/Admin
Spring Board	9-10 and 12	District Trainings	English	Fall Trainings	· ·	Literacy Coach/ELA Dept Chair/Admin

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Saturday School(FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep	Instructional Staff for Saturday School	Accountability	\$1,868.75
FLHS STAR Tutoring Program	Instructional Staff for STAR Tutoring Program	Advanced Placement Funds	\$6,000.00
		Sul	ototal: \$7,868.7
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
		_ Grand	Total: \$7,868.7

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Stude	ents speak in English and	understand spoken Engli	sh at grade level ir	a manner similar to nor	n-ELL students.	
1. St	1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. The percentage of students scoring at the proficient level 739-835 on the CELLA Writing will increase by 3					
CELL	A Goal #1:			percentage points from 59% to 62% for the 2013 administration of the test.		
2012	? Current Percent of Stu	udents Proficient in liste	ening/speaking:			
59%	(13)					
3970	(13)					
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Limited exposure to the English language	Bilingual dictionaries, bilingual support, use of	ESOL teacher/ESOL	Teacher observation, assessments, and	Comprehensive English Language	

1		illustrations and diagrams.	contact	tailored instructional plans	Learning Assessment (CELLA)
2	Limited vocabulary	bilingual support,	ESOL teacher/ESOL contact	Teacher observation, assessments, and tailored instructional plans	Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)
3	Limited prior knowledge of English language	5		Teacher observation, assessments, and tailored instructional plans	Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2:			level 778-820 percentage poi	The percentage of students scoring at the proficient level 778-820 on the CELLA Reading will increase by 3 percentage points from 27% to 30% for the 2013 administration of the test.			
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in rea	ding:				
27%	27% (6) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Limited vocabulary	Bilingual dictionaries,bilingual support, Vocabulary Improvement Strategy (VIS), and word banks	ESOL teacher/ESOL contact	Teacher observation, assessments, and tailored instructional plans	Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)		
2	Limited exposure to the English language	Bilingual dictionaries,and bilingual support	ESOL teacher/ESOL contact	Teacher observation, assessments, and tailored instructional plans	Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)		
3	Limited prior knowledge of English language	Bilingual dictionaries, and bilingual support	ESOL teacher/ESOL contact	Teacher observation, assessments, and tailored instructional plans	Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)		

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. The percentage of students scoring at the proficient level 746-850 on the CELLA Writing will increase by 3 percentage points from 32% to 35% for the 2013 administration of the test.						
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writ	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:					
32% (7)						
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Person or Process Used to					

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited exposure to the English language	Bilingual dictionaries and bilingual support	ESOL teacher/ESOL contact	Teacher observation, assessments, and tailored instructional plans	Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)
2	Limited prior knowledge of English language	Bilingual dictionaries and bilingual support	ESOL teacher/ESOL contact	Teacher observation, assessments, and tailored instructional plans	Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)
3	Limited vocabulary	Bilingual dictionaries and bilingual support	ESOL teacher/ESOL contact	. Teacher observation, assessments, and tailored instructional plans	Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at The percentage of students scoring at the proficient Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. level 4,5, or 6 on the FAA math will increase by 3 percentage points from 44% to 47% for the 2013 Mathematics Goal #1: administration of the test. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 44% (4) 47% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teacher observations, Florida Alternative Students lack retention Math teachers will spiral ESE teacher of previous skills previous skills in to their graded work samples, Assessment acquired in preceding assignments. Classroom walkthrough (FAA) math courses. tool, and assessments Students lack of Math teachers will use ESE teacher Teacher observations, Florida Alternative analytical skills manipulatives, hands on graded work samples, Assessment necessary for material and real world Classroom walkthrough (FAA) comprehension experience. tool, and assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at The percentage of students scoring at the proficient or above Level 7 in mathematics. level 7 on the FAA reading will increase by 3 percentage points from 22% to 25% for the 2013 administration of Mathematics Goal #2: the test. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 25% 22% (2) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring One-on-one instruction, ESE teacher Students not having Teacher observations, Florida Alternative Assessment the intellectual ability use of hands on graded work samples, to interpret graphs and manipulatives, and real Classroom Walktrough (FAA) charts world application Tool, and assessments problems. Students lack the skills One-on-one instruction ESE teacher Teacher observations, Florida Alternative needed to solve and use of graded work samples, Assessment equations manipulatives. Classroom Walktrough (FAA) Tool, and assessments

in n	eed of improvement for th	e following group:				
			The percentage FAA math will	The percentage of students making learning gains on the FAA math will increase by 3 percentage points from 33% to 36% for the 2013 administration of the test.		
201	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	e:	
33% (3)			36%	36%		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Student's intellectual ability	One-on-one instruction, individualized Educational Plan, use of manipulatives, and differentiated instruction.	·	Teacher observations, graded work samples, Classroom walkthrough Tool, and assessments	Florida Alternative Assessments (FAA)	
2	Students lack prerequisite skills needed for mastery	One-on-one instruction, use of manipulatives, reteaching and differentiated instruction.	ESE Specialist	Teacher observations, graded work samples, Classroom Walkthrough Tool, and assessments	Florida Alternativo Assessment (FAA)	

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

not limited to those who

have demonstrated proficiency in Math will have the opportunity to

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1:			(range 3) will in	The percentage of students achieving proficiency in math (range 3) will increase 4 percentage points from 45% to 49% as measured by the 2013 End of Course (EOC)examination for Algebra I.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
45 %(222)			49%(241)	49%(241)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students lack retention of previous skills acquired in preceding math courses	Math teachers will spiral previous skills in to their assignments.	Department pre and post tests, IFCs and classroom walkthrough tool	End of course examination-Algebra I 2013 Benchmark Assessment	End of course examination- Algebra I 2013 Benchmark Assessment	
	Lack of direct instructional focus for fringe level 3.	All 9th , 10th, 11th, and 12th grade students, including but	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Analysis of skills assessments administered during	End of Course examination- Algebra I	

tutoring sessions.

2013 Benchmark

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2		participate in an after school tutoring program to accommodate their needs in math concepts and skills. Students, including FLHS members will be used as tutors and mentors. Teachers will supervise these students and the tutoring program.			
3	Students' lack of motivation.	All 9th and 10th grade students will participate in the Small Learning Community program. This program focuses on increasing personalization of the education process.	Sterling	monitor smaller learning communities database for accountability.	End of Course examination- Algebra I 2013 Benchmark Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2:	The number of students scoring above proficiency will increase by 10% based on the Algebra I EOC examination.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
24.7% (121)	25.7% (126)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of direct instructional focus for level 4 and 5 students as it refers to the Algebra I EOC	All 9th, 10th 11th and 12th grade students, including but not limited to those who have demonstrated above proficiency in Math will have the opportunity to participate in an after school tutoring program to accommodate their needs in math concepts and skills.	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Analysis of skills assessments administered during tutoring sessions	End of Course examination- Algebra I 2013 Benchmark Assessment
2	Students' lack of motivation	All 9th and 10th grade students will participate in the Small Learning Community program. This program focuses on increasing personalization of the education process	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Administrative team will monitor smaller learning communities database for accountability.	End of Course examination- Algebra I 2013 Benchmark Assessment

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

Algebra Goal #

3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.

In six years FLHS will reduce the achievement gap by 50% from 39% to 20%.

4

3A :

Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	30%	27%	25%	22%	20%	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making The number of students not making satisfactory progress in satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra I will decrease in each category by 5%. Algebra Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White: 14.5 % White: 10% Black: 38.2 % Black: 33% Hispanic: 15.4% Hispanic: 10% Asian: 14.3% Asian: 9% American Indian: 0 % American Indian: 0% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Familiarity with relevant Teachers will employ EOC Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Monthly mini assessments 2013 End of course formulas and content activities that focus on Sterling Examination vocabulary(all groups using relevant formulas. Algebra I EOC Content specific vocabulary will be taught using direct instruction. Lack of retention of prior The school will implement Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Skills assessments Skills assessments knowledge and skills. an EOC Camp program. Sterling administered during EOC administered during The students will receive Tutoring EOC Camp both individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. The program is open to all 9th

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. The number of ELL students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra I will decrease by 5%. Algebra Goal #3C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 68% 73.2% (30) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of

and 10th students as well as 11th and 12th grade students who have not successfully made learning gains in Algebra as measured by the Algebra I EOC.

2

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	Familiarity with relevant formulas and content vocabulary.	Teachers will employ EOC activities that focus on using relevant formulas. Content specific vocabulary will be taught using direct instruction.	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling		2013 End of course Examination Algebra I EOC
2	Lack of retention of prior knowledge and skills	The school will implement an EOC Camp program. The students will receive both individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. The program is open to all 9th and 10th students as well as 11th and 12th grade students that have not successfully made learning gains in Algebra as measured by the Algebra I EOC.	Sterling	9	Skills assessments administered during EOC Camp.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. The number of SWD students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra I will decrease by 5%. Algebra Goal #3D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 59.5 %(22) 54% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Familiarity with relevant Teachers will employ EOC Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Monthly mini assessments 2013 End of course activities that focus on formulas and content Sterling Examination vocabulary. using relevant formulas. Algebra I EOC Content specific vocabulary will be taught using direct instruction. The school will implement Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Lack of retention of prior Skills assessments Skills assessments knowledge and skills. an EOC Camp program. Sterling administered during EOC administered during EOC Camp The students will receive Tutoring both individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. The program is open to all 9th 2 and 10th students as well as 11th and 12th grade students that have not successfully made learning gains in Algebra as measured by the Algebra I EOC.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.

The number of Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra I will decrease by 5%.

Algebra Goal #3E:

2012	Current Level of Perforn	mance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
34.8%(123)			29 %	29 %		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Familiarity with relevant formulas and content vocabulary.	Teachers will employ EOC activities that focus on using relevant formulas. Content specific vocabulary will be taught using direct instruction.	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Monthly mini assessments	End of course Examination Algebra I EOC	
2	Lack of retention of prior knowledge and skills.	The school will implement an EOC Camp program. The students will receive both individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. The program is open to all 9th and 10th students as well as 11th and 12th grade students that have not successfully made learning gains in Algebra as measured by the Algebra I EOC	Sterling	Skills assessments administered during EOC Tutoring.	Skills assessments administered during EOC Camp.	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
Geometry.			(range 3) will i to50% as mea	The percentage of students achieving proficiency in math (range 3) will increase 5 percentage points from 45% to50% as measured by the 2013 End of Course (EOC) examination for Geometry.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
45% (158)			50%	50%		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students lack retention of previous skills previous skills previous skills in to their St acquired in preceding math courses. Math teachers will spiral Mr previous skills in to their St assignments				Department pre and post tests, IFCs and classroom walkthrough tool	End of course examination- Geometry 2013 Benchmark Assessment	
	Lack of direct instructional focus for fringe level 3 students.	All 9th , 10th, 11th, and 12th grade students, including but not limited to those	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Analysis of skills assessments administered during tutoring sessions.	End of Course examination- Geometry 2013	

		who have demonstrated		Benchmark
		proficiency in Math will have the opportunity to		
		participate in an after school tutoring program		
2		to accommodate their needs in math concepts		
		and skills. Students, including FLHS members		
		Will be used as tutors and mentors. Teachers will supervise these students and the tutoring program. Assessment		
3	Students' lack of motivation.	All 9th and 10th grade students will participate in the Small Learning Community program. This program focuses on increasing personalization of the education process.	 Administrative team will monitor smaller learning communities database for accountability.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels The percentage of students achieving proficiency in math 4 and 5 in Geometry. will increase 5 percentage points from 26% to31% as measured by the 2013 End of Course (EOC) examination Geometry Goal #2: for Geometry 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 26% (91) 31% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students lack retention Math teachers will spiral Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Department pre and End of course previous skills in to their Sterling post tests, IFCs and of previous skills examinationacquired in preceding assignments. classroom walkthrough Geometry 2013 math courses. tool Benchmark Assessment Lack of direct Mr. Gaines, Mrs. All 9th, 10th, 11th, Analysis of skills End of course instructional focus. and 12th grade Sterling assessments examinationadministered during students, including but Geometry 2013 not limited to those tutoring sessions. Benchmark who have demonstrated Assessment proficiency in Math will have the opportunity to participate in an after school tutoring program 2 to accommodate their needs in math concepts and skills. Students, including FLHS members

		Will be used as tutors and mentors. Teachers will supervise these students and the tutoring program. Assessment		
3	Students' lack of motivation	All 9th and 10th grade students will participate in the Small Learning Community program. This program focuses on increasing personalization of the education process.		End of course examination- Geometry 2013 Benchmark Assessment

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Geometry Goal # 3A. Ambitious but Achievable In six years FLHS will reduce the achievement gap by 50% _ Annual Measurable Objectives from 26% to 15%. (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 3A : \forall Baseline data 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2011-2012 26% 22% 18% 15%

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making The number of students not making satisfactory progress satisfactory progress in Geometry. in Geometry will decrease in each category by 5%. Geometry Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White: 18.5% White: 13.5% Black: 34.6% Black: 29.6% Hispanic: 15.8% Hispanic: 10.8% Asian: 0% Asian: 0% American Indian: NA American Indian: NA

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	vocabulary(all groups)	EOC activities that	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Monthly mini assessments	End of course Examination Geometry EOC
2	, skills.	The school will implement an EOC Camp program. The students will receive both individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. The program is open to all 9th and 10th students as well as 11th and	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Analysis of skills assessments administered during tutoring sessions.	End of course Examination Geometry EOC

12th grade students	
that have not	
successfully made	
learning gains in Algebra	
as measured by the	
Algebra I EOC	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making The number of ELL students not making satisfactory satisfactory progress in Geometry. progress in Geometry will decrease in each category by 5%. Geometry Goal #3C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 52% 57.7 (15) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Familiarity with relevant Teachers will employ Mr. Gaines, Mrs. End of course Teachers will employ formulas and content EOC activities that Sterling EOC activities that Examination focus on using relevant Geometry EOC vocabulary. focus on using relevant formulas. Content formulas. Content specific vocabulary will specific vocabulary will be taught using direct be taught using direct instruction. instruction. Lack of retention of The school will Mr. Gaines, Mrs. End of course Analysis of skills prior knowledge and implement an EOC Camp Sterling assessments Examination administered during skills. program. The students Geometry EOC will receive both tutoring sessions. individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. The program is open to all 9th and 10th students as well as 11th and 12th grade students that have not successfully made learning gains in Algebra as measured by the

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D:	The number of SWD students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra I will decrease by 5%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
59.5 %(22)	54%				
Problem-Solving Process to	Increase Student Achievement				
	Person or Process Used to				

Geometry EOC.

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Familiarity with relevant formulas and content vocabulary.	Teachers will employ EOC activities that focus on using relevant formulas. Content specific vocabulary will be taught using direct instruction.	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Monthly mini assessments	. 2013 End of course Examination Algebra I EOC
2	Lack of retention of prior knowledge and skills.	The school will implement an EOC Camp program. The students will receive both individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. The program is open to all 9th and 10th students as well as 11th and 12th grade students that have not successfully made learning gains in Algebra as measured by the Algebra I EOC.	-	Skills assessments administered during EOC Tutoring	Skills assessments administered during EOC Camp

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas	
maki	3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3E:			The number of Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry will decrease in each category by 5%.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	9:	
31.89	% (74)		26.8%			
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Familiarity with relevant formulas and content vocabulary	Teachers will employ EOC activities that focus on using relevant formulas. Content specific vocabulary will be taught using direct instruction.	Mr. Gaines, Mrs. Sterling	Teachers will employ EOC activities that focus on using relevant formulas. Content specific vocabulary will be taught using direct instruction.	2013 End of course Examination Geometry EOC	
2	Lack of retention of prior knowledge and skills.	The school will implement an EOC Camp program. The students will receive both individual and group instruction from FLHS staff members. The program is open to all 9th and 10th students as well as 11th and 12th grade students that have not successfully made learning gains in Algebra as measured by the Geometry EOC		Analysis of skills assessments administered during tutoring sessions.	2013 End of course Examination Geometry EOC	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC Common Core & End of Course Exams	9-12	Dan Katz	Reading, English, Math, Science, Social Studies	Implementation of Strategy Student Work Brought Back for Whole Group Discussion Work Students In Small Group Instruction	Implementation of Strategy Student Work Brought Back for Whole Group Discussion Work Students In Small Group Instruction	Sara LaRosa
PLC Using Formative & Summative Assessments to Drive Instruction	9-12	Cyd Sterling	Mathematics Teachers	Early Release, Professional Study Days, & planning Days	Implementation of Strategy Student Work Brought Back for Whole Group Discussion Work Students In Small Group Instruction	Sara LaRosa

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Saturday School (FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep	Instructional Staff for Saturday School	Accountability	\$1,868.75
FLHS STAR Tutoring Program	Instructional Staff for STAR Tutoring Program	Advanced Placement Funds	\$6,000.00
		Subto	otal: \$7,868.75
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		:	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
		Grand To	otal: \$7,868.75

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring The percentage of students scoring a level 4, 5, and 6 at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. on the FAA math will increase by 3 percentage points from 66% to 69% for the 2013 administration of the Science Goal #1: test. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 66% (4) 69% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students limited Hands on manipulatives ESE teacher Progress monitoring Florida intellectual abilities. and one-on-one and teacher made Alternative instruction assessments Assessment (FAA) Differentiated Florida Students inability to ESE teacher Progress monitoring recognize processes instruction and hands and teacher made Alternative used in science on materials assessments Assessment (FAA)

	d on the analysis of students in need of improvemen			Guiding Questions", ider	ntify and define	
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2:			The percentag	The percentage of students scoring a level 7 on the FAA math will increase by 3 percentage points from 33% to 36% for the 2013 administration of the test.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
33%	33% (2)			36%		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	The complexity of describing processes of science	Hands on material, one-on-one instruction, and cooperative learning groups	ESE teacher	Classroom walkthroughs, teacher made test, and progress monitoring	Florida Alternative Assessment (FAA)	
2	Student's intellectual ability	One-on-one instruction, differentiated instruction	ESE teacher	Classroom walkthroghs, teacher made test, and progress monitoring	Florida Alternative Assessment (FAA)	

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

areas	s in need of improvemen	t for the following group	:			
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1:			pass the EOC, and prepare th	The goal for these students is to have them not just pass the EOC, but to increase their depth of knowledge and prepare them for advanced placement and AICE science courses.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performar	nce:	
30%	(154)		35%	35%		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Science building and technology are antiquated and insufficient	Inquiry based approach, targeted on areas of EOC and depth of knowledge in order to prepare these students for advanced chemistry, advanced biology and advanced physics	Classroom teacher	Pretest/Post test	EOC scores and passing rates	
2	Incorrect placement	Focus on Vocabulary	Department Chair	EOC passing rates	Term Exams	
3	Student resistance because of lack interest	Focus on Critical Thinking	Supervisor of Department	Term Exams	Teacher observations	

		dent achievement data, t for the following group		Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define	
Leve	udents scoring at or a ls 4 and 5 in Biology. gy Goal #2:	bove Achievement	foundation in s	The goal for this course is to provide a strong foundation in science in order to build a strong base for all learning, as well as to prepare them for the Biology		
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
40% (206)			45%	45%		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Science building and the technology are antiquated and insufficient.	Increase student engagement in short activities and small groups utilizing differentiated instruction methods.	Classroom teacher	Pretest/Post test	EOC scores and passing rates.	
2	Student resistance because of reading skills	Teach to real life	Department chair	EOC passing rates	Term Exams	
3	Student lack of motivation	Student presentations	AP Supervisor of Department	Term Exams	Teacher observations	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC Using Formative & Summative Assessments to Drive Instruction	9-12	Casey Portnoy	Science Teachers	Early Release, Professional Study Days & Planning Days	Implementation of Strategy Student Work Brought Back for Whole Group Discussion Work Students In Small Group Instruction	Sara LaRosa
PLC Common Core & End of Course Exams	9-12	Dan Katz	Reading, English, Math, Science, Social Studies	2nd & 4th Tuesday of Each Month Afterschool	Implementation of Strategy Student Work Brought Back for Whole Group Discussion Work Students In Small Group Instruction	Sara LaRosa

Science Budget:

<u> </u>			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amoun
Saturday School (FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep	Instructional Staff for Saturday School	Accountability	\$1,868.75
FLHS STAR Tutoring Program	Instructional Staff for STAR Tutoring Program	Advanced Placement Funds	\$6,000.00
		Subt	otal: \$7,868.7
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
		 Grand T	otal: \$7,868.7

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1a. F	CAT 2.0: Students scor	ing at Achievement Le	vel		
3.0 a	nd higher in writing.			students scoring a 4.0 c	on the writing
Writi	ng Goal #1a:		assessment wil	ll increase	
2012	? Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	> :
86%	(304)		89% (315)		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	Students need additional help with conventions and spelling.	English teachers will devote time to daily activities to reinforce these skills such as peer review and warm ups.	Classroom Teachers, Ms. Larosa, Dr. Beaulieu	District Writing prompts and school wide prompts.	Student Conferences, Peer Editing
Students need Spring Board curriculum CI reinforcement in writing addresses the need process and models of with Writing Workshops La		Classroom Teachers, Ms. Larosa, Dr. Beaulieu	Analyze student writing	Conferences and Teacher Evaluation	
writing process modeled Think Aloud in Spring for them to emulate top Board Writing		Classroom Teachers, Ms. Larosa, Dr. Beaulieu	Analyze student writing	Conferences and Teacher Evaluation	

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
at 4 c	lorida Alternate Assess or higher in writing. ng Goal #1b:	sment: Students scorin	The percentag the FAA reading	The percentage of students scoring a level 4 or higher on the FAA reading will increase by 3 percentage points from 75% to 78% for the 2013 administration of the test.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
75%	(3)		78%	78%			
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students lack the ability to write expressive, informative, or in figurative forms.	One-on-one instruction and use of real world material.	ESE teacher	Teacher made assessments, Classroom Walkthrough Tools, and teacher observations			

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Spring Board Training	9-10, 12	District	English Teachers	I all Irainings	Evaluation of student writing	Dr. Beaulieu
Writing Workshop Process	9-10	Literacy Coach	English Teachers		Evaluation of student writing	Literacy Coach, Dr. Beaulieu

Writing Budget:

			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amoun
Saturday School (FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep	Instructional Staff for Saturday School	Accountability	\$1,868.75
FLHS STAR Tutoring Program	Instructional Staff for STAR Tutoring Program	Advanced Placement Funds	\$6,000.00
		Subto	otal: \$7,868.7
[echnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		S	Subtotal: \$0.0
		Grand To	otal: \$7,868.7

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and in need of improvement for the following group:	reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	f student achievement data for the following group:	, and r	eference t	o "Guiding Questions", i	dentify and define areas
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in U.S. History.					
U.S. History Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	N	o Data	Submitted		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atter provement:	ndance data, and referer	nce to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and def	ine areas in need	
1. At	tendance					
Atter	Attendance Goal #1:			FLHS will maintain a high-level attendance rate.		
2012 Current Attendance Rate:			2013 Expecte	ed Attendance Rate:		
92%	(293,286)		93%	93%		
-	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	ed Number of Students or more)	with Excessive	
444			434	434		
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
1			0	0		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Increase in absences on early release days	Teachers will create incentive for attendance on Early release days	Classroom Teacher and Administration Team	Attendance record review	Decrease in number of students absent as compared to previous year's data	
2	Decreased motivation for Seniors towards the end of the year	Teachers will create incentive for attendance. Parent Link call, staff telephone call, letter to parent or parent conference with	Classroom Teacher and Administrative Team	Attendance record review	Comparison of Senior attendance records for the past several years.	

administrator

3	tardiness	telephone call, letter to	record review	Compared to previous school year: Reduction in number of days tardy and a reduction in number of tardy
		classes		number of tardy minutes

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Inspiring Leaders	9-12	Sara LaRosa	School-Wide	Monthly	Using Research based Strategies to Create School Reform that will be implemented	Sara LaRosa

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1. Sı	uspension					
Susp	Suspension Goal #1:			FLHS will reduce the Out-of-School Suspension by 5%		
2012	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions			2013 Expected	Number of In-School	Suspensions
585				556		
201	2 Total Number of Stud	dents Suspended In-So	chool	2013 Expected School	Number of Students S	Suspended In-
311				296		
201	2 Number of Out-of-Sc	hool Suspensions		2013 Expected Suspensions	Number of Out-of-Sc	hool
103				98		
201: Scho	2 Total Number of Stud pol	dents Suspended Out-	of-	2013 Expected of-School	Number of Students 9	Suspended Out-
86				82		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease Studen	t Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	son or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Students' interests in other non-educational activities that prevent them from attending school.	Pair up students needing additional assistance with mentor or adviser.	Admi Perso		Student focus group and/or survey	Student disciplinary referrals
1	Trom attending soneon	All 9th and 10th grade students will be placed in a smaller learning community to enhance personalization of education.	lb.			
2	Special needs students need more individualized attention	will develop and	Administrator/Support Personnel		Student focus group and/or survey	Student disciplinary referrals
3	Newer teachers are still in the process of learning effective classroom management techniques	Provide assistance by means of mentoring, using during professional development.	Admi perso		Classroom Walk- Through	Rubric or Time on Task Instrument

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Inspiring Leaders	9-12	Sara LaRosa	School-Wide		Using Research based Strategies to Create School Reform that will be implemented	Sara LaRosa

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		·	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	Fort Lauderdale High School will decrease the dropout rate by 3%.			
2012 Current Dropout Rate:	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:			
18%	15%			
2012 Current Graduation Rate:	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:			
82%	85%			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students lack understanding of state requirements for graduation.	Guidance department will conduct data chats with students and inform them of graduation requirements.	Guidance Personnel, Administrative Team, Teachers	Student focus group/survey	Analysis of graduation data.		
2	need more individualized attention to assist with	will be monitored to ensure the academic	Guidance Personnel, Administrative Team, Teachers	Analysis of student data. Student focus group/survey	Analysis of "at risk" cohort graduation data.		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
<u></u>			Grand Total: \$0.00

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		nt involvement data, and	reference to "Gui	ding Questions", identify	and define areas		
	ed of improvement:						
I. Pa	rent involvement						
Pare	nt I nvolvement Goal #7	1:	Increase the n				
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.			activities.	Increase the number of parents involved in school related activities.			
2012	! Current Level of Parer	nt Involvement:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invol	vement:		
45% of the parents of students were involved in a school-related activity				50% of the parents of students will be involved in a school-related activity.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Due to the size of the school parents may feel that communication from school is impersonal.	Improve our website to allow parents to communicate with teachers and administrators and collect survey data of ways to improve parent involvement.	Administrative Team, Classroom Teachers and website manager	Monotor website traffic and collect data of parent access to school website			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parental Involvement Student Involvement	All grade levels All subjects	Priscilla Ribeiro/Frank Gaines	Goal: Increase parental involvement by requesting their assistance with a school-wide community service project.	September 2012 – May 2013	Monthly reporting by student clubs/organizations at SAC/SAF meeting.	Mr. Gaines
Parental Involvement Student Involvement	All grade levels All subjects	Priscilla Ribeiro/Frank Gaines	Goal: Improve the school and stakeholder relationship.	September 2012 – May 2013	Monthly reporting by student clubs/organizations at SAC/SAF meeting.	Mr. Gaines

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	d on the analysis of school	ol data, identify and defir	ne areas in need of	improvement:	
The goal for the development of STEM has been the focus of learning skills that create a seamless transition for students moving to their next level of education with relevance. We are cognizant of the entwinement of math with science. STEM Goal #1: In light of this position, we have created new classes that promote STEM, such as Applied Physics, Biotechnology, Experimental Science, which is Nutritional and Public Health Sciences, four different chemistry levels and focus, three different Environmental Sciences and four different Biology levels.					
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Matching correct math levels with sciences and pairing teachers	Communication: Professional Study Days (PSD)	Department Chairs	Classroom test scores	Pretest/Posttest
2	Initial teacher resistance	Sharing IFCs information between partnered teachers	AP Supervisor of departments	Student's science projects	Quality of projects
3	Physical distance of classrooms and no common planning	Observe partnered classroom	Principal	Student engagement	Percentage of successful completion

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
PLC Common Core & End of Course Exams	9-12	Dan Katz	Reading, English, Math, Science, Social Studies	2nd & 4th Tuesday of Each Month Afterschool	Implementation of Strategy Student Work Brought Back for Whole Group Discussion Work Students In Small Group Instruction	Sara LaRosa
Advanced Placement & Advanced International Cambridge Examination Professional Learning Community	9-12	Marie Hautigan	Reading, English, Math, Science, Social Studies	1st & 3rd Tuesday of Each Month	Implementation of Strategy Student Work Brought Back for Whole Group Discussion Work Students In Small Group Instruction	Sara LaRosa

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:			
1. CTE CTE Goal #1:	By May 2013 60% of students/clients will have demonstrated an increase in learning gains in Reading as evidenced by FCAT or SAT/ACT scores.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			

ı						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	Absenteeism ESE/ESOL status Class size Multiple Grouping	1 3	Teacher, Reading Coach, Student, Parent/s, Peer Coaches	Prior Efforts	FCAT/SAT/ACT Scores, classroom scores

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CTE PLC	9-12	Callie Melton		Professional Study	Reflect on Student work and make modifications	Sara LaRosa

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Matorial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

N/A Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of N/A Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Eviderice-based Fit	ogram(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Reading	Saturday School (FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep	Instructional Staff for Saturday School	Accountability	\$1,868.75
Reading	FLHS STAR Tutoring Program	Instructional Staff for STAR Tutoring Program	Advanced Placement Funds	\$6,000.00
Mathematics	Saturday School (FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep	Instructional Staff for Saturday School	Accountability	\$1,868.75
Mathematics	FLHS STAR Tutoring Program	Instructional Staff for STAR Tutoring Program	Advanced Placement Funds	\$6,000.00
Science	Saturday School (FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep	Instructional Staff for Saturday School	Accountability	\$1,868.75
Science	FLHS STAR Tutoring Program	Instructional Staff for STAR Tutoring Program	Advanced Placement Funds	\$6,000.00
Writing	Saturday School (FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep	Instructional Staff for Saturday School	Accountability	\$1,868.75
Writing	FLHS STAR Tutoring Program	Instructional Staff for STAR Tutoring Program	Advanced Placement Funds	\$6,000.00
				Subtotal: \$31,475.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Devel	opment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$31,475.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn	Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	j ∩ NA
----	----------	----------	------------	---------------

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/19/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the

statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Saturday School(FCAT/EOC/SAT/ACT Prep and detention	\$7,475.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC will work during the 2012-2013 school year to increase parent and community involvement as well as be a forum to relay the vision of the school to the community.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Broward School Distric FORT LAUDERDALE HI 2010-2011		-				
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	55%	84%	86%	37%	262	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	56%	74%			120	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	53% (YES)	61% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					516	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Broward School District FORT LAUDERDALE HIGH SCHOOL 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	52%	80%	94%	37%	263	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	51%	75%			126	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	43% (NO)	57% (YES)			100	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					499	
Percent Tested = 98%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested