FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: MID FLORIDA TECH

District Name: Orange

Principal: Adelina Brann

SAC Chair: Dr. Audrey Brown

Superintendent: Dr. Barbara Jenkins

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 9/14/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Adelina Brann	Masters Degree	3	19	Tech Center Director = Principal
Assis Principal	Dr. JodyBryant- Newman	PhD.Ed	3	9	Assistant Director = Asst. Principal

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area Name Degree(s)/ Years at Certification(s) Current School	# of Years as anPrior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the	
---	--	--

					associated school year)
CTE ABE/GED all content areas	Sharon Damron	Masters	3	5	NA

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Marzano training on classroom strtegies	Sharon Damron	5/2013	
2	Curriculum Develpoment	CRT	5/2013	
3	Professional Learning Communities	Dept of Curriculum & Instruction	5/2013	
4	Beginning teachers program	District Instructional Coach Administration	5/2013	
5	E-recruiting	District	5/2013	
6	Industry Contacts	Administration and instructors	5/2013	
7	Advertisement in newspaper	Sr. Director & Administrative Staff	5/2013	
8	Weekly in-service training	Sr. Director, Administrative Staff & Instructional Support Teacher	5/2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
One instructor	1. District plan of Improvement Implemented: attend trainings on classroom management, technology integration, classroom organization, Marzano teaching strategies 2. Increased observations and feedback from administration and trained teacher observers

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
80	5.0%(4)	8.8%(7)	53.8%(43)	32.5%(26)	26.3%(21)	98.8%(79)	1.3%(1)	0.0%(0)	8.8%(7)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Sharon Damron	M. El-Ghazay S. Hoover J. Essad O. Dion S. Daiss R. Stephens J. Crane	S. Damron is trained in Marzano teaching strategies and can provide the support for instructional delivery and classroom management strategies.	ACP modules and courses Individualized mentoring Monthly group meetings On-campus trainings
Dr. Jim Zink	T. Bjornnson J. Holman	Dr. Zink is a former automotive instructor and is highly trained in instruction & curriculum.	Individualized mentoring Collaborative meetings Observations & Reflections

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
ΝΑ
Title I, Part C- Migrant
ΝΑ
Title I, Part D
ΝΑ
Title II
ΝΑ
Title III
ΝΑ
Title X- Homeless
ΝΑ
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
ΝΑ
Violence Prevention Programs
ΝΑ
Nutrition Programs
NA

Housing Programs

NA	
----	--

Head Start

NA

Adult Education

NA

Career and Technical Education

NA

Job Training

NA

Other

NA

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

NA

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

NA

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

NA

MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

NA

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

NA

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team—

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Sharon Damron, Margaret Wilster, Lorraine Grice, Jim Zink

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team meets, on an as-needed basis, to identify the needs of teachers who require assistance in incorporating literacy strategies into their lessons. The Instructional Coach delivers the professional development training which includes Marzano teaching strategies and literacy strategies. The Literacy Leadership Team is available on an as needed basis to assist teachers in the development and implementation of literacy strategies.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

vocabulary instruction (Marzano's 6 Steps) non-linguistic graphic organizers mind maps note taking creation of student portfolios

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

NA

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

NA

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Dual enrollment students attend the tech center for half a day and career and technical education is utilizing applied and integrated strategies to tie career and academic content together.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Students take courses within their chosen career area. The Gold Seal award requires sequential course attainment. Students choose the program that best matches their long term career goals.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

We do not participcte in the High School Feedback Report.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an Ilowing group:	id refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	fy and define areas in need
			Ensure reading at sufficient levles to passs TABE requirement for each level		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
NA			NA		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S [.]	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	o Data :	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.						
Reading Goal #1b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement	
Level 4 in reading.	NA
Reading Goal #2a:	

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
NA		NA					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo		lata, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, and Ilowing group:	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:			NA		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	ince:
NA			NA		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		•

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo		a, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.					
Reading Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving P	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data :	Submitted		

IL

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the following the second s	student achievement data, and Ilowing group:	l refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:		NA			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expe	ected Level of Performa	nce:
NA			NA		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to L	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data \$	Submitted		

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target									
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # 5A :						
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an Ilowing subgroup:	nd refer	rence to "G	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:		NA			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	ince:
NA			NA		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	N	o Data	Submitted		

	I on the analysis of studen provement for the following		efere	nce to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:				NA			
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
NA				NA			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I n	crease Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	students progressing through the levels of ESOL	monitoring monthly the progression of each student		ructors and inistrators	LCP reports	CASA test	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following subgroup:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	NA
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
NA	NA

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo		ata, and refe	rence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:			NA		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perfor	mance:
NA			NA		
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
No Data Submitted									

Reading Budget:

Т

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmen	t		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

 Anticipated Barrier
 Strategy

 Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
 Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy

 No Data Submitted

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.				
CELLA Goal #2:				
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted				

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.						
CELLA Goal #3:						
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in v	vriting:				
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase S	Student Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Evaluation Tool						
No Data Submitted						

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proc	cess to li	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for		for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement			eference t	o "Guiding Questions",	identify and define areas
 Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. 					
Mathematics Goal #2	:				
2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	İ
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
 Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3: 				
2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

	Problem-Solving	Process to Increase S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitted		

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an Ilowing group:	d refere	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.					
Algebra Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Fr	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
for				Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo		and refere	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.					
Algebra Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforn	nance:
	Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to li	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on Amb	itious but A	chievable Annual	Measurable	Objecti	ives (AMOs), AMO-2, F	Reading and Ma	ath Pe	rformance Target
			Algebra Goa		×				
	jectives (AN	ble Annual MOs). In six year hievement gap	3A :						×
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-201	2 2012-2013	,				2016-2017		
		tudent achieveme lowing subgroup:	ent data, an	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and c	define areas in need
Hispanic, Asia satisfactory p	an, America progress in	by ethnicity (Wh an Indian) not m Algebra.							
Algebra Goal	#3B:								
2012 Current	Level of Pe	erformance:			2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	udent Ach	ievement		
Anticipated E	Barrier	Strategy		for		Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Eval	uation Tool
			No	o Data S	Submitted			•	
		tudent achieveme lowing subgroup:	ent data, an	d refer	ence to "Gi	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and o	define areas in need
3C. English La satisfactory p		earners (ELL) no Algebra.	t making						
Algebra Goal	#3C:								
2012 Current	Level of Pe	erformance:			2013 Exp	ected Leve	el of Performa	nce:	
		Problem-Sol	ving Proces	ss to l	ncrease St	udent Ach	ievement		
Anticipated E	3arrier	Strategy		for		Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Eval	uation Tool
			No		Submitted	,			

Based on the analysis of a of improvement for the for			eference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need
3D. Students with Disab	oilities (SWD) no	ot making			
satisfactory progress ir	n Algebra.				
Algebra Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	ince:
	Problem-So	Iving Process t	o I ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pc Re fo	erson or osition esponsible r onitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Da	ta Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following subgroup:					
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.					
Algebra Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforn	nance:
	Problem-Solvin	ig Process to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted				

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in
Geometry.

Geometry Goal #1:

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Evaluation Tool				
No Data Submitted					

5	ed on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas eed of improvement for the following group:					
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. 						
Geometry Goal #2:						
2012 Current Level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:			pected Level of Perform	nance:	
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data :	Submitted			

Based on	Ambitious but	Achievable	Annual	Measurable	Objectives	(AMOs),	AMO-2,	Reading	and Math	Performance
Target										

3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%.	e Objectives r school will	Geometry Goal #			×
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black,

Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #3B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

5	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3C:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proc	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	No Data Submitted				

	used on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following subgroup:				
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to Position Responsible for Strategy Monitoring					
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3E:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:	
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Position Responsible Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy						
No Data Submitted						

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

NO Data

No Data

No Data

\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00 Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Florida Alternate A at Levels 4, 5, and 6	ents scoring				
Science Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of areas in need of improv			l reference	e to "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.					
Science Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	ipated Barrier Strategy Res for		oon or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

5	of student achievement data vement for the following gro		reference	to "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1:			NA		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
NA			ΝΑ		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.						
Biology Goal #2:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Prod	cess to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for		on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
		No Data	Submitted			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submitte	d		

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis o in need of improvement	f student achievement data for the following group:	a, and re	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", i	dentify and define areas
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:			NA		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
NA			NA		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to Li	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis c in need of improvement			eference to	o "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define areas
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.					
Writing Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted	•	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	Data No Data	
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas a need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.						
U.S. History Goal #1:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for			on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data :	Submitted			

ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:					
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History. 					
U.S. History Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I r	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for			ion onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:					
1. Attendance					
Attendance Goal #1:	To maintain an average daily attendance of 90%.				
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:				
90%	90%				

		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
Unable to determine		unable to determine			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
unable to determine		unable to determine			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for		Posit Resp for	rson or sition sponsible Effectiveness of nitoring Strategy		Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data

No Data

\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)). Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Suspension NA Suspension Goal #1: 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions NA NA 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School School NA NA 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions Suspensions NA NA 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-School of-School NA NA Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	k		

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
 Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. 	Dropout rate 21%; completers 72% receive at least one OCPs			
2012 Current Dropout Rate:	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:			
21%	15%			
2012 Current Graduation Rate:	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:			

72%		75%		
	Problem-Solving Pro	cess to Increase S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitted	d		

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis o in need of improvement:		data, and re	ference to	"Guiding Questions", id	entify and define areas
1. Parent Involvemen	t				
Parent Involvement Goal #1:			40%		
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.					
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:			2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:		
40%		60%			
	Problem-Solving P	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for			on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data :	Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		٢	No Data Submittee	d		

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of	school data, identify and de	efine areas in ne	ed of improvement:	
1. STEM				
STEM Goal #1:				
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	b		

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progr Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1. CTE					
CTE Goal #1:					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Pers Positicipated Barrier Strategy Resp for Moni		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
		Grand Total: \$0.00
	No Data Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources	No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source

Additional Goal(s)

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #1 Goal:

	d on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the		nd reference to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas
	tense Focus on Studen nse Focus on Student A		COE requireme	n	eet the following
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	ed level:	
x			60% completic 70% job placer 70% licensure		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Factors out of the influence of school/teachers' control may negatively impact completions, licensures, placement data.	employability and	Instructional Support Career Center	Track the number of job placements & placement surveys	Placement Surveys Twitter Surveys Facebook Surveys
2		Increase rigor and relevance of all program curricula by documenting the teaching of curriculum frameworks & CCSS through daily lesson planning.	Program Administrators CRT Instructional Support Teacher Teachers	Check lesson plans and assessments Observations by trained observers & administrators	Marzano iObservation Evalaution Instrument
3		Increase the use of PIE's to motivate and goal orient students. Also, PIEs will inform students of latest trends in industry & employment.	Teachers PIE Coordinator Career Center	Actual participation of PIEs in these activities Feedback from students	Student Surveys
4		Assign teachers who would serve as consultants or coaches to assist other teachers with the development of lesson plans and activities.	Program Administrator Curriculum Coaches	Feedback from teachers	Review lesson plans for quality assurance.
5		Plan interventions and track the academic progress, attendance, and completion rates of AAAE students.	Program Administrators AAAE Teachers CTE Teachers Dean	Pull data report to track attendance & completion rates of AAAE students.	Bi-weekly monitoring on data reports.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
COE requirements regarding accreditation criteria		Administration	Administrators	As needed throughout the school year		Administrators

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		1	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #2 Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group:				
1. Intense Focus on Student Achievement #2 Goal Intense Focus on Student Achievement #2 Goal #1:	By June 30, 2013, the number of students transitioning from AGE into CTE will increase by 3%. (Career Pathways)			
2012 Current level:	2013 Expected level:			
NA	3% of total AGE population will transition into CTE			

	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Increase awareness of programs by highlighting a program of the month.	CTE teachers AGE teachers Instructional Support Teacher Program Administrators	Track the number of students who make the transition from AGE to CTE.	Teacher data notebooks
2	Lack of follow through in tracking students who show an interest in a CTE program(s)	ABE/GED teachers will develop a system of tracking students transitioning into CTE programs.	CTE teachers AGE teachers Instructional Support CRT	Track the number of students showing interest in CTE, involve CTE teacher; follow through with a student's intention to transition into CTE program through commuication with CTE teacher.	Feedback from teacher and student surveys
3		Implement CTE Scavenger Hunt for ABE/GED/ESOL students.	CRT Instructional Support Teacher	Actual participation of teachers and students	Student achievement on Scavenger Hunt
4		Develop a plan to collect data to track students transitioning from AGE into CTE programs	Admissions staff Program Administrator	Admissions staff will pose key questions to students to ascertain whether students interested in CTE programs were former AGE students. Pull CampusVue Data Reports	Data Reports due each 9 weeks after registration detailing the numbers of former AGE students enrolling in CTE programs.
5		Hold meetings to inform students of the process involved in transitioning to a CTE program.		Track the number of students who transition from AGE into CTE	CampusVue Data Reports Teacher data Admissions' data reports
6					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Career Pathways	AGE teachers	District	AGE teachers	through the		Teachers Program Administrators

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA	NA	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
ent		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
		Grand Total: \$0.00
	nt Description of Resources No Data nt Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources Description of Resources	NA NA Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data nt Description of Resources Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #3 Goal:

	d on the analysis of stud ed of improvement for th	lent achievement data, a e following group:	nd reference to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas			
		t Achievement #3 Goa Achievement #3 Goal #	By June 2013,	By June 2013, the number of students earning at least one OCP will increase by 3% for each CTE program.				
2012	2 Current level:		2013 Expecte	ed level:				
NA			NA					
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Teachers will not be able to control or influence the factors which determine attendance, personal, or family issues which prevent the completion of technical program.	Document the teaching of curriculum frameworks & CCSS through daily lesson planning.	Administration Teachers Curriculum Consultants Instructional Support Teacher CRT	Observations PLC Meetings In-service	Teacher Lesson Plans Feedback on teacher observations			
2		Implement new teaching strategies as identified on the Marzano Learning Map. District mandate: Design Questions 2,5,7,8	Administration Trained Observers Instructional Support Teacher	Formal & Informal Observations Incorporation of strategies into lesson plans	Marzano Evaluation Instrument			
		MFT101	Instructional	Feedback on student	Student Surveys			

3	curriculum that focuses	Support Teacher Career Center	surveys	
4		Teachers Program Administrators		PLC Agenda and Minutes
5		Administration Teachers Curriculum coach/consultants	' Discussions in small group or PLC meetings	Track the number of completers and compare with the number of student withdrawals. CampusVue Reports Teacher Data Notebooks
6				

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Lesson Plan Design		Instructional Support Teacher	Teachers	As needed throughout the year	Review of teachers' lesson plans	Administration
Marzano Teaching Strategies DQ 2, 5,7,8		Instructional Support Teacher	All teachers	Twice per month	feedback	Administration Instructional Support Teacher
ESOL/ABE/GED		District		Mtgs. scheduled by District		Program Administrator

Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
	·	-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Other Strategy

Description of Resources

No Data

Funding Source

\$0.00

No Data

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Intense Focus on Student Achievement #3 Goal(s)

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #4 Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Intense Focus on Student Achievement #4 Goal By June 2013, the number of Adult Education Students earning at least two literacy completion points will Intense Focus on Student Achievement #4 Goal #1: increase by 3%. 2012 Current level: 2013 Expected level: NA NA Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Teachers will not be Document the teaching Administration Observations Teacher lesson able to control or of curriculum plan notebooks influence the factors frameworks & CCSS Teachers Small group meetings which determine through daily lesson attendance, personal, planning Curriculum PLC meetings 1 coach/consultants or family issues which prevent the completion of academic program. Instructional Support Teacher CRT Teachers will not feel Implement new Marzano Administration Formal & Informal Marzano comfortable teaching strategies as Observations Evaluation implementing new identified on the Trained Observers Instrument 2 teaching strategies. Marzano Learning Map. Feedback from teachers District mandate: Design Questions 2,5,6,7. MFT101 Instructional Feedback on student Student Surveys Create new curriculum Support surveys 3 that focuses on Teacher student retention Career Center Increase the use of Teachers Teacher feedback PLC Agenda & Professional Learning Minutes Communities for Program Λ collaboration & sharing Administrators of strategies which focus on student achievement Track individual student Teachers Track student progress Teacher Data progress in teachers' Notebooks data collection Administration Feedback from student Data Reports notebooks 5 and plan interventions Pull data on Guidance as needed for students Counselors attendance, LCPs who are at-risk of failing

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Literacy		District	AGE teachers	on signmeup	Teacher implementation of strategies identified during observations	
Marzano Teaching Strategies		Instructional Support Teacher	All teachers	Twice per month	Feedback on evaluations	Instructional Support Teacher Administration

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.0

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #5 Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Intense Focus on Student Achievement #5 Goal Intense Focus on Student Achievement #5 Goal #1:	By June 2013, the number of ESOL students transitioning to the academic/GED track will increase by 3%.				
2012 Current level:	2013 Expected level:				

3% of ESOL student population will transition into academics/GED track.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Accurate records will not be kept resulting in incomplete data			plan to track student progression.	Teacher data notebooks CampusVue registrations
2					
3					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			A
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

NA

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #6 Goal:

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
		t Achievement #6 Goal chievement #6 Goal #	By June 2013,	By June 2013, the number of students earning industry certifications will increase by 3% for each CTE program.			
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	ed level:			
NA				70% of CTE program completers will have earned an industry certification.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students will not have enough money for industry certification tests.	Testing coordinator will develop a plan to research availability of industry certification tests, cost, and feasibility of administering tests on campus.	CTE teachers Testing Coordinator Program Administrators	Monitor the number of students taking and passing industry certification tests.	Data collection process to be determined by testing coordinator		
2							

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	(e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g.	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Industry Certification		Testing Coordinator	Testing Coordinator	When available	Testing Coordinator will attend trainings to become certified to administer different industry certifications.	Testing Coordinator

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	Purchase of tests and costs involved in becoming certified test administrator for various industry certifications	School Budget	\$35,000.00

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$35,000.00

End of Intense Focus on Student Achievement #6 Goal(s)

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #7 Goal:

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	tense Focus on Studen nse Focus on Student A		By June 2013, students earni	By June 2013, the student withdrawal rate (those students earning no LCPs/OCPs) for each program will be no more than 30% of classroom enrollment.			
2012	2012 Current level:			ed level:			
NA			NA	NA			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Teachers will not be able to influence or control the factors which determine when and/or why a student withdraws.	Teachers will meet with each at-risk student personally on a daily or weekly basis to motivate and encourage attendance in class.	Teachers Dean Guidance Counselors Program Administrators	Teachers will monitor the attendance of students with 3 or more unexcused absences each 9 week term and implement a course of intervention as specified in the OCPS Code of Student Conduct.	Data collection document from each teacher CampusVue Reports		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Budget:

	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Intense Focus on Student Achievement #7 Goal(s)

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #8 Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1. Intense Focus on Student Achievement #8 Goal Intense Focus on Student Achievement #8 Goal #1:			By June 2013,	By June 2013, MFT will increase student participation in SkillsUSA by 5%.		
2012	2012 Current level:			ed level:		
NA	NA			NA		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students will not have the money to join SkillsUSA.	Teachers will, on a consistent weekly basis, communicate to all students the benefits of joining SkillsUSA	SkillsUSA Board Members Teachers	Track the number of students who join SkillsUSA	SkillsUSA membership forms	

2

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject_grade	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Budget:

Evidence-based Program	n(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
	Scholarships for financially qualified SkillsUSA students	School Budget	\$10,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$10,000.0
Fechnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	·	-	Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$10,000.0

End of Intense Focus on Student Achievement #8 Goal(s)

Intense Focus on Student Achievement #9 Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1. Intense Focus on Student Achievement #9 Goal	By June 2013, MFT will increase student participation in			
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #9 Goal #1:	the National Adult Education Honor Society by 5%.			
2012 Current level:	2013 Expected level:			
NA	NA			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Students will not have the money to join National Adult Education Honor Society.	Research fund raising activities which will help offset the costs associated with joining NAEHS.	NAEHS Teacher Sponsor	Track the number of students who join NAEHS	NAEHS membership forms			
2		Communicate to students the benefits of joining NAEHS	NAEHS Teacher Sponsor AGE Teachers	Track the number of students who join NAEHS	NAEHS membership forms			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Costs for Induction Ceremony		School Budget	\$5,000.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$5,000.0
Гесhnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
		G	rand Total: \$5,000.0

High Performing and Dedicated Teams #1 Goal:

	d on the analysis of stud ed of improvement for th	ent achievement data, a e following group:	nd reference to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
	gh Performing and Dec Performing and Dedica	licated Teams #1 Goal ated Teams #1 Goal #1	and accuracy of track statistica to attendance,	MFT personnel will have of CampusVue data repor I data/anomolies includin LCPs, OCPs, AAAE comp o placements, licensures,	ting capabilities to g, but not limited leters, program	
2012	2 Current level:		2013 Expecte	ed level:		
NA			NA	NA		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of qualified experts to adequately train MFT staff	Teachers will keep a data notebook to track attendance, withdrawals, course completers, placements, licensures, industry certifications, end of course assessments for each students.	Teachers Administration Data Management Team	Analysis of information collected from teacher data notebooks CampusVue data	Teacher data notebooks CampusVue reports	
2		Create a Data Management Team responsible for training and keeping staff informed on how to pull different data reports	Administration Data Management Team	Analysis of data reports	Data Reports	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CampusVue Reports		staff Data Management	responsible for	once every 9	Feedback from teachers and staff on their ability to pull reports	Data Management Team

Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount			
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00			
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00			
Technology						

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of High Performing and Dedicated Teams #1 Goal(s)

High Performing and Dedicated Teams #2 Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
	gh Performing and Ded Performing and Dedica		collegiality and	By June 2013, the utilization of PLCs to promote collegiality and collaboration of strategies to increase student achievement will increase by 50%.			
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	ed level:			
2 me	etings		3 meetings	3 meetings			
	Pro	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Due to extensive professional development training and data meetings, PLCs might not have time to meet.	Continue with PLCs to increase professional collaboration of teaching strategies & implementation of said strategies	Teachers Program Administrators	Feedback from teachers	PLC Agenda and meeting minutes		
2							

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	subject grade	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	------------------------	--	---------------	---	--	--

Professional Learning Community Training	All Teachers	Instructional Support Teacher	All teachers	3 times per year	PLC Agenda and	PLC Group Leaders Instructional Support Teachers

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
			Amount
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of High Performing and Dedicated Teams #2 Goal(s)

Safe Working and Learning Environment Goal:

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
	fe Working and Learnir Working and Learning	0	By June 2013 on campus.	By June 2013, 90% of MFT students will feel safe while on campus.				
2012	Current level:		2013 Expect	2013 Expected level:				
NA			NA	NA				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Students will not utilize information learned in trainings on campus safety and procedures for reporting unsafe practices.	Guidance Counselors and/or Dean will speak with students about campus safety procedures and explain procedures for reporting threats,weapons, etc.	Dean Guidance Counselors	Feedback from survey on student safety concerns	Survey			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Budget:

NA		Amount
	NA	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
		Grand Total: \$0.00
	No Data Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources	No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source

Efficient Operations #1 Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement in need of improvement for the following group:	data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
1. Efficient Operations #1 Goal Efficient Operations #1 Goal #1:	By June 2013, customer satisfaction in Admissions, Financial Aid, Testing, and the Business Office will meet or exceed 80% or higher positive ratings in all categories on customer service surveys.
2012 Current level:	2013 Expected level:
NA	NA

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Information learned in trainings will not transfer to the workplace setting	will be trained in specific strategies which will increase		Analysis of feedback of customer service surveys	Customer Service Surveys
2					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Customer Service Training		Burden		held once per month	Analysis of customer service feedback surveys	Instructional Support Teacher Program Administrators

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Efficient Operations #1 Goal(s)

Efficient Operations #2 Goal:

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd referei	nce to "Gi	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas
1. Efficient Operations #2 Goal Efficient Operations #2 Goal #1:			5	By June 2013, MFT Admissions staff will decrease the number of students placed into the wrong programs by 90%.		
2012 Current level:			2013	Expecte	d level:	
NA				NA		
	Prot	olem-Solving Process t	o Increa	se Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pos Respon	on or ition sible for toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Provide specific training to Admissions staff that will increase knowledge of campus programs.	CTE teachers		Feedback from teachers Feedback from students	Student Surveys
2	Admissions staff will not utilize script	Create a script for Admissions staff to utilize when registering ESOL students in order to identify students' educational pathways.	CTE teachers		Teacher feedback on the number of students enrolled in wrong programs	Customized surveys
3						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Customer Service Training		Andra Clark- Burden Key CTE and AGE teachers	Admissions Department	Meetings	Analysis of customer service surveys given to newly	Instructional Support Teacher Program Administrators

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
echnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Т

Sustained Community Engagement #1 Goal:

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
	stained Community En ained Community Enga		receive employ	By June 2013, the number of program completers to receive employability skills training by one or more Partners in Education will meet or exceed 90%.		
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	ed level:		
NA			NA	NA		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	MFT might encounter scheduling conflicts with PIEs.	Increase communication with PIEs by establishing an email database Solicit volunteers for employability skills workshops through the MFT Newsletter	PIE Coordinator	Feedback from PIEs via the MFT Newsletter.	Track responses from PIEs	
2		Seek referrals from teachers regarding PIEs for employability skills training for MFT students	PIE Coordinator	Email contact or word of mouth	Feedback from teachers	
3						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	lent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Sustained Community Engagement #2 Goal:

Based on the analysis of stude in need of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas	
1. Sustained Community En Sustained Community Enga		By June 2013, MFT will have in place a recycling program consisting of recycling trash receptacles located in the Bistro and key areas on the campus.			
2012 Current level:		2013 Expected level:			
NA		NA	NA		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
		Person or	Process Used to		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Research logistics/costs of obtaining recycling waste receptacles similar to Disney models	Coordinator		Purchase and placement of receptacles

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /To and/or PL Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Seek quotes from different vendors	Recycling waste receptacles	School Budget	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00
Fechnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
		G	rand Total: \$5,000.0

Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Program	m(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #2	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #3	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #4	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #5	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #6		Purchase of tests and costs involved in becoming certified test administrator for various industry certifications	School Budget	\$35,000.00
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #7	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #8		Scholarships for financially qualified SkillsUSA students	School Budget	\$10,000.00
Intense Focus on Student Achievement #9	Costs for Induction Ceremony		School Budget	\$5,000.00
High Performing and Dedicated Teams #1	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
High Performing and Dedicated Teams #2	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Safe Working and Learning Environment	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Efficient Operations #1	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Efficient Operations #2	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Sustained Community Engagement #1	NA	NA	NA	\$0.00
Sustained Community Engagement #2	Seek quotes from different vendors	Recycling waste receptacles	School Budget	\$5,000.00
				Subtotal: \$55,000.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Professional Developme	nt.		_	Subtotal: \$0.00
		Description of	5 11 0	
Goal	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
Other				Subtotal: \$0.00
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$55,000.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/12/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds

Amount

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Mid Florida Tech's School Advisory Council will review and analyze results of teacher/staff/student surveys. Based on the results of this analysis, the SAC will recommend changes to the SIP to address the needs identified in the surveys. The SAC will assist in recruiting community members to address the needs of our school in the areas of curriculum, equipment, and employment trends in an effort for our school to produce highly skilled workers for various industries.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found