# Florida Department of Education



# DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

#### 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### **PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION**

School Name: Jan Mann Opportunity	District Name: Miami-Dade
Principal: Samuel L. Johnson	Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho
SAC Chair: Andrea Walker	Date of School Board Approval: Pending

#### **Student Achievement Data:**

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

### **Highly Effective Administrators**

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school
		Certification(s)	Current Sensor	7 Idiiiiiistiatoi	year)
Principal	Samuel L. Johnson	M.S Science	1	17	' 12 '11 '10 '09 '08 The 500 Role Model
		Education / Ed.			Academy of Excellence Alternative
		Leadership Nova			Education Center 2010-2006
		Southeastern			School Grade TBA F * P P
		University			AYP No No Yes Yes
		B.S Biology,			High Standards Rdg. 4% * 34% 53%
		Florida State			High Standards Math 6% * 24% 16%
		University			Lrng Gains-Rdg. 46% 44% 66% 80%
		Certification:			Lrng Gains-Math 52% 62% 64% 60%
		Educational			Gains-Rdg-25% 63% * 73% 80%
		Leadership K-12;			Gains-Math-25% 53% * 70%
		Biology			

Assistant	Nicholas E. Emmanuel	Bookkeeping	2	17	' 12 '11 '10 '09 '08
Principal					
		Economics, MG			School Grade n/a n/a n/a n/a
		Math, Middle			AYP n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
		Grades, ED			High Standards Rdg. n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
		Leadership			High Standards Math n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
					Lrng Gains-Rdg n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
					Lrng Gains-Math n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
					Gains-Rdg 25% n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

### **Highly Effective Instructional Coaches**

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at Current School	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
				Instructional Coach	school year)
N/A					

### **Effective and Highly Effective Teachers**

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Recruit new highly qualified teachers from Job Fairs and through partnership with Florida Memorial University.	Principal	On-going
	Assistant Principal	
2. Solicit referrals from veteran teachers and outside agencies.	Principal	On-going
	Assistant Principal	
3.		
4.		

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only). \*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
3	Provide on-site professional development activities and/or courses offered at TDC.

### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

To tal Nu m ber of In str uc tio nal Sta ff	% of Fir st-Ye ar Te ach ers	% of Te ach ers with 1-5 Yea rs of Exp erie nce	% of Te ach ers with 6-14 Yea rs of Exp erie nce	% of Te ach ers with 15+ Yea rs of Exp erie nce	% of Te ach ers wi th Ad van ced De gre es	% Hi gh ly Eff ect ive Te ac her s	% Re ad ing En dor sed Te ach ers	% Na tio nal Bo ard Ce rtif ied Te ac her s	ES OL End orse d Tea cher s
37	2.7 % (1)	2.7 % (1)	37.8 4% (14)	56.7 6% (21)	56. 76 % (21	55 % (11 )	8.1 1% (3)	2.7 % (1)	16.2 2% (6)

### **Teacher Mentoring Program**

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor	Mentee	Rationale for	Planned
Name	Assigned	Pairing	Mentoring
			Activities
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### **Additional Requirements**

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Extended learning opportunities will be provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through pull-out tutoring.

Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through push-in or pull-out tutorial models during the school day as well as summer school. The district coordinates with Title II in ensuring staff development needs are provided. Support services are provided to secondary students. The administrators and instructional leadership team develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/programs. They identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/ behavior assessment and intervention approaches. They identify systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence based intervention strategies; assist with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Other components that are integrated into the program include an extensive parental program; Supplemental Educational Services for tutoring; and special support services to special needs populations such as homeless, migrant, and neglected and delinquent students.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Jan Mann Opportunity School provides services and support to migrant students and parents. The District Migrant liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs and conducts a comprehensive needs assessment of migrant students to ensure that the unique needs of migrant students are met.

Title I, Part D

The Educational Alternative Outreach program is supported by district funds. Services are coordinated with district Drop-out Prevention Programs.

Title II
The District uses supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows:
• Training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program
<ul> <li>Training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, and ESOL</li> </ul>
Training and substitute for Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) at each school focusing on Professional Learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, as well as Lessons Learned Study Group Implementation and protocols.
Title III
NA NA
Title X- Homeless
District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, and social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. The Homeless Assistance Program seeks to ensure a successful educational experience for homeless children by collaborating with parents, schools, and the community. The Project Upstart, Homeless Children & Youth Program assists schools with the identification, enrollment, attendance, and transportation of homeless students. The Homeless Liaison provides training for school registrars on the procedures for enrolling homeless students and for school counselors on the McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance Act, ensuring homeless youth are not to be stigmatized, segregated, or isolated based on their status as homeless and are provided with all entitlements. Project Upstart also provides a homeless sensitivity and awareness campaign throughout all the schools.
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Jan Mann Opportunity School will receive funding from Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education
Finance Program (FEFP) allocation.

Violenc	te Prevention Programs
classroo violenc by class well as problem	nn Opportunity School provides individual and small group counseling to address bullying, drug abuse, violence prevention, and harassment as well as a supporting om curriculum. The Melissa Institute for Violence Prevention is partnering with the school to provide both student and teacher workshops at the school on bullying and exprevention. The Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program addresses violence and drug prevention and intervention services for students through curriculum implemented shown teachers, guidance counselors, and other student services staff. Training and technical assistance for elementary, middle, and senior high school teachers, as administrators and counselors, is also a component of this program. The Student Services department also focuses on individual and group counseling students to solve as related to drugs and alcohol, stress, suicide, isolation, family violence, and other crises. Additionally, Jan Mann Opportunity School provides positive interaction and ional programs, group and extensive one-on-one counseling, and rewards and incentives to reinforce positive behavior through the Positive Behavior Support Program.
Nutritio	on Programs
1.	The school adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements stated in the District Wellness Policy.
2.	Nutrition education, as per state statute, is taught through physical education.
3.	The School Food Service Program, including school breakfast, school lunch, and after care snacks, follows the Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the

District's Wellness Policy.
Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

High school completion courses are available to eligible senior high students in the evening (at their neighborhood schools) based on the school's recommendation. Courses can be taken for credit recovery, promotion, remediation, or grade forgiveness purposes. Additionally, student services personnel are working with overage students and their parents to explore educational options through adult education that may be more appropriate. By establishing strong ties with Florida Memorial University in the area, we offer all our students, regardless of academic prowess, a viable opportunity to continue their education.

Career and Technical Education
By promoting Career Pathways and Programs of Study, students will become academy program completers and have a better understanding and appreciation of postsecondary opportunities available and a plan for how to acquire the skills necessary to take advantage of those opportunities. Articulation agreements allow students to earn college and postsecondary technical credits in high school which provides more opportunities for students to complete 2 and 4 year postsecondary degrees. Workplace skills are taught in the academy and other applied technology electives, including how to prepare a resume, dress for success, and perform during a job interview. Students gain an understanding of business and industry workforce requirements by acquiring Ready to Work and other industry certifications. Readiness for postsecondary opportunities is strengthened with the integration of academic and career and technical education components and a coherent sequence of courses.
Job Training
N/A

Other
Parental Involvement Program Description
The following are strategies utilized in coordination with Title I to increase parental involvement:  • Involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extend an open invitation to our school's parent resource center or parent area in order to inform parents regarding available programs, their rights under No Child Left Behind and other referral services.  • Increase parental engagement/involvement through developing (with on-going parental input) our school's Title I School-Parent Compact; our school's Title I Parental Involvement Plan; scheduling the Title I Annual Orientation Meeting; and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements.  • Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops, Parent Academy Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parents' schedules. This impacts our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement.  • Complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly School Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913 03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118. Additionally, the M-DCPS Title I Parent/Family Survey, distributed to schools by Title I Administration, is to be completed by parents/families annually in May. The Survey's results are to be used to assist with revising our Title I parental documents for the approaching school year.
School Improvement Grant Fund/School Improvement Grant Initiative
The school receives funding under the School Improvement Grant Fund/School Improvement Grant Initiative in order to increase the achievement of the lowest subgroups through comprehensive, ongoing data analysis, curriculum and instructional alignment, and specific interventions such as extended day remedial tutorial instruction, Differentiated Instruction/Intervention, classroom libraries, Project CRISS, and Learning 100. Additionally, Title I School Improvement Grant/Fund support funding assistance to schools in Differentiated Accountability based on need.

### Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

MTSS/RtI is an extension of the school's Leadership Team, strategically integrated in order to support the administration through a process of problem solving as issues and concerns arise through an ongoing, systematic examination of available data with the goal of impacting students achievement, school safety, school culture, literacy, attendance, student social/emotional wellbeing and prevention of student failure through early intervention.

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team at Jan Mann Opportunity School consists of the following members:

- Principal
- Assistant Principal
- SPED Department Chair
- Guidance Counselors
- School Social Workers
- School Psychologist
- TRUST Counselor
- EBD Clinician
- PBS Team Leader

Describe how the school-based MTSS/RTI Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS/RTI efforts?

The MTSS/RtI Leadership team at Jan Mann Opportunity School conducts bi-weekly meetings to monitor academic and behavioral data to evaluate student progress. The leadership team:

- 1. Monitors academic and behavior data evaluating progress by addressing the following important questions:
  - What will students learn? (curriculum based on standards)
  - How will we determine if the students have learned? (common assessments)
  - How will we respond when students have not learned? (Response to Intervention problem solving process and monitoring progress of interventions)
  - How will we respond when students have learned or already know? (enrichment opportunities)
  - How will we correct/redirect inappropriate behavior? (behavior)
- 2. Gathers and analyzes data to determine professional development for faculty as indicated by student intervention and achievement needs.
- 3. Maintains communication with staff input and feedback, as well as updating them on procedures and progress.
- 4. Supports a process and structure within the school to design, implement, and evaluate both daily instruction and specific interventions (academic and behavioral).
- 5. Provides clear indicators of student need and student progress, assisting in examining the validity and effectiveness of program delivery.
- 6. Assists with monitoring and responding to the needs of subgroups within the expectations for adequate yearly progress.
- 7. Implements strategies to target students on each tier, with the level of targeted intervention increasing on each tier.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will do the following in the development and implementation of the School Improvement Plan:

- 1. Monitor and adjust the school's academic and behavioral goals through data gathering and data analysis;
- 2. Analyze and disaggregate data in order to drive instruction;
- 3. Differentiate instruction in order to meet the instructional needs of the students;
- 4. Develop, implement, and monitor strategies to increase overall attendance rate, decrease the number of suspensions, increase the number of students graduating

with their cohort, and increase overall percentage of parental involvement.

- 5. Monitor the fidelity of the delivery of instruction and interventions.
- 6. Provide levels of support and interventions to students based on data.

#### MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

- 1. Data will be utilized to guide instructional decisions and system procedures for all students to:
  - Adjust the delivery of curriculum and instruction to meet the specific needs of students.
  - Adjust the allocation of school-based resources.
  - Drive decisions regarding targeted professional development.
  - Create student growth trajectories in order to identify and develop interventions.
- 2. Managed data will include:

#### Academic

- FAIR assessments
- Interim Assessments
- State/Local Math and Science Assessments
- FCAT
- EOC Assessment (Algebra 1, Geometry and Biology)
- Student grades
- Bi-weekly assessments
- Referrals for academic evaluations

#### Behavioral

- Student Case Management
- Detention
- Suspensions/Expulsions
- Referrals by student behavior, staff behavior, and administrative context
- Office referrals per day/per month
- Team climate surveys
- Attendance
- Referrals for psychological evaluations

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.
The district professional development will include:
1. Training for all administrators in the MTSS/RtI problem solving and data analysis process;
2. Train all staff members in the MTSS/RtI problem solving and data analysis and process;
3. Providing support for school staff to understand basic MTSS/RtI principles procedures; and
4. Providing a network of ongoing support for MTSS/RtI organized through feeder patterns.
Selected staff members completed the online MTSS/RTI training. All instructional staff and paraprofessionals will receive MTSS/RTI training on an early release day.
Describe plan to support MTSS.
Describe plan to support W133.
School Administrators, psychologist, counselors and Departments Heads will provide levels of support to instructional staff and interventions to students based on data.
Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)
and may be much sump to min (bbb)
School-Based Literacy Leadership Team
Senou Dasca Entracy Leadership Lean

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The principal has selected staff members for the Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) based on a cross section of the faculty and administrative team that represents highly qualified professionals who are interested in serving to improve literacy instruction across the curriculum. The principal will cultivate the vision for increased school-wide literacy across all content areas by being an active participant in all Literacy Leadership Team meetings and activities. Resources will be provided to the LLT; and the reading teachers and test chairperson will share their expertise in reading instruction, assessment, and observational data to assist the team in making instructional and programmatic decisions. The following staff are members of the Literacy Leadership Team:

Samuel L. Johnson, Principal

Nicholas Emmanuel, Assistant Principal

Rosetta Ashley, Student Services Chair

Lynn Binstock, Science/SPED Department Head

Sylvonnia Simmons, Language Arts Department Head

Michael Floyd, Mathematics Department Head

Yvette Hines, Test Chairperson

Mark Scriven, Social Studies Department Head

Andrea Walker, PBS Team Leader

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The purpose of the Literacy Leadership Team is to create capacity of reading knowledge within the school and focus on areas of literacy concern across the curriculum. The principal, test chairperson, reading teachers, content area teachers, and others designated by the principal to serve on this team, which meets a minimum of once a month. All members of the leadership team will share the common goal of improving instruction for all students and will work to build staff support, internal capacity, and sustainability over time.

The Literacy Leadership Team will assist with monitoring and responding to the needs of subgroups, which did not make Adequate Yearly Progress last year to ensure proper support during the 2012-13 school year. The LLT will further use reading across the curriculum to create students' growth patterns and to identify and develop interventions.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Jan Mann's Literacy Leadership Team will focus on the following:

- Effective utilization of the MTSS/RtI process;
- Greater utilization of assessment data to drive instruction;
- Development of school wide learning activities related to the reading initiative.

#### **Public School Choice**

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

\*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

- 1. All teachers will receive CRISS training and/or reading strategies and ongoing support will be provided to ensure teachers are utilizing the strategies effectively.
- 2. In addition to Language Arts/Reading teachers, Social Studies, Science, and elective teachers will receive Instructional Focus Calendars that are aligned with assessed benchmarks along with guidelines for implementing reading strategies.
- 3. The reading teachers will model lessons for all teachers using reading strategies that can be utilized across the curriculum.

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Jan Mann Opportunity School offers students the opportunity to take courses related to careers in fashion design, culinary arts, and business technology education. The applications and skills taught in these classes are transferable so that students can apply these skills in their content area courses and in real world applications. Middle school students are exposed to exploratory courses in family and consumer sciences and business technology education; all courses include career planning. Instructional staff members are encouraged to plan project-based learning activities that cross content areas, provide relevance, and include a career component. Additionally, 70% of Junior and Seniors register to take the ACT test as noted in Postsecondary Transition.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Student services members, in conjunction with the classroom teachers, work with students to insure completion of the electronic Portfolio Educational Plan (ePEP), reviewing career goals and programs of study as part of the career planning process. All applied technology courses include career planning components. Students meet individually with the assigned guidance counselor for articulation/subject selection; however, due to the size of the school, course offerings are limited. Because students are placed here for a short period of time and then returned to their home school, the counselor also addresses student course selections at their home schools as well courses offered through adult education and virtual school. Additionally, our career academy focuses on developing specific career job training skills and seeks to offer internship opportunities.

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1									
2012-2013 School Improvement I ia	ii (311 )-F01 iii 311 -	•							
Postsecondary Transition									
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 100 Describe strategies for improving student		lic postsecondary	level based on annual a	analysis of the High School Fee	edback Report.				
The ACT Online Prep Program, funded by the Title I Program, will be made available to all students. This will allow students the opportunity to receive individualized feedback and instructions in preparation for the ACT and post-secondary academia. Every student will receive an individual password to access the ACT Online Prep Program from home and or school.									
L									
DADT II. EVDECTED IMDDA									
PART II: EXPECTED IMPRORE Reading Goals	JVENIEN 18								
* When using percentages, include the nu	umber of students the p	percentage represe	ents (e.g., 70% (35)).						
Reading Goals Problem- Solving Process									
to Increase									

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Students scoring						
at Achievement						
Level 3 in	An area of	Dravida atudanta	Literacy Leadership	The LLT will review	Formative: Bi-weekly	
reading.	All alea of	with the	Team	The LLT will leview	rolliative. Bi-weekly	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1 eam	assessment data monthly including results from	assessments; District Baseline Assessments; District Interim	
	2012 FCAT	opportunity to				
	Reading	practice with		bi-weekly assessments. Results will be used to	Assessments, Reading Plus	
		prefixes, suffixes,		Results will be used to	Data.	
	Assessment	root words,		adjust instruction as needed		
	was in	synonyms,		to ensure progress is being		
	Vocabulary	and antonyms.		made.		
	and Literary	Teachers should			Summative: Results from the	
	Analysis.	emphasize			2013 FCAT Reading Test	
		strategies for				
		deriving word				
		meanings				
		and word				
		relationships				
		from context, as				
		well as provide				
		additional				
		instruction on word meanings.				
		word meanings.				
		l				
		l				
		l				
		l				
				l		

Reading Goal #1a:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
An analysis of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicates that 16% (14) of students in grades 06-10 achieved Level 3 Proficiency.	2				
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 3 proficiency by 23 percentage points to 31%.(29).					
	8% (14)	31% (29)			

		1a 2	1a 2	1a 2	1a 2	la 2	
		in analyzing a variety of text structures (comparison/ contrast, cause/effect, chronological order) and text features (main headings with	Provide students with the opportunity to practice justifying answers by going back to the text for support. Students will use graphic organizers to see patterns and summarize the main points. Students should practice analyzing the author's perspective, choice of words, style, and	Literacy Leadership Team	monthly from ongoing performance-based classroom assessments focusing on students' knowledge of text structures and text features to	Formative: Bi-weekly assessments; District Baseline Assessments; District Interim Assessments.  Summative: Results from the 2013 FCAT Reading Test	
		subheadings) and explaining their	technique to understand how these elements influence the meaning of text.				
		1a.3.			1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		

Reading Goal #1b:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

			•			•	
2a. FCAT 2.0:	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement	Students had	Teachers will	Literacy Leadership	The LLT will review data	Formative: Student work		
Levels 4 and 5 in	difficulty	use project-based			samples utilizing rubrics;		
reading.	with reporting	learning in order			classroom assessments; District		
1 ~	category 4:	to move students			Baseline Assessments; District		
	Informational	from guided			Interim Assessment.		
	Text and	learning to more		complete assignments			
	Research	independent		as the teacher become			
	Process.	learning.		the facilitator and guides			
	Students had	ľ		students to become	Summative: Results from the		
	difficulty				2013 FCAT Reading Test		
	utilizing			Results will be used to			
	critical	Provide		adjust instruction as needed			
	strategies	students with		to ensure progress is being			
	needed	opportunities to		made.			
	to locate,	use real-world					
		articles such					
		as brochures,					
		manuals, fliers,					
		and websites to					
	the validity	practice locating					
	and reliability	and verifying					
		details, critically					
	within texts.	analyzing text, and synthesizing					
		details to					
		draw correct					
		conclusions.					
		More practice					
		will be provided					
		to students on					
		the methods of					
		understanding					
		supporting details	i i				
		in performance					
		tasks.					

Reading Goal #2a:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
An analysis of the 2012							
Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test							
(FCAT) indicates that 0% (0) achieved Levels							
4 and 5 Proficiency.							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013							
school year is to increase Level 4 and							
5 proficiency by 10 percentage points to 10%.							
10%.							
•							
	0% (0)	10% (9)					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	

		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. <mark>Florida</mark>	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Alternate							
Aggegement							
Assessment:							
Students scorii	ig 1						
at or above Le	ver						
7 in reading.					ļ		
Reading Goal #	2b: 2012 Current	Level of					
	Performance:	Performance:*					
	r criormanec.	r criormance.					
N/A		III					
	Enter numerica data for	l Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this	5				
	this box.	2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		20.2.	202.	20.2.	20.2.	20.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						
	1						

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
data, and reference to			Monitoring			
"Guiding Questions",						
identify and define				Strategy		
areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:						
- HI	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	
Percentage of						
students making						
Learning Gains	TCI 1.	D '1	r:- r 1 1:	m		
					Formative: Student work	
in reading.			Team	student progress monthly	samples utilizing rubrics;	
	FCAT indicate	opportunities		using FAIR, ongoing	classroom assessments; District	
	that students	to distinguish		Progress Monitoring	Baseline Assessments; District	
	had difficulty	between literal		and calculate percent of	Interim Assessments	
		and figurative		students making adequate		
		interpretations.		progress toward goal.		
		Useful		Results will be used to		
		instructional		edinatinatmentian as manded	Summative: Results from the	
				adjust instruction as needed	Summative. Results from the	
		strategies		to ensure progress is being	2013 FCA1 Reading Test	
		will include:		made.		
		vocabulary word				
		maps;				
		word walls;				
		instruction in				
		different levels of				
		content-specific				
		words (shades of				
		meaning).				
				ĺ		
				ĺ		
				ĺ		
				ĺ		
				ĺ		
				ĺ		
				ĺ		
				ĺ		
				ĺ		
				ĺ		

Reading Goal #3a:  The results of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicate that 18% (16) of students made learning gains.  Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student learning gains	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
by 10 percentage points to 28%.	18% (16)	28 % (26)					
						3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	

3b, Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.
Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains
Percentage of students making Learning Gains
students making Learning Gains
Learning Gains
in reading.
Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance:* Performance:*
Performance:* Performance:*
N/A
Enter numerical Enter numerical
data for data for current level of expected level of performance in performance in this
performance in performance in this
this box. box.
3b.2. 3b.2. 3b.2. 3b.2. 3b.2.

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

			•				
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
1							
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement		~	Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to	Darrier		Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
			Widilitaring				
"Guiding Questions",				Strategy			
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Percentage							
of students in							
Lowest 25%							
	The results	Provide students	Literacy Leadership	<ol> <li>The LLT will monitor</li> </ol>	Formative: Student work		
making learning	of the 2012	with the	Team	assessment data monthly	samples utilizing rubrics;		
gains in reading.	FCAT	opportunity			classroom assessments; District		
		to read from a			Baseline Assessments; District		
		wide variety of			Interim Assessments, FAIR		
		texts and receive					
					data.		
	1 0	instruction in		FAIR assessment data.			
	U	affixes and root		ĺ			
		word activities.		ĺ			
		l			Summative: Results from the		
		I			2013 FCAT Reading Test		
		l		ĺ	_		
		l		ĺ			
1		l		ĺ			
1		l		ĺ			
		I					
		I		l			

Reading Goal #4a:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The results of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicate that 5% of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains.							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in reading to 10%.							
	5% (12)	10% (24)					
						4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

41 171 11	41- 1	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
	4b.1.	HD.1.	40.1.	4D.1.	40.1.		
Alternate							
A ====================================							
Assessment:							
<b>Percentage</b>							
of students in							
Lowest 25%							
making learning							
making icarining							
gains in reading.							
Reading Goal #4b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
reading Godi ii 10.	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	criormance.	r criormanec.					
N/A	ĺ						
IV/A							
1	I		ĺ	l			
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	narformanca in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
	inis box.		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		HU.Z.	40.2.	40.2.	40.2.	40.2.	
	ĺ						
	I		ĺ	I			
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
	I	HU.3	10.3.	10.5.	10.5.	اد.ن <del>اد</del> .	
	I		ĺ	I			
	ĺ						
	I		ĺ	I			
	I		ĺ	I			
		<u> </u>					
Based on Ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but Achievable Annual		<b>--</b>		I			
Massurable Objectives	1		ĺ	l			
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and	1						
(AMOs), Reading and	I	I	ĺ	Ī	I		
F "							
Math Performance							
Math Performance Target							

but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010- 2011	8	17	25	33	42	50
Reading Goal #5A:  Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 3 proficiency by 9 percentage points to 17%.		Stratagy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	t Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
subgroups						
by ethnicity						
(White, Black,	Black: An	Dravida atudanta	Litaroay Laadarahin	The LLT will review	Formativa : Monthly	
			, ,		Formative : Monthly assessments; District Baseline	
		opportunity to	Cum		Assessments; District Interim	
not making	data reveals	use a variety of		based classroom	Assessments	
satisfactory		genres (textbooks		assessments focusing on		
progress in	grades 06-10 had difficulty	and novels) that include		students' knowledge of text structures and text features.		
reading.	,	identifiable Main			Summative: Results from the	
	category 1 and			adjust instruction as needed		
	2: Vocabulary			to ensure progress is being		
		Supporting		made.		
	Application.	Details,				
		Comparisons,				
		Cause and				
		Effect, Author's				
		Viewpoint, and vocabulary used				
		within each				
		genre.				

Reading Goal #5B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Black: An analysis of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicates that 82% (76) of students in grades 06-10 represented in the Black subgroup did not make satisfactory progress in reading.							
		28% (26) White:					
	Hispanic:	Black: Hispanic: Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Reading Goal #5C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.				5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		DC3.	

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not							
making satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
D 1' C 1	2012 G	2012 F					
Reading Goal #5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#3D.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
N/A							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	performance in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
	-						
	ļ		Į				

		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		ъD.3.	DD.3.	DD.3.	5D.3.	50.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
data, and reference to	Darrier		Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
"Guiding Questions",			Womtoring	_			
identify and define				Strategy			
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students							
not making	Economically	Provide students	Literacy Leadership	The LLT will review	Formative : Monthly		
satisfactory	Disadvantage	with the			assessments; District Baseline		
progress in	d: An analysis	opportunity to		monthly basis from	Assessments; District Interim		
reading.	of 2012 FCAT	use a variety of			Assessments		
		genres (textbooks		based classroom			
	that students in			assessments focusing on			
	grades 06-10	that include		students' knowledge of text	L		
		identifiable Main			Summative: Results from the		
	in reporting category 1 and	Ideas and			2013 FCAT Reading Test		
	category i and 2: Vocabulary	Supporting					
		Details,					
	Application.						
		Comparisons,					
		Cause and					
		Effect, Author's					
		Viewpoint, and					
		vocabulary used					
		within each					
		genre.					

#5T:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
						5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

#### **Reading Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
April 2012
Rule 6A-1.099811
Revised April 29, 2011

#### or PD Activity

Please note that each

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.  PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Effective Implementation of the Instructional Focus Calendar	6-12	Language Arts Department Chair	School-wide	August 16, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	
Voyager Reading Programs	6-8	District Staff	Middle School Reading Teachers	August 16, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
Jamestown Reading Program	9-12	District Staff	Sr. High Reading Teacher	August 16-17, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
Reading with USA Today	9-12	District Staff	Sr. High Reading Teachers	August 16-17, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
Common Core Standards	6-12	Language Arts Department Chair	Literacy Leadership Team	September 25, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
FCAT 2.0 Writing	6-12	Language Arts Department Chair	Instructional Staff	October 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
Writing Across the Curriculum	6-12	Language Arts Department Chair	Instructional Staff	October 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
Effective Vocabulary Instruction	6-12	Language Arts Department Chair	Instructional Staff	October 2012	Classroom Walkthroughs	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
Reading Plus	6-12	District Staff	Language Arts/Reading Teachers	October-November 22, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
Reading Coaches Professional Development	6-12	District Staff	Designated Reading Teacher	October 26, 2012	Observation of Modeling	Literacy Leadership Team Administration
Serenopment						Literacy Leadership Team

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

CRISS

6-12

District Staff Selected Core Area Teachers

January, 2013

Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs Administration

Literacy Leadership Team

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Reading Budget (miscri rows as no	caca)		<u> </u>	
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
1.1 Teachers will utilize CRISS strategies for deriving word meanings and word relationships from context such as vocabulary concept maps, as well as provide additional instruction on word meanings. Students will practice using context clues to distinguish the correct meaning of words that that have multiple meanings through the reading of a wide variety of texts.	Substitutes	School Title I Budget	\$1,200	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Subtotal:		
Total: 1,200.00		

End of Reading Goals

#### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	that students had difficulty understanding short and extended listening passages, including	the opportunity to use	Assistant Principal Literacy Leadership Team	assessment data monthly from CELLA reports and classroom assessments/ observations focusing on students' abilities to	Formative: Student work samples utilizing rubrics; classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 CELLA Test	
CELLA Goal #1:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
Our overall goal for the Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) is to increase the percent of students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.						
	100% (1)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
	areas: Reading Vocabulary and Reading Comprehension, Students had difficulty understanding vocabulary words, including reading passages that present academic information.	Provide students with the opportunity to activate prior knowledge (C1) utilize vocabulary in context clues (C17) and use multiple meaning words (C19).	Principal Assistant Principal Literacy Leadership Team	assessment data monthly from CELLA reports and classroom assessments/ observations focusing on students' abilities to	Formative: Student work samples utilizing rubrics; classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 CELLA Test	
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
Our overall goal for the Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) is to increase the percent of students scoring proficient in Reading.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

3. Students scoring proficient in Writing.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	
	that students had difficulty answering questions related to English grammar, sentence	the opportunity to write	Assistant Principal Literacy Leadership Team	from CELLA reports and classroom assessments/ observations focusing on students' abilities to	Formative: Student work samples utilizing rubrics; classroom assessments.  Summative: 2013 CELLA Test	
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing:					
Our overall goal for the Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) is to increase the percent of students scoring proficient in Writing.						
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.					
				2.2.		2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities/materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Utilize Computer Assisted Programs (CAP), during small group independent practice in accordance with district pacing guides	Substitutes	Title 1 Funding	\$500.00	
Subtotal:\$500.00				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CELLA Goals

#### **Middle School Mathematics Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

ddle School	Mathema <b>tPcsobleals</b>			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	<del></del>	İ			İ	
	ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data,	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
and reference to "Guiding			Monitoring	Effectiveness of		
Questions", identify and			3	Strategy		
define areas in need of				Strategy		
improvement for the following group:						
1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Students scoring at						
Achievement Level						
3 in mothematics	A1i C	Dunasi da dha	D1	The LLTilli	Damas diama Di assadala	
		Provide the opportunities		The LLT will review assessment data monthly, including results	Formative: Bi-weekly assessments; District Baseline	
	data reveals	for students	Assistant Principal	from Computer Assisted Programs	and Interim Assessments	
		to explain		including Carnegie Learning, and		
	in grade 6 had difficulty	and justify procedures for	Math Dept. Head	FCAT Explorer. Results will be used to adjust instruction as needed		
		multiplying and		to ensure progress is being made.	Summative: 2013 FCAT Math	
	<ol> <li>Fractions,</li> </ol>	dividing fractions			Test	
	Rations, Proportional	and decimals.				
	Relationships,					
	Statistics and					
	Category 3:	Use visual				
		models (SMART BOARD)				
		to explain				
		multiplication				
		and division of fractions.				
		nactions.				
		Use number				
		lines and circle				
		graphs to model				
		the concept of dividing				
		of dividing fractions, as				
		well as mixed				
		numbers				

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

#1a:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
An analysis of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicates that 3% (2) of 06-08 graders achieved Level 3 Proficiency in mathematics.					
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 3 proficiency by 18 percentage points to 21%.					
	3% (2)	21% (13)			

		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
				Principal		Formative: Bi-weekly assessments;	
		2012 FCAT	opportunities for			District Baseline and Interim	
			students to add, subtract,	Assistant Principal		Assessments	
			multiply, and divide integers, fractions, and	Math Dept. Head	Computer Assisted Programs including Carnegie Learning,		
		had difficulty	terminating decimals,	Main Dept. Head	and FCAT Explorer. Results		
		in all reporting	and perform exponential		will be used to adjust	Summative: 2013 FCAT Math Test	
		categories:	operations with rational		instruction as needed to ensure		
			bases and whole number		progress is being made.		
		ten, Fractions, Rations,	exponents including solving problems in				
			everyday contexts.				
		Relationships,	everyddy contexts.				
		Statistics, and					
		Geometry and					
		Measurement.	Use manipulatives and				
			real world scenarios (budgets) to develop				
			meanings for integers				
			and related vocabulary;				
			and represent and				
			compare quantities with				
			them.				
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1- 2	
		1a.5.	1a.3.	18.5.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
41. 77. 43	11 1	11 1	11. 1	11 1	11 1		
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							

#1b:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	- L		i	i	1	•
2a. FCAT 2.0:	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	
Students scoring						
at or above						
Achievement						
			T	The LLT will review assessment	Formative: Bi-weekly	
Levels 4 and 5 in	2012 FCAT	opportunities		data monthly including results	assessments; District Baseline	
mathematics.	data reveals			from Computer Assisted Programs	and Interim Assessments	
		make reasonable		including FCAT Explorer, and		
			Math Dept. Head.	Carnegie Learning. Results will be		
		of square roots		used to adjust instruction as needed		
		and mathematical		to ensure progress is being made.	Summative: 2013 FCAT Math	
	categories:	expressions that			Test	
		include square				
	ten, fractions, rations,	roots, and use them to estimate				
	proportional	solutions to				
	relationships,	problems and				
	statistics, and	to compare				
	Geometry and	mathematical				
	Measurement.	expressions				
		involving				
		real numbers				
		and radical				
		expressions.				
		L				
		Use visual				
		models (SMART				
		BOARD)				
		to explain multiplication				
		and division of				
		fractions.				
		inactions.				
			1			

#20:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
An analysis of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicates that 0% (0) of 06-08 graders achieved Levels 4-5 Proficiency in mathematics.							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 4-5 proficiency by 8 percentage points to 8%.							
	0% (0)	8% (5)					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	

		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Alternate							
<b>Assessment:</b>							
Students scoring at or above Level 7 in							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							

Mathematics Goal #2b:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
N/A							
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	inis vox.		2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define as in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
improvement for the following group:							

3a. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	
Percentage of						
_						
students making						
Learning Gains in	The results of	Provide concrete	Principal	The LLT will review assessment	Formative: Bi-weekly	
mathematics.	the 2012 FCAT				assessments; District Baseline	
	indicate that			from Computer Assisted Programs	and Interim Assessments, CAP	
	students had	by infusing	_		Reports	
	difficulty with	literacy into the	Math Dept. Head.	Carnegie Learning. Results will be	•	
	all reporting	mathematics		used to adjust instruction as needed		
	categories.	class with		to ensure progress is being made.		
		Computer			Summative: 2013 FCAT Math	
		Assisted			Test	
		Programs to				
		show a transfer of mathematical				
		theory to				
		practical				
		applications.				
		In addition,				
		students will use				
		manipulatives to				
		help in bridging				
		the gap between				
		the abstract and				
		the concrete.				

Mathematics Goal #3a:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The results of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicate that 14% (12) of students made learning gains.							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student learning gains by 10 percentage points to 24%.							
	14% (12)	24% (22)					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	

3b. Florida	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	
Alternate						
Assessment:						
Percentage of						
students making						
Learning Gains in						
mathematics.						
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#3b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
<u> </u>	Performance:*	Performance:*				
N/A						

	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.		3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	1	i	L.	i	i	
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making	4a.1. The results of	4a.1. Provide students		4a.1. The LLT will review assessment	4a.1.  Formative: Bi-weekly	
learning gains in mathematics.	the 2012 FCAT indicate that students had difficulty with	with the opportunity to practice finding the pattern, writing the rule, and determining the function for a given sequence of numbers.	Assistant Principal Math Department Head	data monthly including results from Computer Assisted Programs including FCAT Explorer, and Carnegie Learning. Results will be used to adjust instruction as needed	assessments; District Baseline and Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT Math Test	
Mathematics Goal #4a:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
The results of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicate that 6% (6) of the students in the lowest 25% made learning gains.	e 6					
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase learning gains in the lowest 25% by 10 percentage points to 16%.						
	6% (6)	16% (16)				

	1	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		Ha.2.	<del>1</del> a.∠.	Ha.2.	+a.2.	Ha.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
4b Elevide	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
	0.1.	T <sup>0.1</sup> .	πυ.1,	TU.1.	TU.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in Lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
#4b:	Level of	Level of					
_	Performance:*	Performance:*					
N/A							

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target <b>5A. Ambitious</b>	Baseline						
but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their	data 2010- 2011						
achievement gap by 50%.		8	17	25	33	42	50

Mathematics Goal #5A:  Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 3 proficiency by 9 percentage points to 17%.					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
		22.1.	22.1.			
subgroups by						
ethnicity (White,						
Black, Hispanic,	Black: An	Provide concrete	Principal	The LLT will review assessment	Formative: Bi-weekly	
Asian, American	analysis of	real-world	•	data monthly including results	assessments; District Baseline	
Indian) not making	the FCAT	examples	Assistant Principal	from Computer Assisted Programs	and Interim Assessments	
satisfactory	Mathematics	by infusing		including FCAT Explorer, and		
progress in	Test indicates	literacy into the	Math Department Head	Carnegie Learning. Results will be		
mathematics.	that students represented	mathematics class with		used to adjust instruction as needed to ensure progress is being made.	Summative: 2013 FCAT Math	
	in the Black	Computer		to ensure progress is being made.	Test	
	subgroup	Assisted				
	did not make	Programs to				
	satisfactory	show a transfer				
	progress.	of mathematical				
		theory to practical				
		applications.				
		In addition,				
		students will use				
		manipulatives to				
		help bridge the				
		gap between the abstract and the				
		concrete.				

Mathematics Goal #5B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Black: An analysis of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicates that 72 % (70) of Black students in grades 06-08 did not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		White:					
		Black: Hispanic:					
		Asian:					
	Indian:	American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	

	i	ien a	len a	len a	len a	len a	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
student achievement data,	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
and reference to "Guiding			Monitoring				
Questions", identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:	50.1	50.1	50.1	50.1	50.1		
		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners					1		
(ELL) not making					1		
satisfactory							
progress in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#5C:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r critorinance.					
N/A							
					1		
		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for			l		
	current level of	expected level of			l		
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.			l		
					1		
					1		

					5C.2. 5C.3.	5C.2. 5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
SD. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
27/21							
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	_	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				Strategy			

5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	
Disadvantaged						
students not						
students not						
making satisfactory	An analysis	Provide students	Principal	The LLT will administer district	Formative: Bi-weekly	
progress in	of the FCAT	with the		and bi-weekly assessments and	benchmark assessments,	
mathematics.	Mathematics			implement a data management		
	Test indicates	determine the		system, Edusoft, to score	CAP reports generated from	
	that students	measures of	Mathematics Dept.	assessments and generate reports	FCAT Explorer, and Carnegie	
	represented			to track achievement trends. Data	Learning.	
	in the	(mean, median,		will be shared with staff members at		
	Economically	and mode)		faculty, department, leadership team		
	_	and variability		and EESAC meetings.		
	subgroup	(range) for a			Summative: Results from	
	did not make	given set of			the 2013 Algebra EOC	
	satisfactory	data, collection			Assessment.	
	Progress.	of personal				
		information such				
		as height, weight,				
		and shoe size				
		is appropriate in the helping				
		to develop an				
		understanding				
		of mean, median				
		and mode.				

#5E+	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
An analysis of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) indicates that 72% (70) of Economically Disadvantaged students in grades 06-08 did not make satisfactory progress in mathematics.							
	72% (70)	82% (80)					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

#### Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Achievement Level 3 in						
Algebra.						
	data reveals that Students had difficulty with all three Reporting Categories: Functions, Linear Equations, Inequalities, Polynomials, Rationals, Radicals, Quadratics, and Discrete Mathematics.	Assisted Programs (CAP) for all tiers, including Carnegie	Assistant Principal Mathematics Dept. Chair	assessments and generate reports to track achievement trends. Data will be shared with staff members at faculty, department, leadership team and EESAC meetings.	benchmark assessments,  CAP reports generated from FCAT Explorer, E2020, and Carnegie Learning.	

Algebra Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
An analysis of the 2012 End of Course Assessment indicates that 4% (1) of students scored in the middle third tier (Level 3).							
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students in the middle tier by 7 percentage points to 11% (3).							
	4% (1)	11% (3)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

<b>a</b> a <b>b b</b>	\ 1	h 1	h 1	2.1	
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
above Achievement Levels					
4 and 5 in Algebra.					
i una o m ringeorui					
An analysis of S	Students will	Principal	The LLT will administer district	Formative: Bi-weekly	
the 2012 Algebra b			and bi-weekly assessments will		
1 EOC data o			be used for progress monitoring		
	nathematics classes	_	as well as data obtained from		
students achieved to	o add, subtract,	Mathematics Dept. Chair	Edusoft. This data will be used		
	nultiply, and divide	_	to redirect classroom instruction	Summative: Results from	
the middle and	ntegers, fractions,			the 2013 Algebra EOC	
upper third tier. a	and terminating		tutorials.	Assessment.	
Students had d	decimals, and				
	perform exponential				
	operations with				
	rational bases and				
Functions, Linear w					
	exponents including				
	solving problems in				
	everyday contexts.				
	Additionally,				
	students will use				
	nanipulatives and				
	eal world scenarios				
	nvolving relations				
l a	and functions.				

Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
An analysis of the 2012 End of Course Assessment indicates that 0% (0) of students scored in the upper third tier (Levels 4-5).							
Our overall goal for the 2012- 2013 school year is to increase students in the upper third tier by 3 percentage points to 3%.							
	0% (0)	3% (1)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

	Baseline data 2010-2011						
		8	17	25	33	42	50
Algebra Goal #3A:  Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 3 proficiency by 9 percentage points to 17%.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	<u> </u>	i	i e	i .		i	
DE Statement Sangroups		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
by ethnicity (White, Black,							
Hispanic, Asian, American							
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress in	Students had	Utilize Computer	Principal	The LLT will review assessment			
	difficulty with all	Assisted Programs		data monthly, data will be	Assessments		
Algebra.		(CAP) for all tiers,		used for progress monitoring			
	Categories:	including Carnegie	Mala di Data Chi	as well as data obtained from			
		Explorer, during		Edusoft. This data will be used to redirect classroom instruction	Summative: Desults from		
		mathematics classes		and provide information for	the 2013 Algebra EOC		
		to perform operations		tutorials.	Assessment.		
	Rationals,	using polynomials					
		and quadratics.					
	Quadratics,	•					
	and Discrete						
	Mathematics.						
		Provide all student s					
		with opportunities to					
		solve linear equations in one variable that					
		include simplifying					
		algebraic expressions.					
		. 6					
		Provide opportunities					
		for students to identify the domain					
		and range of a					
		relation.					
		relation.					
Algebra Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
	Performance:*						
An analysis of the 2012 End of							
Course Assessment indicates that							
87% of students represented in							
the Black Subgroup did not make							
satisfactory progress in Algebra.							

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	87% (85)	85% (80)					
	White:	White:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
		American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:				Strategy			

66 F. H. I.	hC 1	ha i	h () 1	20.1	ho i	
3C. English Language	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	
Learners (ELL) not						
making satisfactory						
progress in Algebra.						
progress in Aigebra.						
					l	
					l	
Algebra Goal #3C:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Level of	of Performance:*				
	Performance:*					
					l	
N/A					l	
IVA					l	
					l	
					l	
					l	
					l	
					l	
					l	
					l	

	In			1	1	1	1
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra Goal #3D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A	Future visit i	Estamonialdo					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of	<b>DWv</b> .		Monitoring	21100111001000001			
improvement for the following				Strategy			
subgroup:							

2E E ' II	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	
3E. Economically	DE.1.	DE.1.	DE.1.	DE.1.	DE.1.	
Disadvantaged students						
not making satisfactory						
progress in Algebra.						
progress in Aigebra.	Students had	Provide students with	Principal	The LLT will review assessment	Formative: Bi-weekly	
		more practice using			assessments; District	
			Assistant Principal		Baseline and Interim	
		to solve real-world			Assessments	
	Functions, Linear		Math Department Head	Learning, and FCAT Explorer.	115565511161115	
	Equations,	proor <b>e</b> mo.	Tradit Department freud	Learning, and I call Employer.		
	Inequalities,			Results will be used to adjust		
	Polynomials,				Summative: Results from	
		Provide all students			the 2013 Algebra EOC	
		with more practice			Assessment.	
	Quadratics,	in, identifying			Assessment.	
		and applying				
		the distributive,				
		associative, and				
		commutative				
		properties of real				
		numbers and the				
		properties of equality.				
		I			I	

Algebra Goal #3E:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*						
An analysis of the 2012 End of Course Assessment indicates that 96% (24) of students did not score in the middle and upper third tier.							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students in the middle and upper third tier by 7 percentage points to 7% (2).							
	96% (24)	94% (24)					
		3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

#### **Geometry End-of-Course Goals**

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1 Ct. donte contine of	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Achievement Level 3 in						
Geometry.						
	T 5 1		n · · · ·			
					Formative: Bi-weekly	
	of the 2012	with practice in using			Assessments	
	Geometry EOC		Assistant Principal	making adequate progress.		
	assessment	to find slopes, parallel	Mala di Barania	Results will be used to adjust		
				instruction as needed to ensure	C i D i C	
		lines, and equations of lines.			Summative: Results from	
	middle third	of filles.			the 2013 Geometry EOC Assessment.	
	(Levels 3-5).				Assessment.	
	(Levels 3-3).					
		Provide inductive				
		reasoning strategies				
		that include Khan				
		Academy and virtual				
		labs.				
	Data reveals					
	that students					
	had difficulties					
	in all reporting	Provide opportunities				
		for students to				
		determine the				
		measures of interior				
	Dimensional	and exterior angles of				
		polygons, justifying				
		the method used.				
	and Discrete					
	Mathematics					

Geometry Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Performance:*						
The Results of the 2012 Geometry EOC assessment indicate that 6% (1) of students scored in the middle third tier (Level 3).							
and not (Ecret 5).							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase proficiency by 6 percentage points to 12% (2)							
	6% (1)	12% (2)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2 Students seeming at an h 1	1	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
2. Students scoring at or 2.1	1.	2.1.	۷.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
above Achievement Levels						
4 and 5 in Geometry.					Formative: Bi-weekly	
					Assessments	
The	ne Results of the	Provide all students	Principal	The LLT will review		
		with practice in		assessment data monthly,		
EC	OC assessment	using a Venn	Assistant Principal	data will be used for progress		
	dicate that	diagram to identify			Summative: Results from	
0%	% (0) of	relationships and	Mathematics Dept. Chair	obtained from Edusoft. This	the 2013 Geometry EOC	
		patterns and to create	_	data will be used to redirect	Assessment.	
at e	or above	an argument about the		classroom instruction and		
	hievement	relationships between		provide information for		
Len		sets.		tutorials.		
Ge	eometry.					
	Ť					
		Provide students with				
An	n analysis	practice in solving				
of	the 2012	real-world problems				
Ge	eometry EOC	using trigonometric				
Da		ratios (sine, cosine,				
tha	at students	and tangent).				
had	d difficulties	,				
	all reporting					
	tegories: Two-					
Diı	imensional					
Ge	eometry, Three-					
	imensional					
Ge	eometry, and					
	rigonometry					
and	d Discrete					
Ma	athematics					
1						
1						

Geometry Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The Results of the 2012 Geometry EOC assessment indicate that 6% (1) of students scored in the upper third (Levels 4-5) in Geometry.							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase proficiency by 3 percentage points to 9%.		20.4					
	6% (1)	9% (1)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target		2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year							
school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.							
		8	17	25	33	42	50

Coordates Cool #2 A	Ì	ı		İ		İ
Geometry Goal #3A:  Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 3 proficiency by 9 percentage points to 17%.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making					3B.1.	
satisfactory progress in Geometry.	difficulty with all three Reporting Categories: Two- Dimensional Geometry, Three-	with practice in deriving the formulas for perimeter and/	Assistant Principal	assessment data monthly including results from computer assisted programs such as Carnegie Learning, and FCAT Explorer. Results will be used to adjust instruction as needed to		

C + C 1 //2D	2012 C	0012 E	i -			i	
Geometry Goal #3B:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
	Performance:*						
An analysis of the 2012 End of							
Course Assessment indicates that							
94% (9) of students represented in							
the Black Subgroup did not score							
the Black Subgroup did not score in the middle and upper third tier.							
in the midate and upper intra tier.							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013							
school year is to increase students							
in the middle and upper third tier							
by 16 percentage points to 16%.							
by 16 percentage points to 16%.							
	94% (9)	94% (9)					
	White:	White:					
	wnite:	wnite:					
	Black:	Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	- T	-r ·· ··					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	Asian.	Asian.					
	A . T 1:						
	American Indian:	American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
1							
						I	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier  3C.1.	Strategy 3C.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring  3C.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 3C.1.	Evaluation Tool  3C.1.		
Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			56.1.	50.1.	50.1.		
Stomen, Commen	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A							
	data for current level of performance in this box.						
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	

		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

					•	
3E. Economically	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	
Disadvantaged students						
not making satisfactory						
progress in Geometry.						
					Formative: Bi-weekly	
	difficulty with all	with more practice in			Assessments	
	three Reporting	identifying and using	Assistant Principal	including results from Computer	1	
	Categories:	the relationships		Assisted Programs such as		
			Math Department Head	Carnegie Learning, and FCAT		
	Dimensional	of angles formed by		Explorer. Results will be used to	Summative: Results from	
	Geometry, Three-	parallel lines and		adjust instruction as needed to	the 2013 Geometry EOC	
	Dimensional	transversal.		ensure progress is being made.	Assessment.	
	Geometry, and					
	Trigonometry					
	and Discrete					
		Provide all students				
		with more practice				
		in determining the				
		measures of interior				
		and exterior angles of				
		polygons, justifying				
		the method used.				
		me memod used.				

	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
An analysis of the 2012 End of Course Assessment indicates that% of students represented in the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup did not score in the middle and upper third tier.	Performance:*						
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students in the middle and upper third tier by percentage points to%.							
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

#### **Mathematics Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

# Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity

professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of		
Pre-School Institute - Algebra	6-12	PLC Leader District Staff	Sr. High Math Teacher	meetings) August 16-17, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Administration
Pre-School Institute - Geometry	6-12	District Staff	Sr. High Math Teacher	August 16-17, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Math Department Chair Administration
Differentiated Instruction	6-12	Mathematics Department Chair	Math Teachers grades 6-12	December 8, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Math Department Chair Administration
Secondary Math Coaches Dialogue	6-12	District Staff	Math Chair	2-3 Times Annually	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Math Department Chair Administration
Common Core Standards	6-12	District Staff	Math Teachers 6-12	Sept October, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Math Department Chair Administration
						Math Department Chair

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Utilize Computer Assisted Programs (CAP), including FCAT Explorer, and Carnegie Learning and Carnegie Learning during small group independent practice in accordance with district pacing guides.  Subtotal: \$500.00	Substitutes	School Title I Funds	\$500
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

#### **Elementary and Middle School Science Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

<b>Elementary and</b>	Problem-			
<b>Middle Science Goals</b>	Solving			
	Process to			

	Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1 DOLDA GOLDO	lı _ 1	lı . ı	1 _ 1	1 - 1	1_ 1	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
scoring at Achievement				ĺ		
Level 3 in science.				ĺ		
		Incorporating lab	Principal	The LLT will review assessment	Formative: Ri-weekly	
		investigations,			Assessments	
	An analysis	and content		assessments after implementation		
	of the 2012	related		of strategies utilizing informal		
	FCAT Science	curriculum		assessments by the teachers as		
	data reveals	provide		well as bi-weekly assessments	G 2012 FG . F	
	that students had difficulty	opportunities for students to			Summative: 2013 FCAT Assessment	
	in all reporting	explore their			Assessment	
	categories:	surroundings				
	Nature of	for evidence of				
	Science, Earth	cause and effect				
	and Space	relationships				
	Science, Physical Science and Life	that exist in the				
	Science.	science (Nature				
	Science.	of Science,				
		Earth and Space				
		Science, Physical				
		Science and Life				
		Science) by.				
		Increase the use				
		of technology				
		(i.e., GIZMOS,				
		Discovery, E2020, and				
		Pearson		ĺ		
		Interactive		ĺ		
		Science) that		ĺ		
		relates to science		l		
		curriculum to		l		
		increase students' knowledge in the		ĺ		
		four domains of		l		
		science.		ĺ		
				ĺ		
		Implement		ĺ		
		reading through		l		
		science to		l		
		enhance		ĺ		

			÷				
		vocabulary					
		acquisition.					
Science Goal #1a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
An analysis of the 2012 Florida							
Comprehensive Assessment Test							
(FCAT) indicates that 0% of							
students in grade 8 achieved Level							
3 Proficiency.							
5 1 rojiciency.							
0 11 12 1 2012 2013			l				
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013			I				
school year is to increase Level 3							
proficiency by 7 percentage points							
to 7%.							
	0% (0)	7% (2)					
	0 /0 (0)	//0(2)					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		1a.J.	14.5.	14.5.	14.5.	1a.J.	
			l				
			l				
			l				
			l				
1b. Florida Alternate	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
1001101101111001111100	10.1.	10.1.	1	10.1.	10.1.		
<b>Assessment: Students</b>			I				
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6		1	I				
in science.			l				
in science.			l				
			l				
			l				

	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N/A	conominates.	r critismance.					
14721							
		box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
		10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	10.3.	10.5.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
improvement for the following group:				3,			

2 - ECAT 2 0 - C4 - 1 - 4	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	<u> </u>	
	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	Za.1.	2 <b>a</b> .1.		
scoring at or above							
<b>Achievement Levels 4 and</b>							
5 in science.	An analysis	Students	Principal	Administrators will monitor	Formative: Bi-weekly		
		will have the			Assessments		
	FCAT Science	opportunity	Assistant Principal	ensure compliance with pacing			
	data reveals	to design and		guides. Science teachers will			
	that students	develop science		meet by subject area to prepare			
	had difficulty in all reporting	projects through virtual labs and		lesson plans, discuss strategies, and determine pacing to provide	Summativa: 2012 ECAT		
		Khan Academy			Assessment		
	Nature of	to increase		l and the compliance.	1000001110111		
	Science, Earth	scientific					
	and Space	thinking and					
	Science, Physical Science and Life	implement					
	Science.	activities.					
Science Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	r errormance.	r criormance.					
The results of the 2012 Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test							
(FCAT) indicate that 0% (0) of							
students achieved Level 4 or 5							
proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-							
2013 school year is to increase							
Level 4 and 5 proficiency by 3 percentage points to 3%.							
percentage points to 5/0.							
	0% (0)	3% (1)					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
	I	ĺ	I				

		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
	2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
<b>Assessment: Students</b>							
scoring at or above Level 7	1						
in science.							
Science Goal #2b:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
N/A							
		Enter numerical					
	current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this	performance in this					
	box.	box. 2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

#### **Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

$\mathcal{E}_1$			 	 $o \circ o$	 
<b>Biology EOC Goals</b>	Problem-				
	Solving				
	Process to				
	Increase				
	Student				

			1	1		1	1
	Achieveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions", identify	B		Tresponded for membering				
and define areas in need of				_			
improvement for the following				Strategy			
group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Achievement Level 3 in							
Biology.							
Biology.							
		Provide inquiry-	Principal	Administrators will review bi-	Formative: Bi-weekly		
		based, hands-	[	weekly assessment data weekly,			
			Assistant Principal	student work folders, and lesson			
	the 2012 Biology	activities		plans to ensure compliance.			
	EOC Assessment	incorporating the	Test Chairperson	ľ			
	indicates that 0%	nature of science	1				
		and allowing			Summative: Results of		
		them to make			the 2013 Biology EOC		
	middle and upper	connections			Assessment.		
	third tier (Levels	to real-life					
	3-5).	experiences					
		and write about					
		their results and					
		conclusions					
		based on their					
		laboratory					
		experiences.		ĺ			
	categories:			ĺ			
	Molecular and			ĺ			
	cellular biology,			ĺ			
	classification,			ĺ			
	heredity and			ĺ			
	evolution,			ĺ			
	organisms,			ĺ			
	populations and			ĺ			
	ecosystems.			ĺ			
				ĺ			

Breite gy Gown 11.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The Results of the 2012 Biology EOC Assessment indicates that 8% (1) of students scored in the middle third tier (Levels 3-5).							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students in the middle and upper third tier by 6 percentage points to 14%.(2).							
	8% (1)	14% (2)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring at or 2.	.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
2. Students scoring at or 2. above Achievement Levels						
4 and 5 in biology.						
of B A re st st	Biology Baseline Assessment eveals that tudents truggled with all ontent areas.	Provide classroom opportunities for students to design and develop science and engineering projects to increase scientific thinking, and the development and discussion of inquiry-based activities that allow for testing of hypotheses, data analysis, explanation of variables, and experimental design as it pertains to the Life and Environmental sciences (i.e.,	Assistant Principal	student assignments monthly to ensure compliance with pacing guides. Science teachers will meet by subject area to prepare lesson plans, discuss strategies, and determine pacing to provide school- wide compliance.	Formative: Bi-weekly Assessments  Summative: Results of the 2013 Biology EOC Assessment.	

Biology Goal #2:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
The Results of the 2012 Biology EOC Assessment indicates that 0% (0) of students scored at or above achievement Levels 4 and 5.							
Our overall goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students Achievement in Levels 4 and 5 by 3 percentage points to 3%.							
	0% (0)	3% (0)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

End of Biology EOC Goals

## **Science Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
Use of Edusoft Reports for Data Analysis	6-11 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science		6 <sup>th</sup> -10 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science Teachers, Math/ Science Department Chair,	<b>O</b> /	Classroom Monitoring	Principal
		Test Chair		Early Release Days		Assistant Principal
Differentiated Instruction	6-11 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science	LLT	6th-10th Grade Science Teachers, Math/ Science Department Chair	Teacher Planning Days December 8, 2012	Classroom Walkthroughs	Principal
Biology Content and Pacing Professional Development	Biology	District Staff	Sr. High Science Teacher	August 15-16, 2012	Lesson Plans Classroom Walkthroughs	Assistant Principal Principal
	6-11 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science	LLT	6th-10th Grade Science Teachers,	September-Oct., 2012	Lesson Plans Classroom Walkthroughs	Assistant Principal Principal
Common Core Standards					Lesson Plans	Assistant Principal

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
2.1 Provide students with the opportunity to utilize hands-on supplementary materials while engaging in essential labs where they can apply real life applications.	Science Technology Resources	School Title I Funds	\$3,000.00

Subtotal: \$3,000.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

## **Writing Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	•		î	•		i	
1a. FCAT:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
Students scoring at							
Achievement Level							
3.0 and higher in	The Results of the	Students will	Reading/Language Arts	The LLT will administer and	Formative: Pre/Post		
writing.	2012 FCAT Writing			score writing prompts monthly	Writing Assessments,		
	Test indicate that	sharing and editing,	Department Chan,	to monitor students' progress.	monthly writing		
		as well as student-	Literacy Leadership Team	Administer a Pre/Post Writing	assessments		
		teacher writing	Encracy Ecadership Team	Assessment to monitor student	assessments		
	higher.	conferences		achievement.			
	inglici.	using editor's		acine venient.			
		checklist. Improve			Summative: 2013 FCAT		
		connections			Writing		
	The students had	between main			Witting		
	difficulty elaborating	ideas and details					
	on details when	elaborating ideas					
	writing an expository	through supporting					
	and a persuasive	details (e.g.,					
	essay.	facts, statistics,					
	cssay.	expert opinions,					
		and anecdotes), a					
		variety of sentence					
		structures, creative					
		language devices,					
		and modifying					
		word choices using					
		resources and					
		reference materials.					
		reference materials.					
		l			1		

Writing Goal #1a:  The Results of the 2012 FCAT Writing Test indicate that 29% (13) students in grades 8 and 10 scored a Level 3 or higher.		<u>Level of</u> Performance∴*					
	29% (13)	36% (16)					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	la.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate	10.11.	10.11			10.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring							
at 4 or higher in							
writing.							
witting.							
				ĺ			
Writing Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level	2013 Expected					
writing Goar #1b.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of					
	orr constitution.	Performance:*					
L							
N/A							
				ĺ			
				ĺ			
	Enter numerical data	Enter numerical data					
	for current level of	for expected level of performance in this		ĺ			
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
	UUA.						
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
				ĺ			
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
				ĺ			
				ĺ			
			I				

### **Writing Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
	6-12	Language Arts/Reading	Instructional Staff	October 27, 2012	Classroom Walkthroughs and Lesson Plans	Administration
Writing Across the Content Areas		Department Head				
	6-12	Language Arts/Reading	8 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> Grade Language Arts Teachers	October 25, 2012	Monitor student portfolios and review assignments with holistic scorning and	Administration
Holistic Scoring		Department Head			comments.	Language Arts/Reading Department Chair
Common Core Standards	6-12	Language Arts/Reading	8 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> Grade Language Arts Teachers	September – Oct, 2012	Classroom Walkthroughs and Lesson Plans	Administration
		Department Head				Language Arts/Reading Department Chair

## Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
1.1	Writing Workbooks	School	\$500.00	
Students will conduct peer sharing and editing, as well as student-teacher writing conferences using editor's checklist. During writing instruction, students will use organization, support and conventions in their essay writing.				
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Writing Goals

## **Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	i			•			
1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Achievement Level 3 in							
Civics.							
Civics.							
	Students had	Provide opportunities	Principal	MTSS/RtI will review data on	Formative: Bi-weekly Civics		
	difficulty reading	frovide opportunities		a monthly basis from ongoing	Assessments, Classroom		
	and interpreting	strengthen their		performance-based classroom	Assessments.		
	maps, charts, and	shilities to read		assessments focusing on	Assessments.		
	timelines.	and interpret maps,	MTSS/RtI	students' knowledge of Civics.			
	timennes.	charts, timelines	W135/Ktt	students knowledge of Civics.			
		and other graphic			Summative: Civics Post Test		
		representations.			Summative. Civies Fost Test		
		representations.					
		1		l	1		
		1		l	1		
Cirrian Cont #1.	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level			1		
Civics Goal #1:	Level of	of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	of i citofinance.					
	r criormance.						
Our overall goal is to increase							
the percent of students scoring							
proficient in Civics.							
	0% (0)	Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
	İ	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1		l	1		
		1		l	1		
	+	1.2	1 2	1 2	1.2	1.2	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify		l	Monitoring		1		
and define areas in need of			·	Ctmat			
improvement for the following		l		Strategy	1		
group:							

<b>a a a b a</b>	h 1	h 1	0.1	h ı	h 1		
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Civics.							
					l		
	Students had	Provide opportunities	Principal	MTSS/RtI will review data on	Formative: Bi-weekly Civics		
	difficulty reading	for students to	1	a monthly basis from ongoing	Assessments, Classroom		
	and interpreting	strengthen their	Assistant Principal	performance-based classroom	Assessments.		
	dilemmas	abilities to read and		assessments focusing on			
			Department Head	students' knowledge of Civics.			
	social political	involved with	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	and economic	social, political and			Summative: Civics Post Test		
	issues.	economic issues					
		by utilizing current					
		media and online					
		newspapers.					
1		* *			l		
1					l		
Civics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
	Level of	of Performance:*					
	Performance:*						
Our overall goal is to increase the							
percent of students scoring at or							
above Achievement Levels 4 and 5							
in Civics.					l		
in Civics.							
	0% (0)	Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		۷.۷.	L.L.	<b>L</b> .2.	£.£.	£.£.	
1					l		
1		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
					I		

#### **Civics Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or	(e.g., Early Release) and		

and/or PLC Focus and/or (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of PLC Leader meetings)

Use of Edusoft Reports for 7th Grade Civics Assistant Principal 6th-10th Grade Science Teachers, Math/Department Meetings Classroom Monitoring Principal

Data Analysis Science Department Chair,

Test Chair Early Release Days Assistant Principal

Teacher Planning Days

Differentiated Instruction 7th Grade Civics Reading Coach 6th-10th Grade Science Teachers, Math/December 8, 2012 Classroom Walkthroughs Principal

Science Department Chair

Lesson Plans Assistant Principal

Civics Content and Pacing 7th Grade Civics District Staff Sr. High Science Teacher August 15-16, 2012 Classroom Walkthroughs Principal Professional Development

Lesson Plans Assistant Principal

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

21/100 2 40560 (111001010 110 410 410										
Include only school-based funded										
activities/materials and exclude district										
funded activities /materials.										
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)										
Evidence based 110gram(s)/(viaterials(s)										

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

## **U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	<b>Process to</b>			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

			1	1			
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
and define areas in need of			Widilitoring				
improvement for the following				Strategy			
group:							
1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Achievement Level 3 in							
U.S. History.							
		Students will have	Principal	The MTSS/RtI will review	Formative: Monthly U.S.		
		the opportunity to			History Assessments,		
	Students had	complete history	Assistant Principal	U.S. History assessments and	Classroom Assessments.		
	difficulty	presentations,	Ī	ongoing performance-based			
	understanding	participate in history	Department Head	classroom assessments focusing			
	content specific	debates and develop		on students' knowledge of U.S.			
			MTSS/RtI		Summative: Results from		
	in U.S. History.	the content-specific			the 2013 U.S. History EOC.		
		vocabulary taught in					
H.C. H	2012 Current	history. 2013 Expected Level					
U.S. History Goal #1:	Level of	of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	of Ferrormance.					
	r criormance.						
Our overall goal is to increase							
the percent of students scoring							
proficient in U.S. History.							
	201						
	0% (0)	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
		jor expected tevet of performance in this box.					
				1.0	1.0	1.0	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

				•			
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions", identify	Darrici		Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
and define areas in need of			Womtoring				
improvement for the following				Strategy			
group:	h 1	2.1.	h 1	2.1.	h 1		
		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S. History.							
					l		
	Students had	Provide students	Principal	The MTSS/RtI will review	Formative: Monthly U.S.		
		with opportunities	<b>1</b> *		History Assessments,		
	understanding	to participate in	Assistant Principal		Classroom Assessments.		
	vocabulary used	history debates by		ongoing performance-based	l		
		discussing the values,	Department Head	classroom assessments focusing	l		
	and economic	complexities, and		on students' knowledge of U.S.			
	issues in U.S.	dilemmas involved in	MTSS/RtI	History.	Summative: Results from the		
		social, political, and			2013 U.S. History EOC.		
		economic issues in			•		
		history.					
		'					
U.S. History Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
C.S. History Goar #2.	Level of	of Performance:*					
	Performance:*						
					l		
Our overall goal is to increase the					l		
percent of students scoring at or					l		
above Achievement Levels 4 and 5					l		
in U.S. History.		l			l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
					l		
1		1			l		
	007.70						
	0% (0)	Enter numerical data for expected level of			l		
		for expectea tevel of performance in this box.			l		
		perjormance in ints box.					

	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

### **U.S. History Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of		
Use of Edusoft Reports for Data Analysis	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade Civics	PLC Leader Assistant Principa	l 6 <sup>th</sup> -10 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science Teachers, Math Science Department Chair,	meetings) / Department Meetings	Classroom Monitoring	Principal
		Test Chair		Early Release Days		Assistant Principal
Differentiated Instruction	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade Civics	Reading Coach	6 <sup>th</sup> -10 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science Teachers, Math Science Department Chair	Teacher Planning Days / December 8, 2012	Classroom Walkthroughs	Principal
U.S. History Content and Pacing Professional	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade Civics	District Staff	Sr. High Science Teacher	August 15-16, 2012	Lesson Plans Classroom Walkthroughs	Assistant Principal Principal
Development					Lesson Plans	Assistant Principal

#### U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				
	•	•	•	

End of U.S. History Goals

### **Attendance Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

THE SHIP OF PETER	then doing percentages, mended or statement and percentage represents ment to the percentage (e.g. 7070 (se )).									
Attendance	Problem-									
Goal(s)	solving									

	Process to Increase Attendance					
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
T. Attenuance	retained, students are reluctant to come to school because they are no longer with their cohort.	good attendance to receive rewards and/ or incentives through Positive Behavior Support (PBS).		attendance rosters daily to ensure compliance.	Visitation Logs	
		Provide credit recovery classes to assist students in making up missed credits so that they have an opportunity to graduate on time.				

Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*			
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase attendance by 3 percentage points to 87% (69) by minimizing absences due to illnesses and truancy.					
Our second goal is to decrease the number of excessive absences/tardies (8) or more by 3%.					
	84.47% (163)	87.47% (169)			
	Number of Students with Excessive	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences			
		(10 or more)			
	153	145			

Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies					
115	(10 or more) 109	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a

professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic Grade Level/ PD Facilitator PD Participants Target Dates and Schedules Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Person or Position Responsible for Subject Monitoring and/or PLC Focus and/or (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or (e.g., Early Release) and school-wide) Schedules (e.g., frequency of PLC Leader meetings) Response to Intervention District Staff/ Instructional Staff December 8, 2012 Review COGNOS reports, attendance Principal 6-12 Online bulletins, and RtI meeting minutes. Assistant Principal Attendance/Truancy NA District Staff School Social Worker September 27, 2012 Review truancy reports and escalating Principal services for adherence to procedure. Procedures

#### **Attendance Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
D. C I.D. I			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Offer rewards to students with good attendance through Positive Behavior Support (PBS).	Student Incentives	EESAC/Special Purpose Funds	\$700
Subtotal:			

Total: \$700.00		

End of Attendance Goals

## **Suspension Goal(s)**

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	COGNOS report suggest that students' negative behavior and poor interaction with peers have resulted in a high number of	programs, group	•	Administrators will review the COGNOS suspension report every month.	COGNOS report	

	<b>.</b>	terri e	İ	·	Ĭ	
Suspension Goal #1:	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected				
	of In -School	Number of				
	Suspensions					
	<u>Suspensions</u>					
		In- School				
		ni- <u>Schoor</u>				
Our goal for the 2012-		Suspensions				
2013 school year is to						
decrease the total number						
aecrease ine ioiai number						
of suspensions by 10%.						
	104	94				
		· ·				
-	2012 77 . 137	2012 5				
1	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected				
	of Students	Number of Students				
	Suspended	Suspended				
	Suspended	Suspended				
	r G 1 1					
	In-School	<u>In -School</u>				
	55	50				
	2012 N. J. C	2012 F 4 1				
	2012 Number of Out-of-School	2013 Expected				
	Out-of-School	Number of				
	Suspensions Suspensions					
	<u>Guspensions</u>					
		Out-of-School				
		Suspensions				
	20#	348				
	387	348				
	I					
	I					
	I					
1	I					
1	ĺ	1				
1	l					
1	I					
	2012 T-4-1 N1	2012 E				
	2012 Total Number	2013 Expected				
	of Students Suspended	Number of Students Suspended				
	Suspended	Suspended				
	I					
	Out- of- School	Out- of-School_				
	Out- 01- SCH001	Out- 01-3011001_				
1	l					

127	114					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	Students have self-esteem issues	Through the incorporation of monthly Girls'/Boys' Talk	Principal	Administrators will review the COGNOS	COGNOS report	
	as a result of	workshops and advisement		suspension report every		
	poor academic	activities, students will		month.		
	performance and	develop positive on-site	Trust Specialist			
		behavior intervention				
	offenses.	strategies.				
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

#### **Suspension Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with Strategies through **Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade Level/

Subject

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)

PLC Leader

Positive Behavior Support 6-12 PBS Team Classroom Monitoring 6-12th grade teachers August 2012 Principal

Ongoing Assistant Principal

Sus	sp	ens	sion	B	audgei	t (	ln	iser	t 1	rows a	as	needed)	)
	-			-		-	_		-				

Suspension Budget (insert rows as	needed)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide positive interaction and motivation programs, group and extensive one-on-one counseling, rewards and incentives to reinforce positive behavior through the PBS program.  Additionally, through the incorporation of monthly Girls'/Boys' Talk workshops; students will develop positive on-site behavior as well as behavior intervention strategies.	Student Incentives	EESAC	\$1000.00
Subtotal:			
Total: \$1,000.00			

End of Suspension Goals

#### **Dropout Prevention Goal(s)**

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention		The personage	represents next to the pe	Constitution (C.g. 7070	(30)).	
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Dropout Prevention	1.1.  Data reflects	1.1. Students will be	1.1. Principal	l .1. MTSS/RtI will review	1.1. Formative:		
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:  *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	are dropping out of school because they have difficulty meeting FCAT graduation requirements. Students are also discouraged because they lack the number of	encouraged to register for tutoring at an approved SES site to strengthen skills in Reading and Mathematics.  Students will also register for Florida Virtual School and	Grade Level Counselor	FCAT data and graduation requirements on a monthly basis. Effectiveness will be determined by the percentage of students passing the FCAT and completing graduation requirements.	Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 Graduation Rate		
	graduation.	take courses through E2020 to make up missing credits.					

	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to decrease the dropout rate by 5% and increase the graduation rate by 2%.							
	NA	NA					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
	0% (0)	2% (1)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

## **Dropout Prevention Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning

#### **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/

PD Facilitator

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Subject

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g., Early Release) and

Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

PLC Leader

October-November 2012

Classroom monitoring

Principal

Credit Recovery with e2020

and/or PLC Focus

9-12 e2020 Trainers Credit Recovery Teachers

Assistant Principal

#### **Dropout Prevention Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Students will also register for Florida Virtual School and take courses through E2020 to make up missing credits	Substitutes	School Funds	\$500	

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: \$1,000.00			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

#### **Parent Involvement Goal(s)**

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement	Problem-			
Goal(s)	solving			
	Process			
	to Parent			
	Involveme			
	nt			

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. 1	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
12 Current	2012 Evposted					
el of Parent	evel of Parent					
volvement:* I	Involvement:*					
tor numerical	Enter numerical					
ta for current del of parent	data for expected level of parent involvement in this					
1		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
1	2 Current el of Parent olvement:*	2 Current el of Parent olvement:*  Enter numerical level of Parent Involvement:*  Enter numerical data for expected level of parent level of parent olvement in this box.  1.2.	Responsible for Monitoring  1.1.  1.1.  1.1.  2 Current el of Parent olvement:*  2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*  Enter numerical vor current lof parent olvement in this shox.  1.2.  1.1.  1.1.  1.1.  1.1.	Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy  1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.	Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy  1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.	Barrier Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Strategy  1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.1. 1.

## **Parent Involvement Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

PLC Leader

PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

#### **Parent Involvement Budget**

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

_	when using percentages, mercae the number of statems the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 7070 (33)).						
	STEM Goal(s)	<b>Problem-Solving</b>					
ı		Process to					

	T	ı	i	<u></u>	<b>,</b>
	Increase Student				
	Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Damei	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001
			Monitoring	Effectiveness of	
areas in need of improvement:				Strategy	
STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
STENT Goal #1.	1.1.	<b></b>	1.1.		1.1.
Our overall goal is to increase the number of students		Provide classroom and after-	Principal	Administrators will monitor student	Formative: Monthly Assessments
pursuing careers in Science, Technology, Engineering	answering higher order	school opportunities for students		assignments weekly to ensure	
and Mathematics.	thinking questions that are required to solve abstract	to design and develop science and engineering projects to	Assistant Principal	compliance with pacing guides.  Math and Science teachers will	
ana Mainematics.	questions and real world	increase scientific thinking, and		meet by subject area to prepare	
	problems.	the development and discussion	Population Tread		Summative: 2013 FCAT
			Science Department	and determine pacing to provide	Assessment
			Head	school- wide compliance.	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.4.	1.2.	1.4.	1.2.	1.4.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
	1	I	I		

### **STEM Professional Development**

**Professional Development** (PD) aligned with **Strategies through Professional** Learning **Community (PLC)** or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a

professional development or PLC activity. PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates and Schedules	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
and/or PLC Focus		and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	(e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		
1	6-11 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science/Math		6 <sup>th</sup> -10 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science Teachers, Math/ Science Department Chair,	Department Meetings	Classroom Monitoring	Principal
		Test Chair		Early Release Days		Assistant Principal
	6-11 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science/Math	LLT	6 <sup>th</sup> -10 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science Teachers, Math/ Science Department Chair	Teacher Planning Days December 8, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Principal
	6-11 <sup>th</sup> Grade Science/Math		6th-10th Grade Science Teachers, Math/ Science Department Chair,	SeptOctober, 2012	Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs	Assistant Principal Principal
					Lesson Plans	Assistant Principal

## **STEM Budget** (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide students with the opportunity to utilize hands-on supplementary materials while engaging in essential labs where they can apply real life applications.	Science Technology Resources	School Title I Funds	\$500.00
Subtotal: Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of STEM Goal(s)

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)** 

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using percentages, include the number of st		T .	reentage (e.g. 7070	(33)).	
CTE Goal(s)	<b>Problem-Solving</b>				
	Process to				
	Increase Student				
	Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
areas in need of improvement:			Monitoring		
				Strategy	
CTE Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
Our overall goal is to increase the awareness of Career		Provide students with	Principal		Student work folders and
Academies and Technical Education.	not in cohort schedule with academic and CTE teachers.	opportunities for Project Based Learning that will combine	Assistant Principal	the curriculum development of lessons weekly through classroom	exhibitions.
	academic and CTE teachers.	career themed instruction with	Assistant i inicipal	observations/lesson plans	
		common academic instruction		•	
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.

1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

#### **CTE Professional Development**

Professional
Development
(PD) aligned with
Strategies through
Professional
Learning
Community (PLC)
or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic

Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator

eilitator PD Participants

Target Dates and Schedules

Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring

Lesson Plans and Classroom Walkthroughs Principal

Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

and/or PLC Focus

and/or

(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)

(e.g. , Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of

meetings)

PLC Leader Common Core Standards 6-11<sup>th</sup> Grade District Staff

6-11<sup>th</sup> Grade District Staff Science/Math

6<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> Grade Science Teachers, Math/ Sept.-October, 2012 Science Department Chair,

Lesson Plans

Assistant Principal

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	,	
Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities /materials.		

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:\$500.00				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CTE Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.

**Reading Budget** 

	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

## **<u>Differentiated Accountability</u>**

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

	chool's DA Status. (To act DK", this will place an "x"		1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value"
School Differentiated		,	
Accountability Status			
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent	
Upload a c	opy of the Differentiated A	Accountability Che	cklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page
SAC Membership C The majority of the education support e racial, and economi	SAC members are not employees, students (for mile community served by the	iddle and high scho e school. Please ver	ol district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, ol only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, rify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.
If No, describe the	e measures being taken to c	comply with SAC re	equirements.
Describe the activ	ities of the SAC for the upo	coming school year	

Jan Mann Opportunity School Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) meets at least once a month in the school's Media Center. The primary focus of the meeting is to support the instructional program, monitor student achievement, recommend training for school personnel, and review/recommend staff allocations. Additionally, the EESAC monitors the goals, objectives and the implementation of the strategies in the School Improvement Plan and evaluates the results.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Positive Behavior Support	\$500