FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Duval

Principal: Patricia Latimer

SAC Chair: Wendy Poag

Superintendent: Ed Pratt-Dannals

Date of School Board Approval: November 5, 2012

Last Modified on: 12/6/2012



Pam Stewart, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
					2011-2012: School Grade 'A' FCAT Reading – 35% proficient FCAT Writing- 84% proficient FCAT Writing- 84% proficient FCAT Science- 28% proficient AYP Reading- AYP Math- Reading Learning Gains- 72% Reading Lowest 25%- 72% Math Learning Gains- 89% Math Lowest 25%-90% 2010-2011: School Grade 'A' FCAT Reading- 61% proficient FCAT Writing- 72% proficient FCAT Writing- 72% proficient FCAT Writing- 72% proficient AYP Reading- met in Hispanic, Black, and Economically Disadvantaged; not met in white, or English Language Learners AYP Math- met in Black, Economically Disadvantaged, and English Language Learners; not met in White or Hispanic Reading Learning Gains-74%

Principal	Patricia Latimer	B.S. Elem Ed; Master of Elem. Ed./Educational Leadership (All Levels); Elementary Education (Grades 1-6); School Principal (All Levels); Gifted Endorsement	3	12	Reading Lowest 25%-70% Math Learning Gains-60% Math Lowest 25%-73% 2009-2010: School Grade 'C' FCAT Reading- 53% proficient FCAT Math- 66% proficient FCAT Writing- 90% proficient FCAT Science- 28% proficient AYP Reading- met in Hispanic and Economically Disadvantaged; not met in white, black, or English Language Learners AYP Math- met in all subgroups Reading Learning Gains-53% Reading Lowest 25%-52% Math Learning Gains-66% Math Lowest 25%-76%
					2008-2009: School Grade 'A' FCAT Reading- 73% proficient FCAT Math- 66% proficient FCAT Writing- 84% proficient FCAT Science-31% proficient AYP Reading—met in all subgroups (except SWD) AYP Math- met in all subgroups (except Hispanic and SWD) Reading Learning Gains- 71% Reading Lowest 25%-73% Math Learning Gains-68% Math Lowest 25%-64%
					2007-2008: School Grade 'C' FCAT Reading- 72% proficient FCAT Math- 57% proficient FCAT Writing-64% proficient FCAT Science-42% proficient AYP Reading-met in all subgroups (except SWD) AYP Math- no subgroups Reading Learning Gains- 62% Reading Lowest 25%- 73% Math Learning Gains- 57% Math Lowest 25%- 65%

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Math/ Science	Barbara Fisher	B.S. Elem. Ed K-6th	9	2	2011-2012 (Math/Science Coach) School Grade 'A'; FCAT Reading 35% at or above proficiency, Math 60%at or above proficiency, Writing 84% at or above proficiency, Science 28% at or above proficiency; Science 28% at or above proficiency; AYP% of criteria met. 2010-2011 (Math/Science Coach) School Grade 'A'; FCAT Reading 61% at or above proficiency, Math 72% at or above proficiency, Writing 72%, Science 46% at or above proficiency; AYP 85% of criteria met 2009-2010 (Academic Resource Reading Teacher/ 4th Grade Teacher) School Grade 'C'; FCAT Reading 53% at or above proficiency, Math 66% at or above proficiency, Writing 90%, Science 28% at or above proficiency, Writing 90%, Science 28% at or above proficiency, Writing 90% at or above proficiency, Math 59% at or above proficiency, Writing 91%, Science 33% at
					or above proficiency; AYP 74% of criteria met 2011-2012 (Math/Science Coach) School Grade 'A'; FCAT Reading 35% at or above proficiency, Math 60%at or above

ELL/ Reading/ Writing	Susan Bell	B. S.; Master of Elem. Ed/Elem. K-6th; ESOL; Reading	25	5	proficiency, Writing 84% at or above proficiency, Science 28% at or above proficiency; AYP% of criteria met. 2010-2011 (Instructional Coach) School Grade 'A'; FCAT Reading 61% at or above proficiency, Math 72% at or above proficiency, Writing 72%, Science 46% at or above proficiency; AYP 85% of criteria met. 2009-2010 (Instructional Coach) School Grade 'C'; FCAT Reading 53% at or above proficiency, AYP 90% of criteria met 2008-2009 (Instructional Coach) School Grade 'B'; FCAT Reading 58% at or above proficiency, AYP 74% of criteria met 2007-2008 (Instructional Coach) School Grade 'A'; FCAT Reading 66% at or above proficiency, AYP 74% of criteria met
-----------------------------	------------	---	----	---	---

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	MINT Program-Coach and Mentor model best practices. Action Plans are individually designed to promote best practices and provide School Counselor for teacher success.	Mentoring Teachers	One year from hire date. (At this time, we do not have any new hires.)	
2	Recommendations from Principals of Interns in Professional Development Center Schools	P. Latimer, Principal	Ongoing	
3	13 Contact Linda Dak with LINE Intern Program for recruite	P. Latimer, Principal	Ongoing	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
We do not have any non- highly effective instructors.	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
37	0.0%(0)	35.1%(13)	40.5%(15)	16.2%(6)	8.1%(3)	100.0%(37)	5.4%(2)	5.4%(2)	51.4%(19)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring	
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities	
No data submitted				

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition

programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable. Title I, Part A Services are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through multiple programs. (SES-Supplemental Education Services tutoring), instructional support, and resources. The district coordinates with all budgeting parties, ensuring staff development needs are provided. Title I, Part C- Migrant N/A Title I, Part D N/A Title II N/A Title III N/A Title X- Homeless N/A Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) SAI funds will be coordinated with Title I funds to provide additional tutoring for identified students. Violence Prevention Programs N/A **Nutrition Programs** Free Breakfast in the Classroom, Free/Reduced Lunch Housing Programs N/A Head Start N/A Adult Education N/A Career and Technical Education

N/A

Other

We are now a "Full Service" School.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Michele Devitt (Guidance); Cassie Buckner (Reading Interventionist), Marcia Barton (Math Interventionist), Kim Hartzberg (ESE Support), Pat Latimer (Principal), Barbara Fisher (Math Coach and Foundations Chair), Susan Bell (ELL Support and Reading Coach)

- Principal/Assistant Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making; ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS; conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff; ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation requirements; ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation; and communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities.
- Lead Special Education Teacher: Participates in student data collection; assists in determination for further assessment; integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 2 and/or Tier 3 instruction; and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching, facilitation, and consultation.
- Academic Coaches: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches; identifies systematic patterns of students' needs while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.
- ELL Teacher: Educates the team in the role that second language acquisition plays in the learning process and collaborates with general education teachers.
- Foundations Team Chair: Provides information about school wide and class wide behavior curriculum and instruction; participates in behavioral data collection; provides professional development principles of Foundations to faculty and staff; and collaborates with staff to implement behavioral interventions.
- School Counselor: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students; link community agencies to schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success; provides consultation services to general and special education teachers, parents, and administrators; provides group and individual student interventions; and conducts direct observation of student behavior.
- Classroom Teacher/Instructional Resource: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.
- Social Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team meets twice a month to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify needed professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and implement new processes and skills. The team will facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation. The team will attend all district level training and collaborate with other school teams during attendance. The Foundations Team, the Problem-Solving Grade Level Teams, and the MTSS Leadership Team work together to support the needs of teachers and students.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The Distributive Leadership Team is the lead in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. The

MTSS Leadership Team and the Distributive Leadership Team consists of the same members, forming a cohesive and collaborative effort to best implement MTSS as it is relevant to the school improvement plan. The MTSS team will identify strengths and weaknesses and help in determining strategies and interventions to meet the needs of our students. During the Math and Reading Vertical Learning Teams we discussed the SIP and strategies to incorporate this year to improve student academic growth. Then they shared with their grade level during a grade level meeting and we have compiled all of the data and suggestions for a final product.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Reading- Data source: FCAT, FAIR, PMA (Progress Monitoring Assessments), Benchmarks, Pearson Inform when available, School based data sheets

Math- PMA, FCAT, Benchmarks, District Common Core Assessments, Pearson Inform when available, School based data sheets

Science- PMA, Benchmark, Pearson Inform when available, School based data sheets

Writing- District writing prompts

Behavior- Absenteeism and referrals through Genesis, and other specific school based documents

Tier 2 and Tier 3 data is compiled using School-Based RtI recording sheets that documents attendance, time periods, lesson plans, anecdotal notes, and assessment data.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The school's Professional Development Plan must support continuous learning for all educators that results in increased student achievement and includes evidence of scaffolded MTSS professional learning that is results-driven, standards-based, school-centered, and sustained over time. Our Leadership Teams will establish protocols for on-going assessment and adjusting of the plan to meet school needs.

MTSS Professional Development will include more than scheduled workshops. MTSS learning will be job-embedded and occur during the following:

- Professional learning communities
- Classroom observations
- · Collaborative planning
- Analysis of student work
- Lesson study
- Action research
- Early Dismissal
- Grade Level Meetings
- · Faculty Meetings

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS team meets twice a month to discuss at risk students. The classroom teacher first informs the guidance counselor, Michele Devitt, of a learning need. The school based coaches and principal also monitor the data for any concerns. After discussing the child with their Grade Level Problem Solving Team and documenting; they then take it to the MTSS for more ideas for Tier 2 and 3 Instruction. The MTSS helps to either create an academic or behavioral focused RtI. Everyone helps monitor the progress.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Ms. Latimer-Principal, Kaila Johnston-Kindergarten ELL teacher, AJ Fisher-First Grade/Primary Literacy Lead Teacher, Susan Bell- Reading Coach/ELL support, Cheryl Brown-Media Specialist, Taffee Timmons-Third Grade/Intermediate Literacy Lead Teacher, Kim Hartzberg-ESE support

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

It is every educator's goal to not only teach a child how to read, but foster a love of reading and prepare students to enjoy a lifetime of reading.

The LLT will meet monthly with the Reading Vertical Learning Team which consists of one representative per grade level, to disaggregate data and plan action steps based upon the information reviewed and ensure alignment with the DCPS Comprehensive K-12 Reading Plan. Team members, review current and longitudinal data to ensure the successful implementation of the core reading series and research based strategies for supporting students in the core curriculum. Agendas, minutes and meeting notes are posted for review by all stakeholders. Professional development needs are discussed based upon the targets for our subgroups which include White, Black, Hispanic, English Language Learners and Economically Disadvantaged. The LLT will also meet with the Mathematics, Science, and Writing Vertical Learning Teams to ensure literacy goals and instructional rigor is being met across all content areas.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

To increase our reading proficiency (Level 3+) from 61% to 63%, The action steps the LLT will implement include: supporting the Reading Vertical Learning Team, utilizing multiple FCRR activities based upon the needs determined from FAIR data, providing support/modeling for new ELL teacher(s), modeling how to access a variety of data to best meet the needs of all subgroups. In addition, the LLT will continue to seek ways to improve literary parental support including the organization of Family Reading Night, parental information for our "Read it Forward" Initiative, as well as support the collaboration of all literacy teachers (especially ELL) through collaborative planning (WOW-Working on the Work) and professional development.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/18/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Local preschoolers will have the opportunity to tour Arlington Heights Elementary in the spring. This tour would include having lunch in the cafeteria, participating in a classroom activity and becoming familiar with Kindergarten rituals and routines. This will help orient students and parents to our school and will assist with recruitment of potential students. Additionally, as parents register their students, they are given an information packet with activities to complete. Kindergarten Orientation is held for parents and children during pre-planning. At this time, parents have the opportunity to ask questions to best prepare their student for Kindergarten. FLKRS/ECHOS and FAIR assessments will be utilized for determining readiness. Based upon the data collection, teachers will analyze the results and plan for differentiated small group instruction.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

N/A

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> Feedback Report

N/A	
-----	--

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

17%(38)

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1A.1. Limited reading and writing skills of All/ELL students	1A.1. All ELL classes have a bilingual paraprofessional to work with small groups. Students are pulled to work in small groups with resource teachers. Utilization of the Fountas and Pinnell Leveled Literacy Intervention Kit	1A.1 P. Latimer S. Bell	1A.1. Administer DRA's and FAIR three times during the year. Use running records and FAIR Toolkit for frequent Progress Monitoring	1A.1. 3 – 5 FCAT Performance averages Benchmark Averages FCAT Scores Final FAIR data DRA's
2	1A.2. Some limited parental support due to language barrier	1A.2. Provide parents with "tools" to help their child become a more proficient reader through our Rainforest Literacy Night event. Encourage parents to visit student classrooms monthly to view their child's work. Encourage parents to read with and to their children daily. Promote the DCPS "Read It Forward Jacksonville" program. Increase Parent Volunteer support Schedule "make and take" parent workshops to provide materials to reinforce reading and skills at home.	1A.2. P. Latimer S. Bel Reading Vertical Team	1A.2. Provide parent surveys Keep track of sign In sheets	1A.2. 3 – 5 FCAT Results Final grade averages Final FAIR data Parent/Volunteer Logs
3	1A.3. Understanding FCAT 2.0 Test Design and Common Core Standards	1A.3. Provide professional	1A.3. S. Bell R. Freeman M. Obradovic	1A.3. Monitoring student work Reviewing student portfolios Classroom Observation	1A.3. FCAT Benchmarks PMA Teacher Feedback Portfolio Work

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the following the soft improvement for the following the soft improvement for the soft improvement f		nt data, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate As Students scoring at Lev	reading.				
Reading Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforr	mance:
	Problem-Solv	ing Process to L	ncrease St	rudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data S	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of s		nt data, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:	Increase students achieving above proficiency in math by 10%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
16% (36)	18% (38)				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2A.1. Educators ability to differentiate lessons to meet and challenge the need of proficient learners	5	Principal M. Barton, PDF S. Bell, Reading Coach	2 A.1. Differentiated lesson plans Analyze Data Implementation of FCIM	2 A.1. Student success on FCAT, FCIM, PMA, DRA2, quarterly grades, progress reports, District Common Core Benchmarks, Interim Benchmarks
2	2 A.2. Some limited parental support due to language barriers	webpage to incorporate a	Poag	2 A.2. Parent Survey reports from website views	2 A.2. Parent Feedback
	2A.3. There is limited amount of individual or small	2A.3. Implement book club for level 4 or 5 students	2A.3. S. Bell, Reading Coach	2A.3. Monitoring student work and taking anecdotal	2A.3. Reading Log and Journal Entries

3	students that are proficient.				Dialogue with stud about literature the reading and the strategies they use	ey are
	d on the analysis of s provement for the fol		nent data, and refe	erence to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas in need
Stude readi	lorida Alternate As ents scoring at or a ing. ing Goal #2b:		nent Level 7 in			
2012	Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	ance:
		Problem-Sc	olving Process to	Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Antio	cipated Barrier	Strategy	Pos Res for	son or ition ponsible nitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
			No Data	Submitted		
	d on the analysis of s provement for the fo		nent data, and refe	erence to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas in need
gains	3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.			Increase students making gains in reading by 10%.		
Read	ing Goal #3a:					
2012	Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
72%				82%		

notes;

group support for students that are proficient.

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	3A.1. Lack of student stamina during independent reading and test taking	3A.1. Allow the students to practice independent reading Continue book club Implement gr ade level partners Set class stamina goals and make a challenge	3A1. Principal, classroom teacher, reading coach	Conferences and Million Word Challenge	3A.1. FCAT, FAIR, DRA2's, quarterly grades, district and core benchmarks, and progress reports
2	3A.2. Lack of phonetic skills; children not able to read fluently.	3A.2. Provide professional development in analyzing FAIR and DRA data. Utilization of the FCRR website and the Florida	3A.2. District Reading Coach, S. Bell	3A.2. Use fluency probes in the classroom to monitor student fluency progress. Use of explicit instruction from the Learn website.	DRA Assessment

		Learn website.			
3		3A.3. Continue morning computer club for students (grades 3-5)	3A.3. W. Poag, Technology	PMA's, FAIR, DRA's, quarterly grades, district and core	3A.3. FCAT, FAIR, DRA2's, quarterly grades, district and core benchmarks, and progress reports
4	3A.4 Understanding of FCAT 2.0 Test and Item Specifications	3A.4 Professional Development Training	3A.4 Reading Coach	3A.4 Analyze student work/portfolio	3A.4 Benchmarks, FCIM

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo		data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		
		-			
Based on the analysis of a	student achievement	data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Ouestions", ident	tify and define areas in need

making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:				Increase learning gains in lowest 25% in reading by 3 percentage points.		
			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
70% (39)			73% (40)	73% (40)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
	Lack of fundamental skills in reading	4A.1. MTSS Intervention FCIM Intervention Utilizing FCAT Explorer and Destination Success	4A.1. MTSS Problem Solving Team, Reading Interventionist	4A.1. Review Data Notebooks Review Guided Reading Core Curriculum Lesson Plans	4A.1. Data Notebooks Lesson Plans Benchmark Data FAIR Assessment	

1		Implementation of Great Leaps Implementation of Soar to Success Implementation of Reading Mastery Continue "before school" Computer Club Continue use of Literacy Navigator Students are pulled to work in small groups with resource teachers. Promote attendance in after school SES tutoring and SAI tutoring program School	P. Latimer		FCIM Cycle
		Create PMP (Progress Monitoring Plan) to target student need(s) Continue GirlsSmart program funded through a grant Continue school-wide "Word of the Day" Reading Interventionist small group instruction			
2	reading fluency.	Baskets" program sponsored by the Jacksonville Giants to read with students.	4A.2. S. Bell, Caitlyn Keppler, Giants Sponsorship Coordinator R. Anderson, Arlington Baptist Church	4A.2. Monitor student engagement and development of positive reading habits.	4A.2. Million Word Campaign FAIR should show evidence of increased fluency.
3		4A.3.	4A.3. S. Bell	4A.3. Observe practices and strategies being put into practice across content areas.	4A.3. FCAT, FAIR, DRA Interim Benchmarks
4	changed, so teachers are	4A.4.	4A.4. B. Fisher, DAT contact	4A.4. Complete Data Notebook Focus Walks	4A.4. Data Notebooks

Based on Amb	itious but Achi	evable Annual	Measurable Objective	es (AMOs), AMO-2, I	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # Increase learning gains in lowest 25% in reading by 6 percentage points each year. 5A:			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	43	48	54	59	64	

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	Increase student proficiency from 2012 Level of Performance to the 2013 Expected Level of Performance.
Reading Goal #5B:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
White: 36% Black: 36% Hispanic: 32% Asian: 40% American Indian: NA	White: 51% Black: 48% Hispanic: 43% Asian: 38% American Indian: NA

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5B.1. White, Black, Hispanic: 88% of our students are disadvantaged.		5B.1. G. Farhat W. Nettles C. Brown	5B.1. Development of a more positive attitude towards school	5B.1. Conduct Logs
2	5B.2. Some parents lack the ability to communicate and be involved due to language barriers.	5B.2. Holding more Volunteer Trainings for parents. Facilitate educational activities as outlined in the Parent Involvement Plan. Make available our Parent Involvement Center.	5B.2. S. Bell M. Jones	Input from parents	5B.2. Parent Surveys and Attendance and Volunteer sign in logs.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:				Increase proficiency of ELL students from 2012 Level of Performance to the 2013 Expected Level of Performance.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:		2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
23%				35%		
	Pı	roblem-Solving Process	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5C.1. Limited reading and writing skills of ELL students.	5C.1. Provide paraprofessional support to work with small groups in ELL classrooms. Students are pulled to work in small groups during MTSS instruction.	5C.1		5C.1. Conduct focus walks Conduct student conferences Monitoring Reading Response Journals and Portfolios	5C.1. Interim Benchmarks End of Module Tests Performance Task Quarterly Grades Progress Reports

		Usage of picture vocabulary and word walls Usage of ELL dictionaries			Focus Walk Monitoring Form
2	5C.2. Some parents lack the ability to communicate and be involved due to language barriers.	5C.2. Utilize Transact Webinar Series to facilitate communication with non- native speakers to enhance home school communication. ELL teacher coordinates Volunteer Translator Group.	5C.2. N. Welch	Gather parent input.	5C.2. Parent Surveys and Attendance and Volunteer sign in logs.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

ep. evee the tenething easy. eap.	
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	Increase proficiency of SWD students from 2012 Level of Performance to the 2013 Expected Level of Performance.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
5%	28%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited cognitive ability of some students.	Provide training for General Education teachers on strategies to implement accommodations, task adaptations, and modifications to the curriculum that will be beneficial for ESE students with cognitive disabilities.	ESE Teachers	Monitor the IEP Progress Reports	Alternate Assessment Tool, IEP Progress Reports, Easy CBM Progress Monitoring Program, Teacher made assessments
2	Behavioral disorders of some students may have negative impact on academic achievement.	Provide additional training in critical areas of behavior disorders for all personnel that have contact with the student in need.	Guidance Counselor, Tim Crammer (District	Monitor the IEP Progress Reports, Monitor the Behavior Plans that are created through the MTSS Team	Alternate Assessment Tool, IEP Progress Reports, Easy CBM Progress, Monitoring Program, Teacher made assessments
3	Processing deficits of some students are not addressed in the general education setting with fidelity.	Create tests, evaluations, and assessments with students' deficits addressed (auditory, visual, and tactile).	ESE Teachers	Monitor the IEP Progress Reports	Alternate Assessment Tool, IEP Progress Reports, Easy CBM Progress, Monitoring Program, Teacher made assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

			Increase proficiency of ED students from 2012 Level of Performance to the 2013 Expected Level of Performance.		
201	12 Current Level of Per	formance: 2	2013 Expected Le	vel of Performance:	
33%			47%		
Problem-Solving Process to I			crease Student A	chievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5E.1. Lack of prior experience Resources to afford reading material	5E.1. Provide reading resources and supplemental materials to promote reading at home: Reading A-Z, Take Home Back Packs with CD players, Scholastic-Weekly Readers.	5E.1. S. Bell, Reading Coach	5E.1. Monitor utilization of materials	5E.1. Parent and Student Survey
2	5E.2. Limited enriched vocabulary development due to lack of communication and experiences.	5E.2. Utilize technology to demonstrate vocabulary meaning Use authentic literature in various genres	5E.2. C. Brown, Media Specialist	5E.2. Analyze Readers' Response, book talks, and conferencing	5E.2. Improvement in verbal and written communication
3	5E.3. Unable to afford purchasing books and/or travel to public libraries	5E.3. Link from AHE website home page to http://www.en.childrenslibrary.org international children's library.	5E.3. C. Brown, Media Specialist	5E.3. Monitor Reading Book Logs	5E.3. Book Logs

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
ELL Vertical Learning Team	K-5	Ms. Meritt/ Ms. Bell	All ELL teachers	Bi-Monthly	Exit tickets/Reflection Sheets	Ms. Meritt/Ms. Bell
Reading Website Fieldtrips including the ASCD Bookshelf	K-5	Ms. Brown, Media	All Classroom teachers	Early Release	Exit/Reflection Sheets	Ms. Bell
Wednesday WOW (working on work) Grade Level Meetings	K-5	Ms. Bell/	Cross Grade Level (two teams at a time) meetings chosen by need	First three Wednesdays of the month; each grade level stays one Wednesday	Classroom Observation/Reading Portfolios/Student Work/Grade Level Meeting Minutes/Lesson Planning	Leadership Team
Reading Vertical Learning Team	K-5	Ms. Bell/ Ms. Latimer	One representative for each grade level	Monthly/Wednesday mornings	Classroom Observation/Reading Portfolios/Student Work/Grade Level Meeting Minutes	Ms. Bell/Ms. Latimer
FAIR	K-5	Ms. Bell	All English/Language Arts teachers	WOW (Working on the Work)/Wednesdays/Monthly	Exit/Reflection Sheets	Ms. Bell

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	rial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Encourage parents to read with and to their children	Literacy night materials	PTA, PIP	\$220.00
To provide materials for MTSS intervention	Fountas and Pinnell Leveled Literacy Intervention Kit (red)	Title 1	\$4,000.00
			Subtotal: \$4,220.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide headphones for student use	headphones	Title 1	\$160.00
		-	Subtotal: \$160.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
To hear an author model reading strategies	Guest author Ann Weston	Title 1	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.00
			Grand Total: \$4,680.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. In grades 3-5 28% (22) students tested scored proficient on 2012 CELLA. This number will increase to 31% CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: k- 5% 1st-24% 2nd-54% 3rd-5% 4th- 30% 5th-41% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring 1.1. 1.1. All ELL classes have a Principal, reading The student is unable Verbal responses, Verbal responses, to use English to learn bi-lingual coach, ELL conferences, Avenues conferences, required concepts at or paraprofessional to teacher assessments **Avenues** above grade level. work with small groups. assessments

1		Implement "Text Talk". Utilize the ELL adopted series "Avenues" that focuses on vocabulary development.			
2	g	ways in which to	S. Merritt, District	1.2. Conduct classroom Focus Walks Provide reflection form	1.2. Focus Walk Rubric Lesson Plans

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

In grades 3-5 24% (18) students tested scored proficient on 2012 CELLA. This number will increase to 26%

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

k-0% 1st-24%

2nd-54% 3rd-5%

4th-30%

5th-41%

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	2.1. Limited English vocabulary	2.1 Guided reading with fidelity Skill specific and individualized instruction. Utilize Direct Instruction for newcomers Students will be provided with a dictionary in their native language if available Implement Steck-Vaughn phonics series Utilize picture word walls		2.1. Running Records, Conferencing, DRA	2.1 CELLA			
2	2.2. Teachers lack of understanding cultural backgrounds and how to differentiate instruction for them.	2.2. Create peer-mentor relationships to foster cultural understanding of student needs. Utilize strategies and information from "Special Education Considerations for English Language Learners" by Else Hamayan to help understand both	2.2. S.Bell S.Merritt	2.2. Keep an anecdotal record of mentoring. Discussion of student needs at Monthly ELL Meetings	2.2. Mentoring Log			

	psychological and educational needs.			
Students write in E	nglish at grade level in a manner s	similar to i	non-ELL students.	
3. Students scori	ng proficient in writing.	In c	arades 3-5 36% (28) s	students tested scored proficien
CELLA Goal #3:			on 2012 CELLA. This number will increase to 40%	
2012 Current Per	cent of Students Proficient in w	riting:		
k-0%				
1st-26%				
2nd-55%				
3rd-5%				
4th-41%				
5th-55%				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1.1 Limited reading and writing skill of ELL Students	1.1. All ELL classes have a bi-lingual paraprofessional to work with small groups. Students are pulled to work in small groups with resource teachers. Implement "Six Traits of Writing" Daily writing in journal across content areas Students will be provided with a dictionary in their native language if available		1.1. District Writing Prompts Conferencing Monitoring Student Portfolios	FCAT Rubric Journals	
2	1.2 Limited prior experience	1.2. Exposure to different types of literature, exposure to vocabulary, include hands on experiences, exposure to author's chair Utilize SMART boards Create PMP (Progress Monitoring Plan) to target student need(s) Virtual Field Trip Interactive Word Walls Implement Word of the Day	1.2. Principal, reading coach ,ELL teachers	1.2. Conferencing Monitoring Student Work FCIM data Prompts related to Virtual Field Trips	1.2. FCAT Writes FCAT Rubric	

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Support ELL phonics instruction by purchasing teachers' editions	ELL sent student phonics books but no teachers editions	General Funds	\$720.00
			Subtotal: \$720.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$720.00

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #1a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

41% (72)

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1A.1. Students lack fluency in math fact recognition.	1A.1. REFLEX Math Computer Based Program has been purchased and trained for use by teachers in all grades 2-5 classrooms.	1A.1. B. Fisher, Math Coach W. Poag; 5th Grade Math Lead Teacher	1A.1 Interim Benchmark Assessments; REFLEX Program Monitoring Graphs and Fluency Report	1A.1. As our students become more fluent with math facts, as shown from measures on the REFLEX program, more working memory is freed up so that they can complete more complex tasks; therefore, Interim Benchmark Assessment results should indicate improvement.
1A.2. Some students lack ability to make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.	1A.2. Provide opportunities throughout Math Workshop to increase conversation and discussion to develop paths for problem solving. Math Coach will model how to initiate and implement these opportunities for students in their daily instruction.	1A.2. B. Fisher, Math Coach	1A.2. Progress monitoring through anecdotal notes, student portfolios, and classroom visits	1A.2. Accountable Talk Rubric; Journal Entries Students should demonstrate understanding of problem solving strategies on Performance Tasks.
1A.3. Teacher lack of understanding how to differentiate classroom practice and instruction	1A.3. School Based Professional Development: differentiation through all parts of the Mathematics Workshop Model Continue development via the AHE Research Study Blog and assigned tasks: http://ahedatastudyresearch.blogspot.com	1A.3 DATA TERC Team B. Fisher, Math Coach	1A.3. Classroom Observation Lesson Plan Focus Walks	1A.3. FCAT Benchmarks FCIM Assessments

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

3		lata, and refer	ence to "Gı	uiding Questions", identi	ify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate As	of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.				
Mathematics Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perforn	nance:
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data S	Submitted		
Based on the analysis of sof improvement for the following		lata, and refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identi	ify and define areas in need
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a:				tudents achieving above	e proficiency in math by

	ed on the analysis of studer approvement for the following	nt achievement data, and re g group:	eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and	define areas in	
LOVOI I III III dell'Ollidellos.				Increase students achieving above proficiency in math by		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
25%	25% (57)			35%(60)		
	Р	roblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 7	
	2A.1. Educators' ability to differentiate lessons to	2A.1. Provide training regarding cross-curricular	2A.1. B. Fisher, Math Coach	2A.1. Reviewing student work	2A.1. Interim Benchi Assessments,	

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
2A.1. Educators' ability to differentiate lessons to meet, extend, and challenge the need of higher learners	2A.1. Provide training regarding cross-curricular alignments and investigate learning trajectories, to build understanding of benchmark continuums.	2A.1. B. Fisher, Math Coach	2A.1. Reviewing student work	2A.1. Interim Benchmark Assessments, District Common Core Math Assessments				
2A.2. There is limited amount of individual or small group support for students that are proficient.	2A.2. Invite a "lunch bunch" group to focus on specific benchmarks. Create extension activities to enhance learning from the Module in which the teachers are currently working on it their classrooms. Use advanced activities, games, and homework in enVisions for students working at a high level.	2A.2. B. Fisher, Math Coach	2A.2. Monitoring student work and taking anecdotal notes; Dialogue with students about their math strategies	2A.2. Interim Benchmark Assessments, FCIM Assessments, and Module Assessments				
2A.3. Insight and Inform has	2A.3. Provide Insight and	2A.3. B. Fisher, DAT	2A.3 Complete Data Notebook	2A.3. Data Notebooks				
	2A.1. Educators' ability to differentiate lessons to meet, extend, and challenge the need of higher learners 2A.2. There is limited amount of individual or small group support for students that are proficient.	2A.1. Educators' ability to differentiate lessons to meet, extend, and challenge the need of higher learners 2A.2. There is limited amount of individual or small group support for students that are proficient. 2A.2. There is limited amount of individual or small group support for students that are proficient. 2A.2. 2A.3. 2A.1. Provide training regarding cross-curricular alignments and investigate learning trajectories, to build understanding of benchmark continuums. 2A.2. Invite a "lunch bunch" group to focus on specific benchmarks. Create extension activities to enhance learning from the Module in which the teachers are currently working on it their classrooms. Use advanced activities, games, and homework in enVisions for students working at a high level. 2A.3.	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responsible for Monitoring 2A.1. Educators' ability to differentiate lessons to meet, extend, and challenge the need of higher learners 2A.2. There is limited amount of individual or small group support for students that are proficient. 2A.2. There is limited amount of individual or small group to focus on specific benchmarks. Create extension activities to enhance learning from the Module in which the teachers are currently working on it their classrooms. Use advanced activities, games, and homework in enVisions for students working at a high level. 2A.3. Provide training regarding Coach Coach Coach Coach 2A.2. Invite a "lunch bunch" group to focus on specific benchmarks. Create extension activities to enhance learning from the Module in which the teachers are currently working on it their classrooms. Use advanced activities, games, and homework in enVisions for students working at a high level. 2A.3. 2A.1. B. Fisher, Math Coach Coach Since of the coach o	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responsible for Monitoring 2A.1. Educators' ability to differentiate lessons to meet, extend, and challenge the need of higher learners 2A.2. There is limited amount of individual or small group support for students that are proficient. 2A.2. There is limited amount of individual or small group support for students that are proficient. Anticipated Barrier Strategy 2A.1. Provide training regarding cross-curricular alignments and investigate learning trajectories, to build understanding of benchmark continuums. 2A.2. Invite a "lunch bunch" group to focus on specific benchmarks. Create extension activities to enhance learning from the Module in which the teachers are currently working on it their classrooms. Use advanced activities, games, and homework in enVisions for students working at a high level. 2A.3. 2A.1. B. Fisher, Math Coach Coach Monitoring student work and taking anecdotal notes; Dialogue with students about their math strategies 2A.2. Jenutation in the feffectiveness of Strategy 2A.1. Reviewing student work and taking anecdotal notes; Dialogue with students about their math strategies 2A.2. Al.2. Al.3. Al.3. Al.4. Al.5. Al.6. Al.6. Al.6. Al.7. Al.7. Al.8. Al.9. Al.9. Al.9. Al.1. Al.9. Al.1. Al.9. Al.1. Al.9. Al.1. Al.1. Al.1. Al.1. Al.1. Al.1. Al.1. Al.1. All. Al.1. All. All.				

3	unfamiliar with navigat of site.	ion to help them gather da and create Progress Monitoring Plans.	nta				
Dana					dian Overtina II ideatify		
	provement for the follow	lent achievement data, and ring group:	а гете	rence to "Gui	ding Questions", identify a	and define areas in need	
Stud	Florida Alternate Assestents scoring at or abornematics.	ssment: ve Achievement Level 7	in				
Math	nematics Goal #2b:						
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expe	cted Level of Performar	nce:	
		Problem-Solving Proces	ss to	ncrease Stu	ident Achievement		
Anti	cipated Barrier St	rategy	Posi Resp for	tion oonsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	d on the analysis of stuc provement for the follow	lent achievement data, and	d refe	rence to "Gui	ding Questions", identify	and define areas in need	
3a. F gain	·	f students making learn	ing		rcentage of students mak s by 4 percentage points.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perf	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
89%				93%			
		Problem-Solving Proces	ss to	ncrease Stu	ident Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position ponsible for lonitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	3A.1. Teacher knowledge of the 8 Mathematical Practices	3A.1. Provide professional development for teachers to support understanding the 8 Math Practices and incorporate them in math instruction daily.	3A.1. B. Fis Coac	sher, Math	3A.1. Classroom Observations	3A.1. Observation of student discussions and performance in Math Journals and Assessments	
	3A.2. Understanding FCAT 2.0	3A.2. Work collaboratively in	3A.2. B. Fis	sher, Math	3A.2. Analyze student	3A.2. Module	

Focus Walks

work/student portfolios

Assessments/POD/FCIM

Assessments should

mirror FCAT 2.0.

changed, so teachers are Inform Training to faculty contact

Test Design and Item

of Complexity

Specification and Levels explore time, POD's,

PLC's to design tasks for Coach

assessments with rigor

Training from district

Professional

Development

equivalent practice, and Learning Team

Math Vertical

K. Milo, Cluster

Academy of Math

R. Freeman,

Coach

		and cluster coaches	graduate		
3	implementing of mathematic s resources: enVisions and Math Investigations, Marilyn Burns Math and Literature Sets	3-,	P. Latimer, Principal	3A.3. Implement the Coaching Cycle with identified teachers striving to improve their math instruction	3A.3. Lesson Plans Student Performance on Module Assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
Perso Positi Inticipated Barrier Strategy Respo for Monit		ion onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
maki	AT 2.0: Percentage of string learning gains in mat			Increase percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics by 3 percentage points.			
2012	2 Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
73%	73% (42)			76% (42)			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	4A.1 Parents have limited strategies, skills, vocabulary, and content knowledge when applying mathematics.		4A.1. B. Fisher, Math Coach	4A.1. Parent Surveys	4A.1. Data from Parent Surveys		

l	I	success.	I	I	
2	4A.2. Limited reading and writing skill of all/ELL students	4A.2. Provide paraprofessional support to work with small groups in all/ELL classrooms. Students are pulled to work in small groups during RtI instruction. Usage of math picture vocabulary and word walls Usage of all/ELL dictionaries	4A.2. B. Fisher, Math Coach	4A.2. Conduct focus walks Conduct student conferences Monitoring Math Journals and Math Portfolios	4A.2. Interim Benchmarks End of Module Tests Performance Task Quarterly Grades Progress Reports Focus Walk Monitoring Form
3	4A.3. Some students lack foundational skills and concept development in math.	4A.3. Provide interventionist support to small groups in areas of specific concept needs. Teachers and students will utilize FCAT Explorer, Gizmos, Digital eTools from envision, REFLEX math program, and Destination Success to increase interaction and conceptual knowledge Promote attendance in after school SES tutoring and SAI Tutoring Program and work with tutors/providers to tailor instruction to individual student needs based on assessment data Teacher-Led Morning Computer Clubs Create PMPs (Progress Monitoring Plans) to target student needs Provide MTSS Tier 1, 2, and 3 Instruction (enVision Intervention Kit)	RtI Problem Solving Team Classroom Teachers	l .	4A.3. Interim Benchmarks, Progress Monitoring Assessments

Based on Amb	itious but Achi	evable Annual	Measurable Objectiv	es (AMOs), AMO-2, I	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target	
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Elementary School Mathematics Goal # Increase learning gains in lowest 25% in math by 5 percentage points each year.				
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	52	57	61	65	70		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following subgroup:	ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:	Not Applicable

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	5B.1. White, Black, Hispanic: 88% of our students are disadvantaged.	5B.1. Provide opportunities to be active participants in our Arlington Heights Community to increase their self-esteem, pride, and confidence. (Patrols, Breakfast Morning Crew, Computer Lab Techs, Student Librarians, Buddy Readers)	5B.1. G. Farhat W. Nettles C. Brown	5B.1. Development of a more positive attitude towards school	5B.1. Conduct Logs	
2	5B.2. Some parents lack the ability to communicate and be involved due to language barriers.	5B.2. Holding more Volunteer Trainings for parents. Facilitate educational activities as outlined in the Parent Involvement Plan. Make available our Parent Involvement Center.	5B.2. S. Bell M. Jones	5B.2. Input from parents	5B.2 Parent Surveys and Attendance and Volunteer sign in logs	
3	5B.3. Some students lack prior knowledge and background experience in real world situations	Math Solutions materials	5B.3. B. Fisher	5B.3. Monitor verbal discussion of Problem Solving Format.	5B.3. Conference notes Student generated artifacts	

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
satis	nglish Language Learne factory progress in math ematics Goal #5C:		Maintain ELL st	Maintain ELL student proficiency from 2012.			
2012	Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A	N/A			
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5C.1. Limited reading and writing skills of ELL students.	5C.1. Provide paraprofessional support to work with small groups in ELL classrooms.	5C.1. B. Fisher, Math Coach	5C.1. Conduct focus walks Conduct student conferences Monitoring Math Journals	5C.1. Interim Benchmarks End of Module Tests		

1		Students are pulled to work in small groups during MTSS instruction. Use math picture vocabulary and word walls Use ELL dictionaries		and Math Portfolios	Performance Task Quarterly Grades Progress Reports Focus Walk Monitoring Form
2	5C.2. Teachers are inconsistent when using ELL strategies during math instruction.	5C.2. Math Coach will support the teachers in using ELL strategies during math instruction by modeling and collaborative planning.	5C.2. B. Fisher, Math Coach	5C.2. Classroom Focus Walks Utilize student math journals	5C.2. Module Assessments Journals
3	5C.3. Teachers are not fully utilizing resources that we already have to support instruction.		5C.3. B. Fisher, Math Coach	5C.3. Create an exit ticket for teachers to express opinion of materials in which they felt were the most beneficial. Provide teachers time to share out how they have incorporated these resources effectively.	5C.3. FCAT, FCIM, POD

	on the analysis of studen or overment for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
satist	tudents with Disabilities factory progress in math ematics Goal #5D:		Maintain SWD s	Maintain SWD student proficiency from 2012.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
N/A			N/A				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	of some students.	Provide training for General Education teachers on strategies to implement accommodations, task adaptations, and modifications to the curriculum that will be beneficial for ESE students with cognitive disabilities.	ESE Teachers	Monitor the IEP Progress Reports	Alternate Assessment Tool, IEP Progress Reports, Easy CBM Progress Monitoring Program, Teacher made assessments		
2	some students may have	Provide additional training in critical areas of behavior disorders for all personnel that have contact with the student in need.	Guidance Counselor, Tim Crammer (District	Monitor the IEP Progress Reports, Monitor the Behavior Plans that are created through the MTSS Team	Alternate Assessment Tool, IEP Progress Reports, Easy CBM Progress, Monitoring Program, Teacher made assessments		

ESE Teachers

Monitor the IEP Progress Alternate

Processing deficits of Create tests,

addı	ressed in the general location setting with elity.	evaluations, and assessments with students' deficits addressed (auditory, visual, and tactile).		•	Assessment Tool, IEP Progress Reports, Easy CBM Progress, Monitoring Program, Teacher made assessments
------	--	---	--	---	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Maintain ED student proficiency from 2012. Mathematics Goal E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 5E.1. 5E.1. 5E.1. 5E.1. 5E.1. Some students lack prior Utilize Marylyn Burns B. Fisher Monitor verbal discussion Conference notes knowledge and Math Solutions materials of Problem Solving Student generated background experience in and the Neighborhood Format. artifacts real world situations Real World Math Problem FCAT Benchmarks Solving Kit. Develop vocabulary in context of story problems. Using the Problem Solving Strategies Form from the enVision curriculum. 5E.2. 5E.2. 5E.2. 5E.2. 5E.2. Some are unable to Provide and suggest B. Fisher Monitor improvement of Anecdotal Notes afford practice materials common household ideas ability to use math Math Journals and mathematics and materials that can be manipulative and tools manipulatives. used to supplement math efficiently. conceptual learning.

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject		Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Math Vertical Learning Team	K-5	One representative for each grade level		Classroom Observation/Math portfolios/Student Work/Grade Level Meeting Minutes	Leadership Team

Wednesday WOW (Working on Work) Grade Level Meetings	K-5	B. Fisher	meetings chosen	Monthly on Non-Early Dismissal Days; K-2 one Wednesday, and the 3- 5 the other Wednesday	portfolios/Student	Leadership Team
Gradual Release Coaching Model	K-5	B. Fisher	New teachers, new teachers to math instruction, struggling teachers	The Gradual Release Coaching Model-varies	Classroom Visits/ Focus Walks	B. Fisher
Mathematics 101; Academy of Mathematics, and Common Core Training	K-5	Schultz Center	M. Barton, B. Fisher	Schultz Center Dates	Share Outs through emails and Early Dismissal PD, small focus groups	B.Fisher, M. Barton

Mathematics Budget:

		Available
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Make & Take Activities	Title I, PIP	\$300.00
	Subto	otal: \$300.0
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Computers, Computer Lab & Wireless Access Classrooms	Title I, PIP	\$2,600.00
	Subtota	al: \$2,600.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Video Equipment and Supplies	Professional Development Funds	\$1,000.00
	Subtota	al: \$1,000.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Translators for our ELL families	Title I, PIP	\$117.00
	Subto	otal: \$117.00
	Description of Resources Computers, Computer Lab & Wireless Access Classrooms Description of Resources Video Equipment and Supplies Description of Resources	Description of Resources Computers, Computer Lab & Wireless Access Classrooms Title I, PIP Subtota Description of Resources Funding Source Video Equipment and Supplies Professional Development Funds Subtota Description of Resources Funding Source Translators for our ELL families Title I, PIP

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and def areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:	Increase students achieving at proficiency in science by 10%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

			_		I
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1A.1 Low reading level of ELL students, Science core materials above students reading levels	1A.1. A bi-lingual paraprofessional will be assigned to each ELL class to work with small groups. Utilize science leveled readers to develop science concepts; organize the science leveled readers so that content is easily found. Use the leveled book room to find text regarding specific benchmark information. Utilize Pearson Success Net to have access to ebooks. 1A1.Provide small group instruction focusing on scientific terminology. Integrate the leveled readers during Reading	1A.1. P. Latimer Classroom Teacher	1A.1. Monitor Small Group Plans for Science and Reading Instruction, Provide oral assessments to progress monitor	1A.1. Science LSA, Interim Benchmarks, LSA Performance Tasks
2	1A.2. Benchmark and scientific lab activities need to be aligned.	Workshop time and Guided Reading 1A.2. Ramp up labs focused on specific benchmarks; making the connections between activity and concept, Provide sample problems to discuss using FCAT Test Maker, Better develop the Scientific Process, 5th Grade will use the "Lab Review" beginning in January to review and re-teach specific benchmarks using a hands on approach. Create a Science Lab	1A.2. Classroom Teacher B. Fisher Academy of Science Members	1A.2. Administer culminating questions in Science Journals	1A.2. Progress Monitoring of Benchmarks using FCAT Test Maker and Performance Tasks
3	1A.3. K-4 teachers lack knowledge of content and concepts of the FCAT 2.0 benchmarks.	to utilize Science Boot Camp and hands on Science Experiments 1A.3. Provide FCAT 2.0 Specifications and training to build understanding of prerequisite skills.	1A.3. B. Fisher	1A.3. Classroom Observation and Analysis of Student Work	1A.3. The use of FCAT 2.0 Specifications should be evident in student understanding through Performance Tasks.

			lent achievement data, t for the following grou		l reference	to "	Guiding Questions",	ider	ntify and define
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.									
Science Goal #1b:									
2012	Current Level of	Perfo	ormance:		2013 Exp	ecte	ed Level of Perforn	nanc	ce:
		Prob	lem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	tude	ent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool		luation Tool			
			No E)ata	Submitted				
			lent achievement data, t for the following grou		I reference	to "	Guiding Questions",	ider	ntify and define
Achie	CAT 2.0: Student: evement Level 4 i nce Goal #2a:				Increase students achieving above proficiency in science by 10%.				
2012	Current Level of	Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
3% (2	2)				4%(3)				
		Prob	lem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	tude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Bar	rier	Strategy	Re	Person o Position esponsible Monitorin	for	Process Used t Determine Effectiveness o Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	2A.1. Teachers understanding of h to plan for the 5 E effectively; and th levels of inquiry.	z's	2A.1. Provide professional development via Vertical Learning Team; professional readings. Teachers collaboratively plan units of study	Gra Te Lea Me	1. ade Level ams, Vertic arning Tear embers Fisher		2A.1. Monitor lesson plar student work, and journals Provide a greater variety of lessons a types of questionin	and	2A.1. Learning Schedule Assessments and Performance Tasks FCAT
2	2A.2. 2A.2. Using technology C		Cla tea	2. assroom achers		2A.2. Monitor science jou entries with related topics		2A.2. Monitor science journal entries with related topics	

areas in need of improv	ement for the following gro				
2b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at o in science. Science Goal #2b:	Assessment: r above Achievement Lev				
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Submitted			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science Vertical Learning Team	K-5	R Fisher	'	Monthly/Tuesday mornings	Classroom Observation/Science portfolios/Student Work/Grade Level Meeting Minutes	Leadership Team
Academy of Science	K-5	Contor	Ms. Poag, Ms. Buitrago, Ms. Reynolds	Schultz Center dates	Share outs via email and Early Dismissal PD, also during Grade Level Meetings	

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Required Science Project K-2 Class Science Experiment Grade 3-4 Group or Partner Science Experiments Grade 5 Individual Experiment	Student Project Display Boards and Scientific Method Student Book	Title I, PIP	\$700.00
Invite families to Savvy Science Night.	Savvy Science Night materials	Title I, PIP	\$235.00
Science Boot Camp	Supplemental Science Materials	Title I, PIP	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,935.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Invite families to GIZMOS information night.	handouts, suggested activities	Title I, PIP	\$100.00

			Subtotal: \$100.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Utilize Academy of Science Graduate as a Teacher Leader	TDE Time	Title I, PIP	\$200.00
	-	-	Subtotal: \$200.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$2,235.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas
3.0 a	CAT 2.0: Students scor nd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a:	ing at Achievement Le		ents scoring at Achievemong by 10%.	ent Level 4.0 and
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	9:
84%	(51 out of 61students)			ts scoring 4.0 and higher	
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
			Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Limited reading and All/ELL classes have a S.		1.1 S. Bell, P. Latimer	1.1. District Writing Prompts Conferencing Monitoring Student Portfolios	1.1. FCAT Writes FCAT Rubric Journals
	1.2 Limited prior experience	1.2. Exposure to different types of literature and vocabulary. Provide opportunities for discussion of student work/experiences via author's chair. Create Individual PMPs	1.2. S. Bell Classroom Teachers	1.2. Conferencing Monitoring Student Work FCIM data Prompts related to Virtual Field Trips	1.2. FCAT Writes FCAT Rubric District Prompts

2		(Progress Monitoring Plan) to target specific student need(s) Utilization of SMART boards for Virtual Field Trips in order to provide experiences, and modeling. Make Word Walls Interactive. Implement Word of the Day			
3	1.3. Limited parental support due to language barrier			1.3. Parent feedback surveys Increase parental involvement	1.3. FCAT Final averages Parent sign in sheets
4	1A.4. Teachers and students have limited knowledge or are unclear of expectations that meet the standard.	1A.4. Provide training and support in the use of "Using Rubrics to Improve Student Writing". Utilize the District and State Anchor Papers during classroom instruction. Cross grade level analysis and discussion of student writing.	1A.4. S. Bell, Vertical Learning Team Members	1A.4. Conduct Writing Portfolio and Journal Focus Walks	1A.4. District Writing Prompts Writing Portfolios

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group:	eference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	
Writing Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing Vertical Alignment	K-5	Ms. Bell/ Mrs. Latimer	One representative for each grade level	Monthly/Tuesday mornings	Classroom Observation/Writing Portfolios/Student Work	Leadership Team
Informational Reading and Writing K-2	K-2	Schultz Center	Two representatives	Schultz Center Dates	Share Out during Writing/Reading Vertical Learning Team, Classroom Observations	Leadership Team
Margaret McKeown Session on Vocabulary Instruction supported by the Common Core	K-5	Schultz Center	One representative	Schultz Center Dates	Share Out during an Early Dismissal, Classroom Observations	Leadership Team
FCAT 2.0 Scoring	4th Grade Teachers	Schultz Center	C. Watkins M. Obradovic	Schultz Center Dates	Share Out during an Early Dismissal and FCAT parent writing workshop	Leadership Team

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/M	lateriar(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Utilize Writing Portfolios and Journals	Folders, Copy Paper, Composition Notebooks	General Funds	\$100.00
			Subtotal: \$100.00
Гесhnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Attendance	Decrease amount of excessive absenteeism and tardies			
Attendance Goal #1:	by10%.			
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:			
62%	72%			
	,			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
38% (157)	28% (153)			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
24% (101)	14% (99)			

			Person or		I
	Anticipated Barrier	Anticipated Barrier Strategy		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Parental knowledge of how excessive absences/tardies effects academic performance	1.1. Provide incentives to students who meet attendance requirements. Provide incentives to students who consistently arrive on time. Implement AIT for those students with excessive absences/tardies. Provide parent workshops to educate parents on incentive programs as well as correlation between absenteeism/tardiness and student performance.	1.1 M. Devitt, Guidance classroom teachers, Leadership Team	1.1. Monitor the number of participants receiving incentives, decreased amount of AIT meetings, and parent workshop attendance.	1.1. Attendance/tardy data provided by Genesis. Sign in sheets. Parent conference logs
	1.2. Students' attitude toward learning and respectful behavior.	1.2. Provide incentives to students who meet attendance requirements.	1.2. Classroom teachers, Foundation Committee,	1.2. Monitor decrease in referrals and suspensions.	1.2. SESSIR Genesis

		School Counselor	
2	Implementing the Second Step Violence Prevention Curriculum		
	Arlington Family Resource Center referrals		
	Promote career goals through individual academic career planning		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Identifying Absentism/Tardy Trends & School-Wide Incentive Programs		M.Devitt (school counselor) B.Westberry (AIT officer)	All	Early Release	Teacher Implementation of incentive programs	Classroom Teachers, Leadership Team, School Counselor
Rallying Up Attendance	K-5	District AIT team	All	October 17,2012	attendance and	Classroom Teacher, AIT Officer

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
No Bata	No Data		Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			Subtotall #0100
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of susp provement:				
1. Su	spension				
Susp	ension Goal #1:		Reduce numbe	r of suspensions by 1.	
2012	Total Number of In-Sc	hool Suspensions	2013 Expecte	d Number of In-School	Suspensions
NA			NA		
2012	? Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-
0			0		
2012	! Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions	2013 Expecte Suspensions	ed Number of Out-of-Sc	hool
6			5		
2012 Scho	? Total Number of Stude ol	ents Suspended Out-of	- 2013 Expecte of-School	ed Number of Students	Suspended Out-
4			4		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Students new to Arlington Heights are not familiar with expectations and rituals and routines. Often they do not have a positive attitude toward learning and respectful behavior.	Continue to implement the Second Step	1.1. M. Devitt, Guidance; Classroom Teachers	1.1. Monitor the names and number of referrals and suspensions.	1.1. SESSIR Genesis
	1.2. Teachers' inability to fully implement CHAMPS.	1.2. Continual professional development and implementation of	1.2. Foundations committee, P. Latimer	1.2. Monitor infractions in common areas each midterm and at the end	1.2. Report from Genesis SESSIR

2		CHAMPS.		of each quarter. Monitor classrooms in which infractions are written. Monitor specific students.	
3	1.3. Student inability to use coping mechanisms.	1.3. Continue to implement the Second Step Violence Prevention Curriculum with consistency. Teachers provide Voluntary Time Out Options in their classrooms. Provide guidance sessions and character education lessons.	1.3. P. Latimer M. Devitt	1.3. Track referrals at each midterm and at the end of each quarter.	
4	1.4. Consistency in implementing common area routines	1.4. Strategically placing support personnel in common areas. Provide reminders in the weekly staff newsletter.	1.4. P. Latimer	1.4. Monitor infractions in common areas each midterm and at the end of each quarter. Monitor classrooms in which infractions are written. Monitor specific students. Observation of teachers	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Recognizing Behavior Trends & School-Wide Incentive Programs	ΔΠ	School Counselor	All	Early Dismissal	Teacher implementation of incentive programs	M. Devitt; Guidance; P. Latimer
CHAMPS Training- Implementation Ideas	All	Foundations Team	All	Early Dismissal; Grade Level Trainings	Classroom Observations	M.Devitt, Guidance; P. Latimer

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Recognize students for responsible behavior and conduct.	rewards/awards and incentives	General Funds/PTA	\$200.00
			Subtotal: \$200.00
			Grand Total: \$200.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	sed on the analysis of need of improvement:	parent involvement data, and re	fere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify ar	nd define areas
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.			Inc	crease Parental I	nvolvement by 5 perc	entage points.
20	12 Current Level of F	Parent Involvement:	20	13 Expected Le	evel of Parent Involv	ement:
409	%		459	%		
		Problem-Solving Process to I	ncr	ease Student A	chievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Transportation for Economically Disadvantaged families	1.1. Implement bus transportation fo those in need of transportation parental involvement activities		1.1. Parental Involvement coordinator and Leadership Team	1.1. Parental Surveys Number of participants utilizing transportation	1.1. Attendance Sign-in sheets Parental surveys
2	1.2. Limited parental support due to language barriers	1.2. Provide interpreters and translated flyers for activities. Invite families to Parental Involvement activities. Encourage parents to visit student classrooms monthly. Utilize Parent Link and Transact to promote parental activities. Provide a parent computer and printer for use in the Parent Resource Center. Provide frequent training for parents that want to volunteer		Parental Involvement coordinator and	1.2. Parent feedback surveys Increase parental involvement – evidence sign in sheets	1.2. Attendance Sign-in sheets Parental surveys
	1.3. Limited communication with parents	parents that want to volunteer 1.3. Utilize OnCourse, Transact, and Parent Link for academic and school related communication. The Title I Parent Involvement		1.3. Leadership Team, Classroom Teachers	1.3. Parent feedback	1.3. Parent Surveys

3		Policy packet (including School-Parent Compact) is available to reference in the office. Utilize the PIRC website to provide parents with various tips on how to help their child. Implement Parent Information bulletin board. Provide monthly BEAR NEWS bulletin. Utilize the school webpage to incorporate the link "Just for Parents" utilizing the state monthly newsletter featuring transitioning to Common Core. http://parents.fldoe.org/newsletter			
---	--	---	--	--	--

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Effective Parent Teacher Conferences	ALL	Cadre Support	ALL faculty and staff	Early Dismissal	exit tickets, sign- in sheets, parent conference logs	S. Poag, Cadre
Provide 'Creating Family- Friendly Schools' training	ALL	Foundations Team	ALL faculty and staff	Early Dismissal	exit tickets, sign in sheets	Leadership Team and Volunteer Coordinator
Cultural Sensitivity Awareness	ALL	School Diversity Representative	ALL faculty and staff	Early Dismissal	exit tickets	I.Peppers

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Invite families to Parental Involvement activities (Educational Materials for parents to borrow)	Educational Materials for parents to borrow: Board Games Book DVD's	Title I	\$641.00
Invite families to Parental Involvement activities (Educational Incentives for parents to use with students)	Flash Drives CD's DVD's	Title I	\$415.00
			Subtotal: \$1,056.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide parents access to a computer/printer	Ink for printer	Title I	\$150.00
			Subtotal: \$150.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Implement bus transportation for those in need of transportation for parental involvement activities	Bus Transportation	Title I	\$241.00
Provide interpreters and translated flyers for activities	Interpreters	Title I	\$201.00
Parent Involvement Activities	Catering Refreshments	Title I	\$804.00
Parent Involvement Activities	Child Care	Title I	\$402.00
Invite families to Parental Involvement activities	Supplies for the parent night activities	Title I	\$1,166.24
			Subtotal: \$2,814.24
			Grand Total: \$4,020.24

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis	of school data, identif	fy and define areas in n	eed of improvement:	
1. STEM				
STEM Goal #1:				
	Problem-Solving	Process to Increase	Student Achievement	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	•	No Data Submitted	ı	•

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	lo Data Submitte	d		

STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Reduce student accidents on the playground by 2. Goal:

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Reduce student accidents on the playground by 2. Goal Reduce student accidents on the playground by 2. Goal #1:			Reduce studer	it accidents on the playg	round by 2.	
2012 Current level:			2013 Expecte	ed level:		
14 incidences			12 incidences	-		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1.1. Too many students on the playground at the same time	1.1. Provide a recess schedule that included four different areas in which to serve students. Students will rotate through the four areas in four days.	1.1. B. Fisher, Foundations Chair	1.1. Monitoring the reports twice a quarter.	1.1. Report of Number of Incidents on the Playground	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Foundations; Safe and Healthy School		Foundations	One representative from each grade level	Bi-monthly	Playground Observations, Grade Level Meeting Minutes	B. Fisher

Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount	
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00	
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00	

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reduce student accidents on the playground by 2. Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)	D 111 2		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Encourage parents to read with and to their children	Literacy night materials	PTA, PIP	\$220.00
Reading	To provide materials for MTSS intervention	Fountas and Pinnell Leveled Literacy Intervention Kit (red)	Title 1	\$4,000.00
CELLA	Support ELL phonics instruction by purchasing teachers' editions	ELL sent student phonics books but no teachers editions	General Funds	\$720.00
Mathematics	Invite families to FCAT Math Night	Make & Take Activities	Title I, PIP	\$300.00
Science	Required Science Project K-2 Class Science Experiment Grade 3-4 Group or Partner Science Experiments Grade 5 Individual Experiment	Student Project Display Boards and Scientific Method Student Book	Title I, PIP	\$700.00
Science	Invite families to Savvy Science Night.	Savvy Science Night materials	Title I, PIP	\$235.00
Science	Science Boot Camp	Supplemental Science Materials	Title I, PIP	\$1,000.00
Writing	Utilize Writing Portfolios and Journals	Folders, Copy Paper, Composition Notebooks	General Funds	\$100.00
Parent Involvement	Invite families to Parental Involvement activities (Educational Materials for parents to borrow)	Educational Materials for parents to borrow: Board Games Book DVD's	Title I	\$641.00
Parent Involvement	Invite families to Parental Involvement activities (Educational Incentives for parents to use with students)	Flash Drives CD's DVD's	Title I	\$415.00
				Subtotal: \$8,331.00
Гесhnology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Provide headphones for student use	headphones	Title 1	\$160.00
Mathematics	FCAT Math Explorer, Reflex, and Pearson Success Net Training for students and parents	Computers, Computer Lab & Wireless Access Classrooms	Title I, PIP	\$2,600.00
Science	Invite families to GIZMOS information night.	handouts, suggested activities	Title I, PIP	\$100.00
Parent Involvement	Provide parents access to a computer/printer	Ink for printer	Title I	\$150.00
	· · ·			Subtotal: \$3,010.00
Professional Developm		Description of		
Goal	Strategy Working on the Work	Resources Video Equipment and	Funding Source Professional	Available Amount
Mathematics	Working on the Work (WOW) Training	Supplies	Development Funds	\$1,000.00
Science	Utilize Academy of Science Graduate as a Teacher Leader	TDE Time	Title I, PIP	\$200.00
				Subtotal: \$1,200.00
Other		December of		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	To hear an author model reading strategies	Guest author Ann Weston	Title 1	\$300.00

Mathematics	Provide translators to support parental involvement.	Translators for our ELL families	Title I, PIP	\$117.00
Suspension	Recognize students for responsible behavior and conduct.	rewards/awards and incentives	General Funds/PTA	\$200.00
Parent Involvement	Implement bus transportation for those in need of transportation for parental involvement activities	Bus Transportation	Title I	\$241.00
Parent Involvement	Provide interpreters and translated flyers for activities	Interpreters	Title I	\$201.00
Parent Involvement	Parent Involvement Activities	Catering Refreshments	Title I	\$804.00
Parent Involvement	Parent Involvement Activities	Child Care	Title I	\$402.00
Parent Involvement	Invite families to Parental Involvement activities	Supplies for the parent night activities	Title I	\$1,166.24
				Subtotal: \$3,431.24

Grand Total: \$15,972.24

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus	jn Prevent	j₁∩ NA	
----------------------	------------	--------	--

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/18/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Copies for FCIM and MTSS support.	\$350.00
FCAT Incentives	\$500.00
Technology for instructional support	\$500.00
Student Recognition "Postive Paws" for tardies, attendance, discipline, and achievement.	\$562.00
After-School Tutoring; provide extra support to Level 1, Level 2, and bubble students.	\$1,500.00
Requests from Faculty for instructional support materials and supplies	\$1,000.00
Letters for the Arlington Heights Marque	\$1,500.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

After the initial presentation of the School Improvement Plan, SAC members will monitor progress throughout the year. Feedback from members will be considered for the creation of next year's plan. Additionally, the plan will be monitored during the Implementation Review (twice each year). School improvement funds will be authorized through this committee. In addition, SAC will support increasing family involvement by participating in activities such as Family Reading Night, FCAT Math Family Night, Science Spectacular, etc.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Duval School District ARLI NGTON HEI GHTS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011								
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned			
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	61%	72%	72%	46%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.		
% of Students Making Learning Gains	74%	60%			134	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2		
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	70% (YES)	73% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.		
FCAT Points Earned					528			
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested		
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested		

Duval School District ARLINGTON HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010								
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned			
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	53%	66%	90%	28%	237	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.		
% of Students Making Learning Gains	61%	68%			129	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2		
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		76% (YES)			128	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.		
FCAT Points Earned					494			
Percent Tested = 100%						Percent of eligible students tested		
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested		