FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: MATER ACADEMY OF INTERNATIONAL STUDIES

District Name: Dade

Principal: Mr. Robert Blanch/Beatriz Morris

SAC Chair: Elizabeth Sauri

Superintendent: Mr. Albert M. Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/15/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Assis Principal | Ms. Beatriz Morris | B.A in Elem. Education; M.S in Elem. Education; EDS in Leadership Certificate in K- 6; Certificate in Educational Leadership. | 3 | 12 | '12 '11 '10 '09 '08 School Grade AYP/AMO C A A High Standards Rdg. 50% 76% 75% High Standards Math 53% 54% 59% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 70% 76% 79% Lrng Gains-Math 65% 54% 58% Gains-Rdg-25% 79% 84% 79% Gains-Math-25% 57% 55% 58% |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Reading | Tannia Rodriguez | Bachelors of Education (K-6) ELL Endorsement | 4 | 1 | N/A |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Charter School Job Fairs and recruiting at Universities and Colleges Listing with the Teachers, Teachers, com, from the ELDO. | 1.Principal 2.Principal 3.Principal 4.Principal | 1.June 3, 2013 2.June 3,2013 3.April 6, 2013 4.June 3, 2013 5.June 3, 2013 | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| 32%[10] | Teachers have been placed on temporary waivers and are to complete required classes in the corresponding timeframe. Teachers will also be receiving in-house professional development opportunities on ELL strategies. |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 31 | 12.9%(4) | 48.4%(15) | 35.5%(11) | 3.2%(1) | 22.6%(7) | 67.7%(21) | 16.1%(5) | 0.0%(0) | 64.5%(20) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee | Rationale | Planned Mentoring |
|-------------|----------|---|-------------------|
| | Assigned | for Pairing | Activities |
| | | Mentor will be used as a support system to new teacher. Mentor is an | |

| Eleida Bello | Kristen Rodriguez | experienced teacher with knowledge and skills pertaining to the corresponding grade level. | Classroom Management and Curriculum Planning |
|-----------------|--|--|---|
| Jessica Navarro | Susana Sanchez Dayami Fernandez | Mentor will be used as a support system to new teacher. Mentor is an experienced teacher with knowledge and skills pertaining to the corresponding grade level. | Classroom Management and Curriculum Planning |
| Martha Diaz | Tatiana Arguello | Mentor will be used as a support system to new teacher. Mentor is an experienced teacher with knowledge and skills pertaining to the corresponding grade level. | Classroom Management and Curriculum Planning |
| Wara Pineiro | Lisa Perez Stephanie Santagati Ena Garcia | Teachers have been moved into an upper grade. Mentor can help guide and assist the teachers into the new transition. | Classroom Management and Curriculum Planning |
| Miriam Barrios | Sabrina Martinez Lia Agamez | Teachers have been moved into an upper grade. Mentor can help guide and assist the teachers into the new transition. | Classroom Management and Curriculum Planning |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Mater Academy Elementary of International Studies provides services to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through extended learning opportunities (before-school and/or after-school programs, Saturday Academy or summer school). The district coordinates with Title II and Title III in ensuring staff development needs are provided. Support services are provided to the schools, students, and families. School based, Title I funded Community Involvement Specialists (CIS), serve as bridge between the home and school through home visits, telephone calls, school site and community parenting activities. The CIS schedules meetings and activities, encourage parents to support their child's education, provide materials, and encourage parental participation in the decision making processes at the school site. Curriculum Coaches develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/ programs; identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. They identify systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assist with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assist in the design and

implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Parents participate in the design of their school's Parent Involvement Plan (PIP – which is provided in three languages at all schools), the school improvement process and the life of the school and the annual Title I Annual Parent Meeting at the beginning of the school year. The annual M-DCPS Title I Parent/Family Involvement Survey is intended to be used toward the end of the school year to measure the parent program over the course of the year and to facilitate an evaluation of the parent involvement program to inform planning for the following year. An all out effort is made to inform parents of the importance of this survey via CIS, Title I District and Region meetings, Title I Newsletter for Parents, and Title I Quarterly Parent Bulletins. This survey, available in English, Spanish and Haitian-Creole, will be available online and via hard copy for parents (at schools and at District meetings) to complete. Other components that are integrated into the school-wide program include an extensive Parental Program. Supplemental Educational Services are provided to the at-risk and ELL student population.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Mater Academy Elementary of International Studies provides services and support to migrant students and parents. The District Migrant liaison coordinates with Title I and other programs and conducts a comprehensive needs assessment of migrant students to ensure that the unique needs of migrant students are met. Students are also provided extended learning opportunities (before-school and/or after-school, and summer school) by the Title I, Part C, Migrant Education Program.

Title I, Part D

N/A

Title II

The District uses supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows:

- training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program
- training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL

training and substitute release time for Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) at each school focusing on Professional Learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, as well as Lesson Study Group implementation and protocols

Title III

Services are provided through district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English language Learners.

Title III funds are used to supplement and enhance the programs for English Language Learner (ELL) and Recently Arrived Immigrant Children and Youth by providing funds to implement and/or provide:

- tutorial programs (K-12)
- professional development on best practices for ESOL and content area teachers
- coaching and mentoring for ESOL and content area teachers(K-12)
- reading and supplementary instructional materials(K-12)
- cultural supplementary instructional materials (K-12)

• purchase of supplemental hardware and software for the development of language and literacy skills in reading, mathematics and science, as well as, thematic cultural lessons is purchased for selected schools to be used by ELL students and recently arrived immigrant students (K-12, RFP Process)

The above services will be provided should funds become available for the 2012-2013 school year and should the FLDOE approve the application(s).

Title X- Homeless

• Miami-Dade County Public Schools' School Board approved the School Board Policy 5111.01 titled, Homeless Students. The board policy defines the McKinney-Vento Law and ensures homeless students receive all the services they are entitled to.

• The Homeless Assistance Program seeks to ensure a successful educational experience for homeless children by collaborating with parents, schools, and the community.

• Project Upstart, Homeless Children & Youth Program assists schools with the identification, enrollment, attendance, and transportation of homeless students. All schools are eligible to receive services and will do so upon identification and classification of a student as homeless.

• The Homeless Liaison provides training for school registrars on the procedures for enrolling homeless students and for school counselors on the McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance Act-ensuring homeless children and youth are not to be stigmatized or separated, segregated, or isolated on their status as homeless-and are provided with all entitlements.

 Project Upstart provides a homeless sensitivity, awareness campaign to all the schools - each school is provided a video and curriculum manual, and a contest is sponsored by the homeless trust-a community organization.

• Project Upstart provides tutoring and counseling to twelve homeless shelters in the community.

• The District Homeless Student Liaison continues to participate in community organization meetings and task forces as it relates to homeless children and youth.

• Each school will identify a school based homeless coordinator to be trained on the McKinney-Vento Law ensuring appropriate services are provided to the homeless students.

Mater Academy of International Studies will receive funding from Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) allocation and use it to provide tutorial programs before and after school as well as Saturday school.

Violence Prevention Programs

• Mater Academy of International Studies promotes The Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program which addresses violence and drug prevention and intervention services for students through curriculum implemented by classroom teachers, elementary counselors, and/or TRUST Specialists.

• Training and technical assistance for elementary, middle, and senior high school teachers, administrators, counselors, and/or TRUST Specialists is also a component of this program.

• TRUST Specialists focus on counseling students to solve problems related to drugs and alcohol, stress, suicide, isolation, family violence, and other crises.

• Red Ribbon Week is a week dedicated to school-wide events to spread awareness and address issues in relation to drugs and violence. Students at Mater Academy of International Studies come together to pledge to be drug free.

Nutrition Programs

Mater Academy of International Studies will participate in State funded Title I Free/ Reduced meal program.

1) The school adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements stated in the District Wellness Policy.

2) Nutrition education, as per state statute, is taught through physical education.

3) The School Food Service Program, school breakfast, school lunch, and after care snacks, follows the

Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the District's Wellness Policy.

4) The school's physical education teacher implements a school walking club to promote healthy habits through exercise and nutrition.

5) School coaches will incorporate healthy living routines through the implementation of after school extracurricular activities.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

Head Start programs are co-located in several Title 1 schools and/or communities. Joint activities, including professional development and transition processes are shared.

Mater Academy of International Studies receives students from the Head Start program and provides their parents with orientations and professional developments that helps facilitate them through the school process.

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

N/A

Other

• Involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extend an open invitation to our school's parent resource center in order to inform parents regarding available programs, their rights under No Child Left Behind and other referral services.

• Increase parental engagement/involvement through developing (with on-going parental input) our Title I School-Parent Compact (for each student); our school's Title I Parental Involvement Policy; scheduling the Title I Orientation Meeting (Open House); and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements.

• Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops, Parent Academy Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parents' schedule as part of our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement.

• Complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly School Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913 03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/Rtl Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making and ensures that the school based team is implementing the MTSS/RtI, ensures adequate professional development to support implementation.

SPED Program Specialist: Provides assistance to general education teachers to implement accommodations and strategies for children with exceptional needs or "at-risk". Consults monthly with teachers, administrators, and students. Works closely with reading and math instructional leaders to monitor the effectiveness of intervention programs and assist students in Tier 2 and 3. Consults with parents as to the needs of their students with exceptionalities.

General Education Teacher: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 Interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Lead Teacher: Conducts assessment of MTSS/RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, communicates with parents regarding school –based MTSS/RtI plans and activities.

Reading Instructional Leader: Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans. Develops leads and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/ behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Math Instructional Leader: Provides guidance on K-12 mathematics instruction; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans. Develops leads and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/ behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Grade Level Chairperson: Provide assistance to general education teachers to help aid teachers in the MTSS/RtI process through small group professional development meetings.

Counselor: Provide assistance to general education teachers to help aid teachers in the MTSS/RtI process through small group professional development meetings. Counselor will also be involved in the monitoring of the MTSS/ RtI program and will provide support to teachers and students undergoing the process.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

1. The Leadership Tem will meet monthly to disseminate the academic data and review/determine behavioral goals. The Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students?

2. The Leadership Team will monitor and adjust the school's academic and behavioral goals through data gathering and data analysis.

3. The Leadership Team will monitor the fidelity of the delivery of instruction and intervention.

4. The Leadership Team will provide levels of support and interventions to students based on data.

5. The Leadership Team will meet to identify those students meeting or exceeding benchmarks, based on gathered information, the team will identify professional development and resources.

6. The Leadership Team will review universal screening data and link it to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks and are at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks.

7. The Leadership Team will collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills.

8. The Leadership Team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and make decisions about implementation.

-MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

- 1. Data will be used to guide instructional decisions and system procedures for all students to:
- · adjust the delivery of curriculum and instruction to meet the specific needs of students
- adjust the delivery of behavior management system
- · adjust the allocation of school-based resources
- · drive decisions regarding targeted professional development
- · create student growth trajectories in order to identify and develop interventions

The data sources and management systems used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior are the following:

Academic

• FAIR assessment (Broad Screening, Progress Monitoring, Targeted Diagnostic Indicators, Broad Diagnostic Indicators, Ongoing Progress Monitoring Tools, Phonics Screening Inventory

- Oral Reading Fluency Measures
- Voyager Checkpoints
- Voyager Benchmark Assessments
- Baseline Benchmark Assessments
- Interim assessments
- State/Local Math and Science assessments
- FCAT
- Student grades
- School site specific assessments
- Behavior
- Student Case Management System
- Detentions
- Suspensions/expulsions
- · Referrals by student behavior, staff behavior, and administrative context
- Team climate surveys
- Attendance

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The district professional development and support will include:

1. training for all administrators in the RtI problem solving at Tiers 1, 2, and 3 (SST), using the Tier 1 Problem Solving Worksheet, Tier 2 Problem Solving Worksheet, and Tier 3 Problem Solving Worksheet and Intervention Plan

2. providing support for school staff to understand basic RtI principles and procedures. Professional development will be provided during teachers' common planning

time and small sessions will occur throughout the year.

3. providing a network of ongoing support for RtI organized through feeder patterns. The MTSS/RtI team will evaluate additional staff PD needs during the monthly MTSS/RtI Leadership Team meetings.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

1. Effective, actively involved, and resolute leadership that frequently provides visible connections between a MTSS framework with district & school mission statements and organizational improvement efforts.

2. Alignment of policies and procedures across classroom, grade, building, district, and state levels.

3. Ongoing efficient facilitation and accurate use of a problem-solving process to support planning, implementing, and evaluating effectiveness of services.

4. Strong, positive, and ongoing collaborative partnerships with all stakeholders who provide education services or who otherwise would benefit from increases in student outcomes.

5. Comprehensive, efficient, and user-friendly data-systems for supporting decision-making at all levels from the individual student level up to the aggregate district level.

6. Sufficient availability of coaching supports to assist school team and staff problem-solving efforts.

7. Ongoing data-driven professional development activities that align to core student goals and staff needs.

8. Communicating outcomes with stakeholders and celebrating success frequently.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal: Ms.Beatriz Morris Lead Teacher: Ms. Arley Blanco Reading Instructional Leader: Ms. Tannia Rodriguez Grade Level Chairs: Ms. Eleida Bello, Ms. Jessica Ruiz Ms. Wara Pineiro, Ms. Miriam Barrios Ms. Marta Estrada Media Specialist: Ms. Norma Ramirez

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Principal: Selection of team members within the LLT; ensuring members are highly qualified. Reinforces the positive and convincing students, parents and teachers that all children can learn and improve academically. Promote the school's common vision in promoting literacy development.

Lead Teacher: Assist and facilitate in providing support to teachers and reading instructional leader in assessing, formulating lessons, analyzing data, progress monitoring, and the implementation of literacy strategies throughout the year. Reading Instructional Leader: Provides guidance on K-12 reading plan; facilitates and supports data collection activities; assists in data analysis; provides professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data based instructional planning; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans. Develops leads and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/ behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Grade Level Chairperson: Assist and facilitate in providing support to teachers and reading instructional leader in assessing, formulating lessons, analyzing data, progress monitoring, and the implementation of literacy strategies throughout the year. Media Specialist: Assists in promoting literacy, exposing children to different genres and literary strategies. She works with the Literacy Leadership Team in working with students on reading software.

The LLT team will meet monthly to:

1. Gather and analyze data at all Tiers to determine areas of focus in order to provide professional development for faculty as indicated by group or individual student diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment.

2. Hold regular team meetings. Use the four step problem solving process as the basis for goal setting, planning, and program evaluation during all team meetings that focus on increasing student achievement or behavioral success.

3. Develop lesson studies to focus on developing and implementing instructional routines that use complex text and incorporate text-dependent questions.

4. Develop lessons that provide students with opportunities for research and incorporate writing throughout.

5. Support a process and structure within the school to design, implement, and evaluate both daily instruction and specific interventions.

6. Provide clear indicators of student need and student progress, assisting in examining the validity and effectiveness of program delivery.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Mater Academy of International Studies' Literacy Leadership Team will:

- Initiate a school-wide instructional focus calendar that incorporates reading strategies and best practices from evidence based research to be taught in every content area.
- Implement a writing plan across the curriculum
- Incorporate a school-wide reading initiative as an incentive system to use with Accelerated Reader to encourage and motivate students to read independently.
- Implementing reading intervention programs through Voyager
- Hosting two Scholastic Book Fairs to promote literacy
- Hosting school-wide literacy nights (Reading Under the Stars)
- Monitor and implement literacy software programs
- · Facilitate the use of the media center as a location to indulge in reading activities

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Students from Pre-schools visited the school during $\frac{1}{2}$ day orientation session. At this time they met the principal, participated in classroom activities, and had a snack in the cafeteria.

At Mater Academy of International Studies, all incoming Kindergarten students are assessed prior to or upon entering Kindergarten in order to ascertain individual and group needs and to assist in the development of robust instructional/intervention programs. All students are assessed within the areas of Basic Skills/School Readiness, Oral Language/Syntax, Print/Letter Knowledge, and Phonological Awareness/Processing. Specifically, using the Brigance will be used to assess basic academic skill development and academic school readiness of incoming students. The OLPS-R assessment will be used to ascertain oral language skills of incoming students.

Screening data will be collected and aggregated prior to September 4th, 2012. Core Kindergarten academic and behavioral instruction will include daily explicit instruction, modeling, guided practice and independent practice of all academic and/or social emotional skills. Social skills instruction will occur daily for 20 minutes and will be reinforced throughout the day through the use of a common language, re-teaching, and positive reinforcement of pro-social behavior. A questionnaire will provide information for the instruction/ intervention regarding social behavior.

Within the first 40 days of school and ongoing throughout the year, all Kindergartners are administered FAIR to determine school readiness and to assist its appropriate placement for a positive and productive learning experience.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | on the analysis of studer provement for the following | nt achievement data, and re g group: | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and a | define areas in need | |
|-------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| readi | 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1a: | | | The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading test indicated that 28% of the students achieved a level 3 of proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase by 3%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 28%(| 42) | | 31%(46) | | | |
| | Pi | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Our deficiency as reflected on the 2012 FCAT Reading was in Reporting Category 2: Reading Application | Students will use grade- level appropriate texts that include identifiable author's purpose and author's perspective in text. Students should be able to identify main idea; causal relationships imbedded in text, and must be familiar with text structures using graphic organizers, basal activities, literacy computer programs and other research-based resources to support evidence-based responses. | Team | The MTSS/RtI and LL Teams will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. The teams will analyze and disseminate corresponding data from weekly assessments, interim and baseline assessments, and computer software reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Based on the findings from the data, the team will brainstorm other strategies that may be useful in the implementation of the process. Quarterly professional developments can be planned and implemented by the team if need be. | Formative: FAIR Baseline Assessments Benchmark (Interim) Assessments Reports generated from FCAT Explorer, Reading Plus and Achieve 3000 Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. | N/A | | | |
| Reading Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | |

| N/A | | | N/A | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------|------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease St | udent Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group: | ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|---|
| Level 4 in reading. | The results of 2012 FCAT Reading test indicated that 21% of the students achieved levels 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase level 4 and 5 proficiency by 1%. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |

22% (33)

21%(31)

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | |
| 1 | Our deficiency as reflected on the 2012 FCAT Reading was in Reporting Category 2: Reading Application. | | MTSS/RtI Team LL Team | to review the effectiveness of the strategies. The teams will analyze and disseminate corresponding data from weekly assessments, interim and baseline assessments, and computer software reports to ensure progress is being made | Formative: FAIR Baseline Assessments Benchmark (Interim) Assessments Reports generated from FCAT Explorer, Reading Plus and Achieve 3000 Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. | | | N/A | | | |
|--|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Reading Goal #2b: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | | | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or tion ponsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |
| <u></u> | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. | The result of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicates that 70% of students made learning gains. Our Goal for the 2012- | | | |
| Reading Goal #3a: | 2013 school year is to increase student achieving learning gains by 5%. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 70%(64) | 75%(68) | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Our deficiency as reflected on the 2012 FCAT Reading was in Reporting Category 2: Reading Application | Students will use grade- level appropriate texts that include identifiable author's purpose and author's perspective in text. Students should be able to identify main idea; causal relationships imbedded in text, and must be familiar with text structures using graphic organizers, basal activities, literacy computer programs and other research-based resources to support evidence-based responses. Students will practice using the Enrichment activities provided by the teacher and curriculum during small group instruction. | MTSS/RtI Team LL Team | The MTSS/RtI and LL Teams will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. The teams will analyze and disseminate corresponding data from weekly assessments, interim and baseline assessments, and computer software reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Based on the findings from the data, the team will brainstorm other strategies that may be useful in the implementation of the process. Quarterly professional developments can be | Formative: FAIR Baseline Assessments Benchmark (Interim) Assessments Reports generated from FCAT Explorer, Reading Plus and Achieve 3000 Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment |

| | | planned and implemented by the team if need be. | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | | | N/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for | | son or ition ponsible Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Strategy | | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate the 79% of students in the lowest 25% made learning Gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase the lowest 25% achieving learning gains by 5%. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 79% (N<30) | 84%(N<30) | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Evaluation Tool Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students will use CRISS MTSS/RtI Team The MTSS/RtI and LL Formative: FAIR Our deficiency as reflected on the 2012 strategies and graphic LL Team Teams will meet monthly Benchmark FCAT Reading was in organizers to assist them to review the Assessments Reporting Category 2: with identifying main idea effectiveness of the Reports generated Reading Application and details and strategies. from FCAT encourage them to Explorer, Achieve justify their answers The teams will analyze 3000 and Reading using details from the and disseminate Plus. text. corresponding data from Summative: Students will identify the Results from 2013 weekly assessments, author's purpose in text Voyager reports, interim FCAT Reading and how an author's and baseline Assessment perspective influences assessments, and text using the PIE chart computer software and other resources. reports to ensure Teachers will be progress is being made 1 observed on the and adjust instruction as strategies used. needed. Students will receive 30

| minutes of additional reading instruction daily. | Based on the findings from the data, the team will brainstorm other strategies that may be useful in the implementation of the process. |
|--|---|
| | Quarterly professional developments can be planned and implemented by the team if need be. |

| Based on Amb | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Reading Goal # Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. Our goal from 2011-2017 is to reduce the percent of non-proficient students by 50%. | | | | nt of non- 🔺 | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | 50 | 54 | 59 | 63 | 68 | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate the 46% of students in the Hispanic Subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase student proficiency by 7%. |
|---|
| The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate the 56% of students in the Black Subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase student proficiency by 2%. |
| 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| White: N/A Black:58% (9) Hispanic:53% (67) Asian: N/A American Indian: N/A |
| |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|----------|--|---|--|
| 1 | White: N/A Black: N/A Hispanic: The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of FCAT Reading Test was Reporting Category 2: Reading Application Asian: N/A American Indian: N/A | | MTSS/RtI Team LL Team | The MTSS/RtI and LL Teams will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. The teams will analyze and disseminate corresponding data from weekly assessments, interim and baseline assessments, and computer software reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Based on the findings from the data, the team | Formative: FAIR Benchmark Assessments Reports generated from FCAT Explorer, Achieve 3000 and Reading Plus. Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment |

| will brainstorm other strategies that may be useful in the implementation of the process. |
|---|
| Quarterly professional developments can be planned and implemented by the team if need be. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: | The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate that 39% of students in the ELL Subgroup did not meet AMO. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase AMO by 7%. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 39% (18) | 46%(21) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring The area of deficiency as Teachers will use a MTSS/RtI Team The MTSS/RtI and LL Formative: FAIR variety of ELL strategies LL Team Teams will meet monthly Benchmark noted on the 2012 administration of FCAT Assessments to assist with the to review the Reading Test was understanding of story effectiveness of the Reports generated Reporting Category 2: structure. Other ELL strategies. from FCAT Reading Application strategies that will be Explorer, Achieve 3000 and Reading used are think-pair-During Grade level share, brainstorming, meetings teachers will Plus. Summative: activating prior collaborate to discuss Results from 2013 knowledge, reciprocal with the Reading teaching and using Instructional Leader and FCAT Reading LLT the students' Assessment illustrations and diagrams. Through small ongoing progress and 1 group instruction, effectiveness of strategies in expanding students will receive additional opportunities students' awareness of to acquire skills. story structure, author's purpose/perspective and text structures, making adjustments to instruction as needed. Quarterly professional developments can be planned and implemented by the team if need be.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: | According to the data presented in the AMO report our school shows no data for the subgroups for the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading Test. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making The results of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test indicate the 47% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup satisfactory progress in reading. achieved proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase student proficiency by 7%. Reading Goal #5E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 47% (61) 54%(70) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy As noted on the Students will use CRISS MTSS/Rtl Team The MTSS/RtI and LL Formative: FAIR administration of the strategies and graphic Teams will meet monthly Benchmark LL Team 2012 FCAT Reading test, organizers to assist with to review the Assessments effectiveness of the the Economically the understanding of Reports generated Disadvantaged subgroup from FCAT story structure. Through strategies. did not make AMO. small group instruction Explorer, Achieve The area of deficiency as students will receive During Grade level 3000 and Reading noted on the 2012 additional opportunities meetings teachers will Plus. administration of FCAT to acquire skills. collaborate to discuss Summative: Results from 2013 Reading Test was with the Reading Reporting Category 2: Instructional Leader and FCAT Reading Reading Application LLT the students' Assessment ongoing progress and 1 effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of story structure, author's purpose/perspective and text structures, making adjustments to instruction as needed. Quarterly professional developments can be planned and implemented by the team if need be.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Elevated Standards of Performance in Reading | K-5 | Ron Clark | K-5 Teachers | August 13, 2012 | Walk through | Administrators |
| Achieve 3000 Program | K-5 | Rafael Villanueva | K-5 Teachers | August 13, 2012 | Generate Reports | Administrators |
| PLC will focus on vocabulary development | K-5 Reading | Tannia Rodriguez | K-5 Teachers | August 16, 2012 | Walk through and Word Walls | Administrators |
| Common Core Standards | K-5 | Leadership Team | K-5 Teachers | August 16, 2012 | Walk through | Administrators |
| Accelerated Reader | K-5 | Kay Fillmere | K-5 Teachers | September 20, 2012 | Generate Reports | Administrators |

Reading Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
|--|---|----------------|------------------------|
| Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of application of the reading and research process and limited vocabulary, we will provide intervention to targeted small groups based on their needs. | Voyager Passport- Small group packets | Operational | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of application of the reading and research process and limited vocabulary, we will provide intervention to targeted small groups based on their needs. | Achieve 3000 | Operational | \$5,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$5,000.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Due to our students' limited vocabulary, we will provide intervention to targeted small groups based on their needs to increase their use of vocabulary strategies. | Manuals | Operational | \$350.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$350.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Tutoring Services | Triumph Learning Materials Success Academy | Title I | \$500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$500.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$6,850.0 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. | 47% of the students achieved pronciency in Listening | | | | |
| | and Speaking. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase by 2%. | | | | |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

47%(107)

| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | |
|---|---|------------------------|--|---|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | 1.1. Our deficiency as reflected on the 2012 Exam was speaking and listening. | | teachers MTSS/RtI and ELL | MTSS/RtI team and ESOL Chairs will meet monthly to review and | 1.1 Results from 2013 CELLA and teacher weekly assessments. |

| Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA tests indicated that | | | |
| CELLA Goal #2: | 33% of the students achieved proficiency in Reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase by 2%. | | | |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

33%(75)

Γ

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|---|--|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 2.1 Our deficiency as reflected on the 2012 Exam was reading. | | 2.1 Reading and Language Arts teachers MTSS/RtI and ELL Chairpersons | 2.1 MTSS/RtI team and ESOL Chairs will meet monthly to review and monitor progress. Reading and Language Arts teachers are to review formative weekly assessment data reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. | 2.1 Results from 2013 CELLA and teacher weekly assessments. | |

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

3. Students scoring proficient in writing.

CELLA Goal #3:

The results of the 2011-2012 CELLA tests indicated that 35% of the students achieved proficiency in Writing. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase by 2%.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

35%(80)

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 3.1 Our deficiency as reflected on the 2012 Exam was writing. | 3.1 Students will practice writing in the English language in their core classes using reading response journals, process writing, letter writing, writing prompts, spelling strategies and graphic organizers. | 3.1 Reading and Language Arts teachers MTSS/RtI and EL Chairpersons | | 3.1 Results from 2013 CELLA and teacher weekly assessments. | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Program | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a: | | | 30% of student | ne 2012 FCAT Mathematics ts achieved Level 3. Our go ar is to increase Level 3 st | al for the 2012- | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforr | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 30%(| 44) | | 35%(52) | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 1.1. Our deficiency as reflected on the 2012 FCAT Math was in Reporting Category 2: Fractions | 1.1. Students will develop an understanding of fractions and fraction equivalence. Students should be able to represent, compare and order fractions, including fractions greater than 1, using area, set, and linear models. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. Teachers will also use math manipulatives to enhance instruction (fractions cards/ tiles) | | 1.1. The MTSS/RtI team and Leadership team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Math Instructional Leader to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of fractions. Math Teachers will attend Professional Learning Communities quarterly within the Mater District. | tests Reports generated from IXL Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and referred of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b: | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to | Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Person or | Process Used to | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| 2a. F | CAT 2.0: Students scorin | g at or above Achieveme | ent The require of t | ha 2012 FCAT Mathematic | a Taat indiaata tha | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a: | | 23% of student 2012-2013 sch | The results of the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test indicate tha 23% of students achieved Level 4 & 5. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 4& 5 student proficiency by 2% | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 23% | (34) | | 25% (37) | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too | |
| I | maintain or improve performance as noted on the 2012 administration of FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 2: Fractions | fractions, fraction equivalence and begin to | 2.1. Leadership Team | 2.1 The Leadership team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Math Instructional Leader to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of fractions. Math Teachers will attend PLC days within the Mater District. | 2.1 Formative: Bi- Weekly benchmar tests Reports generated from IXL Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment | |
| 2 | of FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement | 2a.2. Students will develop an understanding of area and determine the area of two-dimensional | 2a.2. Leadership Team | 2a.2. The Leadership team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Math Instructional Leader to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of fractions. Math Teachers will attend PLC days within the Mater District. | 2a.2. Formative: Bi- Weekly benchmar tests Reports generated from IXL Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment | |

Students will be provided opportunities to engage

| | with real-world objects and manipulate them to identify geometric concepts. Through math dialogues they will analyze and interpret their different methodologies. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of geometry. | | |
|--|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|--|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Fosit for | | on or tion ponsible Effectiveness of Strategy | | | | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a: | On the 2012 FCAT Mathematics test 65% of students made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to provide appropriate interventions, remediation, and enrichment opportunities in order to increase the percentage of students making learning gains by 5% |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 65%(59) | 70%(64) |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was | 3.1. Provide grade level appropriate activities that promote the understanding of fractions and fraction | 3.1. Leadership Team | the effectiveness of the strategies. | 3.1. Formative: Bi- Weekly benchmark tests Reports generated from IXL | | |

| 1 | Fractions | equivalence. Students should be able to represent, compare and order fractions, including fractions greater than 1, using area, set, and linear models. Engage students' activities that use manipulatives including fraction bars, fraction walls, paper strips, and other everyday objects to enable them to make real-world connections. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. | During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Math Instructional Leader to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of fractions. Math Teachers will attend PLC days within the Mater District. | Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| 2 | 3a.2. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement | 3a.2. Students will develop an understanding of area and determine the area of two-dimensional shapes; classifying angles; identify and describe the results of transformations. They will also be able to describe three-dimensional shapes, analyzing their properties including volume and surface area. Students will also be able to compare, contrast and convert units of measures with the same dimension to solve word problems. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. Students will also through small group instruction receive on level support within this area. | strategies. | 3a.2. Formative: Bi- Weekly benchmark tests Reports generated from IXL Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment |

| ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| making learning gains in mathematics. | | | learning gains. provide appropr improve the pe | On the 2012 FCAT Mathematics test 57% of students made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to provide appropriate interventions, remediation in order to improve the percent of students in the lowest 25 percent making learning gains by 10%. | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfor | mance: | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 57% | (N<30 | | 67%(N<30) | | | | |
| | Ρ | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | 4a.1. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 2: Fractions | 4a.1. Provide grade level appropriate activities that promote the understanding of fractions and fraction equivalence. Students should be able to represent, compare and order fractions, including fractions greater than 1, using area, set, and linear models. Engage students' activities that use manipulatives including fraction bars, fraction walls, paper strips, and other everyday objects to enable them to make real-world connections. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. Students will receive an additional 30 minutes of mathematical instruction outside of class on a daily basis. | 4a.1. MTSS/RtI team | 4a.1. The Leadership team and the MTSS/RtI team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Math Instructional Leader to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of fractions. Math Teachers will attend PLC days within the Mater District. | Weekly benchmark tests Reports generated from IXL Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment | | |
| | 4a.2. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement | 4a.2. Students will develop an understanding of area and determine the area of two-dimensional shapes; classifying angles; identify and describe the results of transformations. They will also be able to describe | 4a.2. MTSS/RtI team | 4a.2. The Leadership team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Math | 4a.2. Formative: Bi- Weekly benchmark tests Reports generated from IXL Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math | | |

| | shapes, analyzing their | discuss students' ongoing | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | properties including | progress and | |
| | volume and surface area. | effectiveness of | |
| | Students will also be able | strategies in expanding | |
| | to compare, contrast and | students' awareness of | |
| 2 | convert units of | | |
| 2 | | fractions. | |
| | measures with the same | | |
| | dimension to solve word | Math Teachers will | |
| | problems. | attend PLC days within | |
| | | the Mater District. | |
| | Engage students' | | |
| | activities to use | | |
| | technology such as IXL | | |
| | that include visual | | |
| | stimulus to develop | | |
| | conceptual understanding | | |
| | of geometry. Students | | |
| | will receive an additional | | |
| | 30 minutes of | | |
| | mathematical instruction | | |
| | outside of class on a | | |
| | daily basis. | | |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | Elementary School | Mathematics Goal # | | A |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | 57 | 61 | 65 | 69 | 73 | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: | | | nite, Black, s naking 8 T s | nce to "Guiding Ques The results of the 20 students in the Hispa goal for the 2012-20 3% The results of the 20 students in the Black for the 2012-2013 sc | 12 FCAT Math Test nic Subgroup did not 13 school years is to 12 FCAT Math Test Subgroup did not m | indicate the 52% of t make AMO. Our o increase AMO by indicate the 50% of ake AMO. Our goal |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| White N/A Black: 50%(8) Hispanic: 52%(66) Asian: N/A American Indian: N/A | | | E H A | Ante: N/A Black: 68% (11) Hispanic: 60%(76) Asian: N/A American Indian: N/A | | |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| Black: Hispanic:: The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was | 5B.1. Provide grade level appropriate activities that promote the understanding of fractions and fraction equivalence. Students should be able to represent, compare and | 5B.1. Leadership Team | strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will | 5B.1. Formative: Bi- weekly assessments Reports generated from IXL and FCAT Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 | | |

| 1 | Fractions Asian: American Indian: 5B.2. | order fractions, including fractions greater than 1, using area, set, and linear models. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. Students will also through small group instruction receive on level support within this area. 5B.2. | | with the Math Instructional Leader to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of fractions. Math Teachers will attend PLC days within the Mater District. | FCAT Math Assessment 5B.2. |
|---|--|---|-----------------|---|---|
| 2 | 55.2. 58.1. White: Black: Hispanic:: The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement Asian: American Indian: | Students will develop an understanding of area and determine the area of two-dimensional shapes; classifying angles; identify and describe the results of transformations. They will also be able to describe three-dimensional shapes, analyzing their properties including volume and surface area. Students will also be able to compare, contrast and convert units of measures with the same dimension to solve word problems. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. Students will also through small group instruction receive on level support within this area. | Leadership Team | The Leadership team will meet monthly to review | Formative: Bi- weekly assessments Reports generated from IXL and FCAT Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, | and reference to | "Guiding Questions", | identify and d | lefine areas i | in need |
|--|------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. | | | The results of the 2012 FCAT Math Test indicate that 46% of students in the ELL Subgroup did not make AMO. Our goal | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Math | Mathematics Goal #5C: | | | for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase AMO by 7%. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 46%(21) | | | | 53%(24) | | |
| | Pr | roblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | R | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | 5C.1. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 | 5C.1. Provide grade level appropriate activities | 5C. Lea | 1. adership Team | 5C.1 The Leadership team will meet monthly to review | 5C.1. Formative: Bi- weekly |

| 1 | administration of the FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 2: Fractions | that promote the understanding of fractions and fraction equivalence. Students should be able to represent, compare and order fractions, including fractions greater than 1, using area, set, and linear models. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. Students will also through small group instruction receive on level support within this area. | the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Math Instructional Leader to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of fractions. Math Teachers will attend PLC days within the Mater District. | Reports generated from IXL and FCAT Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | 5C.2. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement | | The Leadership team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. | 5C.2. Formative: Bi- weekly assessments Reports generated from IXL and FCAT Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: | The AMO report did not provide data for any subgroup for ou school. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Person or Process Used to | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: | | | The results of t of students in t | The results of the 2012 FCAT Math Test indicate that 52% of students in the ELL Subgroup did not make AMO. Our goa for the 2012-2013 school years is to increase AMO by 8%. | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 201 | 2 Current Level of Perfor | mance: | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 52% | 5(68) | | 60%(78) | | | | |
| | Ρ | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | 5D.1. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 2: Fractions | 5D.1. Provide grade level appropriate activities that promote the understanding of fractions and fraction equivalence. Students should be able to represent, compare and order fractions, including fractions greater than 1, using area, set, and linear models. Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. Students will also through small group instruction receive intervention within this area. | | meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss | | | |
| 2 | 5E.2. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Math Test was Reporting Category 3: Geometry and Measurement | 5E.2 Students will develop an understanding of area and determine the area of two-dimensional shapes; classifying angles; identify and describe the results of transformations. They will also be able to describe three-dimensional shapes, analyzing their properties including volume and surface area. Students will also be able to compare, contrast and convert units of measures with the same dimension to solve word problems. | | 5E.2. The Leadership Team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Math Instructional Leader to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of fractions. Math teachers will attend PLC days within the Mater District. | weekly assessments Reports generated from IXL and FCA Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Math Assessment | | |

| | Engage students' activities to use technology such as IXL that include visual stimulus to develop conceptual understanding of fractions. Students will also through small group instruction receive on level support within this area. | |
|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|----------|--|---|--|---|---|
| Differentiated Instruction using math strategies | K-5 Math | Barry LeMaitre | K-5 Math teachers | Early Release staring September 2012- June 1 | Math small group schedule | Administrators |
| Gizmos | K-5 Math | Barry LeMaitre | K-5 Math teachers | September 17, 2012 | Reported from computer assisted program | Administrators |
| Mater Math Dialogues | K-5 Math | Maria Montero | K-5 Math teachers | Once meeting every semester (grading period) | Reported from computer assisted program | Administrators |
| IXL | K-5 Math | Barry LeMaitre | K-5 Math teachers | September 2012 | Reported from computer assisted program | Administrators |

Mathematics Budget:

| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
|---|---|---|
| | | Amoun \$0.00 |
| No Data | | |
| | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| IXL | Operational | \$4,000.0 |
| | • | Subtotal: \$4,000.0 |
| | | |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Digital Resource in-house training (IXL) | Operational | \$500.00 |
| | IXL Description of Resources Digital Resource in-house training | No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source IXL Operational Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source |

| Other | | | |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Algebraic Thinking, Geometry, and Data Analysis, teachers need training in using data reports to identify and address their student's individual needs. | Differentiated Instruction using math strategies (in-house training) | Operational | \$50.00 |
| Tutoring Services | Triumph Learning Materials Success Academy | Title I | \$500.00 |
| | - | | Subtotal: \$550.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$5,050.00 |
| | | | |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stud in need of improvemen | | | Guiding Questions", ider | ntify and define | |
|------|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Leve | CAT2.0: Students scor I 3 in science. nce Goal #1a: | ring at Achievement | of students ac | On the 2012 administration of the Science FCAT, 17% of students achieved proficiency (FCAT Level 3.) The expected level of performance for 2013 is 22%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performanc | ce: | |
| 17%(| 7) | | 22%(9) | | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 1.1. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science Test was Earth and Space. | 1.1. Provide a variety of hands on and technology based inquiry learning opportunities for students to analyze, draw appropriate conclusions, and apply instructional concepts through the experience of the scientific method. Students will also use technology through Gizmos | | 1.1. The Leadership Team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. The teams will analyze and disseminate corresponding data from weekly assessments, interim and baseline assessments, and computer software reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Science teachers will be involved in PLC's within the Mater Inc. district. | 1.1. Formative: Bi- weekly assessments , Reports generated from Gizmos and FCAT Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Science Assessment | |
| | 1a.2. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science Test | 1a.2. Provide students with activities to design and develop science and engineering based | | 1a.2. The Leadership Team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the | 1a.2. Formative: Bi- weekly assessments, Reports | |

| 2 Instruction involves teacher based demonstrations. Students will also use technology through Gizmos. | from weekly assessments, interim | Gizmos and FCAT Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Science Assessment |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
|--|-------------------------------------|---|

| | d on the analysis of stuc s in need of improvemen | | | Guiding Questions", ider | ntify and define | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and defin areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a: | | | the students a | On the 2012 administration of the Science FCAT 10% of the students achieved proficiency (FCAT Level 4 and 5).The expected level of performance for 2013 is 12%. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| 10%(| 10%(4) | | | 12%(5) | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | 2.1. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science Test | 2.1 Provide opportunities for students to develop scientific process' skills through | 2.1 Leadership Team | 2.1. The Leadership Team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the | 2.1. Formative: Bi- weekly assessments, Reports | |

| 1 | was Earth and Space. | scientific projects. Students will question, evaluate, compose data, and synthesize results from their projects and use research strategies to provide support to their reasoning. Students will also use technology through Gizmos. | | strategies. The teams will analyze and disseminate corresponding data from weekly assessments, interim and baseline assessments, and computer software reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Science teachers will be involved in PLC's within the Mater Inc. district | generated from Gizmos and FCAT Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Science |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | 2a.2. The area deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT Science Test was Physical Science. | 2a.2. Provide opportunities for students to work cooperatively in groups where they conduct lab activities and connect mathematical computations within the science concepts. Students will also use technology through Gizmos. | 2a.2. Leadership Team | 2a.2. The Leadership Team will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. The teams will analyze and disseminate corresponding data from weekly assessments, interim and baseline assessments, and computer software reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed. Science teachers will be involved in PLC's within the Mater Inc. district | 2a.2. Formative: Bi- weekly assessments , Reports generated from Gizmos and FCAT Explorer Summative: Results from 2013 FCAT Science |

| | of student achievement data rement for the following grou | reference | to "Guiding Questions" | , identify and define | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate . Students scoring at o in science. Science Goal #2b: | Assessment: r above Achievement Lev | N/A | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perform | mance: | |
| N/A | | | N/A | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process | s to Li | ncrease S | Student Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for | | on or tion ponsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Г

| | I development or PLC activity. |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | |
| | |

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Technology Integration | K-5 Science | Elizabeth Sauri | K-5 Grade Science Teachers | Early Release September 2012 | Walk Through and Observations | Administrators |
| Mater Science Dialogues | K-5 Science | Barry LeMaitre | Toachors | Twice a year on Professional Development Days | Walk through and Observations Reflections Technology Reports | Leadership Team |

Science Budget:

| Subtrain Subtrain Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, students will utilize computer- order thinking skills. Brain Pop and Gizmos Operational \$1,5 Brain Pop and Gizmos Operational \$1,5 Professional Develop higher order thinking skills. Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar No Data No Data No Data Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar No Data No Data No Data Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, Insuiny based instructional Ava | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Availabl Amoun |
|--|---|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Fechnology Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ar Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, taudents will utilize computer- based learning to develop higher order thinking skills. Brain Pop and Gizmos Operational \$1,5 Professional Development Subtotal: \$1,5 Subtotal: \$1,5 Professional Development Vaa Ava Ar No Data Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar No Data No Data Subtotal: Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instructional training materials EESAC \$ | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.0 |
| StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAva ArDue to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, students will utilize computer- based learning to develop higherBrain Pop and GizmosOperational\$1,5StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAva ArNo DataNo DataNo DataAva ArStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAva ArNo DataNo DataSubtotal:\$1,5OtherStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAva ArStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAva ArDue to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instructional inquiry based instructional training materialsEESAC\$ | | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ar Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, students will utilize computer- based learning to develop higher order thinking skills. Brain Pop and Gizmos Operational \$1,5 Professional Development Subtotal: \$1,5 Subtotal: \$1,5 Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar No Data No Data Ava Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar No Data No Data Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instruction to increase their students' higher Inquiry based instructional training materials EESAC \$ | echnology | | | |
| in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, students will utilize computer- based learning to develop higher order thinking skills. Professional Development Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar No Data No Data Subtotal: Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Subtotal: Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Subtotal: Cher Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Subtotal: Cher Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Subtotal: Cher Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Subtotal: Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Subtotal: Cher Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Subtotal: Sub | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Availabl Amoun |
| Professional Development Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar No Data No Data No Data Subtotal: Other Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ar Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instruction at increase their students' higher Inquiry based instructional training materials EESAC \$ | in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, students will utilize computer- based learning to develop higher | Brain Pop and Gizmos | Operational | \$1,500.0 |
| StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAva ArNo DataNo DataNo DataSubtotal:OtherStrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAva ArDue to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instructional training materialsInquiry based instructional training materialsEESAC\$ | | | | Subtotal: \$1,500.0 |
| Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ar No Data No Data Subtotal: Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ar Other Subtotal: Subtotal: Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instructional training materials Inquiry based instructional training materials EESAC \$ | Professional Development | | | |
| Subtotal: Dther Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Ara Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instructional training materials EESAC \$ | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Availabl Amoun |
| Other Ava Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ava Due to our students' deficiencies Inquiry based instructional Ava and Earth and Space Science, Inquiry based instructional EESAC \$ increase their students' higher Inquiry based instructional EESAC \$ | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.0 |
| StrategyDescription of ResourcesFunding SourceAva ArDue to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instruction to increase their students' higherInquiry based instructional training materialsEESAC\$ | | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Ar Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instruction to increase their students' higher Inquiry based instructional training materials EESAC \$ | Other | | | |
| in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instructional inquiry based instructional training materials increase their students' higher | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Availabl Amoun |
| | in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instruction to increase their students' higher | | EESAC | \$75.0 |
| Subtotal: \$ | ~ | - | | Subtotal: \$75.0 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1a. FCAT 2.0: 3.0 and highe Writing Goal 7 | r in writing. | ing at Achievement Le | In the administ the students a | In the administration of the 2012 FCAT Writing, 57% of the students achieved proficiency in writing. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase by 4%. | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2012 Current | Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | 2: | |
| 57%(30) | | | 61%(32) | | | |
| | Prol | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| Anticip | bated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| as noted administ Writing F focus an of narrat exposito contain a paragrap sentence | ry essays that at least three obs and a topic e ,supporting and relevant | 1.1. Our school will implement a school – wide word of the week, in which students will use the word of the week in their Journal writing. Also, the school will implement a monthly writing prompt. Students will edit and correct the draft for standard language conventions during small group instruction. Through a school-wide writing initiative, students will be completing weekly writing prompts where they are expected to use process writing all week. Lastly, the reading instructional leader will create a school wide Scholastic blog. | Instructional Leader and the Literacy | 1.1. The MTSS/RtI and LL Teams will meet monthly to review the effectiveness of the strategies. During Grade level meetings teachers will collaborate to discuss with the Reading Instructional Leader and LLT to discuss students' ongoing progress and effectiveness of strategies in expanding students' awareness of story structure, figurative language and text features. Quarterly professional developments can be planned and implemented by the team if need be. | 1.1. Formative: District Baseline data and monthly writing prompts. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing Test | |

| | I on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | ent achievement data, an e following group: | d reference to "Gu | liding Questions", identif | y and define areas | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--------------------|--|
| at 4 c | lorida Alternate Assess or higher in writing. ng Goal #1b: | sment: Students scoring | D N/A | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | e: | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pro | olem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Melissa Forney Writing Workshop | K-5 Writing | Melissa Forney | K-5 grade teachers | | Weekly writing prompt scores | Administrators |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat | erial(s) | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Melissa Forney Writing Workshop | Workshop | Operational | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,000.00 |

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1: | Our goal this year is to increase attendance to 97.46% by creating a climate where parents, students and faculty feel welcomed and appreciated. In addition, our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to decrease the number of students with excessive absences (10 or more) and excessive tardiness (10 or more) | | | | |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: | | | | |
| 96.96%(451) | 97.46%(453) | | | | |

| | 2 Current Number of Stu ences (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 84 | | | 80 | 80 | | | | |
| | 2 Current Number of Stu ies (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | | | |
| 70 | | | 67 | 67 | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | in meeting our goal is parental cooperation in making sure that students are present | 1.1. Students who may be developing a pattern of nonattendance or excessive tardiness will be identified and be a part on the truancy prevention program. Parents of students who are tardy or absent to school will be contacted via Connect Ed. | Principal and/ or designee | 1.1. The Leadership team will meet monthly to monitor attendance rosters. | Logs and attendance rosters | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| PLC will focus on | K-5 | Daisy Perez | All Staff | Monthly reports | Implement program from first day of school | Teachers and Daisy Perez |

Attendance Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| In order to meet our school's goal for the 2012-2013 school year we will utilize Connect Ed to facilitate communication with | Connect Ed | ESSAC | \$1,000.00 |

| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Professional Developmen | it | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,000.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

1

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of susp provement: | ension data, and referen | nce to | o "Guiding Que | stions", identify and defi | ne areas in need | |
|--------------|--|--|--------|---|--|--|--|
| | spension ension Goal #1: | | | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school years is to maintain or decrease the number of suspensions. | | | |
| 2012 | Total Number of In–Sc | hool Suspensions | | 2013 Expecte | d Number of In-School | Suspensions | |
| 2 | | | | 2 | | | |
| 2012 | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Sch | | 2013 Expecte School | d Number of Students | Suspended In- | |
| 2 | | | | 2 | | | |
| 2012 | Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | | |
| 21 | | | | 19 | | | |
| 2012 Scho | | ents Suspended Out-of- | | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | | |
| 12 | | | | 11 | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | toIn | ncrease Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier Strategy R | | Re | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 1.1. The anticipated barrier in meeting our school's goal is the parents and student's lack of understanding of the student code of conduct | 1.1. The school's guidance counselor and the community involvement specialist will contact parents of the students who have been placed on indoor suspension to | tear | ninistration m | 1.1. The Leadership Team/Principal will monitor parent contact logs for evidence of communication with parents of students who have been placed | 1.1. Parent communication log Suspension Log | |

| conduct a meeting. | on indoor s | uspension. |
|--------------------|-------------|---|
| | Ŭ | log within book folder tracking at- |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| PLC will focus on Student Code of Conduct Contract | K-5 | All staff | All grades and subjects school- wide | August 16 (017) | Monitor SCAMS and referrals | Teachers and principal |
| Ron Clark Workshop | K-5 | Ron Clark | All grades and subjects school- wide | | Classroom Observations | Leadership Team |

Suspension Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|---|----------------|----------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Fechnology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| In order to meet our school's goal for the 2012-2013 we will have an orientation meeting for parents and students on the Student Code of Conduct. | Printing of the student/ parent handbook | Operational | \$50.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$50.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$50.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement: | Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. Parent Involvement | t | | | | | | |
| Parent Involvement G | Parent Involvement Goal #1: | | | | | | |
| *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. | | | N/A Title I, see PIP | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: | | | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for | | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

| | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | |
| Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

Strategy No Data

Other

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

| | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to integrate STEM through student participation in activities such as |
|---------------|--|
| STEM Cool #1. | the Science Fair, integrated technology across the curriculum and multimedia technology use in science and math. |

| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | 1.1. Students need additional exposure to instructional strategies and activities that are linked to increased rigor through inquiry based learning in science and math | 1.1. Provide a variety of hands on and technology based inquiry learning opportunities for students to analyze, draw appropriate conclusions, and apply instructional concepts through the experience of the scientific method. Students will also use technology through classroom projects. Each grade will be assigned an on-going project assignment throughout the year that will integrate across the curriculum. | 1.1. Leadership Team | 1.1. Review formative weekly assessment data reports to ensure progress is being made and adjust instruction as needed | 1.1. Formative: Bi- weekly assessments , Reports generated from Gizmos and FCAT Explorer |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade PD Vel/Subject and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
|---|--|--|---|--|--|

| Math/Science Chats | K-5 | | Science and Math teachers K-5 | September 2012- June 2013 | | Administrators, Department Chairs, Teachers |
|-----------------------|-----|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
|-----------------------|-----|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma | terial(s) | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Using supplemental materials to enhance instruction | Triumph learning Publications | Operational Account | \$2,139.62 |
| Using manipulatives to embed mathematical concepts | Go Math! Manipulative Kits | Operational Account | \$5,890.00 |
| | • | - | Subtotal: \$8,029.62 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$8,029.62 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Pro | ogram(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of application of the reading and research process and limited vocabulary, we will provide intervention to targeted small groups based on their needs. | Voyager Passport- Small group packets | Operational | \$1,000.00 |
| STEM | Using supplemental materials to enhance instruction | Triumph learning Publications | Operational Account | \$2,139.62 |
| STEM | Using manipulatives to embed mathematical concepts | Go Math! Manipulative Kits | Operational Account | \$5,890.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$9,029.62 |
| Technology | | Description of | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of application of the reading and research process and limited vocabulary, we will provide intervention to targeted small groups based on their needs. | Achieve 3000 | Operational | \$5,000.00 |
| Mathematics | Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Algebraic Thinking, Geometry, and Data Analysis the usage of computer based learning programs will support the students' understanding of Algebraic Thinking, Geometry, and Data Analysis. | IXL | Operational | \$4,000.00 |
| Science | Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, students will utilize computer-based learning to develop higher order thinking skills. | Brain Pop and Gizmos | Operational | \$1,500.00 |
| Attendance | In order to meet our school's goal for the 2012-2013 school year we will utilize Connect Ed to facilitate communication with parents. | Connect Ed | ESSAC | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$11,500.00 |
| Professional Develo | pment | Description of | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Due to our students' limited vocabulary, we will provide intervention to targeted small groups based on their needs to increase their use of vocabulary strategies. Due to our students' | Manuals | Operational | \$350.00 |

| Mathematics | deficiencies in the areas of Algebraic Thinking, Geometry, and Data Analysis, teachers need training in using computer based learning programs to meet their students' needs and be able to analyze data reports. | Digital Resource in- house training (IXL) | Operational | \$500.00 |
|---------------|---|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| Writing | Melissa Forney Writing Workshop | Workshop | Operational | \$1,000.00 |
| 0.1 | | | | Subtotal: \$1,850.00 |
| Other Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Tutoring Services | Triumph Learning Materials Success Academy | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Mathematics | Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Algebraic Thinking, Geometry, and Data Analysis, teachers need training in using data reports to identify and address their student's individual needs. | Differentiated Instruction using math strategies (in-house training) | Operational | \$50.00 |
| Mathematics | Tutoring Services | Triumph Learning Materials Success Academy | Title I | \$500.00 |
| Science | Due to our students' deficiencies in the areas of Scientific Thinking and Earth and Space Science, teachers will be trained in using inquiry based instruction to increase their students' higher order thinking skills. | Inquiry based instructional training materials | EESAC | \$75.00 |
| Suspension | In order to meet our school's goal for the 2012-2013 we will have an orientation meeting for parents and students on the Student Code of Conduct. | Printing of the student/ parent handbook | Operational | \$50.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$1,175.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$23 554 62 |

Grand Total: \$23,554.62

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus jn Prevent jn NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/11/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business

and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|---|------------|
| SAC funds will be used to purchase Connect Ed program. | \$1,000.00 |
| escribe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year | |

SAC will gather for monthly meetings to assist with the implementation and review of the Student Improvement Plan.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010 SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Dade School District MATER ACADEMY OF 1 2010-2011 | NTERNATI | ONAL STUDI | ES | | | |
|---|----------|------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 69% | 77% | 61% | 34% | 241 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 58% | 47% | | | 105 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | | 53% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 446 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 59% | 76% | 83% | 37% | | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 58% | 67% | | | 125 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 58% (YES) | 67% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 505 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | в | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |