FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: THE PACE PROGRAM

District Name: Collier

Principal: Dr. Cynthia M. Janssen

SAC Chair: Eric Peltz

Superintendent: Dr. Kamela Patton

Date of School Board Approval: November 20, 2012

Last Modified on: 9/19/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) | | |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Principal | | PhD Educational Leadership | 5 | | According to statute, the Superintendent has the authority to strategically place administrators within the school district. | | |
| Assis Principal | Kelley C. MA Educational Leadership 4.5 | | 4.5 | | According to statute, the Superintendent has the authority to strategically place administrators within the school district. | | |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | . 3 (.) . | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the |
|--------------|------|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|

| | | | | | associated school year) |
|----------|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Literacy | Jeanine Brakefield | MA Technology Reading Endorsement BA English Education 6-12 | 6 | 1 | History of academic excellence and past work experience with students in alternative programs. |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Quarterly for 2+ year teachers 6. Review salary schedule to align with district salaries | Executive Director Academic Manager PACE Policy | 1. As needed 2. As needed 3. At new hire date 4. At new hire date 5. At new hire date 6. At new hire date 7. May 1, 2013 8. July 31, 2013 9. Ongoing 10. Ongoing 11. Ongoing 12. Ongoing | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|--|---|
| Mark Charneski | Teacher is working on professional certification. |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------|--|--------------------------------|
| 4 | ł | 25.0%(1) | 100.0%(4) | 0.0%(0) | 0.0%(0) | 25.0%(1) | 75.0%(3) | 0.0%(0) | 0.0%(0) | 0.0%(0) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee | Rationale | Planned Mentoring |
|-------------|----------|--|---|
| | Assigned | for Pairing | Activities |
| | | *Veteran Teacher in program *Will be receiving district training in area of mentoring *Technology savvy with required program Software | Weekly meetings Observations as needed Webinars as needed |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other

| Career and Technical Education |
|---|
| Job Training |
| Other |
| |
| Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI) |
| School-based MTSS/RtI Team |
| Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. |
| Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? |
| Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? |
| -MTSS I mplementation Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. |
| Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS. |
| Describe the plan to support MTSS. |
| Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) |
| School-Based Literacy Leadership Team |
| Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). |
| Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). |
| What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? |
| |

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

All teachers will be practicing the districts directives by using THIEVES, Cornell notes, and Blooms Taxonomy in order to increase student achievement. Reading teachers have attended a variety of in-services beyond their certification/endorsement requirements, thus giving them additional knowledge in the use of research-based strategies for student success. The social studies teacher acquired lessons and classroom ideas to enhance vocabulary and comprehension using content materials. Math teacher is now focusing on "Reading in the Content Area" mini lessons to be used in the classroom. An emphasis, this year, will be differentiation in the classrooms, and can be obtained by using accommodations in process, content, or product. Modeling and observation by the reading coach will continue throughout the year.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Students will have the opportunity if desired to earn the Florida Ready to Work Credential which is designed to demonstrate to future employers the reading and mathematics skills of the students. The purpose of this credential is to integrate real world skills and abilities to the instructional objectives for both career and academic courses.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Teachers and Counselors encourage all students to complete or update the FACTS.org planning document each school year. Career guidance academic counseling provides access for students (and parents, as appropriate) to information regarding career awareness and planning with respect to an individual's occupational and academic future. This counseling also provides information with respect to career options, financial aid, and postsecondary options including college, technical, and post secondary educational opportunities. All seniors are encouraged to earn a Florida Ready to Work certificate at the highest level possible. Students are also encouraged to take the appropriate

pre-assessments in applied reading, applied math, and locating information tests which are a component of the Florida Ready to Work program.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

Planning for postsecondary participation is a critical activity that must begin as a student enters the ninth grade. Alternative schools support students and parents by placing an emphasis on the following:

- Improving and maintaining reading and mathematics achievement scores;
- · Counseling to take college placement exams such as CPT, SAT, and/or ACT;
- Counseling to enroll seniors in college level remedial English and mathematics courses;
- Full use of FACTS.org as planning tool for college and technical school enrollment;

- $\bullet \ \, \text{Increasing utilization of technical school dual enrollment as stepping stone to other postsecondary programs; and }$
- Encouraging students to earn Florida Ready to Work certificates and utilize career and college planning on-line assistance.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

| * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)). |
|--|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
| of improvement for the following group: |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo | | data, and refere | nce to "G | Guiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need |
|---|---------------------|--|---------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students sreading. | scoring at Achieven | nent Level 3 in | | | |
| Reading Goal #1a: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of P | Performance: | 2 | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perforn | nance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvir | ng Process to In | crease S | itudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person Position Respon for Monito | on Insible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data Si | ubmitted | | |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the formula. The Florida Alternate As Students scoring at Lev Reading Goal #1b: | ssessment: | | nce to "G | Guiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need |
| 2012 Current Level of P | Performance: | 2 | 2013 Εχρ | pected Level of Perforn | nance: |
| | Problem-Solvir | ng Process to In | crease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person Position Respons for Monito | on Insible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data Si | ubmitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo | | data, and refere | nce to "G | Guiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need |
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students Level 4 in reading. | scoring at or above | e Achievement | | | |
| Reading Goal #2a: | | | | | |

| | Pr | oblem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease St | uden | t Achievement | | | |
|--|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for | | | Posit Resp for | esponsible Effect | | ocess Used to etermine fectiveness of rategy | | Evaluation Tool | |
| | | No | Data | Submitted | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of improvement for the | | t achievement data, and g group: | refer | ence to "Gu | iiding | Questions", identify | and o | define areas in nee | |
| 2b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at c reading. | | nent: Achievement Level 7 i | n | | | | | | |
| Reading Goal #2b: | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level c | f Perforr | mance: | | 2013 Expe | ected | Level of Performa | nce: | | |
| Anticipated Parrier | | oblem-Solving Proces | Pers Posit | on or tion | Proc | ess Used to | Eval | uation Tool | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strat | | for | onsible Effectiveness of Strategy | | | Evaluation 1001 | | |
| | | No | Data | Submitted | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of improvement for the | | t achievement data, and g group: | refer | rence to "Gu | iiding | Questions", identify | and c | define areas in ne | |
| <u> </u> | | tudents making learni | ng | Center for survey 3 F | Girls ΓE pe | 3 academic school y students present for riods will make learni FCAT scores. | both | survey 2 and | |
| 2012 Current Level c | f Perforr | mance: | | 2013 Expe | ected | Level of Performa | nce: | | |
| 12% (19) students ma score increases. | de learnii | ng gains as evident by Fo | CAT | | | 3 school year, 100% arning gain as evider | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease St | uden | t Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Stra | | Strategy | R | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used t Determine Effectiveness o Strategy | Evaluation To | | |
| 1.1. Some PACE stud | dents | 1.1. Implement FAIR | 1.1 Pri | l. ncipal | | 1.1. Principal | | 1.1. FAIR assessment | |

enter the program below assessments to monitor Reading Coach

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Reading Coach

2012 Current Level of Performance:

| grade level in reading. | student progress. | Academic Manager Classroom teachers | Academic Manager Classroom teachers | Progress is monitored daily by |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| successfully make | school year, which offers students additional | 1.2. | 1.2. | classroom teachers. Studen are also given bi- |
| progress each school year. | through which learning gains can occur. | | Classroom walk throughs 1.3. Classroom walk throughs | weekly fluency checks. |
| Some PACE students lack level vocabulary skills. | PACE offers intensive | 1.3. Reading Coach Academic Manager | | Walk throughs will be performed by the Academic |
| Some PACE students lack comprehension skills. | lower level students achieve success. | Classroom teachers | | Manager |
| | All PACE students receive an Individual Academic Plan (IAP) that addresses their individualized reading learning need. | | | 1.2. Supervision notes 1.3. Supervision notes |
| | PACE employs a low student to teacher ratio (12:1) | | | |
| | Teachers use a wide variety of strategies to increase vocabulary skill including graphic organizers, context clues, repeated teaching, word maps, and word walls. | | | |
| | Students will also utilize FCAT Explorer to help strengthen math skills in particular grade levels. | | | |
| | 1.2. Infuse reading benchmarks in lesson plans and instructional delivery of all content areas 1.3. | | | |
| | Engage and monitor all students in daily independent reading | | | |

| of improvement for the fol | llowing group: | u reiei | ence to Gu | liding Questions , Identity | and define areas in need |
|--|------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease St | udent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | ion | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

I

| Based on the a of improvemen | | | ent data, and | d refere | ence to "Gu | aiding Ques | itions", identify | and o | define areas in need |
|--|---|--|---------------|--|----------------|--|-------------------|--------|----------------------|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: I making learn Reading Goal | ning gains ir | e of students in L n reading. | owest 25% | 1 | | | | | |
| 2012 Current | t Level of P | erformance: | | | 2013 Expe | ected Leve | el of Performar | nce: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Problem-Sol | ving Proces | ss to Ir | ncrease St | udent Ach | nievement | | |
| Anticipated E | 3arrier | Strategy | | Perso Positi Respo for Monit | ion onsible | Process L Determin Effective Strategy | ie | Eval | luation Tool |
| | | | No | Data S | Submitted | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Based on Amb | itious but A | chievable Annual | | | ves (AMOs) |), AMO-2, I | Reading and Ma | ith Pe | erformance Target |
| | bjectives (AM | able Annual MOs). In six year chievement gap | Reading Goa | <u>1</u> # | | | | | <u> </u> |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-201 | 2012-2013 | 2013-20 | 014 | 2014 | 1-2015 | 2015-2016 | ó | 2016-2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | student achieveme | | d refere | ence to "Gu | uiding Ques | stions", identify | and (| define areas in need |
| 5B. Student s | subgroups I an, America progress in | by ethnicity (Wh an Indian) not m | nite, Black, | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current | t Level of P | erformance: | | | 2013 Expe | ected Leve | el of Performar | nce: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Problem-Sol | ving Proces | ss to Ir | ncrease St | udent Ach | nievement | | |
| Anticipated E | 3arrier | Strategy | | Perso Positi Respo for Monit | ion onsible | Process L Determin Effective Strategy | ie | Eval | luation Tool |
| | | | No | Data S | Submitted | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f | | data, and re | ference to "G | uiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need | | |
|---|----------------------|-----------------|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| 5C. English Language satisfactory progress | | aking | | | | | |
| Reading Goal #5C: | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perforr | mance: | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvino | g Process to | o Increase S | tudent Achievement | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Po Re for | rson or sition sponsible onitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | | No Da | ta Submitted | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f | | data, and re | ference to "G | uiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need | | |
| 5D. Students with Disa satisfactory progress | | ıking | | | | | |
| Reading Goal #5D: | mrredding. | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvino | g Process to | o Increase S | tudent Achievement | | | |
| | | | rson or sition | Process Used to | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Re for | sponsible | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | | No Da | ta Submitted | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f | | data, and re | ference to "G | uiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need | | |
| 5E. Economically Disa | dvantaged students r | not making | | | | | |
| satisfactory progress Reading Goal #5E: | irreading. | | | | | | |
| | Denferm | | 0010 = | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perforr | mance: | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Prograr | m(s)/Material(s) | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

| Students speak in Engli | sh and understand sp | oken English at grade l | evel in a manner simila | r to non-ELL students. |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| 1. Students scoring p | roficient in listening | g/speaking. | | |
| CELLA Goal #1: | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent | t of Students Proficie | ent in listening/speal | king: | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvina | Process to Increase | Student Achievemen | † |
| | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data Submitted | t | |
| | | | | |
| Students read in Englis | h at grade level text in | n a manner similar to r | non-Ell students | |
| 2. Students scoring p | | 1 | ion-ele stadonts. | |
| CELLA Goal #2: | roncient in redding. | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent | t of Students Proficie | ent in reading: | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving | Process to Increase | Student Achievemen | t |
| | | Person or Position | Process Used to | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | -1 | No Data Submitted | t | |
| | | | | |
| Students write in Englis | sh at grade level in a r | manner similar to non | FII students | |
| 3. Students scoring p | | name similar to non- | ELL Studellts. | |
| CELLA Goal #3: | roncient in writing. | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent | of Students Proficie | ent in writing: | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvina | Process to Increase | Student Achievemen | t |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | tor | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-----|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | | | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

| * When using percentages, | include the number of stude | ents the perc | entage repr | esents (e.g., 70% (35)). | |
|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo | | a, and refer | rence to "G | uiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need |
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students mathematics. | scoring at Achievement | t Level 3 ir | ו | | |
| Mathematics Goal #1a: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of F | Performance: | | 2013 Ехр | pected Level of Perforr | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pr | rocess to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or tion ponsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo | | a, and refer | rence to "G | uiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need |
| 1b. Florida Alternate As | ssessment: vels 4, 5, and 6 in math | ometics | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #1b: | | ematics. | | | |
| Wathernaties Godi // To. | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of F | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perforr | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving P | rocess to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or tion ponsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo | | a, and refer | rence to "G | uiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need |
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students Level 4 in mathematics | scoring at or above Acl | hievement | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #2a: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of F | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perforr | mance: |

| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to Increase S | tudent Achievement | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fol | student achievement data, and Ilowing group: | d refere | ence to "Gu | uiding Questions", identify | and define areas in need | |
|---|---|----------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to Ir | ncrease St | cudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| | I on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | efere | ence to "Guiding | Questions", identify and c | define areas in need | |
|---|---|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a: | | | | In the 2012-2013 academic school year, 100% of PACE Center for Girls students present for both survey 2 and survey 3 FTE periods will make learning gains in math as evident by their FCAT scores. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 41% (18) students made learning gains as evident by FCAT score increases. | | | l I | In the 2012-2013 school year, 100% of students will make some type of learning gain as evident by FCAT score increases. | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | toIr | ncrease Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | grade level in math. | instructional hours | 1.1. Academic Manage Math Teacher | | 1.1. Classroom observations Academic Manager will review teachers ETO (computer program) work | 1.1. Classroom walkthroughs ETO report data | |

| the continuity needed to successfully make | gains can occur. | product to review Teacher/Advisor bi- | |
|--|---|--|--|
| progress each school year. | PACE offers a small student-teacher ratio which allows the math teacher to identify areas of need and address them accordingly. | weekly review of IAP's | |
| | District requirement of FCIM to target students specific needs for intervention. | | |
| | Each PACE student receives an Individualized Academic Plan (IAP) that identifies math goals and is monitored bi-weekly. | | |
| | Students will also utilize FCAT Explorer to help strengthen math skills in particular grade levels. | | |

| | FCAT Explorer to strengthen math particular grade le | skills in | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo | | a, and refer | rence to "G | uiding Questions", ident | tify and define a |
| 3b. Florida Alternate A Percentage of students mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: | ssessment: making Learning Gain: | s in | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of F | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfori | mance: |
| | Problem-Solving P | rocess to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation ⁻ |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |
| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentag | ollowing group: e of students in Lowest | | rence to "G | uiding Questions", ident | tify and define a |
| making learning gains Mathematics Goal #4: | in mathematics. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of F | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perform | mance: |
| | | | 1 | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following group: | rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|---|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |
| Problem-Solving Process to | ncrease Student Achievement |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| Based on Amb | itious but Ach | nievable Annual | Measurable Ol | bjectiv | ves (AMOs) |), AMO-2, I | Reading and Ma | ath Pe | rformance Target |
|--|----------------|--|---------------|--|-------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------|----------------------|
| 5A. Ambitious Measurable Ob school will red by 50%. | jectives (AMO | Os). In six year | Middle Schoo | l Math | nematics G | oal # | | | Ā |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-20 | 14 | 2014 | 1-2015 | 2015-2016 | 6 | 2016-2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | udent achievemo | ent data, and | refere | ence to "Gu | uiding Ques | tions", identify | and o | define areas in need |
| | an, Americar | y ethnicity (Wh n Indian) not m mathematics. | | | | | | | |
| Mathematics | Goal #5B: | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current | Level of Per | rformance: | | | 2013 Expe | ected Leve | el of Performa | nce: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Problem-Sol | ving Process | toIn | ncrease St | udent Ach | ievement | | |
| Anticipated E | Barrier S | Strategy | F F f | Perso Position Responsi Por Monito | tion Determin Effective | | е | Eval | uation Tool |
| | | | No [| Data S | ubmitted | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | udent achieveme wing subgroup: | ent data, and | refere | ence to "Gu | uiding Ques | tions", identify | and o | define areas in need |
| 5C. English La satisfactory p | | nrners (ELL) no mathematics. | t making | | | | | | |
| Mathematics | Goal #5C: | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current | Level of Per | formance: | | : | 2013 Expe | ected Leve | el of Performa | nce: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Problem-Sol | ving Process | s to In | ncrease St | udent Ach | ilevement | | |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need f improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. | | | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #5D: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ss to I | ncrease St | udent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | N | o Data S | Submitted | | |

| Based on the analysis of of improvement for the form | | data, and refer | ence to "G | uiding Questions", iden | itify and define areas in need |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 5E. Economically Disac satisfactory progress i | | not making | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #5E | : | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvin | g Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | f student achievement data, for the following group: | , and r | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", id | dentify and define areas |
|--|--|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 1. Florida Alternate A: Levels 4, 5, and 6 in n | ssessment: Students scor nathematics. | ing at | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perforr | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| | f student achievement data, for the following group: | , and r | reference to | o "Guiding Questions", id | dentify and define areas |
| 2. Florida Alternate A: or above Level 7 in m | ssessment: Students scor athematics. | ing at | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perforr | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion oonsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| | f student achievement data, for the following group: | , and r | reference to | o "Guiding Questions", id | dentify and define areas |
| 3. Florida Alternate A making learning gains | ssessment: Percent of stu s in mathematics. | idents | | | |
| Mathematics Goal #3: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perforr | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | tor | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-----|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| | | tudents the perce | entage repr | esents (e.g., 70% (35)). | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Based on the analysis of stood improvement for the follow | | data, and refer | ence to "G | uiding Questions", iden | tify and define areas in need |
| 1. Students scoring at Ac | hievement Level 3 | 3 in Algebra. | | | |
| Algebra Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfor | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvin | g Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier S | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | | Submitted ence to "G | uiding Questions", iden | tify and define areas in need |
| of improvement for the follo | | | | | |
| 2. Students scoring at or and 5 in Algebra. | above Achieveme | ent Levels 4 | | | |
| Algebra Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Pe | rformance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvin | g Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | for | | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data S | Submitted | | |

| Based on Amb | itious but A | chievable Annual | Measurable | Object | ives (AMOs |), AMO-2, I | Reading and Ma | ath Pe | rformance Target |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|--|-------------------|--------|----------------------|
| 3A. Ambitious | but Askisus | able Appuel | Algebra Goa | al# | | | | | |
| Measurable Ob | jectives (Al | MOs). In six year chievement gap | 3A : | | | | | | _ |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-201 | 2 2012-2013 | 2013-2 | 2014 | 2014 | 1-2015 | 2015-2016 | | 2016-2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | student achievem llowing subgroup: | ent data, and | d refer | ence to "Gu | uiding Ques | stions", identify | and d | lefine areas in need |
| 3B. Student s Hispanic, Asia satisfactory p | ubgroups an, America progress in | by ethnicity (Whan Indian) not n | | | | | | | |
| Algebra Goal | #3B: | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current | Level of P | erformance: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Leve | el of Performa | nce: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Problem-Sol | ving Proces | ss to I | ncrease St | udent Ach | nievement | | |
| Anticipated E | 3arrier | Strategy | | for | | Process U Determin Effective Strategy | ie | Evalı | uation Tool |
| | | | No | ' | Submitted | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | student achievem llowing subgroup: | ent data, and | d refer | ence to "Gu | uiding Ques | stions", identify | and d | lefine areas in need |
| 3C. English La satisfactory p | 0 0 | earners (ELL) no Algebra. | t making | | | | | | |
| Algebra Goal | #3C: | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current | Level of P | erformance: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Leve | el of Performa | nce: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Problem-Sol | ving Proces | ss to I | ncrease St | udent Ach | nievement | | |
| Anticipated E | 3arrier | Strategy | | for | | Process L Determin Effective Strategy | ie | Evalı | uation Tool |
| | | | No | Data S | Submitted | | | | |

| 3D. Students with Disa satisfactory progress | abilities (SWD) not maki in Algebra. | ing | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Algebra Goal #3D: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perform | mance: | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving F | Process to I | ncrease S ⁻ | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | nticipated Barrier Strategy Ref | | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis o of improvement for the | | ta, and refer | rence to "G | uiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need | |
| 3E. Economically Disa satisfactory progress | dvantaged students no in Algebra. | t making | | | | |
| Algebra Goal #3E: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | Problem-Solving F | Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | End of Algebra EOC Goa | |
| Geometry End-of-(| Course (EOC) Goals | | | | | |
| | , include the number of stud | | entage repre | esents (e.g., 70% (35)). | | |
| Based on the analysis o in need of improvement | f student achievement da for the following group: | ta, and refer | ence to "G | uiding Questions", iden | tify and define areas | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Achievement Level 3 in | ٦ | | | | |
| Geometry Goal #1: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | 20 | 13 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ice: | |
| | | | | | | |

| | Problem-Solvin | g Process to Increase | e Student Achievemen | t | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | |
| | | No Data Submitte | d | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | | |
| 2. Students scoring a | at or above Achieve | ement Levels | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | | | eference t | o "Guiding Questions" | , identify and define areas |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry. | | | | | |
| Geometry Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfo | ormance: |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvino | g Process to I | ncrease S | itudent Achievemen | t |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or ion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 3A. Ambitious but Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six yea reduce their achie 50%. | e Objectives ar school will | Geometry Goal # y 3A: | | | | | | |
| Baseline data 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

Geometry Goal #3B:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|----|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| | No | Data Submitted | | | | | |

| | f student achievement dat for the following subgroup | | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", id | dentify and define areas |
|---|--|-----------|-------------|--|--------------------------|
| 3C. English Language satisfactory progress | Learners (ELL) not maki in Geometry. | ing | | | |
| Geometry Goal #3C: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perforn | nance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ess to L | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | ľ | No Data S | Submitted | | |

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | | | reference to | o "Guiding Questions" | , identify and define areas |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 3D. Students with Disa | abilities (SWD) not r | making | | | |
| satisfactory progress | in Geometry. | | | | |
| Geometry Goal #3D: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfo | ormance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving | Process to I | ncrease S | itudent Achievemen | t |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion oonsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.

| Geometry Goal #3E: | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------|--|-----------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving | g Process to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | N | lo Data Submitte | d | | |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | ım(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

| * When using percentages | s, include the number of stude | ents th | e percentag | ge represents (e.g., 70% (| (35)). |
|--|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. | | | | | |
| Science Goal #1a: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfor | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responds | | Determine | | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No | Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| | of student achievement data vement for the following gro | | l reference | to "Guiding Questions" | , identify and define |
| 1b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at L | Assessment: evels 4, 5, and 6 in scienc | ce. | | | |
| Science Goal #1b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | f Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Posi Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp | | son or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| | of student achievement data vement for the following gro | | l reference | to "Guiding Questions" | , identify and define |
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. | | | | | |
| Science Goal #2a: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |

| | Problem-Solving | Process to I | ncrease S | Student Achievemen | t |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |
| Based on the analysis reas in need of improv | | | I reference | to "Guiding Questions | s", identify and define |
| 2b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at c n science. | Assessment: | | | | |
| Science Goal #2b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level o | f Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfo | ormance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving | Process to I | ncrease S | Student Achievemen | t |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion oonsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| lorida Alternate | Assessment High | gh School | Science | e Goals | |
| When using percentage | s, include the number | of students th | e percentag | ge represents next to the | e percentage (e.g., 70% |
| Based on the analysis areas in need of improv | | | I reference | to "Guiding Questions | s", identify and define |
| 1. Florida Alternate A at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | | nts scoring | | | |
| Science Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level o | f Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfo | ormance: |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
|---------------------|----------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| 5 | of student achievement data rement for the following gro | | reference | to "Guiding Questions" | , identify and define |
|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. | | | | | |
| Science Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Submitted | | | |

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| | of student achievement dar vement for the following gro | | l reference | to "Guiding Questions | ", identify and define |
|---|--|---|-------------|--|------------------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. | | | | | |
| Biology Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | itudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. | | | | | |
| Biology Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

Science Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | · | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

| * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)). | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | f student achievement data, for the following group: | and r | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", ic | lentify and define areas |
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Student: 3.0 and higher in writing | s scoring at Achievement ng. | Level | | | |
| Writing Goal #1a: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perform | nance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion oonsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data | Submitted | | |
| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | f student achievement data, for the following group: | and r | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", ic | lentify and define areas |
| 1b. Florida Alternate <i>A</i> at 4 or higher in writin | Assessment: Students sco g. | ring | | | |
| Writing Goal #1b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi ^s Resp for | on or tion oonsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| * When using percentage: | s, include the | number of stud | dents the perc | centage repres | sents (e.g., | 70% (35)) |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. | | | | | | |
| Civics Goal #1: | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| No Data Submitted | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | student achievement data, for the following group: | and re | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", id | lentify and define areas |
|---|--|--|---------------|--|--------------------------|
| 2. Students scoring at4 and 5 in Civics. | or above Achievement Le | evels | | | |
| Civics Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | : | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perform | nance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to In | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Perso Positi Respo for Monit | on onsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data S | ubmitted | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | N | lo Data Submitted | d | | |

Civics Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | · | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Professional Developr | ment | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

| ^ when using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)). |
|--|
|--|

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | f student achievement data, for the following group: | and r | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", id | entify and define areas |
|--|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Students scoring at History. | Achievement Level 3 in U | .S. | | | |
| U.S. History Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perform | nance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or ion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data | Submitted | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group: | eference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas |
|---|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in U.S. History.U.S. History Goal #2: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | tor | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---------------------|----------|-----|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

U.S. History Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Atte | ndance Goal #1: | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: | | |
| Abse 2012 | 2 Current Number of Stu ences (10 or more) 2 Current Number of Stu lies (10 or more) | | Absences (10 | d Number of Students | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | <u> </u> | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too | |
| 1 | 1.1. PACE Center for Girls serves students who have multiple risk factors that inhibit their successes in school. These risk factors include truancy, academic underachievement, dropping out, delinquency, pregnancy, histories of physical and sexual abuse, substance abuse, and or running away. 1.2. PACE students may not immediately see the value of consistent ontime attendance. 1.3. PACE students may not recognize the intrinsic worth of attending school but are attending school as part of an obligation due to probation. | student is absent from school. 1.2. PACE uses incentives such as access to field trips, special meals, and other motivators to encourage girls to attend school. 1.3. PACE counselors set attendance goals for students and help them stick to a plan to reach the goals by holding girls accountable for their presence in school. | 1.1. Social Service Manager Counselors 1.2. Social Service Manager Counselors 1.3. Social Service Manager Counselors | 1.1. Students sign-in on a daily basis upon entering the building. These records are reviewed after the tardy period, phone calls to parents are made before 10am and the results is documented in ETO, which is the PACE | Monthly Census Report from ETO | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Suspension | | | | | |
| Suspension Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School | | | | |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------|
| | | | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School | | | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Program | n(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developmer | nt | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | · | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement: | ased on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement: | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 1. Dropout Prevention | | | | | | |
| Dropout Prevention Go | | | | | | |
| *Please refer to the per | | | | | | |
| dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year. | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Dropout Rate: | | | 2013 Expected Dropout Rate: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Graduati | on Data. | | 2012 Eve | acetad Craduation Do | 210 | |
| 2012 Current Graduati | on Rate: | | 2013 Expected Graduation Rate: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievemen | t | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic Grade and/or PLC Level/Subje Focus | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|---|--|--|--|--|--|

Dropout Prevention Budget:

| | | | Available |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement: | parent involvement data, a | ind ref | ference to | "Guiding Questions", ide | ntify and define areas |
|---|----------------------------|---|------------|--|------------------------|
| 1. Parent Involvement | | | | | |
| Parent Involvement Goal #1: | | | | | |
| *Please refer to the per- participated in school ac unduplicated. | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: | | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Parent | Involvement: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data S | Submitted | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

| * When using percentages | , include the number | of students the pe | ercentage represents | (e.g., 70% | % (35)). |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1. STEM | | | | | | |
| STEM Goal #1: | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |

No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify a | nd define areas in need of improvement: |
|--|--|
| 1. CTE | In the 2012-2013 academic school year, 100% of PACE students will take the career education class as part of |
| CTE Goal #1: | the 8th grade social studies curriculum as required by the Collier County School District. |
| Problem-Solving Pr | ocess to Increase Student Achievement |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | 1.1. Poor student attendance can be an impediment to completing the coursework. career 1.2. Middle school students may find it challenging to think about a career that is so far into the future. 1.3. PACE students sometimes leave without notice and maintaining the continuity of the career coursework could prove difficult. | social studies curriculum but also through the academic advising that takes place bi-weekly. 1.2. Academic Advising groups will research career types and paths. Individual academic advising which occurs bi-weekly, will address | Academic Manager Counselors 1.2. Teachers/Advisors Academic Manager Counselors 1.3. Academic Manager Teachers/Advisors | Academic Manager will review teachers ETO (computer program) work product to review Teacher/Advisor bi- weekly review of IAP's | 1.1. Classroom walkthroughs ETO report data |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

CTE Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Transitional Goals Goal:

| | d on the analysis of stud ed of improvement for th | | nd reference to "G | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas |
|-------|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1. Tr | ansitional Goals Goal | | | 013 academic school year | |
| Tran | sitional Goals Goal #1: | | | irls will do so successfully ducational setting or into | |
| 2012 | ? Current level: | | 2013 Expecte | ed level: | |
| | of girls leaving PACE are priate educational settin | | | eaving PACE will be succe priate educational setting | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | 1.1. Due to the transitory nature of our students, they may abruptly leave PACE without engaging in the transitions process. 1.2. Some girls immediately transition based upon court-ordered placement in foster care or detention centers; or may be involuntary or unplanned transition due to serious breach of conduct at PACE. 1.3. PACE students are not often supported by their families or other adults in their lives | 1.1. PACE will engage in a lengthy transition planning process, which take students through educational placement options and plans. Transitions counselor engages in follow-up consultations for 3 years post transitions to offer support and any needed guidance during the post transition time. 1.2. Transition counselor will develop a service plan regardless of whether or not a girl is transitioning in a planned manner. 1.3. Counselors and teachers will support the girls through the transition process, ensuring that each girl feels that someone cares where she goes next. | 1.1. Transition Counselor 1.2. Transition Counselor 1.3. Transition Counselor | 1.1. Students sign-in on a daily basis upon entering the building. These records are reviewed after the tardy period, phone calls to parents are made before 10am and the results is documented in ETO, which is the PACE computerized software program. The Social Service Manager reviews the documentation to ensure that the contact is happening in a timely manner. 1.2. PACE keeps daily, monthly, and yearly census numbers to monitor trends in attendance; these percentages are reported to PACE home office and to DJJ. 1.3. PACE runs a weekly report to determine the girls who are absent; the data is discussed during Care Review meetings on a weekly basis. | 1.1. Attendance Percent Report Monthly Census Report from ETO 1.2. Attendance Percent Report Monthly Census Report from ETO 1.3. Attendance Percent Report Monthly Census Report from ETO 1.5. Attendance Percent Report Monthly Census Report from ETO |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Transitional Goals Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based | Program(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance



Are you a reward school: † Yes † No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Describe projected use of SAC funds | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| No data submitted | |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The Alternative Schools SAC meets four times per year to review and discuss academic progress and strategies. Other issues pertaining to school improvement are addressed as needed.

| | l |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found