Florida Department of Education

2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Ponte Vedra High School	District Name: St. Johns County
Principal: Mr. Craig Speziale	Superintendent: Dr. Joseph Joyner
SAC Chair: Debbie Hrach	Date of School Board Approval: 11/13/2012

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.) High School Feedback Report K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Effective Administrators

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
		Certification(s)	Years at	Years as an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest
			Current School	Administrator	25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Craig Speziale	Principalship	5	19	2009-2010 School Performance Grade: A
					2010-2011 School Performance Grade: A
Assistant	Jewel Johnson	Educational Leadership	5	14	2009-2010 School Performance Grade: A
Principal		(all levels)			2010-2011 School Performance Grade: A
Assistant	Lynn O'Connor	Educational Leadership	0	7	2009-2010 School Performance Grade: A
Principal		(all levels)			2010-2011 School Performance Grade: A

Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
			Current School	Instructional Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
					school year)
Reading	Deb Stefanides	English 6-12	4	0	2009-2010 School Performance Grade: A
		Gifted; ESOL			2010-2011 School Performance Grade: A

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
			(If not, please explain why)

1. Only Highly Qualified Teacher Candidates are eligible to		August 2012	
apply	Human Resources Division		
	and School Principal		
2. The school principal fosters a positive school reputation throughout the community. The school is highly regarded as one of the best public schools in Northeast Florida, thereby, drawing the most "high performing" teacher recruits.	School Principal	On going	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective
N/A - Non HQ are not eligible			

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
72	0%	41.6% (30)	32% (23)	26.4% (19)	73.6% (53)	100% (72)	40.3% (29)	19.4% (14)	80.6% (58)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Jewel Johnson, Assistant Principal, conducts a teacher induction program for newly hired employees. This occurs during the pre-planning week, August 13-17, 2012. In addition, all newly hired teachers are assigned a teacher-mentor, based on subject area, experience and individual need.	To be determined	Criteria for pairing: Teaching experience; Subject area; Personality; Degree of competence; Schedule flexibility	 Classroom Visitation. Participation in Professional Learning Communities Wednesday Early Release content area workshops, as needed. Formal and informal meetings.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A N/A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
N/A
Title I, Part D N/A
Title II
N/A
Title III N/A
N/A

Title X- Homeless
N/A
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
N/A
Violence Prevention Programs
N/A
Nutrition Programs
N/A
Housing Programs
N/A
Head Start
N/A
Adult Education
N/A
Career and Technical Education
N/A
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

Craig Speziale, Principal Lynn O'Connor, Assistant Principal Jewel Johnson, Assistant Principal Deb Stefanides, Instructional Literacy Coach Barbara Seaton, Guidance Counselor Jennifer Ashenfelder, Guidance Counselor Summer Mitchell, Guidance Counselor Debbie Hrach, Testing/Rtl Coordinator Amy Arnow, District Rtl Coordinator

The Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and our students? The team meets once a week to engage in the following activities: Review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation. A more extended RTI meeting is scheduled monthly to set and monitor progress toward major goals.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS team functions to:

- 1. Provide vision for academic and behavioral success for continuous improvement
- 2. Plans, implements and monitors the progress of overall school improvement

3. Implements Response to Intervention as the school-wide method for raising student achievement outcomes though regular and on-going data review and problem-solving.

4. Systematically evaluates the school infrastructure, scheduling personnel and curriculum resources, staff development and procedures.

The MTSS Team will focus on the following questions: What interventions are being used for students? When are interventions administered? Who administers the interventions? How are interventions documented and tracked? How do we know if the intervention is effective? What source are we using for probes? Who prepares the probes? Where are the results maintained? How often are probes administered? How is paper/pencil or computer-based probe determined? What is the expectation for passing the probe?

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Leadership Team (see #1 above) will work to closely align the goals of the School Improvement Plan with the specific needs of both individual students and teachers. The District Rtl Liaison, Amy Arnow, and Debbie Hrach, the PVHS Testing Coordinator, along with the Rtl Team members, will meet once per month for the formal MTSS/Rtl meeting. At that time, the group will provide data on all MTSS Tier procedures, which will be used for developing and revising academic, behavioral, and attendance goals and interventions.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. 2012-2013 – For Algebra 1, Geometry and Biology, Discovery Education will be used for progress monitoring. MTSS team members will meet once per month for the formal MTSS meeting. At that time, the group will provide data on all MTSS Tier procedures, which will be used for developing and revising academic, behavioral, and attendance goals and interventions. The assessments below will be used for Baseline, Midyear and End of Year data: Reading - Florida Assessments in Reading (FAIR) ; Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) Math and Science - Discovery Education Writing - Writing Prompts and Teacher-generated formative writing assessments Behavior - School records/incident reports Attendance - School records The Performance Plus database will be used for baseline data.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

All staff will receive an update on the MTSS process and Performance Plus, the main data base used for progress monitoring, during teacher inservice time scheduled for Wednesdays, August 29 through September 19, 2012. Professional development will be conducted by department. Teachers will learn how to access student academic, behavioral and attendance data. The Rtl Leadership Team will also evaluate additional staff professional developments needs during the faculty meetings and professional learning community sessions throughout the school year.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

Teachers will be given feedback forms during regularly scheduled faculty meetings.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Craig Speziale, Principal Lynn O'Connor, Assistant Principal Jewel Johnson, Assistant Principal Barbara Seaton, Guidance Counselor Jennifer Ashenfelder, Guidance Counselor Summer Mitchell, Guidance Counselor General Education Teachers (various) Exceptional Student Education Teachers (various) School Psychologist, as needed Speech Language Pathologist, as needed Student Services Personnel, as needed Deb Stefanides, Instructional Literacy Coach Debbie Hrach, Testing/Rtl Coordinator

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Leadership Team will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop and maintain a problem-solving system to bring out the best in our schools, our teachers, and in our students?

The team meets once a week to engage in the following activities:

Review universal screening data that will form the basis of instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Roles and functions of LLT:

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that all teachers are implementing reading across the curriculum, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, ensures RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Assistant Principals and Guidance Counselors will assist in identifying student individual needs and collaboration and advising on student decisions with teachers, parents and students.

General Education Teachers: Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2 and 3 activities.

Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Teachers: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data-based decision making activities.

Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills.

Student Services Personnel: Provides quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students.

In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Instructional Literacy Coach: Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Testing Coordinator: Assist with identifying students requiring Rtl services and providing the data and data analysis to support instructional decisions. What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Aligning curriculum with the common core standards and providing continuous professional development to teachers on the Robert Marzano teaching strategies.
 Implementing RtI with struggling readers resulting in an increase in the number of students at the reading proficiency level 3 or above.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The Instructional Literacy Coach, along with the principal and Literacy Leadership Team employ strategies to ensure reading across the curriculum for all teachers. The Instructional Literacy Coach will conduct CAR-PD training for new staff. All teachers participated in a workshop during pre-planning week where they analyzed data using last year's FCAT results and reports from the Snapshot student database. The team identified strengths and weaknesses in reading

based on demographics, grade levels and reporting categories, identified drivers and barriers to success for our students, set goals and devised strategies to reach those goals by departments. In addition, the 9th grade PLC will meet monthly to incorporate reading strategies into the curriculum.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

PVHS has two academies: The Academy of Biotechnology and Medical Research, and The Academy of International Business and Marketing. Academy students participate in an academic cohort as well as elective courses within their strand. Teachers are assigned classes based on student cohorts. All teachers focus on the essential components of rigor and relevance, which helps students connect present decisions to future outcomes. These include: Content acquisition; Critical thinking; Relevance; Integration and Application of concepts; Long term retention; and Responsibility. This focus assists students in fulfilling predetermined outcomes and competencies by challenging them with high expectations.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Dr. Catherine Snowden administers the Naviance program, which analyzes and aligns student strengths to post-secondary institutions. The process streamlines the difficult decisions of college and career choices.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>.

First and foremost, PVHS faculty focus on Rigor and Relevance to prepare students for the postsecondary challenges including: helping students transition successfully into high school ;monitoring them through to graduation; and building strong relationships among teachers and students. PVHS also focuses on teaching students how to research colleges and complete college applications, take job inventories and participate in mock interviews, learn how to design a resume and make a budget , and participate in college visits.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
					FCAT	
at A altimum and				-	FCAT	
Level 5 In	anticipate that the			Monitoring Performance Plus		
				Data		
		quartile		Dala		
		students.				
	-	Ensure				
	· ·	that Level				
		2 students				
		are placed				
		with CAR-				
		PD trained				
	nts to our					
		In addition,				
		PVHS will				
		utilize the				
		Problem				
		Solving				
		Model to				
		increase				
		student				
		proficiency				
		in this area				
		of need by				
		impleme				
		nting Rtl				
		with fidelity:				
		regular				
		meetings,				
		clear				
		expectations				
		for all				
		members,				
		research-				

	1	i		1			1
		based					
		intervent					
		ions, and					
		parent					
		follow-up.					
Reading Goal #1a:	<u>2012 Current</u>	2013 Expected					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
In the 2011-12							
school years,							
25% (177) of our							
students scored							
a Level 3 on							
FCAT Reading.							
Our goal is to							
increase that							
percentage by							
1% from 25%							
to 26% on the							
2012-13 FCAT.							
2012 1010/11							
	Currently,	We expect					
		to increase					
		the					
		percentage					
		of students					
		scoring					
	on FCAT	a Level 3					
		on FCAT					
	-	Reading by					
		1%, from					
		25% to 26%.					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
					· ···		

		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Reading Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	l evel of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	16.2.	
				1b.3.		1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring	^{2a.1.} Absenteei				^{2a.1.} FCAT and formative	
at or above Achievement	sm	sessions	Literacy Coach	Monitoring	assessments	
Levels 4 and 5 in	_		Administration	Performance Plus Data		
reading.	quartile of	quartile students	Teachers	Data		
	students	students.				
	who are	Ensure				
	either not	that loval				
		2 students				
		are placed				
	have rigid	with CAR- PD trained				
	schedules,	teachers.				
	unereby,					
		In addition,				
	outs.	PVHS will				
		utilize the Problem				
		Solving				
		Model to				
	1	increase				
	1	student				
		proficiency in this area				
		of need by				
		impleme				
		nting RtI				
	1	with fidelity:				
		regular meetings,				
		clear				
		expectations				
		for all				

		members,			
		research-			
		based			
		intervent			
		ions, and			
		parent			
		follow-up.			
Reading Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			
In the 2011-12	<u>Performance:</u> *	Level of Performance:*			
school years,					
60% (431) of					
our students					
scored at Levels					
4 and 5 on					
FCAT Reading.					
Our goal is to					
increase that					
percentage					
by1% from 60%					
to 61% on the					
2012-13 FCAT.					
Enter narrative for the					
goal in this box.					

		i					,
		We expect					
	2011-12	to increase					
	school	the					
	years,	percentage					
	60% (431)	of students					
	of our	scoring at					
		Levels 4 and					
		5 on FCAT					
		Reading by					
		1%, from					
		60% to 61%.					
	Reading.						
)						
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at or above Level							
7 in reading.							
8							

Reading Goal #2b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3a. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	
Deveenters of						
students making	Frequent	We will align	RtI Team	Progress	FCAT Formative	
Learning Gains	absenteels	curriculum,	Literacy Coach	-	assessments	
in reading.	m	instructional	Administration	Performance Plus	RtI for these	
		strategies,	Teachers	Data	students	
		assessment				
	quartile of	and				
	students,	professional				
	as a	developme				
	whole,	nt, to meet				
	often	individual				
	need	needs of				
	more	students.				
	than one	Progress				
	adjustme	Monitoring				
	nt to their	through Rtl.				
	schedules	Small group				
	for:	pull-out				
	tutoring,	sessions.				
	pullouts,					
	intensive					
	classes,					
	etc.					

school years, 78% (581) of our students made learning gains on FCAT Reading. Our goal is to increase the percentage by1% from 78% to 79% on the 2012-13 FCAT.	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	Level of Performance:*			
	2011-12 school years, 78% (581) of our students	We expect to increase the percentage of students making learning gains on			
	learning gains on FCAT	FCAT Reading by 1%, from78% to 79%.			

		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
3b. Florida	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
Learning Gains							
in reading.							
	2012 0	2012 5 (1					
Reading Goal #3b:	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	N/A	Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
		expected level of performance in this					
		box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
L							

Based or	the Anticipated	l Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool
analysis of	student Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of	
achievemer			Monitoring	Strategy	
and refer					
to "Guid					
Question					
identify and					
areas in ne					
improvemen					
following g	group:				

4a. FCAT 2.0:	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	
Percentage	4a.1.	4a.1.	4d.1.	4d.1.	4a.⊥.	
	These	These	RtI Team	Progress	FCAT Formative	
Lowest 25%					assessments	
making learning					Rtl for these	
gains in reading.						
	frequently		Teachers	Data	students	
	absent	Literacy				
	compoun					
		through RTI.				
		Small group				
		pull-out				
		sessions.				
	ement;					
	they often					
	need					
	more					
	than one					
	adjustmen					
	t					
	to their					
	schedules					
	for					
	tutoring,					
	pullouts,					
	or					
	intensive					
	classes,					
	etc.					

Reading Goal #4a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			
In 2011-12	Performance:*	Performance:*			
school years,					
69% (59)of					
our students					
in Lowest 25%					
made learning					
gains on FCAT					
Reading. Our					
goal is to					
increase the					
percentage by					
1% from 69%					
to 70% on the					
2011-12 FCAT.					
2011-12 I CAT.					

	In the	We expect					[]
		We expect					
		to increase					
		the					
		percentage					
		of students					
	students						
		25% making					
	25% made						
		gains					
	gains	on FCAT					
		Reading by					
		1%, from					
		69% to 70%.					
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
4b. Florida	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Alternate	10.11	10.11	10.11.	10.11.	10.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage							
of students in							
Lowest 25%							
making learning							
gains in reading.							
		I					

Reading Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target							
Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable	Baseline data 2010- 2011 N/A						
Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual	data 2010- 2011						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier 5B.1.	Strategy 5B.1.	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 5B.1.	Evaluation Tool 5B.1.	
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		56.1.	38.1.	36.1.	
#5D:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	i	i	i	i		i	
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
	White:	White:					
		Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
		American Indian:					
	Indian:						
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
		- ·-··					
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
	Barrier						
achievement data,			Monitoring	Strategy			
and reference							
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
· · · ·	SC.1.	SC.1.	SC.1.	50.1.	SC.1.		
Language							
Learners (ELL)							
not making							
satisfactory							
progress in							
reading.							
Reading Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5 <i>C</i> :	Level of	Level of					
<u>#5C:</u>	Performance*	Performance:*					
Pending state							
provided data							
provincu uata							
1							

	data for current level of performance in this box.					5C.2. 5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
#5D.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

				1	1	1	
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.			5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
#5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

	5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano High-Yield Teaching Strategies	9-12	Dept. Chairs	School-Wide	Bi-Monthly Early Release Wednesdays	Reflection sessions and question and answer open forums	Lynn O'Connor, AP
Performance Plus Training	9-12	Lynn O'Connor	School-Wide	Wednesdays August 29 through September	All teachers will use this database for monitoring student progress.	Lynn O'Connor, AP
Core Curriculum PLC	9-12	PLC Leader	School-Wide	Bi-Monthly during Early Release Wednesdays	Bimonthly mtg. with interdisciplinary PLC group	Assistant Principals
Reading Strategies	9-12	Literacy Coach	School-Wide by Dept.	Monthly, to be scheduled	Reflection sessions and question and answer open forum	Deb Stefanides

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					

	60% (3)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
2. Students scoring proficient in Reading.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
	20% (1)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

or statemes scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in Writing.						
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Writing :					
Enter narrative for the goal in this						
box.						
	20% (1).					
	4070 (1).					
		2.2.				2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
Students scoring at							
Achievement Level							
3 in mathematics.							
5 m mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#1a:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
0							
	N/A	Enter numerical					
	IV/A	data for					
		expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		18.5.	18.5.	18.5.	14.5.	18.5.	
	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							

#1b:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
						1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		

Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#20:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	N/A	Enter numerical data for					
		expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Alternate	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.	20.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2h:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis dox.							
1					1		

			í		i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	262.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.			3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
#3a:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

			3a.2.			3a.2. 3a.3.	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this					
		<i>box.</i> 3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	İ	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	
		50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	50.5.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Sualegy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
data, and reference to	Darrier		Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",			Wollitoring	Strategy			
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
4a. FCAT 2.0:	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
	<i>τ</i> α. ι .	-ru. 1 .	-ra. 1 .		Tu. 1.		
Percentage of							
students in Lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
matnematics.							
	2012 G	2012 5 1					
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	Periormance.	Periormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	N/A	Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
		expected level of performance in this					
		box.					
			4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	46.1.	46.1.	46.1.		
#4h.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for					
			4b.2.			4b.2. 4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
						5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

		2012 E 1	i	i	i		
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
<u>#5C:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	Performance.	Performance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	N/A	Enter numerical					
		data for					
		expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		00 <i>λ</i> .					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Decad on the analysis	Anticipated	Stratager	Darson or Desition	Dropoga Ugad to Datarmina	Evaluation Tool		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
						5D.2. 5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5E: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	-		ber of students the	percentage represents (e.g.,	, 10/0 (33)).	
Middle	Problem-					
School	Solving					
Math	Process to					
ematics Goals	Increase					
	Student					
	Achievem					
	ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
data, and reference to	Darrier		Monitoring	Strategy		
"Guiding Questions",			-			
identify and define areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following group:	1 1	1 1	1 1		1 1	
1a. FCAT 2.0:		1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Students scoring at Achievement Level						
3 in mathematics.						
5 m mathematics.						
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
#1a:	Level of	Level of				
	Performance:*	Performance:*				
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
goui in inis vor.						
	N/A	Enter numerical				
		data for expected level of				
		performance in this				
		box.				

		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
<u>#1b:</u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
5000 00 0000							
	N/A	Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
		performance in this					
		box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	

	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
rrent 2013 Expected Level of ance:* Performance:*					
Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2a.2.		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
	rrent 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2a.2.	rrent. 2013 Expected. Level of Performance:* Performance in this box. 2a.2.	rrent. 2013 Expected. Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2a.2. 2a.2.	rrent 2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this bax. 2a.2. 2a.2. 2a.2.	Ince:* 2013 Expected Level of performance:* Image: Constraints Enter numerical deta for expected level of performance in this box. Image: Constraints 2a.2. 2a.2.

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1.	2b.1.	26.1.	2b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2b.2.		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	2b.3 Strategy	2b.3 Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	2b.3 Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	2b.3 Evaluation Tool	2b.3	

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	36.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.				3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	46.1.		
#4b:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4b.2.		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	4b.3 2012-2013	4b.3. 2013-2014	4b.3. 2014-2015	4b.3. 2015-2016	4b.3. 2016-2017	

Mathematics Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian American	5B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	

#5B.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Asian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
						5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

#5C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
						5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory		5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

#5D:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
						5D.2. 5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal_ #5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

		÷					
ool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	1	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Friday and the form the	Level of Performance.*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r errormanee.	r errormanee.					
50 <i>m m mis 00</i> л.							
	377.4						
	N/A	Enter numerical data for					
		expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
#2.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		data for expected level of performance in this box.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
						3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	Maintaining bace with students who are absent from school Skill deficits		Assistant Principal	1.1. Unit quizzes and tests Progress monitoring	1.1. EOC	

Algebra Goal #1: In the 2011-12 school year, 50% (106) of our students scored a level 3 in the Algebra EOC. Our goal is to increase that percentage by 1% from 50% to 51%	Current Level of Performanc e:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	our students scored at a level 3 on the Algebra EOC	percentage of students scoring a level 3 on the Algebra EOC by 1% from 50% to 51%					
		1.2.	1.2.			1.2.	
			1.3.			1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	Maintaining pace with students who are absent from school Skill deficits	PLC meetings for instructional planning	Assistant Principal	2.1. Unit quizzes and tests Progress monitoring	2.1. EOC	
In 2011-12 school year, 41% (87) of our students	<u>Current</u> Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	our students scored at a level 4 and 5 on the Algebra EOC.	We expected to increase the percentage of students scoring a level 4 and 5 on the Algebra EOC by 1% from 41% to 42%.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. Ambitious but	Baseline data 2010-2011 _N/A						
<u>Algebra Goal #3A:</u> Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:		3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
<u>Algebra Goal #3B:</u> Pending state provided data	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
						3B.2.	
						3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3C. English Language	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.					50.11		
<u>Algebra Goal #3C:</u> Pending state provided data	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.						
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.		3E.1. 2013 Expected Level		3E.1.	3E.1.		
<u>Algebra Goal #3E:</u> Pending state provided data	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of performance in this box.	performance in this box. 3E.2.	3E.2			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Coordination Cool #1.	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Geometry Goal #1:	Level of	of Performance:*					
DT/A	Performance:*	or remonnance.					
IVA							
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions",	Builler		Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas in			intering	Sumegy			
need of improvement for the							
following group:							
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Geometry.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Geometry Goal #2	Level of	of Performance:*					
	Performance:*	of Performance.					
N/A	renormance.						
		Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
	N/A	performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			 .		2.2.	<i></i>	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
				–	—		
	ļ						

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. Ambitious but	Baseline data 2010-2011 _ N/A						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making	3B.1. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

Geometry Goal #3B: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:						
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C: N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		1	i	i .	İ	()
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	3D.1.			3D.1.	3D.1.		
<u>Geometry Goal #3D:</u> N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance.*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		0D 0	20.2	20.2	
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	

		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3E. Economically	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged students							
not making satisfactory							
progress in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3E:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
-	Level of Performance:*	of Performance:*					
N/A	renormance.						
		Enter numerical data					
	N/A	for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through			
April 2012			
Rule 6A-1.099811			
Revised April 29, 2011			83

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Marzano High-Yield Math Strategies	9-12	Dept. Chairs	Nchool-Wide	Bi-Monthly early release Wednesdays	Reflection sessions and question and answer open forums	Lynn O'Connor, AP
Performance Plus Training	9-12	Lynn O'Connor	School-Wide	release Wednesdays	All teachers will use this database for monitoring student progress in Math.	Lynn O'Connor, AP
Core Curriculum PLC	9-12	PLC Leader	School-W/Ide	Bi-Monthly early release Wednesdays	Bi-monthly mtg. with interdisciplinary PLC group	Assistant Principals

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
4 1 0010		

funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	1a.1.		1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Science Goal #1a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		1 0	1 2	1 0	1 0	1 2	1
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		14.5.	14.5.	14.5.	14.5.	14.5.	
1b. Florida Alternate	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6							
in science.							
Science Goal #1b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	N/A	Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
		performance in this					
		box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		11- 2	11- 2	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	10.3.	10.3.	10.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier	Suddegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
to "Guiding Questions", identify	Durrer			Strategy			
to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
group:							

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
scoring at or above							
scoring at or above							
Achievement Levels 4 and							
5 in science.							
	2012 G	20125 / 1					
Science Goal #2a:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r errormanee.						
00.							
	N/A	Enter numerical					
		data for					
		expected level of performance in this					
		box.					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida Alternate	2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7							
in science.							
m science.							

Science Goal #2b: Enter narrative for the goal in box.	2012 Current Level of this Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Science Goals						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Florida Alternate	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6							
in science.							
Science Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r criormanee.	r eriormanee.					
00.							
	100% (1)	Enter numerical					
		data for expected level of					
		performance in this					
		box. 1.2.	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2.	
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
and define areas in need of				Suacey			
improvement for the following							
group:							

2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7	7						
in science.							
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013Expected Level of					
	Level of	Level of					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	0.0 ((0)						
	0%(0)	Enter numerical data for					
		aata for expected level of					
		performance in this					
		box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
				1			

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals Prob	lem-		
Solv	ving		
Proce	ess to		
Incre	ease		
Stud	dent		
Achie	eveme		
n	t		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Biology Goal #1: N/A	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring at or ² .	.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Biology.							
and 5 in Diology.							
Biology Goal #2: 20	012 Current	2013 Expected Level of					
5	evel of erformance:*	<u>Level of</u> Performance:*					
N/A.	erformance:*	Performance:*					
		Enter numerical					
	N/A	data for expected level of					
	1/28	performance in this					
		box.		2.2	2.2		
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

End of Biology EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			

Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Performance Plus Training	9-12	Lynn O'Connor	School-Wide	release Wednesdays	All teachers will use this database for monitoring student progress in Math.	Lynn O'Connor, AP
Core Curriculum PLC	9-12	PLC Leader	School-Wide	Bi-Monthly early release Wednesdays	Bi-monthly mtg. with interdisciplinary PLC group	Assistant Principals
Marzano High-Yield Teaching Strategies	9-12	Dept. Chairs	School-Wide	Bi-Monthly early release Wednesdays	Reflection sessions and question and answer open forums	Lynn O'Connor, AP

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

		1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Students scoring						
at Achievement		District	Assistant Principal			
Level 3.0 and	Teachers	prompts		Dept. rubric	Writing scores	
higher in writing.	with multiple		Dept. Chairs			
	lesson plan	School-wide				
	preparations.	prompts	Literacy Coach			
	Time to	Grade Level				
		PLC's for				
	grade drafts.	writing				

Writing Goal #1a:					
	Level of Performance:*	Expected Level of			
12 school year,		Performance:			
95% (386) of our		*			
students achieved					
AYP or FCAT level					
4.0 in writing. Our					
goal is to increase					
writing scores by					
1% from 95% to					
96% on the 2012-					
13 FCAT.					

	the	expe	percentage					
	201	ct to	of students					
	1-12	incr	proficient in					
	sch	ease	writing from					
	ool	the	95% to 96%.					
	year,	perc						
	95%	enta						
	(38	ge of						
	6)of	stud						
	our	ents						
	stud	pro						
	ents	ficie						
	achi	nt in						
	eved	wri						
	AYP	ting						
	or	from						
	FCAT							
	level							
	4.0							
	in							
	writi							
	ng.		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
			1a.∠.	18.2.	1a. <i>2</i> .	1a.2.	18.2.	
			1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring							
at 4 or higher in							
writing.							
Writing Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level	2013 Expected					
	of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
S							
	N/A	Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Core Curriculum PLC	9-12	PLC Leader	School-W/ide		Bi-Monthly meeting with interdisciplinary PLC group	Assistant Principals

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

0 0	· · ·			
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Writing Goals

<u>Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals</u>

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1.			1.1.	1.1.	
<u>Civics_Goal #1:</u> N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	1	Enter numerical data	E	i	I	ĺ	
	N/A	for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
4 and 5 in Civics.							
<u>Civics Goal #2:</u> N/A	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Civics Professional Development

Professional			

Development (PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community						
(PLC) or PD						
Activity						
Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

		l .	
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC	Problem-		f in the first most	tepresents (e.g., 7070 (5.		
Goals	Solving					
	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achieveme					
	nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	
to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of			Monitoring	Strategy		
improvement for the following						
group: 1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Achievement Level 3 in						
U.S. History.						

<u></u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	N/A	for expected level of performance in this box.					
						1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

······································	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

U.S. History Professional Development

<u>0.5. 1115tory 1101</u>		· • · • · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Core Curriculum PLC	9-12	PLC Leader			Bi-Monthly meeting with interdisciplinary group	Assistant Principals

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district	
April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811	
Revised April 29, 2011	106

funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				
	•	•	•	

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-			
	solving			
Attendance	Process to			
Goal(s)	Increase			
	Attendance			

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	not follow attendance reporting policies, resulting in data that may not be accurate.	awareness and attention to absences, by all stakeholders.	. .		Official records of attendance	
Attendance Goal # Our goal is to improve the attendance rate from 94% (1321) by 1% to 95%.		2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				

Current attendance is 94%. (1321)	We expect our attendance to increase 1% from 94% to 95%					
with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)					
710	600					
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)					
393	300					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through	1			
Professional				
Learning				

Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Truancy Intervention Process Training	9-12	County	All grades	1 [']	Reflection on early release Wednesday	Assistant Principal

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Suspension Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Decrease Suspension					
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	School Suspension program. On-going and consistent enforcement of policies.	School and AM detentions. Using RtI to monitor behavior.			End of Year Reports	
Suspension Goal #1: Reduce the number of suspensions by 5%.	2012 Total Number of In –School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions				
	N/A	N/A				
		2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School				
	N/A 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 59	V/A 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions 59				

Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
35	35					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A						

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout	Problem-			
Prevention	solving			
Goal(s)	Process to			
	Dropout			
	Prevention			

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	Dropout rate						
Dropout Prevention	is already low		Assistant Principals	Data from state	Data from state		
<u>Goal #1:</u>		meetings					
*Please refer to the	Graduation	with guidance	Guidance Counselors	RTI process			
percentage of students who dropped out	rate is high	counselor					
during the 2011-2012							
school vear.		Credit recovery					
		system					
	for growth.						
	2012 Current Dropout Rate:*	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:*					
The dropout rate							
for 2011-12 school							
vear was 1% and							
, graduation rate							
98% (1377). We							
want to increase							
our graduation rate							
by 1% and decrease							
our dropout rate by							
0.5%.							
		0.50/					
	1% (14)	0.5%					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
	98% (1377)	99%					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

1.3. 1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
-----------	------	------	------	--

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
RTI Training	9-12		RTI Committee and school- wide	Every other Tuesday	Every other Tuesday	Assistant Principals

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement	Problem-				
Goal(s)	solving				
April 2012			7	-	
Rulo 6 A_1 000811					

	Process to Parent Involveme nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school	No current barriers to parent involvement	Continue the organized	Volunteer coordinator	Number of volunteer hours and feedback	1.1. Volunteer documentation system		
Our goal is to maintain the outstanding level of parental involvement that exists at PVHS.	level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
	hours	20,118 volunteer hours 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A						

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

STEM Goal #1:	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
N/A					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1 3	1 3	1.3.	13	13
	1.J.	1.3.	1.5.	1.3.	1.2.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
<u>CTE Goal #1:</u> N/A	1.1.	1.1.			1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			

Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

∂			
Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
Additional Goal(s)	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of school	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
data, identify and define	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of		
areas in need of improvement:				Strategy		

1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	1. There is a continuous need for teachers, parents,	Teachers	Principal, Teachers,	 Parent feedback, Collect and analyze parent survey responses, 	1.Parent feedback, 2. Collect and analyze parent survey responses,	
	students and all staff members to participate in practices that promote the school's values, such as, cultural awareness and interperson	month to be recognized for the current Character Counts pillar. The recommen dation will be mailed home to the parents.	Principal, Teachers, YRD Officer	 Analyze discipline data to determine improvement in school behaviors. SAC Parent satisfaction surveys, SAC minutes 	3. Analyze	
	a need to integrate character education into the	ation and staff will form a vital partnership with parents	Principal, Teachers, SAC chair Teachers, Principal, Coaches, Leadership Team			

character		
traits		
essential for		
success in		
school and		
life.		
-		
Provide		
parents with		
information		
on the		
character		
trait for the		
month.		
Have		
students		
participate		
in class		
lessons that		
encourage		
being a		
responsible		
citizen.		
Utilizing		
a positive		
behavior		
plan that		
promotes		
honesty,		
integrity,		
and		
leadership		
skills.		

Additional Goal #1: Increase the number of students who are recognized in the Character Counts program by 5%	2012 Current Level <u>:*</u>	2013 Expected Level :*					
	students were recognized in the character counts program during the 2011-12 school year.	We expected to increase the number of students who are recognized in the Character Counts program by 5%, from 251 students to 264.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			

Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A						

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:

Total:
Total:
Total:
Total:
Grand Total:

eva

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

School		
Differentiated		
Accountability		
Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

 \Box Yes \Box No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

The SAC will be responsible for the following:

Use the data from needs assessments to plan program improvement

Participate in school improvement activities

Approve SAC by-laws

Determine how SAC funds will be used

With the school staff, decide how school recognition funds will be spent

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Technology upgrades	\$4117.75