FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: LINCOLN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Dr. Tracy Sims

SAC Chair: Dr. Shirley Cox

Superintendent: Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: December 2012

Last Modified on: 1/30/2013



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|----------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | FCAT 2011 - 2012 Reading Mastery: 17% Reading Gains: 52% Lowest 25% Gains: 54% Math Mastery: 24% Math Gains: 43% Lowest 25% Gains: 42% Science Mastery: 25% Writing Mastery: 76% FCAT 2010-2011=A Reading Mastery: 96% Reading Gains: 79% Lowest 25% Gains: 87% Math Mastery: 94% Math Gains: 82% Lowest 25% Gains: 80% Science Mastery: 92% Writing Mastery: 92% Writing Mastery: 98% AYP: 97% ELL did not make AYP in Math |

| Principal | Dr. Tracy L. Sims | Business Education BA Elem Ed K-6 MA Educational Leadership ESOL Endorsement Doctorate Educational Leadership | 2 | 19 | FCAT 2009-10 = A Reading Mastery: 93% Reading Gains: 77% Lowest 25% Gains: 69% Math Mastery: 98% Math Gains: 83% Lowest 25% Gains: 96% Science Mastery: 94% Writing Mastery: 92% AYP: 100% ELL and SWD met AYP in both Reading and Math FCAT 2008-2009 = A Reading Mastery: 93% Math Mastery: 91% Science Mastery: 94% AYP: 100% ELL and SWD met AYP in both Reading and Math FCAT 2007-08 = A Reading Mastery: 94% AYP: 100% ELL and SWD met AYP in both Reading and Math FCAT 2007-08 = A Reading Mastery: 92% Math Mastery: 91% Science Mastery: 78% Writing Mastery: 89% AYP: 92% ELL met AYP in Reading but did not meet AYP in Math SWD did not meet AYP in Reading and Math FCAT 2006-07 = A Reading Mastery: 89% Math Mastery: 84% Science Mastery: 71% Writing: 91% AYP: 97% ELL met AYP in Reading and Math SWD met AYP in Reading but did not meet AYP in Reading but did not meet AYP in Reading but did not meet |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|----|---|
| Assis Principal | Alicia Porter | Elementary Education (K-6) ESOL Endorsement Educational Leadership | 2 | 2 | FCAT 2011 - 2012 Reading Mastery: 17% Reading Gains: 52% Lowest 25% Gains: 54% Math Mastery: 24% Math Gains: 43% Lowest 25% Gains: 42% Science Mastery: 25% Writing Mastery: 76% |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (Include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|---------------------|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Math and Science | Jayme Kitson | Elementary Education Masters of Education | 2 | 3 | FCAT 2011 - 2012 Math Mastery: 24% Math Gains: 43% Lowest 25% Gains: 42% Science Mastery: 25% |
| Reading | Taneka Rolle | Elementary Education - Reading - ESOL - Reading Recovery Masters in Reading | 17 | 10 | FCAT 2011 - 2012 Reading Mastery: 17% Reading Gains: 52% 2008 and 2009, "A" School 2010, "C" School (2011) Lincoln Elementary School - School Letter Grade "A" - 95% AYP Criteria Met |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Recruit and hire only highly qualified teachers. | Principal | On-going | |
| 2 | 2.Principal will E-mail new teachers to the school through-out the summer | Principal | Summer 2013 | |
| | 3.Offer relevant and high quality professional development opportunities that meet the professional growth of the staff and meets state and district mandates. | Coach/Principal | June 2013 | |
| 4 | 4.Partnering new teachers (less than 3 years) with veteran staff to provide mentoring through-out the school year. | Assistant Principal | August 2012 | |
| 5 | | Assistant Principal | September 2012 | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|--|
| N/A | 1.Admin. Staff will provide additional resources to teachers to support effective instruction of students. 2.Coordinators will provide strategies to teachers that will benefit all students. Teachers who are not HQ will take classes to become certified in their perspective areas. |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | Board | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 44 | 9.1%(4) | 31.8%(14) | 36.4%(16) | 9.1%(4) | 18.2%(8) | 95.5%(42) | 15.9%(7) | 0.0%(0) | 38.6%(17) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee | Rationale | Planned Mentoring |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---|
| | Assigned | for Pairing | Activities |
| Math Coach - Jayme Kitson | Alcantara 3rd Grade - | | Additional support Recommended - Beginning Teacher - Collaboration Meetings - Professional Development Support - Content area and department planning - Subject Area PD - Monitoring - LTMs teaching of |

| | | | Marzano's Art and Science of Teaching - iObservation evaluation tools - Common Planning - Monthly meetings to discuss walkthrough data |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Reading Coach - Taneka Rolle | Rachelle Carter Kindergarten Jodi Anders Kindergarten | Over 10 years successful teaching experience and 8 years effective Reading Coach experience | Additional support Recommended - Beginning Teacher - Collaboration Meetings - Professional Development Support - Content area and department planning - Subject Area PD - Monitoring - LTMs teaching of Marzano's Art and Science of Teaching - iObservation evaluation tools - Common Planning - Monthly meetings to discuss walkthrough data |
| Resource Teacher - Joy Shepard | Jyl Mayall Kindergaten Marguerite Owens 2nd Grade | Over 5 years of successful teaching experience & Mentee's are 2nd year teachers. | Additional support Recommended - Second year teachers - Collaboration Meetings - Professional Development Support - Content area and department planning - Subject Area PD - Monitoring - LTMs teaching of Marzano's Art and Science of Teaching - iObservation evaluation tools - Common Planning - Monthly meetings to discuss walkthrough data |
| SAI Teacher - Dr. Cox | Melissa Ybarra 2nd Grade Becky Carver 2nd Grade | Over 10 years successful teaching experience | Additional support Recommended - Second year teachers - Collaboration Meetings - Professional Development Support - Content area and department planning - Subject Area PD - Monitoring - LTMs teaching of Marzano's Art and Science of Teaching - iObservation evaluation tools - Common Planning - Monthly meetings to discuss walkthrough data |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title 1 funds will support:

- Content area classroom supplies for hands-on and project-based learning
- Appropriate content-area professional development for expanded instructional capacity
- Local and out-of-state conferences and seminars/other professional services
- Parent Involvment and home communication
- After school tutorials and enrichment services
- Math Coach, Reading Coach, Writing Coach
- Parent Involvement/trainings

| Title I, Part C- Migrant |
|--|
| District Provides services |
| Title I, Part D |
| N/A |
| Title II |
| Title II Funds are used at the district level as needed for the schools to assist with Coaching needs and Professional Development . |
| Title III |
| Services are provided through the district for education materials and ELL district support services to improve the education of immigrant and English Language Learners |
| Title X- Homeless |
| District Homeless Social Worker provides resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education |
| Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) |
| Utilizing data to identify targeted students and to guide instruction, SAI instruction will be implemented during the 2013 school year, supporting 2nd grade students and 3rd grade retainees, along with students who are identified for Leveled Literacy Intervention group. |
| Violence Prevention Programs |
| Single School Culture and Appreciation for Multicultural Diversity |
| Nutrition Programs |
| Free Breakfast Program |
| Housing Programs |
| N/A |
| Head Start |
| N/A |
| Adult Education |
| N/A |
| Career and Technical Education |
| N/A |
| Job Training |
| N/A |
| Other |
| Required Instruction Listed in FL Statute 1003.42(2), as applicable to appropriate grade levels. |

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school based RtI team is a multidisciplinary team. The team includes, but is not limited to, the School-Based Team (SBT) Leader, Administration, Guidance Counselor, Exceptional Student Education (ESE) Contact, ESE teachers, Psychologist, Speech-Language Pathologist (SLP), School Nurse, Reading Coach, Math/Science Coach, SAI Instructor, ELL Instructor, School

Police, Members of Safe School/Prevention Center, Teachers, and administration

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RtI Leadership Team will meet on an as needed basis, no less than once per month, to support any student experiencing academic, social, behavioral, emotional, or other concerns impacting a student's academic success. The team will work collaboratively to address student concerns.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The school-based RtI Leadership Team aligns with the mission statement of Lincoln Elementary to ensure that each student achieves academic proficiency and maximizes full social and personal potential. This is supported through the RtI process of following a structured problem solving process that allows instructional and support staff to identify and implement multitiered research based interventions, as well as providing support and follow up to students and families in need. Correlating with the vision outlined by the SIP, the RtI leadership team will utilize multiple evaluation and assessment strategies to continuously monitor and modify instruction to meet individual student needs and support proficient student work. RtI action plans will follow the school's instructional programming by actively engaging target students using effective, varied, and tiered research-based interventions to improve individual student academic performance.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The core principles of RtI include evidence based interventions and instruction, monitoring student progress to drive instruction, reviewing data to make decisions, and using assessment in screening, diagnosis, and progress monitoring. Intervention/progress monitoring data will be managed primarily by instructional staff with the support of the School Based Team (SBT). Data will be reviewed and interventions or instructions modified by the teachers and School Based Team. Documentation of multi-tiered instruction/intervention service delivery will be the responsibility of the teacher of instruction with assistance from the team.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff will be trained via ongoing in-house inservice via School Based Team and Professional Development Team.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Ongoing and continual support will be provided to instructional staff through administration, SBT, and SBT Leader. Additional training may include workshops and vodcast presentations offered through the district.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Lincoln's school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) consists of:

Dr. Tracy Sims, Principal

Alicia Porter, Assistant Principal

Taneka Rolle, Reading Coach

Dr. Shirley Cox, SAI Instructor

Latoya Pringley, Teacher

Tamika Brown, Teacher

Ticondria Wimberly, Teacher

Latisha Jonas, Teacher

Candice Lovely, Teacher

Ashley Matney, Teacher

Reginald Stephens, Teacher

Margaret Cantrell, Teacher

Chakela Montgomery, Teacher Jodi Harrell, Teacher

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Meeting occur monthly or as needed. The roles of the Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) are to support Lincoln's reading instructional process, by:

- Providing appropriate professional development to increase instructional and academic capacity;
- Monitoring data-driven implementation of Instructional Focus Calendars, student progress, best practices for lesson plan development, explicit instructional delivery and student engagement, and appropriate learning environments across all grade levels; and,
- Supporting teachers with appropriate interventions and resources based on school accountability and Annual Measured Outcomes

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Major initiatives in reading will include:

- Creating appropriate reading "Spaces and Places" in every K-5 classroom;
- Conducting one professional book study with instructional staff;
- Implementing a school-wide incentive for independent reading;
- Providing parent workshops (Curriculum Night, FCAT Writes, III);
- Standards-Based Report Card training
- Additional professional development trainings (School-wide Reading Running Record, Leveled Literacy Intervention, Reading Training Day, KG & 1st Common Core Standards)
- Data Chats between teachers and administration & the LLT; and teachers with students; and,
- Weekly interventions and accountability meetings based on daily observations of the instructional process and FCIM.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Community-based preschool transition strategies include:

- •Invitation extended to Riviera Beach pre-school agencies to school activities and programs
- •Principal-conducted parent meetings for Pre-K registration and Kindergarten students, providing curriculum expectations, literature, and to answer questions.
- •Distribution of newsletters and announcements to local Riviera Beach churches, recreational centers, and businesses.
- Attendance to community-based school registrations

Lincoln will have two Pre-K units this year.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

N/A

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

| N/A | |
|---|-----------|
| Postsecondary Transition | |
| Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. | |
| Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> Feedback Report | <u>əl</u> |
| N/A | |

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

Lincoln Elementary will increase the percentage of students meeting Reading proficiency in FCAT Reading from 17%(35) achieved in 2012 to 32% (71).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Based on the 2012 FCAT results, 17%(35) of students achieved proficiency (Level 3)

Of the current students enrolled in grade 3-5, 32% (71) students will score a Level 3 on the 2012 FCAT Reading 2.0.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Person or Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation T |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic rep & K-4 Assessm Core K-12 Class Item Ana |
| | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency | | | |

indicators, and high order

questions;

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | After school/In School and Weekend Tutorials using test prep materials and recources; | | | |
| | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
| | Limited independent reading stamina. | School-wide independent reading incentive involving parents; (Reading Counts Program) | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers SAI Teacher Media Specialist | Weekly Meeting and monitoring | Student Logs/Journals SRI K-4 Assessment |
| 2 | | Media Specialist will wwork with the teachers on benchmarks of the month. | | | |
| | | Increase self selective independent reading time in the classroom. | | | |
| | Fluency Deficiencies (according to fluency predictors) | Implement timed fluency excercises and drills/centers;Readers' Theatre | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers SAI Teacher | Monthly | Fluency Assessment Probes |
| | | Centers; | Media Specialist | | |
| 3 | | - Recitations, Poetry, Skits, Lyrics; | | | |
| | | - School-wide Readers Theater Festival independent reading incentive involving parents; | | | |
| | Limited personal schema, background knowledge about the world, and general experiences. | expansion of students' background experiences | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coaches Classroom Media Specialist | Lesson Study | Lesson Plans |
| | | - Read Aloud | Guidance Counselor SAI teacher Fine Arts Team | | |
| | | - Shared/Modeled reading; | ITSA | | |
| | | - Guided reading; | | | |
| | | - Independent reading (classroom libraries); | | | |
| 4 | | - School presentations & Field Trip experiences, project-based learning, literacy celebrations, and | | | |

| Print-rich classroom environment, teacher and student language; Words of the Week (home link) | | Limited knowledge of Words | development and instruction, involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Working Word Walls (content and concept words) Vocabulary exposure through poetry Print-rich classroom environment, teacher and student language; Words of the Week | Teachers Reading Coach SAI Teacher | Lesson Plan Lesson Study | Student Vocabulary Journals |
|--|--|-------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|--|--|-------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: | | | * | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| * | | | * | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I r | ncrease St | udent Achievement | |
| for | | | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data S | Submitted | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Based on the 2013 FCAT results, students in grades 3-5 will increase Levels 4 and 5 from 12% (27) to 27% (60) | | | | |

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|------------------------------------|---|
| | Based on the 2013 FCAT results, students in grades 3-5 will score at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading will be 27% (60) |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| grade level by six months or more. | levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; Explicit performance-based instruction (ongrade level materials) | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic repor & K-4 Assessme Core K-12 Class Item Analy |
| | applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can | | | |
| | apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated | | | |
| | weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); Reteaching of | | | |
| | unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |

Use of available

| | | technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Reading at grade level, however unable to comprehend at higher level text. | Daily vocabulary development and instructional reinforcement, involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can apply to thier reading of other text types (and across content areas); Explicit performance-based instruction (ongrade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | Lesson Plans Vocabulary Journals | Fall/Winter Diagnostics Benchmark Assessments Core K12 2013 FCAT |
| 3 | Limited personal schema, background knowledge about the world, and overall experiences. | For improved ability to make text connections, expansion of students' background experiences through: - shared reading, - guided reading, - independent reading (classroom libraries), - school presentations, field trip experiences and literacy celebrations, - project-based learning, and, - research activities (requiring special materials and resources) | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers SAI Teacher | Lesson Study | Lesson Plans 2013 FCAT |
| 4 | Access and Equity | Provide real life experiences, varieties of genre materials, enrichment activities such as literacy-based musicals, plays, etc, independent reading materials, in all K-5 classrooms. | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers SAI Teacher | Lesson Study | Lesson Plan 2013 FCAT |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| * | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| * | | | * | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | | | ncrease S | Student Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Perso Positi Respo for Monit | ion onsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3a:

Based on FCAT 2013, students making gains in reading will increase from 52% (51)to 67% (96).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Based on FCAT 2012,52% (51) of students made gains in Reading. In 2011 66% (89) of students made gains in reading, a decreas of 14 points.

Of the 3rd (retainees), 4th and 5th grade students for the 2013 school year, 67% (96) students will make learning gains in reading.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation To |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; Explicit performance-based instruction (ongrade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic report & K-4 Assessment Core K-12 Class Item Analy |

| 1 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; After school and Weekend Tutorials; Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Students reading below grade level six months or more. | enhance instruction. Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Stragegies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; Explicit performance-based instruction (ongrade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up | Principal Asst. Principal Coaches District Staff SAI Teacher Teachers | based on data, anecdotal observations and records, student | RRR SRI Portfolios Diagnostics FCAT Core K-12 |
| | | reading strategies; Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can apply to thier reading of | | | |
| 2 | | other text (and across content areas); Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |

| | ı | | 1 | ı | , |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | Differentiating instruction to meet students' individual needs. | Development on strategy | Principal Asst. Principal Coaches | based on data, anecdotal observations and records, student portfolios, system of reteaching of students | RRR SRI Portfolios Diagnostics FCAT |
| 3 | | School-based learning walks and debriefing for expanded expertise; Triple I; | District Staff SAI Teacher Teachers | not mastering benchmarks | |
| | | Data Chats; | | | |
| | | Baseline and on-going monitoring and instructional adjustments implemented as needed; | | | |
| 4 | Limited Phonemic and Phonics Ability | Specified time for Phonics instruction within the literacy block and infused within small group and independent study; | • | Weekly Meeting and monitoring Teacher Anecdotal Records from assessments | SRI RRR Teacher Anecdotal Records |
| | | School-wide independent reading incentive involving parents (classroom libraries); | SAI Teacher Teachers | assessments | Records |
| 5 | Fluency Deficiencies | reinforcement in literacy | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach SAI Teacher Teachers District Staff LTF | Lesson Plans Lesson Study for specified grade level Learning Team Meetings | RRR/Anecdotal Records Fluency Probes |
| | | School-wide Fluency Festival (independent reading incentive) | | | |
| 6 | Limited personal schema, knowledge about the world, and general experiences. | make text connections, expansion of students' | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach SAI Teacher Teachers | Lesson Plans Tracking student levels of understanding | Anecdotal Records Student conferencing |
| | | project-based learning; and, Reference and research exposure through content area reading | | | |

| | | (requiring special materials and resources) | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 7 | Limited knowledge of Words | K-5 classrooms, through: | Teachers LTF Reading Coach SAI Teacher | Lesson Plans Lesson Study for assigned grade level Small group instruction Increase in reading levels Walkthroughs Learning Team Meetings | Vocabulary Journals SRI RRR |
| 8 | Parent Involvement | Reading content-area and curriculum information included in weekly newsletters for: - Extention Activities - Homework Strategies - Participation in school- wide literacy activities | Asst. Principal Coaches SAI Teacher Teachers Parent Liaison | Attendance Sign-in's | SAC Agendas Parent Sign-In's SEQ |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.

Reading Goal #4:

Based on 2013 FCAT, learning gains in Reading of the lowest 25% will increase from 54% (19) to 69% (29)

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Based on FCAT 2012, 54% (19) of the lowest 25% made gains in Reading. In 2011, 69% of students made gains, a decrease of 15 points.

Based on the 2013 Reading FCAT, 69% (29) students in lowest 25% will make learning gains in reading.

| | | Problem-Solving Proces | s to Increase Stu | dent Achievement | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessment) Core K-12 Class Item Analysis |
| | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| 1 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
| | Students reading below grade level six months or | Explicit Instruction (I Do, We Do, You Do) | Principal | Strategic Lesson Plans, based on anecdotal | RRR |

| 1 | more. | | Asst. Principal | observations and records; | SRI |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional | · | | Diagnostics |
| | | levels)involving a variety | | Student portfolios; | |
| | | of genres taught through: | Reading Coach | System of reteaching of unmastered benchmarks | FCAT 2.0 |
| | | - Before Reading Stragegies | SAI Teacher | Progress Monitoring Meetings | Core K12 |
| | | - During Reading Strategies | SBT Team | on Tiered interventions | AIMS Web-Based |
| | | - After Reading Strategies; | | | |
| | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of various strategies for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to thier reading of other text (and across content areas); | | | |
| 2 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | After school and Weekend Tutorials | | | |
| | | Tier II & Tier III support for targeted students; | | | |
| | | SAI | | | |
| | | LLI groups during extended day | | | |
| | Differentiating instruction to meet students' individual | Provide Professional Development for intensive instruction; | Principal Asst. Principal | Strategic Lesson Plans/Curriculum Guides/Focus Calendars, | RRR SRI |
| | needs. | Utilize resources | Teachers | based on data (assessments, anecdotal records and | Diagnostics |
| | | provided by area & | | observations) | |
| | | district personnel | Reading Coach | Student portfolios | FCAT 2.0 |
| | I | Modeling; | SAI teacher | | Pupil Progression |

| 3 | | Parallel Teaching; Triple I; Data Chats for goal | Area & District Personnel | Walkthroughs/Informal/Formal Observations | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
| | | setting; Ongoing Monitoring the use of independent reading strategies System of reteaching of unmastered benchmarks | | | |
| 4 | Limited phonemic and phonics skills and abilities | Weekly instructional focus by grade level based on phonics continuum. | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Reading teachers SAI teacher | Weekly Meeting and monitoring Walkthroughs/Informal/Formal Observations | Anecdotal Records SRI RRR |
| 5 | Limited personal schema, knowledge about the world, and general experiences. | For improved ability to make text connections, expansion of students' background experiences through shared, guided reading, independent reading (classroom libraries), school presentations, field trip experiences, project-based learning and research activities | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers Reading Coach SAI teacher | Lesson Plans/Curriculum Guides/Focus Calendars Lesson Study for specific grades | N/A |
| 6 | Limited knowledge of Words | Daily vocabulary instruction, development, and reinforcement in all K-5 classrooms, through: - Print Rich Environment - Bellringers - Word Walls - Teacher modeling - Words of the Week (Home School Connection) - Student maintained journals - Use of various strategies for unfamiliar words - Vocabulary Games | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers Reading Coach SAI Teacher LTF | Lesson Plan/Curriculum Guides/Focus Calendars Lesson Study for specific grade level | Vocabulary Journals |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | Reading Goal # | | | _ | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| | 43 | 48 | 54 | 59 | 64 | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| Lincoln Elementary will increase the percentage of black students achieving Level 3 or above in FCAT Reading from 29%(56) in 2012 to 44%(98)in 2013. |
|--|
| 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| Based on the 2012 Reading FCAT, 44% (98) of students will achieve reading proficiency, or meet AMO goal. |
| |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation T |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | Principal Asst. Principal | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic repo & K-4 Assessm Core K-12 Class Item Ana |
| | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |

| 1 | l | l | l | i. | į. |
|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction | | | |
| | Limited phonemic and phonics ability of students. | Specified time within the literacy block and infused throughout, phonics instruction; | Asst. Principal Principal | Weekly Meeting and monitoring | Anecdotal Records |
| 2 | | School-wide independent reading incentive involving parents; | Select teachers SAI Teacher | | RRR |
| 3 | Fluency Deficiencies (according to fluency predictors) | K-5 fluency instruction to include: - Readers' Theatre Centers; - Timed Readings; - Poetry; - Recitations; - Lyrics; and, - Skits. | Principal Asst. Principal Teacher Reading Coach SAI Teacher | Weekly Meeting and Monitoring | Anecdotal Records SRI RRR |
| | | School-wide independent reading incentive involving parents (classroom libraries); | | | |
| | Limited personal schema, background knowledge about the world, and overall experiences. | For improved ability to make text connections, expansion of students' background experiences through: - Shared reading - Guided reading - Independent reading | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers Coaches SAI Teacher | Lesson Plans Lesson Study | N/A |
| 4 | | (classroom libraries); School presentations, & field trip experiences; Project-based learning; and, Reference and research activities (requiring special materials and | | | |
| | Limited knowledge of Words. | resources). Daily vocabulary reinforcement, development and instruction, involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Interactive Word Walls; | Teachers Reading Coach SAI Teacher | Lesson Plan Lesson Study | Vocabulary Notebooks |
| 5 | | Words of the Week (home link) Print rich clssroom environments, involving teacher and student vocabulary language | | | |
| | | Use of technology and language tools Student established and | | | |

| 1 | maintained vocabulary notebooks | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Expository writing within the content area. | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: | The expected level of proficiency for the 2012 FCAT in reading will be for at least 50%(5)of students to achieve reading proficiency. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| Based on the 2011 FCAT Reading, 11% (1) of ELL students achieved proficiency an increase of 11 points. | On the 2013 Reading FCAT, 50% (5) of the students will make satisfactory progress in reading. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels)involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessment) Core K-12 Class Item Analysis |
| | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| 1 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |

| 3 | phonemic and phonics ability. | reading incentive involving parents; | Principal Select teachers | monitoring | SRI RRR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | | | Asst. Principal | Weekly Meeting and monitoring | Anecdotal Records |
| | Students reading below reading grade level, six months or higher. | Daily Explicit Instruction (I Do, You Do, We Do) Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Stragegies - During Reading Strategies | Teacher Asst. Principal Principal Teachers Reading Coach Capacity Staff SAI Teacher | Lesson Plans Student Portfolios Classroom Walkthroughs Instructional Reviews | Benchmark Assessments Fall/Winter Diagnostics FCAT 2012 |
| | | Weekend Tutorials; Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; After school and | | | |
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |

| | | | SAI Teacher | | Edit Delete |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Fluency Deficiencies (according to fluency predictors) | Implement on-grade level fluency passages; Readers' Theatre Centers; Timed Readings; School-wide independent reading incentive involving parents; | Principal Asst. Principal Teacher Reading Coach SAI Teacher | Weekly Meeting and Monitoring | Anecdotal Records SRI RRR |
| 5 | Limited personal schema, background knowledge about the world, and experiences. | For improved ability to make text connections, expansion of students' background experiences through shared, guided reading, independent reading (classroom libraries), school presentations, field trip experiences, project-based learning and research activities. | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers Coaches SAI Teacher | Lesson Plans Lesson Study | N/A |
| 6 | Limited knowledge of Words. | Regular vocabulary instruction and vocabulary development in all K-5 classrooms. | Teachers Reading Coach SAI Teacher | Lesson Plan Lesson Study | Vocabulary Notebooks |
| 7 | Teacher Identification of Subgroups | student targets and subgroups beginning | Principal Asst. Principal Coaches Learn Team Facilitator Homeroom Teacheers SAI Teacher | Lesson Plans Student Portfolios Classroom Walkthroughs Instructional Reviews | Benchmark Assessments Fall/Winter Diagnostics FCAT 2012 |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| satisf | tudents with Disabilities factory progress in readi ng Goal #5D: | , , | | Based on the 2013 Reading FCAT, at least 50% (15) of SWD students will achieve reading proficiency. | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance: | | | |
| | on the 2012 FCAT results tested, 25%(8) of student | • | | Based on the 2013 Reading FCAT, 50%(15) of SWD students will make satisfactory progress in reading. | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessment) Core K-12 Class Item Analysis | | |

| I | ı | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--------------|
| | | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | | | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | | | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| | 1 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
| | | grade level by six months | Daily Explicit Instruction (I Do, You Do, We Do) | Asst. Principal | | Terms EDW |
| | | | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Stragegies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | | Classroom Walkthroughs Instructional Reviews CBIS II | |
| | | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, | | | |

| | after and follow up reading strategies; |
|---|--|
| | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; |
| 2 | Taught reading strategies students can apply to thier reading of other text (and across content areas); |
| | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating pre- planning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; |
| | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); |
| | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 85% rule; |
| | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; |
| | After school and Weekend Tutorials; |
| | Access adopted district reading programs for SWD. |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| satisf | conomically Disadvantag actory progress in readi ing Goal #5E: | | Based on the 20 | Based on the 2013 FCAT Reading, 44% of all economically disadvantaged students will achieve proficiency. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 1 | on the 2012 FCAT Readin vantaged students tested, | 9 | disadvantaged | Based on the 2012 FCAT Reading, 44% of all economically disadvantaged students will make satisfactory progress in reading. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessment) Core K-12 | |

| | | - Before Reading | | | Class Item Analysis |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | | | |
| | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| 1 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
| | Anticipate barriers: | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional | Principal Asst. Principal | Lesson Plans Lesson Study | EDW Reports SRI |
| | - Students reading below grade level | levels)involving a variety of genres taught through: | Teachers Reading Coach LTF | Professional Development | RRR Fall/Winter Diagnostics |
| | Limted phonics, fluency, knowledge of words, personal schema, and general experiences; | Before ReadingStragegiesDuring ReadingStrategiesAfter Reading | Area Capacity | | 2012 FCAT |
| | - Access and Equity | Strategies; | | | |
| | - Student Turnover | Daily Explicit Instruction (I Do, You Do, We Do); | | | |

| applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies: Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy. Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating prepipaning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; Daily Triple I Instruction (friumphs, Soar to Success); Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups: - Implement timed fluency excercises and drills/centers; - Readers' Theatre Centers; - Recitations, Poerty, Skits, Lyrics; - School-wide independent reading incentive involving parents; After school and Weekend Tutorials; |
|---|
|---|

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Reading Running Records | All grade levels and subject areas | District & Reading Coach | All instructional staff | August 2012 on-going monthly | Weekly | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers |
| Promoting Independent reading | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | Taneka Rolle, Reading Coach | All instructional staff | On-going | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers |
| Literacy Environment (Spaces & Places) | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | Taneka Rolle, Reading Coach | All instructional staff | On- going | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers |
| Reading Strategies vs Reading Skills FCIM | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | Taneka Rolle, Reading Coach | All instructional staff | On- going | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers |
| Lesson Study | | | | | | |
| AYP K-12 Common Core & Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS), with emphasis to remove the school from SINI status | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | North Area Support Learning Team Facilitator | All instructional staff | | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst. Principal PDD Team Reading Coach Teachers LTF North Area Support Team |
| Destination Reading | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | District | All instructional staff | On- going | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst. Principal PDD Team Reading Coach |
| Participation in district and school-wide based opportunities for staff development relative to reading instructional materials adopted for SWD and assessment of alternatively assessed SWD located on the District ESE website | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | District | All instructional staff | When Available | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers ESE Coordinator |
| Common Core Standards for 1st Grade | 1st Grade | District | Ist grade teachers | August 2012 | Monthly | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers |
| (Reading) | | | | | | Principal |
| Vocabulary | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | Taneka Rolle, Reading Coach Shirley Cox, SAI | | August 2012 | Daily Weekly Monthly | Asst. Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers |

| Focusing On Higher Order Thinking through the Continuum | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | Taneka Rolle, Reading Coach Debbie O'Meilia LTF | All instructional staff | On- going | Daily | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers LTF |
|---|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------|-------|---|
| | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade | Taneka Rolle, Reading Coach | All instructional staff | On- going | Daily | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teachers |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mater | | | A. !! !! |
|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Content Area Remediation | Tutorials and supplies to support tutorial | Title I | \$2,875.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,875.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Content Area Training | Out of County Seminars & conferences (FRA/IRA) | Title I | \$1,600.00 |
| Content Area Training | Supplies, incentives & resources such as chart papers, binders, paper, professional development books, student awards, etc. | Title I | \$2,556.50 |
| Small & whole group instruction, collaboration with teachers for modeling, increasing instructional capacity for students and teachers | .5 Reading Coach | Title I | \$33,794.00 |
| Increase content knowledge | Professional development opportunities for teachers after normal hour to increase teachers capacity within subject area. | Title I | \$1,575.OC |
| | | | Subtotal: \$39,525.50 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

On the 2013 CELLA, 59% (10) will be proficient in listening/speaking.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

On the 2012 CELLA, 17% (4) were proficient in listening/speaking.

| Problem-Solving | Process | to | Increase | Student | Achievement |
|-----------------|---------|----|----------|----------|----------------------|
| Troblem Solving | 1100033 | ıo | THE CUSE | Staaciit | / torric v criticiti |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | Limited Knowledge of Words | Daily vocabulary reinforcement, development and instruction, involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Interactive Word Walls; Words of the Week (home link) Print rich clssroom environments, involving teacher and student vocabulary language Use of technology and language tools Student established and maintained vocabulary notebooks Expository writing within the content area. Develop oral language through the process of readers theater and | B. Bien-Aime, ELL teacher Administration | | CELLA |
| | | organized social conversations daily | | | |

| Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| | udents scoring proficie | nt in reading. | On the 2013 CELLA, 47% (8) of the students will score proficient in reading. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: | | | | | | | |
| On th | On the 2012 CELLA, 0% (0) scored proficient in reading. | | | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Limited Phonemic Awareness & Phonics ability | Specified time within the literacy block and infused throughout, phonics instruction; | B. Bien-Aime, ELL teacher J. Brunot, Language Facilitator | Weekly meeting & monitoring | CELLA | | |

Administration

School-wide

independent reading incentive involving

| Ī | | parents; | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------------------------------|-------|
| 2 | Fluency deficiencies | <u>'</u> | teacher | Weekly meeting & monitoring | CELLA |
| 3 | Limited personal schema, background knowledge about the world adn personal experiences | Improving the ability to make connections to text. Expansion of students background experiences through shared, guided, and independent reading. Project based learning. Research activities. | teacher J. Brunot, Language Facilitator | Lesson Plans Lesson Study | CELLA |

| Stude | Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3: | | | | On the 2013 CELLA, 47% (8) of the students will be proficient in writing. | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: | | | | | |
| On th | On the 2012 CELLA, 12% (3) were proficient in writing. | | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Limited personal schema, background knowledge about the world adn personal experiences | Improving the ability to make connections to text. Expansion of students background experiences through shared, guided, and independent writing. Project based learning. Research activities. | teacher J. Brunot, Language Facilitator | Lesson Plans Lesson Study | CELLA | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | |

| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in As a result of the 2012 Mathematics FCAT, student mathematics. proficiency of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students will increase from 24%(49) to 39%(86). Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT, 24%(49)of students On the 2013 Mathmatics FCAT, 39%(86) students will score scored at Achievement Level 3. at Achievment Level 3. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students reading below Daily Guided Reading Principal Daily, Weekly, Monthly EDW (AII grade level by six months instruction (instructional Asst. Principal collection of student Diagnostic reports or more. levels)involving a variety Reading Coach performance data. & K-4 Assessment) of genres taught Teacher through: Core K-12 - Before Reading Class Item Analysis Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; Explicit performancebased instruction (ongrade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success);

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Explicit Mhole Group Instruction, I Do, We Do, You Do. Daily Small Group Instruction for additional practice and corrective instruction; Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; System of Reteaching for students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 80% rule, using Think Central data analysis reports and individualized prescriptions. Weekly monitoring of student progress by use of portfolios; Differentiated Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials supported through common planning with math coach After school tutorials; Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations, InterWrite Pads, etc. to enhance instruction students not reading on Daily small group Principal Lesson Plans; Portfolios Portfolios Principal Princ | | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; After school/In School and Weekend Tutorials using test prep materials and recources; Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 2 | below grade level in Mathematics six months or more. | Explicit Whole Group instruction, I Do, We Do, You Do; Daily Small Group Instruction for additional practice and corrective instruction; Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; Emphasis and reinforcement of math vocabulary; System of Reteaching for students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 80% rule, using Think Central data analysis reports and individualized prescriptions. Weekly monitoring of student progress by use of portfolios; Differentiated Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials supported through common planning with math coach After school tutorials; Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations, InterWrite Pads, etc. to enhance instruction Student incentives for academic achievement | Asst. Principal Teachers Capacity Members Math and Science Coach | Learning Team Meetings- Lesson Studies; Professional Development; Portfolios | FCAT 2013 Diagnostic |

| 3 | grade level, six months or more; | instruction for strategic practice and corrective instruction; Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; Emphasis and reinforcement of math vocabulary; System of Reteaching for students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 80% rule; Weekly monitoring of student progress by use of portfolios; Differentiated Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials; After school tutorials; Incorporation of literacy, test-taking skills, expository writing, within the math process. | | Learning Team Meetings- Lesson Studies; Professional Development; | EDW FCAT 2013 Diagnostic |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| 4 | with math concepts and vocabulary. | Implement Math Master Plan 60 minute math block (see attached) Incorporate Everyday Counts (Minimum of 2 elements daily) Bell ringer warm-up consisting of fair game questions (secondary benchmarks) Utilize Learning Village resources & scope and sequence Essential question stated at beginning of lesson and answered at end of lesson through exit tickets or reflections (DQ2) Focus math lessons on vocabulary building Teachers will refer to Math Concept & Skills checklist to determine how concept is to be presented to students (Concrete, Pictorial, and Abstract) Student will complete at least 2 of the checked problems, the "HOT" problems, and Test Problem daily. Flexible small group instruction Learning Stations that reinforce concepts taught Support from math coach to model each | Asst. Principal Teachers Area Capacity Personnel Math and Science Coach | Lesson Plans; Learning Team Meetings- Lesson Studies; Professional Development; | Portfolios EDW FCAT 2013 Diagnostic |

| | portion of math block • Weekly walkthroughs to determine fidelity of math block implementation • Think Central training and set up classes for teachers • Backwards Design through LTMs • Educational Technology (Destination Math/ Core K12, Think Central, FCAT Explorer, and Soar to Success) | |
|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement As a result of the 2013 Mathematics FCAT, the percentage Level 4 in mathematics. of students scoring Levels 4 and 5 will increase from 11% (22) to 26% (58). Mathematics Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT, 11%(22) of students On the 2013 Mathematics FCAT 2.0, 26% (58) will score at scored at or above Achievment Level 4. or above Achievement Level 4. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Daily Guided Reading Principal Daily, Weekly, Monthly EDW (AII Students reading below grade level by six months instruction (instructional Asst. Principal collection of student Diagnostic reports levels) involving a variety Reading Coach performance data. & K-4 Assessment) or more. of genres taught Teacher through: Core K-12 Before Reading Class Item Analysis

Strategies
- During Reading
Strategies

| 1 | | - After Reading Strategies; Explicit performance-based instruction (ongrade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; After school and Weekend Tutorials; Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Differentiated and Enrichment Instruction | Instruction derived from "Essential Question," Big Ideas and Supporting Ideas. Expanded instructional capacity through: - High Order Questioning and activities using Webb's Depth of Knowledge Wheel; - Project based Learning - Problem of the Day - Real World Application | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers Leadership Team Capacity Input | Walkthroughs Lesson Plans Student Chats | Student Portfolios Math Notebooks SSS Diagnostics (Fall/Winter) FCAT 2012 |

| 2 | - Grade Levels' weekly curriculum planning to identify prerequisite, and HOT components of lesson. | |
|---|--|--|
| | - Through use of Title 1 funds, provide hands-on resources for projects and special activities; | |
| | - Incorporation of literacy, test-taking skills, expository writing, within the math process. | |
| | - Tutorials | |
| | - Mathematics Coach will implement the Coaching Cycle | |

of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Based on FCAT 2013, students will increase Mathematics Learning Gains from 42%(47) achieved in 2012 to 57%(47). Mathematics Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on the 2012 FCAT results, 43% (47) of students made On the 2013 FCAT 2.0 the percentage of students making learning gains in math. In 2011, 63% of students made learning gains in math will be 57% (47). learning gains in math, a decrease of 20 points. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy

| | grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessmen Core K-12 Class Item Analys |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| 1 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
| | Students not reading on grade level, six months or more; | Instruction derived from "Essential Question," Big Ideas and Supporting Ideas. | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers | Learning Team Meetings- Lesson Studies; Professional | Portfolios EDW FCAT Diagnostic |
| | | Explicit Whole Group instruction, I Do, We Do, You Do; | | Development; | |

| | Daily Small Group Instruction for additional practice and corrective instruction; |
|---|---|
| | Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; |
| | Emphasis and reinforcement of math vocabulary; |
| 2 | System of Reteaching for students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 80% rule; |
| | Weekly monitoring of student progress by use of portfolios; |
| | Differentiated Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials; |
| | Incorporation of literacy, test-taking skills, expository writing, within the math process; |
| | After school tutorials; |
| | Mathematics Coach will implement the Coaching Cycle |

| ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need fimprovement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| 3b. Florida Alternate As Percentage of students mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: | sessment: making Learning Gains in | | | | | |
| Mathematics deal # 55. | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | ss to L | ncrease St | udent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posit Resp for | | | on or tion Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool | | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25%

| Mathematics Goal #4: | Learning Gains from 42% (15) achieved in 2012 to 57 (24)%. |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | Based on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 the percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics will be 57% (24). |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; Explicit performance-based instruction (on-grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; After school and | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic report K-4 Assessment Core K-12 Class Item Analy |

| | | Weekend Tutorials; | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | |
| 2 | grade level, six months or more. | Instruction derived from | Learning Team Lesson Study; Lesson Plans; Walk-thrus | Bemchmark Assessments Student Portfolios Go Math Chapter Tests SSS Diagnostic FCAT |
| 3 | | After School Tutorials Initial and on-going Math Professional Development and Trainings (NGSSS); Weekly meetings with staff for interventions and support. | Professional Development Attendance LTM Lesson Study | Sign-In;s |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | Elementary School Mathematics Goal # 5A: | | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 2011-2012 2012-2013 | | | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | 51 | 56 | 60 | 65 | 69 | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making Based on the 2013 FCAT 2.0, 49% (95) of the black students satisfactory progress in mathematics. tested will make satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on the 2012 FCAT of 195 black students tested, 34% Based on the 2013 FCAT 2.0, 49% (95) of the black studnets (66) of students scored proficient in mathematics, a decrease

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

of 34 points.

tested will make satisfactory progress in mathematics.

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; - Explicit performance-based instruction (ongrade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessment) Core K-12 Class Item Analysis |

| | Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials; | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| | student progress by use of portfolios; Differentiated | | | |
| | students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 85% rule; Weekly monitoring of | | | |
| | Emphasis and reinforcement of math vocabulary; System of Reteaching for | | | |
| | Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; | | | |
| | Daily Small Group Instruction for additional practice and corrective instruction; | | | |
| | Explicit Whole Group instruction, I Do, We Do, You Do; | | bevelopment, | Diagnostic |
| Students performing below grade level in math six months or more. | | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers | Lesson Plans; Learning Team Meetings- Lesson Studies; Professional Development; | Portfolios EDW 2012 FCAT Fall/Winter Diagnostic |
| | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction | | | |
| | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | incorporating pre- planning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |

| | more; | You Do; | Teachers | Lesson Studies; Professional | 2012 FCAT Fall/Winter |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | Daily Small Group Instruction for additional practice and corrective instruction; | | Development; | Diagnostics |
| | | Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; | | | |
| | | Emphasis and reinforcement of math vocabulary; | | | |
| 3 | | System of Reteaching for students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 85% rule; | | | |
| | | Weekly monitoring of student progress by use of portfolios; | | | |
| | | Differentiated Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials; | | | |
| | | Incorporation of literacy, test-taking skills, expository writing, within the math process; | | | |
| | | After school tutorials; | | | |
| | NGSSS and New Math Series | Initial and on-going Math Professional Development | | Professional Development Attendance | Sign-In;s |
| 4 | District and Area Collaboration; | and Trainings (NGSSS); Weekly meetings with staff for interventions and support. | Asst. Principal; PDD Team; | LTM Lesson Study | |
| 5 | Teacher Identification of Subgroups | To establish initial student targets and subgroups beginning school through Learning Team Meetings, for targeted group small group instruction and close monitoring for student proficiency and progress. | Principal Asst. Principal Coaches Learn Team Facilitator Homeroom Teacheers | Lesson Plans Student Portfolios Classroom Walkthroughs Instructional Reviews | Benchmark Assessments Fall/Winter Diagnostics FCAT 2012 |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C: | Based on the 2013 FCAT Mathematics, 50% (5) of ELL students will achieve a Level 3 or above. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| Based on the 2012 FCAT 2.0, 11%(1) of ELL students achieved proficiency in mathemnatics | ELL is not a subgroup for 2013 | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to | Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
| | Person or Process Used to | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| | Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessment Core K-12 Class Item Analysi |
| | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| 1 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction | | | |
| | Teacher identification of subgroups | To establish initial student targets and subgroups beginning school through Learning | Principal Asst. Principla Homeroom Teachers | Lesson Plans Lesson Study Walk-Throughs Learning Team Meetings | Benchmark Assessments 2012 FCAT |

| 2 | | Team Meetings, for targeted group small group instruction and close monitoring for student proficiency and progress. | Coaches Learning Team Facilitators | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------|
| 3 | Students reading below grade level six months or more. | Instruction derived from "Essential Question," Big Ideas and Supporting Ideas. Explicit Whole Group instruction, I Do, We Do, You Do; Daily Small Group Instruction for additional practice and corrective instruction; Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; Incorporation of literacy, test-taking skills, expository writing, within the math process. Emphasis and reinforcement of math vocabulary; System of Reteaching for students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 85% rule; Weekly monitoring of student progress by use of portfolios; Differentiated Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials; After school tutorials; | | Lesson Plans; Learning Team Meetings- Lesson Studies Professional Development | 2012 FCAT |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: | | | | Based on the 2013 FCAT, 50%(15) of Students with Disabilities will make math proficiency. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 1 | Based on the 2012 FCAT results, of the 32 students tested, 25% (8) of students met math proficiency. | | | Based on the 2013 FCAT, 50%(15) of Students with Disabilities will make math proficiency. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | Students reading below | Daily Guided Reading | Principal | Daily, Weekly, Monthly | EDW (All | |

| | grade level by six months or more. | instruction (instructional levels)involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading | | collection of student performance data. | Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessment) Core K-12 Class Item Analysis |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; | | | |
| | | Explicit performance- based instruction (on- grade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; | | | |
| | | Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; | | | |
| | | Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; | | | |
| 1 | | Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; | | | |
| | | Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); | | | |
| | | Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; | | | |
| | | Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; | | | |
| | | After school and Weekend Tutorials; | | | |
| | | Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | | | |
| | Students reading below grade level six months or more. | Instruction derived from "Essential Question," Big Ideas and Supporting Ideas. | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers Capacity Members ESE teachers & | Lesson Plans; Learning Team Meetings- Lesson Studies Professional Development | Portfolios EDW FCAT Fall/Winter Diagnostics |
| | | Explicit Whole Group instruction, I Do, We Do, You Do; | ESE contact | | -9.1-1100 |
| | | Daily Small Group | | | |

| 1 | | Instruction for additional | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | practice and corrective instruction; | | | |
| | | Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; | | | |
| 2 | | Incorporation of literacy, test-taking skills, expository writing, within the math process. | | | |
| | | Emphasis and reinforcement of math vocabulary; | | | |
| | | System of Reteaching for students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 85% rule; | | | |
| | | Weekly monitoring of student progress by use of portfolios; | | | |
| | | Differentiated Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials; | | | |
| | | After school tutorials; | | | |
| | NGSSS and New Math Series | District and Area Collaboration; | Principal; Asst. Principal; | Appropriate Professional Development | Sign-In's |
| 3 | | Initial and on-going Math Professional Development | · | LTM Lesson Study | |
| | | and Trainings (NGSSS); | | | |
| | | Weekly meetings with staff for interventions and support. | | | |
| 4 | Teacher Identification of Subgroups | student targets and subgroups beginning school through Learning | Principal Asst. Principal Coaches Learn Team Facilitator Homeroom Teacheers | Lesson Plans Student Portfolios Classroom Walkthroughs Instructional Reviews | Benchmark Assessments Fall/Winter Diagnostics FCAT |
| | | student proficiency and progress. | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reform of improvement for the following subgroup: | erence to "Guiding | Questions", identify and c | define areas in nee | |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal E: | Based on the 2013 FCAT Mathematics, 50% (100) of economically disadvantaged students will score levels of proficiency. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| Based on the 2012 Mathematics FCAT, of the 205 economically disadvantaged students tested, 34%(69) of students scored levels of proficiency. | Based on the 2013 FCAT Mathematics, 50% (100) of economically disadvantaged students will score levels of proficiency. | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to | Increase Studer | t Achievement | | |
| | Person or | Process Used to | | |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| Students reading below grade level by six months or more. | Daily Guided Reading instruction (instructional levels) involving a variety of genres taught through: - Before Reading Strategies - During Reading Strategies - After Reading Strategies; Explicit performance-based instruction (ongrade level materials) applying before, during, after and follow up reading strategies; Vocabulary special emphasis, development, and reinforcement involving use of context clues for unfamiliar words; Monitor reading strategies students can apply to their reading of other text (and across content areas) through reading running records; Differentiated instructional strategies and resources such as Lessons in Literacy, Comprehension Toolkits, incorporating preplanning for anticipated weaknesses, proficiency indicators, and high order questions; Daily Triple I Instruction (Triumphs, Soar to Success); Reteaching of unmastered benchmarks based on the 80% rule; Use of reading coach for modeling and increase of instructional capacity, student and teacher, for all subgroups; After school and Weekend Tutorials; Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, laptop for presentations and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction. | Principal Asst. Principal Reading Coach Teacher | Daily, Weekly, Monthly collection of student performance data. | EDW (All Diagnostic reports & K-4 Assessment) Core K-12 Class Item Analysis |
| Students performing below grade level in mathematics and/or reading six months or more. | Instruction derived from "Essential Question," Big Ideas and Supporting Ideas. | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers Capacity Members Math/Science | Lesson Plans; Learning Team Meetings- Lesson Studies; Professional Development; | Student Portfolios EDW 2012 FCAT Fall/Winter |

| 2 | | Explicit Whole Group instruction, I Do, We Do, You Do; Daily Small Group Instruction for additional practice and corrective instruction; Strategic Lesson Plans, standards-based (NGSSS Test Specifications), and data driven; Incorporation of literacy, test-taking skills, expository writing, within the math process. Emphasis and reinforcement of math vocabulary; System of Reteaching for students not mastering specific benchmarks based on the 85% rule; Weekly monitoring of student progress by use of portfolios; Differentiated Instruction, using supplemental resources and materials; After school tutorials; | Coach | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 3 | Teacher Identification of Subgroups | To establish initial student targets and subgroups beginning school through Learning Team Meetings, for targeted group small group instruction and close monitoring for student proficiency and progress. | Principal Asst. Principal Coaches Learn Team Facilitator HomeroomTeachers Math/Science Coach | Lesson Plans Student Portfolios Classroom Walkthroughs Instructional Reviews | Benchmark Assessments Fall/Winter Diagnostics FCAT 2012 |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Math NGSSS | Second-Fifth Grades Teachers and Departments | District and Area Personnel (Capacity) Math and Science Coach Learning Team Facilitator | All Instructional Staff | Weekly through Learning Team Meeting and grade Level meetings | Weekly | Principal Asst.Principal District and Area Personnel PDD Team |
| Go Math Content Trainings | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade Teachers | Elementary Math Curriculum | All Instructional Staff | Pre-school On -going | Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal PDD Team |

| FCAT Explorer/ Florida Achieves | Fourth-Fifth Grade Teachers | Math Coach, Jayme Kitson / Asssitant Principal | Fourth and Fifth Instructional Staff | November 2012 | Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal Math Coach |
|---|---|--|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| GIZMOS | Fourth-Fifth Grade Teachers | Math Coach, Jayme Kitson / Asssitant Principal | Fourth and Fifth Instructional Staff | November 2012 | Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal Math Coach |
| CORE K-12/ Exam View | Fourth-Fourth- Fifth Grade Teachers | Math Coach, Jayme Kitson / Asssitant Principal | Fourth and Fifth Instructional Staff | November 2012 | Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal Math Coach |
| Learning Stations and Rotations | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade Teachers | Jayme Kitson, Math Coach | Kindergarten- Fifth | August 2012 On-Going | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal Math Coach Teachers |
| Required Vodcasts: | | | | | | |
| FCIM | | | | | | |
| Lesson Study | | | | | | |
| АҮР | | | | | | Principal |
| K-12 Common Core & Next Generation Sunshine state Standard (NGSSS), with emphasis to remove the school from SINI status | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade Teachers | Vodcasts | PDD Team | On -going | Weekly | Asst.Principal Math Coach PDD Team Teachers |
| Technology (Think Central, FASTT Math, and Learning Village | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade Teachers | Math Coach District Personnel and Trainers | All Instructional Staff | When Available | Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal Math Coach PDD Team |
| Participate in district and school wide based opportunities for staff development relative to the math instructional materials adopted for SWD and alternatively assessed SWD located on the District ESE website | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade Teachers | District Personnel | All Instructional Staff | When Available | Weekly / Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal ESE Coordinator |
| Common Core Standards K and 1 | Kindergarten and First Grade Teachers | North Area Staff/ Jayme Kitson, Math Coach | Kindergarten and first | August 2012 On-Going | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal Math Coach Teachers |
| Math Block Components | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade Teachers | Jayme Kitson, Math Coach | Kindergarten- Fifth | August 2012 On-Going | Daily Weekly Monthly | Principal Asst.Principal Math Coach Teachers |
| Math with Meaning | Kindergarten- Fifth Grade Teachers | Math with Meaning Trainers Math Cadre | All Instructional Staff | On -going | Weekly | Principal Asst.Principal PDD Team |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| Content Area Remediation | Tutorials and supplies to support tutorial | Title I | \$2,875.00 | | | |

| | | | Subtotal: \$2,875.00 |
|--|--|----------------|--------------------------|
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Small & whole group instruction; collaboration with teachers for modeling, & increasing of instructional capacity for students and teachers. | Math & Science Coach | Title I | \$67,588.00 |
| Content Area Training | Supplies, incentives, & resources such as chart paper, paper, binders, professional reading books, and student awards | Title I | \$1,556.50 |
| Increase Content Knowledge | Professional development opportunities for teachers after normal hour to increase teachers capacity within subject area. | Title I | \$4,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$73,144.50 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$76,019.50 |

End of Mathematics Goals

SSS Diagnostics

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------|--|---|--|
| areas | s in need of improvemen | t for the following group |): | | | |
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: | | | stu | Based on FCAT 2013, science proficiency of fifth grade students will increase from 25%(18) achieved in 2012 to 40% (29). | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 20 | 13 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: |
| In 2012, 25% of fifth grade students scored at a Level 3. In 2011, 16% of fifth grade students scored proficient, an increase of 9% points. | | | Ваз | Based on 2013 FCAT 2.0, students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Science will be 40% (29). | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | to Incr | ease Stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Respo | rson or osition onsible for nitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | Students reading below a Level 3 on FCAT Reading. | Implmenting 5E model within science block Vocabulary Development and reinforcement during | Teach | Principal ers District ce | Lesson Plan Development and Delivery Lesson Plan Study at Learning Team | Inquiry Labs Science Journals Student Portfolios |

Math and Science Coach

Reading Coach

shared reading, guided

reading, bell ringers, whole group

demonstrations, and

benchmark labs;

Collaborative Planning

with teachers and area 2012 FCAT

Meetings

schools

| 1 | | Data-driven, Small Group Instruction; Working classroom library of fiction, but especially non-fiction titles and topics, for independent reading and extended reading at home; Expanded expertise for instructional capacity, modeling; Development of student science notebooks; After school tutorial science club based on benchmark labs; Student Data Chats; Identify science related text or text features to adress reading deficits in the science content area Utilizing ThinkCentral to take assessments and digital lessons that correlate to tested benchmarks. | | Walk-throughs | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Family Involvement for Homework, Projects, Extended Activities | and digital lessons that correlate to tested benchmarks. Use of available technology such as, LCD projectors, Document Cameras, clickers, and InterWrite Pads to enhance instruction | | Classroom Routine | N/A |
| 2 | Limited Science | Class; Agenda Planner Communication; Classroom incentives; Ed-Line Through use of Title 1 | Principal | Lesson Plan | Science Journals |
| 3 | Schema, Background Knowledge, and General Experiences. | funds, provide: - Opportunity for Science Fair participation - Supplement of hands-on resources and materials; - Use of Gizmos and other technology to expand capacity; - Incorporation of a | Asst. Principal Teachers Capacity Staff Math and Science Coach | Development and Delivery Lesson Plan Study at Learning Team Meetings | Student Portfolios SSS Diagnostics (Fall/Winter) 2012 FCAT |

| | | classroom library for indepdent reading and exploration; - Special Presentations and field trips (on and offsite) - After school SECME, Academic Games, and tutorials, etc. | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 4 | Lack of In-depth understanding of science concepts, processes, and vocabulary. | enrichment and high | Asst. Principal Teachers After School Tutors | Lesson Plans Lesson Studies LTM | Science Journals Fall/Winter Diagnostics 2012 FCAT |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Based on 2013 Science portion of the Florida Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Alternative Assessment, students scoring level 4 or above will increase from 50% achieved in 2012 to Science Goal #1b: 100%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In 2012, 50% of fifth grade students scored a Level 4 & Of the () fifth grade students, at least () students will above on Florida Alternative Assessment in Science. In score a Level 4 or above on the 2013 FCAT 2.0 2011, 50% of fifth grade students scored proficient. Science. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a: | | | | Based on 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science, fifth graders scoring a Level 4 or 5 will increase from 5%(4) to 14%(10)%. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| In 2012, 5% (4) of fifth grade students scored a Level 4 or 5 on FCAT Science, an equavilence of 4 students. | | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |

| 1 | Students reading below a Level 3 on FCAT Reading. | Vocabulary Development and reinforcement during shared reading, guided reading, bell ringers, whole group demonstrations, and benchmark labs; Data-driven, Small Group Instruction; Working classroom library of fiction, but especially non-fiction titles and topics, for independent reading and extended reading at home; Expanded expertise for instructional capacity, modeling; Development of student science notebooks; Participation in SECME and other after school tutorial science club based on benchmark labs; Student Data Chats; | Science Specialist Math and Science Coach | Lesson Plan Development and Delivery Lesson Plan Study at Learning Team Meetings Collaborative Planning with teachers and area schools Walk-throughs | Student Notebooks Student Portfolios SSS Diagnostics FCAT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | Family Involvement for Homework, Projects, Extended Activities | Provision of science supplies, such as notebooks, project boards, vocabulary cards, etc. Homework Review in Class; Agenda Planner Communication; Classroom incentives; | Teachers | Classroom Routine | N/A |
| 3 | Limited Science schema,background knowledge,and general experiences. | Ed-Line Through use of Title 1 funds, provide: - Opportunity for Science Fair participation - Supplement of hands-on resources and materials; - Use of Gizmos and other technology to expand capacity; - Incorporation of a classroom library for indepdent reading and exploration; - Special Presentations and field trips (on and offsite) - After school SECME, Academic Games, and | Principal Asst. Principal Teachers Select District Science Specialist Math and Science Coach | Lesson Planning and Study | N/A |

| Based on the analysis areas in need of impro | | | d reference | e to "Guiding Question | ns", identify and define |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: | | | Based on 2013 Science portion of the Florida Alternative Assessment, students scoring level 4 or above will increase from 50% achieved in 2012 to 100%. | | |
| 2012 Current Level o | f Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| In 2012, 50% of fifth grade students scored a Level 4 8 above on Florida Alternative Assessment in Science. In 2011, 25% of fifth grade students scored proficient, an increase of 25% points. | | | score a Level 4 or above on the Science portion of the | | |
| | Problem-Solving | Process to I | ncrease S | Student Achievemer | nt |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | • | No Data | Submitted | • | · |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

tutorials, etc.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Science Block Components | Kindergarten - 5th | Alicia Porter | All Science Teachers | August 17, 2012 | Lesson Plans | Administration |
| Science Technology Resources | Intermediate | Alicia Porter | All Science Teachers | November 2012 | Lesson Plans Computer Lab Log Sheets | Adminsitration |
| Think Central | Kindergarten - 5th | Alicia Porter | All Science Teachers | September 2012 | Lesson Plans Computer Lab Log Sheets Think Central usage reports | Adminsitration |
| 5 E Model | Kindergarten - 5th | Alicia Porter | All Science Teachers | October 2012 | Lesson Plans | Administration |

Science Budget:

| Evidence hassed Dragospa (a) // | Matarial(a) | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Evidence-based Program(s)/ | wateriai(s) | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Content Area Remediation | Tutorial | Title I | \$2,875.00 |
| | - | - | Subtotal: \$2,875.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|--------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| | - | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Content Area Training | Supplies & resources such as chart paper, paper, binders, professional reading books, etc. | Title I | \$1,556.50 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,556.50 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | • | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$4,431.50 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | |
|-------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3.0 a | CAT 2.0: Students scor nd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a: | ing at Achievement Le | Based on the 2 | Based on the 2013 FCAT Writes, 91% (64)of fourth grade students will score a Level 3 or above. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performanc | e: | |
| | d on the 2012 FCAT Write ents scored a Level 3 or h | | students will s | 2013 FCAT Writes, 91% core at least a Level 3 for quivalence of students. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students scoring a fragile Level 3 on Palm Beach Writes, second trimester. | Increased time for small group instruction for skill coded, corrective instruction; Continued and increased tutorial pullout during the instructional day; Enrollment of targeted students for Saturday Tutorials, with student incentive linked to attendance; | Principal Asst. Principal Writing Resource Teacher Homeroom Teachers Area Staff, Capacity Team | Lesson Plans; Instructional Focus Calendar; Walk-throughs Area Collaboration | Student Weekly Assessments Palm Beach Writes Attendance Logs | |
| 2 | Access (After School and Weekend Tutorials) | Provide bus transportation home. | Principal Asst. Principal Writing Resource Teacher Homeroom | Lesson Plans; Instructional Focus Calendar; Walk-throughs Area Collaboration | Attendance Logs | |

Teachers

| | | | Area Staff, Capacity Team | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Student Attendance (limited instruction for | Small Group Instruction; | Writing Resource Teacher; | FTE Reports; | Student Portfolios |
| 3 | students enrolled beyond the 11th day | Tutorial Enrollment; | Homeroom | Teacher Attendance | PB Writes |
| | count of school) | One-on-One Instruction | | | FCAT Writes |
| | | | Data Processor | | |
| | Students entering 4th grade with limited | Focused lessons | K-2 Teachers | | |
| 4 | knowledge in language arts skills | Support from area & district staff | | | |

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | | | eference to | o "Guiding Questions", | identify and define areas |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| 1b. Florida Alternate <i>A</i> at 4 or higher in writin | s scoring | | | | |
| Writing Goal #1b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pr | rocess to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | t |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi: Resp for | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| Writer's Workshop | Kindergarten - 5th | District personnel - Lisa Fitzpatrick | All writing teachers | September 10 & 24 October 15 & 22 November 5 & 26 December 3 | Lesson Plans Writers Notebooks Walkthroughs | Administration |
| Writer's Worksop | Kindergarten - 5th | Joy Barnes - Writing Coach | All writing teachers | 1st & 3rd Friday's of each month | Lesson Plans Writers Notebooks Walkthrough | Administration Joy Barnes |
| Rubrics & Anchor Sets | 3rd & 4th grade | Joy Barnes - Writing | 3rd & 4th grade writing teachers | October & November | Palm Beach Writes | Administration Joy Barnes - Writing Coach |
| Grade Level Articulation | Kindergarten - 5th | Joy Barnes - Writing Coach | All writing teachers | End of trimester meetings Ongoing | Minutes & Agendas from meetings Framework of grade level expectations based on vertical planning | Administration Grade Chairs Joy Barnes - Writing Coach |

| Explicit Planning | 3rd & 4th grade | | 3rd & 4th grade | grade October - 3rd | J | Administration Joy Barnes - Writing Coach |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Grammar & Conventions | ikinderdarten - | W//riting | All writing teachers | November & December | Palm Beach Writes | Administration Joy Barnes - Writing Coach |

Writing Budget:

| | | | Available |
|---|--|----------------|----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available |
| Content Area Remediation | Tutorials | Title I | \$2,875.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,875.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Small & whole group instruction; collaboration with teachers for modeling, increasing of instructional capacity for students and teachers | Writing Resource Teacher | Title I | \$67,588.00 |
| Content Area Training | Supplies & Resources such as paper, chart paper, binders, professional books, services, etc. | Title I | \$1,556.50 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$69,144.5 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Attendance | | | | | |
| Attendance Goal #1: | In 2013, the goal will be to increase Lincoln's attendance rate from 73% to 100%. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: | | | | |
| In 2012 of the 611 students enrolled, Lincoln's attendance rate is 73% | In 2013, the goal will be to increase Lincoln's attendance rate from 73% to 100%. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | | | | |
| The number of students with excessive absences (10 or more) is 167 students or 27%. | In 2013, the goal is to decrease the number of excessive absences by at least 10%, an equivalence of 64 (sixtyfour) students. | | | | |

| | Current Number of Stues (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|-----------------|--|--|
| | number of students with a students or 16%. | excessive tardies (10 or | tardies by at le | In 2013, the goal is to decrease the number of excessive tardies by at least 6% percent, an equivalence of 30 (thirty) students | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Parent's Responsibility in getting students to school, present and on time. | Use of attendance clerk making phone calls. Letters to parents of specific students late and absent. Home visits Students will receive an after school detention in increments of 5 tardies | Attendance Clerk Asst. Principal Teacher | Attendance Logs FTE | EDW | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | | |

Attendance Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of susp provement: | pension data, and referen | nce t | to "Guiding Ques | stions", identify and defir | ne areas in need | |
|---------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| Suspension | | | | | | | |
| Suspension Goal #1: | | | | To reduce susp | ension rate statistically | significant. | |
| 2012 | Total Number of In-Se | chool Suspensions | | 2013 Expected | d Number of In-School | Suspensions | |
| Thirte | een (13) | | | Eight (8) | | | |
| 2012 | ? Total Number of Stud | ents Suspended In-Sch | nool | 2013 Expected School | d Number of Students S | Suspended In- | |
| Nine | (9) | | | Six (6) | | | |
| 2012 | Number of Out-of-Sch | nool Suspensions | | 2013 Expected Suspensions | d Number of Out-of-Sc | hool | |
| Eight | y-six (86) | | | Thirty-six (36), 10% reduction. | | | |
| 2012 Scho | | ents Suspended Out-of | f- | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | | |
| Fifty- | seven (57) | | | Twenty-five (25); 5% reduction | | | |
| | Pro | bblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | Student off task, repeated disruptive behavior. | Held student assemblies to establish and maintain appropriate single school culture, academic goals, school procedures, and routines; Implemented character education curriculum; Initially and daily to establish of school and classroom expectations for whole group, small group, centers, handson instruction, testing, | Asst Hom Coa Reso | t. Principal neroomTeachers ches and ource Staff e Arts Teachers | School Attendance Reports | RXOOA0197 | |

| | and FAME with |
|---|--|
| | students (CHAMPs); |
| 1 | Parent and student to review school expectations, routines, procedures, and rules listed in agenda planner and school district student handbook; Incorporation of student dress uniform policy (providing |
| | uniforms for those in |
| | need); |
| | Implement monthly recognition for positive student behavior; |
| | Use of In-House |
| | Guidance Counselor |
| | Area Agencies for proactive measures and |
| | interventions; |
| | |
| | Use of extra curricular activities for redirected |
| | student attention to |
| | more positive |
| | engagement; |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Progran | n(s)/Material(s) | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | nt | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: To increase parent involvement at Lincoln Elementary, particularly for increased student achievement within the *Please refer to the percentage of parents who core content areas of reading, math, science, and writing. participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: In 2012 we offered 11 events, 8 SAC meetings, and 2 In 2013 we will be offering 11 events, 8 SAC meetings, Book Fair nights. An average of 36 parents attended and 2 Book Fairs. Our goal is to increase our average each event, 5 parents attended SAC meetings, and 5 participation rate from 36 - 50. parents attended Book Fair.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| Economically Disadvantage (employment conflicts) | Flexible hours for parent meetings, SAC, and conferences, that involve the design, implementation, and evaluation of the school-wide program (SAC dates: 9/12, 10/3, 11/7, 12/5, 1/9, 2/6, 3/5, 4/2, and 5/8); Parent workshops conducted within the content areas; - Curriculum Night - FCAT Writes - 3rd Grade and III; Curriculum newsletters distributed weekly; Language facilitator; Parent resources made available (supplies, uniforms, books for home, practice materials, etc.); Telephone conferences, | Parent Liaison | Parent Sign-In's Title I Audit Box | EDW Parent Sign Ins SEQ |

| | held regularly and logs maintained; | |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | Special parent invitations in agenda planners for school activities; | |
| | Use of school marquee for important school dates; | |
| | Use of Parent Laison | |
| | Use of Ed-Line | |
| | Offer free internet service to parents | |
| | Parents will receive information in a timely manner through newsletters, marquee, and flyers about Title I program. Information will also be discussed at the monthly SAC meetings. | |
| | Parents will evaluate schoolwide programs through evaluation pieces after trainings. The parent Liaison and Title I contact will evaluate programs based on parent feedback. | |
| | Provide Honor Roll breakfast to parents to celebrate childs grades and achievments each trimester. | |

 $\label{thm:please} \textit{Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

| | PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | (e.g., early | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|-----|---|------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| - 1 | Annual Title I Meeting | | Principal and Assistant Principal | School wilde and parents | September 25, 2012 | sign in sneets, and | Principal and Assistant Principal |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ | Material(s) | | |
|--|--|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Content Area Trainings & Communication | Supplies such as paper, ink cartriges, chart paper, ect. | Title I | \$2,637.00 |

| Communication | Postage | Title I | \$200.00 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | | - | Subtotal: \$2,837.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$2,837.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. STEM | | | | | | |
| *Not a program at this school | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | | | | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Progra | m(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Content Area Remediation | Tutorials and supplies to support tutorial | Title I | \$2,875.00 |
| Mathematics | Content Area Remediation | Tutorials and supplies to support tutorial | Title I | \$2,875.00 |
| Science | Content Area Remediation | Tutorial | Title I | \$2,875.00 |
| Writing | Content Area Remediation | Tutorials | Title I | \$2,875.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Content Area Trainings & Communication | Supplies such as paper, ink cartriges, chart paper, ect. | Title I | \$2,637.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Communication | Postage | Title I | \$200.00 |
| Technology | | | | Subtotal: \$14,337.00 |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | Resources No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Content Area Training | Out of County Seminars & conferences (FRA/IRA) | Title I | \$1,600.00 |
| Reading | Content Area Training | Supplies, incentives & resources such as chart papers, binders, paper, professional development books, student awards, etc. | Title I | \$2,556.50 |
| Reading | Small & whole group instruction, collaboration with teachers for modeling, increasing instructional capacity for students and teachers | .5 Reading Coach | Title I | \$33,794.00 |
| Reading | Increase content knowledge | Professional development opportunities for teachers after normal hour to increase teachers capacity within subject area. | Title I | \$1,575.00 |
| Mathematics | Small & whole group instruction; collaboration with teachers for modeling, & increasing of instructional capacity for students and teachers. | Math & Science Coach | Title I | \$67,588.00 |
| Mathematics | Content Area Training | Supplies, incentives, & resources such as chart paper, paper, binders, professional reading books, and student awards | Title I | \$1,556.50 |
| Mathematics | Increase Content Knowledge | Professional development opportunities for teachers after normal hour to increase teachers capacity within subject area. | Title I | \$4,000.00 |
| Science | Content Area Training | Supplies & resources such as chart paper, paper, binders, professional reading books, etc. | Title I | \$1,556.50 |

| Writing | Small & whole group instruction; collaboration with teachers for modeling, increasing of instructional capacity for students and teachers | Writing Resource Teacher | Title I | \$67,588.00 |
|---------|---|--|----------------|---------------------------|
| Writing | Content Area Training | Supplies & Resources such as paper, chart paper, binders, professional books, services, etc. | Title I | \$1,556.50 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$183,371.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$197,708.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance



Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/7/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



No. Disagree with the above statement.

If NO, describe the measures being taken to Comply with SAC Requirement

First SAC meeting to occur on September 12, 2012

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|--|------------|
| School Libraries Go for the Gold program | \$2,000.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

SAC meetings are on the 2nd Wednesday of each month at 5:00pm.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Palm Beach School District LINCOLN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|-----|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 61% | 72% | 100% | 18% | 251 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 66% | 63% | | | 129 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 69% (YES) | 79% (YES) | | | 148 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 528 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Palm Beach School District LI NCOLN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 45% | 60% | 82% | 33% | 220 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 50% | 51% | | | 101 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 65% (YES) | 71% (YES) | | | 136 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 457 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | С | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |