# FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: MARGATE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Thomas J. Schroeder

SAC Chair: Darlene Bragg

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/23/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

#### PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

#### STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

#### **ADMINISTRATORS**

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position  | Name                   | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)   | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)  |
|-----------|------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal | Thomas J.<br>Schroeder | Bachelor of Arts<br>in Education<br>Masters of<br>Science in<br>Educational<br>Leadership<br>Certifications:<br>Elementary<br>Education,<br>Educational<br>Leadership, ESOL<br>Endorsement | 8                                     | 8                                    | 09-10 A graded school, 90% of AYP Critera met; Black, Hispanic and Economically Disadvantaged Demonstrating a Need for Improvement in the Area of Mathematics 10-11 B graded school with 82% of AYP criteria met. All groups demonstrated a need to improve in mathematics 11-12 B Grade School. 53% above a level 3 in Reading 56% above a level 3 in Math 45% above a level 3 in Science 80% above a level 3 in writing 70% learning gains in Reading 78% learning gains in Mathematics 68% learning gains for lowest 25% in Reading 61% learning gains for lowest 25% in Math |
|           |                        |  |                                       |                                      | 10-11 A graded school, Oakland Park<br>Elementary School with 82% of AYP criteria  |

|  | Assis Principal | Tara Pasteur | Bachelors of<br>Science in<br>Education<br>Masters of<br>Science<br>Educational<br>Leadership | 1 | 1 | met. 11-12 B Graded School. 53% above a level 3 in Reading 56% above a level 3 in Math 45% above a level 3 in Science 80% above a level 3 in writing 70% learning gains in Reading 78% learning gains in Mathematics 68% learning gains for lowest 25% in Reading 61% learning gains for lowest 25% in Math |  |
|--|-----------------|--------------|---|---|---|---|--|
|--|-----------------|--------------|---|---|---|---|--|

#### INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name         | Degree(s)/<br>Certification(s)   | # of<br>Years at<br>Current<br>School | # of Years as<br>an<br>Instructional<br>Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)  |
|--------------|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Reading      | Jeanne Kraus | Bachelors of Arts<br>in Education,<br>Masters of<br>Science in<br>Curriculum<br>Instruction<br>Certifications:<br>Reading, Gifted,<br>ESOL,<br>Elementary<br>Education | 16                                    | 15  | 09-10 School Grade A: AYP-90% critera met with Black, Hispanic and Economically Disadvantaged Students demonstrating need for improvement in the area of Reading. 10-11 B graded school with 82% of AYP criteria met. All groups demonstrated a need to improve in mathematics 11-12 B Grade School. 53% above a level 3 in Reading 56% above a level 3 in Math 45% above a level 3 in Science 80% above a level 3 in writing 70% learning gains in Reading 78% learning gains in Mathematics 68% learning gains for lowest 25% in Reading 61% learning gains for lowest 25% in Math |

#### EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

|   | Description of Strategy   | Person<br>Responsible               | Projected<br>Completion<br>Date | Not Applicable (If not, please<br>explain why) |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Margate Buddy Program in which Highly Qualified Teachers are tapped to support those in need  | Jeanne Kraus                        | August 13,<br>2012              |  |
| 2 | Learning Community Coaches/Grade Chairpersons—<br>Identification of school-wide leaders to facilitate learning<br>communities/vertical teams to develop school-wide<br>initiatives                                | Thomas<br>Schroeder<br>Jeanne Kraus | June 2013                       |  |
| 3 | Team Planning and Weekly Collaboration Meetings—Staff<br>members plan with their grade level teams, professional<br>learning community and fellow colleagues in order to identify<br>strategies to solve problems | Thomas<br>Schroeder<br>Jeanne Kraus | June 2013                       |  |

#### Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|--|---|
| None   | N/A   |

#### Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

\*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number<br>of<br>Instructional<br>Staff | % of<br>First-Year<br>Teachers |           | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 6-14<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with 15+<br>Years of<br>Experience | % of<br>Teachers<br>with<br>Advanced<br>Degrees | % Highly<br>Effective<br>Teachers | % Reading<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |         | % ESOL<br>Endorsed<br>Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 65   | 0.0%(0)                        | 27.7%(18) | 33.8%(22)   | 38.5%(25)  | 40.0%(26)                                       | 100.0%(65)                        | 4.6%(3)                           | 3.1%(2) | 73.8%(48)                      |

#### Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name       | Mentee<br>Assigned | Rationale<br>for Pairing           | Planned Mentoring<br>Activities  |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Daniel Bass       | Carrie Pagley      | at school                          | Orientation procedures, schedules, expectations  |
| Margaret Anderson |                    | Grade Level Change to Kindergarten | Collaborative Planning<br>Staff Development<br>Overview of Margate<br>Initiatives<br>Overview of Grade Level<br>Standard |

#### ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Coordination and Integration

#### Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

#### Title I, Part A

- •With our Title I funds, we were able to add an additional instructional position to support class size mandate. Additionally, our Title I funds will be utilized to fund parental involvement workshops.
- •Workshop topics will range from: Implementation of the Common Core Standards, Preparing our Students for Rigor in Reading and Mathematics, Ways to Support Students in the FCAT, Hands-On Support for Students in the Area of Reading, Writing, Mathematics, Science; Parenting Skills and/or Handling Behavior Problems with Difficult Students.

Title I Funds are also utilized to support and implement high quality professional development aligned to our school improvement plan goals. Professional Development topics include: Common Core Implementation, Go Math Big Ideas Training, unwrapping the common core standards (K-2) and the NGSSS (3-5) in reading, math, and science; reading assessments - administration and linking results to instruction, Science Fusion, Destination reading and math ILS

#### Title I, Part C- Migrant

To meet the needs of our students, the Title 1 funds received are used for family universities and outreach. These sessions provide parents with the necessary tools to work with their children at home to improve academic performance. Teachers utilize support materials to facilitate activities to provide tutorials and remediation. We utilize our support staff to work with outside agencies to ensure families get the services needed.

| Title I, Part D |
|-----------------|
| N/A             |
| Title II        |
| N/A             |
| Title III       |
| N/Δ             |

| Т | i+ | l۵ | Χ. | Home   | اودد |
|---|----|----|----|--------|------|
|   | Iι |    | Λ- | HUILIE | しこうう |

N/A

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

- •We utilize such funds to provide remediation to students in the method of creating smaller classes with a lower pupil to teacher ratio.
- •Funds are also utilized to purchase research-based supplemental programs such as Fountas and Pinell Leveled Literacy Intervention LLI, Quick Reads, FAST Track, Great Leaps, Math Manipulatives

Violence Prevention Programs

Bully-free Classrooms, District-wide Silence Hurts, Creation of school-based Peacekeepers to pair up students experiencing behavioral difficulty

D.A.R.E. Program to All Fifth Grade Students

**Nutrition Programs** 

School's Wellness Policy, Commit to Be Fit Program extended to Gr. 3-5, Fuel up to Play 60 Grant program for student health and fitness

Housing Programs

N/A

**Head Start** 

N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

N/A

Other

N/A

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Thomas J. Schroeder-Principal and RTI/ Collaborative Problem Solving Team Coordinator; Toba Keener-ESE Specialist; Tara Pasteur, Assistant Principal; Jeanne Reynolds-School Psychologist; Faye Zacca-School Social Worker; Jeanne Kraus-Curriculum/Reading Coach; Rachel Belfer -Guidance Counselor; Nancy Stone-ESE Resource Teacher; Patti Moore-First Grade Teacher; Carrie Pagley, Art Teacher; Maddie Ferriera-Speech Language Pathologist.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Teachers create an inquiry question based on a concern or deficiency noted for a particular student. The teacher frames the question with the assistance of their grade-level team and/or colleagues. The teacher then meets with the child's parent to discuss the concern and to generate interventions and suggestions for improvement. After this step, the teacher then submits the inquiry question along with supportive information (interventions currently being implemented) to the Principal, CPST Coordinator. Within 10 school days, the team convenes on this particular student and discusses the inquiry question.RTI/CPST meetings take place each Thursday from 2:00 until 3:00 p.m. Additional meetings are scheduled on Thursday, if necessary. Interventions are generated which are designed to solve the problem and create success for the student. A Case Manager is assigned at the first CPST meeting to help the teacher generate additional interventions and strategies. At such meeting the team create an intervention plan for the student through utilization of our filemaker Pro Student Database. On such plan, the team lists the Tier interventions along with the progress monitoring to be utilized for tracking such progress. Progress monitoring instruments may include: FAIR Assessment for Kindergarten, Letters/Sounds

Recognitions, Mini-Benchmark Assessments, Go Math Chapter Assessment, Rigby Running Record Assessments, Scatter Plots, ABC Data. Tier I and Tier II Interventions are typically developed first and look at the basics for solutions. A follow-up date is planned at the first meeting for four weeks in the future. The team reconvenes four weeks later and reviews data to determine effectiveness of interventions. The progress monitoring data generated from the Intervention Plan is graphed to look at student progress in comparison with grade level expectations. At this time if interventions are successful then such interventions are continued and monitored through progress monitoring data. If interventions are proving minimally successful or unsuccessful, then additional Tier III interventions will be recommended. Updates will be made to the student's intervention plan and progress monitoring data will be generated and graphed. The team will reconvene four to six weeks later to review progress monitoring data entered in the database. If interventions are successful, then such interventions are maintained. If interventions prove unsuccessful or minimally successful or too costly to continue in general education a psychological evaluation may be suggested for further analysis

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

As part of the RtI process, the team ensures that all actions steps outlined in the SIP are being implemented in the classroom of a student being discussed. Additionally, the RtI team collaborates with the School Advisory Council to generate interventions and/or action steps relating to student deficiencies and/or areas of concern. The RtI team also reviews grade level data and looks for trends in order to ensure that the SIP Goals are being met and that students are progressing.

#### -MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

All data is contained on an electronic portfolio housed on the school's database along with BASIS in virtual counselor. This portfolio is reviewed during each RtI/CPST Meeting to ensure that interventions being implemented have yielded positive results. For example, if the suggestion of a Tier II intervention such as Quick Reads was made for a student experiencing fluency concerns, then in the electronic portfolio the team will review the Oral Reading Fluency scores of this student. The following are sources reviewed as part of the database are: BAT 1&2, Mini-benchmark assessments, Weekly Classroom Assessments, Quarterly Focus Reports, STAR, weekly assessments, end of chapter tests, Accelerated Reader Reports, FCAT, FAIR, RigbyRunning Records, Oral Reading Fluency, DRA, DAR, CELLA, Stanford Diagnostic Assessment, writing samples, discipline reports, behavioral checklists, anecdotal records.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Annually, during our pre-planning meetings, school staff is reviewed the role of the Collaborative Problem Solving Team and its purpose in driving student achievement. Additionally, Tier Interventions are reviewed with the staff in order to give a frame of reference for the types of interventions to be developed. New teachers to Margate will receive an in-depth review of the CPST process and how RtI is a plan for student success. This year, RtI training occured on 8/16/12. The RTI Team developed an in-depth presentation regarding the RtI process, requirements, timelines, graphing do's and responsibilities of teachers. Specific Tiered interventions will be reviewed and then addressed in depth through our Professional Learning Communities.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

RTI/MTSS is supported through all operations of the school. The RTI/CPST Team meet every Thursday afternoon to discuss individual cases and generate interventions for student success. At our monthly grade level data chats, interventions are discussed and generated based on the needs of entire classrooms, grade levels, small groups and individual students. At each meeting the multi-level tier approach is developed to ensure effective interventions are applied.

#### Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal-Thomas J. Schroeder Assistant Principal-Tara Pasteur Reading Coach/ESOL Contact/ELL Coordinator-Jeanne Kraus

Reading PLC Facilitator-Shannon Mills

Kindergarten Teacher-Susan DeMarco

First Grade Teacher-Patricia Moore

Media Specialist-Daniel Bass

Fifth Grade Teacher-Madeline Rodack

Fourth Grade Teacher-Darlene Bragg

Third Grade Teacher-Tara Dalu

Second Grade Teacher-Arlene Reisner

ESE Teacher--Nichole Galinkin

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

LLT meets on a monthly basis as a Reading Professional Learning Community. LLT reviews data from ongoing assessments and make recommendations. The LLT also ensures that the District's K-12 Reading Plan is implemented with fidelity.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Implementation of the Accelerated Reader Incentive Program for school-wide reading improvement.

Implementation of the K-12 Reading Plan.

Providing literacy support in all content areas K-5. Unwrapping the benchmarks and the Next Generation Standards.

Implementation of the Struggling Reader's Chart. Specifically, the administration and interpretation of diagnostic and progress monitoring reading assessments.

Using data to analyze the effectiveness of instruction and redesign instruction and resources to meet student learning and intervention needs.

Monitoring and supporting the implementation of the Comprehensive Intervention Reading Programs and scientifically based reading instruction and strategies with fidelity; leading and supporting PLCs and Study Groups.

Creating and sharing school-wide initiatives and activities that promote literacy.

#### Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/19/2012)

#### \*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

- •All of our new K students are screened in the summer to determine their readiness level relating to numbers, letters, concepts of print
- •All new K students and parents are invited to a Kindergarten Orientation to review the school's curriculum, instructional programs, and receive a tour of the school.
- •Three "Kindergarten Round-ups" were held in May. Parents meet our Kdg. teachers and support staff, visit Kdg. classrooms, and have an opportunity to register their student for the new school year.
- •Additionally, any student in an ESE program such as PLACE or Pepper are matriculated with our ESE specialist, ESE Resource Teacher and Kindergarten Team Leader.
- Regular meetings with our local pre-schools to convey our mission and expectations for K student

#### \*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

N/A

#### \*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

| N/A  |  |
|--|--|
| How does the school incorstudents' course of study | porate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that is personally meaningful? |
| N/A  |  |
| Postsecondary Transi                               | tion   |
| Note: Required for High Sc                         | chool - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.  |
| Describe strategies for im                         | proving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High Sch                          |

N/A

Feedback Report

### PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

## Reading Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|      | d on the analysis of stude<br>provement for the followin                      | nt achievement data, and ag group:  | refere                | ence to "Guidir                                      | ng Questions", identify and  | d define areas in need                                    |
|------|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| read |   | ng at Achievement Level   | l 3 in                | scores decline                                       | a indicates a that our read<br>d in the 11-12 school yea<br>nges to FCAT 2.0.  |   |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfor   | mance:  |                       | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performance  | :   |
|      | (108/486) of students sco<br>FCAT.  | ored a level 3 in Reading o   | n the                 | 27% (131/486<br>FCAT.                                | o) will score a level 3 in Re  | ading on the 2013   |
|      | P   | Problem-Solving Process   | s to I r              | ncrease Stude  | ent Achievement  |   |
|      | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Res                   | Person or<br>Position<br>sponsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
| 1    | Parents lack of<br>understanding with new<br>educational changes              | Monthly family universities will occur targeting parental/home support for the Common Core Standards and its implementation.  |                       | inistration<br>n Leaders                             | Parent Surveys<br>Reflection Sheets<br>Measure Up Forms on<br>Customer Service   | Measure Up Forms<br>on Customer Service<br>Surveys        |
| 2    | Lack of Background<br>Knowledge for student<br>population entering<br>school. | Screen all incoming Kindergarten students through a literacy readiness screening instrument to effectively group students.  Provide vocabulary rich experiences for all students through an effective 90-minute literacy block incorporating all six areas of reading instruction through the utilization of shared reading, read alouds, guided reading groups, and wide-area reading. | Read<br>Kinde<br>Lead |  | Monthly data assessment points from Treasures which measures Vocabulary mastery along with comprehension assessment. Review of data in kid by kid grade level and individual chats by administration.  | Assessment<br>Mini-BAT<br>Assessments<br>FLKRS, FAIR Data |
| 3    | Meeting all the needs of individual students                                  | Teachers K-5 will differentiate instruction using research based strategies as determined by needs from assessment data results.  All K-5 students will participate in a balanced reading program.  Materials to be utilized in the reading instructional block include:  Macmillian/McGraw-Hill Treasures, Wilson Reading Fundations, Fountas and Pinnelas Literacy Leveled            | read                  | inistration &<br>ing coach                           | Review of data<br>benchmarks in leadership<br>team as compared to<br>school, team and<br>individual teacher goals.<br>Review of goals and<br>actual results on<br>monthlybasis in grade-<br>level and individual-<br>classroom data kid by kid<br>chats.<br>Marzano Informal, Formal<br>and Snapshot data. | Rigby Running Record                                      |

|   | Intervention Program, novels and supplemental reading programs.  |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|
|   |  | Administration<br>Reading Coach<br>Reading PLC<br>facilitator | team data conferences;<br>Marzano Informal, Formal<br>and Snapshot Data.                                      | Monthly individual<br>and grade<br>assessments in<br>Treasures Reading<br>FOCUS<br>ASSESSMENTS |
|   | All teachers in grades K-5 will implement<br>Treasures Reading Series<br>with fidelity.  |   |   |  |
|   | All teachers will engage in learning communities to unwrap the Common Core Standards and ensure implementation with fidelity across the Grade Levels K-2. Teachers in grades 3-5 will continue to review both NGSSS and Common Core STandards.   |   |   |  |
|   | Teachers will utilize<br>Common Core<br>Instructional Frameworks<br>to assist in daily, weekly<br>and monthly planning.  |   |   |  |
|   | Teachers facilitate close analytic reading using the Comprehension Instructional Sequence (CIS) and/or other close reading models aligned to the Common Core State Standards.  |   |   |  |
|   | At team meetings on a weekly basis, teachers will utilize the defining the core website for professional development webinars regarding the monthly literary instructional shifts.   |   |   |  |
| Students not progressing to the proficiency target. | Determine core instructional needs by reviewing the FCAT, BAT and DAR, Rigby Running Record Assessments for all Level 1 and 2 students. Plan differentiated instruction using evidence-based instruction/interventions within the 120-minute reading block. Students will be referred to the Collaborative Problem Solving Team (RtI) as needed for intervention strategies. | Administration<br>Reading Coach<br>Team leaders               | Daily, Weekly, and<br>Monthly; Monthly data<br>conferences. Marzano<br>Informal, Formal and<br>Snapshot Data. | Monthly individual<br>and grade level<br>assessments in<br>Treasures Readin<br>BAT Data        |
|   | Tier 2:<br>Plan supplemental   |   |   |  |

| 5 |   | instruction/intervention for students not responding to core instruction. Focus of instruction is determined by review of data and will include explicit instruction, modeled instruction, guided practice and independent practice in the area of deficiences. Students will be referred to the Collaborative Problem Solving Team (Rtl) as needed for intervention strategies.  Tier 3 Determine Intensive Researchbased interventions which require increase in intensity and frequency.  Monitor all data points on a daily, weekly and monthly basis to determine growth. |  |   |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|   | Lack of vocabulary development  | The Elements of Reading Vocabulary Program will be utilized in all grade levels to guide instruction in vocabulary.  | Adminstration<br>Reading Coach<br>Literacy<br>Leadership Team<br>Leadership Team | Adminstration will have monthly data conferences. Marzano informal, formal and snapshot data.   | Monthly individual<br>and grade level<br>assessments in<br>Treasures Reading,<br>BAT Data. |
| 6 |   | Complex texts will be utilized to teach reading by integrating science, social students and informational texts into the reading blocks utilizing Buzz About It, Read alouds and shared readings.  |  |   |  |
| 7 | Increasing critical<br>thinking skills through<br>reading, writing, listening<br>and speaking | Students will participate in literature circles, close reading activities, debates, oral presentations and peer collaborative learning groups in order to extend reading, writing and speaking in all areas.   | Administration   | Rubrics will be reviewed.<br>Administration with have<br>monthly data<br>conferences. Marzano<br>Informal, Formal and<br>snapshot data. | Writing samples, oral presentations, literary reflections/responses to literature.         |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer<br>of improvement for the following group:      | ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.<br>Reading Goal #1b: | N/A  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                            |  |  |  |
| N/A  | N/A  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to I   | ncrease Student Achievement                                    |  |  |  |
|  | Person or Process Used to                                      |  |  |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | N/A                 | N/A      | N/A                                       | N/A                                       | N/A             |

|      | ed on the analysis of studer   |   | eference to "Guidin                                    | g Questions", identify and (  | define areas in need                                 |
|------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Leve | FCAT 2.0: Students scoring 4 in reading.   | ng at or above Achievem   | Overall, trend   | data shows that the perce<br>4 or higher on FCAT has re   |  |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn   | mance:  | 2013 Expecte   | d Level of Performance:   |  |
|      | (152/486) of students sco<br>ling on the 2012 FCAT.                                | red at or above a level 4 ir  | n 36% (175/486)<br>Reading on the                      | of students will score at c<br>2013 FCAT.   | or above a level 4 in                                |
|      | Pı   | roblem-Solving Process  | to Increase Stude                                      | nt Achievement  |  |
|      | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                                      |
|      | Students lack of exposure to higher materials and thinking skills.                 | Grouping students based on reading FCAT levels to ensure that advanced performing students are grouped together in a reading group.   | Reading Coach Principal                                | Monthly data grade level<br>and individual class data<br>chats to review the<br>higher performing<br>students progress on<br>benchmark assessments. | Treasures Reading<br>Assessments, BAT<br>Assessments |
|      |  | Teachers will utilize high order questioning techniques and strategies.   |  | Marzano Informal, Formal and Snapshot Data.   |  |
|      |  | Teachers will generate<br>and create high level<br>question stems utilizing<br>Webb's Levels of<br>Cognitive Complexity.  |  |   |  |
| 1    |  | Teachers will utilize Close Reading teaching with complex and rigorous texts to develop critical thinking strategies for analysis Teachers facilitate close analytic reading using the Comprehension Instructional Sequence (CIS) and/or other close reading models aligned to the Common Core State Standards. |  |   |  |
|      |  | Utilization of higher order thinking skills and the 9 high yield strategies. Teachers will attend trainings on higher order thinking skills.  |  |   |  |
|      | Assumption that high performing students are proficient in all reading benchmarks. | On a monthly basis,<br>teachers, administration<br>and support staff will<br>meet to review<br>benchmark data and goal<br>attainment with   | Reading Coach<br>Administration                        | Review of data during<br>Monthly teacher data<br>chats.   | Treasures Reading<br>Assessments BAT<br>Assessments  |

| 2 |  | particular attention to the subgroup progress and item analysis for high performing students of missed questions and benchmark proficiency.  Monthly assessments given in the Treasures Reading Assessment with a week review of remediation and/or advanced.  Teachers will utilize high complexity texts.  Teachers will utilize higher order questioning strategies and techniques.  Teachers will incorporate literature circles and informational text reviews to advance student thinking skills beyond the basal. |                                   |                      |         |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| 3 | Failing to have extensive wide-area reading. |  | Reading Coach<br>Media Specialist | Review of AR Reports | AR Data |

|  | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                         |  |  |                 |  |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: |  |                         | N/a  | N/a  |                 |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |  |                         | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                 |  |
| N/A  |  |                         | N/A  | N/A  |                 |  |
|  | Pr   | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude                                      | nt Achievement   |                 |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy                | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |
| 1  | N/A  | N/A                     | N/A  | N/A  | N/A             |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following group: |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #3a:  | The percentage of students demonstrating learning gains increased from 68% in 2011 to 70% in 2012. |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

70% (233/332) of students demonstrated learning gains in reading on FCAT 2012.

73% (242/332) of students will demonstrate learning gains in reading on FCAT 2013.

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier                      | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Too  |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Meeting the needs of individual students | On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.  Administration and support staff will meet with students in grades 3-5 for goal setting and progress discussion.  Differentiated Instruction will be implemented in each K-5 classroom through small groups to meet the needs of children in all subgroups. ESE and ESOL students will be serviced through push-in as well as pullout services depending on needs.  Teachers will implement Project Based Learning integrating technology using the Internet and multi-media applications.  All students will participate in a balanced uninterrupted 120 minute reading instructional block including shared reading, guided reading, read alouds, and a focus on informational texts.  Teachers will provide double-dosing daily for students struggling utilizing research-based programs detailed on the Struggling Reader's Chart such as Leveled Literacy Intervention, FAST Track, Great Leaps, Quick Reads.  Small group instruction will occur daily using differentiated strategies and centers that are | Monitoring Administration Reading Coach                |  | Treasures Readi<br>Benchmark<br>Assessments, B,<br>1 and 2 Data<br>Rigby Running<br>Records, FAIR<br>Data, ORF Data |

|   |   | gradual release model for instruction which aims at a gradual release of responsibility towards decreasing teacher scaffolding and increasing student independence as demanded for success on accountability measures such as FCAT Reading 2.0 and the forthcoming Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC).  |                                 |   |   |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 2 | Lack of parental support in reading.                        | All students will read each night for 30 minutes and record their reading on a reading log.  Every Wednesday night each student will utilize their independent reading book and complete a benchmark assignment.  All students will participate in the AR reading challenge  The bookworm Kids Read Aloud Program (Informational Text Weekly Reader Program) will be utilized for grades K-2 on Friday evenings.  The Weekend Current Event Program will be utilized for students in grades 4-5 to support school/home support.  Reading Family Night training on how to effectively support your child's reading efforts along with |                                 | Review of Reading Logs<br>and Accelerated Reader<br>Quizes. | AR Reports Reading Logs                       |
| 3 | Effectively and accurately diagnosing reading difficulties. | implementation of the Common Core Standards.  All struggling students not demonstrating proficiency will be administered a Rigby Running Running on a quarterly basis. The results will be analyzed to guide instruction and develop instructional plans.  | Administration<br>Reading Coach | Monthly Team Data<br>Chats<br>Kid by Kid Data Reviews       | Rigby Running<br>Records<br>FAIR and ORF Data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:               |     |
|---|-----|
| Percentage of students making Learning Gains in |     |
| reading.  | N/A |
| Reading Goal #3b:                               |     |

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: |     |                       | 2013 Expected  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |     |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----|--|--|
| N/A                                |     |                       | N/A  | N/A                                 |     |  |  |
|                                    | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer                                     | Increase Student Achievement        |     |  |  |
|                                    |     |                       | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Determine Evaluation                |     |  |  |
| 1                                  | N/A | N/A                   | N/A  | N/A                                 | N/A |  |  |

|   |  |          |                                     |   | Monitoring   | Strategy                 |                      |
|---|--|----------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 1   | N/A  |          | N/A                                 | N/A   |  | N/A                      | N/A                  |
|   |  |          |                                     |   |  | <u> </u>                 |                      |
|   | ed on the analysis of<br>approvement for the for |          | t achievement data, and r<br>group: | refere  | ence to "Guiding   | Questions", identify and | d define areas in ne |
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.  Reading Goal #4: |  |          |                                     | The percentage of students in the bottom quartile increase from 60% in 2011 to 68% in 2012.               |  |                          |                      |
| 201   | 2 Current Level of F                             | Perforn  | nance:                              | :   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                          |                          |                      |
|   |  |          |                                     | 70% (62 out of 88) of students in the lowest 25% will demonstrate learning gains in Reading on FCAT 2013. |  |                          |                      |
| Problem-Solving Process to Incre  |  |          |                                     | icrease Studer  | nt Achievement   |                          |                      |
| Anticipated Barrier   |  | Strategy |                                     | Person or<br>Position<br>esponsible for<br>Monitoring   | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation To            |                      |
|   | Meeting the Needs                                | of All   | At each grade level 2.5             | ۸dm   | ninistration   | Monthly Data Penorts     | Trageuras            |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool   |
|---------------------|--|--|--|---|
| students.           | At each grade level 2-5 classes will be formed to target our lowest quartile in which alternative research-based programs are utilized.  | Administration   | Monthly Data Reports reviewed and analyzed during team meetings to review benchmark goals and goal attainment pertaining to benchmark assessments. | Treasures<br>Benchmark<br>Assessments,<br>Running Records,<br>DAR |
|                     | Administration and support staff will meet with students in grades 3-5 for goal setting and progress discussion.   |  |  |   |
|                     | Differentiated Instruction will be implemented in each K-5 classroom through small groups to meet the needs of children in all subgroups. ESE and ESOL students will be serviced through push-in as well as pullout services depending on needs. |  |  |   |
|                     | Teachers will implement Project Based Learning integrating technology using the Internet and multi-media applications.   |  |  |   |
|                     | All students will  |  |  |   |

| 1 |                                   | participate in a balanced<br>uninterrupted 120 minute<br>reading instructional<br>block including shared<br>reading, guided reading,<br>read alouds, and a focus<br>on informational texts.                                |  |   |   |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
|   |                                   | Teachers will provide double-dosing daily for students struggling utilizing research-based programs detailed on the Struggling Reader's Chart such as Leveled Literacy Intervention, FAST Track, Great Leaps, Quick Reads. |  |   |   |
|   |                                   | Small group instruction will occur daily using differentiated strategies and centers that are differentiated to meet the needs of all learners.  |  |   |   |
|   |                                   | Teachers will utilize the gradual release model for instruction which aims at a gradual release of responsibility towards decreasing teacher scaffolding and increasing student independence as                            |  |   |   |
|   |                                   | demanded for success on accountability measures such as FCAT Reading 2.0 and the forthcoming Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC).  |  |   |   |
|   | significant time for remediation. | PRIDE Camps will be held<br>bi-weekly beginning in<br>January for our struggling<br>students to assist in their<br>reading progress and<br>preparation for state<br>assessments.   | Reading Coach                                      | Review of pre-test and post-test data scores to determine effectiveness of Pride Camps. Classroom walk-through visits and bi-quarterly kid by kid data chats. | Assessment,<br>Pre/Post<br>Benchmark Pride<br>Camp Tests  |
| 2 |                                   | Our struggling students<br>Level 1 and level 2 will<br>meet weekly with a staff<br>mentor to develop goals,<br>monitor progress and<br>provide motivation.   |  |   |   |
|   |                                   | All struggling students will utilize supplemental reading programs to increase student achievement. Programs such as Quick, Reads, Soar to Success, Gear Up, Fast Track, FCAT Coach.                                       |  |   |   |
|   | quartile.                         |  | Administration<br>Reading Coach<br>Leadership Team | Monthly Data Chats<br>Kid by Kid Data<br>Individual Student goals   | Treasures Reading<br>Assessment, Rigby<br>Running Records,<br>ORF Scores, FAIR<br>Data, BAT 1 and 2<br>Data |

|   |  | Students who are struggling will be referred to the Response to Intervention (RTI) team as needed for intervention strategies.   |  |             |  |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|--|
| 3 |  | Tier 2 Strategies to include: supplemental instructional programs and interventions. RTI Case manager will assist with the monitoring of progress and the development of effective reading interventions.                                |  |             |  |
|   |  | Tier 3 Intensive<br>Research-based<br>interventions which<br>require increased<br>frequency and intensity.   |  |             |  |
|   | Effectively and accurately diagnosing reading difficulties.        | All struggling students not demonstrating proficiency will be administered a Rigby Running Running, DAR, FAIR Assessment on a quarterly basis. The results will be analyzed to guide instruction and develop instructional plans.        | Administration   |             | Rigby Running<br>Records, FAIR<br>Data, DAR                  |
| 4 |  | On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed. |  |             |  |
| 5 | Difficulty understanding complex texts for our struggling readers. | Teachers will develop<br>strategies to scaffold<br>high complexity texts for<br>struggling students<br>through participation in<br>twice a month PLC<br>meetings, grade level<br>meetings, Common Core<br>webinars.                      | Administration<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team<br>Media Specialist<br>Reading Coach | and agendas | Treasures, Rigby<br>Running Records,<br>Focus<br>Assessments |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target              |           |           |                                       |  |                   |                |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|----------------|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. |           |           | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | the percentage of the percenta | of our students d | emonstrating • |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011   | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014                             | 2014-2015  | 2015-2016         | 2016-2017      |
|  | 47        | 39        | 35                                    | 30   | 23                |                |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5B:    | The gap between our African American and Hispanic student populations continues to widen from the proficiency rate of our White and Asian population. |
|--|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |
| The following are the levels of our students not-<br>demonstrating proficiency in Reading FCAT 2012: | The following are the expected levels of performance for our students demonstrating proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Reading:                             |
| White 34% (47/140)<br>Black 66% (109/166)<br>Hispanic 44% (58/132)<br>Asian 19% (6/32)               | White 75% (105/140) Black 50% (83/166) Hispanic 60% (79/132) Asian 85% (27/32)  |

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy          | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Meeting the needs of all students and bridging the gap. | and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.  Administration and support staff will meet with students in grades 3-5 for goal setting and progress discussion.  Students demonstrating significant deficiences and failure to respond to interventions implemented in the classroom will be referred to the Collaborative Problem Solving Team. | Administration<br>Team Leaders<br>Reading Coach        | Monthly kid by kid data charts and reports created                    | Treasures Reading<br>Assessment, DAR,<br>Rigby Running<br>Records<br>Mini-benchmark<br>assessments |
|   |   | Mentoring Program for all level 1 and level 2 students to assist with goal identification, remediation skills and support.   |  |   |  |
|   | Accurately diagnosing reading difficulties.             | All struggling students<br>not meeting proficiency<br>targets will be  | Reading Coach  | Monthly teacher data chats with grade levels and individual teachers. | Rigby Running<br>Records   |
| 2 |   | adminstered a Rigby Running Record on a quarterly basis. Running Records will then drive guided reading instruction. Professional development to review the administration of diagnostic and monitoring assessments as well as the evaluation of results for instruction.  |  |   | DAR Results FAIR Data  |
|   | Students not reading widely.                            | Utilization of the<br>Accelerated Reader<br>Program and the  | Reading Coach<br>Media Specialist<br>Administration    | Bi-quarterly review of AR<br>Reports                                  | AR Reports   |

| 3 |  | Incentive Plan for school-<br>wide implementation,<br>requiring students to<br>read for 30 minutes each<br>night. |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 4 | Difficulty understanding complex texts for our struggling readers. | strategies to scaffold<br>high complexity texts for<br>struggling students  | Media Specialist<br>Literacy Leadership<br>Team | Review of PLC Minutes<br>and agendas<br>Review of monthly data<br>chats | Treasures and<br>Focus Reading<br>Assessments,<br>Rigby Running<br>Records |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 3 - 3 - 1   |  |
|---|--|
| 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.  Reading Goal #5C: | We will bridge the achievement gap for our ELL Students through reduction of the number of students non-proficient on the 2013 Reading FCAT. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 80% (24/30) of our ELL Students did not make satisfactory progress on the 2012 FCAT Reading.        | 40% (12/30) of our ELL Students will demonstrate proficiency on the 2013 Reading FCAT.   |

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | ELL students lack background knowledge, vocabulary and thus have a difficult time comprehending complex texts. | Teachers will scaffold high complexity texts.  Teachers will utilize the Elements of the Reading Vocabulary Program to assist with vocabulary and language acquistion.  Teachers will implement the Elements of Vocabulary in small group instruction. Additionally teachers will build content area vocabulary (Tier III) through word walls, journals and word work.  Teachers will utilize the research-based 500 fry words and fry phrases created from the fry words in small group instruction, literacy centers and in learning partners. | Administration<br>Reading Coach                        | Marzano Informal, Formal and Snapshot Data.                  | BAT 1 and 2 Data,<br>Florida Focus<br>Assessments,<br>Treasures<br>Assessments.<br>Rigby Running<br>Records |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Data shows that our ESE Students are far below the

| Reading Goal #5D:                  | proficiency targets of students in other subgroups.                |
|------------------------------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:                                |
|                                    | 40% (26/64) will demonstrate proficiency on the 2013 Reading FCAT. |

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                           | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                       | Evaluation Tool   |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | Decoding and comprehending on-grade level texts when significantly deficient in all areas. | Teachers will analyze data to determine decoding deficiencies and develop a plan for academic interventions to accelerate their students' growth through utilization of research-based triple dose small group opportunities.  Teachers will plan for scaffolding support on rigorous and complex texts through close readings in both the general education classroom and ESE resource room.  Administration and support staff will meet with all struggling ESE students to develop individual learning gains for improvement in Reading. | Administration ESE Specialist Reading Coach Leadership Team ESE Resource Teacher | Monthly data chats Kid by Kid Data Reviews Individual Student goal setting reviews | BAT 1 and 2 Data;<br>Rigby Running<br>Records, ORF data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making There was a decrease in the percentage of students satisfactory progress in reading. demonstrating proficiency for Economically Disadvantaged group. Reading Goal #5E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 58% (180 out of 313) of economically disadvantaged 50% (157 out 313) of economically disadvantaged students students demonstrated non-proficiency on the 2012 Reading will demonstrate proficiency on the 2013 Reading FCAT. FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of

Differentiated Instruction Administration

will be implemented in

through small groups to

children in all subgroups. ESE and ESOL students

each K-5 classroom

meet the needs of

Meeting the Needs of All

Students.

Monitoring

Strategy

team grade level teacher

Treasures Reading

Assessment

Rigby Running

Record Scores

DAR Scores

Monthly individual and

data chats.

| 1 |  | will be serviced through<br>push-in as well as pullout<br>services depending on<br>needs.  Mentoring Program for all   |   |  | BAT Scores   |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
|   |  | level 1 and level 2<br>students to generate<br>student goals.  |   |  |  |
|   |  | Pride Camps for students in grades 3-5 to provide additional remediation of the benchmarks through utilization of support materials such as FCAT Coach, Options as well as utilization of CRISS Strategies.  |   |  |  |
| 2 | Time on Task and significant time for remediation.           | Utilization of research-<br>based programs for those<br>students not<br>demonstrating<br>proficiency. Programs to<br>included: Gear Up,FAST<br>Track, Soar to Success,<br>Fundations, Great Leaps  | Administration<br>Reading Coach                                     | Monthly individual and<br>team grade level kid by<br>kid Data Chats  | Treasures Reading Assessment Rigby Running Record Scores DAR Scores BAT Scores |
| 3 | Students being given parental support to obtain proficiency. | Bookworm Kids Read Aloud Program (weekly reader informational text) to be utilizing for grades K-2 and Current Event Programs for 3-5 aimed at increasing parental involvement in reading.  Students will read each night for 30 minutes and record it on the reading log. | Administration<br>Reading Coach<br>Team Leaders<br>Media Specialist | AR Reports, Reading logs, along with monthly assessments will be reviewed during grade level and individual teacher kid by kid meetings. | Treasures Reading<br>Assessment,<br>Reading Logs                               |
|   |  | Utilization of the Accelerated Reader program aimed at increasing wide-area reading.   |   |  |  |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus   | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD<br>Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or<br>school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early<br>release) and Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of meetings)  | Strategy for Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
|   |                        |   |   | Initial Session on 8/16/12<br>Weekly Team Meeting Review<br>with webinars from Instruction<br>and Interventions Department<br>utilizing Adobe Connect |   |  |
| Common<br>Core<br>Standards<br>Implementation |                        | PLC<br>Facilitators<br>Jeanne<br>Kraus    | School-wide   | the Second and Fourth Mondays with text anchors of the following: Laura Candler's Power Reading Workshop: A   | Marzano Formal,<br>Informal and<br>Snapshot Data;<br>Monthly Data Chats,<br>Kid by Kid Data, PLC<br>Agendas and Minutes | Administration<br>Reading Coach<br>PLC Facilitators    |

|  |            |                     |             | Strategies That Work: Teaching<br>Comprehension for<br>Understanding and<br>Engagement by Stephanie<br>Harvey and Anne Goudvis (Jan<br>1, 2007)  |  |                                 |
|--|------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Utilizing<br>Close<br>Reading to<br>Increase<br>Analysis | All grades | Jeanne<br>Kraus     | School-wide | October 4th Faculty Meeting  | Marzano Formal,<br>Informal and<br>Snapshot Data;<br>Monthly Data Chats  | Administration<br>Reading Coach |
| Increasing<br>Critical<br>Thinking<br>Skills             | All grades | PLC<br>Facilitators | School-wide | Twice a month professional learning community meetings with the following text anchors:  Making Thinking Visible: How to Promote Engagement, Understanding, and Independence for All Learners by Ron Ritchhart, Mark Church and Karin Morrison (May 3, 2011) | Marzano Formal,<br>Informal and<br>Snapshot Data, PLC<br>minutes/agendas | Administration<br>Reading Coach |

#### Reading Budget:

|   |   |                   | Available               |
|---|---|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy  | Description of Resources  | Funding Source    | Amoun                   |
| Balancing informational and literary resources.                                   | Buzz About It Kits  | Title One (11-12) | \$8,000.00              |
|   |   |                   | Subtotal: \$8,000.0     |
| Technology  |   |                   |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources  | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount     |
| Improve wide-area reading   | Accelerated Reader Program  | General Funds     | \$3,000.00              |
| Improve wide-area reading through multi-media sources                             | Purchase of Kindle E-Readers  | PTA Funds         | \$8,000.00              |
| Increase Student comprehension  | Ticket to Read Program  | General Funds     | \$3,500.00              |
|   |   |                   | Subtotal: \$14,500.0    |
| Professional Development  |   |                   |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources  | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount     |
| Implementing Effective collaborative planning of Common Core Standards and NGSSS. | Quarterly Grade Level Retreats for<br>Analysis of Data, Collaborative<br>Team Planning and Staff<br>Development | Title One Funds   | \$2,000.00              |
| PLC Common Core Implementation  | Professional Materials for<br>Implementing the Common Core  | Inservice Funds   | \$500.00                |
|   |   |                   | Subtotal: \$2,500.0     |
| Other   |   |                   |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources  | Funding Source    | Available<br>Amount     |
| Increasing Informational Text<br>Reading with Parents                             | Weekly Reader Magazine Parent-<br>Read Aloud Program  | PTA Funds         | \$5,000.00              |
|   |   |                   | Subtotal: \$5,000.0     |
|   |   |                   | Grand Total: \$30,000.0 |

End of Reading Goals

### Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. 44% (17 out of 37) students will score proficient on the listening/speaking section of the 2012 CELLA. CELLA Goal #1: 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: 41% (15 out 37) of students scored proficient on the listening/speaking section of the 2012 CELLA. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Limited Student Teachers will implement Reading Coach Monitor growth through Running Records Vocabulary the Elements of Team Leaders running records along BAT 1 and 2 Data Vocabulary in small Literacy data chats. CELLA Data 2013 group instruction. Leadership Team Additionally teachers Principal will build content area vocabulary (Tier III) through word walls, journals and word work.

| Stude   | ents read in English at gra  | ade level text in a manne  | r similar to non-EL   | L students.   |                            |
|---|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|
| Students scoring proficient in reading.  CELLA Goal #2: |  |  |   | 25% (9 out 36) of students will score proficient on the Reading Section of the 2013 CELLA.                                  |                            |
| 2012  | Current Percent of Stu   | udents Proficient in read  | ding:   |   |                            |
| 20%   | 20% (7 out 36) of students scored proficient on the Reading Section of the 2012 CELLA. |  |   |   |                            |
|   | Prol   | blem-Solving Process t   | o Increase Stude  | ent Achievement   |                            |
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring                    | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool            |
| 1   | Lack of fluency in sight word vocabulary.  | Teachers will utilize the research-based 500 fry words and fry phrases created from the fry words in small group instruction, literacy centers and in learning partners. | Principal<br>Reading Coach<br>Literacy<br>Leadership Team<br>Team Leaders | Conduct quarterly sight<br>word assessments and<br>analyze data trends in<br>data chats and<br>leadership team<br>meetings. | Sight Word<br>Assessments. |

| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing.              |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| CELLA Goal #3:  | 20% (8 out of 37) of students will score proficient on the Writing Section of the 2013 CELLA. |  |  |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |

|   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |  |                 |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|-----------------|--|
|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool |  |
| 1 | Student knowledge of<br>the basic conventions<br>and structure of written<br>English language. | differentiate instruction<br>through planned oral | Literacy<br>Leadership Team                            | Review and analyze writing samples on a monthly basis to determine progress and discuss at data chats. | Writing samples |  |

#### CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

#### **Elementary School Mathematics Goals**

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Overall, the % of students scoring at or above a level 3 on mathematics. the Math FCAT has decreased from 2011 due to the changes in the cut-scores on FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 27% % (131/484) of grade 3-5 students scored a level 3 on 33% (160/484) of grade 3-5 will score a level on the 2013 the 2012 Math FCAT. Math FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Parent Surveys Measure Up Forms Parents lack of Monthly family Administration understanding with new universities will occur Team Leaders Reflection Sheets on Customer educational changes targeting parental/home Measure Up Forms on Service support for the Common Customer Service Surveys Core Standards and its implementation. There will be a 90 minute Administration Meeting the needs of all Review of data monthly Go Math students. block of math daily, Curriculum individual and team Benchmark including flex groups for Specialist student by student data Assessments, BAT instruction for struggling chats. Scores, Calendar students. Destination Math reports Math Assessments The 8-step method of Singapore Math will be implemented to assist students with solving higher-level problems. Destination Math will be used to support and enrich learning. There will be a schoolwide implementation of Calendar Math daily. On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed. Differentiated Instruction will be implemented in each classroom through small groups to meet the needs of children in all subgroups. ESE and ESOL students will be serviced through a push-in model.

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

|   | Tier 1:<br>Determine core   |  |  |                                     |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 2 | instructional needs by reviewing the Go Math Assessments, FCAT, Calendar Math, BAT . Plan differentiated instruction using evidence-based instruction/ interventions within the math block for all Level 1 and 2 students. Students will be referred to the Collaborative Problem   |  |  |                                     |
|   | Solving Team (RtI) as<br>needed for intervention<br>strategies.   |  |  |                                     |
|   | Destination Math Will be implemented with all students as a support and review tool.  |  |  |                                     |
|   | Tier 2: Plan supplemental instruction/ intervention for students not responding to core instruction. Focus of instruction is determined by review of BEEP Mini Assessment data and will include explicit instruction, modeled instruction, guided practice and independent practice. Supplemental instruction is provided in addition to core instruction. Children will be referred to the Collaborative Problem Solving Team (Rt1) as needed for intervention strategies. |  |  |                                     |
|   | Tier 3 Strategies included increasing the Frequency, Intensity of the small group instruction utilizing Go Math Intervention Programs.  |  |  |                                     |
| 3 | Staff training on unwrapping the common core standards for K-2 and grades 3-5 with both the big ideas and supporting ideas (and common core standards. This will be followed up by Professional learning communities with focus of implementation of the common core standards through webinars offered by the Instruction and Interventions Department.  | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist | Marzano Formal, Informal, and snapshot with feedback given to teachers with reflective questions; monthly data reports | Go Math<br>Assessments, BAT<br>Data |
|   | At team meetings on a<br>weekly basis, teachers<br>will utilize the defining<br>the core website for  |  |  |                                     |

|   |   | professional development webinars regarding the monthly mathematical practices.   |                          |     |  |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|-----|--|
|   |   | Teachers will utilize<br>Common Core<br>Instructional Frameworks<br>to assist in daily, weekly<br>and monthly planning. |                          |     |  |
| 4 | Not keeping pace with instructional frameworks and calendars. | Quarterly grade-level retreats focusing on pacing and instructional frameworks for the common core standards.           | Curriculum<br>Specialist | · ' | Instructional<br>Frameworks, Go<br>Math Assessments. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. N/A Mathematics Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

|   | d on the analysis of studen<br>provement for the following                    |   | eference to "Guidir                                    | ng Questions", identify and o  | define areas in need   |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #2a: |   |   | Overall, the % FCAT decreas                            | Overall, the % of students scoring level 4 & 5 on the 2012 FCAT decreased as a result of the cut-score changes on the 2012 FCAT 2.0                                    |  |
| 2012  | 2 Current Level of Perforr  | mance:  | 2013 Expecto   | ed Level of Performance:   |  |
|   | (139/484) of students sco<br>2012.  | red a level 4 or 5 on the M   | ath 33% (160/484)<br>FCAT.                             | 4) students will score a level   | 4 or 5 on the Math   |
|   | Pr  | oblem-Solving Process t   | to Increase Stude                                      | ent Achievement  |  |
|   | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy  | Evaluation Tool  |
|   | Students being challenged and exposed to higher level and thinking materials. | Students scoring above grade level standards will be challenged utilizing Go Math Advanced materials through differentiated instruction.  The Sunshine Math |  | Go Math Assessment Sunshine Math Program Reports will be analyzed during monthly data conversations with individual and grade level teachers. Destination Math reports | Go Math<br>Assessments,<br>Sunshine Math<br>Results,BAT<br>Scores. |

Program will be utilized to

| 1 |                                    | increase student's experiences with higher-level thinking problem solving opportunities.  The 8-step method of Singapore Math will be implemented to assist students with solving higher-level problems.  Calendar Math Program will be utilized to stretch the student's thinking for mastery.  Destination Math will be used to support and enrich learning.  Teachers will utilize higher order questioning techniques which requires student's to defend their responses. |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Meeting the needs of all students. | to ensure advanced  | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist | Review of data progression points during monthly student by student data conversations, Daily Classroom walkthrough data with feedback given to teachers with reflective questions; monthly data reports | Go Math<br>Assessments,<br>Calendar Math<br>Assessments, BAT<br>Data |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. N/A Mathematics Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #3a: | The % of students demonstrating math learning gains significantly increased from 2011 with 59% to 78% in 2012. |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |
| 78% (257/331) of student demonstrated learning gains in the 2012 mathematics FCAT.                | 80% (265/331) of students will demonstrate learning gains in the 2013 mathematics FCAT.                        |

### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| benchmark standards utilizing Go Math Intervention almed at meeting their individual needs.  Small, flexibile groups utilizing differentiated instruction based on assessment data.  Teachers K-5 will differentiate instruction using research based strategies as determined by needs from assessment data results.  Utilization of Destination Math Technology Resource Program.  Providing additional opportunities for learning Assessment data results.  Utilization of Destination Math Technology Resource Program.  Students in the lowest opportunities for learning duritle will attend Pride Math Camps beginning in January to improve math skills.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Teachers will utilize Common Core Instructional Frameworks to assist in daily, weekly and monthly planning.  On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress.  Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.  Team planning for  |   | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement |  |                             |   |   |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| students.  administration and support staff for students not meeting benchmark standards dutilizing. Go Math Intervention almed at meeting their individual needs.  Small, flexibile groups utilizing differentiated instruction based on assessment data.  Teachers K-5 will differentiate instruction using research based strategies as determined by needs from assessment data results.  Utilization of Destination Math Technology Resource Program.  Providing additional opportunities for learning.  Providing additional opportunities for learning.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Administration progress.  Teachers will utilize Common Core Instructional Frameworks to assist in daily, weekly and monthly planning.  On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.  Team planning for   |   | Anticipated Barrier                                     | Strategy   | Position<br>Responsible for | Determine<br>Effectiveness of   | Evaluation Tool                             |  |  |
| Instruction based on assessment data.  Teachers K-5 will differentiate instruction using research based strategies as determined by needs from assessment data results.  Utilization of Destination Math Technology Resource Program.  Providing additional opportunities for learning quartile will attend Pride Math Camps beginning in January to improve math skills.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Administration Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Monitoring.  Post Tests and post-tests; Pride Camp Pre-tests and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Monitoring.  Administration Portice Camp Monitoring.  Post Tests said post-tests; Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Monitoring.  Post Tests said post-tests; Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Prost Tests and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Pre-test and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Protection and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Protection and post-test results will be reviewed for Pride Camp Protection and |   |   | administration and support staff for students not meeting benchmark standards utilizing Go Math Intervention aimed at meeting their individual needs.  | Curriculum                  | team data student by student.   | Assessments, BAT                            |  |  |
| Providing additional opportunities for learning.  Students in the lowest quartile will attend Pride Math Camps beginning in January to improve math skills.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Assessing students in an authentic manner.  Student work portfolios will reflect Math benchmark progress.  Teachers will utilize Common Core Instructional Frameworks to assist in daily, weekly and monthly planning.  On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.  Team planning for   | 1 |   | instruction based on assessment data.  Teachers K-5 will differentiate instruction using research based strategies as determined by needs from assessment data results.  Utilization of Destination Math Technology  |                             |   |   |  |  |
| authentic manner.  will reflect Math benchmark progress.  Teachers will utilize Common Core Instructional Frameworks to assist in daily, weekly and monthly planning.  On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.  Team planning for   | 2 |   | quartile will attend Pride<br>Math Camps beginning in<br>January to improve math   | Administration              | results will be reviewed for Pride Camp   | tests and post-<br>tests; Pride Camp        |  |  |
| teaching Big Ideas and supporting ideas  | 3 | _   | Student work portfolios will reflect Math benchmark progress.  Teachers will utilize Common Core Instructional Frameworks to assist in daily, weekly and monthly planning.  On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.  Team planning for teaching Big Ideas and | Administration              | as student samples<br>during learning<br>community meetings,<br>monthly data meetings<br>and individual teacher | scored based on<br>rubrics and<br>benchmark |  |  |

|   |   | Student's monitoring their Administration and |                          | Administration and | Student's individual    | Calendar Math    |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
|   |   | own individual progress.                      | support staff will meet  | Support Staff      | mathematics scores will | Assessments, BAT |
| 4 | 4 |   | with students in grades  |                    | be reviewed.            | Scores, Go math  |
|   |   |   | 3-5 for goal setting and |                    |                         | Assessments.     |
| L |   |   | progress discussion.     |                    |                         |                  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. N/A Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #4:  | The percentage of the students in our lowest quartile increased from 58% in 2011 to 61% in 2012.                      |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| 61% (51/83) of students in the lowest quartile for mathematics demonstrated learning gains on the 2012 Math FCAT.  | 67% (56/83) of students in the lowest quartile for mathematics will demonstrate learning gains on the 2013 Math FCAT. |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |  |  |  |  |

| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool   |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Struggling Students<br>lacking basic math skills<br>and conceptual<br>understanding based on<br>the NGSSS and CCS. | All students in the lowest quartile will receive a double dose of mathematics instruction and be taught using interventions based on their individual needs as determined by Rtl.  Students in the lowest quartile will attend Pride Math Camps beginning in January to improve math skills  Our struggling students Level 1 and level 2 will meet weekly with a staff | Curriculum<br>Specialist                               | individual and grade level<br>teachers to review Go          | Go Math<br>Assessments, BAT,<br>Calendar Math<br>Assessments. |

| 1 |   | mentor to develop goals, monitor progress and provide motivation.   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   |   | All teachers will vary grouping methods (small/flex groups) for differentiated mathematics instruction based on data reports and trends of individual student progress.                 |  |  |  |
|   |   | All teachers will continue to develop knowledge and understanding of NGSSS(3-5) and CCS (K-2) through professional development, PLC's, and team planning.                               |  |  |  |
|   |   | Implementation of the<br>Destination Math program   |  |  |  |
| 2 | Lack of automaticity for<br>struggling students with<br>basic math facts. | All students will work each evening on basic math facts and record such practice on the Math Facts Log. It will be expected that students will work for 15 minutes on basic math facts. | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist | Math Facts Log will be reviewed by teachers on an regular basis to determine effectiveness. Friday Math Fact Tests will be analyzed to determine progress. | Friday Math Facts<br>Tests and Math<br>Facts Logs. |
| 3 | Students not progressing to the proficiency target.                       |   | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist | Monthly data chats for individual and grade level teachers to review struggling student targets  | Go Math<br>Assessments, BAT<br>1 and 2 Data        |
|   |   | Students will be referred to the Response to Intervention (RTI) team as needed for intervention strategies.   |  |  |  |

| Based on Amb  | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target |           |  |           |           |           |  |  |
|---|---|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual<br>Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year<br>school will reduce their achievement gap<br>by 50%. |   |           | Elementary School Mathematics Goal #  In the 2016-2017 school year, we will reduce the achievement gap for our students by 50%.  5A: |           |           |           |  |  |
| Baseline data<br>2010-2011  | 2011-2012   | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014  | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |  |
|   | 44%   | 38        | 32   | 26        | 22        |           |  |  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.  Mathematics Goal #5B:           | There continues to be a large achievement gap amongst our Black, Hispanic populations with our White and Asian subgroups. |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:  | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:   |  |  |  |  |
| The 2012 FCAT non-proficiency results were as follows:  | The 2013 FCAT proficiency results will be as follows:   |  |  |  |  |
| White 27% (38/140)  | White 75% (105/140) will demonstrate proficiency  |  |  |  |  |

Black 62% (103/166) Hispanic 46% (60/130) Asian 19% (6/32)

text and word problems

students on Math

Black 50% (83/166) will demonstrate proficiency Hispanic 60% (78/130) will demonstrate proficiency Asian 85% (27/32) will demonstrate proficiency

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Students lacking basic math skills and concept understanding of NGSSS (3-5) and CCS (K-2). | All students will be taught using interventions based on their individual needs as determined by RtI.  Students in the lowest quartile will attend Pride Math Camps beginning in January to improve math skills  Our struggling students Level 1 and level 2 will meet weekly with a staff mentor to develop goals, monitor progress and provide motivation.  All teachers will vary grouping methods (small/flex groups) for differentiated mathematics instruction based on data reports and trends of individual student progress.  Regular use of Destination based on Math | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist             | Monthly data chats for individual and grade level teachers to review Go Math Assessments, Calendar Math Assessments. Destination Math reports              | Go Math<br>Assessments,<br>BAT, Calendar<br>Math<br>Assessments. |
| 2 | Lack of automaticity for<br>struggling students with<br>basic math facts.                  | All students will work each evening on basic math facts and record such practice on the Math Facts Log. It will be expected that students will work for 15 minutes on basic math facts.   | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist             | Math Facts Log will be reviewed by teachers on an regular basis to determine effectiveness. Friday Math Fact Tests will be analyzed to determine progress. | Friday Math Facts<br>Tests and Math<br>Facts Logs.               |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. The achievement gap between our ELL group and non-ELL Group in wide. Mathematics Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 70% (21/30) of our ELL students did not demonstrate 50% (15/30) of our ELL Students will demonstrate proficiency proficiency on the 2012 Math FCAT. on the 2013 Math FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy FCAT, BAT 1 and 2 Comprehension of the Teachers will instruct Administration Monthly Data Chats and

Curriculum

Kid by Kid Data Reviews

Go-math

|   | affects mathematical progression. | Vocabulary items and the<br>8 steps to problem<br>solving strategies<br>outlined in Singapore<br>Math.  | Specialist | Marzano Informal, Formal<br>and Snapshot Data | Assessments |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|------------|---|-------------|
|   |                                   | Teachers will scaffold the complex text found in math problems to aid in comprehension.   |            |   |             |
| 1 |                                   | All students in the lowest quartile will receive a double dose of mathematics instruction and be taught using interventions based on their individual needs as determined by RtI. |            |   |             |
|   |                                   | Students in the lowest<br>quartile will attend Pride<br>Math Camps beginning in<br>January to improve math<br>skills  |            |   |             |
|   |                                   | Our struggling students<br>Level 1 and level 2 will<br>meet weekly with a staff<br>mentor to develop goals,<br>monitor progress and<br>provide motivation.                        |            |   |             |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. The achievement gap between our SWD and non-SWD group is significant. Mathematics Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 83% (54/64) of our SWD did not demonstrate proficiency on 50% (32/64) of our SWD will demonstrate proficiency on the the 2012 Math FCAT. 2013 Math FCAT. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Lack of concrete Students will utilize math Administration Marzano Informal, Formal Go Math understanding of journals on a daily basis. Curriculum and Snapshot Data Assessments, FCAT, BAT 1 and 2 concepts. Specialist All teachers will utilize ESE Specialist Monthly Data Chats hands on instruction with Individual Kid by Kid Data Reviews manipulatives to introduce new concepts to students. Teachers K-5 will differentiate instruction using research based strategies as determined by needs from assessment data results. On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review

| 1 | benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.                             |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | All students in the lowest quartile will receive a double dose of mathematics instruction and be taught using interventions based on their individual needs as determined by RtI. |  |  |
|   | Students in the lowest<br>quartile will attend Pride<br>Math Camps beginning in<br>January to improve math<br>skills  |  |  |
|   | Our struggling students<br>Level 1 and level 2 will<br>meet weekly with a staff<br>mentor to develop goals,<br>monitor progress and<br>provide motivation.                        |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal E:

Overall the % of our Economically Disadvantaged students demonstrating proficiency decreased.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

57% (176/311) of our Economically disadvantaged students did not demonstrate proficiency on the 2012 Math FCAT.

60% (188/311) of Economically Disadvantaged students will demonstrate proficiency on the 2013 Math FCAT.

#### Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                 | Evaluation Too   |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Students lacking basic math skills and concept understanding of the NGSSS (3-5) and the CCS K-2. | All students in the lowest quartile will receive a double dose of mathematics instruction a daily basis and be taught using interventions based on their individual needs as determined by RtI.  Students in the lowest quartile will attend Pride Math Camps beginning in January to improve math skills.  Our struggling students Level 1 and level 2 will meet weekly with a staff mentor to develop goals, monitor progress and provide motivation. |  | for individual and grade<br>level teachers to review<br>Go Math Assessments, | Go Math<br>Assessments,<br>BAT, Calendar<br>Math<br>Assessments. |

| 1 |   | On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised and developed.  All teachers will vary grouping methods (small/flex groups) for differentiated mathematics instruction based on data reports and trends of individual student progress.  Implementation of Destination Math Program |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Lack of automaticity for<br>struggling students with<br>basic math facts. | All students will work each evening on basic math facts and record such practice on the Math Facts Log. It will be expected that students will work for 15 minutes on basic math facts.   | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist | Math Facts Log will be reviewed by teachers on an regular basis to determine effectiveness. Friday Math Fact Tests will be analyzed to determine progress. | Friday Math Facts<br>Tests and Math<br>Facts Logs. |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus          | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader   |                      | Target Dates (e.g., early release)<br>and Schedules (e.g., frequency of<br>meetings)  |   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|----------------------|---|---|--|
| Implementing<br>the Common<br>Core                   | All Grades             | Math PLC<br>Facilitators<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist<br>Administration                 | All teachers         | First staff development 8/16/12;<br>Twice a month PLC meetings with<br>text study anchors of the<br>following: Common Core<br>Mathematics in a PLC at Work: K<br>- 2 by Matthew R. Larson, Francis<br>(Skip) Fennell, Thomasenia Lott<br>Adams and Juli K. Dixon (Apr 11,<br>2012) and Common Core<br>Mathematics in a Plc at Work,<br>Grades 3-5 by Timothy Kanold<br>(Jun 30, 2012) | Marzano   | Administration   |
| Understanding<br>the<br>Mathematical<br>Practices    | All Grades             | Math PLC<br>Facilitators<br>Team Leaders<br>Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist | All Teachers         | Weekly Team Meetings utilizing<br>webinars developed by<br>Instruction and Intervention<br>Department on Math Practices   | Marzano<br>Formal,<br>Informal and<br>Snapshot Data | Administration   |
| Singapore<br>Math<br>Practices<br>Review<br>Sessions | Targeted<br>Teachers   | Curriculum<br>Specialists  | Targeted<br>Teachers | Monthly PM Trainings  | Marzano<br>Formal,<br>Informal and<br>Snapshot Data | Administration   |

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat                       | erial(s)   |                      |                         |
|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy  | Description of Resources   | Funding Source       | Available<br>Amount     |
| Replacement of Calendar Math<br>Kits                | Calendar Math Materials  | General Budget       | \$1,000.00              |
|   |  |                      | Subtotal: \$1,000.00    |
| Technology  |  |                      |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources   | Funding Source       | Available<br>Amount     |
| Increasing math fluency and problem solving ability | First in Math Technology Program   | Accountability Funds | \$4,600.00              |
|   |  |                      | Subtotal: \$4,600.00    |
| Professional Development                            |  |                      |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources   | Funding Source       | Available<br>Amount     |
| Collaborative Team Planning                         | Grade Level Retreats for planning<br>of weekly, monthly and quarterly<br>instructional frameworks<br>implementing Common Core and<br>NGSSS | Title One            | \$2,000.00              |
| Implementing the Common Core                        | PLC Books and Materials for<br>Implementing Common Core  | Inservice Funds      | \$2,000.00              |
|   |  |                      | Subtotal: \$4,000.00    |
| Other   |  |                      |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources   | Funding Source       | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data  | No Data              | \$0.00                  |
|   |  |                      | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
|   |  |                      | Grand Total: \$9,600.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

## Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Level  | CAT2.0: Students scor<br>3 in science.<br>ce Goal #1a:                  | ing at Achievement  |  | Overall, our students increased the percentage of students scoring proficiency on the 2012 FCAT Science Assessment.  |  |  |  |
| 2012   | Current Level of Perfo  | ormance:  | 2013 Expecte   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance:  |  |  |  |
| 1  | 51/170) of fifth grade<br>2012 Science FCAT.                            | students scored a level   | ,  | 36% (61/ 170) students will score at or above a level 3 on the 2013 Science FCAT.  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |  |  |
|  | Students lacking the foundation skills for mastery of science concepts. | All teachers will utilize the Five E Model for delivering Science Concepts. (Engagement, Exploration, Explanation, Evaluation and Extension).  All teachers in grades |  | Monthly individual and team grade level data chats. Mini benchmarks scores Daily Classroom walkthrough data with feedback given to teachers with reflective questions; | Benchmark Assessments; Rubrics Science Journals and ScienceFair Projects. Teacher Created Assessments; Science Fusion assessments. |  |  |

|   |   | 1-5 will utilize science<br>notebooks/journals in<br>their classroom.  |                | monthly data reports   |   |
|---|---|--|----------------|--|---|
|   |   | All teachers will utilize the Browards Hands-On Science Kits to deliver hands-on instruction and student experiments.  |                |  |   |
|   |   | All teachers will implement the Science Fusion Program.  |                |  |   |
| 1 |   | All students will participate in bi-annual science-fair projects based on benchmark progression in which students will complete all components of the project in the classroom through collaborative groups. |                |  |   |
|   |   | Teachers will include informational texts relating to science instruction in their reading block.  |                |  |   |
|   |   | Teachers will engage students in writing about content area scientific concepts in order to increase comprehension through reading, writing, listening and speaking.   |                |  |   |
| 2 | Teacher knowledge of<br>new Science<br>Standards, Big Ideas | Professional<br>development<br>unwrapping the<br>science Big Ideas   | Administration | team grade level data<br>chats.<br>Mini benchmarks<br>scores<br>Daily Classroom<br>walkthrough data with | Rubrics Science   |
|   |   |  |                | feedback given to<br>teachers with<br>reflective questions;<br>monthly data reports                      | assessments.  |
|   | Teacher knowledge of science program - Fusion               | Weekly team meetings<br>will include discussion<br>on units and scientific<br>concepts being<br>presented.   | Administration | Review of teacher  | Benchmark Assessments; Rubrics Science Journals and ScienceFair Projects. Teacher Created         |
| 3 |   |  |                | Daily Classroom  | Assessments;<br>Science Fusion<br>assessments.  |
|   | Meeting the instructional needs of all students.            | Teachers will utilize higher order questioning techniques based on inquiry and requiring students to defend their hypothesis and   | Administration | Monthly Data Chats<br>Kid by Kid Data<br>Reviews<br>Marzano Informal,<br>Formal and Snapshot<br>Data     | Benchmark<br>Assessments,<br>Science Journals,<br>Science Fair<br>Projects, Fusion<br>Assessments |

|   | arguments with claims and research.   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| 4 | Teachers will utilize complex and rigorous scientific texts.  |  |  |
|   | On a monthly basis, teachers, administration and support staff will meet to review benchmark data and goal attainment with particular attention to the subgroup progress. Instructional plans and methods will be revised |  |  |
|   | and developed.  |  |  |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. N/A Science Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

|                |  | dent achievement data, at the following group  |  | Guiding Questions", ider   | ntify and define        |  |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|-------------------------|--|
| 2a. F<br>Achie | CAT 2.0: Students sco<br>evement Level 4 in sci<br>nce Goal #2a:                     | ring at or above   |  | The percent of students scoring level 4 and 5 increased from 2011.                                 |                         |  |
| 2012           | Current Level of Perf  | ormance:   | 2013 Expecte   | ed Level of Performan  | ce:                     |  |
|                | 15% (25/170) students scored at or above a level 4 on the 2012 Science FCAT.         |  |  | 20% (34/170) students will score at or above a level 4 on the 2013 Science FCAT.                   |                         |  |
|                | Prob   | lem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement  |                         |  |
|                | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy                                       | Evaluation Tool         |  |
|                | Students engaged in<br>the scientific process<br>throughout the<br>learning process. | All students will<br>participate in<br>collaborative (gr. 3-5)<br>science fair projects<br>based on scientific | Administration<br>Curriculum Coach                     | Review of Science Fair<br>Projects through<br>rubrics by<br>administration and<br>leadership team. | Science Fair<br>Rubrics |  |

| 1 | 1   |   | <br>                               | l   | <br>   |
|---|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
|   |   | inquiry.  |                                    |   |  |
|   |   | All students Gr. K-2 will<br>participate in<br>classroom science fair<br>projects based on<br>inquiry.  |                                    |   |  |
| 1 |   | All students Gr. k-5 will participate in an biannual Science Fair Project Showcase. Projects will be based on inquiry regarding utilization of the scientific method. Projects will be shared at an annual Family Science Fair Evening. |                                    |   |  |
|   |   | Teachers will utilize higher order questioning techniques and strategies.   |                                    |   |  |
|   |   | All students will be engaged in complex scientific activities which requires extensions through reading, writing and speaking.  |                                    |   |  |
|   |   | All students will utilize science journals.   |                                    |   |  |
| 2 | Teachers lack of science-based knowledge.                     | All teachers will utilize the district's science instructional focus calendar for benchmark implementation.   | Administration<br>Curriculum Coach | Review of follow-up<br>actions by<br>adminstration. | Benchmark Assessments; Rubrics Science Journals and ScienceFair Projects. Teacher Created Assessments; Science Fusion assessments. |
| 3 | Teachers lack of<br>knowledge of the<br>Science Fusion Series | Professional development provided by the district to grade level representatives will be shared with all teachers   | Administration                     | CWT, lesson plans                                   | Minibenchmark<br>assessments,<br>Fusion<br>assessments,<br>FCAT Science<br>2012  |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                                     |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:<br>Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7<br>in science.<br>Science Goal #2b:  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance:   | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement  |                                     |  |  |  |  |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible<br>for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |  |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted   |          |   |  |                 |  |  |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus   | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Effectively Implementing the Inquiry Model for Science Instruction through Science Journals and Notebooks | All Levels,<br>Science | Curriculum<br>Specialist<br>Principal     | All teachers   | 9/10/12  | Review of Student<br>notebooks and<br>journals   | Adminstration  |
| Collaborative<br>Team<br>Planning   | All Levels             | Team Leader                               | All Teachers   | Quarterly Grade-<br>Level Retreats   | Review of student<br>notebooks and<br>journals<br>Monthly data chat<br>reviews<br>Marzano Formal,<br>Informal and<br>Snapshot Data |  |

### Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma                 | nterial(s)  |                 |                         |
|--|---|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy                                     | Description of Resources                                | Funding Source  | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data                                      | No Data   | No Data         | \$0.00                  |
|  |   |                 | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Technology                                   |   |                 |                         |
| Strategy                                     | Description of Resources                                | Funding Source  | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data                                      | No Data   | No Data         | \$0.00                  |
|  |   |                 | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development                     |   |                 |                         |
| Strategy                                     | Description of Resources                                | Funding Source  | Available<br>Amount     |
| Collaborative Team Planning of the Big Ideas | Grade level Retreats to<br>Effectively Plan for Science | Title One Funds | \$2,000.00              |
|  |   |                 | Subtotal: \$2,000.00    |
| Other  |   |                 |                         |
| Strategy                                     | Description of Resources                                | Funding Source  | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data                                      | No Data   | No Data         | \$0.00                  |
|  |   |                 | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
|  |   |                 | Grand Total: \$2,000.00 |

# Writing Goals

| * When using percentages, incl   | lude the number of studen  | ts the p    | percentage re                                    | epresents (e.g., 70% (35))   | ).   |
|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of stuin need of improvement for                       |  | and r       | eference to                                      | "Guiding Questions", ide   | entify and define areas                                      |
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students sc<br>3.0 and higher in writing.<br>Writing Goal #1a: | oring at Achievement   | Level       |  | eflects a decline in the 9<br>riting.  | % of students scoring a                                      |
| 2012 Current Level of Per  | formance:  |             | 2013 Ехре  | ected Level of Perform   | ance:  |
| 80% (133/167) students scowriting.   | ored a level 3 or higher i   | n           | 90% (150/<br>in writing.                         | 167) of students will sco  | re a level 3 or higher                                       |
| Pr   | roblem-Solving Proces  | s to I      | ncrease St                                       | udent Achievement  |  |
| Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | P<br>Resp   | erson or<br>Position<br>onsible for<br>onitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool  |
| Students lack of experiences affect writing progression.                     | 1. All teachers in grades K-5 will utilize a cycle for writing instruction which incorporates brainstorming, modeling, conferencing and revising student writing samples through a writer's workshop.  Students in grades K-5 will utilize writing frames for both narrative and expository samples.  Fourth grade students will utilize the Margate Writing Notebook which includes curriculum and lessons developed by the Fourth Grade Team of Teachers.  Third and Fourth Grade teachers will meet on a regular basis to score and analyze student writing samples and develop effective instructional strategies. Teachers will instruct utilizing literature in order to incorporate the Six-traits of writing.  Support staff/administration will review selected writing | and R Coach |  | Monthly individual and team kid by kid data chats will review writing progress. Reivew of writing samples by teachers and administration utilizing FLDOE Rubric. | Writing Samples Administration/support staff grading prompts |

|   |   | samples and score according to the updated FLDOE rubric.  Teachers will implement a writer's workshop in each classroom.  Teachers will implement the Razzle Dazzle elements and Melissa Forney strategies to increase student's writing abilities.  Teachers will utilize mentor texts to demonstrate how authors are role models as writers. |   |                 |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------|
| 2 | Lack of grammatically<br>and mechanics<br>knowledge | All teachers will engage in daily fix-it activities derived from student writing samples aimed at improved grammar and mechanics.  All teachers will incorporate minilessons concentrating on grammar and mechanics to improve writing fluency.  | Monthly Data Chats<br>Review of writing<br>samples by<br>administration | Writing samples |

|  | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: |                        |  |  |                 |  |  |
|--|--|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.  Writing Goal #1b: |  |                        | g<br>N/A   | N/A  |                 |  |  |
| 2012   | 2012 Current Level of Performance:   |                        |  | d Level of Performanc  | e:              |  |  |
| N/A  |  |                        | N/A  | N/A  |                 |  |  |
|  | Pro  | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude                                       | ent Achievement  |                 |  |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy               | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy | Evaluation Tool |  |  |
| 1  | N/A  | N/A                    | N/A  | N/A  | N/A             |  |  |

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus                                     | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or<br>PLC<br>Leader                  | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early<br>release) and Schedules<br>(e.g., frequency of<br>meetings)   | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| How to incorporate Mini-Lessons on Grammar and Mechanics into the Writing Block | All grade levels       | Curriculum<br>Specialist<br>Fourth<br>Grade<br>Team<br>Leader | All teachers  | October Faculty Meeting and<br>October Early Release Day  | Review of<br>Writing<br>Samples          | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist             |
| Razzle<br>Dazzle<br>Writing<br>Strategies                                       | All Grade<br>Levels    | Curriculum<br>Specialist<br>Fourth<br>Grade<br>Team<br>Leader | All teachers  | Saturday Session  | Review of<br>Writing<br>Samples          | Administration<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist             |
|   | All Grade<br>Levels    | Curriculum<br>Specialist<br>PLC<br>Facilitator                | All TEachers  | Twice a month PLC Sessions with text anchors: Nonfiction Mentor Texts: Teaching Informational Writing Through Children's Literature, K-8 by Lynne Dorfman Bennett-Armistead (Dec 1, 2003) and Reading & Writing Informational Text in the Primary Grades: Research-Based Practices by Nell K. Duke and V. Susan |  |  |

#### Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat   | erial(s)   |                |                         |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                           | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |  |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Technology  |  |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                           | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data   | No Data  | No Data        | \$0.00                  |
|   |  |                | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development  |  |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                           | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| Collaborative Team Planning   | Implementation of Razzle Dazzle<br>Writing Program | Title One      | \$2,000.00              |
|   |  |                | Subtotal: \$2,000.00    |
| Other   |  |                |                         |
| Strategy  | Description of Resources                           | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount     |
| Enhancing students' writing abilities through implementation of the writing cycle | Fourth Grade Writing Notebooks                     | General Fund   | \$1,000.00              |
|   |  | ·              | Subtotal: \$1,000.00    |
|   |  |                | Grand Total: \$3,000.00 |

End of Writing Goals

## Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1. At | tendance   |   | Our current   | rate of attendar  | ace is 05.4°               | % with 22% of our     |  |
|-------|--|---|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Atter | Attendance Goal #1:  |   |   | Our current rate of attendance is 95.4 % with 22% of our population having excess absences which is too high and demonstrates a need for improvement. |                            |                       |  |
| 2012  | 2012 Current Attendance Rate:  |   |   | cted Attendand  | ce Rate:                   |                       |  |
| 95.49 | 6 (855) is the current at  | tendance rate.  | 98% (882)<br>13school ye  | will be the curre<br>ar.  | nt attendan                | ice rate for 12-      |  |
|       | Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)   | udents with Excessive   |   | cted Number of<br>10 or more)   | f Students                 | with Excessive        |  |
| 4% (  | 38) of students have exc   | essive absences.  | 2% (20) or absences.  | less of students  | will have e.               | xcessive              |  |
|       | Current Number of Stu<br>les (10 or more)                                      | udents with Excessive   | 2013 Experimental |   | f Students                 | with Excessive        |  |
| 22%   | (217) of students have e   | excessive tardies.  | 19% (190)   | or less will have   | excess tard                | lies.                 |  |
|       | Prol   | blem-Solving Process t  | o Increase Stu  | dent Achieven   | nent                       |                       |  |
|       | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible f<br>Monitoring  | Process<br>Deter<br>or Effective<br>Strat   | mine<br>eness of           | Evaluation Tool       |  |
|       | Parents not understanding the importance of adhering to the attendance policy. | Communication of<br>district's attendance<br>policy in Open House<br>Meetings,<br>Parent/Teacher<br>Conferences, School<br>newsletter, Panther<br>Pawprints, and website. | Administration  | Review of da<br>and monthly<br>attendance<br>determine e<br>by leadershi  | reports to<br>ffectiveness | Attendance<br>Reports |  |
|       |  | Utilization of the district's parent link system to inform parents of student absences.   |   |   |                            |                       |  |
| 1     |  | Individual meetings with parents of a pattern of non-attendance to review root causes and to develop strategies for improvement.  |   |   |                            |                       |  |
|       |  | Social worker involvement in students with excessive absences and tardies in group counseling opportunities.  |   |   |                            |                       |  |
|       |  | School-based incentive<br>program aimed at<br>improving tardies,<br>Saved by the Bell<br>Program.   |   |   |                            |                       |  |

### (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus                            | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader          | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Attendance<br>Review Steps<br>and<br>Strategies<br>through<br>Pinnacle | All Teachers           | David<br>Rothman,<br>TLC/Fifth<br>Grade<br>Teacher | School Wide  | 08/16/12  |  | Administration<br>IMT                                  |

#### Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology            |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Professional Developm | nent                     |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

## Suspension Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:              | to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need  |
|--|--|
| Suspension     Suspension Goal #1:   | Overall, they were only a few students who were internally or externally suspended less than 1% of the total population. |
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions   | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions  |
| During the 2011-2012 school year there were less than 1% (14) in-school suspensions. | During the 12-13 school year, there will be less than 1% (10)in-school suspensions.                                      |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School                                    | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In-<br>School   |
| There were a total of .8% (8) students receiving in-                                 | During the 11-12 school year, there will be .5% (5) or   |

| school suspensions.  |  |  | less students s  | less students suspended from school.   |                   |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|-------------------|--|
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions   |  |  | 2013 Expected Suspensions                              | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School<br>Suspensions   |                   |  |
| There were .1% (11) students who received external suspensions during the 12-13 school year. |  |  |  | During the 12-13 school year, there will be .7% (7) students or less who receive external suspensions. |                   |  |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of-<br>School                                    |  |  | 2013 Expected of-School                                | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-<br>of-School   |                   |  |
| There were .7% (7) students who received external suspensions during the 12-13 school year.  |  |  |  | During the 12-13 school year, there will be .5% (5) students or less who receive external suspensions. |                   |  |
|  | Pro                                    | oblem-Solving Process t                      | o Increase Stude                                       | nt Achievement   |                   |  |
|  | Anticipated Barrier                    | Strategy                                     | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Too    |  |
|  | Students not familiar with school-wide | All students will be oriented to the school- | Administration   |  | Student referrals |  |

|   | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool                |
|---|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------|
|   | Students not familiar<br>with school-wide<br>expectations.           | All students will be oriented to the school-wide expectations through implementation of Top Ten Ways to Show Panther Pride.                                       | Administration   | Classroom walkthrough data will be reviewed along with student referral information on a monthly basis as part of the School Climate PLC. | Student referrals              |
|   |  | demonstrate Top Ten Ways to Show Panther Pride will be spotlighted on a daily basis on the morning announcements through the "Caught Show Panther Pride Coupons." |  |   |                                |
| l |  | Each month, one student<br>per class will be<br>recognized as student of<br>the month based on the<br>Character Traits.   |  |   |                                |
|   |  | Each week, students of<br>the week will be chosen<br>based on spotlighting<br>Panther Pride.  |  |   |                                |
|   |  | All students will attend a Panther Pride Assembly during the fall and spring to orient and remind them of the school-wide procedures/expectations.                |  |   |                                |
|   |  | All teachers will incorporate the Character Traits into the classroom lessons.  |  |   |                                |
|   | Students experiencing personal and out-of-school emotional concerns. | All struggling students will be identified and assigned a mentor. Mentors will assist students with setting goals and resolving problems/concerns.                | Administration<br>CORE Behavior<br>Team<br>Guidance    | Monitor discipline referrals and bullying concern forms will take place by the leadership team.   | Student referrals<br>quarterly |
|   |  | Anti-bullying concern<br>boxes will be setup in the<br>school to allow students<br>to report and seek<br>assistance for any                                       |  |   |                                |

|   | concerns with fellow                                 |    |  |
|---|--|----|--|
|   | students.  |    |  |
|   | Students experiencing                                |    |  |
|   | behavioral concerns will                             |    |  |
|   | be referred to the CPST                              |    |  |
|   | Team for identification of                           | of |  |
|   | the problem, generation                              |    |  |
|   | of interventions, and                                |    |  |
|   | monitoring of the                                    |    |  |
|   | interventions. Based on<br>the level of concern, Tie |    |  |
| 2 | Interventions will be                                | 51 |  |
|   | generated to allow for                               |    |  |
|   | success for the student                              |    |  |
|   | Tier One interventions                               |    |  |
|   | may include revision to                              |    |  |
|   | the classroom discipline                             |    |  |
|   | plan.  |    |  |
|   | Tier Two Interventions                               |    |  |
|   | may include an individua                             | al |  |
|   | behavior plan based on                               |    |  |
|   | rewards, reinforcers and                             |    |  |
|   | consequences.  |    |  |
|   | Review the school-wide                               |    |  |
|   | discipline plan, staff                               |    |  |
|   | development on                                       |    |  |
|   | interventions and                                    |    |  |
|   | behavior plans to use in                             |    |  |
|   | the classroom  |    |  |
|   | Tier Three Interventions                             | 5  |  |
|   | will include an FBA and                              |    |  |
|   | PBIP Plan.   |    |  |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus             | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Review of<br>Discipline<br>Plan/Classroom<br>Strategies | All Levels             | Administration and Guidance            | All Teachers   | 08/13/12 and<br>Follow-up in<br>individual data<br>conferences                                | Marzano Formal,<br>Informal and<br>Snapshot Data; RTI<br>data collection,<br>Referrals | Administration   |
| Positive<br>Behavioral<br>Strategies                    | All Levels             | Administration                         | All teachers   | 08/13/12 and<br>Subsequent<br>Faculty Meetings  | Marzano Formal,<br>Informal and<br>Snapshot Data                                       | Administration   |

### Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s)        |                |                     |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy             | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data              | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                      |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology           |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy             | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |

| No Data  | No Data  | No Data                 | \$0.00               |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------|
|  |  | -                       | Subtotal: \$0.00     |
| Professional Development                                     |  |                         |                      |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source          | Available<br>Amount  |
| No Data  | No Data  | No Data                 | \$0.00               |
|  |  |                         | Subtotal: \$0.00     |
| Other  |  |                         |                      |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source          | Available<br>Amount  |
| Ways to Implement rewards for increasing student enegagement | Purchasing of prizes for classroom/office Reward Treasures Box                 | Internal General Budget | \$1,000.00           |
| Ways to Celebrate Success                                    | Purchasing of reinforces for<br>Caught Showing Panther Pride<br>Coupon Winners | Internal General Budget | \$500.00             |
| Celebrating Excellence                                       | Quarterly Honor Roll Breakfasts  | PTA Budget              | \$2,000.00           |
|  |  | S                       | Subtotal: \$3,500.00 |
|  |  | Grar                    | nd Total: \$3,500.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

### Parent Involvement Goal(s)

\* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement Overall, our school enjoys a high parental involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: rate with high rates of parent participation in open houses, new school year celebration, and family \*Please refer to the percentage of parents who university evenings. In addition, our PTA enjoys high participated in school activities, duplicated or rates of participation with over 330 members. unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: On average 400 (40%) families consistently participated For the 12-13 school year 500 families (55%) of families in school-wide events and activities. will consistently participate in school-wide events. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| ,                    | Anticipated Barrier   | Strategy  | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy  | Evaluation Tool |
|----------------------|---|---|--|---|-----------------|
| un<br>ev<br>de<br>to | vents due to work<br>emands and/or failure<br>recognize the<br>aportance. | Schedule Family University Evenings aimed at assisting parents with hands-on helps for their children in the areas of Reading, Writing, Mathematics, and Science.  Provide student incentives for parental attendance at evening events.  All students in grades 1-5 will utilize daily agendas for the purpose of organizing | Administration   | Review of sign-in sheets documenting the attendance rates of parents will be reviewed at both monthly leadership team meetings along with the monthly Parent Community Outreach PLC Meetings. | Ü               |

| 1 |  | school work and increasing communication between home and school.  All students will participate in the Bookworm Kids Read Aloud Parent Program and Current Events Program.  Reading log will be a daily homework assignment requiring 20 minutes of reading each night along with 15 minutes of daily math facts practice.  Morning Panther Pride Showcases will be planned in May to spotlight the benchmark success of our students. |                |  |                        |
|---|--|---|----------------|--|------------------------|
|   | Parents are unaware of<br>the evenings being<br>offered for their<br>participation in. | Utilization of the district's parent link system to call families to remind them of upcoming events.  Creation of a school-wide electronic email database to push electronic reminders to parents regarding upcoming school-wide events.  Publication of a monthly  | Administration | Review of strategies to elicit parental involvement will be reviewed at both monthly leadership team meetings. | Parent sign-in sheets. |
| 2 |  | school newsletter, Panther Pawprints, highlighting upcoming events and school-wide initiatives.  Maintaining an updated website aimed at delivering access to school-wide information, mission and upcoming events.  Providing refreshments and/or babysitting for parent events.   |                |  |                        |
|   | Parents feeling unable<br>to provide input to the<br>school                            | Students will write personal invitations inviting parents to school-wide events.  Customer service program to measure the quality of programs and interactions with our parent community.  After each   |                | Measure Up Forms   | Measure Up<br>Forms    |
| 3 |  | parent/teacher<br>conferences, office<br>experience and family<br>universities parents will<br>complete a survey<br>asking for their input  |                |  |                        |

|  | and level of satisfaction. Quarterly reviews of the survey results will be held with all staff members. |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
|--|---|--|--|--|

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus         | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader | PD Participants<br>(e.g., PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Using the<br>Planner for<br>community to<br>parents | All grades             | Team<br>Leaders                           | All Classroom<br>Teachers  | 108/13/19   | Grade Levels<br>Meetings                 | Administration<br>Team Leaders                         |

#### Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/M  | atorial(s)   |                  |                         |
|--|--|------------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data  | No Data          | \$0.00                  |
|  |  |                  | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Technology   |  |                  |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data  | No Data          | \$0.00                  |
|  |  |                  | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Professional Development   |  |                  |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amount     |
| No Data  | No Data  | No Data          | \$0.00                  |
|  |  |                  | Subtotal: \$0.00        |
| Other  |  |                  |                         |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources   | Funding Source   | Available<br>Amount     |
| Improving Home to School<br>Connection   | Purchasing of Student Agendas for Grades 1-5                               | Title One Budget | \$3,000.00              |
| Providing Refreshments for Family Universities to support home to school academic evenings | Purchasing references and<br>materials/supplies for Family<br>Universities | Title One Budget | \$800.00                |
|  |  |                  | Subtotal: \$3,800.00    |
|  |  |                  | Grand Total: \$3,800.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

<sup>\*</sup> When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1. ST | 1 Goal #1:   | blem-Solving Process t   | FCAT. Overall,<br>2012 Science I<br>common core s<br>technology, inc | scored a level 3 on the 2<br>45% scored a level 3 or<br>FCAT. In order to effective<br>standards, students will requiry and integration in the | higher on the<br>vely master<br>need to utilize |
|-------|--|--|--|--|---|
|       | Anticipated Barrier  | Strategy   | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring               | Process Used to<br>Determine<br>Effectiveness of<br>Strategy   | Evaluation Tool                                 |
| 1     | Lack of working computers.                                     | Creation of a research<br>lab from laptop carts<br>that are functioning<br>well for the<br>intermediate level.   | TLC<br>Principal   | Research logs from students and electronic portfolios.   | Electronic<br>portfolios.                       |
| 2     | Teacher knowledge<br>regarding instruction<br>through inquiry. | Weekly inquiry science-based lessons aligned with the instructional framework.  Quarterly creation of a science fair project from the inquiry experiments/lessons implemented on a weekly basis.  Utilize project-based learning to enhance/enrich student learning. | Principal<br>Curriculum<br>Specialist                                | Science Fair Projects<br>Rubric  | Rubrics   |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD<br>Content /Topic<br>and/or PLC<br>Focus  | Grade<br>Level/Subject | PD<br>Facilitator<br>and/or PLC<br>Leader       | PD Participants<br>(e.g. , PLC,<br>subject, grade<br>level, or school-<br>wide) | Target Dates<br>(e.g., early<br>release) and<br>Schedules (e.g.,<br>frequency of<br>meetings) | Strategy for<br>Follow-<br>up/Monitoring | Person or<br>Position<br>Responsible for<br>Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Utilizing<br>project-<br>based<br>learning for<br>inquiry<br>lessons and<br>units. | All Grade              | Curriculum<br>Specialist<br>Media<br>Specialist | All Teachers  | Planning Day  |  | Administration<br>Team Leaders                         |

### STEM Budget:

| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|            |                          | -              | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Technology |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy   | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data    | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|            |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |

| Professional Developr | ment                     |                |                     |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
| Other                 |                          |                |                     |
| Strategy              | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available<br>Amount |
| No Data               | No Data                  | No Data        | \$0.00              |
|                       |                          |                | Subtotal: \$0.00    |
|                       |                          |                | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

# Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

## FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Pro  | gram(s)/Material(s)   |  |                            |                       |
|---------------------|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Goal                | Strategy  | Description of Resources   | Funding Source             | Available Amount      |
| Reading             | Balancing informational and literary resources.   | Buzz About It Kits   | Title One (11-12)          | \$8,000.00            |
| Mathematics         | Replacement of<br>Calendar Math Kits  | Calendar Math<br>Materials   | General Budget             | \$1,000.00            |
|                     |   |  |                            | Subtotal: \$9,000.00  |
| Technology          |   |  |                            |                       |
| Goal                | Strategy  | Description of Resources   | Funding Source             | Available Amount      |
| Reading             | Improve wide-area reading   | Accelerated Reader<br>Program  | General Funds              | \$3,000.00            |
| Reading             | Improve wide-area<br>reading through multi-<br>media sources                                  | Purchase of Kindle E-<br>Readers   | PTA Funds                  | \$8,000.00            |
| Reading             | Increase Student comprehension  | Ticket to Read Program   | General Funds              | \$3,500.00            |
| Mathematics         | Increasing math<br>fluency and problem<br>solving ability                                     | First in Math<br>Technology Program  | Accountability Funds       | \$4,600.00            |
|                     |   |  |                            | Subtotal: \$19,100.00 |
| Professional Develo | pment   |  |                            |                       |
| Goal                | Strategy  | Description of Resources   | Funding Source             | Available Amount      |
| Reading             | Implementing Effective collaborative planning of Common Core Standards and NGSSS.             | Quarterly Grade Level<br>Retreats for Analysis of<br>Data, Collaborative<br>Team Planning and<br>Staff Development                               | Title One Funds            | \$2,000.00            |
| Reading             | PLC Common Core<br>Implementation   | Professional Materials for Implementing the Common Core  | Inservice Funds            | \$500.00              |
| Mathematics         | Collaborative Team<br>Planning  | Grade Level Retreats<br>for planning of weekly,<br>monthly and quarterly<br>instructional<br>frameworks<br>implementing Common<br>Core and NGSSS | Title One                  | \$2,000.00            |
| Mathematics         | Implementing the Common Core  | PLC Books and<br>Materials for<br>Implementing Common<br>Core  | Inservice Funds            | \$2,000.00            |
| Science             | Collaborative Team<br>Planning of the Big<br>Ideas  | Grade level Retreats to<br>Effectively Plan for<br>Science   | Title One Funds            | \$2,000.00            |
| Writing             | Collaborative Team<br>Planning  | Implementation of<br>Razzle Dazzle Writing<br>Program  | Title One                  | \$2,000.00            |
|                     |   |  |                            | Subtotal: \$10,500.00 |
| Other               |   |  |                            |                       |
| Goal                | Strategy  | Description of Resources   | Funding Source             | Available Amount      |
| Reading             | Increasing<br>Informational Text<br>Reading with Parents                                      | Weekly Reader<br>Magazine Parent-Read<br>Aloud Program   | PTA Funds                  | \$5,000.00            |
| Writing             | Enhancing students'<br>writing abilities<br>through<br>implementation of the<br>writing cycle | Fourth Grade Writing<br>Notebooks  | General Fund               | \$1,000.00            |
| Suspension          | Ways to Implement rewards for increasing student enegagement                                  | Purchasing of prizes for<br>classroom/office<br>Reward Treasures Box   | Internal General<br>Budget | \$1,000.00            |
| Suspension          | Ways to Celebrate<br>Success  | Purchasing of<br>reinforces for Caught<br>Showing Panther Pride<br>Coupon Winners  | Internal General<br>Budget | \$500.00              |
| Suspension          | Celebrating Excellence  | Quarterly Honor Roll<br>Breakfasts   | PTA Budget                 | \$2,000.00            |

| Parent Involvement | Improving Home to School Connection  Providing   | Agendas for Grades 1-<br>5   | Title One Budget | \$3,000.00               |
|--------------------|--|--|------------------|--------------------------|
| Parent Involvement | Refreshments for<br>Family Universities to<br>support home to<br>school academic<br>evenings | Purchasing references<br>and materials/supplies<br>for Family Universities | Title One Budget | \$800.00                 |
|                    |  |  |                  | Subtotal: \$13,300.00    |
|                    |  |  |                  | Grand Total: \$51,900.00 |

### Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | <b>j</b> n NA |  |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|--|
|             |          |            |               |  |

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/3/2012)

### School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount     |
|----------------------------|------------|
| First in Math Program      | \$4,000.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC represents all stakeholders (employees, parents, community members, partners). It also represents the cutural diversity of our school as well. The SAC is instrumental in developing the needs assessments that leads to the objectives on the school improvement plan. In addition, the SAC studies and reviews the SIP during the school year. Monthly meetings are dedicated to monitoring progress of the SIP and analyzing data regarding the SIP. The SAC helps to make budget decisions regarding accountability funds.

## AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

## SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Broward School District<br>MARGATE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL<br>2010-2011 |         |           |         |         |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Reading | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |  |  |  |  |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above)           | 75%     | 74%       | 86%     | 42%     | 277                       | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |  |  |  |  |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                            | 68%     | 59%       |         |         | 127                       | 3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |  |  |  |  |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?              |         | 58% (YES) |         |         | 118                       | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |  |  |  |  |
| FCAT Points Earned  |         |           |         |         | 522                       |   |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Tested = 100%   |         |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |  |  |  |  |
| School Grade*   |         |           |         |         | В                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |  |  |  |  |

| Broward School Distric<br>MARGATE ELEMENTAR<br>2009-2010 |           |           |         |         |                           |   |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
|  | Reading   | Math      | Writing | Science | Grade<br>Points<br>Earned |   |
| % Meeting High<br>Standards (FCAT<br>Level 3 and Above)  | 72%       | 76%       | 88%     | 46%     | 282                       | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making<br>Learning Gains                   | 64%       | 81%       |         |         | 145                       | 3 ways to make gains:  Improve FCAT Levels  Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5  Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2   |
| Adequate Progress of<br>Lowest 25% in the<br>School?     | 55% (YES) | 87% (YES) |         |         | 142                       | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.  |
| FCAT Points Earned                                       |           |           |         |         | 569                       |   |
| Percent Tested = 100%                                    |           |           |         |         |                           | Percent of eligible students tested   |
| School Grade*  |           |           |         |         | А                         | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested  |