-

FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: GRIFFIN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Broward

Principal: Gail Silig

SAC Chair: Susan Ruder, Jacqueline DeFerrari

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/23/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal | Gail Silig | Elementary Education Masters In Reading & Education Leadership | 2 | 8 | Cooper City School Information: 2007-2008 619 points (A) 2008-2009 638 points (A) 2009-2010 624 points (A) 2010-2011 614 points (A) Griffin Elementary 2011-2012 562 points (A) |
| Assis Principal | Angie Moodliyar | Elementary Education MS Education Leadership | 6 | 6 | 2008-2009 648 points (A) 2009-2010 646 points (A) 2010-2011 597 points (A) 2011-2012 562 points (A) |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers

in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Reading | Miladys Cepro-Perez | Bachelors in Elementary Education Masters in Technology integration and Curriculum Design ESOL Endorsed Gifted Endorsed Reading Endorsed National Board Certified Teacher National Wildlife Certification | 1 | 1 | Griffin Elementary 2008-2009 Reading 85 Math 91 Griffin Elementary 2009-2010 Reading 85 Math 89 2010-2011 Reading 86 Math 85 Coconut Palm Elementary 4th Grade Class: 2011-2012 Reading Math Writing Average: 4:0 89% Learning Gains in Reading according to STAR Report School Grade: A |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | NESS | Teena Novack | June 9, 2013 | |
| 2 | Team Building | Administrators Team Leaders | ongoing | |
| 3 | Hire through teacher recommendation | Administration | as needed | |
| 4 | Induction | Teena Novack | June 9, 2013 | |
| 5 | Document points for school based workshops/training / PLC | Miladys Cepro- perez | ongoing | |
| 6 | Reading coach, providing support in class as needed based on data | Miladys Cepro- Perez | ongoing | |
| 7 | Provide in-service workshops | Administration Support Staff | | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

 $^*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., \ 70\% \ [35]).$

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| N/A | |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
| 40 | 5.0%(2) | 12.5%(5) | 40.0%(16) | 42.5%(17) | 45.0%(18) | 100.0%(40) | 12.5%(5) | 20.0%(8) | 97.5%(39) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| Debbie Chmura | Ann Brackenbury | Paired teacher in new grade level with an experienced teacher in that grade level | Shadowing- PLC- One to one conferences |
| Christine Tarlow | Lauren Ruder | Paired teacher in new grade level with an experienced teacher in that grade level | Shadowing-PLC- one to one conferences |
| Teena Novack | Melissa Spitler | Paired teacher in new grade level with an experienced teacher | Shadowing-PLC- one to one conferences |
| Kimberly Pritchett | Stacey Ramirez | Paired teacher in new grade level with an experienced teacher | Shadowing-PLC- one to one conferences |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

| Title I, Part A | |
|--------------------------|--|
| | |
| Title I, Part C- Migrant | |
| | |
| Title I, Part D | |
| | |
| Title II | |
| | |
| Title III | |
| | |

| Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) |
|---|
| |
| Violence Prevention Programs |
| |
| Nutrition Programs |
| |
| Housing Programs |
| Head Start |
| |
| Adult Education |
| Career and Technical Education |
| Job Training |
| |
| Other |
| |
| Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI) |
| School-based MTSS/RtI Team |
| Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team. |
| RTI Leadership Team |
| Gail Silig- Principal |
| Angie MoodliyarAssistant Principal Alison Rasgado- ESE Specialist |
| Teena Novack- School Guidance Counselor |
| Rosemary Eljaua- School Psychologist |
| Yolanda Thrower- Social Worker Miladys Cepero-Perez- Reading Specialist |
| Vicky Pao- Speech Pathologist |
| Classroom Teachers |
| Each member of the team provides input in the implementation and monitoring of interventions based on their area of expertise. The team collaborates to ensure that the teachers receive the necessary support to ensure that students' needs |
| are met. |

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) Team meets every other Wednesday to collaborate on the progress of students currently involved in the MTSS process, as well as new students in need of interventions. This process is facilitated by the guidance counselor. Case managers include: Guidance Counselor; Reading Resource Specialist; and ESE Specialist. Teachers will confer with the team members throughout the school year to collect, review, and chart data based on the needs of student receiving interventions to monitor progress.

If significant progress is not shown, the team begins the ESE evaluation process to determine eligibility of services.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS Team participates in the creation of the school improvement plan in conjunction with SAC Team. The goal is to ensure the needs of all students are being met. The team reviews and analyzes data for students in their respective Tiers. Team members collaborate with teachers to incorporate appropriate interventions for identified needs.

The RTI process facilitates essential programming while focusing on increasing student achievement and determining school-wide level of needs.

Tier 1: Core Curriculum Instruction - foundation of instruction to support all students in the general curriculum.

Teacher matches student instructional needs with universal evidence-based instruction.

Tier 2 - Strategic Intervention Instruction - Core Curriculum Instruction based on supplemental and intervention resources. Designed to provide alignment with effective core instruction for students who need additional instructional support. Based on struggling reader/math charts. Teacher utilizes explicit, systematic, and differentiated instruction in small group setting. Tier 3 - Intensive Intervention Instruction -Intensive Instruction is provided in addition to and in alignment with the core curriculum. The struggling reader/math/behavior charts provide assistance in matching most appropriate interventions. The MTSS Leadership Team in collaboration with the classroom teacher design, implement, and monitor the intensive instruction.

-MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Tier 1

Teachers confer with teams during weekly grade level meetings to review classroom data in the areas of reading, math, writing, science, and behavior. Data is used to make decisions regarding the need for more intensive instruction or behavior management strategies for all students. Teachers confer with the MTSS team and parents throughout the process.

MTSS team identifies the specific area of concern for the student

Interventions and instructions are recommended and implemented for 4-6 weeks

New, relevant data is gathered

Teacher confers with assigned case manager

Committee meets to discuss students' progress and effectiveness of the intervention and instruction Decisions to continue, revise, or change intensity of intervention and instruction are determined

Examples of Data Sources and Progress Monitoring:

DAR, Rigby Running Records, FCAT, QBATS, FAIR, BAT, Inventory Assessment, FBA, PBIP, IEP, KEYS Math, classroom data, Student Work Samples, informal assessments, formal assessments, anecdotal records based on observations, teacher checklists, results from state-wide testing, portfolios, discipline referrals, Virtual Counselor, Data Warehouse Reports, Benchmark Assessments, DMS, teacher charting, graphs, STAR

Tier 1 Data: Core Curriculum data in all academic areas. Monitor with ongoing curriculum assessments, standardized assessments, and quarterly data chats.

Behavior- Classroom Behavior Plan Data, School-wide Behavior Plan Data

Tier 2: Strategic Intervention programs and additional instruction are implemented given individual student needs based on the struggling reader/math/behavior charts. Support from Case-Manager and MTSS team are implemented throughout the process.

Tier 3: Intensive Intervention programs and specific instruction are implemented at a more intense frequency and duration given student needs based on the struggling reader/math/behavior charts. Support from Case-Manager and MTSS team are implemented throughout the process.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

MTSS Facilitators will train the staff on the MTSS Leadership Team Model for the current school year.

The MTSS Leadership Team will assist in an interactive presentation on the Tier process, provide examples of interventions and various forms of data collection/charting. Teachers will be provided with sample of forms, Tier information, and resources available in the school.

Case-managers meet with teachers by grade level to review the needs of their students who are currently in the MTSS process on Tier 2 or 3. Various interventions, forms of data collection, and monitoring will be discussed.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The Leadership Team is available to offer support throughout the MTSS process. Case-managers are assigned to support teachers to identify and implement interventions, as well as monitor progress. Team leaders and PLCs are used as an ongoing resource.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Gail Silig - Principal

Angie Moodliyar - Assistant Principal

Miladys Cepero-Perez - Reading Coach

Teena Novack - Guidance Counselor - ESOL Contact

Alison Rasgado - ESE Specialist

Reading PLC/SIP Teams

Susan Hall

Melinda Barcelona

Melissa Zuckerman

Jacqueline DeFerrari

Germaine Goffney

Scott Feldman

Valerie Burd

Stacey Ramirez

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Reading PLC/SIP/LLT Teams consists of a vertical team with representatives from each grade level including ESE and Specials. Teams will meet monthly to share best practices and address current concerns.

The team utilizes the Educational Support Personnel, Support Staff, and Administration to facilitate intervention for struggling students

The team promotes research based intervention programs and highly effective instructional strategies.

Reading PLC/SIP/LLT Teams share quarterly reports and data with SAC.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

K-2 to utilize common core standards.

Initiatives:

Reading PLC/SIP/LLT Teams will meet monthly to share best practices and address current concerns.

Reading PLC/SIP/LLT Teams will implement instructional teaching strategies and reflect on effectiveness of outcomes.

Reading PLC/SIP/LLT Teams engage in school-wide planning in order to monitor school wide reading initiatives.

Reading PLC/SIP/LLT Teams will plan and implement a Family Reading Night.

The Reading PLC/SIP/LLT will incorporate Reading Book Club, School-Wide Literary Fair, Accelerated Reader, Reading Across Broward, Vocabulary Parade, Learn-a-thons, Media Center Website, and Scholastic Summer Reading Challenge into our school wide literacy programs.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

| *Grades 6-12 Only | |
|--|---------------------|
| Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S. | |
| For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibilit | y of every teacher. |
| | |
| *High Schools Only | |
| Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. | |
| How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between the relation | een subjects and |
| How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course students' course of study is personally meaningful? | selections, so that |
| Postsecondary Transition | |
| | |
| Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. | |

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| or improvement for the following group. | |
|---|---|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading. Reading Goal #1a: | By Spring 2013, the percentage of students scoring a level 3 in Reading will increase from 26% to 29% |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 26.2% (71 / 271) | 29% (79/271) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | | Person or | Process Used to | |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students' understanding of complex vocabulary. | Implementation of Word Walls, higher-order questioning techniques utilizing Bloom's Taxonomy, Thinking Maps, Elements of Vocabulary | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 2 | Students' Level of Engagement | Utilize the 5 E's Learning Cycle Inquiry Approach Model for Lessons: Engagement Exploration Explanation Elaboration Evaluation | Team Leaders PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Data Chats Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Observation Trends | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments Hands-on Experiments BAT FCAT |
| 3 | Students' exposure to higher level text complexity | Integrate skills into various types of genres and Informational Text | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 4 | Students' organization of thought processes | Utilize Thinking Maps to comprehend and master skills | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 5 | Addressing specific individual student needs | Implement differentiated Instruction and Small Group Instruction to meet the needs of students | Team Leaders Reading Coach Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 6 | Utilizing higher order questioning techniques | Infuse Item Test Specification Question Stems, Utilize higher-order questioning techniques based on Bloom's Revised Taxonomy | Team Leaders Reading Coach Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Motivating Struggling and | Employ Motivational | Teachers | Review Reports | iObservation |
|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Reluctant Readers | Reading Programs: Accelerated Reader | Reading Coach Media Specialist | Zone of Proximal Development | STAR Reports Unit Assessments |
| 7 | | Book it Reading Across Broward | Administration | Reading Logs | Mini-Benchmarks BAT |
| | | Sunshine State Young Readers Brain Pop | | | FCAT AR Reports |
| | | School Reading Club | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: | N/A |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| N/A | N/A |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier Strategy | Responsible En | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|------------------------------|----------------|--|-----------------|
|------------------------------|----------------|--|-----------------|

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: | By Spring 2013, the percentage of students scoring a level in Reading will increase from 61% to 64% | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 61% (141/231) | 64% (147/231) | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| - 1 | | | | | | |
|-----|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | Anticipated Barrier Strategy | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | 5 1 | comprehend and master skills | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats | Observation Trends iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| | | | Implementation of higher level instructional strategies Utilize Technological | 3 | PLC/SIP/LLT Committee Meetings | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks |

| | | Strategies to enhance learning | | Observation Trends | BAT FCAT |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | Making Vocabulary Functional | Applying vocabulary in real world scenarios | 3 | Team Discussion PLC/SIP/LLT Committee Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 4 | Promoting Leadership Roles and Cooperative Learning | Novel Studies Literature Circles | Teachers Reading Coach Administration | Class Participation Team Discussion PLC/SIP/LLT Committee Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | Rubrics Self Assessment iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Anticipated Barrier Strategy Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. By Spring 2013, the percentage of students making learning gains in Reading will increase from 67% to 70% Reading Goal #3a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 73% (134/184) 76% (140/184) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of

Monitoring

Team Leaders

Reading Coach

PLC/SIP

Committees

Students' organization of Utilize Thinking Maps to

skills

comprehend and master

thought processes

Strategy

Team Discussions

Data Chats

PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings

| | | | Administration | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 2 | Students' organization of thought processes | Utilize Thinking Maps to comprehend and master skills | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats | |
| 3 | Implementation of Differentiated Instruction | Teachers will work collaboratively to provide both enrichment and tutorials to target specific skills. | Administration Team Leaders Reading Coach | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Committee Meetings Data Chats Skill Analysis | End of the Unit Reading Assessment iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 4 | Professional Development Opportunities | PLC/LLT/SIP Team will provide Instructional Strategy Workshops Teachers will attend District Trainings Teacher Resource Room will be utilized to provide resource materials | Reading Coach Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Committee Meetings Data Chats | iObservation Snapshots STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 5 | Infusion of informational text and higher-order questioning techniques into lessons | Analyze text features with informational text Implement Reading Response Logs Utilize Bloom's Revised Taxonomy for questioning techniques | Classroom Teacher Reading Coach Administration | Collaborative Discussions Data Chats PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Observation Trends | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

of improvement for the following group:

4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.

By Spring 2013, the percentage of students scoring in the lowest 25% making learning gains in Reading will increase from 65% to 68%

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | | 2013 Ехре | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 65% | 65% (30/46) | | | | | 68% (31/46) | | | |
| | | | Problem-Sol | lving Process | to Increase St | uden | t Achievement | | |
| | Antic | ipated Barrier | St | rategy | Person or Position Responsible Monitoring | for | Process Used Determine Effectiveness Strategy | | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | grade le | s reading below vel struggle wit opropriate readi | h be utilized | | Administration Reading Coach Collaborative Problem Solvin Team | 1 | Progress Monitorin utilizing skill specif program assessme | ic | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 2 | Teachers in need of refresher workshops for Intervention Programs | | | o utilize intervention with low | Reading Coach Administration Collaborative Problem Solvin Team | | Progress Monitor u skill specific progra assessments | | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 3 | Extended Learning Opportunities | | reading de provide ins | Identify students with reading deficiencies and provide instruction beyond school hours | | | Progress Monitor using skill specific program assessments | | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| Student behaviors deter academic progress | | behavior p reinforces behavior Utilize indi | reinforces positive | | nselor | Discipline Management r System Individual Behavior Reports | | iObservation FAIR STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT | |
| 5A. A Measu schoo by 50 | mbitious urable Ob I will red %. | itious but Achie but Achievable ojectives (AMOs) uce their achiev | Annual). In six year | Reading Goal # | # 2012 FCAT da oficient in r | ata, readi | O-2, Reading and M 77% of students ng. The percent ading will be in | in gr | ades 3-5 |
| | ine data 0-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-201 | 4 2014 | -201 | 5 2015-20 | 16 | 2016-2017 |
| | | 77 | 79 | 81 | 83 | | 85 | | |
| | | analysis of student for the followi | | | eference to "Gu | ıiding | Questions", identif | y and | define areas in nee |
| Hispa satis | anic, Asia | subgroups by e an, American I progress in rea #5B: | ndian) not n | | | ll sho | groups not making w a 10% decrease | | |
| 2012 | Current | Level of Perfo | rmance: | | 2013 Expe | ected | Level of Perform | ance: | |
| | | 3/150), Black 35 10% (1/10) | % (5/14), Hi | spanic 23% | | White increase 20% (30/150), Black increase 32% (4/14), Hispanic maintain 21% (16/77), Asian maintain 10% (1/10) | | | |
| | | | Problem-Sol | lving Process | to Increase St | uden | t Achievement | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Meeting the needs of low performing students | Leveled center activities | Administration Reading Coach Collaborative Problem Solving Team | Progress Monitor using skill specific program assessments | IObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 2 | Students reading below grade level struggle with grade appropriate reading material | | Administration Reading Coach Collaborative Problem Solving Team | Progress Monitor using skill specific program assessments | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 3 | Family Academic Nights | Provide academic support and educational resources for parents | PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Parent Survey | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. N/A Reading Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making By Spring 2013, the percentage of SWD students not making satisfactory progress in reading. satisfactory progress in Reading will decrease from 56% to Reading Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 55.6% (35/63) 51% (32/63) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to

Position

Responsible for

Monitoring

Strategy

Simulate test conditions | Administration

Anticipated Barrier

Easing Test Anxiety

Determine

Effectiveness of

Strategy

Collaborative Discussions iObservation

Evaluation Tool

| 1 | | 1 9 9 | ESE Specialist | Progress Monitoring | STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
|---|--|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Students reading below grade level struggle with grade appropriate reading material | | ESE specialists ESE Teachers | Collaborative team Discussions | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 3 | instruction during pull-out | · · | ESE Specialist Admin | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: | By Spring 2013, the percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students not making making progress will decrease from 37% to 33% |
|---|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 37.3%(22/59) | 33% (19/59) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|----------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Individualized Instruction | | Administration Support Staff | Collaborative Discussions | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 2 | Family Academic Nights | | PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Parents to take a survey to evaluate the effectiveness of the Academic Nights | Survey |
| 3 | Access to Technology | | Administration Support Staff | Reports based on student progress | Computer Generated Reports |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Person or Position Responsible fo Monitoring |
|--|-----|--|---|--|---|
| Accelerated Reader | K-5 | Reading Coach | KG-5 | 8/27 | Reading Coach Administration |

| FAIR | K-5 | Reading Coach | School-wide | 9/4/2012 ongoing | Reports | Reading Coach Administration |
|--|-----------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Progress Monitoring Reports | K-5 | Reading Coach | School-wide | 9/4/2012 | PMR Reports | Administration |
| Fluency | K-5 | Reading Coach | School-wide | ongoing | Reports | Administration |
| Thinking Maps | Pre-K-5 | Principal | School-wide | ongoing | Lesson Plans Student work samples | Administration |
| Marzano Art and Science of Teaching Framwork Domains 1-4 | Pre-K - 5 | Administration | School-wide | Pre-Planning Week Ongoing | Lesson Plans Classroom Observations | Administration |
| STAR | K-5 | Reading Coach Renaissance Representative | KG-5 | 8/27/2012 | STAR Reports | Administration |
| Common Core Standards | K-2 | Reading Coach | Reading PLC KG-5 | Ongoing | Lesson Plans Student Work Samples | Administration |
| Enrichment Strategies | K-5 | Reading Coach | School-wide | Monthly PLCs | Student Work Samples Computer Reports Classroom Observations | Reading Coach Administration |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Materi | ai(3) | | A |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| To improve fluency | Quick Reads | General Budget | \$0.00 |
| To improve comprehension with struggling readers | Read, Reason, Write | General Budget | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| To improve reading comprehension | Accelerated Reader | PTA | \$0.00 |
| To assess students reading level | STAR | PTA | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| To assist teachers to use the program with fidelity | Accelerated Reader Webinars (6 hours) | PTA | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Extended Learning Opportunity to improve reading deficiencies in level 1 & 2 Students (FCAT CAMP) | Salary, Materials & Supplies | Accountability | \$1,357.50 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,357.50 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,357.50 |

End of Reading Goa

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Students speak in English and | I understand spoken Engli | sh at grade level ir | n a manner similar to no | n-ELL students. |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| . Students scoring proficion | ent in listening/speakin | - by Julie 2013, | ELL students will increa y in listening/speaking a A. | |
| 2012 Current Percent of St | udents Proficient in list | ening/speaking: | | |
| 4% 17/23 | | | | |
| Pro | blem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
| Exposure to the Oral and Written English Language | Daily instruction will repeatedly expose students to conversational and visual use of vocabulary | ESOL Coordinator Administration | Work samples Evaluation of IPT-1 assessment | classroom assessments IPT-1 i Observations |
| Navalousto usood in English of an | | un cincilon to mon Fl | Laturdanta | |
| Students read in English at gr 2. Students scoring proficience CELLA Goal #2: | | By June 2013, | ELL students will increaency in reading. | se from 39% to |
| 9% 9/23 | | | | |
| Pro | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
| Students lack vocabulary and prior knowledge necessary tocomprehend grade leve text. | Teachers will provide small group instruction,utilize ESOL strategies, & thinking maps | Classroom Teacher | Progress monitoring Analyze data and adjust instruction as needed | Weekly Classrooi Assessments Mini BAT BAT |
| Students write in English at g | rada laval in a mannar sir | milar to non Ell st | udante | |
| 3. Students scoring proficie | | Tilial to Horr-ELE su | | |
| CELLA Goal #3: | - | By June 2013 scores by 3% | ELL students will increas | se CELLA Writing |
| 2012 Current Percent of Stu | udents Proficient in wri | ting: | | |
| 00& 14/23 | | | | |
| Pro | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |

Person or

Process Used to

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | their thought in writing | students with effective | | Analyze writing prompts. | Writing samples |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a: | By Spring 2013 the percentage of students scoring at level 3 in Math will increase 28% to 31% |
|---|---|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 27.6% (74/268) | 31% (83/268) |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | Students' understanding of complex vocabulary. | Implementation of Word Walls, higher-order questioning techniques utilizing Bloom's Taxonomy, Thinking Maps, Elements of Vocabulary | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 2 | Students' Level of Engagement | Utilize the 5 E's Learning Cycle Inquiry Approach Model for Lessons: Engagement Exploration Explanation Elaboration Evaluation | Team Leaders PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Data Chats Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Observation Trends | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments Hands-on Experiments BAT FCAT |
| 3 | Students' exposure to higher level text complexity | Integrate skills into various types of genres and Informational Text | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 4 | Students' organization of thought processes | Utilize Thinking Maps to comprehend and master skills | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 5 | Instruction needs to be differentiated to meet individual student needs | Small Group Instruction Hands-on Activities Leveled Centers FCAT Explorer Think Central Soar to Success Brain Pop | Teachers Administration Support Staff | Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 6 | Standards driven instruction needs to be implemented | A crosswalk of the NGSSS/CCSS will be embedded into daily instruction | Teachers Administration Support Staff | Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| | Students' taking ownership of their | Students will analyze their own data, set | Teachers | Data Binder Individual | iObservations STAR Reports |

 $^{^{\}star}$ When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 7 | learning | | goals, and monitor their progress | | | | Student/Teacher conferences | | Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
|--------|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------|---|-------|--|
| | I on the analysis of sprovement for the fo | | t achievement data, and r group: | refei | rence to "Gu | iiding | g Questions", identify | and c | define areas in nee |
| Stude | | els 4, | nent: 5, and 6 in mathematic | S. | N/A | | | | |
| | ematics Goal #1b: Current Level of P | | nance. | | 2013 Expe | ectec | d Level of Performar | nce. | |
| 2012 | Current Level of t | CITOIII | Tarice. | | 2013 EXP | | a Lever of Ferrorman | | |
| N/A | | | | | N/A | | | | |
| | | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease St | uder | nt Achievement | | |
| Antic | cipated Barrier | Strat | egy F | Posi Resp for | on or tion ponsible itoring | Dete Effe | cess Used to ermine ectiveness of ategy | Eval | uation Tool |
| Basec | d on the analysis of s | studen | No E | | Submitted | idina | a Ouestions", identify | and o | define areas in nee |
| of imp | provement for the fo | llowing | group: | | 1 | | | | |
| Level | CAT 2.0: Students I 4 in mathematics ematics Goal #2a: | | ig at or above Achievem | nent | By Spring 2 | | the percentage of st lease from 49% to 52° | | s scoring at level 4 |
| 2012 | Current Level of P | erforn | nance: | | 2013 Expe | ected | d Level of Performar | nce: | |
| 48.5% | 6 (130/268) | | | | 52% (139/ | 268) | | | |
| | | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease St | uder | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Bar | rier | Strategy | F | Person or Position Responsible Monitorin | for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness o Strategy | | Evaluation Tool |
| | | | | | | | I | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | Students' organization of thought processes | Utilize Thinking Maps to comprehend and master skills | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | | Observation Trends iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 2 | Extra Assistance needed in maintaining the MATH SUPERSTARS enrichment program | assist in the | | 1 3 | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT |

| 3 | Utilizing Math Enrichr Activities to strength Problem Solving Skills | nen | Project-based Learning After School Math Enrichment Club Participate in BCCTM Competition School-wide Math Day FCAT Explorer Think Central | Su | ministration pport Staff ith Club onsors | | Rubrics Project Presentations | 6 | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT |
|------|--|-------|--|----------------------|--|--------------|--|-------|--|
| Base | ed on the analysis of st | udeni | t achievement data, and | refer | rence to "Guid | ding | Questions", identify a | and o | define areas in nee |
| | provement for the follo | | | | | | | | |
| Stuc | | | Achievement Level 7 ir | n | | | | | |
| Math | nematics Goal #2b: | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Pe | rforn | nance: | | 2013 Exped | ctec | Level of Performar | nce: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Stu | der | nt Achievement | | |
| Anti | icipated Barrier S | Strat | egy F | Posit Resp For | onsible E | Dete Effe | cess Used to ermine ctiveness of itegy | Eval | uation Tool |
| | | | No E | Data | Submitted | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | ed on the analysis of stapprovement for the follow | | t achievement data, and group: | refer | rence to "Guid | ding | Questions", identify a | and d | define areas in need |
| gain | FCAT 2.0: Percentage is in mathematics. nematics Goal #3a: | ofs | tudents making learnin | ıg | | | the percentage of stu ill increase from 74% | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Pe | rforn | nance: | | 2013 Exped | ctec | Level of Performar | nce: | |
| 73.6 | % (136/184) | | | | 77% (141/1 | 84) | | | |
| | | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Stu | der | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barri | er | Strategy | R | Person or Position Responsible f Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students' organization thought processes | n of | Utilize Thinking Maps to comprehend and master skills | Re PL Co | am Leaders ading Coach C/SIP mmittees ministration | | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meeting Data Chats | S | |
| 2 | Students' organization thought processes | n of | Utilize Thinking Maps to comprehend and master skills | Re PL Co | am Leaders ading Coach C/SIP mmittees ministration | | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meeting Data Chats | S | |
| | Establishing Differentiated Learning | na | Teachers will receive refresher training for | Ad | ministration | | Ongoing self assessm | nent | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks |

Support Staff

Teacher /student

Mini-Benchmarks

Differentiated Learning

refresher training for

| 3 | Centers | running effective learning centers to meet the needs of individual students | Team Leaders | conferences | Unit Assessments BAT FCAT |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 4 | Struggling students need additional assistance with interventions | | Administration Support Staff Team leaders | Intervention Program Assessments Computer-based Reports | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT |
| 5 | Access to Technology | Before School Computer Camp Rotate Wireless Carts Utilize Computer Lab Small group instruction | TLC Administration | Student Performance Computer Generated Reports | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible Evaluation Tool Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. By Spring 2013 the percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in Math will increase from 54% to 59% Mathematics Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 54.3% (22/40) 59% (24/40) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Students have varied learning styles | Employ a variety of teaching modalities to address a variety of learning styles. | Administration Support Staff | | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT |

| | | | | | FCAT |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | Students lack mastery of foundation level skills | Daily Math Drills Calendar Math Timed-tests Math Dailies | - | Data Chats Incentive Charts Analyze Individual Skill Breakdown Student Conferences | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT |
| 3 | Student Motivation | Infuse real-life, project based activities Utilize Hands-on learning opportunities Manipulative Kits Hands- on Equations Program (3- 5) | Administration Support Staff | Learning Rubrics Observation | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT |
| 4 | Student behaviors deter academic progress | Implement a school-wide behavior plan that reinforces positive behavior Utilize individual behavior plans, if needed | - | Discipline Management System Individual Behavior Reports | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Elementary School Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Based on 2012 FCAT data, 76% of students in grades 3-5 Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year were proficient in math. The percentage of students school will reduce their achievement gap meeting proficiency in math will be increased by 2% each by 50%. 5A: year. We did not meet our growth target this year. Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2015-2016 2014-2015 2016-2017 2010-2011 76 82 84 86 88 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making By Spring 2013 the percentage of student subgroups by satisfactory progress in mathematics. ethnicity not making satisfactory progress in Math will decrease by 10% Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White 23% (34/148), Black 28.6% (4/14), Hispanic 27.3% White decrease 21%(31/148), Black increase 25% (4/14), (21/77), Asian 10% (1/10) Hispanic increase 24% (18/77) Asian maintain 10% (1/10) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Additional time to After-School Camp Administration Analyze Individual Skills iObservation implement interventions, Small Group Instruction Support Staff Data Chats Mini-Benchmarks reinforcement, and Learning Rubrics Unit Assessments Student Conferences enrichment of skills BAT **FCAT** Varying range of student Implement Differentiated Administration Learning Rubrics iObservation understanding and levels Instruction and Leveled Support Staff Data chats Mini-Benchmarks 2 Student Conferences Unit Assessments Learning Centers Observation Trends BAT

FCAT

| satis | nglish Language Learner factory progress in math ematics Goal #5C: | _ | N/A | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | ected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase S | tuder | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person o Position Responsible Monitoria | n e for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | N/A | N/A | |
| of imp | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following tudents with Disabilities factory progress in math ematics Goal #5D: | subgroup: (SWD) not making | By Spring | 2013 | Questions", identify and the percentage of SWD s gress in Math will decrea | students not making | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Exp | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 41.9% | 6 (26/62) | | 38% (24/ | 62) | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase S | tuder | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person o Position Responsible Monitoria | n e for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Test Anxiety | Simulate testing conditions periodically to ease anxiety of test taking | ESE Specialist Administration | | Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT | |
| 2 | Tracking students' progress with fidelity | Encourage teachers to keep track of students' progress using technology | Team Leaders Administration | | Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT | |
| 3 | Students comprehension of high-order problem solving questions | Utilize various instructional strategies (Question Answer Relationships-Thinking Maps) to assist with problem solving | ESE Specialist Administration | | Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT | |
| 4 | Missing core curriculum instruction during pull-out sessions | Schedule push-in by VE teacher, when possible. Pull-outs will be scheduled with the least disruption to core curriculum. | ESE Specialis Admin | t | Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments BAT FCAT | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: | By Spring 2013 the percentage of economically disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Math will decrease by 10% | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 39% (23/59) | 35% (21/59)) | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Low participation for after-school tutoring | Offer incentives for student participation and attendance | Administration | | Attendance Records |
| 2 | Varying range of student academic ability | Implementing differentiated instruction and learning centers | Administration | PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goa

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|-----|--|---|--|--|---|
| Common Core Standards | K-2 | Miladys Cepero- Perez Administration | K-2 Teachers | Weekly grade level meetings Monthly PLC meeting | Assessments Student Samples Classroom Observations | Administration |
| Soar to Success | K-5 | PLC Leader | School-wide | Monthly PLC meeting | Assessments Student Samples Classroom Observations | Administration |
| Instruction and Intervention Strategies | K-5 | PLC Leader | School-wide | Monthly PLC meeting | Assessments Student Samples Classroom Observations | Administration |
| Blending Common Core and NGSSS | 3-5 | Miladys Cepero- Perez Administration | 3-5 Teachers | Weekly grade level meetings Monthly PLC meeting | Assessments Student Samples Classroom Observations | Administration |
| Grab And Go Manipulatives | K-5 | PLC Leader | School-wide | Monthly PLC meeting | Assessments Student Samples Classroom Observations | Administration |
| Destination Math | K-5 | PIC Leader | School-wide | Monthly PLC meeting | Assessments Student Samples Classroom Observations | Administration |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/N | Material(s) | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| FCAT Camp for level 1 & 2 students | Salary, Materials, Supplies | Accountability | \$1,357.50 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,357.50 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,357.50 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stud s in need of improvemen | | | Guiding Questions", ide | ntify and define | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. | | | | By Spring 2013 the percentage of students scoring at level 3 in Science will increase 36% to 39% | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| 35.5% (33/93) | | | 39% (36/93) | 39% (36/93) | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students' understanding of complex vocabulary. | Implementation of Word Walls, higher- order questioning techniques utilizing Bloom's Taxonomy, Thinking Maps, Elements of Vocabulary | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT | |
| | Students' Level of Engagement | Utilize the 5 E's Learning Cycle Inquiry Approach Model for Lessons: | Team Leaders PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Data Chats Team Discussions PLC/SIP Meetings Observation Trends | iObservation Mini-Benchmarks Unit Assessments Hands-on | |

| 2 | | Engagement Exploration Explanation Elaboration Evaluation | | | Experiments BAT FCAT |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 3 | Students' exposure to higher level text complexity | Integrate skills into various types of genres and Informational Text | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 4 | Students' organization of thought processes | Utilize Thinking Maps to comprehend and master skills | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |
| 5 | Students need a solid foundation of scientific thought process | | Administration Classroom teachers PLC/SIP Committees | Team Discussions PLC/SIP Mtgs Data Chats Science Journals Observation Trends | iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------|--|-----------------|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at L | Assessment: evels 4, 5, and 6 in scienc | ce. | | | |
| Science Goal #1b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfor | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process | s to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a: | By Spring 2013 the percentage of students scoring at level 4 in Math will increase 20% to 23% | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 20%(19/93) | 23% (21/93) | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students' organization of thought processes | Utilize Thinking Maps to comprehend and master skills | Team Leaders Reading Coach PLC/SIP Committees Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats | Observation Trends iObservations STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT | | |
| 2 | Enhance process skills | Think Central BrainPop & BrainPop Jr. Project-based learning activities | | Data chats PLC/SIP Committee meetings Team Discussions Science Journals Computer generated reports Rubrics | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT | | |
| 3 | Delivering engaging and challenging instruction to meet the needs of various learning styles | Differentiated Instruction Interactive Centers Think Central | Administration Support Staff | Data chats PLC/SIP Committee meetings Team Discussions Science Journals Computer generated reports Rubrics | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT | | |
| 4 | Student need exposure to the question stems and distractors used on the FCAT Science Assessment | Questions Stems and distractors will be incorporated into daily instruction | Administration Support Staff | Data chats PLC/SIP Committee meetings Team Discussions Science Journals Computer generated reports Rubrics | iObservation STAR Reports Unit Assessments Mini-Benchmarks BAT FCAT | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------|--|-----------------|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at o in science. | Assessment: r above Achievement Lev | /el 7 | | | |
| Science Goal #2b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perform | mance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process | s to Ir | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Thinking Maps | K-5 | PLC Coordinator | SCDOOL-WIGE | Monthly PLC Meetings | Science Journals | Administration PLC/SIP Committee |
| 5 Es | K-5 | PLC Coordinator | | Monthly PLC Meetings | Science Journals | Administration PLC/SIP Committee |
| Think Central | K-5 | PLC Coordinator | | Monthly PLC Meetings | Science Journals | Administration PLC/SIP Committee |
| Science journaling | K-5 | PLC Coordinator | | Monthly PLC Meetings | Science Journals | Administration PLC/SIP Committee |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | m(s)/Material(s) | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | By Spring 2013 the percentage of students scoring at level 3 and higher in Writing will increase from 92%% to 95% | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Teach students the mechanics of editing | Peer/buddy approach in editing prompts | Administration Teachers | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Writing Samples Portfolios BAT Florida Writes Rubric |
| 2 | Fourth grade teachers will collaboratively present writing workshops for all fourth grade students | Writing workshops will be held in the cafeteria for all fourth graders bi- weekly to enhance and improve writing skills | Administration Teachers | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Writing Samples Portfolios BAT Florida Writes Rubric |
| 3 | Parents need to be informed of the writing process for FCAT writing | Hold parent/student workshop to illustrate and discuss the writing process as measured by the FCAT criteria | Team Leader PLC Leader Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Parent Survey | Parent Survey Results |
| 4 | Students need a strong foundation of conventions in writing | Utilize correct grammar and punctuation in all instructional situations and Writing Workshops | Administration Teachers | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Writing Samples Portfolios BAT Florida Writes Rubric |
| 5 | Motivating Third Graders to embrace the Writing Process | All third grade students will attend weekly workshops at the end of the school year for six weeks. The focus will be on expository and narrative writings | Team Leaders Administration | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Writing Samples Portfolios BAT Florida Writes Rubric |
| 6 | Teachers in all grade levels need to take ownership of the writing process | Initiate school-wide writing workshops Analyze writing samples Provide quality instruction in teaching the writing process | Administration Support Staff | Team Discussions PLC/SIP/LLT Meetings Data Chats Observation Trends | iObservation Writing Samples Portfolios BAT Florida Writes Rubric |

| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | | ata, and r | eference to | "Guiding Questions | ", identify and define areas |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. | | | | | |
| Writing Goal #1b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Pro | cess to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievemer | nt |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Conventions of Standard English | K-5 | PLC/SIP Facilitator | School-Wide | Monthly Meetings | Writing Samples Writing Portfolios | Administration |
| Response to Reading | K-5 | PLC/SIP Facilitator | School-Wide | Monthly Meetings | Writing Samples Writing Portfolios Journals | Administration |
| Text Types and Purposes | K-5 | PLC/SIP Facilitator | PD Participants | Monthly Meetings | Writing Samples Writing Portfolios | Administration |
| Teaching the Process of Argument Writing | K-5 | PLC/SIP Facilitator | School-wide | Monthly Meetings | Writing Samples Writing Portfolios | Administration |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1. At | tendance | | By Spring 201 | By Spring 2013 the percentage of students attending | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Atter | ndance Goal #1: | | | school regularly will increase from 95% to 98% | | | |
| 2012 | Current Attendance Ra | ate: | 2013 Expect | ed Attendance Rate: | | | |
| 95.1% | 6 | | 98% | | | | |
| 1 | Current Number of Stunces (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | 2013 Expect Absences (1 | ed Number of Students O or more) | with Excessive | | |
| 36 | | | 10% decrease | 2 (32) | | | |
| 1 - | Current Number of Stues (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | 2013 Expect Tardies (10 d | ed Number of Students or more) | with Excessive | | |
| 65 | | | 10% decrease | 10% decrease (59) | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stud | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Making parents aware of the SBBC Attendance policy | Inform parents at open house, parent link and newsletter about the policy and the BTIP process After 5 unexcused absences, parents are scheduled to have conference with Assistant Principal or Guidance Counselor | Assistant Principa Guidance Counselor | Review data from DWH Reports and Opti-spool Social Worker Referrals | Data Warehouse Reports Pinnacle | | |
| 2 | Student Tardies | Inform parents about bell-to-bell instruction and the BTIP process - every minute is counted. Close North Gate at 8:00AM. Tardy Students to report to front office for a pass to class | Administration Guidance Counselor | Review data from DWH reports and Opti-spool | DWH Reports Pinnacle | | |
| 3 | Providing attendance incentives for students | Quarterly incentives for students who have 100% attendance and no tardies. | Assistant Principa Support Staff | Review reports from DWH reports and optispool. | DWH Reports Pinnacle | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

 $^{^{\}star}$ When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Suspension Suspension Goal #1: | By spring 2013, the percentage of students that will be suspended from school will be decreased by 50% | | |
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions | | |
| 3 | 1 | | |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School | | |
| 2 | 1 | | |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | |

| 3 | | | Decrease the r | Decrease the number of Out-of School suspensions by 50% | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2012 Scho | | ents Suspended Out-of- | 2013 Expecte of-School | ed Number of Students | Suspended Out- | |
| 2 | | | Decrease the r School by 50% | number of students susp | ended Out of | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Training staff to utilize proactive and positive discipline strategies in classroom | Implement positive school-wide discipline plan from K-5. All classes including specials to utilize Clip Up Program by Rick Morris | Administration Teachers | Fewer referrals to administration | Classroom and Hallway observation DMS | |
| 2 | Teachers utilizing RTI for behavior and academics | Provide a refresher RTI training for teachers. Teachers will select the appropriate interventions for students with behavioral issues. | Administration Guidance Counselor | Data Chats CPST Meetings | BASIS DMS | |
| 3 | Making all students aware of the school- wide discipline plan | Conduct a school-wide discipline/ expectation assembly to review the Student Code of Conduct, school-wide Discipline Plan (Clip up), Character Education Traits. Incentives for good cafeteria and hallway behavior. "Caught Being Good" tickets given to students. Points given to classes in Cafeteria. Monthly class winners in each grade level selected. "Red Box" to request to see the guidance counselor A "lock box" to report bullying incidents | Administration Guidance Counselor | Monitoring Referrals Cafeteria Point System Charts | Cafeteria Behavior Database DMS | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: By Spring 2013, our parental involvement will increase *Please refer to the percentage of parents who 50%(270) to 55% (297) participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. 2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement: 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: 50% (270) 55% of (297) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | Common Meeting Area for Parents and Volunteers | Provide an area for parents to plan and implement events and activities | Administration PTA President | PTA Feedback | Volunteer Log Book STAR System |
| 2 | Promote reading and writing skills by motivating students to publish books | Parents facilitate the operation of the student publishing center. They assist students in the writing process and the typing of their stories. Every month winners are selected across grade levels. Pictures of winners are posted in the "Hallway of Fame". Students showcase their books by reading them aloud at community library. | Administration Reading Coach PTA Publishing Center Coordinators | Published Books displayed in classroom and media center | Increased number of books displayed in the classroom and media center |
| 3 | Promoting Math Enrichment | Parents coordinate the Math Super Stars Program. Incentives are earned by students who participate. | Administration PLC Math Chair PTA Math Coordinator | Increased participation in the number of students completing the problem solving activities | Incentive Charts based on a point system |
| 4 | Working Parents | Plan meetings and family activities after school hours: SAC Meetings PTA Meetings Ice Cream Social Learn-a-Thon Pep Rally Talent Show Band Performance Chorus Performance Kindergarten Spring Show | Administration PTA Board | Increase in the number of attendees | Parent Survey |
| 5 | Bridging the Gap Between Home and School | Plan Interactive Family Academic Nights in Reading, Writing, Math and Science for Parents and Students | PLC/SIP Chairs Administration | Parents will be given a survey to complete after they attend the event | Parent Survey |
| 6 | Building a Culture of School and Community as Partners | Grandparents Day Parents Day (Parents/Grandparents invited to have breakfast at school and shop at Book Fair) Ice cream Social | PTA Board Administration | Sign-in Log | Parent Survey |
| 7 | Family Physical Fitness | Griffin Opening Ceremony before the start of Field Day Field Day Ride-a-thon Jump Rope for Heart December Dash | PE Coach SRO Administration | Parent participation in the events | Parent Survey |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Publishing Center Protocols and Activities | K-5 | Writing PLC Chair | School- wide | Once a month | Student published books Journals | Administration |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of | Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1. STEM | | | | | | |
| STEM Goal #1: | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Pers Pos Res for Mor | | ble Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | | No Data Subm | iitted | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Pr | ogram(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | To improve fluency | Quick Reads | General Budget | \$0.00 |
| Reading | To improve comprehension with struggling readers | Read, Reason, Write | General Budget | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | To improve reading comprehension | Accelerated Reader | PTA | \$0.00 |
| Reading | To assess students reading level | STAR | PTA | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Devel | opment | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | To assist teachers to use the program with fidelity | Accelerated Reader Webinars (6 hours) | PTA | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Extended Learning Opportunity to improve reading deficiencies in level 1 & 2 Students (FCAT CAMP) | Salary, Materials & Supplies | Accountability | \$1,357.50 |
| Mathematics | FCAT Camp for level 1 & 2 students | Salary, Materials, Supplies | Accountability | \$1,357.50 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$2,715.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$2,715.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | j ∩ NA |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|
| | | | |

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/11/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

1

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Describe projected use of SAC funds | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| No data submitted | |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Assisting in the planning of School Academic Nights
Informing all stakeholders about the School Improvement Plan and the progress of the plan every quarter
Keeping track of technology programs in school and the effectiveness of the programs
Making decisions about School Accountability and School Recognition Funds
Making decisions about School Safety

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Broward School Distric GRIFFIN ELEMENTARY 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 94% | 90% | 99% | 68% | 251 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 67% | 64% | | | 131 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 62% (YES) | 53% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 597 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | IΔ | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Broward School District GRIFFIN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 92% | 94% | 99% | 76% | 361 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 75% | 71% | | | 146 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 68% (YES) | 71% (YES) | | | 139 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 646 | |
| Percent Tested = 99% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |