FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: FOREST HIGH SCHOOL

District Name: Marion

Principal: Chester Gregory

SAC Chair: Sandra Dailey

Superintendent: James M. Yancey

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 10/19/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Chester Gregory	MS Educational Leadership	6	23	2011-2012 Grade PENDING, Rdg. 56% met high standards. Algebra 60% met high standards. AYP NO 2010-2011 Grade A, Rdg.52% met high standards.Math 87% met high standards. AYP NO (82% of Criteria met 2009-2010 Grade B, Rdg.54% MA.84% AYP No, 2008-09 Grade C Rdg 52% MA 82% AYP NO 2007-08 Grade A Rdg. 54% MA 83% AYP NO Belleview High School 2006-2007 Grade B Rdg. 43% MA 75% Wr 86% AYP NO 2005-06 Grade B Rdg. 47% MA 80% Wr 50% AYP NO
					2011-2012 Grade PENDING, Rdg. 56% met high standards. Algebra 60% met high

Assis Principal	Gary Merians	MS Educational Leadership	23	7	standards. AYP NO 2010-2011 Grade A, Rdg.52% met high standards.Math 87% met high standards. AYP NO (82% of Criteria met 2009-2010 Grade B, Rdg.54% MA 84% AYP NO 2008-09 Grade C Rdg. 52% MA 82& AYP NO 2007-08 Rdg. 54% MA 83% AYP NO 2006-07 Grade C Rdg. 48% MA 78% AYP NO 2005-2006 Grade B Rdg. 47% MA 78% AYP NO
Assis Principal	Jamie Pittman	MS Educational Leadership	3	9	2011-2012 Grade PENDING, Rdg. 56% met high standards. Algebra 60% met high standards. AYP NO 2010-2011 Grade A, Rdg.52% met high standards. Math 87% met high standards. AYP NO (82% of Criteria met) Previously at county office in curriculum and instruction. County math scores increased at all levels. Previous Assistant Principal at Horizen Academy at Marion Oaks. School acheieved a C grade.
Assis Principal	George Mike Collins	MS Educational Leadership	3	6	2011-2012 Grade PENDING, Rdg. 56% met high standards. Algebra 60% met high standards. AYP NO 2010-2011 Grade A, Rdg.52% met high standards. Math 87% met high standards. AYP NO (82% of Criteria met) School Grade of B at Lake Weir High School 2006-2007 school year. Math scores exceeded district scores for the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 school years.

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Casey Callahan	B.A. in Political Science M.Ed. in Educational Leadership Certified in; Middle Grades Integrated Curriculum, Educational Leadership, Reading, ESOL	4	4	2011-2012 Grade PENDING, Rdg. 56% met high standards. 2010-2011 Grade B Rdg. 52% met high Standards in Reading. 2009-2010 Grade B,Rdg.54% AYP-No

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1		Personnel Office School level	As positions become available	

2	2. The interview process includes the principal, an assistant principal, and a member of the department where the vacancy occurs.	Principal	As positions become available	
3	3. In an effort to retain highly qualified teachers, selected new and beginning teachers are paired with an experienced staff member.	Principal Staff member	Filled positions	
4	4. Implementation of the Danielson model for teacher evaluation.	Administrative team	ongoing	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
Mardi Yancey and Ben White are teaching out of field at this time. Both teachers are ESE Support Facilitators and are working toward certification.	All teachers will participate in the MCIES evaluation and improvement program. New teachers also participate in the Professional Education Competency (P.E.C.) program. Both teachers are working toward permanent certification.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

-	Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	Board	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
1	17	0.9%(1)	29.1%(34)	33.3%(39)	37.6%(44)	43.6%(51)	71.8%(84)	10.3%(12)	6.0%(7)	22.2%(26)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Shannon Bowie	Lori Willis	Both teach Autistic students	Attend district and school trainings together Attend district and school meetings together Work together to plan for instructions Ms. Bowie will be a source of support and guidance for Mrs. Willis
Sally Alabaugh	Mardi Yancey		Attend district and school trainings together Attend district and school meetings together Work together to plan for instruction Mrs. Alabaugh will be a source of support and guidance for Mrs. Yancey

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other
Out of the control of

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

School Administration provides a common vision for understanding data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing RtI, conducts assessment of RtI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.

Guidance Counselors participate in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitate development of intervention plans; provide support for intervention fidelity and documentation; and provide professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities.

Deans of Discipline participate in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data, facilitate development of intervention plans, provide support for intervention fidelity and documentation, and provide professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities.

Select General Education Teachers provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborate with SAT team to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities

ESE Teachers participate in student data collection, integrate core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborate with general education teachers through such activities as lesson planning and co-teaching.

Reading Coaches provide guidance on K-12 reading plan; assist in data analysis; provide professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning for reading teachers and CAR-PD trained teachers.

Social Workers/Social Worker Assistants provide interventions for students. They work with child-serving community agencies to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Principal - Ken McAteer

Assistant Principal - Stephen Ayres

Assistant Principal – Debbie Love

Dean of Students - Tim McCarthy

Dean of Students – Alisa Sandlin

Guidance Counselor – Mildred Bernard

Guidance counselor – Teresa Shepler

Guidance Counselor – Alicia Bryant

Behavior Specialist - Dan Craggs

School Psychologist - Krista Garner

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Data and information sharing meetings are held weekly. Discipline, attendance and academic data are compiled by the team and discussed at these weekly meetings. Trend data is compiled and students of concern are identified and monitored. Appropriate support is identified for students of concern and the support is coordinated by the team. The team shares the role of helping students become academically successful.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the RtI leadership team are directly involved in the development of develop the SIP. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas that needed to be addressed; set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures.

The RtI Leadership Team provides support in the following ways: (1) provides strong administrative support to ensure commitment and resources (2) facilitates strong teacher support to share in the common goal of improving student performance and/or behavior and (3) leads in building staff support, internal capacity, and sustainability over time.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data:

Reading - Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Math - District Benchmark, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Writing - Demand Writing

Behavior - reports generated from the Student Management System (SMS)

Progress Monitoring:

Reading - PMRN, Curriculum Based Measurement (CBM), Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), SRI, FCAs

Math - Benchmark, FCA's

Writing - Demand Writing

Behavior - reports generated from the Student Management System (SMS)

Midyear:

Reading - Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)

Math - Benchmark

End of year:

Reading - PMRN (FAIR), FCAT

Math - End of Course Exam

Writing - Florida Writes

Behavior - Reports from SMS

Frequency of Data Days:

Weekly

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional Development was provided during the 10 - 11 school year and will remain ongoing throughout the current year. Online resources including training through the University of South Florida at floridarti.usf.edu/ is provided to staff. Training is also incorporated into data team meetings as well as SAT meetings. District wide trainings are also expected and available to staff members. Marion County Psychological Services provides monthly newsletters highlighting RtI information and best practices. District Staff provides monthly on-going trainings to our Assistant Principals and Deans of Discipline.

Dunnellon High School is implementing Comprehension Instructional Sequence (CIS) in English, Science, and Social Studies classrooms. This is district supported and trained, instructional strategy that is a complex form of multiple-strategy instruction that promotes student development in reading comprehension, vocabulary, content-area knowledge, and critical thinking about complex texts.

Dunnellon High School has Intensive Math classes that are paired with Algebra classes to provide extra and continuing support for the math student.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Teaching units are dedicated to areas that are needed based on progress monitoring. Additional sections were added this year for the teaching of Math For College Readiness as well as College Prep English. Recourse classrooms were added.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Jamie Pittman - Assistant Principal of Curriculum

Gary Merians- Assistant Principal of Instruction

Casey Callahan- Reading Coach/Staff Development/Forest High School

Beth Collins- Reading Teacher

Lillian Collins - Reading Teacher

Jed Yancey- Reading Teacher

Elinore Bibbs- Reading Teacher

Rhonda Williams-Reading Teacher
Jennifer Pohlers- Media Specialist/Librarian

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets monthly to discuss current data, reading issues, teacher training and meetings with our reading teams etc. These meetings help guide the Testing Coordinator and Dean of Students (Allan Hisey) in developing trainings and meetings for our Reading Teams. Content teachers are supported and encouraged to pursue CAR-PD certification so that appropriate strategies will benefit all students rather than just Intensive Reading students. The Testing Coordinator and Assistant Principals are present and train the rest of the administrative staff on current data and reading resources so they can meet with the respective departments and offer support to the teachers. The monthly LLT meetings also guide our Testing Coordinator in the developing trainings and resources for all instructional staff based on our data. The Reading Coach plans Reading Data Planning Days with the Reading teachers to go over individual student data and grouping so that the teachers can differentiate and individualize instruction in their class and support their team teachers in monitoring these reading students in the content classes.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

We will continue to meet regularly to discuss current data and reading issues. Our "team" focus will continue and we intend to expand our reading teams to the upper grade levels and other content areas. Support for other instructional staff that are not part of a reading team or are not CAR-PD certified will be expanded based on need and requests from teachers.

Training and implementation of Common Core Standards will be addressed. This will be done in cooperation with the initiative begun by the District.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Reading will continue to be a high priority. Teachers at Forest High School are encouraged to have reading as a goal in their Individual Professional Development Plan. The goal must be measurable and may be included final evaluation. In addition, all content teachers will be encouraged to take CAR-PD (Content Area Reading-Professional Development). The reading specialist with Career & Technical Education (CTE), will be working with CTE teachers to help them improve their skills as a reading teacher. Teachers of the arts, PE, Drivers Education, and other classes that are not textbook driven will use "Read-Alouds" that will benefit their students. Content enhancements will be introduced in our Learning Communities meetings.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Forest High School has 12 applied programs ranging from Health Assisting and Drafting to Criminal Justice, Culinary Arts and Junior ROTC. In school year 2012-13 we started the Applied Cybersecurity program in response to worldwide demand for trained professionals in this field. Through such programs we are able to offer students of all ability levels the opportunity to explore various career paths, according to their interests. These programs enable teachers to show students how the topics they are covering in their core subjects apply to their future career choices. Each Career & Technical Education (i.e., applied) program consists of at least four courses, allowing students to follow a chosen career path for up to four years, to deepen

their understanding and skills in that area. Students who have participated in one program for at least three years will have the opportunity to sit for an industry certification exam in the area of their studies. (For example, Drafting students will take the AutoDesk Certified Associate (AutoCAD) exam.)

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

To insure students' course of study is personally meaningful, Forest High School and the school counselors use a systematic consultive approach to provide career relevant information. Within this process, guidance counselors use individual and group meetings to evaluate and discuss students' course of study. The students individual interests, test scores, and overall academic profile and career information are addressed and taken into consideration. Every student is pervaded agaricus guide of course choices.

A parent night is provided to answer questions for incoming ninth grade students and their parents.

The Assistant Principal of Curriculum visits each middle school to discuss course selections with all eighth grade feeder schools. This exposes the students to the course directory and begins the class selection process.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

An analysis of the High School Feedback Report for 2010 graduates shows that FHS graduates perform very well at the post-secondary level as compared to the state percentages. This report is available for to stakeholders. We will continue to prepare our students for post-secondary opportunities by continuing to offer a variety of AP and dual enrollment course options, increasing those offerings when appropriate. According to the 2010 School accountability report trend data, A.P. enrollment has steadily increased in the last three years of the report. We know that this trend has continued based on the large increase in enrollment in A.P. courses last year as well as the 2012-2013 school year. In 2012-13, we will continue to focus on increasing our students' participation in these acceleration opportunities, especially in the area of dual enrollment in the Career & Technical area, for which qualifications are less stringent, allowing a greater number of students to participate. In an effort to increase CPT participation, all juniors are scheduled to take the CPT in our Career Center during the school day. In addition, seniors who were identified as needing math remediation (at the college level) were enrolled in the Math for College Readiness course. The Math for College Readiness class has been expanded adding several additional sections of the class.

Nine sections (classes) of English for College Preparation were added to the master schedule for the 2012-2013 school year.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

55% of students tested will achieve proficiency in reading.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

250 of 481 students tested scored level 3 or higher. This is 52% of students tested.

Our expected goal is to make AMO.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Poor Attendance	Student assistance Team Meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with students to overcome outside barriers.		Monitor of student attendance and achievment during student data meetings and SAT meetings. Attendance Records	FAIR, FCA's,EOC exams, teacher input.
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively remediate students	Support students services accross the continuim ranging from consult, support facilitation co-teach, resource, self contained rooms, and after scholl tutoring	Administrative team as well as teachers involved in tutoring.	Student/staff feedback. attendance at tutoring.	assessments using FCA's, FAIR testing,EOC exams, teacher and student input.
3	Limited background knowledge	Through the students reading class Max Thompson techniques are used. Previewing and Acceleration are used to increase background knowledge for success in the content area classes.	Reading teacher/content teacher. Administration monitors data	Benchmark information will be evaluated by the teacher as well as testing coordinator/reading specialist	Classroom tests Common end of course exam/ FAIR Test/Benchmark/ FCAs
4	Limited Vocabulary	Through the students reading class Max Thompson techniques are used. Previewing and Acceleration are used to increase background knowledge for success in the content area classes.	Reading teacher/content teacher. Administration monitors data	Benchmark information will be evaluated by the teacher as well as testing coordinator/reading specialist	Classroom tests Common end of course exam/ FAIR Test/Benchmark/ FCAs
5	Quality reading Instruction across the curriculum.	CAR-PD - Content Area Reading Development District Based Reading Program	Administrative Team	Administrative Classroom Observations	Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2013 FCAT, teacher and student input

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:			Raise	Raise proficiency by 10%			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
6 out	of 10 scored Levels 4, 5, o	or 6 on the Reading FAA	7 Ou	7 Out of 10			
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increa	ise Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pos Respor	son or sition nsible for itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Quality Research Based Instruction	Common core Instructional strategies	Teacher	of Record	Administrative Classroom Observations/ Look fors	Florida Alternative Assessment	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

of im	provement for the following	group:					
Leve	CAT 2.0: Students scorin I 4 in reading. ling Goal #2a:	ng at or above Achievem	Students achei	Students acheiving proficiency levels 4 and 5 will maintain their level or increase by 3%.			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance:			
29%	(139 of 481) scored at or a	above level 4 in reading.	Our expected 4 or 5.	goal is to increase to 32%	of students at level		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Up to this point teachers of higher level curriculum have not been equipped/trained in Reading Strategies.		Administration	Benchmark and Focus Calendar Assessments given at specific times focusing on specific skills Data and focus meetings will be used to anaylze the outcomes of these assesments and create a plan of action for skills that need improvement.			
2	Possible lack of adequate teacher implementation of reading strategies after training.	Ongoing support in 1. Max Thompson strategies. 2. Fidelity checklist. 3. Additional Staff Development.	Administration	Data Analysis, Fidelity Checklist, Administrative walkthroughs, conferencing, peer teachers	Completed checklist, Benchmark test, FCA's, FCAT		
3	Quality Research Based Instruction	Common Core Standards Instructional techniques	Teachers	Administrative classroom observations	Benchmark assessments, FAIR test results, EOC exams		

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the f		data, and refer	rence to "G	uiding Questions", ident	ify and define areas in need
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:		Increase the number of students scoring at Level 7 or above in Reading on the FAA by 10%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
30% (3) students scored at or above Level 7 On Florida Alternative Assessment.			At least 40% of students Alternatively Assessed will score at Level 7 or higher.		
	Problem-Solvir	ng Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	•	No Data	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	All students will make learning gains in reading. At least 65% of students will make a year's worth of growth.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
61% (794 of the students tested)	65% of students will make learning gains in reading.		

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Attendance	Student Assistance Team and Child Study Team meetings. social worker assistant to bridge gap between school and home	Administrative Team/ Social worker	Monitoring of student attendance and acheivment during Student Data team meetings, attendance records	assessment using FAIR. FCAs, Reading scores from 2012 FCAT, teacher input
2	Limited background of students (Background knowledge, vocabulary)	Reading teams Acceleration Learning Focused strategies in all classes	Administration, Team Teachers, Testing Coordinator	Data analysis of Benchmark, FCA's, student work/tests	Benchmark, FCA's, teacher/administrator evaluations and portfolios
3	Collaboration time between teachers in the same and different content areas.	1.Planned Professional development activities 2.Shared drive on computer to post effective strategies	Administration, Team Teachers, Testing Coordinator	Teacher feedback, Data analysis of Benchmark, FCA's, student work/tests edback,	Benchmark, FCA's, teacher/administrator evaluations and portfolios
4		development activities	Administration, Team Teachers, Testing Coordinator	Blackboard, Teacher feedback, Data and Focus meetings, Data analysis of Benchmark, FCA's, student	Benchmark, FCA's, teacher/administrator evaluations and portfolios

		effective strategies 3. Learning Focused strategies in all classes		work/tests,Teacher feedback	
5	Collaboration time for Reading Teams	1.Planned Professional development activities 2.Shared drive on computer to post effective strategies 3. Learning Focused strategies in all classes 4. Dedicated time and budget for these teachers to have uninterrupted time to work together	Administration, Team Teachers, Testing Coordinator	Data analysis of Benchmark, FCA's, student work/tests, feedback from Deans	Benchmark, FCA's, teacher/administrator evaluations and portfolios

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in Raise the number of students making learning gains on the reading. FAA to 80%. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 80% (8 students) 70% (7 students) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Low cognitve abilies of Use of research based ESE classroom Skills mastered and Placement testing Alternatively Tested for evaluating Common Core aligned teachers. monitored through Students Programs. These include programs with progress progress. "News2You". reports throughout the year.

	on the analysis of studen rovement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
			The Lowest 25% of students will make learning gains in Reading of at least one years growth.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
60% of students in the lowest 25% made learning gains according to School Grade DAta report for school year 2011/2012.				65% of students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains in reading. AYP will be acheived by Safe Harbor or Growth Model		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	t Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Attendance	1. Assigned Social Worker	Social Worker Asst,	Attendance will be	SMS Attendance	

1		Assistant 2.Part of Reading team with assigned Dean	Reading Team of Teachers, Testing Coordinator	monitored by social worker and Assistant Principal of Discipline.	report
2	Background Knowledge and Vocabulary	 Intensive reading class Part of a reading team of teachers Acceleration program 	Administration, classroom teacher	Anaylsis of data from Benchmark, FCA's, FAIR, student work, etc	Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2012 FCAT, teqacher and student input
3	Lack of essential reading skills and strategies	 Intensive reading class Part of a reading team of teachers Acceleration program School-wide Learning Focused Strategies 	Administration, classroom teacher	Anaylsis of data from Benchmark, FCA's, FAIR, student work, etc	Teacher evaluations, Various benchmark and reading tests Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2012 FCAT, teacher and student input
4	Lack of student support system outside of school	1.Intensive reading class 2.Part of a reading team of teachers 3. Acceleration program 4. School-wide Learning Focused Strategies Social Worker assistant	classroom teacher	Teacher evaluation. Pre/Post survey of students involved	Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2012 FCAT, teqacher and student input

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # Will meet AMO goal. 5A:				
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	81	66	69	73	76		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making Student subgroups not making Adequate Yearly Progress in satisfactory progress in reading. Reading will make gains in 2012-2013. All sub groups will meet at least 50% on grade level. Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White 61%(185), Black 20%(18), Hispanic 54%(29), scored a passing score level 3 or higher, according to FCAT 2.0 Our expected level of performance is to make AMO goals. Student Performance Results. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to

Strategy

meetings and

meetings.

barriers.

Child /Study Team

Social Worker Assistant

to overcome outside

Student Assistance Team Various teams,

Anticipated Barrier

Attendance

Position

Responsible for

Monitoring

Assistant Principal

of discipline and

Social Workers

Determine

Effectiveness of

Strategy

Monitor student

attendance and

reports

acheivment at RTI

meetings. Attendance

Evaluation Tool

Assessment using

FAIR Test; FCA's

Reading Scores

student input

from Spring 2011

FCAT, teacher and

2	Background knowledge and vocabulary	 Intensive reading class Part of a reading team of teachers Acceleration program 		Benchmark, FCA's, FAIR, student work, etc	Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2011 FCAT, teacher and student input
3	Language Barriers		ESOL counselor,	Benchmark, FCA's, FAIR, CELLA, student work, etc	i i

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:	Two of seven students tested proficient. The average score on the CELLA was 745. Overall average will increase by 10% to a 819.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
2 out of 7 students in ELL tested Proficient in Reading. Two students tested at the beginning level of proficiency. One student scored at the Low Intermediate level. Two students tested at the High Intermediate level. @ Students tested at the Proficient Level This data is from the Spring 2012 CELLA school report.	50% of students in ELL will become proficient before leaving the program.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of vocabulary- oral and written that can be used to improve reading and writing skills.	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	Priscilla Dishon - ESOL instructor. Bonnie Payton- ESOL staffing	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA
2	Limited grammar knowledge as well as formal klnowledge of Language	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	Priscilla Dishon - ESOL instructor. Bonnie Payton- ESOL staffing	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing	CELLA
3	Requirement to follow target language curiiculum without the opportunity to acquire necessary skills to advance.	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	Priscilla Dishon - ESOL instructor. Bonnie Payton- ESOL staffing	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing	CELLA

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in reading.	Students with disabilities not making Adequate Yearly Progress in Reading will make gains in 2012-2013. Sub group will score at least 40% on grade level.

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
29% of Students with Disabilities scored at a satisfactory level in 2012. 33% met AMO.				Expected level of Performance will see 40% meet AMO targets.		
Problem-Solving Process to I			o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Attendance	Assigned Social Worker Assistant Part of Reading team with assigned Dean	Social Worker Asst, Reading Team of Teachers, Testing Coordinator	Attendance will be monitored by social worker and Assistant Principal of Discipline.	SMS Attendance report
2	!	Background Knowledge and Vocabulary	 Intensive reading class Part of a reading team of teachers Acceleration program ParaPro with Reading background 	Administration, classroom teacher	Anaylsis of data from Benchmark, FCA's, FAIR, student work, etc	Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2013 FCAT, teacher and student input
3		Lack of essential reading skills and strategies	 Intensive reading class Part of a reading team of teachers Acceleration program School-wide Learning Focused Strategies ParaPro with Reading background 	Administration, classroom teacher	Anaylsis of data from Benchmark, FCA's, FAIR, student work, etc	Teacher evaluations, Various benchmark and reading tests Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2013 FCAT, teacher and student input
4		Lack of student support system outside of school	1.Intensive reading class 2.Part of a reading team of teachers 3. Acceleration program 4. School-wide Learning Focused Strategies Social Worker assistant	classroom teacher	Teacher evaluation. Pre/Post survey of students involved	Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2013 FCAT, teqacher and student input

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:				s in this subgroup will mee	et AMO Targets.	
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
40% (289) performed at or above grade level according to the AMO DATA report 2012.			Students will m	Students will meet AMO targets		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1						
2	Mobility	Assigned Social Worker Assistant	Social Worker Asst, Guidance	Attendance will be monitored by social worker and Assistant Principal of Discipline.	SMS Attendance report	

3	3	Attendance	Assigned Social Worker Assistant Part of Reading team.	·	monitored by social	SMS Attendance report
4	4	Lack of essential reading skills and strategies	 Intensive reading class Part of a reading team of teachers Acceleration program School-wide Learning Focused Strategies 	Administration, classroom teacher	Anaylsis of data from Benchmark, FCA's, FAIR, student work, etc	Teacher evaluations, Various benchmark and reading tests Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2013 FCAT, teacher and student input
5	5	Lack of student support system outside of school	1.Intensive reading class 2.Part of a reading team of teachers 3. Acceleration program 4. School-wide Learning Focused Strategies Social Worker assistant	classroom teacher	Teacher evaluation. Pre/Post survey of students involved	Assessment using FAIR Test; FCA's Reading Scores from Spring 2013 FCAT, teqacher and student input

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Lesson Study for 9th & 10th Grade Reading Teachers	9th	u allanan and	Beth Collins and Jed Yancey	September 27, 2012	Meetings	Casey Callahan
Common Core Instructional Strategies	9-12	Common Core Lead Teachers	School Wide	Early Release In- Service Days		Administrative Team

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Progran	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Grand Total: \$0.00

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

Students will continue to show gains in thier ability to speak and understand English based on CELLA testing and success in ESOL.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

Students Proficient on CELLA 9th grade 4 of 7 = 57% 10th grade 6 of 7 = 86% 11th grade 3 of 7= 43%

12th grade 7 of 7= 100%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of vocabulary- oral and written that can be used to improve reading and writing skills.	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	ESOL staffing	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA test
2	Limited Grammar knowledge as well as formal knowledge of Language.	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	ESOL instructor. Bonnie Payton- ESOL staffing	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA test
3	Requirement to follow regular target language curriculum without the opportunity to aquire necessary skills to advance.	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	Priscilla Dishon - ESOL instructor. Bonnie Payton- ESOL staffing	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA test

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
2. Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2:	Students will continue to show gains and become proficient in in thier ability to speak, read, and write and understand English based on CELLA testing and success in ESOL.				
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:					

9th - 2/7 = 29% 10th- 0/7 = 0% 11th- 0/7/= 0% 12th- 2/7 = 29%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of vocabulary- oral and written that can be used to improve reading and writing skills.	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.		Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA test
2	Limited Grammar knowledge as well as formal knowledge of Language.	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	ESOL instructor.	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA test
3	Requirement to follow regular target language curriculum without the opportunity to aquire necessary skills to advance.	New vocabulary use by means of reading and short story paragraphs. Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	ESOL instructor.	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA test

Students write in English at gr	ade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.
---------------------------------	--

3. Students scoring proficient in writing.

CELLA Goal #3:

Students will continue to show gains in thier ability to speak and understand English based on CELLA testing and success in ESOL.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:

9th- 1/7 = 14%10th- 2/7 = 29%

11th- 1/7 = 14%

12th- 3/7 = 43%

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	3	means of reading and	Priscilla Dishon - ESOL instructor. Bonnie Payton- ESOL staffing	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA test
	<u> </u>		Priscilla Dishon - ESOL instructor.	Priscilla Dishon will monitor.	CELLA test

2	Language	Use short stories and/or articles to expand the use of grammar rules in content.	ESOL staffing	In-class testing.	
3	Requirement to follow regular target language curriculum without the opportunity to aquire necessary skills to advance.	short story paragraphs.	ESOL instructor. Bonnie Payton- ESOL staffing	Priscilla Dishon will monitor. In-class testing.	CELLA test

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Use language apporpriate dictionaries, translation tools, materials.	Purchase dictionaries as requested by ELL teacher and Para pro.	Instructional materials from Mr. Merians.	\$200.00
		Subto	otal: \$200.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Su	btotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
Provide training and conference attendance for Ms. Dishon.	Attend language conference as requested by Peggy Finch and Ms. Dishon.	Substitute teacher. Master Inservice resources	\$500.00
		Subto	otal: \$500.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Su	btotal: \$0.0

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. increase the number of students scoring at Levels 4, 5, or 6 by 10% Mathematics Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 40% (4) scoring Levels 4, 5, or 6 50% scoring at Levels 4, 5, or 6 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Placement tests Low Cognitive Ability Use of research based ESE assigned Skills mastered are Common Core aligned teachers monitored through the given each programs. progress reports semester for available. progress Moby Math Unique evalauation. Learning, and News2You.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. increase the number of students scoring at or above Level 7 by 10% Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 40% (4) scoring at or above Level 7 50% scoring at or above Level 7 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy lack of Cognitive Ability. Use of research based ESE assigned Skills mastered are Placement tests Common Core aligned teachers monitored through the given each programs. progress reports semester for available. progress Moby Math Unique evalauation. Learning, and News2You.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics.

increase the number of students making learning gains to

Mathematics Goal #3:			80%	80%		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			d Level of Performance	e:	
70% (7) making learning gains			80% making le	80% making learning gains		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of cognitive abilities.	Use of research based Common Core aligned programs. Moby Math Unique Learning, and News2You.	ESE assigned teachers	Skills mastered are monitored through the progress reports available.	Placement tests given each semester for progress evalauation.	

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

 $^{^{\}star}$ When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1:	Increase the number scoring a level 3 or higher by at least 6%.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
40% (196) scored at Level 3 on the Algebra 1 EOC	65% of student will score a level 3 or higher. We will reach AMO.			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Poor Attendance	Student assistance Team Meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with students to overcome outside barriers.		Monitor of student attendance and achievment during student data meetings and SAT meetings. Attendance Records	FAIR, FCA's,EOC exams, teacher input.
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively remediate students	Support students services accross the continuim ranging from consult, support facilitation co-teach, resource, self contained rooms, and after scholl tutoring	Administrative team as well as teachers involved in tutoring.	Student/staff feedback. attendance at tutoring.	assessments using FCA's, FAIR testing,EOC exams, teacher and student input.
3	Quality Research based instruction.	Common Core Standards will be implemented accross all curriculum.	Teachers as well as administration and lead teachers	EOC test scores Teacher feedback and discussions	2012 and 2013 EOC test results

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and reg group:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
and §	udents scoring at or abo 5 in Algebra. ora Goal #2:	ve Achievement Levels 4		the number scoring abov	e Level 3
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
19% EOC.	(93) students scored at Le	evel 4 or above on Algebra	25% of student	s will score at Level 4 or a	above
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Poor Attendance	Student Assistance Team meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with student tto overcome outside barriers.		Monitor student attendance and achievement during student data meetings and SAT meetings. Attendance Records	FCA's, EOC exams, teacher input.
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively accelerate students.	Support student services across the continuum ranging from consults to after-school tutoring	Administrative team as well as teachers involved in tutoring	Student/staff feedback. attendance at tutoring	assessments using FCA's, EOC exams, teacher and student input.
3	Quality Research based Instruction.	Common Core Standards will be implemented accross the curriculum.	Teachers as well as Administration Team and Lead Teachers.	EOC test Teacher feedbak and discussion	2012 and 2013 EOC results.

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Algebra Goal # No Data Avail	able		<u> </u>
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	74	76	81	83	85	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making All sub groups will make progress in Algebra 1 as determined satisfactory progress in Algebra. on the Algebra 1 EOC exam. Algebra Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Caucasian 69% (206) scored a level 3 or higher. All subgroups will have at least 50% showing adequate African American 30% (30) scored a level 3 or higher. progress in Algebra. Hispanic 48% (29) scored a level 3 or higher. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Poor Attendance	Student assistance Team Meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with students to overcome outside barriers.	· ·	monitor student attendance and acheivment during student data and SAT meetings. attnedance records	FCA's, EOC exams, teacher input
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively accelerate students.	services accross the continuim ranging from	Administrative team as well as teacher involved in tutoring.	Student/staff feedback at tutoring.	FCA's, EOC exams, teacher and student input
3	Quality Based Research Instruction.	Common Core Instructional strategies.	Administrative Team.	Administrative Classroom Observations Look Fors/Ask fors.	Assessments using FAIR, FCA's, EOC.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. All sub groups will make progress in Algebra 1 as determined on the Algebra 1 EOC exam. Algebra Goal #3C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 43% (13) of ELL students received a passing score on the Algebra EOC. At least 50% of ELL students will receive a passing score on the Algebra EOC. Statistically small numbers. Did not show up on EOC report.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Student Assistance Team meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with student tto overcome outside barriers.	Team/ AP of	Monitor student attendance and achievement during student data meetings and SAT meetings. Attendance Records	FCA's, EOC exams, teacher input.
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively accelerate students.	ranging from consults to	Administrative team as well as teachers involved in tutoring	Student/staff feedback. attendance at tutoring	Assessments using FCA's, EOC exams, teacher and student input.
3	Quality Based Research Instruction.	Common Core Instructional Strategies	Administrative Teram	Administrative Team Classroom Observations	EOC Exam

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. 28% (19) Students with Disabilities received a passing score on the Algebra EOC exam. Algebra Goal #3D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Poor Attendance	Student Assistance Team meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with student tto overcome outside barriers.		Monitor student attendance and achievement during student data meetings and SAT meetings. Attendance Records	FCA's, EOC exams, teacher input.
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively accelerate students.	Support student services across the continuum ranging from consults to after-school tutoring	Administrative team as well as teachers involved in tutoring	Student/staff feedback. attendance at tutoring	Assessments using FCA's, EOC exams, teacher and student input.
3	Quality Research based Instruction.	Common Core Standards will be implemented accross the curriculum.	Teachers as well as Administration Team and Lead Teachers.	EOC test Teacher feedbak and discussion	2012 and 2013 EOC results.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3E:	46% of Students with Disabilities received a passing score on the Algebra EOC exam.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
54% (137) of Students that are Economically Disadvantaged received a level 1 or 2 on the Algebra 1 EOC	Students will make AMO.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1					
2	poor attendance	Student Assistance Team meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with student to overcome outside barriers.		Monitor student attendance during student data meetings and SAT meetings. attendance Records.	FCA's EOC's teacher input
3	availability of time to effectivly accelerate students.	Support student services accross the continuum ranging from consults to after school tutoring.	administrative team as well as teachers involved in tutoring	Student/ staff feedback. attendance at tutoring.	assessments using FCA's, EOC exams, teacher and student feedback.
4	Quality Research Based Instruction.	Common Core Standards will be implemented accross the Curriculum.	Teachers as well as Administrative Team and lead teeachers.	EOC Exam. Teacher feedback and discussion	2012 and 2013 EOC results.

End of Algebra EOC Goals

* Whe	n using percentages, includ	le the number of students t	the percentage repre	sents (e.g., 70% (35)).	
	d on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry. Geometry Goal #1:			No baseline da	ta available at this time	
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	9:
	nad a Mean Scale Score control of the scoring in the top the		55% on AMO.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Poor Attendance	Student assistance Team Meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with students to overcome outside barriers.	Administrative team/ social worker	Monitor of student attendance and achievment during student data meetings and SAT meetings. Attendance Records	FAIR, FCA's,EOC exams, teacher input.
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively remediate students	Support students services accross the continuim ranging from consult, support facilitation co-teach, resource, self contained rooms, and after scholl tutoring	in tutoring.	Student/staff feedback. attendance at tutoring.	assessments using FCA's, FAIR testing,EOC exams, teacher and student input.
3	Quailty Research Based Instruction	Common core Instructional Strategies	Administrative Team	Administrative Classroom Observations	Assessments using FAIR Test, FCA's.
Based	d on the analysis of stude	ent achievement data, ar	nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas
	ed of improvement for the			J	

1	on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, an e following group:	d reference to "Gu	liding Questions", identif	y and define areas			
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.Geometry Goal #2:				Scale Scores only were reported. No usable Data.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
NO DA	NO DATA			NO DATA				
	Pro	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	na	na	na	na	na			

3A. Ambitious but a Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six year reduce their achiev 50%.	No level in only.	nforma	ation ava	ilable	at this time.	Scale Scores		
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014		2014-20	15	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	78	81		83		85		
Based on the analy in need of improve			and re	eference to	o "Guidir	ng Questions", id	entify and define areas	
3B. Student subg Hispanic, Asian, A satisfactory prog Geometry Goal #3	American India ress in Geomet	n) not making		No usable DATA				
2012 Current Lev	el of Performaı	nce:		2013 Exp	ected L	evel of Perform	nance:	
NO usable Data				Meet AMC).			
	Problem	-Solving Process	s to I r	ncrease S	tudent	Achievement		
Anticipated Barri	er Strategy		for	Droces lead to			Evaluation Tool	
		No	Data S	Submitted				
Based on the analy in need of improve			and re	eference to	o "Guidir	ng Questions", id	entify and define areas	
3C. English Langusatisfactory prog	ress in Geomet			NO DATA				
2012 Current Lev	el of Performaı	nce:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
NO DATA				NO DAta				
	Problem	-Solving Process	s to I r	ncrease S	tudent	Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for			Posit Respo	II letermine		Evaluation Tool		
		No	Data S	Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3D:			No DATA			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
NO DATA			NO DATA			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. No usable Data Geometry Goal #3E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: No usable data Meet AMO Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Quality Research Based Common Core Administrative Administrative Assessments-Instruction Instructional Strategies Classroom Observations FAIR, FCA, EOC Team

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	and/or DLC	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Instructional Strategies	9-12	Common Core Lead Teachers	School Wide	Early Release and other training times.	Classroom Observations	Administrative Team

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
common core training	school wide in-service	no funding needed	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
common core training	school wide in-service	no funding needed	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1:			increase by 20%		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
60% (3) scored at Levels 4, 5, or 6 in Science			80% to achieve Levels 4, 5, or 6.		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and defi areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring						
at or above Level 7 in science.	Increase by 20%					
Science Goal #2:						

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
0% (0) scored at or above Level 7 in science			At least 20% of students will attain Level 7 or above in Science					
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted								

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stud in need of improvement			Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define			
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1:			No baseline da	No baseline data available at this time				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Mean Scale Score was a op third.	52 with 41% scoring in	No baseline da	No baseline data available at this time				
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Parrier	Stratogy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Too			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Poor Attendance		Administrative team/ social worker	Monitor of student attendance and achievment during student data meetings and SAT meetings. Attendance Records	FAIR, FCA's,EOC exams, teacher input.
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively remediate students	Support students services accross the continuim ranging from consult, support facilitation co-teach, resource, self contained rooms, and after scholl tutoring		Student/staff feedback. attendance at tutoring.	assessments using FCA's, FAIR testing,EOC exams, teacher and student input.
3	Quailty Research Based Instruction	Common core Instructional Strategies	Administrative Team	Administrative Classroom Observations	Assessments using FAIR Test, FCA's.
4	Lack of communication and collaboration between upper level and 9th/10th grade science classes	Vertical Teaming	Administration and AP Coordinator	Collaboration of teams, adminstrative observation	Science CEOC, AP enrollment, FCA's, Benchmark, teacher tests

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2:			Not Available			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perf	ormance:	
Not Available			Not Available			
	Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemer	nt	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Grand Total: \$0.00

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "G	duiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
			We will maint	We will maintain high levels of proficiency in writing with at least 85% level 3 or higher.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expect	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
81%	(383) scored a level three	e or higher.	We will meet	We will meet AMO proficency.		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of regular practice in content area classes.		Teacher, Administrative team	Teachers will provide evidence of writing requirements from their class.	District Writing Assessments, FCAT Writing, in class writing assessments	
2	Research Based Instruction	DBQ assessments and experiences.	Administrative Team,	Administrative observation	District Writing Assessments, FCAT Writing, in class writing assignmensts	

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			increase those scoring at Level 4 or higher by 5%			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
57% (4) scored at Level 4 or higher in writing			62% will score	62% will score at Level 4 or higher		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	Quality research Based	Common Core	Teacher of	Administrative	Florida Alternative	
1	Instruction	Instructional Strategies	Record	Classroom Observations	Assessments	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core Instructional Strategies	9-12	Common Core Lead Teachers	School Wide	Early Release, Faculty Focus or in- service trainings	Classroom Observation	Administrative team

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/N	Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Common Core Instructional strategies	In service training by lead teachers	District Based Resources	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1:	No Baseline Data Available at this time			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

No Baseline Data Available at this time Problem-Solving Process to I				No Baseline Data Available at this time ncrease Student Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Poor Attendance	Student assistance Team Meetings and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with students to overcome outside barriers.	Administrative team/ social worker	Monitor of student attendance and achievment during student data meetings and SAT meetings. Attendance Records	FAIR, FCA's,EOC exams, teacher input.	
2	Availability and flexibility of time to effectively remediate students	Support students services accross the continuim ranging from consult, support facilitation co-teach, resource, self contained rooms, and after scholl tutoring	in tutoring.	Student/staff feedback. attendance at tutoring.	assessments using FCA's, FAIR testing,EOC exams, teacher and student input.	
3	Quailty Research Based Instruction	Common core Instructional Strategies	Administrative Team	Administrative Classroom Observations	Assessments using FAIR Test, FCA's.	

3	based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas In need of improvement for the following group:				
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in U.S. History.U.S. History Goal #2:		No Baseline Data Available at this time			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforn	nance:
No Baseline Data Available at this time		No Baseline Data Available at this time			
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsil for Monitorin		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submitted	t		

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
Attendance Attendance Goal #1:	Forest High School will increase the average daily attendance by 1% over 2011-2012 school year.			
2012 Current Attendance Rate:	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:			
The average daily attendance was for 2011-2012 was 93.1%	An increase of 1% will raise the average daily attendance to 94.1%			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
24% (489 of an average enrollment of 2029) students were absent 10 or more times during the 2011-2012 school year. This count was taken from SMS attendance report for 8/20/11 through 6/6/2012.	A reduction of 10% based on current enrollment (2081) will be 449 students with 10 or more unexcused absences from school.			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			

	No DATA reported for 10+ days tardy (Middle and High Schools are teported by class not days.)			ted for 10+ days tardy (ported by class not days	
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Student Attendance due to outside factors.	Student Assistance Team Meetings, Social Workers Assistant working with students to overcome outside barriers.	Assistant Principal of Attedance and Discipline.	Monitoring of Student attendance and acheivment. Student Assistance Team discussions.	Attendance Reports on SMS.
2	Student Behavior resulting in suspension from school.	Problem solving between Guidance and Discipline Use alternatives to suspension from school.	RtI Team Discipline Office and Assistant Principal of Discipline.	Teacher student feedback.	Discipline/ Attendance Records from SMS.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Participation in RtI Process	9-12	RtI Teams	School Wide		Data generated for RtI Process.	RtI teams.

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of susp provement:	ension data, and referer	nce t	to "Guiding Que	stions", identify and def	ine areas in need
1. Su	uspension					
Suspension Goal #1:				We will reduce 10%.	in-school and out of sc	nool suspension by
2012	2 Total Number of In-Sc	hool Suspensions		2013 Expecte	d Number of In-Schoo	l Suspensions
	al of 141 In School Suspe equence of behavior durir			expected 127 s	% reduction and a simila students will receive in sheir behvior in during the	school suspension
2012	2 Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	ool	2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-
Scho	-five(65) students receiv ol suspension as a result -2012 school year.		the	expected 59 st	% reduction and a simila udents will receive In-S heir behavior during the	school suspension
2012	2 Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions		2013 Expecte Suspensions	d Number of Out-of-S	chool
Out of school Suspension was used as a result of student behavior 421 times during the 2011-2012 school year.				Based on a 10% reudction and a similar enrollment, Out of School suspension will be used as a result of student behvior 379 times during the 2012-2013 school year.		
2012 Scho	2 Total Number of Stude ool	ents Suspended Out-of	-	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
susp	nt-five different students ension out of school as a g the 2011-2012 school y	result of their behavior	of	Based on a 10% reduction in the number of students suspended an expected 68 students will be suspended fo their behavior during the 2012-2013 school year.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Alternative discipline consequences	Establish Saturday School as an alternative to suspension.	of	sistant principal Discipline Mike Ilins.	Monthly Data reports	SMS reports
2	Classroom Management by Teachers	Best practices shared by Discipline Staff Best practices are offered on the bulletin board as well as at faculty meetings regularly.	of	sistant Principal Discipline. en Hisey/Dean	Teacher Feedback/discipline referals	Discipline Reports from SMS
3	Disruptive or inappropriate Behavior leading to disciplinary action.	Establish expectations through "The Way of the Wildcat." This is a Positive Behavior School activity.	Alle	en Hisey	Monthly discipline reports	SMS data report

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
na	na	na	na	na	na	na

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Dropout Prevention				
Dropout Prevention Goal #1:	We will reduce draw out note by OFO/			
*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	We will reduce drop out rate by .05%			
2012 Current Dropout Rate:	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:			
2010-2011 drop out rate according to the NCLB Public Accountability Report is 0.1%. Awaiting 2011/2012 drop-out DATA.	Expected dropout rate is less than .5% (2)			
2012 Current Graduation Rate:	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:			

2009-2010 Graduation rate as calculated using the Federal Uniform Graduation Rate formula was 69.3 %

2010-2011- 81% using Federal Uniform Graduation Rate.

- 431 students recieved a standard diploma
- -16 students graduated with a special diploma
- -7 students received a diploma through the GED exit
- -1 student graduated with option 2 special diploma

2011-2012 Data is not yet available.

Forest High School will increase graduation rate by 2%.

			0	
Problem-Solvina	Process to	Encrease	Student	Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		Credit recovery through PLATO lab	coordinator	Data of students successfully completing courses through PLATO.	PLATO data
2	Attendance	Team and Child Study Team meetings. Social Worker assistant working with At Risk	Attendance.	Attendance and	Attendance Reports from SMS.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
RtI process	9-12	RtI teams	School Wide	Rti meetings as	Data generated from RtI meetings	RtI teams

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1	Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. Parent Involvement							
Pare	nt I nvolvement Goal #	1:		Parent participation through volunteering as well as			
partio	se refer to the percenta cipated in school activitien plicated.	0 1	attendance at (74).	attendance at school functions will increase by 10 % (74).			
2012	Current Level of Parer	nt Involvement:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invol	lvement:		
at sch Parer 858 (An estimated 60% of parents attend at least one activity at school. This includes Open House, Open campus, Parent meetings, Parent night, and Athletic events. 858 (41%) of our students parents have opened a Parent Portal Account.			70% (1501) of students will have at least one parent participate in school activities.			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Re		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Communication with parents	Alert Now phone calls reminding parents of activities will be used.	Mike Collins	Compare previous years participationat events such as open house.	Sign in sheets from teachers at open house		
2	Parental awareness of academic progress	Encourage Parent Portal use.	Chester Gregory Guidance Department	Baseline data. Goal of 75% sign up for Parent Portal	Reports from Parent Portal technology.		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
na	na	na	na	na	na	na

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)						
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount			

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

na	na	na	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	d on the analysis of school	ol data, identify and defir	ne areas in need of	improvement:	
			Increase the nu STEM courses	umber of students enrolle by 10%	ed in upper level
1. STEM STEM Goal #1:			FHS enrolls in high level math, science and technology courses. We have students attend the Summer Science Training Program at the University of Florida yearly. Field trips through our AP Biology class allow students to participate in a Biology Lab at the UF CAncer Research Center. The Engineering and Manufacturing Institute of Technology provides entensive exposure to STEM type activities.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	Students do not choose to take courses of higher rigor.	Research feasibility of a STEM fair in which STEM careers are highlighted	Jamie Pittman	enrollment in upper level course work	enrollment data

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	------------------------	---	--	---	--	--

Common Core Instructional strategies	9-12	Common Core Lead Teachers	School Wide			Administrative team
---	------	---------------------------------	-------------	--	--	------------------------

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
			\$0.00
_			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:							
1. CTE CTE Goal #1: Problem-Solving Process to I			Forest High Sc 2011-2012 17! of CAPE with 9 56.7% We will increas Industry Certif	Currently there are 9 CAPE classes/Acadamies offered at Forest High School. 2011-2012 175 students attempted the certification test of CAPE with 99 earning certification. This reopresents 56.7% We will increase the number of students who receive Industry Certification to 65% of those tested. Increase Student Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Quality Based Research Instruction	Common Core Insrtuctional Strategies	Administrative Team	School based in-service days	Industry Certification Exams			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common core Instructional Strategies		Common Core Lead Teachers	School Wide		Classroom Observations	Administrative Team

CTE Budget:

Charter	Description of Description	Francisco Commen	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
na	na	na	\$0.00
	·		Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)	B 1 11 5		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amour
Reading	na	na	na	\$0.0
CELLA	Use language apporpriate dictionaries, translation tools, materials.	Purchase dictionaries as requested by ELL teacher and Para pro.	Instructional materials from Mr. Merians.	\$200.0
Mathematics	common core training	school wide in-service	no funding needed	\$0.0
Writing	Common Core Instructional strategies	In service training by lead teachers	District Based Resources	\$0.0
Attendance	na	na	na	\$0.0
Suspension	na	na	na	\$0.0
Dropout Prevention	na	na	na	\$0.0
Parent Involvement	na	na	na	\$0.0
STEM	na	na	na	\$0.0
CTE	na	na	na	\$0.0
Taabaalaay		_	_	Subtotal: \$200.0
Technology Goal	Strategy	Description of	Funding Source	Available Amour
Mathematics	na	Resources na	na	\$0.0
Attendance	na	na	na	\$0.0
Suspension	na	na	na	\$0.0
Dropout Prevention	na	na	na	\$0.0
Parent Involvement	na	na	na	\$0.0
STEM	na	na	na	\$0.0
CTE	na	na	na	\$0.0
012	Tid	Tiu .	Tiu .	Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	nent			Subtotal: \$0.0
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amour
CELLA	Provide training and conference attendance for Ms. Dishon.	Attend language conference as requested by Peggy Finch and Ms. Dishon.	Substitute teacher. Master In-service resources	\$500.0
Mathematics	common core training	school wide in-service	no funding needed	\$0.0
Attendance	na	na	na	\$0.0
Suspension	na	na	na	\$0.0
Dropout Prevention	na	na	na	\$0.0
Parent Involvement	na	na	na	\$0.0
STEM	na	na	na	\$0.0
СТЕ	na	na	na	\$0.0
Othor				Subtotal: \$500.0
Other Goal	Stratogy	Description of	Funding Source	Available Amour
	Strategy	Resources	Funding Source	
Mathematics	na	na	na	\$0.0
Attendance	na	na	na	\$0.0
Suspension	na	na	na	\$0.0
Dropout Prevention	na	na	na	\$0.0
Parent Involvement	na	na	na	\$0.0
STEM				\$0.0
CTE	na	na	na	\$0.0
				Subtotal: \$0.

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

n Priority	†n Focus	†∩ Prevent	jn NA
Jil Filority	Jii rocus	Jii Frevent	JII NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/14/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
SAC funds may be used as the SAC committee decides through approved meetings and procedures. The funds, which are in the SAC account, will be used to fund grants for Forest High Teachers. They may also be used with approval of the SAC committee to fund activities, teachers projects, and trainings.	\$14,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Ongoing activities will include regular meetings as scheduled. Generally the first Monday of each month. The SAC committee will provide SAC grants to the teachers with available SAC funds. The SAC committee will also be involved in the Southern Association of Schools and Colleges review in November. The SAC committee also provides input to the SIP through discussion and suggestions at SAC meetings.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Marion School District FOREST HI GH SCHOOL 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	52%	87%	84%	50%	273	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	54%	80%			134	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		67% (YES)			115	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					532	
Percent Tested = 98%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Marion School District FOREST HI GH SCHOOL 2009-2010						
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	54%	84%	83%	43%	264	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	52%	77%			129	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	41% (NO)	64% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					508	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*						Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested