FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: NORTH MIAMI SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

District Name: Dade

Principal: Mr. Michael A. Lewis

SAC Chair: Ms. Lauren Zelniker

Superintendent: Mr. Alberto Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 11/7/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Assis Principal	April Thompson- Williams	Degrees Ed.D Educational Leadership Ed.S Educational Leadership MS Social Sciences BS History Certifications Social Science (5-9) History (6-12) Educational Leadership (All Levels)	2	6	'11 '10 ' 09 '08 '07 School Grade C D F D C High Standards Rdg 26% 19% 19% 21% 17% High Standards Math 53% 55% 55% 52% 45% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 42% 37% 37% 38% 41% Lrng Gains-Math 71% 74% 73% 69% 74% Gains-Rdg-25% 54% 46% 46% 43% 58% Gains-Math-25% 74% 74% 74% 72% 75%
Assis Principal	Daryl Branton	Degrees MS Educational Leadership BS Criminal Justice Certifications MS Math	3	5	'11 '10 '09 '08 '07 School Grade C D D F C High Standards Rdg 26% 21% 24% 13% 39% High Standards Math 53% 55% 56% 38% 67% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 42% 38% 45% 38% 54% Lrng Gains-Math 71% 70% 73% 72% 73%

		Educational Leadership			Gains-Rdg-25% 54% 41% 54% 47% 53% Gains-Math-25% 74% 71% 77% 78% 73%
Assis Principal	Antonietta M. DiGirolamo	Degrees Ed.D. Curriculum and Instruction MS Reading BS Elementary Education Certifications Educational Leadership Reading Elementary Education ESOL Endorsement	1	2	'11 '10 '09 '08 '07 School Grade C D D F F High Standards Rdg 26% 21% 24% 20% 20% High Standards Math 53% 55% 56% 45% 43% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 42% 38% 45% 39% 41% Lrng Gains-Math 71% 70% 73% 62% 63% Gains-Rdg-25% 54% 41% 54% 47% 53% Gains-Math-25% 74% 71% 77% 66% 66%
Assis Principal	Ursula Garbutt	Degrees Ed.D Educational Leadership MS Mathematics Education BS Secondary Education and Mathematics Certifications Educational Leadership Mathematics 6- 12	1	4	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 '07 School Grade N/A N/A N/A N/A D D High Standards Rdg N/A N/A N/A N/A 20% 20% High Standards Math N/A N/A N/A N/A 45% 43% Lrng Gains-Rdg. N/A N/A N/A N/A 39% 41% Lrng Gains-Math N/A N/A N/A N/A 62% 63% Gains-Rdg-25% N/A N/A N/A N/A 47% 53% Gains-Math-25% N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 66% 66%
Assis Principal	Eduardo Diaz	Degrees MS Educational Leadership BS Physical Education K-8 AA Education Certifications Educational Leadership Physical Education K-8 Biology 6-12 Teacher Coordinator of Wok Experience Programs, Endorsement	1	1	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 '07 School Grade P A D NA NA High Standards Rdg 20% 14% 16% NA NA High Standards Math 39% 55% 55% NA NA Lrng Gains-Rdg. 53% 34% 38% NA NA Lrng Gains-Math 66% 65% 77% NA NA Gains-Rdg-25% 68% 46% 45% NA NA Gains-Math-25% 74% 71% 82% NA NA
Principal	Michael Lewis	Degrees MS Educational Leadership BS Criminal Justice Certifications Educational Leadership MS Social Studies School Principal	4	8	'11 '10 '09 '08 '07 School Grade C D D C F High Standards Rdg 26% 21% 24% 20% 33% High Standards Math 53% 55% 56% 45% 37% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 42% 38% 45% 39% 60% Lrng Gains-Math 71% 70% 73% 62% 65% Gains-Rdg-25% 54% 41% 54% 47% 65% Gains-Math-25% 74% 71% 77% 66% 65%

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Paading	Mary J. Glueck, Reading Coach	MS Reading BS Elementary Education Certifications Elementary Education English ESOL Reading	4	6	'11 ' 10 ' 09 '08 '07 '06 School Grade C D D F N/A P High Standards Rdg 26% 21% 24% 20% 6% High Standards Math 53% 55% 56% 45% 29% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 42% 38% 45% 39% 56% Lrng Gains-Math 71% 70% 73% 62% 69% Gains-Rdg-25% 54% 41% 54% 47% 56% Gains-Math-25% 74% 71% 77% 66% N/A
					'12 '11 ' 10 ' 09 '08 '07

Reading	Yvonne Martinez, Reading Coach	Degrees BA Elementary Education MS Reading K-12 Certifications ESOL	1	4	School Grade P B B A B C High Standards Rdg 62% 61% 58% 54% 52% 49% High Standards Math 60% 85% 86% 84 % 81% 77% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 62% 57% 57% 57% 55% 52% Lrng Gains-Math 55% 78% 80% 76% 78% 73% Gains-Rdg-25% 62% 53% 48% 52% 48% 45% Gains-Math-25% 64% 68% 73% 68% 72% 59%
Reading	Matasha Mondy, Reading Coach	Degrees MA Elem Reading BA Elementary Education Certifications Elementary Education	1	2	'11 '10 '09 '08 '07 '06 School Grade C D D F F C High Standards Rdg 26% 21% 24% 20% 30% 31% High Standards Math 53% 55% 56% 45% 34% 58% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 42% 38% 45% 39% 56% 56% Lrng Gains-Math 71% 70% 73% 62% 63% 76% Gains-Rdg-25% 54% 41% 54% 47% 70% 63% Gains-Math-25% 74% 71% 77% 66% 71% N/A
Mathematics	Andrew Harris III, Math Coach	Degrees MS Elementary Education BS Computer Science Certification Elementary Education MS Math	4	4	'11 '10 '09 '08 '07 '06 School Grade C D D F P P High Standards Rdg 26% 21% 24% 20% 0% 5% High Standards Math 53% 55% 56% 45% 3% 9% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 42% 38% 45% 39% 35% 55% Lrng Gains-Math 71% 70% 73% 62% 43% 55% Gains-Rdg-25% 54% 41% 54% 47% 40% 65% Gains-Math-25% 74% 71% 77% 66% 50% N/A
Mathematics	Rush Lissade, Tiya A. , Math Coach	MA Elem Reading BA Elementary Education Certifications Elementary Education	2	3	'11 '10 '09 '08 '07 '06 School Grade C D D F F C High Standards Rdg 26% 21% 24% 20% 30% 31% High Standards Math 53% 55% 56% 45% 34% 58% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 42% 38% 45% 39% 56% 56% Lrng Gains-Math 71% 70% 73% 62% 63% 76% Gains-Rdg-25% 54% 41% 54% 47% 70% 63% Gains-Math-25% 74% 71% 77% 66% 71% N/A
Science	Afreen Gandhi, Science Coach	Degree MS English Literature BA Education, Science, Teaching of English BS Micro- Biology, Zoology, Chemistry Certification Biology	1	1	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 '07 School Grade P D D D C NA High Standards Rdg 27% 44% 44% 36% 37% NA High Standards Math 26% 39% 36% 34% 35% NA Lrng Gains-Rdg. 49% 55% 55% 49% 62% NA Lrng Gains-Math 52% 61% 57% 54% 62% NA Gains-Rdg-25% 59% 66% 64% 68% 77% NA Gains-Math-25% 60% 65% 68% 61% 75% NA
English Language Learners (ELL)	Cassandra Jean-Pierre, ELL Coach	Degree Ed.S Curriculum/ Instruction & Reading M.A. English Education & ESOL BA English Certification English 6-12; Reading K-12 Elementary K-6 ESOL K-12	1	1	'12 '11 '10 '09 '08 '07 School Grade P B B C C C High Standards Rdg 47% 43% 48% 43% 43% 39% High Standards Math 76% 77% 80% 79% 74% 67% Lrng Gains-Rdg. 61% 47% 52% 52% 52% 54% Lrng Gains-Math 72% 77% 75% 75% 75% 73% Gains-Rdg-25% 75% 46% 47% 52% 52% 61% Gains-Math-25% 74% 68% 63% 67% 67% 73%

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Facilitate regular meetings with new teachers, administrative team members, lead teachers, department chairpersons, and other key staff members.		On-going	
2	Partnering of new teachers with veteran staff	Administrative Team	On-going	
3	Job fairs and District sponsored recruiting events	Administrative Team	On-going	
4	Soliciting referrals from trusted sources as instructional staff openings occur	Administrative Team	On-going	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
Teaching out-of-field: 86 (55.12%) Not Highly Effective: 68 (43.6%)	Provide one-to-one assistance to teacher in becoming Highly Qualified in Assigned Area.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers		% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
156	11.5%(18)	21.2%(33)	42.9%(67)	24.4%(38)	44.2%(69)	56.4%(88)	14.1%(22)	0.6%(1)	21.2%(33)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Mary Glueck	Jeffrey Pierce	Mr. Jeffrey Pierce is a First year teacher in need of guidance and mentoring. Ms. Mary Glueck's students have shown improvement in reading and achievement as evidenced by FCAT reading learning gains and by scoring at high performance levels.	The mentor and mentee are meeting at least twice per week in common planning to discuss evidence-based strategies for identified reporting categories. The mentor is given release time to observe the mentee. Time is provided supportive of all feedback, coaching and planning sessions.
		Ms. Annette Quintero is a	

Brenda Lewis	Annette Quintero	First year teacher in need of guidance and mentoring. Ms. Brenda Lewis' students have shown improvement in reading and achievement as evidenced by FCAT reading learning gains and by scoring at high performance levels.	The mentor and mentee are meeting at least twice per week in common planning to discuss evidence-based strategies for identified reporting categories. The mentor is given release time to observe the mentee. Time is provided supportive of all feedback, coaching and planning sessions.
Audrey Golaub	Alejandro Chaviano	Mr. Alejandro Chaviano is a First year teacher in need of guidance and mentoring. Ms. Audrey Goulab's students have shown improvement in reading and achievement as evidenced by FCAT reading learning gains and by scoring at high performance levels.	The mentor and mentee are meeting at least twice per week in common planning to discuss evidence-based strategies for identified reporting categories. The mentor is given release time to observe the mentee. Time is provided supportive of all feedback, coaching and planning sessions.
Afreen Gandhi	Natania Widensky	Ms. Natania Widensky is a First year teacher in need of guidance and mentoring. Ms. Afreen Gandhi's students have shown improvement in reading and achievement as evidenced by FCAT reading learning gains and by scoring at high performance levels.	The mentor and mentee are meeting at least twice per week in common planning to discuss evidence-based strategies for identified reporting categories. The mentor is given release time to observe the mentee. Time is provided supportive of all feedback, coaching and planning sessions.
Matasha Mondy	Rose Weintraub	Ms. Rose Weintraub is a First year teacher in need of guidance and mentoring. Ms. Matasha Mondy's students have shown improvement in reading and achievement as evidenced by FCAT reading learning gains and by scoring at high performance levels.	The mentor and mentee are meeting at least twice per week in common planning to discuss evidence-based strategies for identified reporting categories. The mentor is given release time to observe the mentee. Time is provided supportive of all feedback, coaching and planning sessions.

Andrew Harris	Erica Rivera	Ms. Erica Rivera is a First year teacher in need of guidance and mentoring. Mr. Andrew Harris's students have shown improvement in mathematics as evidenced by FCAT Math learning gains and by scoring at high performance levels.	The mentor and mentee are meeting at least twice per week in common planning to discuss evidence-based strategies for identified reporting categories. The mentor is given release time to observe the mentee. Time is provided supportive of all feedback, coaching and planning sessions.
Andrew Harris	Hadassa Levenson	Ms. Hadassa Levenson is a First year teacher in need of guidance and mentoring. Mr. Andrew Harris's students have shown improvement in mathematics as evidenced by FCAT Math learning gains and by scoring at high performance levels.	The mentor and mentee are meeting at least twice per week in common planning to discuss evidence-based strategies for identified reporting categories. The mentor is given release time to observe the mentee. Time is provided supportive of all feedback, coaching and planning sessions.
Tiya Rush-Lissade	Frank Torres	Mr. Frank Torres is a First year teacher in need of guidance and mentoring. Ms. Tiya Rush- Lissade's students have shown improvement in mathematics as evidenced by FCAT Math learning gains and by scoring at high performance levels.	The mentor and mentee are meeting at least twice per week in common planning to discuss evidence-based strategies for identified reporting categories. The mentor is given release time to observe the mentee. Time is provided supportive of all feedback, coaching and planning sessions.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I support services are provided at NMSH to ensure all students requiring additional remediation are assisted through after/during/before-school programs, Saturday Academy, and any other intervention program as needed. NMSHS will coordinate with the District Title I office in ensuring staff development needs are adequately addressed. Support services are provided to all students assigned to NMSHS. NMSHS's Response to Instruction/Intervention (RTI) leadership team will develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/programs; identify and analyzed existing literature on scientifically based

curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. The RTI leadership team will also identify systematic patterns of student need while working with District personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assist with whole school screening programs, provide intervening services for children to be considered "at risk;" assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection and data analysis; participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Other components are integrated into NMSHS's school wide program include an extensive Parental Program; Saturday Academy; and special support services to special needs populations such as homeless, migrant, and neglected and delinquent youths through our student services department.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

NMSH will provide services and support to migrant students and parents as needed. Should the need arise, NMSH will enlist the assistance of the District Migrant liaison in order to further coordinate with Title 1 and other programs in order to make sure adequate services are rendered in order to ensure the unique needs of all migrant students are met.

Title I, Part D

NMSHS will provide services and support to students and parents in need of educational alternative outreach services. Should the need arise, NMSHS will enlist the assistance of the District Drop-out Prevention office in order to further coordinate with Title I, Part D and other programs. Every effort will be made to accurately identify all at-risk students, assess at-risk student needs, design and provide individualized services, and evaluate if the unique needs of the at-risk student are met.

Title II

Through the assistance of the District, NMSHS uses supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows: (1)training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program, (2) training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL, (3) training and substitute release time for Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) at each school focusing on Professional Learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, and (4) individual Lesson Study Group (OLLSG) implementation and protocols.

Title III

Title III funds are used at NMSHS to supplement and enhance the programs for English Language Learners (ELL) and other immigrant students by providing funds to implement and/or provide: (1)tutorial programs, (2)parent outreach activities, (3) professional development on best practices for ELL students and content area teachers, (4) coaching and mentoring for developmental language and content area teachers of ELL students, (5)ELL student participation in the citizenship mentoring/acculturation program provided by the Close Up for New Americans Program, (5) Reading and supplementary instructional materials, and (6) hardware and software for the development of language and literacy skills in mathematics, reading, science, and writing will be used by ELL students.

Title X- Homeless

NMSH will enlist the assistance of the District Homeless Social Worker as needed in order to secure resources (clothing, school supplies, social services referrals) for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

NMSH receives funding from Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI) as part of its Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) allocation.

Violence Prevention Programs

NMSH offers a non-violence and anti-drug program to our students by the Police Athletic League (PAL); this program incorporates field trips, community service, and counseling as needed.

Nutrition Programs

NMSH adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements stated in the District's Wellness Policy. Nutrition education, as per state statute, is taught through physical education at NMSH. The School Food Service program at NMSHS, school breakfast, school lunch, and after care snacks, follows the Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the District's Wellness Policy.

Housing Programs

NMSH seeks to ensure a successful educational experience for all registered homeless children by collaborating with parents, schools, and the community at large as required by the Homeless Assistance Program. Through the assistance of Project Upstart, Homeless Children & Youth Program NMSHS assists with the appropriate identification, enrollment, attendance, and transportation of homeless students to and from NMSH. The school registrar fully adheres to the District's policy on the enrollment of homeless students. Moreover, school counselors also adhere to the McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance Act by ensuring all registered homeless children are provided with all of the entitlements necessary so they are able to access a free and appropriate education. Lastly, through the assistance of Project Upstart, NMSHS supports a homeless sensitivity and

awareness campaign throughout the school.

Head Start

Not applicable

Adult Education

High School completion courses are available to all eligible students in the evening though the North Miami Senior High School Community School program and based on recommendation. Courses can be taken for credit recovery, promotion, remediation, and/or grade forgiveness purposes.

Career and Technical Education

By promoting career pathways and career and technical education programs of study at NMSHS through our wall-to-wall academy conversion effort, students will become vocational program completers. The intent of career and technical course offering is to help students develop a better understanding and appreciation of all postsecondary opportunities available within and beyond NMSHS. Within the Small Learning Communities ((SLC) context, students will acquire the skills necessary to take advantage of the provided career and technical opportunities beyond the school walls. Articulation agreements supported through our educational offerings will enable our students to earn college and postsecondary technical credits while in high school. Our aim, therefore, is to provide more opportunities for our students to complete two and four-year postsecondary degrees upon graduation from NMSHS. Through our expansive career and technical education, course offerings we aim to have our students gain an understanding of business and industry workforce requirements by acquiring Ready to Work and industry certifications. Additional CTE programs currently in place at NMSH include EKG, Health Science, Multi-media and Dreamweaver. Readiness for postsecondary pursuits strengthens the integration of academic and career technical components and a coherent sequence of courses at NMSHS.

Job Training

Partnerships with community based public and private (for-profit and not-for-profit entities will provide students at NMSHS with job skills based programs allowing students the opportunity to learn how to create a resume, dress for success, and perform well during a job interview. During the 2012-13 academic year, NMSHS will offer the following job skills based programs in order to further develop student employability skills: Cooperative Diversified Education (CDE), Diversified Career Technology for the Handicapped (DCT-H), Career Experience opportunity Program (CEO), Project Victory, Internships for Trainable Mentally Handicapped students at the Vocational Administration Hospital, Easter Seal Organization, National Academy Foundation, and Florida Workforce Development.

Other

Parental

Involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extend an open invitation to our school's parent resource center or parent area in order to inform parents regarding available programs, their right under No Child Left Behind and other referral services.

Increase parental engagement/involvement though developing (with on-going parental input) our school's Title I School-Parent Compact; our school's Title I Parental Involvement Plan; scheduling the Title I Annual Meeting; and other documents/activities necessary in order to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements.

Conduct informal parent surveys to determine specific needs of our parents, and schedule workshops, Parent Academy Courses, etc., with flexible times to accommodate our parent's schedules. This impacts our goal to empower parents and build their capacity for involvement.

Complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly School Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913/03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118. Additionally, the M-DCPS Title I Parent/Family Survey, distributed to schools by Title I Administration, is to be completed by parents/families annually in May. The Survey's results are to be used to assist with revising our Title I parental documents for the approaching school year.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data based decision-making, ensures school-based team is implementing RTI, assesses RTI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation ensures adequate professional development to support RTI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RTI plans and activities.

Vice-Principal: Directs the execution of the Principal's vision for the use of data based decision-making, ensures school-based team is implementing RTI, assesses RTI skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and

documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support RTI implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based RTI plans and activities.

Select General Education Teachers (Primary and Intermediate): Provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate Tier 1 materials/.instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Select Students with Disabilities (SWD) Teachers: Participate in student data collection, integrate core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborate with general education teachers through such activities as coteaching.

Special Teachers of English Language Learners (ELL) Teachers: Participate in student data collection, integrate core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborate with general education teachers through such activities as co-teaching.

Instructional Coaches in the Areas of Mathematics, Reading, Science, and Writing: Develop, lead, and evaluate school core content standards/programs; identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. Identify systematic patterns of student need while working with District personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assist with whole school screening programs, provide early intervening services for students to be considered "at risk;" assist in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection analysis; participate in the design and delivery of professional development; and provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Reading Coaches: Provide guidance on the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan; facilitate and support data collection activities; assist in data analysis; provide professional development and technical assistance to teachers regarding data based instructional planning; support the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans.

- Tier 1: All students are provided Tier 1 services through their Intensive Reading courses, which consist of research-based curricula. All students are screened at Tier 1 to determine if they are responding appropriately to instruction before they experience any significant failure in comparison to their grade level peers.
- Tier 2 (early intervening services): Tier 2 services consist of increasing the time and intensity of students' exposure to core curricula for students who do not appear to be responding appropriately to Tier 1 instruction. For instance, an additional 35 minutes per day will be devoted to small group, data driven with a focus on ameliorating areas of deficiency. Adjustments will be made within Tier 2 to increase time on task(s) and/or decrease student/teacher ratios, accordingly. Regular progress monitoring and charting will be required for all students receiving Tier 2 interventions.
- Tier 3 (intensive intervention services): Tier 3 services include students within our lower quartile in the area of reading, inclusive of ELL and SWD students who have been found eligible for curricular accommodations, modifications, and related services. Tier 3 intensive intervention services will allow exposure to remedial methods and practices that, although research-based and aligned with the content of the core curriculum, are not necessarily parts of our core curricula. The FCIM cycle of progress monitoring and adjustment of interventions will continue, even if determinations for ELL and SWD services are made. In this model, RTI, HLAP, and special education services are independent yet collaborative and share a common mission for our Tier 3 students—to improve outcomes for all children.

School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data; facilitates development of intervention plans; provides support for intervention fidelity and documentation; provides professional development and technical assistance for problem-solving activities including data collection, data analysis, intervention planning, and program evaluation; facilitates data based decision-making activities.

Technology Specialist: Develops or brokers technology solutions necessary to manage and display data; provides professional development and technical support to teachers and staff regarding data management and display.

Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction, as a basis for appropriate program design; assists in the selection of screening measures; and helps identify systemic patterns of student need with respect to language skills

Student Services Personnel: Provide quality services and expertise on issues ranging from program design to assessment and intervention with individual students. In addition to providing interventions, school social workers continue to link child serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

NMSHS's RTI Leadership Team focuses their efforts on the development and maintenance of a problem solving system to bring out the best in every member of NMSHS's learning community. NMSHS's RTI Leadership Team meets bi-monthly to engage in the following activities: (1) review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions, and (2) review progress monitoring data at all grade levels and classrooms to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on their analyses NMSHS's, RTI Leadership Team identifies professional development and resources and deploys them in order to address all areas of need. The RTI Leadership Team also collaborates on a regular basis, problem solves, shares effective practices, evaluates implementation, makes decisions, and practices new processes and skills. The team also facilitates the processes of building consensus, increasing

infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RTI Leadership Team met with NMSHS's Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC), principal, and vice-principal in order to develop the 2012-13 School Improvement Plan. The team provided data on: Tier 1, 2, and 3 targets; academic and social/emotional areas in need of amelioration; helped set clear expectations for instruction (Rigor, Relevance, Relationship); facilitated the development of a systemic approach to teaching (Gradual Release, Essential Questions, Activating Strategies, Teaching Strategies, Extending, Refining, and Summarizing); and aligned processes and procedures.

-MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Baseline Assessments, Comprehensive English Language Assessment (CELLA) results, Florida Oral Reading Fluency Exam (FORF), Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Jamestown Navigator NWEA assessment, Measurement Incorporated Writing Data, Reading Plus Placement Test Data, and Achieve 3000/Teen Biz Placement Assessment Report.

Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Interim Assessments, Florida Oral Reading Fluency Exam (FORF), Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), Jamestown Navigator Program TREK Assessments, Hampton Brown Edge Program Assessments, School wide Writing Prompts, Reading Plus Program Reports, Achieve 3000/Teen Biz Data Reports, and Monthly Benchmark Weekly Assessments.

Midyear: District Interim Assessments, Florida Oral Reading Fluency Exam (FORF), Measurement Incorporated Mid-Year Writing Assessment, and Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)—AP2.

End of year: Florida Alternative Assessment, Winter Interim Assessments, Comprehensive English Language Assessment (CELLA), FCAT, Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), and District Writing Post-Test.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development will be provided during teacher planning time and small sessions will also occur throughout the 2012-13 academic year; similar training sessions will occur during planning days, and professional development days. Two PD sessions entitled "RTI: Problem Solving Model: Building Consensus Implementing and Sustaining Problem-Solving/RTI" and "RTI: Challenges to Implementation data-based decision-making, and Supporting and Evaluating Interventions" will take place during the 2012-13 academic year. Also, teachers will have an opportunity to participate in professional development opportunities advertised by the district and partnering postsecondary education institutions.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The MTSS will be supported by the Principal and the Administrative team. Administrators will participate in meetings in order to facilitate the process of analyzing data and evaluation of teacher performance. Instructional Coaches who are proficient in the use of data management systems will support MTSS by providing necessary resources by respective departments.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal: Michael A. Lewis

Vice-Principal: April Thompson-Williams Assistant Principal: Daryl Branton

Assistant Principal: Antonietta M. DiGirolamo

Assistant Principal: Ursula Garbutt Assistant Principal: Eduardo Diaz EESAC Chair: Lauren Zelniker

General Education Teacher: Brenda P. Lewis SPED Department Chair: Betty Vanrees

Reading Coach: Mary K. Glueck Reading Coach: Matasha Mondy ELL Coach: Cassandra Jean-Pierre Math Coach: Ms Rush-Lissad

Test Chairperson: Kim Coomansingh-Coard

Science Coach: Afreen Gandhi

School Psychologist: Dr. Joseph Laforest

Principal: Is the instructional leader who aligns the school's culture and vision with the state focus on literacy achievement. The principal will cultivate the vision for increased school-wide literacy across all content areas by leading LLT meetings and activities. Our Principal guides the development of our School Literacy Plan and possesses content expertise in literacy as well as an understanding of the process of managing organizational change. The Principal articulates literacy as a priority and engages in meaningful and monthly dialogue with the LLT about the school's literacy practices and plans. The Principal allocates and aligns the resources to support the plans and initiatives spearheaded by the LLT team. The Principal promotes the LLT as an integral part of the school literacy reform to promote a culture of literacy by: (1) including representation from all curricular areas on the LLT, (2) selecting team members who are skilled and committed to improving literacy, (3) offering professional growth opportunities for team members, (4) creating a collaborative environment that fosters sharing and learning, (5) developing a school wide organizational model that supports literacy instruction in all classes, and (6) encouraging the use of data to improve teaching and student achievement.

Vice and Assistant Principals provide in depth knowledge and expertise to support school and teachers in the implementation of the school wide literacy initiatives. Collectively they coordinate the development and deployment of resources and accountability processes supportive of school wide literacy initiatives. The Vice and Assistant Principals support academic departments directly as assigned by the Principal. The Vice and Assistant Principals possess advanced knowledge and skills in the content of literacy, design of professional learning, use of data for decision-making, coaching and management of change.

School Literacy Coaches provide direct support to teachers in the implementation of the state-wide literacy and instructional strategies. They facilitate processes such as the examination of student work and use of data in instructional decision-making. They possess advanced expertise in the content of literacy, coaching and facilitation skills. School Literacy Coaches will share their expertise in instruction, assessment and observational data to assist the team in making instructional and programmatic decisions. School Literacy Coaches will work with the LLT to guarantee fidelity of implementation of State, District, and Regional literacy plans. The School Literacy Coaches will provide motivation and promote a spirit of collaboration within the LLT to create a school-wide focus on literacy and academic achievement by establishing model classrooms; conferencing with teachers and administrators; and providing professional development.

Additional members of the LLT share leadership in the development and implementation of the school literacy plan. Collectively, they apply the knowledge and skills necessary for the development of effective literacy plans, and the implementation and the content of literacy approaches.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

NMSHS's LLT Leadership Team focuses their efforts on the development and maintenance of a problem solving system to bring out the best in every member of NMSHS's learning community. NMSHS's LLT Leadership Team meets once a month to engage in the following activities: (1) review of universal screening data and link to instructional decisions, and (2) review progress monitoring data at all grade levels and classrooms to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on their analyses NMSHS's, LLT Leadership Team identifies professional development and resources and deploys them in order to address all areas of need. The LLT Leadership Team also collaborates on a regular basis, problem solves, shares effective practices, evaluates implementation, makes decisions, and practices new processes and skills. The team also facilitates the processes of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decisions about implementation.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

THINKING MAPS. Fifty percent or more of the teachers at NMSHS will model and use grade level appropriate Thinking Maps/graphic organizers in daily instruction so students can organize and comprehend concepts taught and retell using story elements. To accomplish this objective, instructional staff will receive training and support in implementation of Thinking Maps throughout the school year. Additional resource manuals will be purchased to ensure that all staff members have the necessary materials to incorporate the use of the Thinking Map strategies throughout the curriculum. Professional Learning Communities will work together to match Thinking Maps to the reading anthology series at each grade level. Students will be able to interpret, create, and use Thinking Maps/graphic organizers and charts to further comprehension skills in reading and writing across all curricular areas. Additionally, teachers will teach and model the use of graphic organizers and thinking maps as prewriting tools across the curriculum. This will allow students to use graphic organizers and thinking maps as a prewriting tool in all content areas for writing rigorous writing reflections.

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/21/2012)

*Elementary Title | Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Not Applicable

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

All teachers at NMSHS will emphasize the relationships among vocabulary, word structures, origins, and meanings when teaching content in all academic courses. Students will read texts and comprehend what they are reading in all core and noncore academic subject areas. All teachers at NMSHS will use reading strategies to improve their students' reading comprehension. All teachers will help their students further develop the knowledge and reading skills necessary to identify the main idea and author's purpose of a passage, comparing/contrasting between ideas and concepts, identifying causes and their related effects, predicting outcomes, summarizing, questioning, and visualizing ideas and concepts from texts. All teachers at NMSHS will give students frequent opportunities to write about what they are reading. All teachers at NMSHS will emphasize the relationships among vocabulary, word structures, origins, and meanings when teaching content in all academic courses. Students will read texts and comprehend what they are reading in all core and non-core academic subject areas. All teachers at NMSHS will use active reading strategies and pre, during, and post-reading strategies to improve their students' reading comprehension. All teachers will help their students further develop the knowledge and reading skills necessary to identify the main idea and author's purpose of a passage, comparing/contrasting between ideas and concepts, identifying causes and their related effects, predicting outcomes, summarizing, questioning, and visualizing ideas and concepts from texts. All teachers at NMSHS will give students frequent opportunities to write about what they are reading. Students will be provided many opportunities to read fiction and nonfiction independently and with their peers. The Reading Coaches will review the FCAT "Item Specifications", as well as Common Core publications from the Florida Department of Education (FLDOE) to develop the teachers' pedagogical expertise in the area of reading as it relates to the FCAT. Instructional Focus Calendars (IFCs) will also be used in social studies and non-core content areas that will be aligned with all reading foci delineated in our school wide reading benchmark department adoption plan.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

NMSHS offers students elective courses supportive of our academy conversion effort in the areas of engineering, business, museum studies, health science, international studies, and the humanities. Many of these courses of study will focus on job skills and offer students internships and other culminating activities. A daily focus of the school is for teachers to ensure instruction remains relevant with real world exercises built into all curriculum areas. Teachers are also provided reading materials, writing prompts, and "bell ringer" activities based on current events in order to further increase class rigor and relevance and to increase student awareness of global issues while increasing literacy.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Every year, after FCAT testing, students and parents participate in an academy fair. Our annual academy fair exposes all members of our learning community to next year's curricular offerings. The aim is to inform all students of the school's academies and course offerings so all students can make a free and informed choice of the academy they wish to participate in for the forthcoming academic year. Prior to the academy fair, lead teachers engage in an academy promotion effort and marketing blitz. NMSHS's academy marketing campaign aims to engage the community at large about the school's curricular offerings. After the course selection fair, students meet one-on-one with their counselors and academy Lead Teachers to decide what classes will be taken. Parents are invited to these meetings and final course selection is sent home for parental signatures. The major area of interest through the selection of an academy curriculum is entered in the ePersonal Education Planner (ePEP) on the FLDOE web site. A student can track their progression to accomplishing the selected course of study through the ePEP.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

Through the assistance of NMSHS's administrative team and the student services department, teachers implement lessons, and instructional methodologies that focus on improving the personal effectiveness, planning for life after high school, surviving after high school and succeeding in post-secondary academic institutions. In order to disseminate this information, the District designed the Tools for Success curriculum. This postsecondary transition program consists of lesson plans and activities developed to address issues and competencies influencing student transition. These strategies focus on educational achievement, personal/social development, career, and health/community awareness that support student success.

Furthermore, all eleventh grade students are enrolled in a Critical Thinking class with focused instruction in PERT/ACT preparation and the College Summit curriculum. Similarly, twelfth grade students are enrolled in Critical Thinking with focused instruction in SAT preparation and the College Summit curriculum.

Postsecondary transitional planning occurs at NMSHS primarily through consultation with our students' guidance counselors. Several parent information nights are held throughout the academic year in order to educate parents about post-secondary options, as well. Exceptional students in pursuit of a special diploma take a career preparation course during which various post-secondary options are explored. A transition specialist and counselor from Vocational Rehabilitation address the needs of all graduating disabled students. ASVAB and PERT testing will be conducted; college site visits, job shadowing and other academic and career planning activities take place across the school in order to support our Postsecondary transition plan.

The ACT Online Prep Program, funded by the Title I Program, will be made available to all students. This will allow students the opportunity to receive individualized feedback and instructions in preparation for post-secondary educational options.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Discovery Learning

use of Discovery Learning

Administrative classroom District Interim

Reading Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

* Wh	en using percentages, include	the number of students the p	percentage represents	s (e.g., 70% (35)).			
	ed on the analysis of studen		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and	define areas in nee		
reac	FCAT2.0: Students scorin ding. ding Goal #1a:	g at Achievement Level (that 16% (205) level three prof school year is t	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading 2.0 test indicate that 16% (205) of students in grades 9 and 10 achieved a level three proficiency rating. Our goal for the 2012-13 school year is to increase the number of level three students who are proficient by eight percentage points to 24%(305)			
201	2 Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
16%	(205)		24% (305)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	corrective and timely feedback on written assignments.	1A.1. Action Step #1: Conduct Professional Development on best practices for providing explicit, corrective feedback. Action Step #2: Continue to support professional development during common planning addressing explicit, corrective feedback. One assignment per week will be selected to provide explicit corrective feedback during Common Planning. Action Step #3: Implement a corrective feedback form that includes student reflections and share as a best practice during common planning.	Administrators	1A.1. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback	1A.1. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment		
2	1A.2 There is a lack of academic vocabulary in students' written work.	1A.2 Action Step #1- Teachers will develop a student generated interactive word wall Action Step #2 Teachers will require students to use academic words in academic conversations and written assignments.	1A.2 Coaches and Administrators	1A.2 Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback Lesson Plans Student Folders	1A.2 Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment		
	1A.3. Inconsistent and ineffective use of Discovery Learning	1A.3. Action Step #1: Increase and monitor the effective use of Discovery Learning	Administrators	1A.3. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom	1A3. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim		

3		in core content honors, AP, and Pre-IB (language arts and social studies) to activate or build prior knowledge and make connections to the learning objectives and develop through common planning and the Lesson Study Process.		walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback	assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
4	1A.4. Teachers' inability to consistently pose high complexity questions during classroom instruction.	1A.4. Action Step #1: Implement and monitor school-wide strategies in core content honors, AP, and Pre-IB (language arts and social studies) Action Step #2: Allow teachers along with their instructional coaches to create higher order questions during common planning that can be included in their lessons and be utilized during whole group, and DI. Action Step #3: Develop observational classrooms that demonstrate high	Chair Social Studies Chair	1A.4. Reading Coaches Logs/Reflections Common Planning Agenda Administrative Walkthroughs Feedback from ETO IS site visits	1A.4. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Leadership Meetings Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
5	1A.5. Lack of high complexity reading materials.	levels of rigor. Conduct Lesson Study 1A.5. Action Step #1: Include grade level or higher informational text that will include but not limited to: (class novels, classroom library novels, periodicals, USA Today, and Accelerated Reader books) that will incorporate rigorous writing reflections and provide rewards through Positive Behavior Support (PBS) to increase independent reading in core content honors AP, and Pre-IB (language arts and social studies).		1A.5. Reading Coaches Logs/Reflections Administrative Walkthroughs Student Reflection Journals Lesson Plans Student folders Feedback from ETO IS site visits	1A.5. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
6	1A.6. Inconsistent delivery of direct, explicit rigorous instruction in whole group and D.I	Action Step #2: Utilize nonfiction articles at higher Lexile levels during instruction. 1A.6. Action Step #1: Implement and monitor the ETO Instructional Frameworks in core content honors AP, and Pre-IB (language arts and social studies) that follow the model of explicit instruction and the effective use of small group instruction and develop through common planning, active coaching and the Lesson Study process. Action Step #2: Utilize and monitor common	1A.6. Reading Coaches NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair Social Studies Chair	11A.6. Reading Coaches Logs/Reflections Administrative Walkthroughs Lesson Plans Student Folders Success of Lesson Study	1A.6. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment

Freshman Experience, Writing and Social	planning to encourage comprehensive lesson planning, rigor in assignments, higher order questioning, explicit instruction and lesson study in English,		
Writing and Social			
Studios	Writing and Social Studies.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	The results of the 2011-2012 FAA Reading test indicate that 34% (13) of students in grades 9 and 10 earned a proficiency rating level Emergent (1,2,3). Our goal for the 2012-13 school year is to increase the number of students scoring a level of Achieved (4,5,6) on the FAA by five percent points to 39% (15)
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
34% (13)	39% (15)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1B.1 Inconsistent delivery of direct, explicit, and rigorous instruction and lack of differentiated instruction.	1B.1. Action Step #1: Implement and monitor an Instructional Frameworks in Special Diploma classes that provides explicit instruction and the effective use of centers and small group instruction and which will be developed through department planning's and active coaching from the SPED Coach. Action Step #2: Utilize and monitor department planning to encourage comprehensive lesson planning, scaffolding, and explicit instruction. Action Step #3: Develop observational (model) classrooms that demonstrate differentiated instruction and effective uses of rigor using manipulative and supplemental material to teach Access Points.	·	1B.1. Administrative Walkthrough's Lesson Plans Feedback from ETO site visits	1B.1. Student portfolio/folders IEP Progress Reports (SPED EMS) Florida Alternate Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.

The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading test indicate that 12% (158) of students achieved levels 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to

D 11 C 1 // C -	increase level 4 and 5 students' proficiency by four percentage point to 16% (203)
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
12% (158)	16% (203)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2A.1. There is a lack of clarity, specificity and rigor in the EQs and HOQs.	2A.1. Action Step #1: Promote the use of FCAT 2.0 test item specifications and question task cards to create EQ's and HOQ's during common planning. Action Step #2: Implement the use of peer review during common planning to revise and refine EQs and HOQs. Action Step #3: Implement a system by creating 3-5 questions to set a purpose for reading, read-aloud, listening stations, and independent reading etc. Provide individual coaching support in developing EQs and HOQs with teachers as needed. Provide modeling for all teachers	2A.1. Reading Coaches NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair Social Studies Chair	2A.1. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback Lesson Plans Student folders	2A.1. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
2	2A.2 There is a lack of high level student generated work.	2A.2 Action Step #1, Teachers will utilize research based strategies to generated rigorous assignments. Action Step #2. Teachers will receive professional development in Common Core strategies. Action Step # 3 Teachers will conduct Lesson Study.	2A.2 Reading Coaches NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair Social Studies Chair	2A.2. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback Lesson Plans Student folders	2A.2. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment

ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in neec f improvement for the following group:			
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:	The results of the 2011-2012 FAA Reading test indicate that 16% (6)) of students in grades 9 and 10 earned a proficiency rating level Emergent or Achieved (6 and below). Our goal for the 2012-13 school year is to increase the number of students scoring a level of Commended (7 and above) on the FAA by three percent to 19% (7).		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2B.1. Inconsistent and ineffective use of Unique Learning and supplemental materials to teach SSS Access Points to increase rigor.		SPED Coach SPED Program Specialist SPED Department Chair Teachers Paraprofessionals	2B.1. Administrative Walkthrough's Lesson Plans Feedback from ETO site visits	2B.1. Student portfolio/folders IEP Progress Reports (SPED EMS) Florida Alternate Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	Increase the percentage of students making learning gains in reading.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
N/A	N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

L					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.
	There is an inconsistent	Action Step #1: Promote			Formative: ETO
	use of high level	the use of FCAT 2.0 test		9	Monthly and
	questions that direct	The second secon			District Interim
	student academic	question task cards to		walkthroughs	assessments.
	conversations.	create EQ's and HOQ's	Language Arts		
		during common planning.	Chair		Summative: 2013
					FCAT Reading 2.0
		Action Step #2:	Social Studies	Lesson Plans	Assessment
		Implement the use of	Chair		

1		peer review during common planning to revise and refine EQs and HOQs. Action Step #3: Implement a system by creating 3-5 questions to set a purpose for reading, read-aloud, listening stations, and independent reading etc. Provide individual coaching support in developing EQs and HOQs with teachers as needed. Provide modeling for all teachers.		Student folders	
2	3A.2. There is a lack of opportunities for students to reflect on explicit, corrective feedback on written assignments.	3A.2. Action Step #1: Conduct Professional Development on best practices for providing explicit, corrective feedback. Action Step #2: Continue to support professional development during common planning addressing explicit, corrective feedback. Action Step #3: Implement a corrective feedback form that includes student reflections and share as a best practice during common planning.	3A.2. Reading Coaches NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair Social Studies Chair	3A.2. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs Student Folders ETO IS Feedback	3A.2. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
3	3A.3. Inconsistent delivery of direct, explicit rigorous instruction in whole group and D.I.	Frameworks in core	3A.3 Reading Coaches NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair Social Studies Chair	3A.3. Reading Coaches Logs/Reflections Administrative Walkthroughs Lesson Plans Student Folders Success of Lesson Study	3A.3. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.

The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading test indicate that 32% (10) of the students made learning gains. For the 2012-2013 administration of the FAA our goal is to increase

Reading Goal #3b:	students achieving learning gains by ten percentage points to 42% (13)).	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:	
32% (10)	42% (13)	

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	3B.1. Lack of high complexity reading materials and opportunities to demonstrate higher order thinking skills.	3B.1. Action Step #1: Utilize teacher modeled reading and think alouds to provide positive demonstration of proper fluency, synthesis, and higher order thinking skills. Action Step #2: Expose students to reading material on various levels up to grade level in order to incorporate rigor, higher level thinking, and questioning. Action Step #3: Incorporate various activities such as word walls, centers, journal reflections, etc. This will foster an increase in students independent reading level and understanding of material.	3B.1. Assistant Principal SPED Coach SPED Program Specialist SPED Department Chair Teachers Paraprofessionals	3B.1. Administrative Walkthrough's Lesson Plans Feedback from ETO site visits	3B.1. Student portfolio/folders IEP Progress Reports (SPED EMS) Florida Alternate Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Increase the percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 4A.1. 4A.1. 4A.1. 4A.1. 4A.1. There is an inconsistent Action Step #1: Promote Reading Coaches Reading Coach Formative: ETO use of high level the use of FCAT 2.0 test Logs/Reflections Monthly and

NMHS Admin Team

Language Arts

Administrative classroom

walkthroughs

District Interim

assessments.

item specifications and

question task cards to

create EQ's and HOQ's

questions that direct

student academic

conversations.

		during common planning.	Chair	ETO IS Feedback	Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0
1	Action Step #2: Implement the use of peer review during common planning to revise and refine EQs and HOQs.		Social Studies Chair	Lesson Plans Student folders	Assessment 2.5
		Action Step #3: Implement a system by creating 3-5 questions to set a purpose for reading, read-aloud, listening stations, and independent reading etc. Provide individual coaching support in developing EQs and HOQs with teachers as needed. Provide modeling for all teachers			
2	4A.2. Limited opportunities for students to discuss and analyze data from formal and informal assessments.	4A.2. Action Step #1: Increase the consistent and effective use of student data chats. Action Step #2: Provide professional development for data analysis linked to differentiated instruction.	NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair Social Studies	4A.2. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback	4A.2 Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
3	4A.3. Limited use of data driven instruction to meet the needs of students scoring in the lowest quartile.	4A.3. Action Step #1: Teacher collaboration during common planning to develop targeted DI activities that address student deficiencies Action Step #2 Sharing of Best Practices during common planning Action Step #3: Analyzing student assessments during common planning to find trends and commonalities. Action Step #4: Conduct more professional development in phonics.	4A.3. Reading Coaches NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair Social Studies Chair	Walkthroughs	4A.3. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Leadership Meetings Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment

Based on Amb	oitious but Achi	evable Annual	Measurable Objectiv	es (AMOs), AMO-2,	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # Our goal from 2011-2017 is to reduce the percent of non-proficient students by 50%. 5A:			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
	37%	43%	48%	54%	60%	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making

The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading test indicate that our Black, Hispanic and Asian students are not making

satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5B:	satisfactory progress in reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the proficiency level for each of the subgroups.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
White: 73%(9) Black: 28%(305) Hispanic: 45%(68) Asian: 61%(10)	White: 76%(10) Black: 41%(446) Hispanic: 52%(78) Asian: 67%(11)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	use of different media (visual and quantitative) or develop students' understanding of various topics and issues.	5B.1. Action Step #1: Promote the use of FCAT 2.0 test item specifications and question task cards to create EQ's and HOQ's during common planning. Action Step #2: Implement the use of peer review during common planning to revise and refine EQs and HOQs. Action Step #3: Implement a system by creating 3-5 questions to set a purpose for reading, read-aloud, listening stations, and independent reading etc. Provide individual coaching support in developing EQs and HOQs with teachers as needed. Provide modeling for all teachers.		5B.1. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback Lesson Plans Student folders	5B.1. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
2	opportunities for students to reflect on explicit, corrective feedback on written assignments.	5B.2. Action Step #1: Conduct Professional Development on best practices for providing explicit, corrective feedback. Action Step #2: Continue to support professional development during common planning addressing explicit, corrective feedback. Action Step #4: Implement a corrective feedback form that includes student reflections and share as a best practice during common planning.	NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair	5B.2. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs Student Folders ETO IS Feedback	5B.2. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
	students to read texts of high complexity.	5B.3. Action Step #1: Increase	5B.3. Reading Coaches NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair	5B.3. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback	5B.3. Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0

3	documents. Action Step #2. Increase the use of Accelerated Reader Action Step # 3 Sharing of Best Practices for independent reading Action Step #4 Host Parent Literacy	LLT	Assessment
	Night to increase interest in reading		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading 2.0 test indicate that our English Language Learners students are not making satisfactory progress in reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the proficiency level for these students.					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
11% (34)	22% (68)					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

				I	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	5C.1. Students have limited academic vocabulary.	5C.1. Explicitly teach vocabulary as a part of the daily lesson.	5C.1. RTI Leadership Team	5C.1. Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs	5C.1. Formal and informal assessments and ongoing FAIR data.
		Create interactive word walls that include high frequency words, Tier II and academic vocabulary.			Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
2	5C.2. Students lack phonics and phonemic awareness skills	5C.2. Initial phonics assessment and consistent progress monitoring of phonics skills by Inside Phonics Assessment.	5C.2. RTI Leadership Team	5C.2. Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs	5C.2. Formal and informal assessments and ongoing FAIR data. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0
3	5C.3. Students lack first language literacy skills that impact second language literacy.	5C.3. Implement ESOL framework that includes daily oral language practice with the scripts and visuals provided with Speaking and Listening to the English Language.		conduct classroom walkthroughs	Assessment 5C.3. Formal and informal assessments and ongoing FAIR data. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment
4	5C.4. Students lack first language literacy skills that impact second language literacy.	5C.4. Increase student accountability talk through the use of cooperative learning strategies.	5C.4. RTI Leadership Team	5C.4. Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs	5C.4. Formal and informal assessments and ongoing FAIR data. Summative: 2013
					FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment

	on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
satisi	tudents with Disabilities Factory progress in readi ing Goal #5D:		that our Studer satisfactory pro	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading 2.0 test indicate that our Students with Disabilities are not making satisfactory progress in reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the proficiency level for these students.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
24%	(19)		33% (26)	33% (26)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	5D.1. Students have limited academic vocabulary.	5D1. Explicitly teach vocabulary as a part of the daily lesson. Create interactive word walls that include high frequency words, Tier II and academic vocabulary.	5D.1. RTI Leadership Team	5D.1. Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs	5D.1. Formal and informal assessments and ongoing FAIR data Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment	
2	5D.2. Students lack phonics and phonemic awareness skills.	5D.2. Initial phonics assessment and consistent progress monitoring of phonics skills by Inside Phonics Assessment.	5D.2. RTI Leadership Team	5D.2. Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs	5D.2. Formal and information assessments and ongoing FAIR data Summative: 2013	

1	on the analysis of studen rovement for the following	t achievement data, and results subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
satisfa	onomically Disadvantag actory progress in readi ng Goal #5E:	ged students not making ng.	that our Studen satisfactory pro	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Reading 2.0 test indicate that our Students with Disabilities are not making satisfactory progress in reading. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the proficiency level for these students.		
2012 (Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
31% (329)		42% (445)	42% (445)		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	5E1. Lack of opportunities for students to read texts of high complexity.	5E 1 Action Step #1: Increase students' endurance by using a variety of informational texts (periodicals, nonfiction articles and content related articles, books and primary source	5E.1. Reading Coaches NMHS Admin Team Language Arts Chair Social Studies	5E.1. Reading Coach Logs/Reflections Administrative classroom walkthroughs ETO IS Feedback	5E.1 Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment	

Summative: 2013 FCAT Reading 2.0 Assessment

1		Action Step #2. Increase	LLT			
---	--	--------------------------	-----	--	--	--

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Explicit Instruction	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies	ETO Staff Reading Coaches	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies teachers	Early Release Days, Professional DevelopmentDays, Common Planning	Consistently monitor the use of explicit instruction by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, and student work folders.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison
Accelerated Reader and Expand Classroom Library	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies	ETO Staff Reading Coaches	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies teachers	Early Release Days, Professional DevelopmentDays, Common Planning	Consistently monitor the use of Accelerated Reading by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, Accelerated Reading Logs, Accelerated Reading Reports and student work folders	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison Media Specialist
Differentiated Instruction /Using Data to Drive Instruction	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies	Reading Coaches	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, 9,11,12 Social Studies teachers	Early Release Days, Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Consistently monitor the use of differentiated instruction / using data to drive instruction by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, and student work folders.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison RTI Leadership Team
FAIR/Phonics	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, 9, 11,12	Reading Coaches	9-12 Reading; Language Arts, 9, 11,12 Social Studies teachers	Early Release Days, Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Consistently monitor the use of FAIR data by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, and student work folders.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison
Plugged Into Reading	9, 10 IREN & 12 RETAKERS	ETO Staff Reading Coaches	9, 10 IREN & 12 RETAKE Teachers	Early Release Days, Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Consistently monitor the use of Plugged Into Reading by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, and student work folders.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison

Discovery Learning	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies	ETO Staff Reading Coaches		Early Release Days, Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Consistently monitor the use of differentiated instruction / using data to drive instruction by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, and student work folders.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison
Academic Vocabulary in writing assignments	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies	Reading Coaches		Early Release Days, Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Consistently monitor the use of ETO Instructional Frameworks by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, and student work folders.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison
Common Core	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, 9, 11,12 Social Studies	District Staff	9-12 Reading; Language Arts, 9, 11,12 Social Studies teachers	Early Release Days, Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Consistently monitor the use of differentiated instruction / using data to drive instruction by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, and student work folders.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison
Lesson Study	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies	ETO Staff	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, 9,11,12 Social Studies teachers	Early Release Days, Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Consistently monitor Lesson Study by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning coaching logs, and student work folders, critique, revise Lesson Study lesson plans Observe & Debrief Lesson Study.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison
Student accountability talk and active learning strategies (Literature Circles, Socratic Circles, and Think-Pair- Share)	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, Social Studies	ETO Staff Reading Coaches	9-12 Reading, Language Arts, 9, 11,12 Social Studies teachers	Early Release Days, Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Consistently monitor student accountability talk and active learning strategies by regular classroom walkthroughs, monitoring lesson plans, classroom configuration, classroom environment, common planning, lesson study cycles, coaching logs, and student work folders.	NMHS Administrative Team Reading Coaches PD Liaison

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materi	ial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide extended learning opportunities for students that are in need of remediation	After-School Tutoring	Title 1	\$8,000.00
Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT	FCAT Student Incentives	E.E.S.A.C.	\$1,500.00
Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT	FCAT Student Incentives	Title 1	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$12,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Increase the use of computer based reading programs.	Purchasing additional Accelerated Reader Quizzes	Internal Funds/SIG	\$13,000.00
			Subtotal: \$13,000.00
Strategy Increase the use of computer	Purchasing additional Accelerated		\$13,00

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide teachers an opportunity to create instructional focus calendars for the upcoming school year.	Summer Professional Development: Reading Teachers, Language Arts, and ELL Teachers	Title 1	\$15,000.00
Provide Reading teachers with opportunities to meet and discuss trends, disaggregate data and create pacing guides based on student needs.	Substitutes coverage for Reading Teachers for half day of PD.	Title 1	\$7,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$22,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$47,000.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

	1	1 3	9		
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. Based on the 2012 CELLA data, 46% (265) of students were proficient in Listening/Speaking.					265) of students
2012	Current Percent of Stu	udents Proficient in liste	ening/speaking:		
46%	(265)				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Students lack first language literacy skills that impact second language literacy.	1.1. Action Step #1: Assess first language literacy of all ELL students and enroll students in appropriate first language (Spanish, French) courses, including AP Language. Action Step #2: Identify students with limited schooling in heritage language and refer to newcomer ELL class. Action Step #3: Implement ESOL framework that includes daily oral language practice with the scripts and visuals provided with Speaking and Listening to the	Team	1.1. ELL schedule review to assure proper placement in math courses	1.1. For placement, released AP language test will be used (found on Edusoft) and native writing sample. Ongoing evaluation through formal and informal assessments. Continuing evaluation will look at Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) results.

English Language. Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. Based on the 2012 CELLA data, 15% (88) of students CELLA Goal #2: were proficient in Reading. 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: 15% (88) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Determine Position **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	2.1. Students have limited academic vocabulary.	2.1. Action Step #1: Explicitly teach vocabulary as a part of the daily lesson. Action Step #2: Create interactive word walls that include high frequency words, Tier II and academic vocabulary.	Team	2.1. Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs.	2.1. Formal and informal assessments and ongoing FAIR data.
2	2.2. Students lack phonics and phonemic awareness skills.	2.2. Action Step #1: Initial phonics assessment and consistent progress monitoring of phonics skills by Inside Phonics Assessment.	Team	2.2. Monitoring of framework and pacing guide compliance.	2.2. Formal and informal assessments and ongoing FAIR data.

Stude	ents write in English at gr	ade level in a manner sin	nilar to non-ELL st	udents.		
3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3:				Based on the 2012 CELLA data, 18% (100) of students were proficient in Writing.		
2012	2 Current Percent of Stu	udents Proficient in writ	ing:			
18%	(100)					
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	3.1. Students lack first language literacy skills that impact second language literacy.	3.1. Action Step #1: Assess first language literacy of all ELL students and enroll students in appropriate first language (Spanish, French) courses,	3.1. RTI Leadership Team	3.1. ELL schedule review to assure proper placement in math courses	3.1. For placement, released AP language test will be used (found on Edusoft) and native writing sample. Ongoing	

evaluation

including AP Language.

1		Action Step #2: Identify students with limited schooling in heritage language and refer to newcomer ELL class.			through formal and informal assessments. Continuing evaluation will look at Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) results.
---	--	---	--	--	---

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Ma	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Develop creative projects that will beautify the school and create awareness about the history and issues relevant to the student body.	Supplies for art project and for awareness activities	EESAC	\$300.00
			Subtotal: \$300.0
			Grand Total: \$300.00

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

		e the number of students t	,			
	I on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, ar e following group:	nd re	ference to "Gu	liding Questions", identify	y and define areas
Leve	orida Alternate Assessr ls 4, 5, and 6 in mather ematics Goal #1:	ment: Students scoring matics.	gat i	ndicate that 2- evels 4, 5 or 6 s to increase t	the 2011-2012 FAA Math 4% (9) of students earned. Our goal for the 2012-2 he number of students sthematics on the FAA by (11).	ed a rating of 2013 school year coring at Levels 4,
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	> :
24%	(9)		2	29% (11)		
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to I n	crease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.
1	Instruction is not aligned with Access Points objectives and benchmarks	Train teachers to effectively implement Access Points.	Assi Prin		The Principal and assistant principals will monitor the consistent implementation of Access Points through classroom walkthroughs and lesson plans. Additionally, the math coaches will support the SPED teacher with strategies to implement to master content.	Formative • Administration walk-through logs • Lesson Plan Samples • Student Work/ Folders Summative • 2013 FAA
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.
2	Instruction does not address various modalities of learning for student comprehension.	Provide students with opportunities to learn concepts using maniupulatives,, visuals and assistive technology.	Assi Prin	ch	The Principal and assistant principals will monitor the consistent implementation of utilizing various tools through targeted walkthroughs and lesson plans. Additionally, the math coaches will support the SPED teacher with strategies to implement to master content.	Formative • Administration walk-through logs • Lesson Plan Samples • Student Work/ Folders Summative • 2013 FAA
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		1.3.	1.3.
3	Instruction does not provide multiple opportunities for students to master skills.	Utilize repetition for long term learning of math concepts such as rote counting, fact fluency and tools for measurement.	Assi Prin	cher, Math ch	The Principal and assistant principals will monitor the consistent implementation of utilizing various tools through targeted walkthroughs and lesson plans. Additionally, the math coaches will support the SPED teacher with strategies to implement to master content.	Formative • Administration walk-through logs • Lesson Plan Samples • Student Work/ Folders Summative • 2013 FAA

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: The results of the 2011-2012 FAA Mathematics test 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at indicate that 32% (12) of students earned a rating of or above Level 7 in mathematics. levels 7, 8 or 9. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students scoring at Levels 7 Mathematics Goal #2: 8, and 9 in mathematics on the FAA by three percentage points to 35% (13) 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 32% (12) 35% (13) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. Formative Instruction is not Train teachers to The Principal and Administration Principal, assistant principals will aligned with Access effectively implement **Assistant** walk-through logs Principal, SPED monitor the consistent Points objectives and Access Points. · Lesson Plan benchmarks Teacher, Math implementation of Samples Coach Access Points through Student Work/ classroom walkthroughs Folders and lesson plans. Summative Additionally, the math • 2013 FAA coaches will support the SPED teacher with strategies to implement to master content. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. Instruction does not Provide continuous Principal, The Principal and Formative address various repetition/practice Assistant assistant principals will Administration monitor the consistent modalities of learning when learning math Principal, SPED walk-through logs for student Teacher, Math implementation of Lesson Plan concepts. utilizing various tools Samples comprehension. Coach through targeted Student Work/ 2 Folders walkthroughs and lesson plans. Summative Additionally, the math • 2013 FAA coaches will support the SPED teacher with strategies to implement to master content. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. 2.3. Instruction does not Use guided discussions Principal, The Principal and Formative provide multiple to engage students in Assistant assistant principals will Administration Principal, SPED monitor the consistent opportunities for real life math problems. walk-through logs implementation of 3 students to master Teacher, Math · Lesson Plan skills. guided discussions Samples Coach

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #3:

The results of the 2011-2012 FAA Mathematics test indicate that 49% (15) of students made learning gains in mathematics. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students making learning gains in mathematics on the FAA by ten percentage points to 59% (18).

through targeted

walkthroughs and

lesson plans.

Student Work/

Folders

Summative • 2013 FAA

2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
49%	(15)		59% (18)	59% (18)		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	
1	Instruction is not aligned with Access Points objectives and benchmarks	Train teachers to effectively implement Access Points.	Principal, Assistant Principal, SPED Teacher, Math Coach	implementation of Access Points through classroom walkthroughs and lesson plans. Additionally, the math coaches will support the SPED teacher with strategies to implement to master content.	Folders Summative • 2013 FAA	
	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
2	Instruction does not address various modalities of learning for student comprehension.	Provide continuous repetition/practice when learning math concepts.	Principal, Assistant Principal, SPED Teacher, Math Coach	monitor the consistent implementation of utilizing various tools through targeted walkthroughs and lesson plans. Additionally, the math coaches will support the SPED teacher with	The following items may be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the noted strategy: Formative • Administration walk-through logs • Lesson Plan Samples • Student Work/ Folders Summative • 2013 FAA	
	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
3	Instruction does not provide multiple opportunities for students to master skills.	Use guided discussions to engage students in real life math problems.	Principal, Assistant Principal, SPED Teacher, Math Coach	The Principal and assistant principals will monitor the consistent implementation of guided discussions through targeted walkthroughs and lesson plans.	Formative • Administration walk-through logs • Lesson Plan Samples • Student Work/ Folders Summative • 2013 FAA	

High School Mathematics AMO Goals

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Our goal from 2011-2017 is to reduce the percent of non-_ Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year proficient students by 50%. school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A : Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011

	26% 33	39%	46%	53%	
	on the analysis of studer	nt achievement data, and re	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
5B. S Hispa satisf	tudent subgroups by etlanic, Asian, American Infactory progress in mathematics Goal #5B:	nnicity (White, Black, dian) not making	that our Black a progress. Our g	he 2011-2012 Algebra I E and Hispanic students are oal for the 2012-2013 sch e these students proficienc	making satisfactory ool year is to
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
	38%(165) nic: 41%(21)		Black: 45% (195) Hispanic: 47% (2		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.
	Evidence of note-taking strategies is not present within the student notebooks or journals.	Action Step #1: Develop clear expectations on note taking strategies and maintenance of the student learning journal (notebook).	Principal, math	Monitor lesson plans, conduct model lessons for teachers and perform classroom walkthroughs	Formative: Monthly assessments. Summative: 2013 Algebra I EOC
1		Action Step #2: Provide professional development on techniques of effective note-taking strategies.			
		Action Step #3: Determine the specifics of note-taking during common planning for each lesson that includes a model of the resulting student's notes.			
	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.
2	Teacher's inability to provide an environment that is conducive to reflection and critical thinking.	Increase explicit corrective feedback on student work in order to provide opportunities for the student to make adjustments and improvements towards mastery of a specific standard.	Principal, Assistant Principal, math coaches, teachers	Monitor lesson plans, conduct model lessons for teachers and perform classroom walkthroughs	Formative: Monthly assessments. Summative: 2013 Algebra I EOC

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:	The results of the 20112012 Algebra EOC test indicate that our English Language Learner students are not making satisfactory progress. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase these students proficiency.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
27% (40)	36% (54)				

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.			
1	Students have limited vocabulary of math specific terms in English.	Action Step#1: Create a word wall with high frequency math words translated into students' home language and refer to during daily lessons.	RTI Leadership Team	Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs	Monthly Assessments, Interim Assessments, and 2013 Algebra I EOC			
		Action Step#2: Provide all ELLs with a Heritage Language to English Word to Word dictionary during class time and promote use of dictionaries.						
	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.			
2	Students lack test taking skills, and basic math and reading skills.	enhanced opportunities for student interaction and practice during class Action Step#2: Provide alterative assessments for ELLs which include proving multiple	RTI Leadership Team	Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs.	Monthly Assessments, Interim Assessments, and 2013 Algebra I EOC			
		opportunities to demonstrate comprehension, deleting nonessential words in word problems and limiting answer choices in multiple choice assessments						

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:					
satis	5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:			The results of the 2011-2012 Algebra I EOC test indicate that our Students with Disabilities are making satisfactory progress. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to further increase these students proficiency.		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
35%	35% (13)			36% (14)		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	5D.1. Students have limited vocabulary of math specific terms in English.	5D.1. Action Step#1: Create a word wall with high frequency math words translated into students' home language and refer to during daily lessons.	5D.1. RTI Leadership Team	5D.1. Monitor lesson plans and conduct classroom walkthroughs	5D.1. Monthly Assessments, Interim Assessments, and 2013 Algebra I EOC	

		Action Step#2: Provide all ELLs with a Heritage Language to English Word to Word dictionary during class time and promote use of dictionaries.			
	5D.2. Students lack test taking			5D.2. Monitor lesson plans and	5D.2. Monthly
	skills, and basic math and reading skills.	enhanced opportunities for student interaction and practice during class	Team	conduct classroom walkthroughs.	Assessments, Interim Assessments, and 2013 Algebra I EOC
2		Action Step#2: Provide alterative assessments for ELLs which include proving multiple opportunities to			
		demonstrate comprehension, deleting nonessential words in word problems and			
		limiting answer choices in multiple choice assessments.			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making The results of the 2011-2012 Algebra I EOC test indicate satisfactory progress in mathematics. that our Economically Disadvantaged students are making satisfactory progress. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school Mathematics Goal E: year is to further increase these students proficiency. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 39% (165) 46% (194) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Evaluation Tool Anticipated Barrier** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 5E.1. 5E.1. 5E.1. 5E.1. 5E.1. Students have a lack of Action Step#1: Establish Principal, Assistant Monitor lesson plans, Formative: Monthly technology resources for and maintain a computer Principal, math conduct model lessons assessments. educational purposes. lab to be solely used by coaches, teachers for teachers and perform the Mathematics classroom walkthroughs Summative: 2013 Department with the Algebra I EOC implementation of mathematics technology as part of the Intensive Mathematics curriculum. Action Step#2: Provide students with the opportunity to use manipulatives and technology in the completion of performance-based activities. Action Step#3: Maximize the use of the Interactive Boards and

> Response devices in order to increase the

dynamics of instruction		
and to differentiate		
instruction.		

End of High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.	The results of the 2011-2012 Algebra I EOC test indicate that 20% (95) of students achieved the proficiency level. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase		
Algebra Goal #1:	students proficiency by four percentage points to 24% (117).		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
20% (95)	24% (117)		

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Evidence of explicit instruction through the "We Do" of the Gradual Release Model was limited. Teachers are engaging in too much "teacher talk "and not implementing the wait time and providing guided questioning.	1.1. Action Step #1: Model "we do" strategies during common planning and classrooms that include probing questions and allow teachers to share best practices. Action Step #2: Conduct lesson studies that focus on the "we do" strategies in various settings. Action Step #3: Refine the differentiated instruction process to define the intentions of the groups and process of the teacher directed and intervention groups that occurs during the "we do" component of the GRM. Action Step#4: Utilize the process charts during the "we do" and "you do" portions of the lesson. Action Step #5: Implement "wait times" strategies that allow for student accountability and response. (e.g. numbered heads, ambassadors, individual	coaches, teachers	1.1. Monitor lesson plans, conduct model lessons for teachers and perform classroom walkthroughs	Formative: Monthly assessments. Summative: 2013 Algebra I EOC

		student response, etc)			
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
2	their achievement from the assessment data needs to result in their	Action Step #1: Ensure that assessment data is analyzed in a timely and regular manner by teachers, students, and administration. Action Step #2: Establish a reward system for students who maintain proficient scores or demonstrate a measureable increase in performance data.	Assistant Principal, math coaches, teachers	assessments focusing on students' knowledge in specific grade level targeted content	Formative: Monthly assessments. Summative: 2013 Algebra I EOC

					•	
	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
4 and	4 and 5 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #2:			The results of the 2011-2012 Algebra I EOC test indicate that 5% (23) of students achieved at or above Achievement Level 4. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students proficiency by two percentage points to 7% (34).		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:		ed Level of Performance	e:	
5% (23)		7% (34)			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
1	There is a lack of differentiation between the levels of instruction in course a like groups such as honors, regular, inclusion, etc.	development on the cognitive complexity of the benchmarks and courses. Action Step#2: During common planning teacher plan lessons that include varying assignments that include enrichment and		Monitor lesson plans, conduct model lessons for teachers and perform classroom walkthroughs	Formative: Monthly assessments. Summative: 2013 Algebra I EOC	
	2.2.	remediation activities. 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
2	Corrective and/or descriptive student feedback has not been consistently evident.	Action Step #1: Provide instructors the opportunity to reflect and collaborate on current corrective/descriptive feedback practices. Action Step #2: Provide instructors with strategies of effective feedback practices including an opportunity to analyze/construct	Principal, Assistant Principal, math coaches, teachers	Monitor lesson plans, conduct model lessons for teachers and perform classroom walkthroughs	Formative: Monthly assessments. Summative: 2013 Algebra I EOC	

student work with attention to providing high quality feedback for the learner.	
Action Step #3: Process monitoring and/or maintenance by Administrative Staff and Mathematics Coaches.	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

* Whe	en using percentages, includ	de the number of students t	he percentage repre	sents (e.g., 70% (35)).		
	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th		nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
Geometry. Geometry Goal #1:			indicate that 1 Third. Our goa increase stude	The results of the 20112012 Geometry EOC test indicate that 19% (102) of students scored at the Middle Third. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 3 by five percentage points to 24% (128).		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	e:	
19%	(102)		24% (128)			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
1	Evidence of explicit instruction through the "We Do" of the Gradual Release Model was limited. Teachers are engaging in too much "teacher talk "and not implementing the wait time providing guided questioning	Action Step #1: Model "we do" strategies during common planning and classrooms that include probing questions and allow teachers to share best practices. Action Step #2: Conduct lesson studies that focus on the "we do" strategies in various settings. Action Step #3: Refine the differentiated instruction process to define the intentions of the groups and process of the teacher directed and intervention groups that occurs during the "we do" component of the GRM. Action Step#4: Utilize the process charts during the "we do" and "you do" portions of the lesson.	coaches, teachers	Monitor lesson plans, conduct model lessons for teachers and perform classroom walkthroughs	Formative: Monthly assessments. Summative: 2013 Geometry EOC	

lesson.

		Action Step #5: Implement "wait times" strategies that allow for student accountability and response. (e.g. numbered heads, ambassadors, individual student response, etc)			
2	their achievement from the assessment data needs to result in their	1.2. Action Step #1: Ensure that assessment data is analyzed in a timely and regular manner by teachers, students, and administration. Action Step #2: Establish a reward system for students who maintain proficient scores or demonstrate a measureable increase in performance data.	Assistant Principal, math coaches,	1.2. Ongoing classroom assessments focusing on students' knowledge in specific grade level targeted content clusters.	Formative: Monthly assessments. Summative: 2013 Geometry EOC

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels The results of the 2011--2012 Geometry EOC test indicate that 11% (58) of students scored at the Upper 4 and 5 in Geometry. Third. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students scoring at or above Achievement Geometry Goal #2: Levels 4 and 5 by two percentage points to 13% (69). 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 11% (58) 13% (69) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. There is a lack of Action Step#1: Provide Principal, Monitor lesson plans, Formative: differentiation between conduct model lessons professional Assistant Monthly the levels of instruction development on the Principal, math for teachers and assessments. in course a like groups cognitive complexity of coaches, perform classroom Summative: 2013 such as honors, regular, the benchmarks and teachers walkthroughs inclusion, etc. courses. Geometry EOC Action Step#2: During common planning teacher plan lessons that include varying assignments that include enrichment and remediation activities. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. 2.2. Corrective and/or Action Step #1: Provide Principal, Monitor lesson plans, Formative: descriptive student instructors the Assistant conduct model lessons Monthly opportunity to reflect feedback has not been Principal, math for teachers and assessments. perform classroom consistently evident. and collaborate on coaches, current teachers walkthroughs Summative: 2013 corrective/descriptive Geometry EOC

feedback practices.

2	Action Step #2: Provide instructors with strategies of effective feedback practices including an opportunity to analyze/construct rubrics to evaluate student work with attention to providing high quality feedback for the learner.	
	Action Step #3: Process monitoring and/or maintenance by Administrative Staff and Mathematics Coaches.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Math XL	Mathematics	Math Coach, Curriculum Support Specialist, Pearson	Math Teachers - PLCs	Summer Training Common Planning	PLC Collaboration, Classroom, and walk-through	Department Head, Math Coach, Administrators
Textbook Training	Mathematics	Math Coach, Curriculum Support Specialist, Pearson	Math Teachers - PLCs	Summer Training Common Planning	PLC Collaboration, Classroom, and walk-through	Department Head, Math Coach, Administrators
Instructional Materials and Technology for NGSSS	Mathematics	Math Coach	Math Teachers - PLCs	Summer Training Common Planning	PLC Collaboration, Classroom, and walk-through	Department Head, Math Coach, Administrators
GIZMOS in the Math Classroom	9-10 and 11-12 Retake Course Teachers	Select RtI Leadership Team Members	Math Department (Inclusive of SPED Teachers)	Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Teacher Artifacts, Student Assessment Data, and Completed Surveys	Department Head, Math Coach, Administrators
FCAT Explorer, FOCUS, and CPALMS	FCAT Explorer, FOCUS, and CPALMS 9-10 and 11-12 Retake Course Teachers	Select RtI Leadership Team Members	Math Department (Inclusive of SPED Teachers)	Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Student and Teacher Artifacts, Student Assessment Data, and Completed	Department Head, Math Coach, Administrators
Lesson Study in Practice	Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II	Select RtI Leadership Team Members	Math Department (Inclusive of SPED Teachers)	Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Teacher Artifacts, Student Assessment Data, and Completed	Department Head, Math Coach, Administrators
Current Data Analysis and Creation of Intervention Plans	Mathematics	Math Department Chair, Math Coach, and Assistant Principal	Mathematics Department	Department Meeting	Interim Assessments throughout the year and analysis of new data	Department Chair and Assistant Principal
Thinking Maps	School-wide	Math Coach, TM Representative	All Teachers - PLCs	Professional Development Days, Common Planning	PLC Collaboration, Classroom, and walk-through	Literacy Leadership Team, Literacy Coaches, and Administrators
Differentiated Instruction	School-wide	Literacy Coaches, Curriculum Support Specialist, Administrators	All Teachers - PLCs	Professional Development Days, Common Planning	PLC Collaboration, Classroom, and walk-through	Literacy Leadership Team, Literacy Coaches, and Administrators

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
After School Tutoring	Salary & Fringe	Title 1, SIG Grant	\$8,000.00
		•	Subtotal: \$8,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Establish and maintain a computer lab to be solely used by the Mathematics Department with the implementation of mathematics technology as part of the Intensive Mathematics curriculum.	Various technology needs	Title 1, SIG Grant	\$13,000.00
			Subtotal: \$13,000.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide professional development on the cognitive complexity of the benchmarks and courses.	Stipends	Title 1, SIG Grant	\$10,000.00
Provide professional development on techniques of effective note- taking strategies	Stipends	Title 1, SIG Grant	\$10,000.00
Conduct lesson studies that focus on the "we do" strategies in various settings.	Substitutes	Title 1	\$7,000.00
			Subtotal: \$27,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide students with the opportunity to use manipulatives and technology in the completion of performance-based activities.	Various	Title 1	\$5,000.00
			Subtotal: \$5,000.00
			Grand Total: \$53,000.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

		ent achievement data, a for the following group:		Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define	
Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1:			that 53% (8) of Science. Our g increase the nu	The results of the 2011-2012 FAA Science test indicate that 53% (8) of students scored at a level 4,5, and 6 in Science. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students making learning gains in science on the FAA by fivepercentage points to 58% (9).		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
53% (8)			58% (9)	58% (9)		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
	address various	opportunities to learn concepts using maniupulatives,,	Principal, SPED Teacher, Science Coach	assistant principals will monitor the consistent implementation of utilizing various tools through targeted walkthroughs and lesson plans.	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2:			that 13% (2) (Science. Our gincrease the ni	The results of the 2011-2012 FAA Science test indicate that 13% (2) of students scored at a level 7 in Science. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the number of students making learning gains in science on the FAA by three percentage points to 16% (2).		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performand	ce:	
13%	(2)		16% (2)	16% (2)		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	2.1 Instruction does not provide multiple opportunities for students to master skills.	2.1 Utilize repetition for long term learning of math concepts such as rote counting, fact fluency and tools for measurement.		The Principal and assistant principals will monitor the consistent implementation of utilizing various tools through targeted walkthroughs and lesson plans. Additionally, the math coaches will support the SPED teacher with strategies to implement to master content.		

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.

Biology Goal #1:

The results of the 2011--2012 Biology EOC test indicate that 30% (180) of students scored at the Middle Third. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students scoring at Achievement Levels 3 by three percentage points to 33% (197).

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
30%	30% (180)			33% (197)		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o I r	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Scheduling all Biology Students into Research II Class	1.1. Double dose Biology students into the research 3 Science class during the 2012- 2013 school year.	1.1.		1.1. Student schedules	1.1. Baseline Assessment Interim Assessment Monthly assessment and Biology EOC.
2	1.2. Teachers limited proficiency in common core reading strategies and implementation.	1.2. Incorporate common core reading comprehension and writing strategies into instruction.	Science coach and a administration.		1.2. Monitor lesson plans and student Lab Reports in student notebooks; conduct model lessons for teachers and perform classroom walkthroughs	1.2. Baseline Assessment Interim Assessment Monthly assessment and Biology EOC.
3	1.3. Teachers' limited knowledge and understanding of rigor and accountability talk delivery.	1.3. Promote the effective use of high order thinking questions, increase rigorous activities, and accountability talk in the science classrooms.	and	ence coach	1.3. Monitor lesson plans, conduct model lessons for teachers and perform classroom walkthroughs	1.3. Baseline Assessment Interim Assessment Monthly assessment and Biology EOC.

		dent achievement data, at for the following group		Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define	
Leve	udents scoring at or a ls 4 and 5 in Biology. ogy Goal #2:	bove Achievement	indicate that 2 Upper Third. O to increase sto	The results of the 20112012 Biology EOC test indicate that 29% (174) of students scored at the Upper Third. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 3 and 4 by two percentage points to 31% (181).		
2012	Current Level of Perf	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ce:	
29%	29% (174)			31% (181)		
	Prok	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Teacher sponsorship Incorporate the science fair, and any and		2.1. Science coach and administration.	2.1. Increased number of students participating in science competitions. Increased number of students involved in the Science Clubs.	2.1. Baseline Assessment Interim Assessment Monthly assessment and biology EOC.	
	2.2. New teacher	2.2. Incorporate the	2.2. Science AP	2.2 Monitor lesson plans;	2.2. Baseline	

2	knowledge of college board resources.	College Board recommended Labs, aligned with the College Board released Essay. Continue to Incorporate the International Baccalaureate recommended Labs, aligned with the IB syllabus and practicing released Essay and multiple choice questions from IBO. Questions as listed in the ETO pacing list of the recommended AP Science labs and Essays	and Administration.	include the usage of effective College Board Essential Labs and Lab	Interim Assessment Monthly
---	--	--	------------------------	---	----------------------------------

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Infusion common core reading strategies into Biology	Biology teachers	Science coach supported by ETO CSS	Biology Teachers	December 13, 2012	Lesson plan and classrooms walkthroughs	Administrators and Science coach
Rigor and accountability Talk PD	All Science teachers	Science Coach	Science teachers	Common planning	Lesson plans and classrooms walkthroughs	Administration and science Coach
Descriptive and Corrective feedback and strategies to guide students to complete lab reports	All Science teachers	Science Coach	Science teachers	Common planning	Lesson plans and classrooms walkthroughs	Administration and Science coach
College Board PD for the AP Science Courses	N/A	College Board Staff	AP Science teachers	November 6, 2012	Lesson Plans and classrooms walkthroughs	Administration and Science coach
District Science fair, SECME, and Fairchild Challenge and Green project Orientations.	N/A	District and Fairchild Challenge and Project Green Staff.	Competition Sponsors	January 17, 2013	Evidence of school Science fair projects and students' Competition attendance rosters.	Administration and Science coach

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00		
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00		

Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Promote the effective use of higher order thinking questions, rigorous activities, and accountability talk in science classrooms.	Clickers(for Promethean boards) SIG		\$800.00
			Subtotal: \$800.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Incorporate Common Core reading comprehension and writing strategies into instruction.	Hourly after school funding for teachers to attend PD.	SIG	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$1,500.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$2,300.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and in need of improvement for the following group:	ased on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Leve 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Writing Assessment indicate that 79% (484) of students scored at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 3 by two percentage points to 81% (497).					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
79% (484)	81% (497)					
Problem-Solving Process to	ncrease Student Achievement					
	Person or Process Used to					

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	1A.1. There is limited use of writing rubrics.	scoring of the FCAT Writes 2.0. Creative	Administrator for Writing Reading Coach Creative Writing	1.1. Monitor the use of active coaching and rubrics to increase the quality of students' writing in the creative writing classes through the coaching logs, lesson plans, and attending common planning.	1.1. Formative: ETO monthly and District Pre and Post Writing assessment. Progressive monitoring using Write Score writing assessment. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing 2.0		

2	1A.2. Limited evidence of student probing and use of wait time during whole group instruction	Action Step 3. Writing teachers will create a minimum of 2 standard rubrics to be utilized throughout the school year that provide opportunities for student reflection and feedback. 1A.2. Action Step #1 Conduct LSG that includes that focuses on probing and wait time. Action Step #2 Provide teachers opportunities to observe observational teachers effectively probing students and providing appropriate wait time. Action Step #3 Teachers will utilize Think Pair Write Share (TPWS) strategy when permitted during whole group instruction.	1A.2. Principal Administrator for Writing Reading Coach Creative Writing Teachers	1A.2. Student Writing Progress Goal Sheets Student Writing Portfolios Reading Coach Logs Principal & Vice- Principal Walkthroughs	1A.2. Formative: ETO monthly and District Pre and Post Writing assessment. Progress monitoring using Write Score writing assessment. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing 2.0
3	1A.3. Limited evidence of student published work that demonstrates students' understanding of the writing process	1A.3. Action Step 1. Provide professional development on the writing Process. Action Step #2. Conduct Lesson Study on the writing process. Action Step #3: Each student will place published assignments in writing portfolios as evidence of the writing process.	1A.3. Principal Administrator for Writing Reading Coach	1A.3. Student Writing Progress Goal Sheets Student Writing Portfolios Reading Coach Logs Principal & Vice- Principal Walkthroughs	1A.3. Formative: ETO monthly and District Pre and Post Writing assessment. Progress monitoring using Write Score writing assessment. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing 2.0
4	1A.4. Limited number of 9th grade teachers highly trained in the writing process.	1A.4. Action Step #1: Provide professional development for English 1 teachers on the writing process, scoring rubrics and FCAT Writes 2.0. Action Step #2 POST-FCAT Reading 2.0 English 1 teachers will embed conventions and support in their lessons when permitted.	Writing Reading Coach English 1 Teachers	1A.4. Monitor the content area classes for evidence of rigorous writing in classroom walkthroughs, lesson plans, student work folders and posted work.	1A.4. Formative: ETO monthly and District Pre and Post Writing assessment. Progressive monitoring using Write Score writing assessment. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing 2.0
5	1A.5 Students have limited knowledge of basic grammar and writing conventions.	1.A.5 Action Step #1 Teachers will use online writing labs including Purdue OWL to provide mini-lessons on writing conventions. Action Step # 2. Teacher will provide focused revision lessons on grammar and conventions using anchor papers and rubric. Action Step #3.Cconduct teacher – student conferences to revise student writing	1A.5 Principal Vice-Principal Reading Coach	1A.5 Student Writing Progress Goal Sheets Student Writing Portfolios Reading Coach Logs Principal & Vice- Principal Walkthroughs	1A.5 Formative: ETO monthly and District Pre and Post Writing assessment. Progress monitoring using Write Score writing assessment. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing 2.0

		for grammar and mechanics using rubric. Action step #4 Teachers will use mentor text as a model for correct grammar and mechanics.		
6	1A.6 Students provide limited supporting details in their writing.	1.A.6 Action Step #1 Teachers will use online writing labs including Purdue OWL to provide mini-lessons on writing support. Action Step # 2. Teachers will provide revision lessons on support using anchor papers and rubrics. Action Step #3. Conduct teacher – student conferences to revise student writing for support Action step #4 Teachers will use mentor text as a model for specific types of support. Action step \$. Teachers will use visuals to spark creative and descriptive supporting details in writing.	1A.6 Student Writing Progress Goal Sheets Student Writing Portfolios Reading Coach Logs Principal & Vice- Principal Walkthroughs	1A.6 Formative: ETO monthly and District Pre and Post Writing assessment. Progressive monitoring using Write Score writing assessment. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing 2.0

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
at 4 c	lorida Alternate Assess or higher in writing. ng Goal #1b:	sment: Students scorin	g indicate that 7 Level 4.0 and byear is to incre	The results of the 2011-2012 FCAT Writing Assessment indicate that 73% (8) of students scored at Achievement Level 4.0 and higher. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 3 by five percentage points to 78% (9).		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performanc	e:	
73% (8)			78% (9)	78% (9)		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Re		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1B.3. Students provide limited supporting details in their writing.	1B.3. Action Step #1 Teachers will use online writing labs including Purdue OWL to provide mini-lessons on writing support. Action Step # 2. Teachers will provide revision lessons on support using anchor papers and rubrics. Action Step #3.Conduct teacher – student conferences to	1B.3. Principal Vice-Principal Reading Coach	1B.3. Student Writing Progress Goal Sheets Student Writing Portfolios Reading Coach Logs Principal & Vice- Principal Walkthroughs	1B.3. Formative: ETO monthly and District Pre and Post Writing assessment. Progressive monitoring using Write Score writing assessment. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing 2.0	

revise student writing for support Action step #4 Teachers will use mentor text as a model for specific types of support. Action step \$. Teachers will use visuals to spark creative and descriptive supporting details in writing.	
--	--

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Establish a writing committee that will research strategies to improve writing results	Creative Writing	Writing Coach	PLC, Creative Writing Teachers	September, 2012	Facilitate meetings Copy of Agendas Training materials	The Writing Coach and Administrative Team
Provide professional development for Creative Writing teachers that targets reaching for a score of 5 or 6.	Creative Writing	Writing Coach	PLC, Creative Writing Teachers	January, 2013	Student and Teacher Artifacts, Student Assessment Data, and lesson plans	The Writing Coach and Administrative Team
Analyze FCAT writing scores to determine the percent of students scoring at 4.0 or above and compare that data to 2010-2011 to observe growth.	10th grade	Writing Coach	PLC, Creative Writing Teachers	May, 2013	Student and Teacher Artifacts, Student Assessment Data	The Writing Coach and Administrative Team
Lesson Study for Creative Writing Teachers	9-10 Teachers	Select RtI Leadership Team Members	Creative Writing	Professional Development Days, Common Planning	Student and Teacher Artifacts, Student Assessment Data, and Completed Surveys	The Writing Coach and Administrative Team
Provide professional development for New creative writing teachers to NMSHS, on the WriteTo The Future, Six Traits of Writing, and Thinking Maps	Creative Writing	Writing Coach	PLC, Creative Writing Teachers	December, 2012	Facilitate meetings Copy of Agendas Training materials	The Writing Coach and Administrative Team

· ·	Grade 9th and 10th		PLC, Creative Writing Teachers	January, 2013		The Writing Coach and Administrative Team
-----	-----------------------	--	-----------------------------------	---------------	--	--

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Demonstrate evidence of student published work.	Student Writing Portfolios for all students	SBBS	\$500.00
Thinking Maps	Thinking Maps training binders	City of North Miami	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Discovery Education/ Digital Lessons	Replacement NEC Lamp Bulbs/ External hard drives for downloaded files	SBBS and Title 1	\$5,000.00
Write Score Placement test for Grade 9	Write Score assessment for future grade 9 students	SIG funds/ Title 1	\$1,900.00
			Subtotal: \$6,900.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Lesson Study for Creative Writing Teachers	Substitute Coverage	SIG Funds	\$2,000.00
Professional Development Writing Process for English 1 teachers	Substitute Coverage	SIG Funds	\$5,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$7,000.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$14,400.00

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.

U.S. History Goal #1:

The results of the 2013 M-DCPS Baseline Assessment indicate that 0% (0) of students scored at a Proficient Level. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students scoring at or above Proficiency by ten percentage points to 10% (51).

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

10% (51)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Limited use of Data to drive instruction.	1.1. Action Step #1: Implement Data Chats among teachers during Common Planning. Action Step #2: Use data with fidelity to group students. Action Step #3: Promote Data chat with students during Differentiated Instruction.	1.1. Administrators Reading Coaches Social Studies Chairperson	1.1. Reading Coaches Logs/Reflections Administrative Walkthroughs Lesson Plans Student Folders Success of Lesson Study	1.1 Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Summative: 2013 US History EOC
2	1.2. Lack of Rigor in classes	1.2. Action Step #1: Train and model for teachers how to share, speak and implement the need to consistently define high expectations. Action Step #2: Provide professional development for teachers to consistently use high level questions and to always refer to the essential question during teaching. Action Step #3: Promote peer observations in high-level courses (AP, IB)		1.2 Reading Coaches Logs/Reflections Common Planning Agenda Administrative Walkthroughs Feedback from ETO IS site visits	1.2 Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Leadership Meetings Summative: 2013 US History EOC
3	1.3. Lack of Explicit Instruction during teacher-led group.	1.3. Action Step #1: Provide hands on Professional Development on explicit instruction utilizing best practices. Action Step #2: Provide a coaching cycle with selected teachers.	Reading Coaches Social Studies Chairperson	1.3 Reading Coaches Logs/Reflections Common Planning Agenda Administrative Walkthroughs Feedback from ETO IS site visits	1.3 Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Leadership Meetings Summative: 2013 US History EOC

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels | The results of the 2013 M-DCPS Baseline Assessment indicate that 0% (0) of students scored at a Proficient 4 and 5 in U.S. History. Level. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase students scoring at or above Proficiency by ten U.S. History Goal #2: percentage points to 10% (51). 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 0% (0) 10% (51) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2.2 Lack of Rigor in classes	2.2 Action Step #1: Train and model for teachers how to share, speak and implement the need to consistently define high expectations. Action Step #2: Provide professional development for teachers to consistently use high level questions and to always refer to the essential question during teaching. Action Step #3: Promote peer observations in high-level courses (AP, IB)	·	2.2 Reading Coaches Logs/Reflections Common Planning Agenda Administrative Walkthroughs Feedback from ETO IS site visits	2.2 Formative: ETO Monthly and District Interim assessments. Leadership Meetings Summative: 2013 US History EOC

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		N	lo Data Submitte	d		

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atter provement:	ndance data, and referer	nce to "Guiding (Questions", identify and de	fine areas in need		
1. Attendance			attendance to due to illnes school wher	Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase attendance to 94.53% (2451) by minimizing absences due to illness and truancy, and to create a climate in our school where parents, students, and faculty feel welcome and appreciated.			
Atter	ndance Goal #1:		number of s	our goal for this year is to tudents with excessive abs excessive tardiness (10 or	sences (10 or		
2012	? Current Attendance Ra	ate:	2013 Exped	ted Attendance Rate:			
94.03	3% (2438)		94.53% (24	51)			
-	Current Number of Stunces (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		cted Number of Students 10 or more)	with Excessive		
909			864				
l .	Current Number of Stuies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
1260			1197	1197			
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stu	dent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine or Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	1.1. Students may choose to be absent from school for reasons that are not approved by MDCPS School Board	1.1. Identify and refer students who maybe developing a pattern of non-attendance to the Truancy Child-Study Team (TCST) for intervention services.	1.1. RTI Leadership Team Truancy Social Worker Student Service	1.1. Weekly updates to RTI by the TCST and to entire faculty during faculty meetings.	1.1. TCST logs and attendance rosters		
			NMHS Administrative Team				
2	1.2. Illnesses-excused absences have increased by 10% from previous year.	1.2. Maintain a clean environment throughout the school. Teach and emulate healthy choices and prevention strategies.	1.2. RTI Leadership Team Truancy Social Worker Student Service NMHS	1.2. Administrators will monitor school's environment and ascertain health education and health prevention strategies are implemented throughout the school.	1.2. Attendance Rosters		

Team

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Positive Behavior Support	Faculty/Staff	PBS Team	All Faculty/Staff	October 25, 2012	Sign in Sheets	PBS Coach
Schoolwide Attendance Procedures	Faculty/Staff	Administrative Team	All Faculty/Staff	Διιαμετ 17 2012		Administrator for Attendance/Truancy

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Decrease the number of students tardy or absent from school	Incentives for students who model good behavior, excellent attendance and for any student who "does the right thing" in school.	E.E.S.A.C	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$1,500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Utilize the Positive Behavior Support system to encourage and reward students for positive behavior.	Incentives for students who model good behavior, excellent attendance and for any student who "does the right thing" in school.	EESAC	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$1,500.00
			Grand Total: \$3,000.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

1. Su	spension					
				Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to decrease the total number of indoor/outdoor suspensions by 10%.		
2012	2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions			d Number of In-School	Suspensions	
128			115			
2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended I n-Sch	2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-	
114			103			
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions	2013 Expecte Suspensions	d Number of Out-of-Sc	hool	
326			293			
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude ol	ents Suspended Out-of	- 2013 Expecte of-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School		
218			196	196		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	1.1. The total number of indoor and outdoor suspensions during the 2011-12 school year was 332. There are not enough opportunities to recognize students for positive behavior.		1.1. RTI Leadership Team PBS Coach/Dean of Discipline NMSHS Administrative Team	1.1. Monitor Spot Success Report by grade level and monitor COGNOS report on student outdoor suspension rate	1.1. Participation Log for students who are recognized for complying with the Student Code of Conduct along with the monthly COGNOS suspension report.	
2	1.2. Parents lack a clear understanding of students' rights and responsibilities as documented in the student code of conduct.	1.2. The school's guidance counselor and the community involvement specialist will contact parents of students who have been placed on indoor suspension. Parents will be provided with training on building and understanding of the student code of conduct.		Logs for evidence of communication with parents of students who have been placed	1.2. Parent Communication Log. Parent sign- in Log/Parental Involvement Monthly School Report	

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Progressive Discipline Plan Review	All Faculty/Staff	Principal	All Faculty/Staff	August 17, 2012	the school wide progressive	NMHS Administrative Team PBS Coach/ Dean of Discipline

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	(-)(-)		Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Dropout Prevention Dropout Prevention Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.	Our goals for the 2012-2013 school year is to decrease the dropout rate by 10%.			
2012 Current Dropout Rate:	2013 Expected Dropout Rate:			
1.11% (29)	1.05% (27)			
2012 Current Graduation Rate:	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:			

58.59	58.5% (449)						
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	1.1. The total number of dropouts during the 2011-12 school year was 29. There are not enough opportunities to recognize students for positive behavior.	1.1. Utilize the Student Code of Conduct by providing incentives for compliance using the SPOT Success Recognition Program	1.1. RTI Leadership Team Graduation Coach Student Services. NMSHS Administrative Team	1.1. Monitor Spot Success Report by grade level and monitor COGNOS report on student outdoor suspension rate	1.1. Participation Log for students who are recognized for complying with the Student Code of Conduct along with the monthly COGNOS suspension report.		
2	1.2. The total number of dropouts during the 2011-12 school year was 29. There are not enough opportunities to recognize students for positive behavior.	1.2. The school's Guidance Counselor, PBS Coach, Graduation Coach, School Social Worker, and the community involvement specialist will contact parents of students who have been placed on indoor suspension. Parents	1.2. RTI Leadership Team Graduation Coach Student Services. NMSHS Administrative Team	Parent Academy	1.2. Parent Communication Log. Parent sign- in Log/Parental Involvement Monthly School Report		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
ACT/SAT College Readiness	11th/12th Grade students		All 11th/12th	December 17 7017	Enrollment in ACT and CPT	NMSHS Administrative Team Graduation Coach Student Services

Dropout Prevention Budget:

nm(s)/Material(s)		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Increase students' active involvement in drama and the arts.	Competition fees for the Drama Club.	EESAS	\$1,200.00
			Subtotal: \$1,200.00
			Grand Total: \$1,200.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis o in need of improvement:	ased on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement:				
1. Parent Involvemen	t				
Parent Involvement G	oal #1:				
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.		N/A			
2012 Current Level of	Parent Involvement:		2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Pos for		Posi Resp for	Determine		Evaluation Tool
	No	Submitted			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
--	--	--	--	--	--

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	d on the analysis of school	ol data, identify and defir	ne areas in need of	improvement:	
STEM Goal #1:			encourages stu technology, en	chers will provide more of udents to pursue careers gineering and mathemat erships with local universi gents.	in science, ics (STEM)
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Lack of CTE and STEM curriculum integration	1.1. Provide PD for CTE teachers on STEM Curriculum and integration as it relates to CTE. Attend Curriculum Integration workshop sponsored by FLDOE	1.1. CTE Administrator CTE Coach CTE Teachers	1.1. Monitor the implementation of STEM in the CTE classrooms curriculum integration through administrative walkthroughs, lesson plans, Lesson Study, and Common Planning times.	1.1. Common planning logs. Classroom walkthrough logs. Completed Lesson Study Cycle. Coaching logs Additional Professional Industry Certification Exam awarded
	1.2. Limited awareness by incoming students of	1.2. Schedule middle school articulation to include	1.2. CTE Administrator	1.2. Increased number of students enrolled in	1.2. Student schedule

2	program offerings.	presentation by STEM	CTE Coach	STEM courses.	
		representatives			
		including teachers and			
		students.			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Facilitator	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
١	Curriculum Integration Workshop	9-12	FLDOE	CTE Teachers	November 15, 2012	integration through administrative	NMSHS Administrative Team CTE Coach

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	terial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide PD for CTE teachers on STEM Curriculum and integration as it relates to CTE.	Stipend and/or Substitute coverage	Title 1	\$7,000.00
			Subtotal: \$7,000.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$7,000.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. CTE

During the 2011-2012 school year, we had 365 students

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Common Board Configuration Training Multiple preps Use of the classrooms by night school staff	1.1. Common Board Configuration (CBC) Promote the effective use of the CBC in the CTE Classrooms.	1.1. CTE Administrator CTE Coach	1.1. Monitor that there is a consistent instructional routine by utilizing the common board configuration to begin the class by introducing the essential question daily.	1.1. Classroom walkthrough
2	1.2. Inconsistent transfer of written higher order questions to verbal higher order questions during instructional delivery. Limited student background knowledge Limited student vocabulary High ESOL population	(HOTS), Bell Ringers and Gradual Release Model Promote the effective use of higher order questions and rigorous activities in the CTE classrooms. CTE Coach will work with the ETO CSS on developing bell ringers related to CTE and provide a PD on the effective use of bell ringers related to CTE. CTE Coach will model "Gradual Release" strategies during common planning and lesson studies as well as sharing of best practices.	CTE Coach	common planning, student folders, coaching logs and lesson study cycles. Monitor that bell ringers are related to CTE and that they are being implemented properly. Monitor the "Gradual Release" strategies in the classroom with an emphasis on the "We Do" strategy.	
3	1.3. Lack of evidence of real-world applications Limited budgetary constraints for (Material, Transportation) Time management Student Interest	1.3. CTSO Competitions Increase rigor and real- world applications through Project - Based Learning competition enrollment from CTE student organizations (CTSOs).	1.3. CTE Administrator CTE Coach	implementation of the guidelines and timeline	1.3. Common planning logs Classroom walkthrough logs CTSO registrations Coaching logs
4	1.4. Need for Explicit and Systematic Instruction Classroom management Poor time management and pacing	1.4. Explicit and Systematic Instruction Promote the effective use of Explicit and Systematic Instruction. 1). CTE Coach will provide an additional PD on delivery of explicit instruction. 2).Implement Coaching Cycles. 3). Visit CTE Observational Classroom(s).	1.4. CTE Administrator CTE Coach	implementation of	1.4. Common planning logs Classroom walkthrough logs Coaching logs
	1.5. Limited access to computer labs	1.6. CTE Frameworks & Pacing Guides	1.6. CTE Administrator	1.6. Monitor the effective implementation of	1.6. Common planning logs

5	Need for Professional Development /Training	Each CTE program follows the most recent framework available on the FLDOE website (curriculum standards and program sequence of courses). Follow the district pacing guides activities for industry certification.	CTE Coach	guide in the CTE	Classroom walkthrough Coaching logs
6	1.7. Need of additional professional Industry Certifications for CTE teachers within their subject areas.	1.7. Provide PD and other opportunities for CTE teachers to attain multiple professional Industry Certifications within their content area.	1.7. District Office CTE Administrator CTE Coach	enrollment in PDs and professional ICEs offerings throughout the State and District.	1.7. PD registration log. Additional Professional Industry Certification Exam awarded
7	1.8. Lack of CTE and STEM curriculum integration	1.8. Provide PD for CTE teachers on STEM Curriculum and integration as it relates to CTE. Attend Curriculum Integration workshop sponsored by FLDOE	1.8. CTE Administrator CTE Coach	implementation of STEM in the CTE classrooms curriculum integration through administrative walkthroughs, lesson plans, Lesson Study,	1.8. Common planning logs. Classroom walkthrough logs. Completed Lesson Study Cycle. Coaching logs
8	1.9. Lack of CTE student program completers	1.9. Develop and implement a CTE course sequence chart that identifies each CTE programs courses sequentially. Provide course sequence chart to the Student Services department	1.9. CTE Administrator CTE Coach		1.9. Schedule Change Requests Occupation Completion Points awarded
9	1.10. Substitute Coverage	1.10. Lesson Study Group (LSG) Provide active coaching with consistent administrative guidance and the Lesson Study Process to effectively implement the ETO's initiatives and strategies.	1.10. CTE Administrator CTE Coach	coach/administration debriefings to assist with development of coaching logs, monitor	1.10. Common planning logs. Classroom walkthrough logs. Completed Lesson Study Cycle. Coaching logs

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CTE Content Updates Tech Ed & Ind. Ed	9-12	Thomas Cummings	Engineering Instructors	8/14/2012	Implementation of required curriculum	NMSHS Administrative Team CTE Coach
Business and IT Training	9-12	Robert Quinn & Sonia Samaroo	Business Instructors	8/14/2012	Training and Implementation of new curriculum	NMSHS Administrative Team CTE Coach
Industry Certification via Photoshop, MOS, Dreamweaver, CMAA and Engineering	9-12	CTE Coach, Departmental Chair, District Supervisor and/or Educational Specialist	All Grades	11/6/12	Identify and prepare students for ICE early in the school year. Increase Enrollment in Industry Certification Courses. Increase our passing rate.	NMSHS Administrative Team CTE Coach CTE Departmental Chairperson
Accelerated Reader Implementation in the Classroom	CTE Coach, Departmental Chair, District Supervisor and/or Curriculum Support Specialist		All Grades	Early Release Day, Professional Development Day	students reading,	NMSHS Administrative Team CTE Coach CTE Departmental Chairperson
ETO Teacher Academy	9-12	Arlinda Smith	CTE Instructors (TV Production)	6/30/2012 – 8/3/2012	ETO Walkthrough & Support	NMSHS Administrative Team CTE Coach
CTSO – HOSA Strategies for Success	9-12	Ronda Mims	Health Instructors	8/14/2012	CTSO Participation	NMSHS Administrative Team CTE Coach

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Mat	erial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
	Academy medals for for senior students in all five academies who are progarm completeres	EESAC	\$1,735.01
Provide the opportunity for students to participate in FBLA as part of the CTE program.	Registration for FBLA State Championship	EESAC	\$1,375.00
Promote leadership, regional, state and national recognition as well as review and guide ICE industry certification exams	Registration fees for HOSA state leadership convention	EESAC	\$2,000.00

Subtotal: \$5,110.01

Grand Total: \$5,110.01

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

During the 2010-2011 school year, our Industry Certification Exam school wide passing rate was _86%___. Our goal for the 2011-2012 school year is to increase the passing rate by 10% from 130 to 143. Goal:

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
No Data Submitted									

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of During the 2010-2011 school year, our Industry Certification Exam school wide passing rate was _86%___. Our goal for the 2011-2012 school year is to increase the passing rate by 10% from 130 to 143. Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

m(s)/Material(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide extended learning opportunities for students that are in need of remediation	After-School Tutoring	Title 1	\$8,000.00
Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT	FCAT Student Incentives	E.E.S.A.C.	\$1,500.00
Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT	FCAT Student Incentives	Title 1	\$2,500.00
After School Tutoring	Salary & Fringe	Title 1, SIG Grant	\$8,000.00
Demonstrate evidence of student published work.	Student Writing Portfolios for all students	SBBS	\$500.00
Thinking Maps	Thinking Maps training binders	City of North Miami	\$0.00
Decrease the number of students tardy or absent from school	Incentives for students who model good behavior, excellent attendance and for any student who "does the right thing" in school.	E.E.S.A.C	\$1,500.00
			Subtotal: \$22,000.00
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Increase the use of computer based reading programs.	Purchasing additional Accelerated Reader Quizzes	Internal Funds/SIG	\$13,000.00
a computer lab to be solely used by the Mathematics Department with the implementation of mathematics technology as part of the Intensive Mathematics curriculum.	Various technology needs	Title 1, SIG Grant	\$13,000.00
Promote the effective use of higher order thinking questions, rigorous activities, and accountability talk in science classrooms.	Clickers(for Promethean boards)	SIG	\$800.00
Discovery Education/ Digital Lessons	Replacement NEC Lamp Bulbs/ External hard drives for downloaded files	SBBS and Title 1	\$5,000.00
Write Score Placement test for Grade 9	Write Score assessment for future grade 9 students	SIG funds/ Title 1	\$1,900.00
			Subtotal: \$33,700.00
ent			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Provide teachers an opportunity to create instructional focus calendars for the upcoming school year.	Summer Professional Development: Reading Teachers, Language Arts, and ELL Teachers	Title 1	\$15,000.00
Provide Reading teachers with opportunities to meet and discuss trends, disaggregate data and create pacing guides based on student	Substitutes coverage for Reading Teachers for half day of PD.	Title 1	\$7,000.00
	Strategy Provide extended learning opportunities for students that are in need of remediation Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT After School Tutoring Demonstrate evidence of student published work. Thinking Maps Decrease the number of students tardy or absent from school Strategy Increase the use of computer based reading programs. Establish and maintain a computer lab to be solely used by the Mathematics Department with the implementation of mathematics technology as part of the Intensive Mathematics curriculum. Promote the effective use of higher order thinking questions, rigorous activities, and accountability talk in science classrooms. Discovery Education/ Digital Lessons Write Score Placement test for Grade 9 ent Strategy Provide teachers an opportunity to create instructional focus calendars for the upcoming school year. Provide Reading teachers with opportunities to meet and discuss trends, disaggregate data and create pacing guides Provide Reading teachers with opportunities to meet and discuss trends, disaggregate data and create pacing guides	Provide extended learning opportunities for students that are in need of remediation Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT After School Tutoring Demonstrate evidence of student published work. Thinking Maps Decrease the number of students tardy or absent from school Strategy Description of Resources Thinking Maps Decrease the number of students tardy or absent from school Strategy Increase the use of computer based reading programs. Establish and maintain a computer lab to be solely used by the Mathematics Department with the implementation of mathematics technology as part of the Intensive Mathematics curriculum. Promote the effective use of higher order thinking questions, rigorous activities, and accountability talk in science classrooms. Discovery Education/ Digital Lessons Explain Time Time Time	Provide extended learning opportunities for students that are in need of remediation Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT Provide incentives for students that meet proficiency on the FCAT Incentives Title 1 proficency on the FCAT After School Tutoring Demonstrate evidence of student published work. Thinking Maps Training Demonstrate evidence of student published work. Thinking Maps Training Decrease the number of students tardy or absent from school Incentives for students who adoes the right thing in school. Strategy Description of Resources Funding Source Purchasing additional Accelerated Reader Quizzes Increase the use of computer based reading programs. Establish and maintain a computer lab to be solely used by the Mathematics Department with the implementation of mathematics technology as part of the Intensive Mathematics technology as part of the Intensive Mathematics technology as part of the Intensive Mathematics unriculum. Promote the effective use of higher order thinking questions, rigorous activities, and accountability talk in science classrooms. Clickers(for Promethean boards) Replacement NEC Lamp Bulbs/ External hard drives for downloaded files Write Score Placement test for Grade 9 Provide teachers an opportunity to create instructional focus calendars for the upcoming school year. Provide Reading teachers with opportunities to meet and discuss trends, disaggregate data and create pacing guides for Reading Teachers for half day of PD. Substitutes coverage for Reading Teachers for half day of PD.

Provide professional

Mathematics	development on the cognitive complexity of the benchmarks and courses.	Stipends	Title 1, SIG Grant	\$10,000.00
Mathematics	Provide professional development on techniques of effective note-taking strategies	Stipends	Title 1, SIG Grant	\$10,000.00
Mathematics	Conduct lesson studies that focus on the "we do" strategies in various settings.	Substitutes	Title 1	\$7,000.00
Science	Incorporate Common Core reading comprehension and writing strategies into instruction.	Hourly after school funding for teachers to attend PD.	SIG	\$1,500.00
Writing	Lesson Study for Creative Writing Teachers	Substitute Coverage	SIG Funds	\$2,000.00
Writing	Professional Development Writing Process for English 1 teachers	Substitute Coverage	SIG Funds	\$5,000.00
STEM	Provide PD for CTE teachers on STEM Curriculum and integration as it relates to CTE.	Stipend and/or Substitute coverage	Title 1	\$7,000.00
				Subtotal: \$64,500.00
Other		Description of		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
CELLA	Develop creative projects that will beautify the school and create awareness about the history and issues relevant to the student body.	Supplies for art project and for awareness activities	EESAC	\$300.00
Mathematics	Provide students with the opportunity to use manipulatives and technology in the completion of performance-based activities.	Various	Title 1	\$5,000.00
Attendance	Utilize the Positive Behavior Support system to encourage and reward students for positive behavior.	Incentives for students who model good behavior, excellent attendance and for any student who "does the right thing" in school.	EESAC	\$1,500.00
Dropout Prevention	Increase students' active involvement in drama and the arts.	Competition fees for the Drama Club.	EESAS	\$1,200.00
СТЕ		Academy medals for for senior students in all five academies who are progarm completeres	EESAC	\$1,735.01
СТЕ	Provide the opportunity for students to participate in FBLA as part of the CTE program.	Registration for FBLA State Championship	EESAC	\$1,375.00
СТЕ	Promote leadership, regional, state and national recognition as well as review and guide ICE industry certification exams	Registration fees for HOSA state leadership convention	EESAC	\$2,000.00
				Subtotal: \$13,110.01
				Grand Total: \$133,310.01

jn Priority	jn Focus	jn Prevent	j ∩ NA	
-------------	----------	------------	---------------	--

Are you a reward school: for Yes for No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 11/7/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Latino Student Association	\$300.00
Drama	\$1,200.00
School-wide Literacy Initiative	\$1,500.00
Future Business Leader of America	\$1,375.00
Academic Academy Medals	\$1,735.01
Positive Behavior Support	\$1,500.00
HOSA	\$2,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The purpose of the North Miami Senior High School Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC), hereinafter referred to as the "Council", is to work to ensure improved student achievement. To this end, the Council will have the following responsibilities:

- 1. to foster an environment of professional collaboration among all education stakeholders, who must have an authentic role in decisions which effect instruction and the delivery of educational programs.
- 2. to assist in the preparation and evaluation of the School Improvement Plan (SIP) required from each school. All recommendations made by, and evolving from, the Councils should be tied to one or more strategies of its SIP, and in support of the state/district goals.
- 3. to have the responsibility to address all state and district goals, with the authority to periodically review the SIP and amend as needed.
- 4. to serve as the appropriate avenue for authentic and representative input from all education professionals, parents, students, business community, and interested citizens.
- 5. to ensure the continued existence of participatory, consensus-building process on all issues related to the school's instructional program and which are in support of goals in SIP and the state's or district's planning goals. Such issues may include, but not be limited to, curriculum, budget, discipline and professional development.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Dade School District NORTH MIAMI SENIOR 2010-2011	HIGH SCHO	OOL				
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	26%	53%	77%	32%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	42%	71%			113	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	54% (YES)	74% (YES)				Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					429	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					С	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Dade School District NORTH MIAMI SENIOR 2009-2010	R HIGH SCH	OOL				
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	21%	55%	79%	20%	175	Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	38%	70%			108	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?		71% (YES)			112	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					395	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					D	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested