FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: MATTIE V RUTHERFORD ALT ED CENTER

District Name: Duval

Principal: Sadie Milliner-Smith

SAC Chair: Angela Wilson

Superintendent: William E. Pratt Dannals

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 10/25/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Sadie Milliner-Smith	Master Degree, Criminal Justice BS, Criminal Justice Educational Leadership (All Levels) School Principal (All Levels)	1	3	Principal of Mattie V. Rutherford in 2012- 2013 2011-2012 School Grade: NA AYP:NA 2010- 2011 School Grade: NA AYP: NA
		BS, History Master of Education			Assistant Principal of Mattie V. Rutherford in 2012-2013 Assistant Principal of Mattie V. Rutherford in 2011-2012 Assistant Principal of Mattie V. Rutherford in 2010-2011 2011-2012 School Grade: NA AYP: NA 2010-2011 School Grade: NA AYP: NA

Assis Principal	Larry Brown	Educational Leadership Vary Exceptionalities	adership ry	2009-2010 (A.P. Jean Ribault Middle School) Grade: F. Reading Proficiency: 25% Math Proficiency: 28% Science Proficiency: 9% Writing Proficiency: 97% AYP: Not Met					
				2008-2009 (A.P. Jean Ribault Middle School) Grade: B. Reading Proficiency: 36%, Math Proficiency: 33%, Science Proficiency: 11%, Writing Proficiency: 98%, AYP: Not Met					

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Genevieve Pringle	English 5-9 Reading ESOL Bachelor of Science Therapeutic Recreation Master Degree Adult Education	1		Southside Middle School, 2011-2012 School Grade: D
Math	Tandra Wade	Bachelor of Science Physics Master Degree Secondary Education Math 5-9 Certification	1	8	2011-2012 School Grade - NA

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Meet with new teachers on regular basis to provide support, information on classroom strategies, certification, and T.I.P requirements.	Professional Development Facilitator, Administration Team	On-going	
2	Provide on-going school-wide and content area professional development for new and returning teachers.	Professional Development Facilitator, Administration, District Support Team	On-going	
3	Use HR to find qualified teachers to fill vacancies during the school- year.	Principal	On-going	
4	All teachers attend content area orientation at the district level and school-level during pre-planning.	Professional Development Facilitator, District Support	On-going	
5	5. Assign mentor teachers to support new teachers.	Professional Development Facilitator	August 2012 - June 2013	
6	6. Use district calendar to schedule and encourage on-going professional development in specific content areas.	Principal	August 2012 - June 2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
26	0.0%(0)	15.4%(4)	53.8%(14)	30.8%(8)	61.5%(16)	76.9%(20)	15.4%(4)	0.0%(0)	23.1%(6)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
Mnn lackson	Nancy Johnson	New Teacher paired with veteran teacher	Meet with mentee twice per week to discuss: *learning schedule *focus calendar *classroom management *analyzing student data and student work

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A	
All funds were used to fund coaching and interventionist positions at the school.	
Title I, Part C- Migrant	
Title I, Part D	
Title II	

itle X- Homeless	
upplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)	
These funds must be SAC approved. Projected Use: FCAT Saturday School, After School Tudeemed appropriate by the principal.	utoring, Standards-Based Instruction, faculty and student incentives as
/iolence Prevention Programs	
DCPS Character Education, Video Presentation, Gues	it speakers and group discussions
United States Attorney Office Presentation on gun vic	plence
Nutrition Programs	
Breakfast In the classroom	
Housing Programs	
Head Start	
Adult Education	
Career and Technical Education	
Lib Tariata	
Job Training	
Other	
other -	
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Resp	onse to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)
-School-based MTSS/RtI Team-	
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.	
Robert McGill (Facilitator) Larry Brown (Assistant Prin Battle, A. Jackson.	ncipal), G. Pringle, Latanya Owens, Chrystal Shannon,M. Jackson-Batts, B.
Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS	n functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it wor efforts?
_	cuss and review classroom instruction and intensive intervention ased on current data and assessments. The team collaborates to all Tiers timely and effectively.

Leadership Team will monitor the progress of students and the effectiveness of instructional techniques.

The RtI team collaborated with administration to help develop the SIP. The purpose of the SIP was reviewed. Data was collected and analyzed to identify barriers and target social/emotional high need areas. The members collaborated to evaluate student performance, attendance and behavior. A problem-solving approach among the PLCs identified specific

The MTSS Leadership Team will train instructional staff on strategies to effectively implement RTI school-wide. The MTSS

areas of need within each area then measurable outcomes were developed and projected to address the areas of concern.

TMTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

FCAT Reading, Math, Science, and Writing Results, Benchmark Assessments, Learning Schedule Assessment Results, FAIR Test Results, Compass Odyssey Reports and academic history.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The school-based RtI team will present trainings on the different tiers and attend district level training throughout the 2012-2013 academic school years.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

The administrators will provide support to the RtI team by providing guidance.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Sadie Milliner-Smith (Principal), Larry Brown, (Assistant Principal), G. Denise Pringle (Reading Coach), Nancy Johnson, Anan Marino, Jodi Mack, (ELA Teachers), Kacy Kelly, (Reading Teacher)

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Members meet and collaborate monthly to review data to ensure school-wide literacy and researched-based instructional strategies are being used across the curriculum to support students needs. Member will implement and monitor school-wide literacy and provide intensive literacy support to students and parents. The team will integrate modifications and accommodations for students who demonstrate low reading skills and slow progress.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiative of the LLT is to increase school-wide literacy by incorporating strategies to support students. The LLT goal is to increase the number of student making learning gains in reading by:

- 1. meeting to review data to address student deficiencies
- 2. providing on-going researched based professional development for teachers
- 3. incorporating activities in lessons that will promote independent readers

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Teachers will use training from District PLC to incorporate reading strategies in all content areas. Teachers will be encouraged to pursue reading endorsement and attend district-level training on reading strategies used across the content areas. Implementation of the reading strategies will be monitored by administration, coach, and school-level ELA teachers. Every teacher ensures that students are involved in reading through the integration of reading strategies such as note-taking, recall, information, column, etc.

*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High Scho</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

	I on the analysis of sprovement for the fol		t achievement data, and group:	refe	rence to "Gu	iiding	Questions", identify a	and d	lefine areas in need
				Increase th	Increase the number of students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading by 3%(18).				
2012	Current Level of Pe	erforn	nance:		2013 Expe	ectec	d Level of Performar	ice:	
10.11(1.01(1)) 7.7% (3.31(1).5(1)(0.01(1).8(1).8(1)(0.01(1).8(1)(1)(0.01(1).8(1)(1)(1)(1.01(1).8(1)(1.01(1).8(1)(1)(1.01(1)(1)(1)(1)(1.01(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1.01(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1.01(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1.01(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)					25% (18) (reading	of stu	udents are expected to	o ach	ieve Level 3 in
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	Increase St	uder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R			Person or Position Responsible Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
1	Transitional student 45-180 days rotation	n.	Analyze student-data to provide focused and meaningful reading instruction Align Instruction with	Re	Reading Coach Reading Teachers Intake Office		Analyze Fair and Lear Schedule Data throughout the year.	_	Teacher Data Notebooks, FAIR Data Learning Schedule
			Learning Schedule to lim instructional disruption.	it					
2									
of imp	provement for the follorida Alternate As ents scoring at Leve	lowing sessm		refe	rence to "Gu	iiding	g Questions", identify a	and d	lefine areas in need
Read	ing Goal #1b:								
2012	Current Level of Pe	erforn	nance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	Increase St	uder	nt Achievement		
Antic	nticipated Barrier Strategy Pos Res for		Posi Resp For	esponsible Effe		cess Used to ermine ectiveness of ategy	Eval	uation Tool	
	No Data Submitted								

	CAT 2.0: Students sco 4 in reading.	ring at or above Achiever	Increase the number of current students that will maintain level 4 or improve to level 5 in reading by 3% (6).					
Read	ing Goal #2a:			level i di impreve te level e in redding eg eve (e).				
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performa	nce:		
Curre readir	_	scored at or above Level 4	in	9% (6)of stud higher in readi	ents are expected to a	ıchiev	re a level 4 or	
		Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position Pesponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
1	Extend higher level or advanced Reading/ Language Arts course enrich those skills through rigorous curriculum; differentiat to accommodate individualized need.	(Rigor) Research/Extension	Rea Rea	ading Coach ading Teachers ading erventionist	On-going monitoring LSA and Fair Data. Common Planning wi department.		Teacher Data Notebook Compass Odyssey Student Work/Projects, FAIR LSA Pre & Post	
2								
of imp 2b. F Stude readi	orovement for the follow lorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at or abo			rence to "Guidin	ng Questions", identify	and d	define areas in need	
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement			
Antio	nticipated Barrier Strategy Pos for		Posit Resp for	onsible De Eff	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		uation Tool	
		No I	Data :	Submitted				
	I on the analysis of stud provement for the follow	lent achievement data, and ring group:	refer	ence to "Guidin	ng Questions", identify	and c	define areas in need	

Increase the number of students who will make gains on the 2012-2013 FCAT Reading developmental scale score by 3% (6).

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

of improvement for the following group:

3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning

gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3a:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Currently 6% (4) of students made learning gains on the 2011-2012 FCAT in reading.

9% (6)of students are expected to make learning gains in reading on the 2012-2013 FCAT Reading 2.0.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Transitional student population	Provide focused instruction in reading with concentration on specifications. Encourage daily reading school-wide.		Monitoring on-going Fair and LSA data.	District Reading Benchmark Assessment FAIR Testing Learning Schedule Assessments
2					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Students scoring in lowest 25% will make gains in reading on the 2012- 2013 FCAT 2.0 by 4% (36). Reading Goal #4: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance: Currently 47% (48) of students in the lowest 25% made 51% (36)of students are expected to make learning gains in reading 2012-2013 FCAT 2.0 learning gains in reading 2011-2012 FCAT 2.0 Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy

1	reading.	nt student		e strategies aprove reading	tear Rea Rea Inte	school- based m ding Coach ding erventionist chers	1	or assessment data 45-day rotation	Student Interest Inventory LSA Pre & Post Teacher Data SRI/FAIR Data Progress Reports
2									
Rase	d on Amh	uitious hut Achie	vahle Annual	Measurable Ob	viectiv	Wes (AMOs) AM	1O-2 F	Reading and Math Po	erformance Target
	011711110	THOUS BUT NOTHE	vable / illidar	Reading Goal 7		ves (/tivios), /tiv	10 2, 1	tedaning and matri is	errormance ranger
Meas	urable Ok ol will red	but Achievable bjectives (AMOs uce their achiev). In six year	5A :					4
	line data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-2016	2016-2017
		analysis of student for the following			efere	ence to "Guiding	g Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in nee
Hisp Satis	anic, Asia factory p	subgroups by e an, American I progress in rea	ndian) not n			N/A			
reac	ling Goal	#5B:							
2012	2 Current	Level of Perfo	rmance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A						N/A			
			Problem-Sol	ving Process	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Ach	ievement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
			<u>'</u>				•		•
		analysis of student for the following			efere	ence to "Guidino	g Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in nee
	_	anguage Learr progress in rea		t making		N/A			
Reac	ling Goal	#5C:				IV/ /\			
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
N/A						N/A			
			Problem-Sol	ving Process	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Ach	ievement	
	Antio	ipated Barrier	St	rategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for		rocess Used to Determine ffectiveness of	Evaluation Too

'						
	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following		l refere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
				N/A		
Reau	ing Goal #5D:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A				N/A		
	Pi	roblem-Solving Process	stolr	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
			•			•

Monitoring

N/A

N/A

Strategy

N/A

N/A

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. N/A Reading Goal #5E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus PD Facilitator and/or PLC Level/Subject Leader	subject grade	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
--	---------------	--------------	--	---

Differentiated Instruction	6-8 ELA Teachers	IPAadind	ELA Teachers, Social Studies Teachers	Planning PLC Training, Bi- weekly Early Release Training,		Administration Reading Coach Department/Grade Level Chair
Middle School PLC ELA - focus is to develop a system to be used to help students grow in their learning.	6-8 ELA Teachers	9	Reading Teachers, ELA Teachers,	Monthly PLC meeting	Lesson Plan, Common Planning meeting, Data Notebook	Administration Reading Coach Department/Grade Level Chair

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Progran	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.								
1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.								
CELLA Goal #1:								
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking								

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool							
No Data Submitted											
Students read in English	at grade level text in a man	ner similar to no	on-ELL students.								
2. Students scoring pr	oficient in reading.										
CELLA Goal #2:											
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in re	eading:									
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to Increase S	tudent Achievement								
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool							
	No	Data Submitted									
Students write in English	n at grade level in a manner	similar to non-El	LL students.								
3. Students scoring pr	oficient in writing.										
CELLA Goal #3:											
2012 Current Percent	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:										
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement											
Anticipated Barrier	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy										
	No	Data Submitted									

CELLA Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* Whei	n using percentages, incluc	de the number of students the p	percei	entage represent	ts (e.g., 70% (35)).		
	d on the analysis of stude provement for the following	ent achievement data, and rengering group:	efere	ence to "Guidin	ng Questions", identify a	and c	define areas in need
math	CAT2.0: Students scori nematics. ematics Goal #1a:	ing at Achievement Level (38%(27)of stu	udents will score at or a	above	Level 3.
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:		2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performar	nce:	
35%(11)		:	38%(27)			
		Problem-Solving Process t	toIn	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	Students exit (withdraw weekly to return to the school of record.		Com Lab Matl	ch Teachers nputer Instructors ch Coach	On-going monitoring assignment completic teacher observation, student monitoring as student success plan conferencing	on; nd	LSA Baseline and Post Exit Slips Teacher Data Notebook Benchmark Assessment
2	Lack of Academic Interest	Reinforcing efforts Provide academic recognition and real world connections	Matl	th Teachers th Coach	Teacher observation; progress monitoring a student success plan conferencing	and	Teacher Observations Student Work Folders Interest Inventory
	d on the analysis of stude provement for the following	ent achievement data, and reing group:	efere	ence to "Guidin	ng Questions", identify a	and c	lefine areas in need
Stude	lorida Alternate Assessents scoring at Levels a ematics Goal #1b:	sment: 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics	S.				
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ırmance:		2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performar	nce:	
		Problem-Solving Process t	toIn	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement		
Antic	cipated Barrier Str.	rategy Po fo	or	onsible Eff	ocess Used to etermine fectiveness of rategy	Eval	uation Tool
		No D:	ata S	Submitted			

	provement for the foll		roup:	reier	ence to Gu	laing	Questions , identify a	and d	letine areas in need
	FCAT 2.0: Students s el 4 in mathematics.	scoring	at or above Achievem	nent		uden	ts will score at or abo	ove le	vel 4.
Math	nematics Goal #2a:								
2012	2 Current Level of Pe	erforma	nce:		2013 Ехре	ectec	Level of Performar	ice:	
5%(2	2)				8%(6)				
		Prob	olem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Sti	uder	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barr	ier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool
1	Students exit/ without weekly to return to school of record.	the fo lea er ali	nsure faculty members follow the district parning schedule to insure academic ignment ignement technology	Cor Ins Ma	Math Teachers Computer Lab Instructors Math Coach		On-going monitoring demonstration of skill retention; cooperativ learning, advance gra organizers and manipulative	l ⁄e	LSA Baseline and Post Assessments Mathematic Benchmark FCAT Results Mini Assessments
		ac pa m ar re	ncrease rigorous on-line cademic mathematics articipation); progress nonitor for skill retention and application; increase acovery options))					
2	Lack of Academic Interest	Pr fe ar	einforcing relevancy rovide recognition, eedback nd real world onnections	Math Teachers Department Ch			Teacher observation; progress monitoring, simulation test; conr rigorous assignments test results	nect	Data Notebooks Teacher Observation Student Work Folders Interest Inventory
3	Conduct hinders academic progress	ar in in	se extension activities and interventions to acrease productive astructional time	Inte	th Teacher erventionist unselors th Coach		Teacher observation; student success plar increase parent cont	ıs,	Data Notebooks Parent Call Logs Daily Point Sheets On-Course
		Pr	roximity control						
	d on the analysis of siprovement for the foll		achievement data, and r	refer	ence to "Gu	iding	Questions", identify a	and d	lefine areas in need
Stud	Florida Alternate Ass lents scoring at or a nematics.		nt: chievement Level 7 in	l					
IVIati	lematics Goal # 20:								
2012	2 Current Level of Pe	erforma	nce:		2013 Expe	ectec	Level of Performar	ice:	
		Prob	olem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Sti	uder	nt Achievement		
Anti	cipated Barrier	Strateg	F F f	Posit Respo or	ion onsible	Dete Effe	cess Used to ermine ctiveness of tegy	Eval	uation Tool

	d on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
gains	CAT 2.0: Percentage of some sign mathematics. ematics Goal #3a:	tudents making learning	Percentage of s	Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics will increase by 73%(52)			
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:			
71%	(70)		73% (52)				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students enrolled for only one quarter of the school year		All content are teachers	Alternative test-taking strategies; hands-on and visuals	Benchmark Assessments Formal/ Informal Tests FCAT Testing Pre/ Post Testing Compass Odyssey		
	d on the analysis of student provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

		analysis of stude nt for the followi		ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding	J Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need
maki	4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:					Students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics will increase by at least 27%(19).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:						2013 Expected	d Leve	el of Performance:	
25%(25)						27%(19)			
			Problem-Sol	ving Process	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Ach	nievement	
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R					Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Student transition Students enrolled for a minimum of 45 days of the school year.		of 45 days of	Mat	h Teachers h Coach ninistration	On-g	room visits oing monitoring for onstration of skill ition	Progress reports Student grades Benchmark testing LSA Pre & Post	
5A. A Measu	mbitious urable Ob I will red	but Achievable bjectives (AMOs uce their achiev	Annual I. In six year			ves (AMOs), AM nematics Goal #		Reading and Math P	erformance Target
	ine data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-2015 2015-2016 201			2016-2017
		analysis of stude		ent data, and r	efere	ence to "Guiding	J Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need
5B. S Hispa satist	tudent s anic, Asia factory p	ubgroups by e an, American I progress in ma Goal #5B:	thnicity (Wh			N/A			
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	rmance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A						N/A			
			Problem-Sol	ving Process	toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Ach	nievement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need			
	nglish Language Learner factory progress in math		N/A	N/A				
Math	ematics Goal #5C:							
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:				
N/A			N/A					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need			
satis	students with Disabilities factory progress in math ematics Goal #5D:		N/A	N/A				
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A			N/A	N/A				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
				1				
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need			
	conomically Disadvantaç factory progress in math	-	3					
	ematics Goal #5E:		N/A					
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A			N/A	N/A				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement				
			Person or	Process Used to				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

	n using percentages, include I on the analysis of studen					dofino aroas in noos
	provement for the following		erer	ence to Guiding	Questions , identify and c	define areas in need
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. Algebra Goal #1:			100%(1) of the 3.	students enrolled in Algeb	ra will score a level	
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
10.5% (2) of students scored at/above above standard.				100%(1)of stud	dents will score at/above st	tandard.
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students exit (withdraw) weekly to return to the school of record.	Ensure faculty members follow the district learning schedule to ensure academic alignment Implement technology Increase recovery options	Cor	th Teacher mputer Lab tructors	On-going monitoring for assignment completion. Assessments Student monitoring, student success plan and one-on-one conferencing.	LSA Baseline and Post Exit Slips Mathematic Benchmarks FCAT Results Teacher Data Notebook Technology
2	Students' knowledge of Pre-algebra standards is limited	Math Department will utilize PLC time to analyze LSA and Benchmark data and determine the needs of students. Algebra 1 teacher will create warm-up, mini lesson, and classroom activities that will used remediate student skills in Pre-Algebra.	Ma	th Teacher th Coach ministrators	Use of manipulative and best teaching practices in all math classes. Lesson Plans Observations Ongoing Professional Development to ensure that teachers are aware of best practices that can be utilized in their classroom with their student population. Use LSA and Benchmarks Data to determine student progression and to determine strategies that can be utilized during instruction.	Assessments Student Work Inform Bench mark test LSAs (Learning Schedule Assessments)

3		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
5 in Algebra.	ve Achievement Levels 4	100%(1) of the		ra will score at or
Current Level of Perforr	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
f students scored at or abo	ove Level 4 in Algebra.	100%(1) of stu Algebra.	dents will score at or abov	e Level 4 in
Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
Students exit/ withdraw weekly to return to the school of record.	Create warm-up, mini lesson, and classroom activities that will provide opportunities for enrichment. Provide problem solving strategies that assist with understanding what questions are asking. Analyze classroom, LSA and Benchmark data to determine the needs of students. Utilize the District's Learning Schedule as a guide to ensure that student remain on target when returning to their school of record.	Math teacher Math Coach	Use of manipulative and best teaching practices for student engagement. Ongoing Professional Development to ensure that teachers are aware of best practices and to be informed upon changes and trends mandated by the district. Use LSA and Benchmarks Data to determine student progression and to determine strategies that can be utilized during instruction.	LSAs (Learning Schedule Assessments) Assessments Student Work Inform Benchmarks Test
	corovement for the following udents scoring at or about in Algebra. Current Level of Perform f students scored at or about President Anticipated Barrier Students exit/ withdraw weekly to return to the	corovement for the following group: uddents scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 in Algebra. Current Level of Performance: Froblem-Solving Process to Students exit/ withdraw weekly to return to the school of record. Create warm-up, mini lesson, and classroom activities that will provide opportunities for enrichment. Provide problem solving strategies that assist with understanding what questions are asking. Analyze classroom, LSA and Benchmark data to determine the needs of students. Utilize the District's Learning Schedule as a guide to ensure that student remain on target when returning to their	provement for the following group: udents scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 s in Algebra. pra Goal #2: Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected 100%(1) of the above Level 4 in Algebra. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Students exit/ withdraw weekly to return to the school of record. Create warm-up, mini lesson, and classroom activities that will provide opportunities for enrichment. Provide problem solving strategies that assist with understanding what questions are asking. Analyze classroom, LSA and Benchmark data to determine the needs of students. Utilize the District's Learning Schedule as a guide to ensure that student remain on target when returning to their	dents scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 is in Algebra. Dra Goal #2: Current Level of Performance: Current Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Students exit/ withdraw weekly to return to the school of record. Students exit/ withdraw weekly to return to the school of students will provide proporturities for enrichment. Provide problem solving strategies that assist with understanding what questions are asking. Analyze classroom, LSA and Benchmark data to determine the needs of students. Utilize the District's Learning Schedule as a guide to ensure that student remain on target when returning to their

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target								
3A. Ambitious Measurable Ob school will red by 50%.	ojectives (AMO	s). In six year	Algebra Goal #			_			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017			

	analysis of student of the student of the follow		ent data, and referer	nce to "Guiding Ques	tions", identify and	define areas in need
Hispanic, Asi	subgroups by an, American progress in Al #3B:	Indian) not m				
2012 Curren	t Level of Perf	ormance:	2	2013 Expected Leve	el of Performance:	

	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	nticipated Barrier Strategy Pos for		on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	r	No Data	Submitted				
Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo	student achievement data, a llowing subgroup:	nd refer	rence to "G	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need		
3C. English Language Lo satisfactory progress in	earners (ELL) not making n Algebra.						
Algebra Goal #3C:							
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Performa	nnce:		
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	L		Submitted				
Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, a llowing subgroup:	nd refer	rence to "Gi	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need		
3D. Students with Disab satisfactory progress in	oilities (SWD) not making n Algebra.						
Algebra Goal #3D:							
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease St	tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Re for		Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	ľ		Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

3E. Economically Disa satisfactory progress	s not making					
Algebra Goal #3E:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solvi	ng Process to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for			on or ion onsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted			
					End of Algebra EOC Goal	

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	f student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", id	lentify and define areas
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or ion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data :	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define a in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.Geometry Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

		Problem	n-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tuden	t Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy		Strategy	Posi Resp for		on or tion ponsible itoring	nsible Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of		Evaluation Tool
			No	Data	Submitted			
Based on Ambitious Target	but	Achievable	e Annual Measurak	ole Ob	jectives (A	MOs),	AMO-2, Reading a	nd Math Performance
3A. Ambitious but A Annual Measurable (AMOs). In six year reduce their achiev 50%.	Obje scho	ctives ol will	Geometry Goal #					<u></u>
Baseline data 2011-2012	201	2-2013	2013-2014		2014-20	15	2015-2016	2016-2017
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define are in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Position Responsible for Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Evaluation Tool						nance:		
			No		itoring Submitted			
Based on the analy in need of improver 3C. English Langu satisfactory progr	nent age l ess i	for the followers (owing subgroup: (ELL) not making		eference to) "Guid	ing Questions", id	entify and define areas
2012 Current Leve	el of	Performai	nce:		2013 Exp	ected	Level of Perform	nance:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	f student achievement data, for the following subgroup:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", id	dentify and define areas
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data	Submitted		

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Differentiated Instruction	6th - 8th Grade	Math Coach Math Chair Administration	6th - 8th Teachers	BI-weekly Early	Teachers will analyze student work, Data Note, Classroom Walkthroughs	Math Chair Teachers Math Coach Administration
District Middle School Math PLC	6th - 8th Grade	District Math Coach	6th Grade Teacher Math Coach Principal	9/20/12, 10/25/12, 11/29/12, 2/19/12	Teachers will share information after attending workshop. Teachers will analyze student work, Lesson Plan.	Teachers Math Coach Principal

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:	Students scoirng at Acieevement Level 3 in Science will increase by 13%(3).			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
9% (5)	15%(4)			

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	gaps that may have	level to address instructional strategies	their students.	Data will desegregated to determine which strands did not achieve proficiency	Weekly mini assessments will confirm understanding, along with daily assessments in the form of exit ticket question at the conclusion of class. LSA Pre & Post Assessments			

	of student achievement data rement for the following grou		reference	to "Guiding Questions",	identify and define
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
	Problem-Solving Process	s to In	crease S	tudent Achievement	
Posi Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, areas in need of improvement for the following group	and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define o:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
2%(1)	4%(1)				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Person or Process Used to				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Due to the transient nature of our students it is difficult to contro the curriculum each student is receiving.	Meet weekly for both department and grade	teacher will be responsible for monitoring the achievement of their students. Assistant	LSA and classroom data will be used to determine which strands students did not achieve proficiency and those strands will be prioritize or retaught.	LSA Pre & Post Assessments
2					

	of student achievement data vement for the following gro		reference	to "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science.					
Science Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level o	f Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Literary Academy	6th - 8th Teachers	District	Science Teachers	1/11/13 1/31/13	Classroom Observation LSA Pre & Post	Science Chair Assistant Principal
Differentiated Instruction	6th - 8th	FDLRS	Science Teachers	10/15/12	Classroom Observation LSA Pre & Post	Science Chair Assistant Principal

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

l	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for th	ent achievement data, ar e following group:	nd reference to "Gu	ilding Questions", identify	/ and define areas		
3.0 a	CAT 2.0: Students scor nd higher in writing. ng Goal #1a:	ring at Achievement Le	The number of	The number of students who will maintain level 3 and higher in writing will increase by 11% (57).			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	<u>;</u> :		
l	d on District Writes, 69% achieved a 3.0 or higher	(20) of current students		At least 80% (57) of students will be on Level 3 or higher by the end of the year.			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	icipated Barrier Strategy Re		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	The students are enrolled for a minimum of 45 days.	Writing will be taught through differentiation within the ELA classroom. Students will grade writing assessments and support through the Focus Organization Support (Conventions) FOSC rubric; writing will be a major component in other core areas so that students will have more exposure.		Student engagement, participation and attendance in class and writers' workshops; Student and faculty input via exit slips; student portfolios exhibiting pre-writing through publishing	Teacher observation Student work District Timed Writing Prompt		

	I on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd re	ference to "Gu	iding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:				The number of students scoring at 4 or higher in writing will increase by 4%(23)on Florida Writes 2.0.			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performanc	e:	
36% (21) of 8th graders scored 4.0 or higher last year- 2012.				40%(23)of 8th graders will score at 4.0 or higher this year 2013.			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Res	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students do not attend offered tutoring	Writing will be a major component in other core areas so that students will have more exposure.	Read ALL	ninistration, ding Coach, teachers	Evaluation of writing scores and writing portfolios that reflect multiple stages in the writing process	District Timed Writing, Insight/Inform, Compass Writes Reports, Teacher Observation, Essay Smart	
2		Students will grade writing assessments and support through the calibration rubrics and anchor papers	Read	ninistration, ding Coach, teachers	Evaluation of writing scores and writing portfolios that reflect multiple stages in the writing process	District Timed Writing, Insight/Inform, Compass Writes Reports, Teacher Observation, Essay Smart	
3	Limited funding to provide extension activities, resources, etc.	Writing in the classroom will be taught through various mediums (i.e. virtual workshops, powerpoint presentations, writing projects, etc.)	Read	ninistration, ding Coach, teachers	Evaluation of writing scores and writing portfolios that reflect multiple stages in the writing process	District Timed Writing, Insight/Inform, Compass Writes Reports, Teacher Observation, Essay Smart	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Professional Development Workshops (FCAT 2.0)	7,8	District Coach	ELA Teachers	2012	Teachers will share information with the department	Principal Reading Coach
School Based ELA PLC	6,7,8	ELA Department Chair	All ELA teachers	Weekiy	Submit Meeting Minutes, Common format for data tracking and portfolio usage	Reading Coach Principal

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progr			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1. Students scoring at	Achievement Level 3 in C	ivics.				
Civics Goal #1:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define are in need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.						
Civics Goal #2:						

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Civics Budget:

Evidence-based Progra			Aviailabla
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Attendance Goal(s)

	d on the analysis of atte provement:	ndance data, and referen	ice to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and def	ine areas in need			
1. At	tendance							
Atte	ndance Goal #1:		Improve daily a	attendance rate by 4%(9	22)			
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expecte	d Attendance Rate:				
85.69	% (85)		91% (92)					
	2 Current Number of St ences (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive			
18%	(18)		14%(14)					
	2 Current Number of St ies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expecte Tardies (10 or	d Number of Students more)	with Excessive			
6%(6))		5%(5)	5%(5)				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	Lack of Attendance and Class Participation	Identify students with 3 unexcused absences in weekly team meetings, and refer to Attendance Clerk. Attendance and Intervention Team will notify parents of students with 5 or more days of non-attendance. Counsel students and place on success plan for attendance for attendance and communicate/conference with parents Use parent notification system to inform parents of absences.	Attendance Clerk Grade Level Chairs Social worker	Focus on attendance referrals for student with excessive absences.	On-Course Attendance Report Team Up / Tutoring Enrollment			
2	Transportation	Issue bus tokens for public transportation Provide parents with transportation options (bus stops and schedules	Administrative Sect. Attendance Clerk Administration	Observe how students are being transported to and from school	School Climate Survey			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Student Attendance Policy Review	6th - 8th Grade	Social Worker	All teachers Administrators Coaches	December 2012	will monitor teacher data input of	Attendance Clerk Guidance Counselor

Attendance Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Suspension	Decrease the number of students suspended in in-school suspension by 10%(44)			
Suspension Goal #1:				
	Decrease the number of students suspended in out of school suspension by 30%			
2012 Total Number of In-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions			
53% (53)	43% (44)			

2012	Total Number of Stude	ents Suspended In-Sch	2013 Expecte School	d Number of Students	Suspended In-		
53%	(53)		43% (44)	43% (44)			
2012	2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions			d Number of Out-of-Sc	chool		
49%	(49)		39% (40)				
2012 Scho	Total Number of Stude ol	ents Suspended Out-of-	- 2013 Expecte of-School	d Number of Students	Suspended Out-		
49%	(49)		39% (40)				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	Students are already in alternative setting for behaviors. (negative attitudes and social relationships)	Character Development Program- students attend class Behavior Counseling Incentive Programs	Grade Level Counselors Interventionist Administration/ Teachers	On-going Monitoring of attendance referrals. Establish stronger relationships with parents and other stakeholders.	Attendance Referrals Interventionist Referrals Point Sheets		
1		Daily Point System Increase communication with parents and other stakeholders Recognition for less referrals (student/teacher)			Genesis Discipline Report		
2	Student School Safety (neighborhood issues brought into the classroom)	Improve school culture and climate Visible administration, faculty and staff Visible/ clear expectations Student Assembly	School Resource Officer Parent Involvement Committee Behavior Interventionist	Conference with students about current events and school climate Create risk-free environment for students to report bullying or unwanted behaviors Inspirational Story about Successful Businessman	School Climate Survey Observations Student Reflection		
3	Lack of parental support	Parent Workshops; increase parent contact and communication; flexible parent conferences, in-school suspension verses out- of school suspension	Parent Involvement Committee Administration Mentoring Program	Decrease out-of-school suspension Compare number of referrals written to teacher submission quarterly to assess greatest area of need.	Genesis Discipline Report Parent Conference Log		

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Behavior Tools Training	6th - 8th Grade	District ESE Staff	All Teachers	10/3/12	Monitoring	Principal Assistant Principal

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	^		Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement:				
1. Parent Involvement				
Parent I nvolvement Goal #1:				
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	Increase the percentage of parent participation by at least 20% at events.			
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
Varies based on sign-in log	Varies based on sign-in log			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students exit (withdraw) weekly and students are on a 45 days enrollment period.	Establish stronger relationships with parents during orientation. (communicate school vision and needs) Make bi-weekly contact and establish goals and clear expectations immediately with follow-up. (point sheets, parent portal, email, phone, parent link) Establish warm inviting school climate; Parent Area (Room 30)	Administration	Administration Ms. Woulard (Parent Involvement Committee Chair)	Observation Sign In Logs Phone Logs Parent Pre/ Post Survey Attendance
2	Negative connation about alternative schools.	Provide media Provide opportunities for volunteering and shadowing; Celebrations and Recognition Activities	Counselors Business Partners Administration Parent Involvement Committee Coach	Celebration/ Recognition Activities School Announcements/ Flyers	Parent Pre/Post Survey Sign In Logs Attendance
3	Working parents (School does not have PTA); Transportation	Flexible time/ schedule Alternative contact and participation measures	Teachers Parent Involvement Committee	School Webpage comments/ suggestion box School Media	Webpage Comment Section Parent Feedback

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Base	d on the analysis of scho	ol data, identify and defi	ne areas in need of	improvement:		
1. ST	EM // Goal #1:			Add STEM opportunities for students through school projects and activities.		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students are enrolled for a minimum 45-day period.	Math and Science teachers will work collaboratively to create lessons and activities that will provide opportunities for students to use technology for research, data gathering, data analysis, and communication.	Grade 6-8 Science and Math Teachers	Increased student achievement in math and science.	Teacher lesson plans, Student work, Classroom observations.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Elements and factors that are critical to integrating mathematics and science instruction in the classroom.	18/Mathematics	District Mathematics and Science Coach	Math and Science teachers	January 2013	Observations	School Mathematics Coach, Principal, S. Milliner-Smith

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of	of school data, ident	ify and define areas	s in need of impr	rovement:	
1. CTE					
CTE Goal #1:					
	Problem-Solvin	g Process to Incre	ease Student Ad	chievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person o Position Respons for Monitori	ible Process Determine Effective Strategy	ne eness of	Evaluation Tool
		No Data Subr	nitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		ľ	No Data Submitte	d		

CTE Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Create a school culture in which at least 95% of students feel safe at school Goal:

ı	on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd	reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas
stude	eate a school culture in ents feel safe at school e a school culture in w ents feel safe at school	Goal hich at least 95% of	f	Decrease the n	umber of classroom incid	dents by 10%.
2012	Current level:			2013 Expecte	d level:	
27%	(20)			10% (10)		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	:o I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teacher lack of classroom management	Champs Training Behavior Tool Training	AII	Teachers	Classroom Observation Number of referrals written by teachers	Classroom Walkthrough Parent/Student Conference Log Referrals Written

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Behavior Tools	6th - 8th Grade	District ESE Staff	All teachers	10/3/12	Monitor number	Principal Assistant Principal
Book Study	Grades 6-8	L. Branch, School Reading Interventionist	All Teachers	10/24/12,10/31/12, 11/14/12, 12/5/12	Field Experience	L. Branch, School Reading Interventionist; Milliner-Smith, Principal

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Book Study	Book: How to Talk so Teens Can Listen and Listen so Teens Will Talk	Professional Developmer	nt \$500.00
			Subtotal: \$500.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$500.00

End of Create a school culture in which at least 95% of students feel safe at school Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progran	m(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Create a school culture in which at least 95% of students feel safe at school	Book Study	Book: How to Talk so Teens Can Listen and Listen so Teens Will Talk	Professional Development	\$500.00
				Subtotal: \$500.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$500.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus	j∩ Prevent	j n NA
----------------------	------------	---------------

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/29/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds	Amount
No data submitted	

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found