FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: GOLDEN GROVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Kathryn M. Koerner

SAC Chair: Teresa Stanco

Superintendent: E. Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: January 2011

Last Modified on: 10/15/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal | Kathryn M. Koerner | BS- Elementary Education, University of Central Florida; Master of Education- Educational Leadership, Florida Atlantic University; Principal Certification- State of Florida; Elementary Education; English for Speakers of Other Languages | 4 | 8 | Principal of Golden Grove Elementary 2008-2012 Grade: A- 590 total points Assistant Principal of Pierce Hammock 2004-2008 Grade A- all years. Met AYP 2005,2006, 2008. Provisional Status-2007 |
| | | BS-Elementary Education with ESOL | | | Assistant principal of Golden Grove Elementary School 2011 |

| Accie Drincinal | Philip C. Preddy | Endorsement, Florida Atlantic University; Master of Educational Leadership, Nova Southeastern University. | 3 | 3 | Grade A- 590 total points Teacher on Special Assignment as Assistant Principal at Grassy Waters Elementary. Grade A 567 points. 2010 Ayp - 100% met. Grade A 2005-2010. AYP '09 - 92%, '08 - 100%, '07 - 100%, '06 - 96%. | |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
|-----------------|---------------------|--|---|---|--|--|

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification (s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) | |
|-------------------|------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| No data submitted | | | | | | |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Build capacity with interim teachers and practicum/internship students for future hire. | Mentor Teachers | On-going | |
| 2 | Modeling and mentoring for beginning teachers and any teacher that is teaching a new content area. | Mentor Teachers | On-going | |
| 3 | Professional Development Opportunities | PDD Team | On-going | |
| 4 | Provide a working environment that is clean, safe, and caring. | Administration, Custodians, and Hospitality Committee | On-going | |
| 5 | | Administration, PDD Team, LTM Team Leaders. | On-going | |
| 6 | Hire Highly Qualified teachers and paraprofessionals. | Principal | On-going | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| Currently, all instructional staff and paraprofessionals are Highly Effective Instructors. | Any instructional staff or paraprofessional that is not highly effective, would receive professional development opportunities to become highly effective. They would be assigned to a teacher mentor to assist with lesson planning and model lessons for them. The non-highly effective instructional staff would |

also be supported though professional collaboration such as Learning Team Meetings, Grade Level Meetings, and book studies.

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|---------|---|--|---|------------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 42 | 2.4%(1) | 4.8%(2) | 31.0%(13) | 61.9%(26) | 31.0%(13) | 100.0%(42) | 14.3%(6) | 0.0%(0) | 95.2%(40) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee | Rationale | Planned Mentoring |
|---------------|----------|-------------|--|
| | Assigned | for Pairing | Activities |
| Carol Lenhart | | | ESP program, observations, lesson support. |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Services and materials are provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through a variety of additional instruction opportunities and after school programs, tutorial, and/or summer school. Professional Development may be funded through the use of Title I funds with resources needed in Reading and Math classrooms. Also, the district coordinates with Title I schools ensuring staff development needs are provided. Title I funds family involvement activities throughout the year in the core curriculum areas coordinated by the Parent Liaison. A School Resource teacher is a Title I funded position. This resource position will provide additional instruction in a small group setting to those students in need of additional instruction to achieve proficiency in reading and math.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Not Applicable

Title I, Part D

Not Applicable

Title II

Not Applicable

Title III

Services are provided through the District for educational materials and ELL District Support Services to improve the education of Immigrant and English Language Learners.

Title X- Homeless

The District provides guidance and materials for students attending the school under the McKinney-Vento Act. This provides

students with a free and appropriate education. The school works hard to assist students who register at the school under the "Homeless" designation.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Diane Kinne, SAI Instructor, will provide 30 to 45 minutes of additional reading instruction for second and third grade students whose assessment scores identify as needing intensive immediate intervention in second and third grade.

Violence Prevention Programs

School-wide Bullying Provention, anti-drug, and conflict resolusion curiculum will be provided by the Guidance Counselor and/or teachers. School-wide implementation of the SwPBS positive behavior program. Single School Culture and Appreciation for Multicultural Diversity.

Nutrition Programs

The school will participate in the Power Up program, where all students are provided with breakfast at no cost. Teachers and staff will encourage all students to eat a good breakfast and a healthy lunch. The cafeteria staff will monitor students buying lunch to ensure that they are selecting items to create a balanced lunch. The cafeteria bulletin boards will be maintained and will include nutritional information.

Housing Programs

Not Applicable

Head Start

Not Applicable

Adult Education

Not Applicable

Career and Technical Education

The school's Guidance Counselor, Lori Bednarek, organizes a school-wide Career Day. Parents and community members, such as police, fire, and various other community businesses, present break-out sessions informing students about the many different work-related opportunities. There is also a display of different career vehicles in the parking lot for students to see and experience.

Job Training

Not Applicable

Other

Required Instruction Listed in 1003.42(2) F. S., as applicable to appropriate grade levels.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

- 1. Administration: Provides initial and continuing professional development opportunities, provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision making, leads effort to create infrastructure for school-wide implementation of RtI procedures, communicates with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities, provides necessary technology, materials, resources, and professional development to staff, ensures the fidelity of RtI implementation through routine scheduling, periodic observation, and discussion with RtI Leadership Team and school staff
- 2. School Psychologist: Participates in collection, interpretation, and analysis of data, facilitates in data collection and development of intervention plans, provides support for data collection and intervention fidelity and documentation, facilitates data-based decision making activities
- 3. ESE Teachers: Collect data on individual students, collaborates with general education teachers to assist in data collection an implementation of interventions
- 4. Speech Language Pathologist: Educates the team in the role language plays in curriculum, assessment, and instruction
- 5. Select General Education Teachers: Collect data on individual students, provides information about core instruction, collaborates with others staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, administer assessments and chart and evaluate results, provide assessment and student progress information to parents
- 6. Resource Teachers: Assists in identifying appropriate, evidence-based interventions strategies, assists with whole school screening programs to identify students who may be considered "at risk", provides professional development to school staff, assists in data collection, data analysis, and progress monitoring

- 7. Nurse: provides information on students who have or may have medical conditions which effect school performance
- 8. Guidance Counselor and Intervention Resource Teacher Coordinate meeting, set Agenda, implement Tiers of strategy as needed.
- 9. ESOL Contact provides information about, and interventions for, ELL students. Serves as a liaison between teachers and ELL students.
- 10. Parent Liaison Serve as a link between home and school to equip parents with the tools necessary to help their child suceed through the RtI process.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school-based RtI Leadership Team will meet regularly to review universal screening data, diagnostic data, and progress monitoring data. Based on this information, the team will identify the professional development activities needed to create effective learning environments. After determining that effective Tier 1- Core Instruction is in place, the team will identify students who are not meeting identified academic targets. The identified students will be referred to the school-based RtI Leadership Team.

The SBT will use the Problem Solving Model* to conduct all meetings. Based on data and discussion, the team will identify students who are in need of additional academic and/or behavioral support (supplemental or intensive). An intervention plan will be developed (PBCSD Form 2284) which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team will ensure the necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity. Each case will be assigned a case liaison to support the interventionist (e.g., teacher, RtI/Inclusion Facilitator, guidance counselor) and report back on all data collected for further discussion at future meetings.

The four steps of the Problem Solving Model are:

(1) Problem Identification entails identifying the problem and the desired behavior for the student. (2) Problem Analysis involves analyzing why the problem is occurring by collecting data to determine possible causes of the identified problem. (3) Intervention Design & Implementation involves selecting or developing evidence-based interventions based upon data previously collected. These interventions are then implemented. (4) Evaluating is also termed Response-to-Intervention. In this step, the effectiveness of a student's or group of students' response to the implemented intervention is evaluated and measured.

The problem solving process is self-correcting, and, if necessary, recycles in order to achieve the best outcomes for all students. This process is strongly supported by both IDEA and NCLB. Specifically, both legislative actions support all students achieving benchmarks regardless of their status in general or special education.

*Problem Solving & Response to Intervention Project 2008

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the school-based Rtl Leadership Team will meet with the School Advisory Council (SAC) and will help develop the SY13 SIP. Utilizing the previous year's data, information on Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 targets and focus attention on deficient areas will be discussed. Topics for discussion include, but are not limited to, the following:

FCAT scores and the lowest 25%, the strengths and weaknesses of intensive programs mentoring, tutoring, and other services.

-MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data:

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

Curriculum Based Measurement

Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)

Palm Beach County Fall Diagnostics

Palm Beach Writes

K-3 Literacy Assessment System

Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI)

Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR)

Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

Office Discipline Referrals

Retentions
Absences

Midyear data:
Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)
Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR)
Palm Beach County Winter Diagnostics
Palm Beach Writes
Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN)
Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI)
K-3 Literacy Assessment System

End of year data:
Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)

Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR) Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)

FCAT Writes

Frequency of required Data Analysis and Action Planning Days: Once within a cycle of instruction (refer to appropriate focus calendar) or as needed on an individual student's needs.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Professional development on the RtI procedures will be provided during Learning Team meetings, faculty meetings, and/or Professional Development Days (PDD). The RtI Team will also evaluate the need for additional professional development during the weekly RtI meetings and training will be provided when and if a need is indicated.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The team members include:

Kathryn Koerner Principal;

Philip Preddy Assistant Principal;

Jan Poppert Kindergarten Grade Level Chairperson; Terry Pentz 1st Grade Chairperson; Cheryl Johnson 2nd Grade Chairperson, Terri Haggerty 3rd Grade Chairperson Stephanie Field 4th Grade Chairperson, Connie Black 5th Grade Chairperson, Dora Budd ESE Reading Teacher;

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) creates capacity of reading knowledge within the school building and focuses on areas of literacy concern across the school. The LLT meets at least once a month.

The principal meets with the LLT at least once a month. Agenda topics include the discussion of the team's goals and progress, as well as identification of new strategies and activities to implement. As additional needs and concerns arise, the LLT investigates the concern, studies and plans a course of action, implements the action, analyzes its effectiveness, and reflects on the

process. This is a continuous process throughout the entire school year.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The LLT plays an integral role in fostering a rich literacy environment at the school for all students and staff. The team builds professional conversations; promotes collegiality, collaboration, and a literacy culture. Initiatives are on literacy-related data and needs assessments related to the school, including literacy achievement, motivation, and building a community of readers, both at school and home. This is a continuous process throughout the entire school year.

| Public School Choice Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 9/23/2012) *Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable. Golden Grove Elementary has various programs to help children transition from early childhood programs to elementary school. We provide: Kindergarten Roundup Orientation, Meet the Teacher, FLKRS Assessment on all Kindergarten students, and parent/teacher conferences. During Kindergarten Roundup, parents are given packets of information that helps them prepare their child for the transition from early childhood programs to Kindergarten. Staggered start dates are implemented during the first week of school. *Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S. For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future? |
|--|
| *Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable. Golden Grove Elementary has various programs to help children transition from early childhood programs to elementary school. We provide: Kindergarten Roundup Orientation, Meet the Teacher, FLKRS Assessment on all Kindergarten students, and parent/teacher conferences. During Kindergarten Roundup, parents are given packets of information that helps them prepare their child for the transition from early childhood programs to Kindergarten. Staggered start dates are implemented during the first week of school. *Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S. For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g) (j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable. Golden Grove Elementary has various programs to help children transition from early childhood programs to elementary school. We provide: Kindergarten Roundup Orientation, Meet the Teacher, FLKRS Assessment on all Kindergarten students, and parent/teacher conferences. During Kindergarten Roundup, parents are given packets of information that helps them prepare their child for the transition from early childhood programs to Kindergarten. Staggered start dates are implemented during the first week of school. *Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S. For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g) (j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| applicable. Golden Grove Elementary has various programs to help children transition from early childhood programs to elementary school. We provide: Kindergarten Roundup Orientation, Meet the Teacher, FLKRS Assessment on all Kindergarten students, and parent/teacher conferences. During Kindergarten Roundup, parents are given packets of information that helps them prepare their child for the transition from early childhood programs to Kindergarten. Staggered start dates are implemented during the first week of school. *Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S. For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g) (j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| school. We provide: Kindergarten Roundup Orientation, Meet the Teacher, FLKRS Assessment on all Kindergarten students, and parent/teacher conferences. During Kindergarten Roundup, parents are given packets of information that helps them prepare their child for the transition from early childhood programs to Kindergarten. Staggered start dates are implemented during the first week of school. *Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S. For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S. For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher. *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| *High Schools Only Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| |
| |
| |
| How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful? |
| |
| Postsecondary Transition |
| Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. |
| Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High Scho</u> <u>Feedback Report</u> |
| |

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

| | | • | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in nee | | |
| readi | CAT2.0: Students scoring ng. ing Goal #1a: | g at Achievement Level : | Increase the nu | Increase the number of students in grades 3-5 that achieve proficiency in reading. | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | | |
| 32% | (90) | | 50% | | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Some students are performing below grade level in reading. | Through LTM, teachers will monitor student progress and remediate areas of deficiency. | Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Staff | Classroom performance, Diagnostics, FCAT, Formative Assessments (Core K12) | Classrooom performance, Diagnostics, FCAT Formative Assessments (Cor K12) | | |
| 2 | Student motivation | To increase student motivation implement incentive programs such as Reading Counts certificates and Library Lock-in. | Media Specialist and Classroom teachers. | Media Specialist will monitor student Reading Counts data and commmunicate student progress to the instructional staff. | Reading Count Reports. | | |
| 3 | Student Attendance | Provide incentives for regular attendance through the Rock the Clock Program. | Guidance Counselor and classroom teachers. | Regular Review of Attendance reports. Letter and phone communication with parents when attendance becomes excessive. | Attendance Reports. | | |
| 4 | Students struggle with the wording of questions on the Reading FCAT 2.0 | | Principal, Assistant Principal,Teachers | Conferring Notes, Lesson Plans, Student Work Samples | Progress of students on a variety of assessments | | |
| 5 | Students lack reading volume and stamina | Implement Independent Reading with reading materials on the student's reading level. | | Reading Running Records, Reading Logs, Conferring Notes, Flexible Grouping, Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, Student Work Samples | Progress of students on a variety of assessments | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group: | ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: | |
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. | N/A |
| Reading Goal #1b: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| N/A | | | N/A | | | |
|---|--|--|-----|-----------------|--|--|
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool Strategy | | | | Evaluation Tool | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Increase the percentage of students in grades 3-5 that will achieve level 4 or 5 on the 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment. Reading Goal #2a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 34% (93) 40% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students choosing books Monitor student choices Principal, Assistant Classroom walkthrough, Diagnostic Tests, Principal, teacher observation, SRI FCAT, Formative below their current of independent reading reading level during material to ensure they Instructional Staff Scores, Reading Counts Assessments (Core are reading books at and independent reading Reports. K12) above their current lexile level. Student Motivation Media Specialist will Principal, Assistant Classroom & Diagnostic Diagnostic & FCAT implement a Florida Principal, Media Assessments Reading 2 Sunshine State Reader Specialist Assessments. incentive program

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in n of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| 100% (2) | N/A | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement | | | |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Responsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

| | on the analysis of studen or overment for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | | | Increase the pe | Increase the percent of students in grades 3-5 making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| 73% | (134) | | 75% | 75% | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Time Constraints | Student Data Chats and Individual goal setting following assessments. | Principal, Assistant Principal, and Teachersand students. | Diagnostics and Formative Assessments (Core K12) | FCAT Diagnostics, Formative Assessments (Core K12), EDW Data Reports. | |
| 2 | Students not reading appropriate leveled books. | Students will read books at or above their current lexile level. | | Scholastic Reading Counts quizzes | SRI, Diagnostic, and FCAT Assessment results. | |
| 3 | Students are not reading enough books and other reading material | Students in all grades will be encouraged to read Reading Counts books to participate in the Library Lock-in. | Media Specialist, Teachers and Students | Scholastic Reading Counts quiz report. | SRI, diagnostic, and FCAT Assessment results. | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | Increase the percent of students in grades 3-5 identified as the lowest 25% making learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 73% (35) | 75% | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | Limited personnel | Selected students receive additional intensive intervention from resource teachers and classroom teachers. | | Monitor implementation through classroom walkthroughs. | Classroom, Diagnostic, and FCAT Assessments. |
| 2 | Limited Time and Scheduling | Identify and track students who are in the lowest 25%. Differentiated small group instruction for students identifed in the lowest 25%. | Principal, Resource Teachers, | Review and analyze student data. | Classroom, Diagnostic, and FCAT Assessments. |
| 3 | Students lack reading volume and stamina | In addition to an SAI teacher, utilize a Supplemental reading resource Teacher to provide focused support for lowest 25%, Focus iii time for reading support | Principal, Assistant Principal,Teachers | Reading Running Records, Reading Logs, Conferring Notes, Flexible Grouping, Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, Student Work Samples | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 4 | Students performing below grade level need to make more than one year's growth for proficiency, however there is not enough time during the classroom teacher's schedule to provide extra support | Provide after school | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Student Grouping | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 5 | Students need additional reading instruction in a small group setting, outside of the 90 minute Literacy Block | Teachers and Reading Resource teacher will provide literacy support and small group instruction (iii) | | Reading Running Records, Walkthroughs, Student Grouping | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap

Reading Goal #

| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | ine data 0-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 4 | 2014-201 | 5 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | analysis of stud | | ent data, and re | efere | ence to "Guiding | J Ques | tions", identify and | define areas in need |
| 5B. S Hispa satisf | tudent s inic, Asia | subgroups by ean, American I | ethnicity (Wh | | | | | age of Hispanic stud | |
| 2012 | Current | Level of Perfo | ormance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Leve | el of Performance: | |
| 69% (| [55) | | | | | 71% | | | |
| | | | Problem-Sol | ving Process t | toIr | ncrease Studer | nt Ach | ilevement | |
| | Antic | ipated Barrier | St | rategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | | s need addition ion to achieve ncy. | | vill participate ool tutorial | Prin | icpal, Assistant cipal, ructional Staff | | ess monitoring ssments | 2012 FCAT Assessments. |
| 2 | | team meeting d facilitation | Monitor an individual s | student | Prin Clas | cipal, Assistant cipal, ssroom chers | | ative Assessments (K12)and Diagnostic rts | Diagnostic & FCAT Assessments. |
| 3 | | s lack reading and stamina | Increase s independer | | | | Readi Notes Walkt | ing Running Records ing Logs, Conferring s, Flexible Grouping, throughs,Lesson , Student Work bles | |
| 4 | reading small gr | s need addition instruction in a oup setting, of the 90 minu Block | instruction minute rea | outside the 90 | outside the 90 Principal, Teachers | | Lesso sched data | n plans, master | |
| | | | | | efere | ence to "Guiding |) Ques | tions", identify and | define areas in need |
| 5C. Er | of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: | | | | | Increase the percentage of ELL students that score a level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment. | | | |
| 2012 | Current | Level of Perfo | ormance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Leve | el of Performance: | |
| 25% (| (2) | | | | | 27% | | | |
| | | | Problem-Sol | ving Process t | toIr | ncrease Studer | nt Ach | ilevement | |
| | | to stool Day 1 | 6. | | | Person or Position | P | Process Used to Determine | |

Evaluation Tool

by 50%.

Anticipated Barrier

Strategy

Responsible for

Monitoring

Effectiveness of

Strategy

| 1 | Students need additional instructional time. | | | 3 | 2012 FCAT Assessment |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | Students need additional instruction to achieve proficiency. | | 1 1 | assessments | FCAT Assessments. Diagnostics, SRI, Reading Running Records |
| 3 | Learning team meeting time and facilitation | Monitor and track individual student performance. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers | I | Diagnostic & FCAT Assessments. |
| 4 | Students lack reading volume and stamina | Increase student's independent reading time | Principal, Teachers | Notes, Flexible Grouping, | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 5 | Students need additional reading instruction in a small group setting, outside of the 90 minute Literacy Block | Provide reading instruction outside the 90 minute reading block (iii) | Principal, Teachers | schedule, Walkthroughs, data analysis from LTMs, | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Increase the percent of SWD students in grades 3 -5 scoring Level 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT Reading Assessment. Reading Goal #5D: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 23% (8) 25% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students need additional Students will participate Princiapal, Progress Monitoring 2012 FCAT instruction in reading and in afterschool tutorial in Assistant Principal, Assessments. Assessment reading or math. Instructional staff. Students within the SWD Use of differentiated Principal, Assistant Student progress is On-going progress catagory need to achieve lessons in small groups Principal, assessed using Ongoing monitoring more than a year's based on students Teacher, Progress Monitoring. assessments, growth to obtain abilities and needs. ESE Teacher, Diagnostics, and proficiency. FCAT. Learning Teams Students need additional Students will participate Prinicpal, Assistant Progress monitoring **FCAT** instruction to achieve in afterschool tutorial Principal, assessments Assessments. 3 proficiency programs Instructional Staff Diagnostics, SRI, Reading Running Records Students need additional Provide reading Principal, Assistant Lesson plans, master Progress of reading instruction in a instruction outside the 90 Principal, Teachers schedule, Walkthroughs, students on a small group setting, minute reading block (iii) data analysis from LTMs, variety of outside of the 90 minute Reading Running Records assessments

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Literacy Block

Increase the percent of Economically Disadvantaged students in grades 3-5 achieving a level 3 or above on the

| Readi | ing Goal #5E: | | 2013 FCAT read | 2013 FCAT reading assessment. | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | | |
| 59% (| (92) | | 61% | | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students need additional instruction. | Students will participate in afterschool tutorial in reading or math. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional staff. | Progress Monitoring Assessments. | 2012 FCAT Assessment | | |
| 2 | Students that are Economically Disadvantaged have limited resources. | Students will be provided supplies, nutritional meals, tutoring, Data Chats, Media and Technology Night, and community events. | Principal, Assistant Principal,Teacher, and school support staff. | sheets, parental | Attendance sign in sheets, parental feedback. | | |
| 3 | Some students are performing below grade level in reading. | Through LTM, teachers will monitor student progress and remediate areas of deficiency. | Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Staff | Classroom performance, Diagnostics, FCAT, Formative Assessments (Core K12) | Classrooom performance, Diagnostics, FCAT, Formative Assessments (Core K12) | | |
| 4 | Student motivation | To increase student motivation implement incentive programs such as Reading Counts certificates and Library Lock-in. | Media Specialist and Classroom teachers. | Media Specialist will monitor student Reading Counts data and commmunicate student progress to the instructional staff. | Reading Count Reports | | |
| 5 | Student Attendance | Provide incentives for regular attendance through the "Rocking Attendance" | Guidance Counseler and classroom teachers. | Regular Review of Attendance reports. Letter and phone communication with parents when attendance becomes excessive. Use Parent Liaison to contact families. | | | |
| 6 | Students struggle with the wording of questions on the Reading FCAT 2.0 | Include read alouds with accountable talk in daily instruction (K-5), and include FCAT 2.0 question stems throughout the text | Principal, Assistant Principal,Teachers | Conferring Notes, Lesson Plans, Student Work Samples | Progress of students on a variety of assessments | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Marzano The Art and Science of Teaching Instructional Evaluation | School-wide | Kathryn Koerner,District Personnel | School-wide | | Classroom Walkthroughs | Principal, Assistant Principal |
| | | | | | Meetings, | |

| Common Core | School-wide | Kathryn Koerner | School-wide | Pree school Staff Meeting/PDD | Diagnostics, Common Assessments, FCAT | Principal, Assistant Principal |
|--|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| School Grade/Data | School-wide | Kathryn Koerner | School-wide | Pre-school Staff Meeting | Learning Teem Meetings, Diagnostics, Common Assessments, FCAT | Principal, Assistant Principal |
| Targeting students that did not meet goal | School-wide | Kathryn Koerner | School-wide | Pree school Staff Meeting/PDD | Learning Teem Meetings, Diagnostics, Common Assessments, FCAT | Principal, Assistant Principal |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate | rial(s) | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Provide paper, ink, chart paper, pens, post-it notes, FCAT prep resources, instructional resources, and classroom books to enhance reading instruction and tutorial. | Supplies for instruction | Title I | \$6,625.00 |
| | | Subt | otal: \$6,625.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| FDOE Common Core State Conference Summer Institute. | Fees and materials | SAC | \$1,500.00 |
| | | Subt | otal: \$1,500.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Provide additional instruction for students in grades 4 and 5 in reading. | Salary for classroom/resource teacher | Title I | \$63,644.00 |
| Provide tutorial for students that need additional instruction in reading. | Part-time in system for tutorial | Title I | \$2,500.00 |
| Provide tutorial for students that need additional instruction in reading, and enrichment for on grade level students. | Part-time in system for tutorial and enrichment. | Adult and Community Ed K-12 Support Grant, Discretionary Dollars, Business Partner donations, After School Program, and Wester Pines Middle School. | \$6,500.00 |
| | | Subto | tal: \$72,644.00 |
| | | Grand To | tal: \$80,769.00 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| CELLA Goal #1: | | | | Increase the number of students that achieving proficiency in Listening/Speaking on the CELLA. | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2012 | Current Percent of Stu | udents Proficient in liste | ening/speaking: | | | | | |
| 19% | (4) | | | | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | Students lack the language skills needed to be successful in academics. | Teachers will utilize ESOL Classroom Intervention Strategies. | Administration, Teachers, Language Facilitator | Lesson plans, Walkthroughs, standards based report card | CELLA, FCAT, EDW Data, and Core K-12 | | | |
| 2 | Students lack the language skills needed to be successful in academics. | Language Facilitator will work with students in the regular classroom. | Administration, Teachers, Language Facilitator | Lesson plans, Walkthroughs, standards based report card | CELLA, FCAT, EDW Data, and Core K-12 | | | |

| Stude | Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|---|--|-----------------|--|
| | | | | Increase the number of students achieving proficiency in Reading on the CELLA. | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: | | | | | |
| 14% | 14% (3) | | | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students have difficulty reading and comprehending due to English as a second language. | Teachers will utilize ESOL Classroom Intervention Strategies. | Administration, Teachers, Language Facilitator | Lesson Plans, classroom walk through, standards based report card. | | |
| 2 | reading and | Language Facilitator will work with students in the regular classroom. | Administration, Teachers, Language Facilitator | Lesson Plans, classroom walk through, standards based report card. | | |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
|---|----|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3: Increace the number of students proficient in Writing on the CELLA. | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing | g: | | | | |
| 10% (2) | | | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students lack the language skills that allow them to write with proficiency. | ESOL Classroom Intervention | ' | · · | |
| 2 | Students lack the language skills that allow them to write with proficiency. | Language Facilitator will work with students in the regular classroom. | Teachers, | · · | ' |

CELLA Budget:

| 01 1 | D 111 CD | - II 0 | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

| * Whei | n using percentages, incl | lude the nu | umber of students the p | percei | ntage repres | ents | (e.g., 70% (35)). | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|-------------|---|
| | d on the analysis of stu provement for the follo | | | efere | ence to "Gui | ding | Questions", identify a | and d | lefine areas in need |
| math | CAT2.0: Students sco nematics. ematics Goal #1a: | oring at A | Achievement Level 3 | | Increase the percentage of students that achieve Level 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT math assessment. | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Per | formance | e: | - | 2013 Expe | cted | Level of Performar | nce: | |
| 30% (| (84) | | | ; | 32% | | | | |
| | | Probler | m-Solving Process t | to I n | ncrease Stu | ıden | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrie | er | Strategy | | Person or Position esponsible f Monitoring | for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | The transition from the SSS to the NGSSS. | new Learr instr | ew math series and Pr | | Principal, | | Learning Teams will disaggregate student assessments to targe areas of weaknesses | et 5. | Benchmark assessments, common assessments, diagnostics, and FCAT |
| 2 | Students lack mathematical fluency Word Walls with Color Vocabulary Cards. | | | | | | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Flexibl Grouping, Student W Samples | le 'ork | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 3 | Students need more t to actively engage wi math concepts being taught | ith grou | | | | ners | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Stude Work Samples | ent | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| | d on the analysis of stu provement for the follo | | | efere | ence to "Guio | ding | Questions", identify | and d | lefine areas in need |
| | lorida Alternate Asse ents scoring at Level | | | 3. | | | | | |
| Math | ematics Goal #1b: | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Per | formance | e: | | 2013 Expe | cted | Level of Performar | nce: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Probler | m-Solving Process t | to I n | ncrease Stu | ıden | nt Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi Resp. for | | | or | on [onsible [| Dete Effe | cess Used to ermine ctiveness of itegy | Eval | uation Tool | |
| | | | No Da | ata S | Submitted | | | | |

| of im | provement for the following | ng group: | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|-------|--|
| Leve | I 4 in mathematics. | ing at or above Achievem | nent | Increase the percentage of students in grades 3 - 5 that achieve a level 4 or 5 on the 2013 FCAT math assessment. | | | | |
| Math | ematics Goal #2a: | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfor | | 2013 Expe | cted | d Level of Performar | nce: | | |
| 29% | (81) | | | 31% | | | | |
| | F | Problem-Solving Process | toli | ncrease Stu | uder | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | R | Person or Position Pesponsible Monitoring | for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Gaps in student mastery of standards due to transition to the NGSSS | activities in the new Go | Prir | ncipal, Assis ncipal, and strutional Sta | | Learning Teams will review classroom assessments. | | Benchmark assessments, Common Assessments, Diagnostics, FCAT |
| 2 | Students performing above grade level did no make significant Learnin Gains | | | | | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Flexibl Grouping, Student W Samples | | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 3 | Students performing above grade level need rigorous instruction, in order to make academic gains | Implement small group activities within the 60 minute instruction | es within the 60 Principal, Tea | | | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Flexibl Grouping, Student W Samples | | Progress of students on a variety of assessments. |
| 4 | Students performing above grade level need rigorous instruction, in order to make academic gains | Utilize enrichment activities through "V Math" | | | | Master schedule, Les Plans, Walkthroughs, data analysis from LT | | Students progress on a variety of assessments |
| 5 | Students need motivation | on Data Chats with Principa and Assistant Principal | | ncipal, Assis ncipal | tant | Student Work Sample Classroom Assessment Diagnostic and Forma Assessments. | nts, | Formative Assessments (Core K12), Diagnostics, and FCAT |
| of important of im | provement for the following lorida Alternate Assess | | | ence to "Gui | iding | g Questions", identify a | and c | define areas in need |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | | | | | |
| | F | Problem-Solving Process | tol | ncrease Stu | uder | nt Achievement | | |
| Antio | cipated Barrier Stra | ategy F | Posit Respo | onsible | Dete Effe | cess Used to ermine ectiveness of itegy | Eval | uation Tool |
| | | No E | Data S | Submitted | | | | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|---|---|--|--|---|-------------|---|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a: | | | | Increase the percentage of students in grades 3 -5 that make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT math assessment. | | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Pe | erforn | nance: | | 2013 Expe | ctec | Level of Performar | nce: | |
| 67% | (123) | | | | 69% | | | | |
| | | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Stu | ıder | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barı | rier | Strategy | R | Person or Position Pesponsible f Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness o Strategy | | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | New Standards | | Students will participate in Data Chats after assessments to set individual learning goals. | Pri: | ncipal, Assist ncipal, structional St d students. | | Review of common assessments during Learning Team Meeti | ngs | Common Assessments, Diagnostics, FCAT |
| 2 | Students lack mathematical fluence | :y | Implement vocabulary Journals, Word Walls, and use of Vmath on the computer. | | | | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Flexib Grouping, Student W Samples | | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 3 | Students don't alwarealize how much progress they have made, and how much more is needed and possible | ch | Conduct data chats regularly throughout the school year | | | | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Data (forms, LTMs, | Chat | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 4 | Students struggle w complex, multi step problems | ith/ | Implement strategies, procedures, and graphic organizers for solving word problems | | | | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Data (forms, LTMs | Chat | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| | I on the analysis of sprovement for the fol | | t achievement data, and r group: | efer | rence to "Gui | ding | Questions", identify | and c | define areas in need |
| Perce math | lorida Alternate As entage of students ematics. ematics Goal #3b: | | nent: ng Learning Gains in | | N/A | | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Pe | erforn | nance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 50% (1) | | | | | N/A | | | | |
| | | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Stu | ıder | nt Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posit Resp for | | | Posit Resp or | onsible | Dete Effe | cess Used to ermine ctiveness of tegy | Eval | uation Tool | |
| | | | | | Submitted | | | | |

| of im | provemer | nt for the follow | ing group: | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---|-----------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 4. FC | AT 2.0: F | Percentage of | students in I | _owest 25% | | | | | |
| maki | ng learn | ing gains in m | athematics. | | | Increase the percentage of the students in the Lowest 25% of grades 3 -5 that make learning gains on the 2013FCAT | | | |
| Math | ematics | Goal #4: | | | | math assessment. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 201 | 3 Expected | d Level | of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 73% | (35) | | | | 75% | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Problem-So | Iving Process | to Incre | ase Studer | nt Achi | evement | |
| | | | | | Pei | rson or | Pr | ocess Used to | |
| | Antic | ipated Barrier | . St | rategy | | sition | F.6 | Determine | Evaluation Tool |
| | | | | 33 | | nsible for nitoring | ET. | fectiveness of Strategy | |
| | | ıdent attendanı | | ntervention for | | | On-go | ing Progress | Common |
| | limited s | support personn | el students r | not responding | Principa | l, tional Staff | Monito | ring. | Assessments, |
| 1 | | | | rriculum plus | Instruct | lionai Staii | | | Diagnostic, and FCAT |
| | | | using the | continuous | | | | | |
| | | | drive instr | ent model to uction. | | | | | |
| | Student | s don't always | Conduct d | lata chats | Principa | ıl, Assistant | | | Common |
| | | now much | | hroughout the | Principa | | | roughs, Data Chat | Assessments, |
| 2 | | s they have nd how much | school yea | 11 | Instruct | tional Staff | iorms, | LTIVIS, | Diagnostic, and FCAT |
| | | needed and/or | | | | | | | |
| | possible Student | | Implement | t vocabulary | Princina | ıl, Assistant | Lasson | Plans | Common |
| 3 | 1 | atical fluency | | vord walls, and | Principa | Ι, | | nroughs, Data Chat | |
| 5 | | | Vmath. | | Instruct | tional Staff | forms, | LTMs, | Diagnostic, and FCAT |
| | Student | s struggle with | Implemen | t strategies, | Principa | ıl. Assistant | Lesson | Plans. | Common |
| 4 | complex | , multi step | procedure | s, and graphic | Principa | cipal, Walkthroughs, Data Chat Ass | | | Assessments, |
| | problem | S | organizers word probl | for solving | Instruct | tional Staff | forms, | LTMs, | Diagnostic, and FCAT |
| | | | 110101 | | | | | | |
| Based | d on Amb | itious but Achie | evable Annual | Measurable Ob | jectives | (AMOs), AM | 10-2, Re | eading and Math P | erformance Target |
| | | | | Elementary Sc | hool Mat | hematics G | nal # | | |
| | | but Achievable | | | 71001 10101 | incinatios o | oar n | | _ |
| | | ojectives (AMOs uce their achiev | , | | | | | | _ |
| by 50 | | | vorriont gap | 5A : | | | | | |
| | | | | 5A :[| | | | | |
| | line data 0-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-201 | 4 | 2014-201 | 5 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | <u>-</u> | |
| | | analysis of stud nt for the follow | | | eference | to "Guiding | g Questi | ons", identify and | define areas in need |
| | • | subgroups by e | | | | | | | |
| | | an, American I | _ | | | | | 6.111 | |
| | | progress in ma | | a.m.g | | | | ge of Hispanic stud above on the 201 | ents in grades 3-5 3 FCAT math |
| | | | | | | essment. | 5 01 | | |
| wath | ematics | Goal #5B: | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | Lovel of Deac | × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × | | 001 | 2 [| all accent | of Donform | |
| 2012 | current | Level of Perfo | ormance: | | 201 | 3 Expected | a Level | of Performance: | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

72%

70% (56)

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students need additional instruction to achieve proficiency. | | | Progress monitoring assessments | 2012 FCAT Assessments. | |
| 2 | Emphasizing and demonstrating strong collaborative process | cooperative learning | Principal, Assistant Principal, instructional Staff | 3 3 1 3 3 3 3 | Formative Assessments (Core K12) Diagnostics, and FCAT | |

| | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|---|----------------------|--|
| | | | | Increase the percentage of ELL students in grades 3-5 that achieve level 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT math assessment. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 13% (1) | | | 22% | 22% | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studen | t Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Students need additional instructional time. | Students will participate in afterschool tutorial in reading or math. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Staff | Progress monitoring assessments. | 2012 FCAT Assessment |
| 2 | Math lessons do not explicitly include ELL strategies | Implement ELL strategy lessons from the Go Math! series. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Staff | Progress monitoring assessments | FCAT Assessment |
| 3 | Students struggle with complex, multi step problems | Provide extra practice during an after school Math Club (tutoring. Teacher provide practice with problems by breaking them down into steps. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Staff | Progress monitoring assessments | FCAT Assessment |
| 4 | Students lack mathematical fluency | Implement vocabulary Journals,Word Walls, and use Fast Math and Vmath computer programs. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Flexible Grouping, Student Work Samples | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 5 | Students don't always realize how much progress they have made, and how much more is needed and/or possible | Conduct data chats regularly throughout the school year | Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Staff | Progress monitoring assessments | FCAT Assessment |
| 6 | Students struggle with complex, multi step problems | Implement strategies, procedures, and graphic organizers for solving word problems | Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Staff | Progress monitoring assessments | FCAT Assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D: | Increase the percentage of SWD that achieve level 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT math assessment. |
|--|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 31% (12) | 33% |
| | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | | | Person or | Process Used to | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Students need additional instruction in reading and math. | | Princiapal, Assistant Principal, Instructional staff. | Progress Monitoring Assessments. | 2012 FCAT Assessment |
| 2 | Time constraints and personnel shortage Use of cooperative learning groups to conduct differentiated lessons based on students abilities and needs. | | | Learning teams will use formative assessments (Core K12), and diagnostics to drive instruction. | Common Assessments, Diagnostics, and FCAT |
| 3 | mathematical fluency | Implement vocabulary Journals, and or Word Walls, Vmath | Principal, Instructional Staff. | Learning teams will use formative assessments (Core K12), and diagnostics to drive instruction. | Common Assessments, Diagnostics, and FCAT |
| 4 | Students don't always realize how much progress they have made, and how much more is needed and/or possible Conduct data chats regularly throughout the school year | | | Learning teams will use formative assessments (Core K12), and diagnostics to drive instruction. | Common Assessments, Diagnostics, and FCAT |
| 5 | problems | Implement strategies, procedures, and graphic organizers for solving word problems by breaking them down into steps. | Principal, Instructional Staff. | Learning teams will use formative assessments (Core K12), and diagnostics to drive instruction. | Common Assessments, Diagnostics, and FCAT |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal E:

Increase the percentage of Economically Disadvantaged students that achieve level 3 or above on the 2013 FCAT math assessment.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

49% (76)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|--|---------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | in afterschool tutorial in | 1 ' | | 2012 FCAT Assessment |
| | | Students will be provided free breakfast, and | 1 ' | 5 | Common Assessments, |

| 2 | Disadvantaged have limited resources. | needed supplies. They will also participate in differentiated small groups to achieve mastery of NGSSS. | Instructional Staff | (Core K12), and diagnostics to drive instruction. | Diagnostics, FCAT |
|---|---|---|---------------------|---|---|
| 3 | Students lack mathematical fluency | Implement vocabulary Journals, and or Word Walls. Journals may be provided if needed. | | Learning teams will use formative assessments (Core K12), and diagnostics to drive instruction. | Common Assessments, Diagnostics, FCAT |
| 4 | Students don't always realize how much progress they have made, and how much more is needed and/or possible | Conduct data chats regularly throughout the school year | | Learning teams will use formative assessments (Core K12), and diagnostics to drive instruction. | Common Assessments, Diagnostics, FCAT |
| 5 | Students struggle with complex, multi step problems | Implement strategies, procedures, and graphic organizers for solving word problems | | Learning teams will use formative assessments (Core K12), and diagnostics to drive instruction. | Common Assessments, Diagnostics, FCAT |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Schodulos (o a | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| Think Central | K-5 Math | Learning Team & Professional Development Facilitators. | All math | Leadership meetings, grade Level meetings, learning Team meetings (twice per month) | Teachers will use the Think Central Resources to teach and assess students. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Staff |
| Common Core Standards | K-5 Math | Learning Team & Professional Development Facilitators. | All math teachers K-5. | Leadership Meetings, Learning Team Meetings, District Professional Development Opportunities, and PDD Days. | Classroom Observations and Lesson Plans | Principal, Assistant Principal. |

Mathematics Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| FDOE Common Core State Conference Summer Institute. | Fees and materials | SAC | \$1,500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,500.0 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Provide Tutorial for students that are in need of additional math instruction. | Part-time in system for tutorial | Title I | \$2,500.00 |
| Provide Tutorial for students that are in need of additional math instruction and enrichment for on grade level students. | Part-time in system for tutorial | Adult and Community Ed K-12 Support Grant, Discretionary Dollars, Business Partner donations, After School Program, and Wester Pines Middle School. | \$3,500.00 |
| | | Subtota | 1: \$6,000.00 |
| | | Grand Tota | I: \$7,500.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Door | d on the englysis of still | lant achievement data | and reference to " | Cuiding Ougational idea | atifu and dafine | |
|------|---|---|--|---|------------------------------|--|
| | in need of improvement | | | Guiding Questions", ider | itily and define | |
| Leve | CAT2.0: Students scor I 3 in science. nce Goal #1a: | ing at Achievement | | Increase the percentage of 5th grade students that achieve level 3 or above on the 2013FCAT science assessment. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performand | ce: | |
| 40% | (36) | | 42% | | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Reading Skills | Classroom reading teachers will incorporate science articles into reading lessons | Reading Teachers | Lesson Plans,Classroom Walk throughs | OPM, Diagnostics, FCAT | |
| 2 | Implementing NGSSS in Science. | Science Notebooks/ Word Walls to reinforce science vocabulary | Teachers | Science Notebooks, Classroom Walk Throughs, Lesson Plans, | OPM, Diagnostics, FCAT | |
| 3 | Understanding the Scientific Process | Students will participate in Science Fair and or in Science Safari | Science Teachers | Science Fair and or Science Safari Projects | FCAT Science | |
| 4 | Students are not properly reflecting on science concepts and investigations | Implement science notebooks | Instructional Staff | Science Notebooks, Classroom Walk Throughs, Lesson Plans, | OPM, Diagnostics, FCAT | |
| 5 | Students need to increase content knowledge by reading books at their independent reading level | Increase the volume of nonfiction leveled text for grades K-5 (Leveled Readers) | | Lesson Plans,Classroom Walk throughs | OPM, Diagnostics, FCAT | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Science Goal #1b: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | f Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfo | rmance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvir | ng Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | on or tion oonsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | lent achievement data, a t for the following group | | Guiding Questions", ider | ntify and define |
|-------|--|--|---|--|---|
| 2a. F | CAT 2.0: Students sco | ring at or above | | | |
| Achie | evement Level 4 in sci | ence. | | percentage of 5th grade s 4 or 5 on the 2013 FC | |
| Scier | nce Goal #2a: | | assessment. | 3 4 01 3 011 1110 2013 10 | AT SCIENCE |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performand | ce: |
| 34% | (31) | | 36% | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Understanding the scientific process | Students will participate in Science Fair and or in Science Safari | Science Teachers | Science Fair and or Science Safari Projects | FCAT Science |
| 2 | Students need to increase content knowledge by reading books at their independent reading level | Increase the volume of nonfiction leveled text for grades K-5 (Leveled Readers) | | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Flexible Grouping, Student Work Samples, Science Notebooks | Progress of students on a variety of assessments |
| 3 | Students and their families do not always recognize the many science concepts that exist in their daily lives. | During Family Involvement Night, include science activities/games in order to reinforce science concepts | Science Teachers, Parent Involvement Liaison, Title I Contact | Parent Survey | Sign-in Sheets, Parent Survey |
| 4 | Students need a variety of resources that contain content in a user friendly way | Provide resources parents may check out to use with their child at home for reinforcement / enrichment activities. | Parent Liaison, Title I Contact | Tracking of resources used by parents | Sign In/Out sheets |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 100% (1) | | | N/A | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | release) and | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|---------------|--|--|
| Think Central Training | K-5 Science | Learning Team & Professional Development Facilitators | All K-5 Science Teachers | ' | thank Central to | Principal, Assistant Principal |
| Elementary Science Gizmos | K-5 Science | | All K-5 Science Teachers | I lavalonmant | Gizmos to teach | Principal, Assistant Principal |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

Subtotal: \$0.00 Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | | nd reference to "Gu | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | | | Increase the p | Increase the percentage of students in grade 4 that achieve level 3.5 or above on the 2013 FCAT Writing | | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfo | rmance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performance | e: | | |
| 89% | (84) | | 91% | | | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students do not understand the writing process. | The revision and editing process will be explicitly taught and seen in student writing drafts. | Teachers and Administration | Progress between the Pretest Prompt and Mid-year Prompt. | PB and FCAT Writes, and Gator Writes | | |
| 2 | Students have varied levels of writing ability | Small group or individual conferencing with students on their own writing | Teachers and Administration | Progress between the Pretest Prompt and Mid-year Prompt | PB and FCAT Writes, and Gator Writes | | |
| 3 | Students do not understand the writing process. | Writing teachers will by implementing Mary Lewis writing curriculum. | Teachers and Administration | Progress between the Pretest Promt and Mid- year prompt. | PB Writes, Gator Writes, and FCAT Writes. | | |
| 4 | Students may struggle with English spelling and conventions | Small group instruction implemented on an as need basis with various students. | Teachers and Administration | Progress between the Pretest Promt and Mid-year prompt. | PB Writes, Gator Writes, and FCAT Writes. | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group: | eference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas |
|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | tor | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| | No | Data Submitted | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | (e.g., earry | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| FCAT Writes Scoring and Instructional Practices | 3-5 Grades | District Professional Development | 3-5 Writing Teachers | District Professional Development Calendar | Lesson Plans, Writing Samples, | Principal, Assistant Principal, and Learning Teams |
| Elementary Narrative Writing Scoring and Instructional Practices | K-5 | District Professional Development | K-5 Writing Teachers | District Professional Development Calendar | Lesson Plans, Writing Samples, | Principal, Assistant Principal, and Learning Teams |
| Elementary Informative/Explanatory Writing Scoring and Instructional Practices. | K-5 | District Professional Development | K-5 Writing Teachers | District Professional Development Calendar | Lesson Plans, Writing Samples, | Principal, Assistant Principal, and Learning Teams |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ | | | Available |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of atter provement: | ndance data, and referer | nce to "Guiding Qu | estions", identify and def | ine areas in need | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1. At | tendance | | The school will | The school will increase the attendance rate from 96% | | |
| Attendance Goal #1: | | | (624) to 98% | | rate nom 7076 | |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | | | 2013 Expecte | ed Attendance Rate: | | |
| 96% (624) | | | 98% (588) | | | |
| | Current Number of Stunces (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | 2013 Expecte Absences (10 | ed Number of Students or more) | with Excessive | |
| 26% 171 students | | | 15% 100 stude | 15% 100 students | | |
| | Current Number of Stues (10 or more) | udents with Excessive | 2013 Expecte Tardies (10 o | ed Number of Students r more) | with Excessive | |
| 16% 103 students | | | 6% 39 student | 6% 39 students | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Parental support is the barrier in the attendance issue. | Communication with the parents via the school newsletter and parent informational bulletins about the importance of school attendance and appropriate reasons for absences. | Guidance Councelor | Daily attendance is documented and checked by persons responsible for monitoring. | Attendance reports | |
| 2 | Through letters from the school the parents are encouraged to bring their students to school on time. | | Lori Bednarek Guidance Councelor | Attendance is checked and frequent absent and tardy students are targeted. | Attendance reports are used for the evaluation and doumentation | |
| 3 | Students are absent from school. | Attendance is checked daily and classes with perfect attendance recieve a Rock Star. | Attendance Clerk guidance Councelor | Attendance is checked and frequent absent students are targeted. | Attendance reports are used for the evaluation and documentation. | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|--|---|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| School-wide Positive Behavior | School-wide Positive Behavior Team | District Training | School-wide Positive Behavior Team staff members | | Attendance Reports | Principal, Assistant Principal, School- wide Positive Behavior Team. |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1. Suspension | | | | |
| Suspension Goal #1: | Golden Grove's Out of School Suspensions will decrease from 2%(16) to 1.5% (12) for the 2011 school year. | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions | | | |
| Zero | Zero | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School | | | |
| Zero | Zero | | | |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | | |
| | | | | |

| 2% (16 students) | | | 1.5% (12 Stud | 1.5% (12 Students) | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| | 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School | | | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | |
| 2% 16 Students | | | 1.5% (12 stud | 1.5% (12 students) | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to | | | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Parental Support | The guidance counselor will have ongoing class lessons and targeted support groups | , , | Disipline reports sent in by the teachers should decrease. | Suspension reports | |
| 2 | Parent Support | Empowering families by providing resources to support their child's cognitive development, healthy behaviors and success in life. | Family involvement liaison, Assistant Principal, Guidance counselor. | Disipline reports sent in by the teachers should decrease | Suspension reports | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | N | lo Data Submitted | d | | |

Suspension Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1. Pa | arent Involvement | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Pare | ent I nvolvement Goal #7 ase refer to the percenta cipated in school activitie | ge of parents who | information is | ercentage of parents wh provided about Title I and ement will increase. | |
| | iplicated. | , | | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Parer | nt Involvement: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Parent Invo | Ivement: |
| of pa | ne FY 2012 Title I Family Irents agreed that they w t Title I and how it impro | ere provided information | least 80% of p | 3 Title I Family Involvem arents will agree that the out Title I and how it imp | ey are provided |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Parents will not be able to participate in school activities because of their personal schedules | regular opportunities at various dates and | Administration, Instructional Staff, Parent Liaison, Volunteer Coordinator, and PTO. | Sing-in sheets will be used at each event that parents are invited to. | Sign-in sheets Event Evaluations |
| 2 | Limited accessable resources | Provide a Parent Resource Center | Parent Liaison | Sign-in logs for the Parent Resource Room. | Volume of parents using the Parent Resource Room by monitoring Sign-in logs. |
| 3 | Parents not sure how to be involved in school activities. | Invite parents to school activities through the use of newsletters, automated call-outs, school Facebook page, teacher phone calls, and flyers sent home in the student backback. | Administration, Instructional Staff, Parent Liaison, Language Facilitator | Sign-in sheets | Parent Event Evaluation after each Involvement Opportunity. |
| 4 | Parents do not understand how thier child is doing in school. | Ensure parents are getting information home about student progress through the use of Progress Reports, SAL-P reports, Reading Counts Progress Reports, conferences. | Instructional Staf | Parent Signed, progress reports, SAL-P, Reading Counts Progress Reports, conference forms. | Parent survey at the end of the school year. |
| 5 | Parents do not know how to be involved in development of schoolwide programs. | Parents will be invited and encouraged to attend SAC meetings, Title I information meeting, parent | Administration, Instructional Staff, Parent Liaison. | Sign-in sheets | Parent feedback will be solicited for each program. |

| | | trainings, and curriculum night through newsletters, call-outs, and flyers. | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 6 | Parents of lowest 35% of student population do not attend schoolwide programs. | Personalized invitations to attend parent trainings for students falling in the lowest 35%. | Administration, Instructional Staff, Parent Liaison. | Sign-in sheets | Parent feedback will be solicited for each program. |
| 7 | There are not many businesses in area of our school. | Business Partership Coordinator will seek out partnerships with local businesses. | Administration, Business Parntership Coordinator | Partnership agreements with local businesses. | Track number of parntership agreesments with local businesses. |
| 8 | Parents are unsure of how to support academics at home. | Conduct Parent Workshops in the core content areas. | Administration, Instructional staff, and Parent Liaison. | Parents that attend the workshop will be asked to complete an evaluation. | Parent Evaluations will be monitored for positive and constructive feedback. |
| 9 | Parents are not aware of school-related activities due to language barriers. | Documents sent home will be translated into Spanish for appropriate families. Oral communication in native language will be provided as much as possible. | Language Facilitator | Newsletters, documents, phone calls, etc. | Improved ratings on Title 1 Family Involement Survey; Increased student achievement as indicated on EDW reports. |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| Effective Communication with Parents | K-5 | Administration & Parent Liaison | School Staff | Meetings, and | Documentation of strategies in conference notes | Administration |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Food and condiments for parent training, paper for newsletter, and materials for parent trainings. | Supplies | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| | • | • | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

| Other | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Provide supervision of Parent Resource Center, assistance with preparation and presentation of parent training. | Parent Liaison | Title I | \$6,250.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$6,250.00 |
| | | | Crand Tatal, \$7 250 00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

| When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)). | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------|--|-----------------|
| Based on the analysis o | f school data, identify and de | efine areas ir | n ne | ed of improvement: | |
| 1. STEM | | | | | |
| STEM Goal #1: | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to Increas | se S | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsibl for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity Goal:

| | d on the analysis of studed of improvement for the | ent achievement data, a e following group: | nd reference to "G | uiding Questions", identif | y and define areas | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity Goal Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity Goal #1: | | | Increase awarr | Increase awarness of cultural diversity and acceptance | | |
| 2012 | Current level: | | 2013 Expecte | d level: | | |
| | rade drama department ation which highlighted a | produced an Ancient ancient civilization culture | Maintain or inc | Maintain or increase multicultural activities on campus | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Challenge of utilizing data for differentiated instruction and best practices | Analyze disaggregated data for subgroups delineating students who are below proficiency level and or students who have not made sufficient gains. Each identified student in the subgroup will be tracked after each diagnostic test. | Principal, Assistant principal, Instructional staff | (Core K12)Diagnostic | Classroom performance, Formative Assessments (Core K12) Diagnostic assessments, and FCAT. | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 ${\it Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | | |

| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Progra | arri(s)/Material(s) | Decembel | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Provide paper, ink, chart paper, pens, post-it notes, FCAT prep resources, instructional resources, and classroom books to enhance reading instruction and tutorial. | Supplies for instruction | Title I | \$6,625.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Food and condiments for parent training, paper for newsletter, and materials for parent trainings. | Supplies | Title I | \$1,000.00 |
| Technology | | | | Subtotal: \$7,625.00 |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | Description of | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | FDOE Common Core State Conference Summer Institute. | Fees and materials | SAC | \$1,500.00 |
| Mathematics | FDOE Common Core State Conference Summer Institute. | Fees and materials | SAC | \$1,500.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$3,000.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Provide additional instruction for students in grades 4 and 5 in reading. | Salary for classroom/resource teacher | Title I | \$63,644.00 |
| Reading | Provide tutorial for students that need additional instruction in reading. | Part-time in system for tutorial | Title I | \$2,500.00 |
| Reading | Provide tutorial for students that need additional instruction in reading, and enrichment for on grade level students. | Part-time in system for tutorial and enrichment. | Adult and Community Ed K-12 Support Grant, Discretionary Dollars, Business Partner donations, After School Program, and Wester Pines Middle School. | \$6,500.00 |
| Mathematics | Provide Tutorial for students that are in need of additional math instruction. | Part-time in system for tutorial | Title I | \$2,500.00 |
| Mathematics | Provide Tutorial for students that are in need of additional math instruction and enrichment for on grade level students. | Part-time in system for tutorial | Adult and Community Ed K-12 Support Grant, Discretionary Dollars, Business Partner donations, After School Program, and Wester Pines Middle School. | \$3,500.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Provide supervision of Parent Resource Center, assistance with preparation and presentation of parent training. | Parent Liaison | Title I | \$6,250.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$84,894.00 |
| | | | | 300total. \$64,674.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | jn NA |
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|
|-------------|----------|------------|-------|

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/1/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|---|------------|
| Reading FDOE Common Core State Conference Summer Institute. Fees and materials SAC \$1,500.00 Mathematics FDOE Common Core State Conference Summer Institute. Fees and materials SAC \$1,500.00 | \$3,000.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The SAC will participate in the following activities;

- * Review Title I program, requirements, and budget
- * Review School Improvement Plan
- * Review SEQ Surveys and discuss plans for improvement
- * Discuss and vote on budgetary decisions that support the School Improvement Plan
- * Improve community and business involvement and volunteer participation
- * Educate parents about school-related activities such as Common Core Standards and Standards Based Report Card (Gr. 1 and 23.
- * Provide funds for items such as workshops, materials/programs to support academics (i.e. tutorial), Just Say No to Drugs, Field Day, Career Day, Attendance, etc.*.
- * Review and analyze data from sources such as Diagnostics, Core K-12, FAIR, FCAT, Running Records, etc.
- * Encourage mutual support, trust and communication between school staff and parents.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Palm Beach School District GOLDEN GROVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|-----|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 83% | 82% | 95% | 77% | 337 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 69% | 62% | | | 131 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 57% (YES) | 64% (YES) | | | | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 589 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Palm Beach School Dis GOLDEN GROVE ELEME 2009-2010 | | HOOL | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 83% | 85% | 87% | 70% | 325 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 66% | 70% | | | 136 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 56% (YES) | 68% (YES) | | | 124 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 585 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |