FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: ENDEAVOUR PRIMARY LEARNING CENTER

District Name: Broward

Principal: Denise Lawrence

SAC Chair: Patricia MacDonald

Superintendent: Robert Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/19/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal | Denise H. Lawrence | | .5 | 6 | 2011-2012: Mrs. Lawrence was appointed to Endeavour Primary Learning Center in January 2012. During the 2012 FCAT 28% of the students in reading and 39% of the students in math tested at or above proficiency level. 2010-2011: (Assistant Principal) Sunland Park Elementary school grade was a "D" 418 points. 42 % of the students in reading and 52% of the students in math tested at or above proficiency level. Reading reflected a decreased if 7% and math reflected an increase of 3%. Grade 3 scored 49% (-7) in reading and60% (+9) in math, Grade 4 scored 30 %(-6) in reading and 46% (-28) in math; Grade 5 scored 31% (-1) in reading, 38 (+14) in math and 38% in science (+27). The Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading was 60% (-3) and the lowest 25% making learning gains in math was 53% (-10). 2009-2010 (Assistant Principal) |

| | | | | | This year, Sunland Park Elementary improved its rating to a "D" school grade. 48% of the students in reading and 49% in math tested at or above proficiency level, an improvement of 18 and 1 point respectively. Significant learning gains were made both in the general population as well as in the lower 25%. |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---|---|----|---|
| Assis Principal | Kimberly L. Fernander | Bachelor's Degree: Marketing Master's Degree: Elementary Education Specialist Degree- Educational Leadership | 3 | 10 | 2011-2012 During the 2012 FCAT 28% of the students in reading and 39% of the students in math tested at or above proficiency level. 2010-2011 During Mrs. Fernander's first year as assistant principal at Endeavour Primary Learning Center, the school met 100% of the Federal No Child Left Behind Act Criteria (AYP). Prior to coming to Endeavour Primary Learning Center, Mrs. Fernander worked at Dillard Elementary for 6 years. During 2009-2010 school year, Dillard did not make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in black and economically disadvantaged subcategories and subsequently received the state letter grade of "F". However, during the 2008-2009 Dillard Elementary received the state letter grade of "A", losing points in black and economically disadvantaged subcategories in reading. |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Reading Coach | Judith Gohagen | Elementary Education | 10 | 10 | 2011-2012 During the 2012 FCAT, 28% of the students in reading and 39% of the students in math tested at or above proficiency level. 2010-11 Endeavour Primary Learning Center met 100% of the Federal No Child Left Behind Act Criteria (AYP. Endeavour has met Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for the 2003-2004, 2004- 2005, 2005-2006, and 2006-2007. Endeavour did not make AYP during the 2007-2008 school year. Endeavour met AYP for the 2008-2009 school year. Sixty-seven percent of our third grade students scored 3 or above in FCAT reading and eighty percent of our third grade students scored 3 or above in mathematics. |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | been made via the district Employment Information website, teacher recruitment job fairs, and in cities throughout the | District Human Resource Department & Administration | Ongoing June 2013 | |
| 2 | 2. Applicants who indicate an interest/degree in reading are contacted immediately by staffing specialist. | District Human Resource Department & Administration | Ongoing June 2013 | |

| 3 | A program through which Title I schools use Title I funds to pay for a Masters in Reading for teachers on staff who want to become reading teachers. | District Human Resource Department & Administration | Ongoing June 2013 |
|----|--|--|----------------------|
| 4 | Teachers at Title I schools who express interest in becoming certified in reading are having course costs paid for by all Title I funds in an agreement with Nova Southeastern University. | District Human Resource Department & Administration | Ongoing June 2013 |
| 5 | 5. All new teachers complete the District's induction program, New Educator Support System (NESS). The NESS Program takes one year to complete and is a school-site program. Each new teacher is provided a support team consisting of the NESS Liaison school contact, a qualified mentoran and an Administrator. Ideally, the mentor teaches the same grade or subject as the new teacher. | District Human Resource Department & Administration School Principal | Ongoing June 2013 |
| 6 | 6. A District personnel staffing specialist provides counseling/support in the areas of teaching challenges and strategies for success. | District Human Resource Department & Administration | Ongoing June 2013 |
| 7 | 9. School Administrators will participate in the District Job Fairs. | Administration | Ongoing June 2013 |
| 8 | 11. Select candidates for interviews | Administration | Ongoing June 2013 |
| 9 | 10. Follow-up on District advertisements by reviewing resumes of all applicants to determine possible appropriate "skills set" for position, school and community. | Administration | Ongoing June 2013 |
| 10 | 14. School based NESS Liaison will coordinate Professional Learning Communities to enhance and support the new teacher's learning. | Administration and the NESS Liaison | Ongoing June 2013 |
| 11 | 12.1mplement team led interviews with selected candidates. The interview team will include grade level teachers, reading coach and administrators. | Administration | Ongoing 2013 |
| 12 | 13. Following the interviews, the team will use interview scoring sheets to select the finalist. | Administration and Team Leaders | Ongoing June 2013 |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|--|
| All Instructional and paraprofessional personnel are highly qualified. | |

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|---------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 30 | 0.0%(0) | 3.3%(1) | 73.3%(22) | 23.3%(7) | 53.3%(16) | 100.0%(30) | 3.3%(1) | 3.3%(1) | 73.3%(22) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee | Rationale | Planned Mentoring |
|-------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Assigned | for Pairing | Activities |
| | | The mentor is an | |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I is a federally funded program for economically disadvantaged children who reside in school attendance areas with a high concentration of children from low income families.

There will be training for all teachers and administration to address academic achievement problems and concerns. Funds from Title I professional development will be allocated for these activities.

Reading, mathematics, and science coaches will be utilized to provide professional development to teachers. Professional development will include Lesson Study, PLCs, curriculum planning and RTI. Funds from Title I professional development will be allocated for these activities.

Parent activities, workshops, and seminars will be planned to assist parents in helping their child improve his/her academic and social performance. Title I parent involvement funds will be allocated for these activities.

Parents are invited to the annual Title I meeting by flyers that are sent home, telephone parent link announcement, postings on the school marquee, and on the school website.

The Title I annual meeting date and time is communicated to the community during the Open House. Flyers are sent home and a parent phone link is set before the meeting.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title I, Part D

Title II

Endeavour staff members attend District Professional Development training funded through Title II.

Title III

Endeavour receives support, services, and materials funded through Title III from our Multicultural Department for ELL students.

Title X- Homeless

Endeavour teachers and staff members are responsible for identifying homeless students and referring them to the Homeless Education program offered by the district. The purpose of the Homeless Education Program is to identify homeless students, remove barriers to their education, including school enrollment, provide them with supplemental academic and counseling case management services as well as linkages to their school social worker while maintaining school as the students stable environment.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

Student Enrichment in the Arts (SEAS) is a program offered to all students in Broward County Public Schools that give theaterbased and school based arts education opportunities. Endeavour builds a violence prevention culture through classroom instruction in anger management, conflict resolution, bullying prevention, and the Broward County adopted character traits. In addition to the classroom instruction, all teachers and staff members received training on the Anti-Bully policy and CHAMPS I training.

Nutrition Programs

The USDA federal program includes the free and reduced priced meal for qualifying students who attend Endeavour Primary Learning Center.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

Head Start is a national, federally funded program, providing comprehensive services to preschool children and their families. These services include educational, social, medical, vision, dental, nutritional, and mental health services. To ensure school readiness, the Head Start (HS) Program has implemented a new literacy, math, and science curricula in all classrooms. The program has aligned the Common Core State Standards in literacy and mathematics. This transparent connection between curricula and child expectations will contribute to better prepare students to be Career and College Ready.

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A

Job Training

N/A

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

-School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school-based MTSS/RtI Leadership Team consists of the general education teacher, administration, ESE specialist, school social worker, reading coach, guidance counselor, school psychologist and the parent/caregiver.

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team is coordinated and facilitated by our assistant principal. Our team leaders, reading resource specialist and assistant principal serve as case managers.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team reorganizes our resources to provide support to the teacher to increase student achievement. RtI is a process which includes the provision of systematic, research-based instruction and interventions to struggling learners. MTSS/RtI intervention plans are designed, implemented, and monitored by the multi-disciplinary team of professionals. All interventions are data driven. The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will meet bi-weekly to collect and review student achievement data. Interventions and instructional services are discussed and recommended for each student. Students are identified by the classroom teachers, other educators and by parents request. The team analyzes data, including anecdotes, academics, social-emotional issues and home environment. The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will subsequently meet with individual teachers to discuss each student and their progress in all subject areas. Members of the team are assigned to work with students to improve academics or behavior and increase student achievement.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The role of the school-based MTSS/RtI Leadership Team is to meet on a regular basis to address teachers' concerns about struggling students and to help design intervention plans. Several mechanisms are in place to allow the stakeholders to participate in the school improvement planning process. Members of the MTSS/RtI Leadership Team are responsible for

insuring that staff is aware of and involved in developing the SIP. This is usually done at faculty or content area meetings. As the SAC reviews the SIP, the members are notified, through the SAC minutes, of any revisions. Information is provided to the MTSS/RtI Leadership Team about subgroups that did not make AYP so that strategies and activities can be implemented to address increasing student achievement for these subgroups. Throughout the monitoring process of student achievement and curriculum support, the MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will recommend resources and possible methods of communication:

School website CAB – School Board e-mail system Faculty/Staff Meetings, PLC's, and Professional Development

MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Endeavour uses a multi-tiered system. Tier I is the "Universal Level" which school wide and or class wide strategies are implemented to support behavioral or academic concerns. Tier II is the "Targeted Level" which small group or individualized strategies are implemented to support behavioral or academic concerns. Tier III is the "Intensive Level" which highly individualized strategies are implemented to support behavioral or academic concerns. Tier III is the "Intensive Level" which highly individualized strategies are implemented to support behavioral and academic concerns. Baseline data: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN), Broward Assessment Test (1 and 2) for reading and math, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Florida Assessment for Instruction (FAIR) Progress Monitoring: PMRN, Mini Assessments, FCAT Simulation, and FCAT Pro.

Mid-Year: Florida Assessment for Instruction (FAIR); Reading and Mathematics Basal Mid-Year Assessments, End of Chapter Mathematics

End of the Year: FAIR, FCAT, End of the Year Reading and Math Assessments Quarterly data chats, and FCAT Pro

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

All staff members will receive staff development on the MTSS/Response to Intervention during pre-planning. In addition, the guidance counselor will be available to guide teachers through the MTSS/RtI process. The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will model a meeting for the staff. This will be followed by a discussion and question/answer opportunities.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Monthly staff development will ensure compliance thereafter. Facilitators will be but not limited to members of the school's RtI Leadership team. We will utilize district support team personnel to assist with the training. Professional development materials will include literature from the Florida Department of Education online training course and school-wide data. In addition, the guidance counselor and administration will be available to guide teachers through the MTSS/RtI process. The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will provide hands-on practice opportunities to complete data collection forms.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The School-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) will meet monthly to review all grade level reading data including but not limited to Basal weekly and unit tests, BAT I, Bat II, Compass, Destination Learning Managent, Running Records, mini-Bats, FAIR, CELLA, FCAT District mid-year and end-of-year Reading Test and Accelerated Reader. Analysis of the literacy data will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of instruction and materials and determine subsequent instructional focus.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT): Administrators: Denise Lawrence, Principal and Kimberly Fernander, Assistant Principal Reading Coach: Judith Gohagen Guidance Counselor: Sherine Davis ESE Specialist: Diane McDowell Media Specialist: Merril Bettridge Team Leaders: Elaine Abdan, LaToya Jones, Kimberly Stephens, Joleen Steadman, Patricia MacDonald, and Noel Morgado

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The Literacy Leadership Team will be facilitated by the Assistant Principal with assistance from Reading Coach and the Guidance Counselor. Each grade/team level will present team specific data and will be responsible for sharing information from the LLT with their team. Team leaders and administrators will insure that recommendations are followed with fidelity.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives of the LLT this year will be:

Ensure full implementation of Common Core Standards in Headstart - 3rd grade. Ensure effective data collection and use of all literacy data to make data-driven decisions. Monitor and assess evaluation data that will drive classroom instruction and target areas that directly impact professional development.

Ensure full implementation of Renaissance Learning in Headstart-3rd grade.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

Endeavour Primary Learning Center offers three Pre-Kindergarten ESE (formerly Preschool Learning Activities Classroom Experience-PLACE) classes and one Head Start class. The teachers conduct vertical articulation meetings during the school year to ensure that the transition from the early childhood programs to kindergarten program is smooth. A Kindergarten Orientation is also held prior to the beginning of the school year. This gives the incoming Kindergarten students an opportunity to meet their new teacher and get acclimated to their classroom. Additionally, parents are given an overview of the kindergarten curriculum and expectations.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | l on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| readi | CAT2.0: Students scoring ng. ing Goal #1a: | g at Achievement Level 3 | By June 2013 6 | By June 2013 60% (49) of students in grade 3 meeting the DOE criteria will score level 3 on the FCAT Reading SSS | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| 28% Readii | (25)students in grade 3 sc ng. | ore at Achievement Level | 3 in 60% (49) stude SSS Reading As | | evel 3 on the FCAT | |
| Total | tested: 92 | | Total enrolled 8 | 1 students | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process 1 | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students do not demonstrate grade level appropriate vocabulary | Students will use graphic organizers, non-linguistic representations, personal clues to learn essential vocabulary | Administrators and Reading Coach | Student products | Classroom Walk- Throughs, Student vocabulary journals, Vocabulary Word Walls | |
| 2 | Level 3 students are fragile and lack of fluency is an issue | access to technology that will reinforce areas of weakness Students will have access to desktop computers, Computer Carts, and ILS – Integrate Learning System and Rocket Readers | Administrators and Reading Coach | discuss Earobics, FCAT Explore, Destination and Compass Reports reports | Program reports Data Chats FAIR | |
| 3 | The lack of reading outside of school impacts stamina. | Students will participate in the Accelerated Reader, Scholastic, Elements of Vocabulary and Home Reading Program | Administrators and Reading Coach | Collect, analyze and discuss program reports; students' reading lists | Program reports Student Lists | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b: | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Not Applicable | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group: | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a: | By June 2013 40% (32) of students in grade 3 meeting the DOE criteria will score level 3 on the FCAT Reading SSS Assessment. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 10% (8) students will score at or above Achievement Level 4 on the 2013 FCAT SSS Assessment. | 40% (32) students will score at or above Achievement Leve 4 on the 2013 FCAT SSS Assessment. | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | |

| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | There is a lack of student reading outside the reading block. | Students will participate in the Accelerated Reader, Compass Learning, Classroom Libraries, and Media Circulation | Administrators and Reading Coach | Collect, analyze, review program reports | Accelerated Reader Reports Students' Home Reading Logs |
| 2 | Students have a lack of experience and opportunity to participate in research based learning. | Students will collaborate with peers in project/research-based learning: cross-curricula activities in Science and Social Studies. | Administrators and Reading Coach | Presentation of project/research to peers, teachers, reading coach, and Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) | Classroom Walk Throughs Project Rubric |
| 3 | There are limited opportunities to analyze and discuss text | Students will participate in nonfiction book study. | Administrators and Reading Coach | A set of higher-level questions will be used to stimulate discussions. Students' responses to essential questions will be rated | Teacher observations/logs |
| 4 | 2.1. There is a lack of student reading outside the reading block. | 2.1. Students will participate in the Accelerated Reader, Compass Learning, Classroom Libraries, and Media Circulation | 2.1. Administrators and Reading Coach | 2.1. Collect, analyze, review program reports | 2.1. Accelerated Reader Reports Students' Home Reading Logs |
| 5 | 2.2. Students have a lack of experience and opportunity to participate in research based learning. | 2.2. Students will collaborate with peers in project/research-based learning: cross-curricula activities in Science and Social Studies. | 2.2. Administrators and Reading Coach | 2.2. Presentation of project/research to peers, teachers, reading coach, and Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) | 2.2. Classroom Walk Throughs Project Rubric |
| 6 | 2.3 There are limited opportunities to analyze and discuss text | 2.3 Students will participate in nonfiction book study. | 2.3 Administrators and Reading Coach | 2.3 A set of higher-level questions will be used to stimulate discussions. Students' responses to essential questions will be rated. | 2.3 Teacher observations/logs |

| | d on the analysis of student a provement for the following g | | d refer | ence to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need |
|--------------|---|---------------------|---------|---|--|----------------------|
| Stud read | 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b: | | | N/A | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Performa | nce: | | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | |
| N/A | | N/A | | | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Proces | ss to I | ncrease Student | Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | R | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Not Applicable | | | | | |
| Base | d on the analysis of student a | chievement data, an | d refer | ence to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need |

| of improvement for the following group: | |
|--|---|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | By June 2013 100% (9) students in grade 3 will make Learning Gains in Reading. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| 100% (2) students made learning gains in Reading. | 100% (9) students in grade 3 will make Learning Gains in Reading. |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | | |
| 1 | There is a lack of student reading outside the reading block. | Students will participate in the Accelerated Reader, Compass Learning, Classroom Libraries and Media Circulation | Administrators and Reading Coach | Collect, analyze, review program reports | Accelerated Reader Reports, Student's personal reading lists | | | | | |
| 2 | Although the students are making learning gains, the students have gaps in their learning. | Students needing more guided practice will have access to technology that will reinforce areas of weakness. | Administrators and Reading Coach | Collect, analyze and discuss program reports. | Program reports Data Chats | | | | | |
| 3 | 3.1. There is a lack of student reading outside the reading block. | 3.1. Students will participate in the Accelerated Reader, Compass Learning, Classroom Libraries and Media Circulation | 3.1. Administrators and Reading Coach | 3.1. Collect, analyze, review program reports | 3.1. Accelerated Reader Reports, Student's personal reading lists | | | | | |
| 4 | 3.2. Although the students are making learning gains, the students have gaps in their learning. | 3.2. Students needing more guided practice will have access to technology that will reinforce areas of weakness. | 3.2. Administrators and Reading Coach | 3.2. Collect, analyze and discuss program reports. | 3.2. Program reports Data Chats | | | | | |

| | I on the analysis of student provement for the following | | refer | ence to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need |
|---|---|-----------------------|-------|---|--|----------------------|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | | | N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | |
| N/A | | | | N/A | | |
| | Pro | oblem-Solving Process | to I | ncrease Studen | t Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | R | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Not Applicable | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | By June 2013 100% (9) students in grade 3 Lowest 25% will make Learning Gains in Reading | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | | |
| 100% (2) made learning gains in the 25% | 100% (9) will make learning gains in the 25% | | | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | | | |
| 1 | Although students are making learning gains, there are large gaps in their learning. | Students will receive additional small group instruction from a push-in support. | Administrators and Reading Coach | Collect, analyze, discuss student data Support logs will be compiled | Program Assessments BATS Minis Attendance reports | | | | | |
| 2 | There is a lack of student reading outside the reading block. | Students will complete an interest inventory High interest/low readability reading materials will be available. | Administrators and Reading Coach | Collect & analyze survey results. Pair student with books that address interests | Lesson Plans Classroom Walk Throughs Projects | | | | | |
| 3 | There is a lack of reading materials that align with the students' independent reading levels. | 0 | Administrators and Reading Coach | Books will be purchased for classroom libraries Media Specialist will identify appropriate books | Books List from Media | | | | | |
| 4 | Students do not demonstrate grade level appropriate vocabulary | Students will use graphic organizer, non-linguistic representations, personal clues to learn essential vocabulary. | Administrators and Reading Coach | Student products | Tally Charts Classroom Walk Throughs Student vocabulary journals | | | | | |

| incro of C Star 5 | ease their knowledge common Core State ndards instructional aniques for small up instruction | Students will be instructed using Common Core State Standards s and provided opportunities for guided practice and independent work. A list of strategies will be generated for a reference for teachers. | Reading Coach | evident in instruction delivery during CWT | Program Assessments BATs Minis FCAT Pro |
|----------------------------|--|--|---------------|---|---|
|----------------------------|--|--|---------------|---|---|

| | | | | Reading Goal # | ¥ | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Meas | urable Ob ol will red | but Achievable <i>i</i> ijectives (AMOs) uce their achiev | . In six year | By the e | nd of he acl | nievement ga | | vour Primary Lea 50% as indicated | |
| | line data 0-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 4 | 2014-201 | 5 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| | | 56 6 | 60 | 64 | | 68 | | 72 | |
| | | analysis of stude at for the followi | | ent data, and re | eferend | ce to "Guiding | Quest | ions", identify and | define areas in nee |
| Hisp satis | anic, Asia | ubgroups by e an, American I progress in rea #5B: | ndian) not m | | | | |)) of Black students ng SSS Assessmen | |
| 2012 | 2 Current | Level of Perfo | rmance: | | 20 | 013 Expected | l Level | of Performance: | |
| 58% progr | of the bla ress in rea | | | satisfactory ving Process t | 2. | 0 Reading SSS | S Asses | | 4, or 5 on the FCA |
| | Antic | ipated Barrier | St | rategy | l Resp | Person or Position ponsible for onitoring | | ocess Used to Determine fectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
| 1 | apply re | s are unable to ading strategy t y of text. | o opportuniti students to strategies not limited | o use different such as but to: QAR, on, reciprocal Graphic | Administrators and Reading Coach | | Throug that to provid (in ins work) | Classroom Walk- ghs it will be noted eachers are ing opportunities truction and center Student journals ocument strategy | Classroom Walk- Throughs Teachers Observations Centers Journals |
| 2 | with FCA and Com Standard | s are unfamiliar AT Reading 2.0 Imon Core ds that will be In the 2013 FCA essment | in training focusing or Standards | vill participate sessions Common Core | Administrators and Reading Coach | | teache | ng Coach and er(s) will develop a aching lesson per er. | Lesson plan Co-teaching feedback form |
| 3 | extensiv time in r | s needs are e and additiona needed to te learning | | es will be y push-in or | | nistrators and ng Coach | studer | t, analyze, discuss ht data Teacher n/pull-out ules. | Program Assessments BATs Minis Attendance |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| satis | English Language Learner sfactory progress in readi ding Goal #5C: | | | By June 2013 60% (14) of ELL students will score 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT 2.0 Reading SSS Assessment | | | |
|---------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn | nance: | | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| 81%) readi | of ELL students did not mak ing. | ke satisfactory progress in | | 60% (14) of ELI reading. | L students make satisfacto | ory progress in | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process 1 | toIn | ncrease Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Not Applicable | | | | | | |
| 2 | Students do not demonstrate grade level appropriate vocabulary | Students will use graphic organizers, non-linguistic representations, personal clues to learn essential vocabulary | Rea | ninistrators and ding Coach | Student products | Classroom Walk- Throughs, Studen vocabulary journals, Vocabulary Word Walls | |
| 3 | Level 3 students are fragile and lack of fluency is an issue | All students needing guided practice will have access to technology that will reinforce areas of weakness Students will have access to desktop computers, Computer Carts, and ILS – Integrate Learning System and Rocket Readers | Administrators and Reading Coach | | Collect, analyze and discuss Earobics, FCAT Explore, Destination and Compass Reports reports | Program reports Data Chats FAIR | |
| 4 | The lack of reading outside of school impacts stamina | Students will participate in the Accelerated Reader Program, Scholastic, Elements of Vocabulary and the Home Reading Program | Administrators and Reading Coach | | Collect, analyze and discuss program reports; students' reading list | Program reports Student list | |

| | on the analysis of student provement for the following | | referer | nce to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need |
|---|---|-----------------------|---------|--|--|----------------------|
| 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D: | | | N | I/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2 | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | |
| N/A | | | | I/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to I no | crease Studen | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Res | Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | Not Applicable | | | | | |

| L | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 4 | 2 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E: | | | By June 2013 4 | By June 2013 40% (32) Economically Disadvantaged students will score level 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT Reading SSS Assessment. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | (53) of Economically Disad e satisfactory progress is re | | | onomically Disadvantaged ry progress is reading. | students did not | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | The students' background knowledge does not align with academic knowledge | Teacher will read aloud to students on a consistent basis. Virtual Field Trips will be accessed. | Administrators and Reading Coach | Teacher Observation of Virtual Field Trips: Students discussion groups written and project based reports. | Oral and Written Student Projects | |
| 2 | Teachers have limited understanding of poverty and its impact on student learning. | | Administrators and Reading Coach | Talent Devlopment post workshop evaluation need verification of training | Attendance sign in sheet | |
| 3 | Students are not reading independently outside the reading blocks. | Students will participate in the Accelerated Reading Program | Administrators, Reading Coach, Team Leaders | Collect, analyze, review program reports | Accelerated Reader Reports | |
| | Teachers need to | Students will be | Administrators and | Strategy work will be | Program | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Reading Coach

evident in instruction

Walk-Throughs

delivery during Classroom BATs

Assessments

Minis

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

reading strategies and

guided practice and reinforcement

provided opportunities for

increase their knowledge instructed in specific

of specific instructional

techniques for small

group

4

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--------------|--|
| | | | | Planning Days: | | |

| Lesson Plans in alignment with Common Core State Standards | Headstart-3rd grade/ELA | District-Talent Development Staff Curriculum Staff School Based Administrator | All Teachers | 8/14/12, 8/16/12, 9/27/12, 10/26/12, 12/20/12, 1/18/13 Early Release: 2/7/13 | EPLC Professional Development Log Iobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) Data Chats | Administration and Reading Coach |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Ruby Payne: Understanding the Framework of Poverty | All Teachers | District-Talent Development Staff | All Teachers | ТВА | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) | Administration and Reading Coach |
| Renaissance Learning | Headstart-3rd grade/ Reading | Renaissance Learning ConsItants and Media Specialist | All Teachers | Initial Training: 1st quarter Follow-up training: 2-4 quarter | Iobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) AR monthly reports School-wide targeted chart | Administration and Reading Coach |
| Refresher: Treasures/Triumphs | K-3rd grade | Reading Coach | All Teachers | 1st Quarter | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) Data Chats | Administration and Reading Coach |
| SMART Boards | Selected Teachers with SMART boards | District InstructionalTechnology Staff and Media Specialist | Selected Teachers with SMART boards | 1st quarter 2nd quarter | Iobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) | Administration and Reading Coach |
| Compass Odyssey in alignment with Common Core State Standards | K-3rd grade Teachers | Compass Odyssey Consultants | All Teachers | 1st quarter 2nd quarter | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) | Administration and Reading Coach |
| Creating Rubrics | K-3rd grade Teachers | Reading Coach | All Teachers | 1st-4th quarter | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) Student Work samples | Administration and Reading Coach |
| Journaling | K-3rd grade Teachers | Reading Coach | All Teachers | 1st quarter 2nd quarter | Iobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) Student Work samples | Administration and Reading Coach |
| FAIR | 1st-3rd grade teachers | Reading Dept | All Teachers | 1st quarter | FAIR data | Administration and Reading Coach |
| 7 Correlates | Headstart-3rd grade | Early Childhood Dept and Talent Development | All Teachers/Administration | 1st quarter | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) | Administration and Reading Coach |
| ccss | 1st and 2nd grade Teachers | Reading Dept | All Teachers | Ongoing | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and snapshots) | Administration |

Reading Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Marzano Art and Teaching of Teaching | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Title One | \$1,300.00 |
| Professional Development (Renaissance Learning -training and follow-ups) | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$5,400.00 |
| Professional Development (FAIR) | Administration and monitoring student reading progress | Professional Development | \$1,200.00 |
| CCSS Implementation | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$8,400.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | Gra | and Total: \$8,400.00 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. | By June, 2013, 40% of the students tested on CELLA will |
|---|---|
| | be proficient in listening/speaking on the 2013 |
| CELLA Goal #1: | Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment |
| | (CELLA) |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

35%(36) students scored proficient in listening/speaking on the 2012 Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA).

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students are weak in vocabulary and conversational English Skills. | Additional vocabulary and conversational English skills will be infused in the 120 minute reading block. | Classroom Teacher Reading Coach | IPT, monthly reading/language progress monitoring vocabulary and oral language | IPT Language Assessment | |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

| 2. Students scoring proficient in reading. | By June, 2013, 25% of the students tested will score |
|--|--|
| | proficient on the Reading Comprehension English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA). |

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

22%(23)of the students tested scored proficient in Reading on the 2012 Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA).

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | English Language Learners need exposure and practice with English text. | | 5 | Monthly Oral Reading Fluency assessments | Oral Reading Fluency Passages | |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. CELLA Goal #3: | By June, 2013, 25% of the students will score proficient in Writing on the 2013 administration of the Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA). | | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing: | | | | | |
| 21%(22) scored proficient in Writing on the 2012 administration of the Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA). | | | | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |

| - | | | | 1 | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | | writing frames for both narrative and | | samples | Progress on monthly writing prompts using the FCAT rubric |
| 2 | and conventions | mini-lessons concentrating on | | 5 | Monthly review of writing samples |

CELLA Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Newcomers Kit (K-1 and 2-3) | Supplemental resources to assist students learning English as a second language | Instructional Materials | \$1,500.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$1,500.00 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| ESOL updates for IPT | Obtained updated and new information to administered the IPT to ELL students. | Professional Development | \$200.00 |
| | | S | ubtotal: \$200.00 |
| | | Grand | Total: \$1,700.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1a. F | CAT2.0: Students scoring | g at Achievement Level 3 | 3 in | | |
|--------|---|---|--|---|--|
| math | ematics. ematics Goal #1a: | - | By June 2013 5 | 8% (47) students in grade S Assessment Mathematic | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | |
| 3 in r | (35)students in grade 3 wi nathematics. tested: 92 students Pr | Il score at Achievement Le oblem-Solving Process t | 3 in mathematic Total enrolled: | cs. 81 | : Achievement Leve |
| | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | The lack of rigor in the student assignments. | Students will complete activities included in the GO Math! Enrichment Book with the help of their classroom teacher. | Administrators | Administration will conduct Classroom Walk- Throughs on a weekly basis. Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. | Classroom Walk- Throughs, 2.0 Informal Feedback Tool, Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests |
| 2 | The lack of opportunities for students to work cooperatively on hands- on activities. | Students will complete teacher-created and textbook supplied center activities several times a week. | Administrators | Teachers will review student center folders on a weekly basis with a focus on the accuracy of the completed assignment. | center |
| 3 | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary introduced during a math lesson. | Teachers will participate in a professional development opportunity focusing on the appropriate use of student note-taking books. | Administrators | Framework | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments, and FCAT Pro |
| 4 | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary introduced during a math lesson. | Students will utilize and maintain a daily note- taking book. | Administrators | and discuss data according to the timeline | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments, and FCAT Pro |
| 5 | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary learned during a math lesson. | | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data gathered from program supplied assessments specific to the ELO resources. | Program supplied assessments |

| | on the analysis of student provement for the following | achievement data, and re group: | ference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b: | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process to | o Increase Studen | t Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
of improvement for the following group:2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement
Level 4 in mathematics.By June 2013 30% (24) students in grade 3 will score level 3
on the FCAT SSS Assessment Mathematics2012 Current Level of Performance:2013 Expected Level of Performance:7% (6) students in grade 3 meeting the DOE criteria scored
level 3 on the FCAT SSS Assessment Mathematics30% (24) students in grade 3 meeting the DOE criteria will
score level 3 on the FCAT SSS Assessment Mathematics

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | Level 4-5 students need to be given additional opportunities to complete work that challenge their ability on a consistent basis. | to complete one of the Big Idea projects each | Administrators | Students will present their projects to their peers and Literacy Leadership Team during the early release day at the end of each quarter. | Big Idea project and rubric | | | |
| 2 | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary learned during a math lesson | | Administrators | | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments, and FCAT Pro | | | |
| | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary learned during a math lesson | | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. | | | | |

| 3 | | | | Teachers will conduct daily formative assessments and students may use their note-taking book as a reference. | teacher-created formative assessments, and FCAT Pro |
|---|---|--|----------------|--|---|
| 4 | The lack of rigor in the student assignments. | Students will complete activities included in the GO Math! Enrichment Book with the help of their classroom teacher. | Administrators | conduct Classroom Walk- Throughs on a weekly basis. Record, collect, analyze | textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments, and |

| | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | l refer | ence to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need |
|---|---|----------------------|---------|---|--|----------------------|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | N/A | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease Studen | t Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | R | Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |

N/A

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| gains | CAT 2.0: Percentage of s in mathematics. ematics Goal #3a: | tudents making learning | By June 2013 1 | By June 2013 100% (9) students in grade 3 will make Learning Gains in Mathematics | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | | |
| 100% (9) students in grade 3 made Learning Gains in Mathematics | | | 100% (9)studer Mathematics | 100% (9)students in grade 3 will make Learning Gains in Mathematics | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary learned during a math lesson | | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, | | |

| 1 | | student note-taking books. | | Teachers will conduct daily formative assessments and students may use their note-taking book as a reference. | teacher-created formative assessments and FCAT Pro. |
|---|--|---|----------------|---|--|
| 2 | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary learned during a math lesson. | maintain a note-taking | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. Teachers will conduct daily formative assessments and students may use their note-taking book as a reference. | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments and FCAT Pro. |
| 3 | Students have difficulty initially understanding math concepts | Students will participate in daily teacher-directed small group instruction for remediation. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. Teachers will conduct formative assessments at the end of the small group instruction session. | FCAT Pro. |
| 4 | Students have difficulty initially understanding math concepts. | Targeted Level 2 students will be provided additional push-in/pull- out support to further increase learning gains. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according from the GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments and the Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments. | GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. N/A Mathematics Goal #3b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A 1

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% | |
|---|---|
| making learning gains in mathematics. | By June 2013 100% (9) students in the Lowest 25% will |
| Mathematics Goal #4: | make Learning Gains in Mathematics |

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|---|--|
| 100% (9) students in the Lowest 25% made Learning Gains in Mathematics | 100% (9) students in the Lowest 25% will make Learning Gains in Mathematics |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | Students have difficulty initially understanding math concepts. | Students will participate in daily teacher-directed small group instruction for remediation. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. Teachers will conduct formative assessments at the end of the small group instruction session. | formative assessments, teacher-created formative | | | |
| 2 | Students have difficulty initially understanding math concepts. | Targeted Level 1 students will be provided additional push-in/pull- out support to further increase learning gains. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data gathered from the GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments and the Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments. | GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments, Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments. | | | |
| 3 | Teacher knowledge of the appropriate use of manipulatives | Teachers will participate in professional development focusing on the appropriate use of manipulatives during classroom instructions. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments and FCAT Pro. | | | |
| 4 | Students have not been given enough opportunities to use hand-on materials to increase their understanding of math concepts. | All students will be instructed using manipulatives when appropriate, with the teacher modeling the use of manipulatives. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss Chapter Test data. | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments and FCAT Pro. | | | |
| 5 | The lack of opportunities for students to work cooperatively on hands- on activities. | Students will complete teacher-created, independent leveled center activities several times a week; including the leveled readers provided by the GO Math! Series. | Administrators | Teachers will review student center folders on a weekly basis with a focus on the accuracy of the completed assignment(s). | center | | | |

| Based on Amb | Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | Elementary School Mathematics Goal # By the end of 2016-2017, Endeavour Primary Learning will reduce the achievement gap by 50% as indicated per the 2011- 2012 baseline data. | | | | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | | |
| <u></u> | 53 | 58 | 62 | 66 | 70 | | | |

| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and c | lefine areas in need | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: | | | | By June 2013 62% (50) Black students in grade 3 will score level 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT Mathematics SSS Assessment. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| | Black students did not mal 2012 FCAT Mathematics SS | | | lents will make satisfactory hematics SSS Assessment. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of opportunities for students to work in small groups | Students will participate in daily teacher-directed small group instruction for remediation. | Administrators | Framework. Teachers will conduct formative assessments at | formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments and | |
| 2 | Students have difficulty initially understanding math concepts. | Students will be provided additional push-in-pull- out support to further increase learning gains. | Administrators | the end of the small group instruction session. Record, collect, analyze and discuss data gathered from the GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments and the Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments. | FCAT Pro. GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments, Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments | |
| 3 | Teacher knowledge of the appropriate use of manipulatives | Teachers will participate in professional development focusing on the appropriate use of manipulatives during classroom instruction. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. | Classroom Walkthroughs | |
| 4 | Students need additional opportunities to use hands-on materials to increase their understanding of math concepts. | All students will be instructed using manipulatives when appropriate, with the teacher modeling the use of manipulatives. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss Chapter Test data. | GO Math! Chapter Tests | |
| 5 | The lack of opportunities for students to work cooperatively on hands- on activities. | Students will complete teacher-created, independent level center activities several time a week; including the leveled readers provided by the GO Math! Series. | Administrators | Administration will review student center folders on a weekly basis with a focus on the accuracy of the completed assignment. | Student center folders, completed center assignments | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #5C:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|--|--|
| 82% (14) of ELL students did not make satisfactory progress in the FCAT Mathematics Assessment. | 48% (39) of ELL students will score 3, 4 or 5 on the 2013 FCAT Mathematics SSS Assessment |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary learned during a math lesson | | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework Teachers will conduct daily formative assessments and students may use their note-taking book as a reference. | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments. | | |
| 2 | Students have difficulty initially understanding math concepts. | Targeted Level 2 students will be provided additional push-in/pull- out support to further increase learning gains. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according from the GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments and the Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments. | GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments. | | |
| 3 | Students have difficulty retaining information and vocabulary learned during a math lesson. | | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework. Teachers will conduct daily formative assessments and students may use their note-taking book as a reference. | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, textbook created formative assessments, teacher-created formative assessments. | | |
| 4 | Students have difficulty initially understanding math concepts | Students will participate in daily teacher-directed small group instruction for remediation. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data according to the timeline in the District Curriculum Framework Teachers will conduct daily formative assessments and students may use their note-taking book as a reference. | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making

| | satisfactory | progress | s in mathematics. |
|--|--------------|----------|-------------------|
|--|--------------|----------|-------------------|

Mathematics Goal #5D:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

| N/A | | | N/A | | |
|-----|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | N/A | | | | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | |
|--|--|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5E: | | | By June 2013 6 students in grad | By June 2013 65% (53) of Economically Disadvantaged students in grade 3 will score level 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT Mathematics SSS Assessment. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| did no | | 0 0 | . , | 65% (53) of Economically Disadvantaged students in grade will score level 3, 4 or 5 on the FCAT Mathematics SSS Assessment. | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool | |

| | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | | Lack of opportunities for students to work in small groups | | Administrators | | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, formative assessments |
| 2 | | Students have difficulty initially understanding math concepts. | Students will be provided additional push-in-pull- out support to further increase learning gains. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss data gathered from the GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments and the Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments. | GO Math! Mini benchmark assessments, Beginning, Middle and End-of-Year Assessments, and FCAT PRO |
| | | Teacher knowledge of the appropriate use of manipulatives | Teachers will participate in professional development focusing on the appropriate use of manipulatives during classroom instruction. | Administrators | | Chapter Tests, Big Idea Tests, formative assessments |
| 2 | Ļ | Students need additional opportunities to use hands-on materials to increase their understanding of math concepts. | All students will be instructed using manipulatives when appropriate, with the teacher modeling the use of manipulatives. | Administrators | Record, collect, analyze and discuss Chapter Test data. | GO Math! Chapter Tests |
| | | The lack of opportunities | Students will compete | Administrators | Administration will review | Student center |

5

for students to work cooperatively on handson activities. teacher-created, independent level center activities several time a week; including the leveled readers provided by the GO Math! Series. student center folders on folders, completed a weekly basis with a center focus on the accuracy of the completed assignment.

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Lesson Plans in alignment with Common core State Standards | Headstart-3rd grade/Math | District-Talent Development Curriculum Staff School Based Administrator | All Teachers | Plannig Days: 10/27/12, 1/18/13, 3/22/13 | EPLC Professional Development Log Iobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) Data Chats | Administration |
| Refresher: Go Math | K-3rd grade | District Curriculum Staff | All Teachers | 1st quarter | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) | Administration |
| SMART Boards | Selected Teachers with SMART boards | District Instructional Technology Staff and Media Specialist | All Teachers | 1st quarter 2nd quarter | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) | Administration |
| Creating Rubrics | Headstart-3rd grade | Administration | All Teachers | 1st-4th quarter | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) | Administration |
| Journaling | K-3rd grade | District Curriculum Staff | All Teachers | 1st quarter 2nd quarter | lobservation (Formal, Informal, and Snapshots) | Administration |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s) | /Material(s) | | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| CCSS Implementation | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$400.00 |
| Math Journaling | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$300.00 |
| | Research based effective teaching | | |

| Go Math Refresher | strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Developmer | nt \$400.00 |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,100.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | G | rand Total: \$1,100.00 |
| | | | |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| | d on the analysis of stud in need of improvement | | | Guiding Questions", ide | ntify and define | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: | | | N/A | | | |
| 2012 | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | | | | | |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a: | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfe | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| | d on the analysis of stud s in need of improvement | | | Guiding Questions", ide | ntify and define | |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b: | | | 7 N/A | N/A | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfo | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | Ν | lo Data Submitte | d | - | |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | | | Available |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the | ent achievement data, an e following group: | d reference to "Gu | iding Questions", identif | y and define areas | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | | | vel N/A | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance | 9: | |
| N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process to | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.

N/A

Writing Goal #1b:

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2 | | | 2012 Evport | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perior | mance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performance | e: | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to I | | | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | N/A | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--------|---|--|--|--|
| | | Ν | lo Data Submitte | d | | |

Writing Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Writing Goals

i.

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | sed on the analysis of improvement: | attendance data, and reference | to " | Guiding Questior | s", identify and define | e areas in need | |
|-----|---|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| A | | | | By June 2013 the number of students with Excessive Absences will be reduced to 25% (100)and excessive | | | |
| At | tendance Goal #1: | | abs | ences will be red | duced to 2%. | | |
| 20 | 12 Current Attendan | ce Rate: | 20 ⁻ | 13 Expected At | tendance Rate: | | |
| 94 | % (380) | | 95% | % (385) | | | |
| - |)12 Current Number (osences (10 or more) | of Students with Excessive | | 13 Expected Nu sences (10 or n | mber of Students w nore) | ith Excessive | |
| ??? | % (51) | | 25% | % (25) | | | |
| - |)12 Current Number (Irdies (10 or more) | of Students with Excessive | | 13 Expected Nu rdies (10 or mo | mber of Students w re) | ith Excessive | |
| .5% | % (20) | | 2% | (8) | | | |
| | | Problem-Solving Process to I | ncre | ease Student A | chievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of parental knowledge of BTIP policy | times, Teacher Conferences, | whose children are absent three | | Weekly monitor of attendance records Logs of phone call | Classroom Attendance Records BTIP Records | |
| 2 | Inaccurate Record keeping | Information Management Tech Performs as BTIP and communicate student issues to Assistant Principal and School Social Worker. Weekly reports will be generated from BRIO. | | Assistant Principal IMT/BTIP Coordinator School Social Worker | Weekly monitor of attendance records Logs of phone call | Classroom Attendance Records BTIP Records | |
| 3 | Lack of Parental AM Supervision | Follow-up phone calls to parents whose children being late three times, Teacher Conference, Administrative/GuidanceConfere | | Classroom Teachers, Administrators, BTIP Coordinator, Guidance Counselor | Weekly monitor of attendance records Logs of phone call | Classroom Attendance Records BTIP Records | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | | | |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | lent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1: | By June 2013 the rate of in-school suspensions will decrease to 1% (4) | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions | | | |
| (7) | (5) | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended I n- School | | | |
| (5) | (2) | | | |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | | |
| (9) | (5) | | | |

| 2012 Scho | Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended Out-of- | - 2013 Expecte of-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--|
| (9) | | | (5) | (5) | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too | |
| 1 | Utilization of DMS/BMS with fidelty | Assistant Principal will provide PD on inputting student referrals to accurately reflect facts. | Assistant Principal | Review suspension data monthly | Terms/Data Warehouse Reports | |
| 2 | Classroom rules, processes, expectations and procedures modeled ongoing throughout the year | Teachers will model school and classroom rules. Guidance Counselor will provide support to students in small group sessions and individual | Assistant Principal Principal | Review suspension data monthly | Terms/Data Warehouse Reports | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

sessions

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| DMS/BMS Professional Development | K-3rd drade | Assistant Principal | All Teachers | 1st quarter Ongoing | Monitoring Teacher referral input Iobservation (Formal, Informal, and snapshots) | Administration |

Suspension Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |
| | | | |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

| * When using percentage: | s, include the number | of students the | percentage | represents | (e.a., | 70% (| (35)). |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|--------|-------|--------|

| | d on the analysis of pare ed of improvement: | nt involvement data, and | d reference to "Guid | ding Questions", identify | and define areas | |
|-----------------|--|---|--|---|------------------|--|
| 1. Pa | rent Involvement | | | | | |
| *Plea partic | nt Involvement Goal #* se refer to the percenta ipated in school activitie plicated. | ge of parents who | least (3) trainii | By June 2013 50% (200) of our parents will attend at least (3) trainings, meetings, or evening activities/workshops. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Parer | nt Involvement: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Parent I nvol | vement: | |
| 25% | (92) | | 50% (200) | 50% (200) | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Strategies for communicating information are in place but need to be distributed in a more timely manner. | Parent communications including flyers, parent phone link, and marquee will be utilized. Notification will commence 5-7 days prior to the events. | Administration | Student Calendar Agendas are distributed at the beginning of the year and to newly registered students. Agenda contain all scheduled school- related events. | Sign-in sheets | |
| 2 | Low parental involvement with school-related events and activities. | Parent Passport System- passports provide parents the opportunities to earn points for each school- related event they attend. The parents with the most points at the end of each semester are entered into a drawing to win gifts. | Administration | Passports will be monitored by school personnel. | Sign-in sheets | |

(PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | | | A |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1. STEM | | | | | | |
| STEM Goal #1: | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | - | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |
| | | | End of STEM Goal |

Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| | ogram(s)/Material(s) | Description of | | |
|---------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| CELLA | Newcomers Kit (K-1 and 2-3) | Supplemental resources to assist students learning English as a second language | Instructional Materials | \$1,500.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$1,500.0 |
| echnology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Develo | opment | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Marzano Art and Teaching of Teaching | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Title One | \$1,300.00 |
| Reading | Professional Development (Renaissance Learning -training and follow- ups) | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$5,400.00 |
| Reading | Professional Development (FAIR) | Administration and monitoring student reading progress | Professional Development | \$1,200.00 |
| Reading | CCSS Implementation | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$500.00 |
| Mathematics | CCSS Implementation | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$400.00 |
| Mathematics | Math Journaling | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$300.00 |
| Mathematics | Go Math Refresher | Research based effective teaching strategies and techniques to improve student achievement | Professional Development | \$400.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$9,500.0 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| CELLA | ESOL updates for IPT | Obtained updated and new information to administered the IPT to ELL students. | Professional Development | \$200.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$200.0 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$11,200.0 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

j∩ Priority j∩ Focus j∩ Prevent j∩ NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/19/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|---|------------|
| SAC funds will be used to provide home-school communication agendas to parents and students to increase parent involvement and opportunities for parents to reinforce skills at home. | \$1,800.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The Endeavour SAC will be actively participate in on going reviews of the SIP and PIP. Each meeting agenda will provide current information on student data and student progress, professional development, and status of school-wide initiatives. In addition, participants will be updated and requested for suggested input with:

- Budget Review/Update
- Parent/Community Involvement
- Attend Area/Zone Meetings
- School Operations
- Curriculum
- Safety

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found