FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: CAPSTONE ACADEMY

District Name: Escambia

Principal: Nancy Wolfe

SAC Chair: Brad Huggins

Superintendent: Malcolm Thomas

Date of School Board Approval:

Last Modified on: 10/29/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Nancy Wolfe	B.A. Communications Pennsylvania State University	2	2	n/a

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Partnering new teachers with veteran staff	Principal	On-going	Not applicable; on-going
2	Modeling of instructional strategies by veteran staff	Principal	On-going	Not Applicable; on-going
3	Implement comprehensive recruitment and screening process including local and statewide advertisements, team focused interviews, and shadowing	Vice President Business Services and Adult Programs	On-going	Not Applicable; on-going
4	Hire NCLB Highly Qualified Teachers	Principal	On-going	Not applicable; on-going
5	Weekly team meetings with Principal and additional instructional staff involved in the classroom	Principal, faculty	On-going	
6	Monthly inservice training opportunities	Principal, faculty	on-going	
7	Semi annual classroom assessments	Faculty	2/2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
0	n/a

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers		% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
7	0.0%(0)	42.9%(3)	42.9%(3)	14.3%(1)	42.9%(3)	100.0%(7)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Robyn Sandfort, BCBA; Ed. D.	as needed	with children	Modeling of strategies to address specific learning goals

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
n/a
Title I, Part C- Migrant
n/a
Title I, Part D
n/a
Title II
n/a
Title III
n/an/a
Title X- Homeless
n/a
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
n/a
Violence Prevention Programs
n/a
Nutrition Programs
n/a
Housing Programs
n/a
Head Start
n/a
Adult Education
n/a
Career and Technical Education
n/a
Job Training
n/a
Other
n/a

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

n/a

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

n/a

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

n/a

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

n/a

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

n/a

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

n/a

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

n/a

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

n/a

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/29/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as

applicable.

n/a

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

n/a

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

n/a

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

n/a

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

n/a

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

n/a

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

n/a

	on the analysis of student provement for the following	achievement data, and ref group:	erence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need		
readii	ng.	g at Achievement Level 3	in n/a				
	ng Goal #1a: Current Level of Perform	nance.	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
2012 Current Level of Performance.							
n/a			n/a	n/a			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

n/a

n/a

n/a

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
Stude	lorida Alternate Assessn ents scoring at Levels 4, ing Goal #1b:		n/a	n/a		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.	n/a				
Reading Goal #2a:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

n/a			n/a	n/a				
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and r g group:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:			n/a	n/a		
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
n/a	n/a			n/a		
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
L	1	1		1		

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and re group:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:			n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:			n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		refer	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and a	define areas in need
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:			n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a			
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	n/a	n/a
2	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	n/a	n/a

Based on Amb	Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target							
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Reading Goal # n/a 5A :			4		
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making

satisfactory progress in reading.			n/a	n/a		
Read	Reading Goal #5B:					
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
Problem-Solving Process to			to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		refer	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C:		n/a				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a			
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	n/a	n/a
2	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	n/a	n/a

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and referred of improvement for the following subgroup:	erence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5D:	n/a		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a	n/a		
Problem-Solving Process to	Increase Student Achievement		
	Person or Process Used to		

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

	on the analysis of studen or over the following		refere	ence to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5E:			0	n/a		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
n/a				n/a		
Problem-Solving Process to I			toIr	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a
2	n/a	n/a	n/a		n/a	n/a

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
	1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. CELLA Goal #1:				
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in liste	ning/speaking:		
n/a					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy				
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.					
2. Students scoring pr	roficient in reading				
CELLA Goal #2:			n/a		
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:					
n/a					
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Position Responsible Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring					
No Data Submitted					

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.						
3. Sti	udents scoring proficie	nt in writing.				
CELL	A Goal #3:		n/a			
2012	Current Percent of Stu	idents Proficient in writ	ing:			
n/a	n/a					
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Process Used to Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Evaluation Tool					
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1a:			3 in n/a			
2012	Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
2	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a					
Deeee	l on the analysis of studen	t appiavament data and	reference to "Cuidin	a Questions" identify and	define erece in need	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1b:			s. n/a	n/a		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics.	n/a			
Mathematics Goal #2a:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

n/a			n/a			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:	n/a		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a	n/a		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a:			n/a	n/a		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:			d Level of Performance:		
n/a	n/a			n/a		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process 1	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the followin		nd refer	ence to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:			n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
n/a			n/a			
	Ρ	roblem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	n/a	n/a
Deer	d on the analysis of stude	at achievement data	d rofer		· Ouestiens" identificand	define erece in read

of improvement for the following group:	ence to Guiding Questions , identify and define areas in need			
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	n/a			
Mathematics Goal #4:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
n/a	n/a			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Elementary School Mathematics Goal #			
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B:			n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
n/a	n/a			n/a		
Problem-Solving Process to I			to I	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	n/a	n/a
2						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following subgroup:				
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5C:	n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
n/a	n/a			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

– L						
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:	n/a				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
n/a	n/a				

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2					

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal E:			n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
n/a			n/a			
Problem-Solving Process to I			to I i	ncrease Studer	nt Achievement	
			Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	l	n/a	n/a
2						

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	and/or PLC	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
		Grand Total: \$0.00
	No Data Description of Resources	No Data No Data Description of Resources Funding Source

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

1

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:		n/a				
2012 Current Level of	f Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:	
n/a			n/a			
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy For		on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	No Data Submitted					

	d on the analysis of stud in need of improvement			Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b:			n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Prob	lem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a:		n/a				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfor	mance:	
n/a			n/a			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for			on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2b:		n/a				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:	
n/a			n/a			
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Pos for			on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	ım(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, an e following group:	nd reference to "Gu	iding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level3.0 and higher in writing.Writing Goal #1a:			vel n/a			
2012	Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	9:	
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			n/a			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	e:	
n/a			n/a	n/a		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a I	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

,	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
n,	/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Writing Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
			Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of atter provement:	ndance data, and refere	nce 1	to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and def	fine areas in need
	tendance ndance Goal #1:			Increase attendance rate for preschool children by 2.5% for school year 2012-2013		
2012 Current Attendance Rate:				2013 Expecte	d Attendance Rate:	
84.7				86.82%		
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				2013 Expecte Absences (10	d Number of Students or more)	with Excessive
22				20		
	2 Current Number of Stu ies (10 or more)	udents with Excessive		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)		
n/a				n/a		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I r	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Capstone Academy serves pre-school aged children with special needs. This population is more likely to experience absences for health related reasons as well as other family related reasons	Greater parent involvement and education about the need for children to be at school if health is not a factor in their absence.	Prin	ncipal	Conversations with parents	Attendence sheets

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus PD Facilitator Level/Subject Focus PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator Level/Subject Leader PD Facilitator Leader School-w	ct, (e.g., up/Monitoring for Monitoring
--	---

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	lent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

п

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1. Suspension Suspension Goal #1:	n/a
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
n/a	n/a
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended I n- School
n/a	n/a
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions
n/a	n/a
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School

n/a			n/a			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

1. Pa	rent Involvement					
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who			in school base pot luck and p	age of parents will conti d parent involvement act arenting nights, parent c involvement in classroom	ivities including ommittee	
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Parent Invo	lvement:	
90% of parents participated in at least one major parent involvement activity including pot luck and parenting nights, fundraisers and parent committee meetings.			parent involve	95 (26 of 28) of parents will participate in a majority of parent involvement activities including pot luck and parenting nights, parent committee meetings, and involvement in classroom and field trip activity		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Parents are working and cannot participate in parenting activity	Provide avenues for parents to become more involved with the school during non-work hours including evening potluck and parenting nights and greater communication between faculty and parents through computer based technology such as facebook and e-mail	Principal	Evaluation of parent satisfaction via formal and informal survey of parents and faculty	attendance records of participation in after work hour events, response from parents via e-mail and facebook, teacher reports.	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Involving parents in school activities	Pre K ESE	Principal			Parent involvement	Principal

Parent Involvement Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. ST	EM						
STEN	1 Goal #1:		n/a	n/a			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

STEM Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$0.0

		Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.0
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.0
		Grand Total: \$0.0
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Additional Goal(s)

Milestone achievement Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	lestone achievement G stone achievement Goa			Level 1 students progress one level in six areas, as reflected in VB MAPP assessments		
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	2013 Expected level:		
	ol year 2011 2012 VB MA ge gains of 10 milestone		ect learning areas, reflected in the	Level 1 students will progress one level in each of 6 t learning areas, gaining 20 points over one school year as reflected in the VB MAPP and successfully moving to the next developmental level.		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Diversity of student abilities and behaviors	Highly structured schedule, well developed lesson plans, adequate instructional materials and well trained and qualified staff	Principal	Data collection, analysis and charting in VB MAPP	VB MAPP	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Developmentally appropriate practices, communication, Sensory awareness, behavior strategies	Pre-K ESE	Robyn SAndfort, Ed.D. BCBA; Maia Aultman SLP	Faculty and staff	semi-monthly	classroom checklists, teacher evaluations	Principal

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Milestone achievement Goal(s)

Milestone Achievement Level 2 students Goal:

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	lestone Achievement L stone Achievement Lev		Level 2 studen learning areas	Level 2 students progress one level in each of eight learning areas			
2012	? Current level:		2013 Expecte	2013 Expected level:			
	ol year 2011 2012 VB MA age gains of 50 milestone		ect learning areas,	Level 2 students will progress one level in each of 8 learning areas, gaining at least 40 points over one school year as reflected in the VB MAPP and graduating to level 3.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Diversity of student abilities and behaviors	Highly structured schedule, well developed lesson plans, adequate instructional materials and well trained and qualified staff	Principal	Data collection, analysis and charting in VB MAPP	VB MAPP		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Developmentally						

appropriate practices, communication, sensory awareness, behavior strategies		Robyn Sandfort, Ed.D., BCBA; Maia Aultman SLP; Sarah Neel, OT	faculty and staff	semi monthly	classroom checklists, teacher observation and evaluation	Principal
--	--	---	-------------------	--------------	---	-----------

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Milestone Achievement Level 2 students Goal(s)

Milestone Achievement Level 3 students Goal:

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	lestone Achievement L stone Achievement Lev		Level 3 studen areas	Level 3 students progress one level in each of six learning areas			
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	2013 Expected level:			
	ol year 2011 2012 VB MA ige gains of 35 milestone		gaining in the r skills they need	Level 3 students will progress according to their needs, gaining in the range of 30 - 40 points and building the skills they need to graduate to kindergarten as reflected in the VB MAPP.			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Diversity of student abilities and behaviors	Highly structured schedule, well developed lesson plans, adequate instructional materials and well trained and qualified staff	Principal	Data collection, analysis and charting in VB MAPP	VB MAPP		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Developmentally appropriate practices, communication, sensory awareness, behavior strategies	Pre-K ESE	Robyn SAndfort, Ed D.,BCBA; Maia Aultman SLP, SArah Neel OT	Faculty and staff	Semi-monthly	classroom checklists, teacher observation and teacher evaluation	Principal

Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Dther			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
		End of Milestone Achiever	ant Level 3 students Goal

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based	Program(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Dev	relopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$0.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus jn Prevent jn NA

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/26/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds

Amount

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The governing board for Capstone Academy serves as the school advisory council. The board meets every other month to discuss progress at the school, potential problems, and to plan and execute events and fund raising activities.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found