FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: S. D. SPADY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District Name: Palm Beach

Principal: Martha O'Hare

SAC Chair: Katie Knight

Superintendent: E. Wayne Gent

Date of School Board Approval: December, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/24/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Principal | Martha O'Hare | M. Ed. Reading Education K-12, Administration and Supervision K-6, School Principal and ESOL Endorsement, B. S. Elementary Education, AMS Primary 3-6, Elementary 6-12 Montessori Credentials, Teachers College Reading Workshop Training, 2012 | 12 | 23 | Principal at S. D. Spady Elementary 2011-2012 A Grade 2010-2011 A Grade and did not meet AYP 2009-2010 A Grade and did not meet AYP 2008-2009 A Grade and met AYP 2007-2008 A Grade and did not meet AYP 2006-2007 A Grade and did not meet AYP 2006-2007 A Grade and did not meet AYP 2005-2006 A Grade and met AYP 2004-2005 A Grade and met AYP |
| | | BS Elementary Education and Special Education MS Educational Leadership | | | Assistant Principal at S. D. Spady 2011-2012 A Grade 2010-2011 |

| | | ESOL, SLD, VE and Ed | | | A Grade and did not meet AYP 2009-2010 |
|-----------------|-----------|---|---|---|--|
| Assis Principal | Rona Tata | Leadership Certification Leadership Delray, TEAM Training, Teachers College Reading Workshop Training, 2012 | 6 | 6 | A Grade and did not meet AYP 2008-2009 A Grade and met AYP 2007-2008 A Grade and did not meet AYP 2006-2007 A Grade and did not meet AYP |

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| No coaches | No coaches | No coaches | | | No coaches |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Teachers new to the school are given a full day workshop to introduce them to the processes and procedures, the school Single School Culture and discipline system | | Beginning of the year or when entering the school | |
| 2 | Regular meetings of teachers new to the school with the Assistant Principal | Assistant Principal | ongoing | |
| 3 | Regular meetings of teachers new to the school with the Montessori Magnet Coordinator | Montessori Magnet Coordinator | ongoing | |
| 4 | Partnering new teachers with mentor experienced teacher | Assistant Principal | Beginning of the year or when entering the school | |
| 5 | Dialogue with the American Montessori Society, Public School Montessorian and Montessori Teacher Training Centers to recruit quality certified teachers | Principal | ongoing | |
| 6 | Regular workshops are offered to all new teachers on Conscious Discipline and classroom management | Montessori Magnet Coordinator | ongoing | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|---|---|
| There are currently no instructional staff teaching out of field. All paraprofessionals are highly-qualified. | |

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | % Highly Effective Teachers | % Reading | BOALO | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------------|
| 47 | 2.1%(1) | 19.1%(9) | 25.5%(12) | 55.3%(26) | 53.2%(25) | 100.0%(47) | 8.5%(4) | 12.8%(6) | 89.4%(42) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee Assigned | Rationale for Pairing | Planned Mentoring Activities |
|--------------|----------------------|---|---|
| Katie Knight | Regina Cabadaidis | Katie Knight is an experienced teacher with five years experience in the Montessori Early Childhood setting and her students demonstrate high performance levels. | The mentor and mentee meet biweekly in a Professional Learning Community to discuss data and instructional practices and evidence-based strategies. The mentee is given release time to observe the mentor. Time is given for feedback, coaching and planning. |
| Karen Danca | No new teacher | Karen Danca is an experienced teacher with 23 years experience in the Montessori ESE setting and her students demonstrate high performance levels. | The mentor and mentee meet biweekly in a Professional Learning Community to discuss data and instructional practices and evidence-based strategies. The mentee is given release time to observe the mentor. Time is given for the feedback, coaching and planning |
| Nancy Hodge | No new teacher | Nancy Hodge is an experienced teacher with 19 years experience in the Montessori Early Childhood setting and her students demonstrate high performance levels. | The mentor and mentee meet biweekly in a Professional Learning Community to discuss data and instructional practices and evidence-based strategies. The mentee is given release time to observe the mentor. Time is given for feedback, coaching and planning. |
| Susan Beck | Hope Atchinson | Susan Beck is an experienced teacher with 15 years experience in the Montessori Lower Elementary setting and her students demonstrate high performance levels. | The mentor and mentee meet biweekly in a Professional Learning Community to discuss data and instructional practices and evidence-based strategies. The mentee is given release time to observe the mentor. Time is given for feedback, coaching and planning. |
| | | Ruth Santiago is an experienced teacher with 6 years experience in | The mentor and mentee meet biweekly in a Professional Learning Community to discuss |

| Ruth Santiago | Melissa Antonelli | the Montessori Lower Elementary setting and her students demonstrate high performance levels. | data and instructional practices and evidence-based strategies. The mentee is given release time to observe the mentor. Time is given for feedback, coaching and planning. |
|---------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Sarah Vollman | No New Teachers | Sarah Vollman is an experienced teacher with 7 years experience in the Montessori Upper Elementary setting and her students demonstrate high performance levels. | the mentor and mentee meet bimonthly in a Professional Learning Community to discuss data and instructional practices and evidence- based strategies. The mentee is given release time to observe the mentor. Time is given for feedback, coaching and planning. |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

| - L Doub A |
|---|
| e I, Part A |
| |
| e I, Part C- Migrant |
| |
| e I, Part D |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| e X- Homeless |
| |
| plemental Academic Instruction (SAI) |
| I Funds provide Reading Intervention for the lowest 25% of students in reading in 2nd and 3rd grades. In addition SAI nds are used to provide summer school for Level 1 3rd grade students. |
| ence Prevention Programs |
| strict-wide implementation of the School-wide Positive Behavior Support as well as Appreciation of Multicultural Diversity. |
| rition Programs |

Nutrition Programs

The Health Care District of Palm Beach County supplies a school Nurse who provides nutrition information to all students in PK-Grade 2.

Participation in the HealthierUS School Challenge initiative to create healthier school environments through promotion of nutrition and physical activity. The program includes the adoption of school policies that promote healthy eating and physical

| activity, the provision of resources to achieve success and fostering environments that support a healthy lifestyle in school, at home and throughout the community. |
|--|
| Housing Programs |
| Head Start |
| If requested, a representative from Early Childhood will attend meetings four times a year at the Delray Full Service Center as a part of the Head Start Education Committee. The goal of the committee is to establish educational practices that will ensure success in the transition of Head Start preschool students to kindergarten at surrounding public schools. |
| Adult Education |
| Career and Technical Education |
| Job Training |
| Other |

Required Instruction Listed in 1003.42(2) F. S., as applicable to appropriate grade levels. S. D. Spady sixth grade students participate in the Montessori Model United Nations. The MMUN is a simulation of actual UN committees with students assuming the roles of delegates. It is a year-long process of extensive research, expository and persuasive writing, public speaking and debate, during which time students will learn to use critical thinking and conflict resolution skills to support and defend the needs of the many who are unable to speak up for themselves.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

The school-based RtI Team (SBT) is comprised of the following members: Martha K. O'Hare, Principal; Rona Tata, Assistant Principal and ELL Contact; Karen Danca, ESE Contact; Robin Bast, School Psychologist; the appropriate classroom teacher(s); and Maria Roberts, Guidance Counselor.

The principal provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making to ensure:

- * a sound, effective academic program is in place
- $\ensuremath{^{\star}}$ a process to address and monitor subsequent needs is created
- * the School Based Team (SBT) is implementing RtI processes
- * assessment of RtI skills by school staff is conducted
- * fidelity of implementation of intervention support is documented
- * adequate professional development to support RtI implementation is provided
- * effective communication with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities occurs.

The ESE Coordinator, School Psychologist and Guidance Counselor will assist in the design and implementation of progress monitoring, collect and analyze data, contribute to the development of intervention plans, implement Tier 3 interventions, and offer professional development and technical assistance.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The school-based RtI Team will meet regularly to review universal screening data, diagnostic data, and progress monitoring data. The team will identify students who are not meeting identified academic targets. The identified students will be referred to the school-based RtI Team.

The SBT will use the Problem Solving Model* to conduct all meetings. Based on data and discussion, the team will identify students who are in need of additional academic and/or behavioral support (supplemental or intensive). An intervention plan will be developed (PBCSD Form 2284) which identifies a student's specific areas of deficiencies and appropriate research-based interventions to address these deficiencies. The team will ensure the necessary resources are available and the intervention is implemented with fidelity. Each case will be assigned a case liaison to support the interventionist (e.g., teacher, ESE Coordinator, guidance counselor) and report back on all data collected for further discussion at future meetings.

* Problem Solving Model

The four steps of the Problem Solving Model are:

Problem Identification entails identifying the problem and the desired behavior for the student.

Problem Analysis involves analyzing why the problem is occurring by collecting data to determine possible causes.

Intervention Design & Implementation involves selecting or developing evidence-based interventions based upon data previously collected. These interventions are then implemented.

Evaluating is also termed Response-to-Intervention. In this step, the effectiveness of a student's or group of students' response to the implemented intervention is evaluated and measured.

The problem solving process is self-correcting, and, if necessary, cycles in order to achieve the best outcomes for all students.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Members of the school-based RtI Team meet with the School Leadership Team and the School Advisory Council (SAC) and help develop the FY13 SIP. Utilizing the previous year's data, information on Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 targets, and deficit areas will be discussed.

Topics for discussion include, but are not limited to, the following:

FCAT scores and the lowest 25%, AYP and subgroups. strengths and weaknesses of intensive programs, mentoring, tutoring, and other services.

The ESE Coordinator, School Psychologist or Guidance Counselor will provide professional development for the SAC members on the RtI process.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Curriculum Based Measures, Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS), Palm Beach County Fall Diagnostics, Palm Beach Writes, Fountas and Pinnell Literacy Assessment System, Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA), Office Discipline Referrals, Retentions, and Absences

Midyear data: Palm Beach County Winter Diagnostics, Palm Beach Writes, Fountas and Pinnell Literacy Assessment System

End of year data: Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), FCAT Writes, Fountas and Pinnell Literacy Assessment System

Frequency of required Data Analysis and Action Planning Days: Once within a cycle of instruction (12 weeks)

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

All instructional staff attend a one-hour workshop on the RtI process in each school year. Professional development will be offered to the ESE Coordinator, School Psychologist or Guidance Counselor by district staff every Monday during FY13. The school-based ESE Coordinator, School Psychologist or Guidance Counselor will provide in-service to the faculty at faculty meetings. These in-service opportunities will include, but are not limited to, the following:

Problem Solving Model, Consensus Building, Positive Behavioral Intervention and Support (PBIS), data-based decision-making to drive instruction, progress monitoring selection and availability of research-based interventions, tools utilized to identify specific discrepancies in reading.

Individual professional development will be provided to classroom teachers, as needed.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Follow District guidelines as provided by Safe Schools.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

-School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The school-based Literacy Leadership Team is comprised of the following members: Martha K. O'Hare, Principal; Rona Tata, Assistant Principal and ELL Contact; Teresa Thomas, Montessori Magnet Coordinator; Karen Danca, ESE Contact; Early Childhood Team Leaders, Nancy Hodge and Katie Knight; Lower Elementary Team Leaders, Susan Beck, Eric Gonzalez, and

Elizabeth Paul; Upper Elementary Team Leaders, Sarah Vollman and Christina Resmondo; Fine Arts Team Leader, Linda Kunesh; and Donna Jean Morrison, SAI Teacher.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The school-based Literacy Leadership Team meets monthly to review reading data, diagnostic data, and progress monitoring data. Based on this information, the team will identify the professional development activities needed to create effective learning environments. The agenda will include discussion of the School Improvement reading goals and progress as well as identification of new strategies/activities to implement. As additional needs and concerns arise, the Literacy Leadership Team will investigate the concern, study and plan a course of action, implement the action, analyze its effectiveness, and reflect on the process. This will be a continuous process throughout the school year.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Literacy Leadership Team will help with implementation of initiatives to improve reading comprehension, vocabulary and oral language instruction. In addition they will review research-based strategies and progress monitoring tools for use with Tier 2 and Tier 3 students.

The Literacy Leadership Team will plan staff development for the following: Reading and Writing Workshop in Grades K-6; Reading Comprehension Instruction including creating mental images, background knowledge, asking questions, making inferences, determining the most important ideas or themes, synthesizing information and using "fix up" strategies; and Vocabulary Development using word walls in all grades.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in nee of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in | | | | |
| reading. | On the 2013 FCAT Reading Test, the number of students | | | |
| Reading Goal #1a: | scoring at proficiency will increase by 10%. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| Based on 2012 FCAT Reading data the percent of students who scored at proficiency decreased by 7%. Performance on 2012 FCAT indicated that 70% of students (176 students) scored at proficiency in reading. | 80% of students (203 students) will score at proficiency on 2013 FCAT Reading. | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Increase the use of research-based instructional strategies. | All instructional staff will participate in a book discussion of "The Art and Science of Teaching" by Robert J. Marzano. | Principal and Assistant Principal | Classroom Observations by Administration | FCAT, Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI, CORE K-12 |
| 2 | The comprehensive support services for specific students are limited. | Teachers will determine core instructional needs by reviewing assessment data and plan differentiated instruction using evidence-based instruction/interventions within the 90-minute reading block. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI |
| 3 | Anticipated time constraints as teachers attempt to integrate vocabulary across subject areas. | Teachers will integrate more extensive vocabulary experiences including interactive word walls, individual student glossaries, anchor charts, concept maps, etc. and promote critical thinking through higher-order questioning. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI |
| 4 | Lack of prior knowledge and vocabulary about the world. | Upper elementary students will participate in the Montessori Model United Nations (MMUN) a year-long process of extensive research, expository and persuasive writing, public speaking and debate, during which time students will learn to use critical thinking and conflict resolution skills. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | After the MMUN trip to NYC, the 6th graders will help the other club members prepare for a "Spady Model UN" in May. A pretest/posttest will provide data on students' learning about the UN, environment and peace. Students will create a blog to provide anecdotal evidence and to record the MMUN club's progress, events at Spady and the trip to | Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI |

| | | | | NYC. | |
|---|--|------------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| 5 | emphasis on reading complex texts with the | training and implement | Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment, |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Not Applicable Reading Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Not Applicable Not Applicable Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.

Reading Goal #2a:

On the 2013 FCAT Reading Test, the number of students scoring above proficiency will increase by 5%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

45% of students (114) will achieve above proficiency on the above proficiency in reading.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | prioritize time to work with low performing students. | | ' | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment results, District Reading Diagnostic results and SRI data. |
| | Increased reading comprehension expectations and | training and implement | , , | Literacy Leadership Team | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell |

| 2 | 1 | strategies including increased independent reading and individual student conferences. | reading data and strategy implementation. | Reading Assessment, District Reading Diagnostic Assessment and SRI data. |
|---|---|--|---|---|
|---|---|--|---|---|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Not applicable. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Not applicable. Not applicable. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, a of improvement for the following group: | and refer | ence to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need |
|---|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | | On the 2013 FCAT Reading Test, the percentage of students making learning gains will increase by 9%. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| Analysis of 2012 FCAT Reading data indicates that the percent of students making learning gains in reading decreased by 9%. Performance on 2012 FCAT Reading indicated that 66% of students (108 students) made gains in reading. | 75% of students (128 students) will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test. | | | |
| Problem-Solving Prod | cess to I | ncrease Studer | nt Achievement | |
| | | Person or | Process Used to | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | ability to be successful. | Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessments, District Reading Diagnostics, and SRI results. |
| 2 | expectations with the Common Core State Standards. | Targeted students in grades 3-6 will be involved in an after school tutorial reading program utilizing computer assisted | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor | Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment, |

| | technology. | | | | Diagnostic Assessment and SRI data. | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | · | • | | · | · | |
| Based on the analysis of improvement for the | | data, and refer | rence to "G | uiding Questions", ident | ify and define areas in need | |
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b: | | | Not applicable. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| Not applicable. | | Not applicable. | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvino | g Process to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posit Resp for | on or tion ponsible toring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| | | No Data | Submitted | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in ne of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | On the 2013 FCAT Reading Test, the percentage of students in the lowest 25% demonstrating learning gains will increase by 20%. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| Analysis of 2012 FCAT Reading data indicates that the percent of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in reading decreased by 24%. 2012 FCAT Reading data indicates that 50% percent of students (20 students) in the lowest 25% made learning gains in reading. | 70% of students (32 students) in the lowest 25% will demonstrate learning gains on the 2013 FCAT Reading Test. | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Support services for specific students are limited. | Teachers will identify students' pattern of need, group students accordingly and plan targeted differentiated instruction using evidence-based interventions for a minimum of 20 minutes in addition to the 90-minute reading block. | Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI results |
| 2 | Time constraints on teachers for administering assessments and preparing data. | All staff will utilize the Triple i (III) Notebook to monitor and plan intervention instruction in reading and math. | Principal, RtI Team | collected bi-weekly and be monitored by the RtI team to determine if interventions are meeting | Based on the intervention being used, a research based assessment will be performed weekly for each iii |

| | | | | | student. |
|---|--|--------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| 3 | expectations with the Common Core State Standards. | grades 3-6 will be | Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment, District Reading Diagnostic Assessment and SRI data. |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Reading Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual In six years, our school will reduce the achievement gap by Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year 50% school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A: Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 75% 77% 69% 72% 80%

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making On the 2013 FCAT Reading Test, the following subgroups did satisfactory progress in reading. not meet the 2012 reading targets: Hispanic and White All subgroups will meet the 2013 targets. Reading Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Analysis of 2012 FCAT Reading data indicates that the By 2013 47% of Black students, 35% of Hispanic students following subgroups did not make satisfactory progress: and 13% white students will not make satisfactory progress. Hispanic 40% and White 18%.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Time to provide interventions and remediation | Group students based on targeted need and provide research-based interventions for a minimum of 20 minutes daily in addition to the 90-minute reading block. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI results |
| 2 | Increased reading comprehension expectations with the Common Core State Standards. | Targeted students in grades 3-6 will be involved in an after school tutorial reading program utilizing computer assisted technology. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment, District Reading Diagnostic Assessment and SRI data. |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

| satisfactory progress in reading. Reading Goal #5C: | | | | Not applicable. | | |
|---|--------------|------------------|------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| Not applicable. | | | | Not applicable. | | |
| | Problem-Solv | ing Process to I | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| 1 | on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | reference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| satisfactory progress in reading. | | | not meet readir | On the 2013 FCAT Reading Test, students with disabilities did not meet reading targets. Students with disabilities will meet reading targets in 2013. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| Analysis of 2012 FCAT Reading data indicates 74% of students with disabilities did not make satisfactory progress. | | | I | 62% of students with disabilities will not make satisfactory progress in 2013. | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position | Process Used to Determine | Evaluation Tool | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Identifying targeted needs of students and matching appropriate interventions | | Principal, Assistant Principal, ESE Contact | The school-based Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI results |
| 2 | Training needed for ESE and inclusion teacher. | higher-order questions in | Principal, ESE | The Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic and SRI results. |
| 3 | Students' attitudes about reading and their ability to be successful | Disabilities will be | Principal, Assistant Principal, ESE Contact, Literacy Leadership Team | The Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation | Student Achievement Profiles, mentor lists, classroom assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessments, District Reading Diagnostic and SRI results. |

| Passas | on the analysis of studen | t achievement data, and r | oforonco to "Cuiding | Ougstions" identify and | dofine areas in need | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | provement for the following | | ererence to Guiding | g Questions , identify and t | denne areas in need | |
| patieractory progress in reading. | | | On the 2012 FC students met the | On the 2012 FCAT Reading Test, economically disadvantaged students met the reading target. The economically disadvantaged students will meet the reading target in 2013. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| | 12 economically disadvanta actory progress, 42%, met | | In 2013 43% o make satisfacto | f economically disadvantag ory progress. | ed students will not | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Limited time to provide interventions and remediation. | Teachers will plan evidence-based interventions to identified students for a minimum of 20 minutes daily in addition to the 90-minute reading block. | · | Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnel Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI results | |
| 2 | Students' attitudes about reading and their ability to be successful | Selected students in grades 2-4 will be involved in a reading counts club. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | The school-based Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Reading Counts and SRI data | |
| 3 | Coordinating schedules between mentors and mentees. | Teachers will meet with select ED students each trimester to review their assessments in reading | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | The school-based Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Fountas and Pinnel Reading Assessment | |
| 4 | Increased reading comprehension expectations with the Common Core State Standards. | Targeted students in grades 3-6 will be involved in an after school tutorial reading program utilizing computer assisted technology. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Leadership Team | The school-based Literacy Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor reading data and strategy implementation. | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnel Reading Assessment, District Reading Diagnostic Assessment and SRI data. | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | ouricadies (e.g., | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
|---|------------------------|--|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|

| Reading Workshop | PK-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | All Teachers | Professional Development Days, LTPs, Faculty Meetings throughout the year | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |
|--|------|--|--------------|--|---|--|
| Common Core State Standards | PK-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | All Teachers | Professional Development Days, LTPs, Faculty Meetings throughout the year | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |
| Marzano "The Art and Science of Teaching" | PK-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | All Teachers | Professional Development Days, LTPs, Faculty Meetings throughout the year | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Mate | rial(s) | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Purchase "Making Your Teaching Stick" by Lucy Calkins | Internal Accounts | \$250.00 |
| Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Purchase "just right" books for classroom libraries | Internal Accounts, PTA, Grants | \$3,000.00 |
| Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Purchase resource materials, Lucy Calkins "Units of Study for Teaching Reading" | Internal Accounts | \$1,200.00 |
| Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Hire two teachers for Afterschool Tutorial | School Advisory Council Funds | \$1,500.00 |
| | | Subto | otal: \$5,950.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Purchase yearly license for "Razz Reading" for use in tutoring | Internal Accounts | \$270.00 |
| Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Create second computer lab and purchase computers | Internal Accounts | \$3,000.00 |
| | | Subto | otal: \$3,270.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Purchase "Pathways to the Common Core" by Lucy Calkins | Internal Accounts | \$250.00 |
| | | Suk | ototal: \$250.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | Grand To | otal: \$9,470.00 |

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

70% of students (13 students) will score at proficiency in listening/speaking on the 2013 CELLA.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

Based on 2012 CELLA data the percent of students who scored at proficiency increased by 25%. Performance on the 2012 CELLA indicated that 67% of students (10 students) scored at proficiency in listening/speaking.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | | Provide iii instruction in oral language and/or vocabulary to students with low CELLA scores | Principal, | results conducted and | CELLA |
| 2 | 3 | Writing Workshop | | Literacy Assessment | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI |

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

2. Students scoring proficient in reading.

CELLA Goal #2:

50% of students (10 student) will score at proficiency in reading on the 2013 CELLA.

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading:

Based on 2012 CELLA data the percent of students who scored at proficiency decreased by 11%. Performance on the 2012 CELLA indicated that 20% of students (3 students) scored at proficiency in reading.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Need for literacy and language support for second language students. | Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teacher | Conferences, F&P Literacy Assessment | Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI |

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

| | | | | 50% of students (10 student) will score at proficiency in writing on the 2013 CELLA. | | | |
|------|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 2012 | Current Percent of Stu | dents Proficient in writ | ing: | | | | |
| | Based on 2012 CELLA data the percent of students who scored at proficiency increased by 25%. Performance on the 2012 CELLA indicated that 40% of students (6 students) scored at proficiency in writing. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Challenge of implementing new techniques and strategies as they are learned. | Teachers will implement Lucy Calkins Units of Study for Teaching Writing, utilizing modeling, guided practice, inquiry, explaining and sharing examples from literature in large and small group instruction as well as conferring daily. | Assistant Principal | The school-based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor writing data and strategy implementation. | Palm Beach Writes and FCAT Writes results | | |

CELLA Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|---|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Purchase Vocabulary materials for use in Intensive Remediation | Internal Accounts | \$1,200.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,200.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,200.00 |

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics. On the 2013 FCAT Math Test, the number of students scoring 3 or above will increase by 14%. Mathematics Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Based on 2012 Math FCAT results, the number of students 75% (215) of students will score 3 or above on the 2013 proficient in Math decreased by 20%. 61% (154) of students FCAT Math Test. scored 3, 4, or 5 on the Math FCAT in 2012. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Increase the use of All instructional staff will FCAT, Classroom Principal and Classroom Observations research-based participate in a book Assistant Principal by Administration Assessments, instructional strategies. Fountas and Pinnell discussion of "The Art and Science of Teaching" Reading by Robert J. Marzano. Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI, CORE K-12 Teachers will discuss the Principal, Assistant The School Leadership FCAT Diagnostic, Time constraints of Principal, integrating the Common Go Math! "Essential Team will meet monthly Benchmark mini-Core Standards with the Questions" and create Leadership Team to review and monitor assessments. current math series and anchor charts/shelf math data and strategy **FCAT** 2 the Montessori materials to provide implementation. curriculum. vocabulary practice and opportunities to build connections among math concepts. Increased performance Teachers will use Principal, Assistant The School Leadership FCAT Diagnostic, expectations of the mathematical model Principal, Team will meet monthly Benchmark mini-Common Core Standards drawing techniques and Leadership Team to review and monitor assessments. Math Talk strategies to math data and strategy **FCAT Mathematics** improve students' number implementation. 3 and money sense and develop a deeper understanding of financial and word problems and diverse ways of solving them.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.
Mathematics Goal #1b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

| 1 | I on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and reg group: | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2a: | | | On the 2013 FC | On the 2013 FCAT Math Test, the number of students achieving at or above a level 4 will increase by 10%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| Based on 2012 FCAT Math results, the percent of students who scored at or above achievement level 4 decreased by 7%. 31% of students (79 students) achieved at or above a level 4 on the 2012 Math FCAT. 41% of students (117 students) will achieve at or a level 4 on the 2012 Math FCAT Test. | | | | | eve at or above a | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Training for teachers on investigative instruction | Teachers will provide Enrichment activities to targeted students in mathematics using computer assisted technology and/or Montessori materials | Principal, Assistant Principal and School Leadership Team | The school based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor math data and strategy implementation. | 2013 FCAT Math | |
| 2 | Scheduling conflicts and time constraints based on required teaching blocks. | Incorporate Spady garden in studies involving measurement, estimation, prediction and geometry. | Principal, Assistant Principal and School Leadership Team | The school based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor math data and strategy implementation. | Benchmark mini- assessments. | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | | | Not applicable. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| Not applicable. | | | Not applicable. | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proce | ss to I | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |

No Data Submitted

| 1 | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and reg group: | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|---|----------------------|--|
| gains | CAT 2.0: Percentage of s in mathematics. ematics Goal #3a: | tudents making learning | On the 2013 Ma | On the 2013 Math FCAT Test, the number of 4th - 6th grade students making learning gains will increase by 8%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforr | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| opera ten ai 4th - | tion, problem solving and nd fraction skills. On the 2 | ta revealed a need to deve statistics skills as well as b 012 Math FCAT, 67% of th tudents) made learning ga | 75% 4th - 6th s | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Limited time for math support/remediation. | Students will receive targeted instruction on the use of Graphic Organizers to understand and solve math word problems. | Principal, Assistant Principal, School- based Leadership Team | The School-based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor math data and strategy iimplementation. | 2013 FCAT Math | |

| Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fol | | and refere | ence to "Gi | uiding Questions", iden | tify and define areas in need |
|---|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b: | | Not applicable. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Ехр | ected Level of Perfor | mance: |
| Not applicable. | | | Not applicable. | | |
| | Problem-Solving Prod | cess to L | ncrease St | tudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | for | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | | No Data S | Submitted | | |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group: | rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|--|--|
| | On the 2013 Math FCAT Test, the number of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains will increase by 15%. |
| Mathematics Goal #4: | |

| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
|--|--|
| Analysis of the 2012 Math FCAT results indicated that the number of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains in math decreased by 19%. 60% of the lowest 25% (25 students) made learning gains in math on the 2012 FCAT. | 75% of the students (33 students) in the lowest 25% will make learning gains on the 2013 Math FCAT Test. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| 1 | Many students in the lowest 25% in math have a deficit in math fact fluency. | will use the Fastt Math | Principal, Assistant Principal, School- based Leadership Team | The School-based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor math data and strategy implementation. | 2013 Math FCAT |
| 2 | Many students with math deficits also experience reading deficiencies. | students' patterns of | Principal, Assistant Principal, School- based Leadership Team | The School-based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor math data and strategy implementation. | 2013 Math FCAT |

| Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. | | | Elementary School Mathematics Goal # In six years, the school will reduce the achievement gap by 50%. 5A: | | | | |
| Baseline data 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 | |
| | 64% | 68% | 71% | 74% | 77% | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making On the 2013 Math FCAT Test, the following subgroups did satisfactory progress in mathematics. not meet math targets: Black and Hispanic students. In 2013 all subgroups will meet math targets. Mathematics Goal #5B: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: In 2012 students not making satisfactory progress in math: In 2013 46% black and 29% Hispanic students will not making Black 57%, Hispanic 50%. satisfactory progress in math. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| 1 | reading deficiencies. | students' patterns of | Principal, Leadership Team | Team will meet monthly to review and monitor | FCAT Diagnostic, Benchmark mini- assessments, FCAT Mathematics |

| | | evidence-based interventions and provide extra support. | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 2 | Increased performance expectations of the Common Core Standards. | mathematical model | Principal, Leadership Team | Team will meet monthly to review and monitor | FCAT Diagnostic, Benchmark mini- assessments, FCAT Mathematics |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Not applicable. Mathematics Goal #5C: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Not applicable. Not applicable. Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Responsible Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

| 1 | 3 | t achievement data, and re | eference to | "Guiding | Questions", identify and o | lefine areas in need | |
|---|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. | | | progres | In 2012 Students with Disabilities did not make satisfactory progress in math. In 2013 Students with Disabilities will meet | | | |
| Math | ematics Goal #5D: | | math t | argets. | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 E | Expected | Level of Performance: | | |
| 71% of Students with Disabilities did not make satisfactory progress in math | | | | In 2013 53% of Students with Disabilities will not meet math targets. | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | to Increase | e Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Perso Posit Respons Monito | ion ible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Time constraints | Use the Fastt Math intervention curriculum to provide weekly practice in math facts fluency. | | | The School Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor math data and strategy implementation. | 2013 FCAT Math | |
| | Training needed for ESE | ESE and Inclusion | Principal, A | Assistant | The Leadership Team will | Classroom | |

| 2 | | higher-order questions in | Contact, Leadership Team | , | Assessments, District Math Diagnostic and Go Math Benchmark assessment results. |
|---|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 3 | Students' attitudes about math and their ability to be successful | | Contact, Leadership Team | Leadership Team will meet monthly to review | Student Achievement Profiles, mentor lists, classroom assessments, District Math Diagnostic results. |

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| satist | conomically Disadvantag factory progress in math ematics Goal #5E: | | In 2012 Econor targets. Econor | In 2012 Economically Disadvanted students met math targets. Economically Disadvantaged students will meet proficiency targets in 2013. | | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | | |
| | of Economically Disadvanta actory progress. | nged students did not mak | | 46% of Economically Disadvantaged students will not make satisfactory progress in 2013. | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Lack of support at home. | Students will receive targeted instruction in the use of graphic organizers to understand and solve math word problems. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team | The school Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor math data and strategy implementation. | 2013 FCAT Math Results | | |
| 2 | Coordinating schedules between mentors and mentees. | Teachers will meet with select ED students each trimester to review their assessments in math. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Leadership Team | The school Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor math data and strategy implementation. | FCAT diagnostics and benchmark mini-assessments. | | |

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | (e.g., PLC, | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|-------------|--|---|--|
| Common Core Math Standards | PK-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | All Math | Professional Development Days, LTPs, Faculty Meetings throughout the year | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |

| Differienting Math Instruction | Grades 3-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | All Grade 1-6 | LTPs | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |
|--|------------|--|---------------|--|---|--|
| Math Essential Questions and Accountable Talk | PK-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | All Math | Professional Development Days and LTPs | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |

Mathematics Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|---|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Teachers will identify students' patterns of need in math, plan targeted differentiated instruction using evidence-based interventions and provide extra support and/or tutoring. | Hands on Equation Materials | Internal Accounts | \$300.00 |
| Teachers will identify students' patterns of need in math; plan targeted differentiated instruction using evidence-based interventions and provide and extra support and/or tutoring. | Montessori Math Manipulatives | PTA | \$1,200.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,500.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| 7. Teachers in grades 1-6 will use the FasttMath program to assess math fact fluency and provide practice 3-5 times weekly based upon student needs. | Training Materials for training in "Think Central" and FasttMath | Internal Accounts | \$150.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$150.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: | On the 2013 Science FCAT Test, the number of 5th grade students achieving proficiency will increase by 9%. | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |

Analysis of 2012 FCAT Science results indicated students need extra support in the area of Physical Science. 61% of 5th grade students (47 students) achieved proficiency on the Science FCAT in 2012 (an increase of 1%).

70% of current 5th grade students (48 students) will achieve proficiency on the 2013 FCAT Science Test.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Too |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | Increase the use of research-based instructional strategies. | All instructional staff will participate in a book discussion of "The Art and Science of Teaching" by Robert J. Marzano. | Principal and Assistant Principal | Classroom Observations by Administration | FCAT, Classroom Assessments, Fountas and Pinnell Reading Assessment Results, District Reading Diagnostic, SRI, CORE K-12 |
| 2 | Since we do not have a dedicated science teacher, our teachers must share the SRA Science Labs due to expense. | Students in grades 4-6 will practice Scientific thinking and apply knowledge and vocabulary through the use of Science Journals in which they will record SRA Science Labs and classroom investigations. | Assistant Principal and the Leadership Team | The School-based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor science data and strategy implementation | 2013 Science FCAT |
| 3 | Helping students make connections between real life and instruction | Students in grades K-6 will practice scientific thinking and scientific vocabulary through the use of science concept mapping and interactive word wall activities. | Assistant principal and the | The School-based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor science data and strategy implementation | 2013 Science FCAT |
| 4 | Challenge of implementing new techniques and strategies as they are learned. | Teachers/Students in grades K-6 will use Houghton-Mifflin Science Series as well as the Waseca Biomes curriculum and materials to experience life/environmental science. | Principal, Assistant principal and the Leadership Team | | 2013 Science FCAT |
| 5 | Students' lack of background knowledge prior to intense instruction. | instructional needs by | Principal, Assistant principal and the Leadership Team | The School-based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor science data and strategy implementation | 2013 Science FCAT |
| 6 | Scheduling access to outdoor learning lab for all classes. | Students in grades KG - 6 will apply newly acquired science skills in outdoor learning lab areas to build connections and deeper understanding. | Principal, Assistant principal and the Leadership Team | | 2013 Science FCAT |
| 7 | Finding available vendors and working around schedules. | Each learning team will jointly plan and implement at least one appropriate science field trip for an identified area of need in the science curriculum. (PDD | Assistant | | 2013 Science FCAT |

| | | Assemblies, SWA, FF Kids Ecology Corps) | PL, | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-----------|--|--------|------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define reas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | |
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1b: | | | Not applicable. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| Not applicable. | | | Not applicable. | | | | |
| | | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievemen | t | |
| Antic | cipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evalua | ation Tool |
| | No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

| | | dent achievement data, at the following group | | Guiding Questions", ider | ntify and define | |
|--|---|---|---|---|----------------------|--|
| 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in science. Science Goal #2a: | | | | On the 2013 FCAT Science Test, the number of 5th grade students achieving level 4 or 5 will increase by 5%. | | |
| 2012 | ? Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performan | ce: | |
| Based on the FCAT 2012 test analysis, 18% of 5th graders (14 students) achieved level 4 or 5 on the Science FCAT in 2012 (a decrease of 8%). | | | | 23% of 5th grade students (16 students) will achieve level 4 or 5 on the 2013 FCAT Science Test. | | |
| | Prob | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Training for teachers on reciprocal teaching and differentiated instruction. | Use of reciprocal teaching, differentiated instruction and service learning projects will allow integration of science throughout all subjects. | | review and monitor science data and | 2013 Science FCAT | |
| 2 | Helping students connect real life situation to textbook information | 5th & 6th grade students will research, plan, implement, monitor and evaluate environmental intiatives throughout the campus. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Science Resource Teacher, School- based Leadership Team | review and monitor science data and | 2013 Science FCAT | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

| 2b. Florida Alternate Students scoring at o in science. Science Goal #2b: | Not applicable. | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| Not applicable. | | Not applicable. | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process | s to I | ncrease S | Student Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | release) and Schedules | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Using Science Journals and Biomes for instruction | Grades 3-6 | Magnet Coordinator and Upper Elementary Team Leader | Grades 3-6 Science Teachers | PDDs and LTPs | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |
| Using Think Central and CORE K-12 to track student progress | Grades 3-6 | Magnet Coordinator and Upper Elementary Team Leader and District Personnel | | PDDs and LTPs | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |
| Using Science Word Walls and Anchor Charts in the Classroom | Grades PK-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | Grades PK-6 Science Teachers | PDDs, LTPs and Faculty Meetings | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | | |
| Students in grades PK-6 will practice scientific thinking and scientific vocabulary through the use of science concept mapping and interactive word wall activities. | Materials to create interactive word walls | PTA Internal Accounts | \$300.00 | | | |
| Students in grades 4-6 will | | | | | | |

| practice Scientific thinking and apply knowledge and vocabulary through the use of Science Journals in which they will record SRA Science Labs and classroom investigations. | Science Journals | Internal Accounts | \$600.00 |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Subtotal: \$900.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$900.00 |

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level On the 2013 FCAT Writes Test, the number of fourth 3.0 and higher in writing. grade students demonstrating proficiency (4.0) will increase by 6%. Writing Goal #1a: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: Analysis of 2012 FCAT writing data indicates that 79% of students (58 students) in grade four achieved proficiency (3.0) a 21% decrease from the previous year. 2012 FCAT 85% of fourth grade students (68 students) will writing data indicates that 16% percent of fourth grade demonstrate proficiency (4.0) on the 2013 FCAT Writes students (12 students) achieved proficiency at 4.0 or Test. above on FCAT Writes a 75% decrease from the previous year.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | | Teachers will implement Lucy Calkins Units of Study for Teaching Writing, utilizing modeling, guided practice, inquiry, explaining and sharing examples from literature in large and small group instruction as well as conferring daily. | Assistant Principal | | Palm Beach Writes and FCAT Writes results |
| | Lack of funding for tutoring. | Departmentalize writing instruction for fourth | ' | | Palm Beach Writes and FCAT |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 2 | | grade students. | | meet monthly to review and monitor wiritng data and strategy implementation. | Writes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | Proper and consistent implementation of the writing curriculum across all grade levels. | Writing contacts will take a leadership role in unpacking the units at LTM meetings and become model classrooms for Lucy Calkins. | · | | Palm Beach Writes and FCAT Writes |
| 4 | Differentiating writing instruction for children who are not writing at grade level. | 3 | · | | Palm Beach Writes and FCAT Writes |

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------|--|------------------------|--------|
| 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b: | | | Not applicable. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | ected Level of Perform | nance: |
| Not applicable. | | | Not applicable. | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process | s to I | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | |
| for | | | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Writing Workshop | PK-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | PK-6 Writing Teachers | Professional Development Days, LTPs, Faculty Meetings throughout the year | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |
| Using Interactive Word Walls | PK-6 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Magnet Coordinator, Team Leaders, District Personnel | PK-6 Writing Teachers | Professional Development Days, LTPs, Faculty Meetings throughout the year | Classroom Walkthroughs, observations, discussion at LTP, review of student documents | Administration and Leadership Team |

Writing Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Teachers will implement Lucy Calkins Units of Study for Teaching Writing, utilizing modeling, guided practice, inquiry, explaining and sharing examples from literature in large and small group instruction as well as conferring daily. | Purchase Lucy Calkins Units of Study in Primary Writing | Internal Accounts | \$600.00 |
| Teachers will implement Lucy Calkins Units of Study for Teaching Writing, utilizing modeling, guided practice, inquiry, explaining and sharing examples from literature in large and small group instruction as well as conferring daily. | Purchase support student workbooks for fourth grade writing | Internal Accounts | \$500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,100.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

End of Writing Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement: 1. Attendance The Attendance Rate for 2013 will increase by 5%. Attendance Goal #1: 2012 Current Attendance Rate: 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: Analysis of 2012 Attendance shows an increase of 7% By June 2013, the school will have an attendance rate of with an Attendance Rate of 80% (129 students with 85% (97 students with excessive absences). excessive absences). 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) Absences (10 or more) In 2012 there were 129 students with excessive By June 2013, no more than 97 students will have absences (10 or more absences). excessive absences (10 or more absences). 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Tardies (10 or more) | | | Tardies (10 or | Tardies (10 or more) | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| In 20 | 12 123 students had exc | essive tardies (10 or mor | | By June 2013, no more than 90 students will have excessive tardies (10 or more tardies). | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | The school has 90 Pre- Kindergarten students and 100 Kindergarten students who tend to have a higher absence rate. | Monitor absent and tardy students once each trimester and contact parents as needed. | Principal and Assistant Principal | The Administrative Staff will review absence patterns and tardies weekly. | Attendance and tardy records | | |
| 2 | As a magnet school students travel some distance to the school. | Once each semester, the Principal and Assistant Principal will review with students and parents the importance of arriving on time daily. | Principal and Assistant Principal | The Administrative Staff will review absence patterns and tardies weekly. | Attendance and tardy records | | |
| 3 | As a magnet school students travel some distance to the school. | Provide free breakfast for all students and open the computer lab and a reading room with supervision for students who arrive early. | | The Administrative Staff will review absence patterns and tardies weekly. | Attendance and tardy records | | |
| 4 | Getting all parents to support the school in achieving healthier lifestyles for our students. | Participation in the HealthierUS School Challenge initiative will help to foster an environment that supports a healthy lifestyle hopefully reducing the illness rate in our students. | Principal and Assistant Principal | The Administrative Staff will review absence patterns and tardies weekly. | Attendance and tardy records | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount | | |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 | | |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 | | |

| Technology | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developme | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of suspo of improvement: | ension data, and referen | ce to "Guiding Que | estions", identify and defi | ne areas in need | |
|--|---|--|---|--------------------|--|
| Suspension Suspension Goal #1: | · · | In 2013 the percent of students serving in school and/or out of school suspensions will be reduced by 10%. | | | |
| 2012 Total Number of In-Sc | hool Suspensions | 2013 Expecte | ed Number of In-Schoo | I Suspensions | |
| Analysis of 2012 discipline reconsuspension for a total of 18 da | | | will be a maximum of 15 | days of in-school | |
| 2012 Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Scho | 2013 Expecte School | ed Number of Students | Suspended In- | |
| In 2012 15 students were sus | | In 2013 there will be a maximum of 12 students suspended in school. | | | |
| 2012 Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | 2013 Expecte Suspensions | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | |
| In 2012 there were a total of suspensions for a total of 5 da | | In 2013 there values suspensions. | In 2013 there will be a maximum of 4 out-of-school suspensions. | | |
| 2012 Total Number of Stude School | ents Suspended Out-of- | 2013 Expecte of-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | |
| In 2012, there was a total of school. | | In 2013, there will be a maximum of 4 students suspended out of school. | | | |
| Prok | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| Support from parents | Implementation of the School-wide Positive | Principal and Assistant Principal | The Administrative Staff will review and | Discipline records | |

| 1 | | Behavior Support and Conscious Discipline techniques with students daily. | | monitor discipline records weekly. | |
|---|--|--|---------------------|--|--------------------|
| 2 | Training needed on conducting community meetings | | Assistant Principal | The Administrative Staff will reveiw and monitor discipline records weekly. | Discipline Records |
| 3 | Scheduling | Implementation of daily yoga classes with targeted students in grades two through six. | Assistant Principal | The Administrative Staff will review and monitor discipline recrods weekly. | Discipline Records |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | early release) and Schedules (e.g., | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Schoolwide Positive Behavior Support | PK-6 | SWPBS Committee | All Staff | Daranrotoccional | Discipline Referrals | Administration and SWPBS Committee |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | arri(3)/ Material(3) | | A 11 1 1 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| 1. Parent I nvolvement | |
|--|--|
| Parent I nvolvement Goal #1: | |
| *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated. | In 2013, parent response to a survey on parent involvement at the school will increase by 5%. |
| 2012 Current Level of Parent I nvolvement: | 2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement: |
| An analysis of the Perent Survey indicated that 97% of parents surveyed felt that they regularly received information about their child's academic achievement, while only 71% felt they received homework help or tutoring and useful strategies and materials to help their child at home. | 85% of parents surveyed (406 parents) in 2013 will agree that they were provided homework help and information on how to help their child at home. |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Scheduling and time constraints will make it difficult to get every parent in for a meeting. | Adjust coverage to enable teachers to meet with every parent during or after the school day at Parent Conference Week. Teachers will notify parents of academic proficiency levels, attendance rates and provide strategies for parents to help their children at home. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Guidance Counselor | The School-Based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor parent involvement data and strategy implementation. | Sign in Sheets from Parent Conferences |
| 2 | Parents often do not have access to computer technology | Open the Parent Resource Room daily with access to parenting materials computers and Internet and notify parents of opportunities to utilize. | Principal, Assistant Principal, Guidance Counselor | The School-Based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor parent involvement data and strategy implementation. | Parent Resource Room Sign In Sheets. |
| 3 | Due to distance students travel to the magnet school, parent communication is a challenge | Provide curriculum nights, Grandparents as Parents Workshop, and ESOL PLC meetings. Provide notices to parents in appropriate languages using Edline and Parent Link. | Principal, Assistant Principal | The School-Based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor parent involvement data and strategy implementation. | Parent Sign In Sheets at Meetings and Workshops |
| 4 | Lack of parent participation | Recruit parents to attend the School Advisory Council and PLC meetings and provide child care. | Principal, Assistant Principal | The School-Based Leadership Team will meet monthly to review and monitor parent involvement data and strategy implementation. | Parent Sign In Sheets at SAC Meetings |
| 5 | Parent time-constraints to attend an evening event. | Work with students to build individual work portfolios and invite parents for a Portfolio Night after the 2nd trimester, when students present their portfolio of work to their parents. | Principal, Assistant Principal | The School-Based Leadership Team will meet monthly to plan, review, and monitor parent involvement data and strategy implementation. | Parent Sign In Sheets |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| PLC will focus on reading support for ELL students | PK-6 | Teresa Thomas, Magnet Coordinator and District ESOL Contact | IFII Parents | Winter, 2012 and Spring, 2013 | Parent Sign in Sheet | Administration |

Parent Involvement Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| Parent Training on Common Core Standards and Increased Expectations in Reading and Math | Materials and Supplies for Parent Training | Internal Accounts | \$200.00 |
| Recruit parents to attend the School Advisory Council and PLC meetings and provide child care so that parents can help to plan strategies, parent involvement, notification and evaluation of schoolwide program and continue volunteer and business community partnerships. | Paraprofessional to provide babysitting for parents attending SAC meeting. | SAC Budget | \$600.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$800.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

| * When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)). | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | |
| 1. STEM | | | |

STEM Goal #1:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
|---------------------|----------|---|--|-----------------|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

STEM Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

S. D. Spady will continue to be a Green School of Excellence Goal:

| | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. S. D. Spady will continue to be a Green School of Excellence Goal S. D. Spady will continue to be a Green School of Excellence Goal #1: | | | | mpleted all requirements llence in 2012 | s to be a Green | |
| 2012 Current level: | | | 2013 Expecte | ed level: | | |
| S. D. Spady was named a Green School of Excellence in 2012 | | | | S. D. Spady will qualify to be a Green School of Excellence in 2013 | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Need to incorporate environemnt awareness in all aspects of the curriculum. | Each Learning Team will plan together to incorporate environmental awareness into all curriculum areas | Administration and Leadership Team | Completion of Green School Application | Qualification for Green School of Excellence | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | |

Budget:

| Evidence-based Progr | ram(s)/Material(s) | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | • | • | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| _ | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of S. D. Spady will continue to be a Green School of Excellence Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Progra | m(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Purchase "Making Your Teaching Stick" by Lucy Calkins | Internal Accounts | \$250.00 |
| Reading | Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Purchase "just right" books for classroom libraries | Internal Accounts, PTA, Grants | \$3,000.00 |
| Reading | Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Purchase resource materials, Lucy Calkins "Units of Study for Teaching Reading" | Internal Accounts | \$1,200.00 |
| Reading | Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Hire two teachers for Afterschool Tutorial | School Advisory Council Funds | \$1,500.00 |
| CELLA | Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Purchase Vocabulary materials for use in Intensive Remediation | Internal Accounts | \$1,200.00 |
| Mathematics | Teachers will identify students' patterns of need in math, plan targeted differentiated instruction using evidence-based interventions and provide extra support and/or tutoring. | Hands on Equation Materials | Internal Accounts | \$300.00 |
| Mathematics | Teachers will identify students' patterns of need in math; plan targeted differentiated instruction using evidence-based interventions and provide and extra support and/or tutoring. | Montessori Math Manipulatives | РТА | \$1,200.00 |
| Science | Students in grades PK-6 will practice scientific thinking and scientific vocabulary through the use of science concept mapping and interactive word wall activities. | Materials to create interactive word walls | PTA Internal Accounts | \$300.00 |
| Science | Students in grades 4-6 will practice Scientific thinking and apply knowledge and vocabulary through the use of Science Journals in which they will record SRA Science Labs and classroom investigations. | Science Journals | Internal Accounts | \$600.00 |
| Writing | Teachers will implement Lucy Calkins Units of Study for Teaching Writing, utilizing modeling, guided practice, inquiry, explaining and sharing examples from literature in large and small group instruction | Purchase Lucy Calkins Units of Study in Primary Writing | Internal Accounts | \$600.00 |

| | as well as conferring | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Writing | daily. Teachers will implement Lucy Calkins Units of Study for Teaching Writing, utilizing modeling, guided practice, inquiry, explaining and sharing examples from literature in large and small group instruction as well as conferring daily. | Purchase support student workbooks for fourth grade writing | Internal Accounts | \$500.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Parent Training on Common Core Standards and Increased Expectations in Reading and Math | Materials and Supplies for Parent Training | Internal Accounts | \$200.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Recruit parents to attend the School Advisory Council and PLC meetings and provide child care so that parents can help to plan strategies, parent involvement, notification and evaluation of schoolwide program and continue volunteer and business community partnerships. | Paraprofessional to provide babysitting for parents attending SAC meeting. | SAC Budget | \$600.00 |
| | ' | | | Subtotal: \$11,450.0 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Reading | Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Purchase yearly license for "Razz Reading" for use in tutoring | Internal Accounts | \$270.00 |
| Reading | Targeted students in grades K-6 will be involved in a 30-minute Intensive Remediation program daily in-school and/or after school reading tutoring program. | Create second computer lab and purchase computers | Internal Accounts | \$3,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$3,270.0 |
| Professional Developn | ment | Description of | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Reading | Provide Reading and Writing Workshop strategies in the classroom daily. | Purchase "Pathways to the Common Core" by Lucy Calkins | Internal Accounts | \$250.00 |
| Mathematics | 7. Teachers in grades 1-6 will use the FasttMath program to assess math fact fluency and provide practice 3-5 times weekly based upon student needs. | Training Materials for training in "Think Central" and FasttMath | Internal Accounts | \$150.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$400.0 |
| Other | | Description of | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$15,120.0 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| jn Priority | jn Focus | j∩ Prevent | j ∩ NA |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|
| | - | 3 | 3 |

Are you a reward school: in Yes in No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 9/24/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|--|------------|
| Hire two Teachers for afterschool tutorial in Reading Hire paraprofessional to provide childcare services during SAC meetings. | \$2,100.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The School Advisory Council (SAC) will participate in training on SAC responsibilities and the School Improvement Plan process as well as Shared Decision Making. Training will also be offered on Reading and Writing Workshop, Schoolwide Positive Behavior Support, the RtI process, and the Common Core State Standards. In addition information will be given at each meeting on the school's budget. At each meeting the school's data is reviewed and the current School Improvement Plan is reviewed and monitored.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Palm Beach School District S. D. SPADY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|-----|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 85% | 84% | 92% | 64% | 325 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 74% | 75% | | | 149 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 70% (YES) | 77% (YES) | | | 147 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 621 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Palm Beach School District S. D. SPADY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 83% | 78% | 80% | 70% | 311 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 76% | 69% | | | 145 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 60% (YES) | 59% (YES) | | | 119 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 575 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |