FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: HAMMOCKS MIDDLE SCHOOL

District Name: Dade

Principal: Deborah Leal

SAC Chair: Susan Chue

Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/24/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

| Position | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Administrator | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Principal | Deborah Leal | Educational Leadership BA English | 1 | 11 | 12 11 10 09 08 School Grade A A A A A High Standards Rdg. 67 73 79 80 79 High Standards Math 71 68 78 76 77 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 68 64 67 58 68 Lrng Gains-Math 81 65 77 72 78 Gains-Rdg-25% 64 73 73 67 78 Gains-Math-25% 70 65 73 62 69 |
| Assis Principal | Theresa A. Gilbert | Chemistry, Biology, Gifted, Middle Grade General Science, Middle Grades, Educational Leadership BA Biology MS Education | 6 | 6 | 12 11 10 09 08 School Grade B A A A A High Standards Rdg. 60 73 74 71 68 High Standards Math 55 68 70 69 69 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 70 64 69 65 68 Lrng Gains-Math 66 65 71 65 76 Gains-Rdg-25% 74 74 71 67 72 Gains-Math-25% 58 65 67 63 69 |
| | | | | | 12 11 10 09 08 |

| Assis Principal | Nicolina Catanese | Middle Grades General Science, Educational Leadership BA Elementary Ed | 3 | 3 | School Grade B A A A C High Standards Rdg. 60 73 71 71 79 High Standards Math 55 68 70 79 78 Lrng Gains-Rdg. 70 64 69 59 65 Lrng Gains-Math 66 65 73 73 73 Gains-Rdg-25% 74 74 80 80 70 Gains-Math-25% 58 65 69 69 78 |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

| Subject Area | Name | Degree(s)/ Certification(s) | # of Years at Current School | # of Years as an Instructional Coach | Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year) |
|--------------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

| | Description of Strategy | Person Responsible | Projected Completion Date | Not Applicable (If not, please explain why) |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Monthly Team/ Department meetings with Administration | Principal/Assistant Principal | On-going | |
| 2 | Support Intern/ Directing teacher opportunities from local | Assistant Principal of Curriculum | June 2013 | |
| 3 | Soliciting referrals from current employees | Principal | On-going | |

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

| Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective. | Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective |
|--|---|
| 5 teachers are teaching | Peer mentoring Professional Development opportunities Department grade level planning meetings |

Staff Demographics

 $\label{lem:please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school. \\$

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Total Number of Instructional Staff | % of First-Year Teachers | | % of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience | % of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience | % of Teachers with Advanced Degrees | | % Reading Endorsed Teachers | % National Board Certified Teachers | % ESOL Endorsed Teachers |
|--|--------------------------------|---------|---|--|---|------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 56 | 0.0%(0) | 1.8%(1) | 50.0%(28) | 48.2%(27) | 53.6%(30) | 100.0%(56) | 10.7%(6) | 3.6%(2) | 33.9%(19) |

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

| Mentor Name | Mentee | Rationale | Planned Mentoring |
|-------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Assigned | for Pairing | Activities |
| No data submitted | | | |

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

Title I. Part A

Services are provided at Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted as needed. The district coordinates with Title II and Title III in ensuring staff development needs are provided. Support services are provided to Hammocks Middle School students. Our reading department develops, leads, and evaluates core content standards and programs. The teachers identify and analyze existing literature on scientifically-based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches. They identify systematic patterns of student needs, while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies. Ms. Reyes, one of our Reading teachers, will assist with school-wide screening programs such as FAIR testing, which provide early intervening services for "at risk" students; she will assist in the design and implementation of progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis and will participate in the design and delivery of professional development. Ms. Reyes will provide support for assessment and implementation monitoring. Other components that are integrated into the Hammocks school-wide program include an extensive Parental Program: Title 1 Chess with SCSI Instructor Mr. Reed, a school website to increase parental awareness and involvement, as well as unique support services to special-need populations such as homeless, migrant, neglected and/or delinquent students.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

N/A

Title I, Part D

Services at Hammocks Middle School are coordinated with district drop-out prevention programs. The District receives funds to support the Educational Alternative Outreach program at our school.

Title II

The District uses supplemental funds for improving basic education at Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet, as follows:

- Training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program
- Training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL
- Training and substitute release time for our Professional Development Liaisons (PDL) Ms. Susan Ryan, focusing on Professional Learning Community (PLC) development and facilitation, as well as Lesson Study Group implementation and protocols

Title III

Services at Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet are provided through the District for educational materials and ELL district support services. These services aid in the development of the education of immigrant students and English Language Learners (ELL). The school uses Title III funds to implement and/or provide tutorial programs, such as HLAP (with our paraprofessional Ms. Irizarry) and reading and supplementary instructional materials.

Title X- Homeless

The Homeless Assistance Program seeks to ensure a successful educational experience for homeless children at Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet by collaborating with parents, schools, and the community. When a student is identified as "homeless" certain services will be retained for the child. These are facilitated through the child's family, school guidance

counselor(s) and social worker.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

N/A

Violence Prevention Programs

Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet offers counseling through our Student Services department focusing on counseling students to solve problems related to drugs and alcohol, stress, suicide, isolation, family violence and other crises. Our school social worker, Ms. Flack will provide supplemental services to students and their families as needed.

Nutrition Programs

- 1) Hammocks Middle School adheres to and implements the nutrition requirements stated in the District Wellness Policy.
- 2) Nutrition education, per state statute, is taught through physical education at Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet.
- 3) Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet's, Food Service Program, (which includes: school breakfast, school lunch, and after-care snacks) follows the Healthy Food and Beverage Guidelines as adopted in the District's Wellness Policy.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

By promoting Career Pathways and Programs of Study, students at Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet will become academic program completers and will have a better understanding and appreciation of the post-secondary opportunities available to them, while acquiring the necessary skills to advance in said opportunities.

Articulation agreements at Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet allow students to earn college and post-secondary technical credits in high school. These agreements will provide more opportunities for students to complete 2- and 4-year post-secondary degrees.

Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet students will gain an understanding of business and industry workforce requirements by acquiring Ready to Work and industry certifications. Readiness for post-secondary will strengthen with the integration of technical, academic and career components, as well as a coherent sequence of courses

Job Training

N/A

Other

Darontal

Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet will involve parents in the planning and implementation of the Title I Program and extend an open invitation to our school's Parent Resource Center in order to inform parents about available programs, their rights and other referral services.

Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet will increase parental engagement/involvement by developing the Title I School-Parent Compact (with on-going parental input) and the Title I Parental Involvement Plan (PIP). Parental awareness and involvement will also be increased through the scheduling of the Title I Annual Meeting and the continued use of the school website. Furthermore, other documents/activities necessary to comply with dissemination and reporting requirements, will also be implemented in order to increase parental awareness and involvement.

Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet will conduct an informal parent survey to determine the specific needs of our parents. Parents workshops, Parent Academy Courses, etc., will be planned (with flexible times to accommodate parent schedules) as part of our goal to empower parents and build capacity for involvement.

We will complete Title I Administration Parental Involvement Monthly School Reports (FM-6914 Rev. 06-08) and the Title I Parental Involvement Monthly Activities Report (FM-6913 03-07), and submit to Title I Administration by the 5th of each month, as documentation of compliance with NCLB Section 1118. Additionally, the MDCPS Title I Parent/Family Survey, distributed to schools by Title I Administration, is to be completed by parents/families annually in May. The survey results are to be used to assist with revising our Title I parental documents for the approaching school year.

Confidential, "as-needed" services will be provided to any students in the school in homeless situations, as applicable by our counselors and social worker.

Additional academic and support services will be provided to students and families of the Migrant population, as applicable by our counselors and social worker.

School Improve Grant Fund/School Improvement Grant Initiative

Hammocks Middle School Prelaw Magnet receives funding under the School Improvement Grant Fund/School Improvement Grant Initiative in order to increase the achievement of the lowest performing subgroups through comprehensive, ongoing data analysis, curriculum and instruction alignment, and specific interventions (such as extended day/remedial tutorial instruction, differentiated instruction/intervention, classroom libraries, and Project CRISS).

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

MTSS is an extension of the Hammocks Middle School's Leadership team, integrated in order to provide a systematic approach to problem solving issues such as: student achievement, school safety, staff morale, literacy, attendance, student well-being, technology, green initiatives, suspension / behavior intervention and student failure prevention. MTSS Leadership is vital, therefore the team has been built to include committed members who share common goals of improving instruction for all students and work toward building internal capacity and sustainability over time.

Hammocks Middle School's MTSS Leadership Team will include the following:

Principal: Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making, ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS, conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, and communicates with parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities.

Assistant Principal(s): Ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS, conducts assessment of MTSS skills of school staff, supports the implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support MTSS implementation, and communicates with teachers and parents regarding school-based MTSS plans and activities.

General Education Teachers (12): Provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities.

Special Education (SPED) Teacher: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities/materials into Tier 3 instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as inclusion.

Hammocks Middle School's MTSS Leadership Team will also include additional personnel as resources to the team, based on specific problems or concerns as warranted, such as:

- School reading, math, science and behavior specialists
- Special education personnel
- School guidance counselor
- · School psychologist
- School social worker
- Community member/Dade Partner

MTSS is a general education initiative in which tiered levels of support are allocated based on student need. MTSS uses increasingly more intensive instruction and interventions.

- 1. The first level of support is the core instructional and behavioral methodologies, practices and supports designed for all students in the general curriculum.
- 2. The second level of support consists of supplemental instruction and interventions that are provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and behavioral supports to groups of targeted students who need additional instructional and or behavioral support.
- 3. The third level of support consists of intensive instructional or behavioral interventions provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and the supplemental instruction and intervention with the goal of increasing an individual student's rate of academic and behavioral progress

Ongoing progress monitoring is established at each level of service to monitor the effectiveness of meeting school-wide goals and student growth as measured by benchmark and ongoing data collection.

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

Hammocks Middle School's MTSS Leadership Team's purpose is to enhance data collection, and data analysis utilizing Edusoft, problem solving, differentiated assistance and progress monitoring.

The MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will:

- Monitor academic and behavioral progress by addressing the following questions:
- o What will all students learn? (curriculum based on standards)
- o How will we respond when student have learned or already know? (enrichment opportunities)
- Gather and analyze data using Edusoft to determine if professional development for faculty as indicated by student intervention and achievement needs.
- · Hold regular meetings.
- Maintain communication with staff for input and feedback, as well as updating them on procedures and progress.
- Support a process and structure within the school to design, implement and evaluate both daily instruction and specific interventions
- Provide clear indicators of student need and student progress; assisting in the examination of the effectiveness of program delivery.
- Assist with monitoring and responding to the needs of students within the subgroups.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Hammocks Middle School's MTSS Leadership Team monitors and adjusts the school's academic and behavioral goals through data gathering and data analysis utilizing Edusoft. The team regularly monitors the fidelity of the delivery of instruction and intervention. The team also provides tiered levels of support and interventions to students based on data and ongoing progress monitoring.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Data is used to guide instructional decisions and systems procedures for all students to:

- Adjust the delivery of curriculum and instruction to meet the specific needs of students
- Adjust the delivery of behavior management systems
- · Adjust the allocation of school resources
- Drive decision making regarding professional development
- Create student growth trajectories in order to identify and develop interventions
- Utilize Edusoft system to collect data, generate reports, and analyze results of student progress.

Academic data includes:

- CELLA
- FAIR Assessment
- FORF (Historical reports)
- Interim Assessments
- Curriculum Based Measurement
- · District Math and Science Assessments
- FCAT Reading, Writing, Math and Science
- Student work

Behavioral data includes:

- Student Case Management system
- Detentions
- Suspension/expulsions
- Referrals by student behavior, staff behavior and administrative context
- Office referrals per day/per month
- Staff Climate surveys
- Attendance
- Referrals to Special Education programs
- Behavior Intervention Plans

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

District professional development and support will include training for all administrators in the MTSS problem solving and data analysis process; providing support for school staff to understand the basic MTSS principles and procedures and providing a network on ongoing support for MTSS organized through feeder patterns

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Support staff will apply MTSS principles and procedures in dealing with student issues and concerns as determined by teacher input, data analysis of student performance and parent concern.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

The Literacy Leadership Team (LLT) has been created to include members who share common goals of improving instruction and achievement for all students. The purpose of the Reading Leadership Team is to create capacity of reading knowledge within the school building and focus on areas of literacy concern across the school.

Hammocks Middle School's LLT will include the following:

Principal, Deborah Leal: The principal will promote the Literacy Leadership Team as an integral part of the school literacy process to build a culture of reading throughout the school. She will provide a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making. Ensure adequate professional development to support literacy implementation, and communicate with parents regarding school-based literacy plans and activities. The principal selects team members for the Literacy Leadership Team based on a cross section of the faculty and administrative team that represents highly qualified professionals who are interested in serving to improve literacy instruction across the curriculum. Additionally, the principal may expand the LLT by encouraging personnel from various sources such as District and Regional support staff to join.

Assistant Principal(s) Theresa Gilbert, Nicolina Catanese: Ensures that the school-based team is implementing literacy components in the classroom, supports the implementation of intervention support and documentation, ensures adequate professional development to support literacy implementation, and communicates with teachers and parents regarding school-based literacy plans and activities.

General Education Teachers, Yvette Eguino-Diaz, Yesenia Ramos, Yanitza Iglesias, Mayra Reyes, Chad Bogie, Indanel Rojas, Marlene Hernandez, Paola Ortiz, Viviana Botana: Provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver strategies to improve literacy; collaborate with other staff to implement literacy strategies, and integrate materials and instruction to improve student achievement and learning.

Special Education (SPED) Teacher, Mailyn Santiesteban: Participates in student data collection, integrates core instructional activities and materials into instruction, and collaborates with general education teachers through such activities as inclusion.

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

Hammocks Middle School's Literacy Leadership Team's purpose is to enhance data collection, data analysis, problem solving, differentiated assistance and progress monitoring as it effects our Literacy program and student achievement. The team will meet monthly throughout the school year. The LLT maintains a connection to the school's Response to Intervention process by using the MTSS problem solving approach to ensure that a multi-tiered system of reading support is present and effective.

The LLT is an integral part of school literacy reform and will promote a culture of reading by:

- including representation from all curricular areas on the LLT
- •selecting team members who are skilled and committed to improving literacy
- offering professional growth opportunities for team members
- ·creating a collaborative environment that fosters sharing and learning
- •developing a school wide organizational model that supports literacy instruction in all classes
- •encouraging the use of data to improve teaching and student achievement

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives of the LLT team at Hammocks Middle School are aligned to the District's Comprehensive Research-based Reading Plan:

- To increase the usage of the Reading Plus program.
- To increase student achievement on the 2013 FCAT Reading test by analyzing data to provide resources/strategies needed for areas of improvement.
- To provide professional development based on teacher and student need.
- Provide content area classroom libraries.
- Promote the use of web based literacy tools such as online research tools, online books, tumble books, and fluency building websites for ESL learners.
- Promote the use of vocabulary development across the curriculum.
- Building student motivations.
- Promote literacy through activities focused on the Pre-Law Magnet curriculum.
- Promote the CollegeBoard SpringBoard reading strategies for the Pre-AP curriculum.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The major initiatives of the LLT team at Hammocks Middle School are aligned to the District's Comprehensive Research-based Reading Plan:

- To increase the usage of the Reading Plus program.
- To increase student achievement on the 2013 FCAT Reading test by analyzing data to provide resources/strategies needed for areas of improvement.
- To provide professional development based on teacher and student need.
- Provide content area classroom libraries.
- Promote the use of web based literacy tools such as online research tools, online books, tumble books, and fluency building websites for ESL learners.
- Promote the use of vocabulary development across the curriculum.
- Building student motivations.
- Promote literacy through activities focused on the Pre-Law Magnet curriculum.
- Promote the CollegeBoard SpringBoard reading strategies for the Pre-AP curriculum.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification View uploaded file (Uploaded on 10/10/2012)

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

N/A

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Reading strategies are implemented in all content areas. All staff are given the opportunity to attend applicable PD. The Literacy Leadership Team monitors the implementation of school-wide literacy strategies across the curriculum. The following will take place to ensure reading strategies are being used throughout the school:

- Sustained silent reading 30 minutes per day.
- Implementation and usage of the CollegeBoard SpringBoard Pre-AP curriculum strategies for reading to be used in all core classes.
- The Social Studies department will continue to support and re-enforce the Language Arts benchmarks in their daily lessons using the Social Studies and Language Arts task cards.
- Promote the use of Reading Plus through language arts with teachers monitoring student progress utilizing Reading Plus reports.
- Content area teachers will integrate nonfiction books, historical fiction, content area literature and curriculum focused on the Pre-Law magnet program.
- Use of CRISS strategies throughout the curriculum.
- Regular viewing of the indicators of effective classroom reading instruction through brief classroom visits by the administration specifically:

Making connections between reading and writing in research projects; Vocabulary work – definition, multiple contexts specifically about word meanings; Explanation and support of reading strategy use; Content knowledge and understanding

| through discussion of conten | t. |
|--|---|
| *High Schools Only | |
| Note: Required for High Schoo | I - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S. |
| How does the school incorportelevance to their future? | ate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and |
| | |
| N/A How does the school incorporatudents' course of study is pe | ate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that ersonally meaningful? |
| How does the school incorpor | |
| How does the school incorpora students' course of study is pe | ersonally meaningful? |
| How does the school incorpora students' course of study is pe | ersonally meaningful? |
| How does the school incorporstudents' course of study is penalty. N/A Postsecondary Transition Note: Required for High School | ersonally meaningful? |

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.

Reading Goal #1a:

Cur goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase level 3 student proficiency by 5 percentage points to 38%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

38% (453)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test was Reporting Category Vocabulary for grade 6, Informational Text for grade 7 and Literary Analysis for grade 8. Access to various types of text will allow students to achieve increased proficiency in deficient areas. | prefixes, suffixes, and word roots from Greek and Latin. Students will be taught and gain practice in how to identify clues in context in order to determine word meanings. The use of word walls, personal | 1.1. MTSS/RtI Team | 1.1. Monthly classroom walkthroughs; Interim assessment data will be disaggregated by both social studies and language arts teachers to determine effectiveness of reading benchmark instruction in content area. | 1.1. Formative: Student work, teacher feedback, Reading Plus reports, Interim Assessments and Benchmark Mini Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Reading Goal #1b: | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|--|-----------------|--|
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solvi | ng Process to L | ncrease S | tudent Achievement | | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Perso Posit Respo for Monit | on onsible | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| No Data S | | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.

The results from the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that $\,$

Reading Goal #2a:

25% of students achieved levels 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase levels 4 and 5 student proficiency by 2 percentage points to 27%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

25%(299)

27%(322)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | 2.1. An area that showed a minimal growth as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test for grades 6-8 was Reporting Category 2 Reading Application . Students achieving above proficiency in these areas are in need of specific monitoring and enrichment to maintain high levels of proficiency. | to assist with reading | | 2.1. Monthly classroom walkthroughs; Review of Interim assessment data including monthly Reading Plus reports. Students' scores will determine the type of enrichment lessons/activities that will be given to them in order to provide students with the practice and skills necessary to increase level 4 and 5 proficiency. | mini assessments, Reading Plus Reports Summative: |

| | | content areas. Reading Plus will be used to enrich and remediate as needed. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| 2 | The area that showed minimal growth and would require students to maintain or improve performance as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test for grades 6-8 was Reported Category 4, Informational Text/Research Process. This is due to students lack of access to informational text. | guided learning to independent learning. | classroom assessments/observations focusing on students' ability to complete | 2.2. Formative: Student work samples utilizing rubrics, mini assessments, Reading Plus program Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in The results from the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment indicate that 100% of students achieved above Level 7. Our reading. goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain or increase their proficiency level. Reading Goal #2b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. Formative: Vocabulary should be MTSS/LLT Monthly classroom Student work, teacher feedback Only seven students introduced to students SPED Chair walkthroughs; Monthly participated in the Florida with pictures and print as Administration assessment data will be Alternate Assessment. well as guiding them to disaggregated to Summative: Our goal is to have them determine effectiveness 2013 Florida read fiction, nonfiction maintain or improve their and informational text to of reading benchmark Alternate proficiency by improving identify the differences. instruction in content Assessment

area.

students verbal skills.

| | I on the analysis of studen provement for the following | t achievement data, and reg group: | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and o | define areas in need | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a: | | | that 70% of student 2013 school yea | The results from the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that 70% of students made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student achievement learning gains by 5 percentage points to 75%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforr | nance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 70%(| 768) | | 75%(823) | | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 3.1. An area where many students experienced deficiencies on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test for grades 6-8 was Reporting Category 4 Informational Text/Research Process due to students lack of access to informational text. | stronger arguments to support their answers. Core and elective classes will provide assignments that require students to develop the use of "supporting details" in performance tasks. Instructional strategies include but are not limited to reciprocal teaching, opinion proofs, question-and-answer relationships, note-taking skills, summarization skills, and encouraging students to read from a wide variety of texts in order to become familiar with varying text structures and features. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | Department Chairperson | in September, November and January, including Reading Plus reports. Students' scores will determine the type of enrichment lessons/activities that will be given to them in order to provide students with the practice and skills necessary to increase level 4 and 5 proficiency. | samples , Interims, benchmark mini-assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment | |
| 2 | 3.2. | 3.2. | 3.2. | 3.2. | 3.2 | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment:
Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.

Reading Goal #3b:

The results from the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment indicate that 100 % of students made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain the level of learning gains amongst these students.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

N/A

N/A

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | participated in the Florida Alternate Assessment. | Students should be given the opportunity to make choices using concrete objects, real pictures and symbols paired with | SPED Chair MTSS/RTI Team, Intensive Reading | | 3b.1. Formative: Student work, teacher feedback Summative: 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment | |

| | d on the analysis of studer provement for the following | nt achievement data, and reg g group: | eference to "Guiding | g Questions", identify and (| define areas in need | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4: | | | students in the the 2012 administration of 2012-2013 school | In grades 6-8, 74% of students in the lowest 25 percentile made learning gains on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase in the lowest 25% achieving learning gains by 5 percentage points to 79%. | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perfor | mance: | 2013 Expected | d Level of Performance: | | |
| 74%(| (213) | | 79%(228) | | | |
| | Р | roblem-Solving Process t | to Increase Studer | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | An area of limited proficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Reading Test for grade 6-8 was Reporting Category 4: Informational Text/Research Process due to student's lack of access to informational text | 4.1. Students will benefit from intervention and practice critically analyzing text. Teacher will emphasize instruction by including strategies such as: reciprocal teaching, question-answer relationships, opinion proofs, note-taking and summarizing skills, questioning the author and by encouraging students to read from a wide variety of texts. A more rigorous implementation of the nonfiction materials and publications available through Voyager, as well as regular use of supplemental periodicals to locate, identify and analyze a variety of text structures and features, to aid in the development of students' understanding of said literary features. Students will participate in Reading Plus. Students | | Monthly classroom walkthroughs; Interim Assessment data and in- class assessment will be analyzed to determine effectiveness of strategies implemented. | Formative: Student Voyager Reading Benchmark test, SOLO, student artifacts, FAIR testing, Reading Plus reports, Interim Assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment | |

| | | will participate in small group differentiated instruction to emphasize reciprocal teaching strategies, questionanswer relationships. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | | | |
|---|------|---|------|------|------|
| 2 | 4.2. | 4.2. | 4.2. | 4.2. | 4.2. |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Reading Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual The goal of AMO-2 is to reduce the % of non-proficient by Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year 50% over six years. school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A: Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 62 66 69 73 76

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that 60% of the students in the Hispanic subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase student proficiency by 7 percentage points to 67%. 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that satisfactory progress in reading. 65% of the students in the White subgroup achieved proficiency. Reading Goal #5B: Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student proficiency by 4 percentage point to 69%. The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that 72% of the students in the Asian subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase student proficiency by 8 percentage points to 80%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: White: 65% (83) White: 69% (88) Black: 56% (48) Black: 59%(50) Hispanic: 60% (566) Hispanic: 67% (632) Asian: 72% (18) Asian: 80% (20) American Indian: N/A American Indian: N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| L | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|-----------------|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | 5B.1. | 5B.1. | 5B.1. | 5B.1. | 5B.1. |
| | As demonstrated on the administration of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test the Hispanic, Asian | Utilize FCAT data to identify students who are | | | |

| 1 | not make satisfactory progress in reading due | interventions, within the first month of the 2012-2013 school year, monitor students progress on a monthly basis. Provide and promote the use of Reading Plus to help build and accelerate academic growth. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | effectiveness of intervention program delivery using data from prescribed intervention assessment. | intervention assessments, Reading Plus reports Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment |
|---|---|--|--|---|
|---|---|--|--|---|

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5C:

The results of the 2011 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that 34% of the students in the English Language Learners subgroup achieved proficiency.

Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student proficiency by 7 percentage points to 41%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

41%(52)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | As demonstrated on the administration of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test the English Language Learners subgroup did not make satisfactory progress in reading due to lack of practice with informational text. | Utilize FCAT data to identify Level 1-3 and place them in appropriate Tier 1 and Tier 2 interventions within the first month of the 2012-2013 school year, monitor students progress on a monthly basis. Provide and promote the use of Reading Plus and Teen Biz to help build and accelerate academic growth. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | | MTSS/RtI Leadership Team will meet on a monthly basis to monitor student progress and the effectiveness of intervention program delivery using data from prescribed intervention assessment. | Formative: FAIR, District and school-site assessment data, intervention assessments And Reading Plus reports Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.

| Read | Reading Goal #5D: | | | | | |
|------|------------------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to I | | | nt Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

Reading Goal #5E:

The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Reading Test indicate that 58% of the students in the Economically Disadvantaged (ED) subgroup achieved proficiency.
Our goal is to increase student proficiency by 5 percentage points to 63%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

63%(565)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | SE.1. As demonstrated on the administration of the 2012 FCAT Reading Test Economically Disadvantaged (ED) subgroup did not make satisfactory progress in reading due to a lack of practice with using informational text. | 5E1. Identify Level 1 & 2 students, place students in appropriate Tier 1 and Tier 2 interventions within the first month of the 2012-2013 school year and monitor student progress using data on a monthly basis. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. Update the computer lab schedule in order to optimize usage of computers to increase the implementation of Reading Plus for 30 minutes once per week | 5E.1 MTSS/RtI Team | Team will meet on a monthly basis to monitor student progress and the | 5E.1. Formative: FAIR, District and school-site assessment data, intervention assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Reading Assessment |
| 2 | | | | | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Reading Plus | 6-8 | Teacher | 6-8 Reading and Content Area Teachers | 10/26/12 | Reading Plus Reports and Student performance on Interim Assessments | MTSS/RtI Leadership Team |
| Literacy Across the Curriculum | 6-8 | Reading Teachers | 6-8 Reading and Content Area Teachers | 12/13/12 | Interim Assessment Reports | MTSS/RtI Leadership Team |
| Edusoft Assessments and Reporting | 6-8 | Administration | 6-8 Reading and Content Area Teachers | 11/6/12 | Interim Assessment Reports | MTSS/RtI Leadership Team |
| Data Chats | 6-8 | Teacher | 6-8 Reading and Content Area Teachers | 01/17/13 | Interim Assessment Reports | MTSS/RtI Leadership Team |

Reading Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s |)/Material(s) | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Use of Technology | LCD light bulbs | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,000.00 |

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking. | The results of the 2012 CELLA Listening /Speaking Test indicate that 56% of the students in the achieved | | | |
| | proficiency. Our goal is to increase or maintain student proficiency. | | | |
| | | | | |

| 2012 | 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking: | | | | | |
|------|--|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 56% | 56% (66) | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | | | | teachers will disaggregate and analyze Interim | benchmark mini- assessments Summative: | |

| Stude | ents read in English at gra | ade level text in a manne | r similar to non-EL | L students. | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Students scoring proficient in reading. CELLA Goal #2: | | | 32% of the stu | The results of the 2012 CELLA Reading Test indicate that 32% of the students in the achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase or maintain student proficiency. | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in reading: | | | | | | |
| 32% | 32% (40) | | | | | |
| | Prok | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | 2.1. An area of deficiency in the 2012 CELLA test for grades 6-8 was in Reading due to a lack of reading skills with the English language. | | 2.1 ELL Teachers Administration Department Chair | 2.1. Monthly classroom walkthroughs; Administrative team and teachers will disaggregate and analyze Interim Assessment data to determine effectiveness of strategies implemented. | Assessments, benchmark mini- assessments | |

| Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Students scoring proficient in writing. | | | | |
| CELLA Goal #3: | The results of the 2012 CELLA Writing Test indicate that 30% of the students in the achieved proficiency. Our goal is to increase or maintain student proficiency. | | | |
| 2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in | writing: | | | |
| 30%(37) | | | | |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Writing due to a lack of practice in writing in | to improve student writing which include | Administration | Monthly classroom walkthroughs; Administrative team and teachers will disaggregate and analyze Interim Assessments to determine effectiveness | Assessments, benchmark mini- assessments | |

CELLA Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s |)/Material(s) | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Improve writing skills | Writing workshop | Title 1 | \$200.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$200.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$200.00 |

End of CELLA Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicate mathematics. that 28% of students achieved Level 3 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 3 student Mathematics Goal #1a: proficiency by 5 percentage points to 33%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 28% (335) 33% (393) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency as Develop departmental Administration Review monthly Formative: Department Chair classroom assignments Interim and mininoted on the 2012 grade level and/or administration of the course-alike learning MTSS/RtI and Interim Assessments assessments; FCAT Mathematics Test teams to facilitate the that target the student work application of the skills for grades 6-8 was implementation of the Summative: 2013 Geometry and listed best practice taught. FCAT 2.0 Measurement due to a instructional strategies. lack of practice with Infuse the Step-It-Up Mathematics manipulatives. Problem Solving Protocol Assessment into daily instruction to equip students with strategies to solve realworld application based problems. Use the Pacing Guide aligned Topic Assessments and the FLDOE Florida Achieves! Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework.

| ı | on the analysis of student provement for the following | | eference to "Guiding | Questions", identify and | define areas in need | |
|-------|--|-------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| Stude | orida Alternate Assessments scoring at Levels 4, ematics Goal #1b: | | s. n/a | n/a | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perform | nance: | 2013 Expected | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| n/a | | | n/a | n/a | | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process t | o Increase Studen | it Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool | |

| | | | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------------|----------|-----|
| 1 | 1 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| L | | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics test indicate Level 4 in mathematics. that 25% of students achieved Level 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Level 4 Mathematics Goal #2a: and 5 student proficiency by 2 percentage points to 27 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 25%(296) 27%(321) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Level 4 and 5 students in To find the perimeters Administration Review monthly Formative: grades 6-8 showed an and areas of composite Department Chair classroom assignments Interim and miniarea of deficiency in two-dimensional figures, and Interim Assessments assessments: Geometry and including non-rectangular that target the student work Measurement as noted in figures (such as application of the skills the 2012 administration semicircles), the use of taught. Summative: 2012 of the FCAT 2.0 various tools (on-line and **FCAT Mathematics** Mathematics Test due to off-line manipulatives) Assessment a lack of practice in will aid the variety of measurement activities. learning styles. The students knowledge Provide visual stimulus to in this category needs to develop students' spatial sense. be expanded. Provide students with opportunities to investigate geometric 1 properties. Differentiate instruction for students. Investigate strategies to determine the surface area and volume of selected prisms, pyramids, and cylinders. Solve problems involving scale factors, using ratio and proportion. Solve simple problems involving rates and derived measurements for such attributes as

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group: | rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|---|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b: | The results of the 2012 Florida Alternative Assessment indicate that ¬¬¬100 % of students achieved Level 7 in mathematics proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to have students maintain or increase their proficiency. |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: |
| | |

velocity and density

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following group: | ence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3a: | On the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test 66% of students made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to provide appropriate interventions, remediation and enrichment opportunities in order to increase the percentage of students making learning gains by 5 percentage points, to 71%. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 66%(719) | 71% (774) | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | |

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|----------|--|--|---|
| 1 | As noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test Administration in grades 6-8 the area of deficiency was Geometry and Measurement due to a lack of practice in activities using measurement. | | Administration Department Chair MTSS/RtI | Review monthly classroom assignments and Interim Assessments that target the application of the skills taught. | Formative: Interim and mini- assessments; student work Summative: 2013 FCAT Mathematics Assessment |

| of imp | of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| mathematics. | | indicate that ¬ mathematics p | The results of the 2012 Florida Alternative Assessment indicate that ¬¬100% of students achieved Learning Gains in mathematics proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain Learning Gains. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance: | |
| n/a | | | n/a | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | proficiency with | and all scored above | SPED Teacher Administration MTSS/RtI | Monthly Classroom walkthroughs; other assessment data will be disaggregated to determine effectiveness of reading benchmark instruction in content area. | Formative: Student work, teacher feedback Summative: 2013 Florida Alternate Assessment |

| | d on the analysis of studen provement for the following | | eference to "Guidin | g Questions", identify and o | define areas in need |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4: | | 25% of student 2013 school year remediation in | On the 2012 FCAT Mathematics Test 58% of the Lowest 25% of students made learning gains. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to provide appropriate interventions and remediation in order to increase the percentage of students making learning gains by 10 percentage points, to 68%. | | |
| 2012 | Current Level of Perforn | nance: | 2013 Expecte | d Level of Performance: | |
| 58% (166) | | | 68% (194) | 68% (194) | |
| | Pr | oblem-Solving Process | to Increase Stude | nt Achievement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | As noted on the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test Administration for grades 6-8 the area of deficiency was Geometry and Measurement due to a lack of practice in measurement activities. | | RtI Team | Monthly classroom assignments and Interim assessments that target the application of the skills taught along with reports generated from technology programs. | Formative: Interim and mini- assessments; student work Summative: 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment |

| measure. (i.e., using nets, construct cubes, prism, and tetrahedrons of different scales and compare the ratios of edge length, area, and volume of the models. | |
|---|--|
| Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | |

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target Middle School Mathematics Goal # 5A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual The goal of AMO-2 is to reduce the % of non-proficient by Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year 50% over six years. school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%. 5A : Baseline data 2011-2012 2012-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 2010-2011 57 61 65 69 73

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicate 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, that 53% of students in the Hispanic subgroup achieved Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making proficiency and 72% of students in the Asian subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year satisfactory progress in mathematics. is to increase student proficiency by 8 percentage points for Hispanic subgroup to 61% and by 8 percentage point for the Mathematics Goal #5B: White subgroup to 80% by providing appropriate interventions and remediation. 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 2012 Current Level of Performance: White: 69%(88) White: 68% (87) Black: 46%(39) Black: 44%(37) Hispanic: 61% (575) Hispanic: 53% (500) Asian: 80% (20) Asian: 72% (18) American Indian: American Indian: N/A N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|-------------------|--|---|---|
| 1 | As demonstrated on the administration of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test the Hispanic, and Asian subgroups did not make satisfactory progress in mathematics due to lack of practice in calculating measurement and understanding geometric shapes. | activities to use | Mathematics Department Chair | assessments that target the application of the skills taught along with reports generated from | Formative: Interim and mini- assessments; student work Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment |

| | standards and | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| | instructional framework. | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #5C:

The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicate that 37% of English Language Learners achieved proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student proficiency by 11 percentage points to 48%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

48%(61)

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 1 | The area of deficiency as noted on the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test for English Language Learners in grades 6-8 was Geometry and Measurement due to a lack of practice in measurement | Provide students with opportunities to investigate strategies for determining measurement related to various shapes and to solve simple problems involving scale factors using ratio and proportion. Differentiate instruction for students who require intervention in this area using ESOL strategies Engage students in activities to use technology that include visual stimulus to develop a conceptual understanding of the benchmarks. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | | Monthly classroom assignments and Interim assessments that target the application of the skills taught. | Formative: Interim and miniassessments; student work Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicate satisfactory progress in mathematics. that 23% of Students With Disabilities achieved proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase Mathematics Goal #5D: student proficiency by 12percentage points to 33%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 23%(35) 35%(53) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | administration of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test the | opportunities to investigate strategies for determining measurement related to various shapes and to solve simple problems involving scale | | Monthly classroom assignments and Interim assessments that target the application of the skills taught. | Formative: Interim and miniassessments; student work Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Assessment |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making The results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test indicate that 52% of students in the Economically Disadvantaged satisfactory progress in mathematics. subgroup achieved proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase student proficiency by 6 Mathematics Goal E: percentage points to 58%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 52%(466) 58%(520) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy As demonstrated on the Provide students the Administration Monthly classroom Formative: administration of the opportunity to compare, Department Chair assignments and Interim Interim and mini-MTSS/RtI 2012 FCAT 2.0 contrast and convert assessments that target assessments; Mathematics Test the units of measure the application of the student work Economically between different skills taught. Disadvantaged subgroup measurement systems Summative: 2013 did not make satisfactory and use hands-on FCAT 2.0 progress in mathematics activities to explore area Mathematics due to lack of practice in and volume using non-Assessment measurement. traditional units of measure. Engage students in activities to use technology that include visual stimulus to develop a conceptual understanding of the benchmarks. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework.

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in The results of the 2012 Algebra EOC indicate that 42 ¬% Algebra. of students achieved Level 3 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain the Level 3 student Algebra Goal #1: proficiency of 42%. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 42%(38) 42% (38) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy As noted on the 2012 Provide all students Provide all Department Chair Formative: Algebra EOC more practice in solving students more Administration Interim and mini-Administration for grade multi-step problems practice in solving assessments; 8 the area of deficiency with several rate multi-step student work problems with was polynomials due to parameters as well as a lack of practice and providing them with several rate Summative: 2013 Algebra EOC coverage of the topic in inductive reasoning parameters as 7th grade activities. strategies that include well as providing discovery learning them with activities. inductive reasoning Follow the College strategies that Board's Springboard include discovery program's rigorous learning activities. standards and instructional framework. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and r in need of improvement for the following group: | reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Algebra.Algebra Goal #2: | The results of the 2012 Algebra EOC indicate that 57% of students achieved Level 4 and 5 proficiency. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to maintain Level 4 and 5 student proficiency of 57%. | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | |
| 57% (51) | 57% (51) | | |
| Problem-Solving Process to I | ncrease Student Achievement | | |
| | Person or Process Used to | | |

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Position Responsible for Monitoring | Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|---------------------|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | | opportunities to explore and apply the use of a system of equations in the real world as well as developing math | | , | Formative: Interim and mini- assessments; student work Summative: 2013 Algebra EOC |

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

| 3 | , , | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| * When using percentages, | , include the number of studen | ts the | percentage | represents (e.g., 70% (3 | 35)). |
| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | f student achievement data, for the following group: | and r | reference to | o "Guiding Questions", | identify and define areas |
| 1. Students scoring at Geometry. | Achievement Level 3 in | | | | |
| Geometry Goal #1: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Exp | pected Level of Perfo | rmance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Proces | s to I | ncrease S | itudent Achievement | |
| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Posi Resp for | son or tion ponsible itoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
| | No | Data | Submitted | | |
| | | | | | |
| Based on the analysis of in need of improvement | f student achievement data, for the following group: | and r | reference to | o "Guiding Questions", | identify and define areas |
| 2. Students scoring at 4 and 5 in Geometry. | or above Achievement Le | evels | | | |
| Geometry Goal #2: | | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of | Performance: | | 2013 Ехр | pected Level of Perfo | rmance: |
| | | | | | |
| | Problem Solving Process | e to I | norease S | itudent Achievement | |

| Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | tor | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---------------------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| | No | Data Submitted | | |

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | | and/or PLC | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|----------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Analyzing Math Data to Drive Instruction | 6-8 Math | District | Hammocks Math Teachers | 9/21/12 | Interim Assessment Scores/Data | Department Chairperson Assistant Principal |
| CollegeBoard SpringBoard Training | 6-8 Math | District | Hammocks Math Teachers | 9/12/12 | Compass Learning Odyssey Reports | Department Chairperson Assistant Principal |
| Edusoft | 6-8 Math | Department Chairperson | Hammocks Math Teachers | 10/25/12 | Edusoft Reports | Department Chairperson Assistant Principal |

Mathematics Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(| s)/Material(s) | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Use of technology | LCD lightbulbs | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,000.00 |

End of Mathematics Goals

| | esents (| esents (e.a., 709 |
|--|----------|-------------------|
|--|----------|-------------------|

| VV11C | en using percentages, inclu | ide the humber of students | s the percentage rep | oresents (e.g., 70% (33)). | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | dent achievement data, at the following group | | Guiding Questions", ider | ntify and define | | |
| 1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a: | | | Test, 31% of sproficiency. The | On the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Science Test, 31% of students achieved FCAT Level 3 proficiency. The expected level of performance for the 2013 administration is 35% achieving proficiency. | | | |
| 2012 | 2 Current Level of Perf | ormance: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Performand | ce: | | |
| 31% | (134) | | 35% (152) | | | | |
| | Prob | lem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | |
| 1 | Results of the 2012 FCAT 2.0 Science assessment in grade 8 indicate that students had difficulty with Reporting Category 1 – Nature of Science due to a lack of exposure to hands on activities. | related reading comprehension passages, and/or case | MSTT Team Administration | Results of Interim assessment data and science-related reading comprehension passages, and/or case studies will be used to monitor student progress. A rubric will be designed to measure student progress in their writing and further promote high order thinking skills and use of technology and research. | interim assessments Summative: 2013 FCAT 2.0 Science Assessment | | |

| 1 | Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Stude | lorida Alternate Asses ents scoring at Levels ace Goal #1b: | | N/A | N/A | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | | | 2013 Expecte | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of | Evaluation Tool | | | |

| | | | Monitoring | Strategy | |
|---|-----|-----|------------|----------|-----|
| 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above On the 2012 administration of the FCAT 2.0 Science Achievement Level 4 in science. Test, 10% of students scored at FCAT Level 4 and 5proficiency. The expected level of performance for the Science Goal #2a: 2013 administration is 11% achieving above proficiency. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 10% (42) 11% (50) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Process Used to Person or Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Results of the 2012 Identify students Administration, Monthly classroom Formative: scoring 4 or 5 in walkthroughs; Interim FCAT 2.0 Science Science School-site assessment in grade 8 Reading and Department Assessments and developed rubric, indicate that students Mathematics portion of Monthly Gizmo reports student work and Chairperson the FCAT and mentor will be analyzed for had difficulty with interim these students in the Reporting Category 1 student achievement. assessments. Nature of Science. development of Students need independent Summative: 2013 additional support to experimental projects FCAT 2.0 develop independent to increase scientific Science projects using research thinking, and the Assessment development and skills. discussion of inquiry based activities that allow for testing of hypotheses, data analysis, explanation of variables, models, and various investigative methods scientists use. Use Gizmos and/or Discovery Education at least twice per grading period along with the opportunity to manipulate data. Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework.

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: | | | | |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 | | | | |
| in science. | N/A | | | |
| Science Goal #2b: | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1 | N/A | | | N/A | N/A | | |
|---|---|---------------------|----------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | |
| | | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| - | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | release) and | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--------------|---|--|
| Analyzing Science Data to Drive Instruction | | Department Head | Hammocks Science Teachers | 9/7/12 | Baseline Assessment Scores/Data | Department Chairperson Assistant Principal |
| Science Fair | ' | | Hammocks Science Teachers | 9/21/12 | Completion of Science Fair Projects | Science Department Chair Administration |

Science Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s) | /Material(s) | | |
|---------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Use of technology | LCD Projector, Computers | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| Hands on Activities | Lab Supplies | Lab Fees | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Science Fair strategies | Substitutes to attend Science Fair Workshop and Regional Science Fair | Title 1 | \$300.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$300.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| FCAT Review | Resource materials to complete experiments and salary for 2 teachers for 2 sessions of 6 weeks each. | Title 1 | \$2,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$4,300.00 |

Writing Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a: | The results of the FCAT 2012 FCAT Writing Test Indicate that 74% of students scored level 3.0 or higher. Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the | | | | |
| Witting Goal # Ta. | percentage of students scoring at level 3 or above by 3 percentage points to 77%. | | | | |
| 2012 Current Level of Performance: | 2013 Expected Level of Performance: | | | | |
| 74%(323) | 77% (334) | | | | |

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool |
|---|--|----------|--|---|---|
| 1 | The areas of deficiency as demonstrated on the administration of the 2012 FCAT Writing Assessment for grade 8, was editing for language conventions. The students need more exposure to the use of language conventions. | | | Administer and review monthly writing prompts to monitor students' progress and to adjust instructional focus need. | Formative: District Baseline data and Student scores on monthly writing prompts and post test. Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing Test |

| | | program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 2 | Assessment for grade 8, was supporting | instruction students will incorporate the use of the writing process using but not limited to: the Six Traits of Writing, writing responses to questions as an alternative to selecting correct responses on a multiple-choice test; reading passages and creating summary questions; critiquing written pieces; reading and analyzing different types of writing; writing stories about real or imagined events; writing descriptions of how things look, smell, taste, sound, and feel; writing personal anecdotes and incorporating them into writing that either explains or persuades; engaging in peer editing and examining state-scored anchor papers for scoring practice; working cooperatively to piece together an essay that has been separated into a puzzle; engaging in elaboration strategies at the sentence and paragraph level by "magnifying a moment" to help strengthen student support through use of specific details; helping strategies (planning); writing numerous practice "timed" essays to help students become comfortable with the space and time limitations of the writing FCAT. | Department Chair | Administer and review monthly writing prompts to monitor students' progress and to adjust instructional focus as needed. | Formative : District Baseline data and Student scores on monthly writing prompts and post-test Summative: 2013 FCAT Writing Test |
| 3 | is persuasive writing due to a lack of practice in using persuasive prompts. | During instruction students will engage in writing across the curriculum that is focused on the development of main ideas and support details. Social studies classes will incorporate written responses to questions that require students to support answers with details and examples. Science teachers will engage in technical/lab writing and article reviews that | Language Arts Department Chair Social Studies Department Chair Science Department | monthly writing prompts to monitor students' progress and to adjust instructional focus as needed. | |

| require students to identify main ideas using supporting details and evidence. | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. N/A Writing Goal #1b: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier **Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| Six Traits of Writing | 6-8th | Writing Liaison | 6th through 8th grade teachers LA teachers, ESE and ELL | 10/25/12 | Leadership team meets on a monthly basis to monitor student progress on monthly prompts, assessments, Writing Portfolios and classroom walkthroughs documenting the use of the Six Traits and the implementation of effective writing instruction. | Administration, Language Arts Department Chair |
| Writing Conventions and the use of Rubrics | 6-8th | Writing Liaison | 6th through 8th grade teachers LA teachers, Social Studies teachers and ESE and ELL teachers | 10/25/12 | Leadership team meets on a monthly basis to monitor student progress on monthly prompts, assessments, Writing Portfolios and classroom walkthroughs documenting the use of the Six Traits and the implementation of effective writing instruction. Vertical grammar planning between 6th, 7th, and 8th grade teachers. | Administration, Language Arts Department Chair |

| Evidence-based Program(s | s)/Material(s) | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Student Resources | Resource materials for Pre-Law magnet | Magnet Funding | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$1,000.00 |

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

assessments aligned

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics. On the 2012 administration of the Baseline Civics test 100% of students scored 2% proficiency. The expected Civics Goal #1: level of performance of the 2013 Winter Interim Assessment in Civics is 75% achieving proficiency. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency Proper pacing to ensure Administration Monthly school Formative: on the administration of that the Civics Department Chair generated assessments School-site the 2012 Baseline Civics Curriculum is taught will be administered in developed tests, student work and test was found in with fidelity and is order to assess Organization and paced accordingly progress and adjust district published Function of Institute common instructional focus. quarterly tests. Government. Students planning time Summative: 2013 need practice in reading Coverage of the essential content in the Civics EOC passages with moderate to high text pacing guides, working complexity. with difficult texts and creating texts using moderate to high complexity items. Utilize district published lesson plans and

| with the Civics EOC exam. | | |
|--|--|--|
| Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework. | | |

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels On the 2011 administration of the Baseline Civics test 4 and 5 in Civics. 100% of students scored 2% proficiency. The expected level of performance of the 2013 Winter Interim Civics Goal #2: Assessment in Civics is 75% achieving proficiency. 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy The area of deficiency Provide activities which Administration A monthly assessment Formative: on the administration of allow for students to Department Chair for the completion of School-site the 2012 Baseline Civics examine opposing developed rubric, technology projects points of view on student work and test was found in and written assignments will be Organization and various topics interim utilized to monitor Function of assessments. Government. Provide opportunities student achievement. Summative: 2013 Students need for students to additional support to participate in project Civics EOC develop independent based learning activities projects using research including those skills. sponsored by the District and State(e.g. Project Citizens, Model UN). Follow the College Board's Springboard program's rigorous standards and instructional framework.

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Civics Pacing Guide | 7th grade | Department Chair | 7th grade Social Studies Teachers | 10/25/12 | Sharing of best practices for coverage of pacing | Administration Department Chair |

Civics Budget:

| Evidence-based Progra | am(s)/Material(s) | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Developm | ent | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Civics Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference of improvement: | e to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need |
|---|--|
| 1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1: | Our goal for this year is to increase attendance to 95.87% by minimizing absences due to illnesses and truancy, and to create a school climate that is welcoming and supportive to all students, parents, staff and community members. In addition, our goal for this year is to decrease the number of students with excessive absences (10 or more), and excessive tardiness (10 or more) by 5 %. |
| 2012 Current Attendance Rate: | 2013 Expected Attendance Rate: |
| 95.37%(1178) | 95.87% (1184) |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more) |
| 352 | 334 |
| 2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) | 2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more) |
| 158 | 150 |

| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | | | |
| 1 | Truancy increased by 0.6% from 2011 to 2012 due to student illness and family issues. | Students who have been absent 6 or more days unexcused will be identified and referred to the TCST for intervention services. A letter will be sent home along with a follow up phone call to parents requesting a meeting to discuss the students' pattern of nonattendance. In addition the school will utilize the school's Social Worker to conduct more home visits to gather accurate information and meet with parents to discuss their child's attendance and recommend methods to improve and maintain better communication with the schools | Assistant Principals Counselors Social Worker | Weekly updates from the school Social Worker and the Truancy Child Study Team. | Attendance rosters and the TCST logs. | | | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Truancy Prevention | 6-8 Attendance | | Administration, Team Leaders, and Counselors | 12/13/12 | Prevention Program | Assistant Principals and counselors |

Attendance Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s) | /Material(s) | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |

| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | - | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$0.00 |

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| | provement: | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1. Su | spension | | 0 1.5 | | | |
| Suspension Goal #1: | | | U | | 13 school yea ensions by 109 | r is to decrease %. |
| 2012 | ! Total Number of In-Sc | hool Suspensions | 2013 Expe | cted Number | of In-Schoo | l Suspensions |
| 473 | | | 426 | | | |
| 2012 | ? Total Number of Stude | ents Suspended In-Scho | 2013 Expe School | cted Number | of Students | Suspended In- |
| 214 | | 193 | | | | |
| 2012 | Number of Out-of-Sch | ool Suspensions | | 2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions | | |
| 287 | | | 258 | 258 | | |
| 2012 Scho | ? Total Number of Stude ol | ents Suspended Out-of- | - 2013 Expe of-School | 2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School | | |
| 139 | | | 125 | 125 | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stu | ıdent Achiev | rement | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible f Monitoring | Det for Effect | ss Used to termine iveness of rategy | Evaluation Tool |
| 1 | The total number of indoor and outdoor suspensions increased from 652 incidents during the 2010-2011school year to 760 in the 2011-2012 school year, an increase of 108 incidents due to defiant and disruptive behavior. | on the severity of the violation a per the student code of | Administration, Counselors, SC Instructor | report by and monit report on outdoor s rates. Do the Rig | uspension | Monthly COGNOS suspension report and SPOT Success report. |

| providing incentives for compliance through the use of Secondary SPOT Recognition program and Do the Right Thing | |
|--|--|
| Program | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Student Code of Conduct | 6-8 | Administration | Schoolwide | 8/23/12 | Utilize classroom walk through to monitor teachers' enforcement of the Student Code of Conduct. Monitor Spot Success monthly report. Review parent participation in Open House meeting. | Administration |

Suspension Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(s)/ | Material(s) | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Student Code of Conduct | Printing of Agenda Books with the Student Code of Conduct inserted in reference pages | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$3,000.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$3,000.00 |

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| in ne | ed of improvement: | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|--|--------------------|--|
| 1. Pa | rent Involvement | | | | | |
| Pare | nt Involvement Goal# | 1: | Our goal for th | o 2011 2012 school year | is to increase the | |
| partio | ase refer to the percenta cipated in school activitie plicated. | = : | percentage of | Our goal for the 2011-2012 school year is to increase the percentage of parents participating in school wide activities from 50% to 60%. | | |
| 2012 | ? Current Level of Parer | nt Involvement: | 2013 Expecte | ed Level of Parent Invol | vement: | |
| 50%(600) | | | 60% (720) | 60% (720) | | |
| | Pro | blem-Solving Process t | o Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Lack of participation in school wide activities by parents who are limited English proficient. | Send notification of school activities through Connect Ed and by paper in both English and Spanish languages. Provide Spanish translation on information disseminated at meetings and events. | Administration, Activity Sponsors | Review monthly sign in sheets to determine the number of limited English proficient parents attending school events. | Sign in Sheets | |
| 2 | Parents have limited transportation opportunities to attend school functions. | Coordinate parent meetings before student nighttime events to review information such as FCAT test results, attendance information, and Student Code of Conduct. | Administration, Activity Sponsors | Review monthly sign in sheets to determine the number of parents attending school or community events. | Sign in Sheets | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Student Data Night | 6-8 | Administration | Parents and Students | 11/10/12 | Review sign in sheets to determine the number of parents participating in the event | Administration |
| Title 1 Parent Orientation | 6-8 | Administration | Parents and Students | 9/20/12 | Review sign in sheets to determine the number of parents participating in the event | Administration |

| Evidence-based Program(s)/M | aterial(s) | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Increase Parent Participation | Materials and Handouts | PTSA | \$500.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$500.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$500.00 |

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based | d on the analysis of school | ol data, identify and defir | ne areas in need of | improvement: | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| 1. STEM STEM Goal #1: | | | College Board S initiative with t Mathematics a performance o | Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to utilize the College Board SpringBoard program and the NESTT initiative with the Deering Estate to increase rigor in our Mathematics and Science programs to improve student performance on the FCAT 2.0 Science Test and FCAT 2.0 Mathematics Test. | | |
| | Prol | olem-Solving Process t | to Increase Stude | ent Achievement | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |
| 1 | Students have difficulty conceptualizing difficult concepts in math and science. | | Department Chair Administrative Team | Monthly Walkthroughs Weekly Laboratory logs | Authentic Assessment scores Interim Assessments | |

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| College Board/SpringBoard | 6-8 | Department Chair | Math and Science Teachers | | Interim Assessment Scores/Data | Department Chair Administration |
| NESTT Meeting | 6 | Principal | Students and Parents | 9/15/17 | Student Applications | Principal Science Teacher |

STEM Budget:

| Evidence-based Program(| s)/Material(s) | | |
|--------------------------|--|----------------|-------------------------|
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Hands On Activities | Manipulatives and Lab Equipment | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| Field Trips | Transportation for Field Trips for NESST program | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Use of Technology | Tablets | Title 1 | \$2,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$2,000.00 |
| Professional Development | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.00 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$4,000.00 |

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

| Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement: | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| 1. CT | E | | | | | |
| CTE Goal #1: | | | 0 | Increase rigorous and relevant instruction by increasing student participation in Technology competitions by 10%. | | |
| | Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement | | | | | |
| | Anticipated Barrier | Strategy | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy | Evaluation Tool | |

| 1 | through Project Based Learning Competitions curriculum from the Miami-Dade County Fair | academy expo with feeder pattern high school. | Administration | implementation of the guidelines and timeline for teacher training and the progress of CTE | |
|---|---|---|----------------|---|--|
|---|---|---|----------------|---|--|

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

| PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus | Grade Level/Subject | PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader | PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide) | Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) | Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring | Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No Data Submitted | | | | | | | |

CTE Budget:

| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Hands on Activities | Materials for projects | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.0 |
| Technology | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| Use of Technology | Computer hardware and software | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$1,000.0 |
| Professional Development | t | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| Other | | | |
| Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amoun |
| No Data | No Data | No Data | \$0.00 |
| | | | Subtotal: \$0.0 |
| | | | Grand Total: \$2,000.0 |

Additional Goal(s)

No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

| Evidence-based Progr | am(s)/Material(s) | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------|
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Suspension | Student Code of Conduct | Printing of Agenda Books with the Student Code of Conduct inserted in reference pages | Title 1 | \$3,000.00 |
| Parent Involvement | Increase Parent Participation | Materials and Handouts | PTSA | \$500.00 |
| STEM | Hands On Activities | Manipulatives and Lab Equipment | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| STEM | Field Trips | Transportation for Field Trips for NESST program | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| CTE | Hands on Activities | Materials for projects | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$6,500.00 |
| Technology | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Reading | Use of Technology | LCD light bulbs | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| Mathematics | Use of technology | LCD lightbulbs | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| Science | Use of technology | LCD Projector, Computers | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| Science | Hands on Activities | Lab Supplies | Lab Fees | \$1,000.00 |
| STEM | Use of Technology | Tablets | Title 1 | \$2,000.00 |
| СТЕ | Use of Technology | Computer hardware and software | Title 1 | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$7,000.00 |
| Professional Developn | nent | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| CELLA | Improve writing skills | Writing workshop | Title 1 | \$200.00 |
| Science | Science Fair strategies | Substitutes to attend Science Fair Workshop and Regional Science Fair | Title 1 | \$300.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$500.00 |
| Other | | | | |
| Goal | Strategy | Description of Resources | Funding Source | Available Amount |
| Science | FCAT Review | Resource materials to complete experiments and salary for 2 teachers for 2 sessions of 6 weeks each. | Title 1 | \$2,000.00 |
| Writing | Student Resources | Resource materials for Pre-Law magnet | Magnet Funding | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | | Subtotal: \$3,000.00 |
| | | | | Grand Total: \$17,000.00 |

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

| | j∩ Priority | jn Focus | jn Prevent | j n NA |
|--|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|
|--|-------------|----------|------------|---------------|

Are you a reward school: j_{\square} Yes j_{\square} No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.



Yes. Agree with the above statement.

| Projected use of SAC Funds | Amount |
|---|------------|
| Student Incentives Principal Special Projects Student Achievement | \$6,000.00 |

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The Educational Excellence School Advisory Council (EESAC) has an important function for the success of Hammocks Middle School. Listed below are the activities planned for the coming year:

- Reach out to the community to obtain more Dade Partners and partnerships for our Pre-Law Magnet and CollegeBoard SpringBoard programs.
- Organize family events involving school initiatives of literacy and promoting our Pre-Law Magnet and CollegeBoard SpringBoard programs.
- Sponsor drives to increase parental involvement.
- Work with school stakeholders to implement and review the progress of the School Improvement Plan (SIP).
- Review school-wide data for trends in students achievement.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

| Dade School District HAMMOCKS MI DDLE SCHOOL 2010-2011 | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------|-----|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 73% | 68% | 88% | 54% | 283 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 64% | 65% | | | 129 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 73% (YES) | 65% (YES) | | | 138 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 550 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |

| Dade School District HAMMOCKS MI DDLE SCHOOL 2009-2010 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---|
| | Reading | Math | Writing | Science | Grade Points Earned | |
| % Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above) | 74% | 70% | 93% | 48% | 285 | Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component. |
| % of Students Making Learning Gains | 69% | 71% | | | 140 | 3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2 |
| Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School? | 71% (YES) | 67% (YES) | | | 138 | Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math. |
| FCAT Points Earned | | | | | 563 | |
| Percent Tested = 100% | | | | | | Percent of eligible students tested |
| School Grade* | | | | | А | Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested |