FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: POMPANO SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT

District Name: Broward

Principal: David Watkins

SAC Chair: Renee Hudson/Nerissa Lester

Superintendent: Robert W. Runcie

Date of School Board Approval: December 4, 2012

Last Modified on: 10/24/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	David Watkins	Professional Certificate Educational Leadership K-12 and Social Sciences 5-9 Ed.S. Educational Leadership M.A. Social Studies Education	9	10	2010-2011 Grade: Pompano Substance Abuse is a DJJ school and, therefore, does not receive a school grade. Reading Learning Gains (BASI): 48% (35) Math Learning Gains (BASI): 58% (43) AYP: No Subgroups made AYP in Reading. No Subgroups made AYP in Math 2009-2010 (Stranahan High School Reading Mastery: 43% Math Mastery: 74% Science Mastery: 37% Writing Mastery: 90% AYP: No Subgroups made AYP in Reading. No Subgroups made AYP in Math 2008-2009 Grade: Not Rated Reading Mastery: 10% Math Mastery: 25% Science Mastery: 0 % Writing Mastery: 68% AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading and Math. Grade: Not Rated Reading Mastery: 4% Math Mastery: 6% Science Mastery: 3% Writing Mastery: 60% AYP: There were no subgroups that made

					AYP in Reading and Math
Assis Principal	Sharon I. Grant	M.A. Educational Leadership (K- 12) Guidance (K-12) English (6-12	2	12	2010-2011 Grade: N/A 2009-2010 Grade: C (Piper) 08/09 performance- Piper HS grade was "C" with a score of 454. AYP status was NO- 72% of criteria met Science Mastery: 0 % Writing Mastery: 68% AYP: No subgroups met made AYP for Reading and Math. 2007-2008 Grade: Not Rated Reading Mastery: 4% Math Mastery: 6% Science Mastery: 3% Writing Mastery: 6% Science Mastery: 3% Writing Mastery: 60% AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Reading and Math. 2008-2009 FCAT Middle School Academy Program Reading: 19% met proficiency Math: 73% Writing: 74% met proficiency

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading	Mary Shaw	Degrees: Bachelor of Science in Elementary Education; Masters in Exceptional Student Education; Certifications: Early Childhood, Elementary Education, Exceptional Student Education, Gifted Endorsement, ESOL Endorsement, Reading (K-12)	12	7	2010-2011 Grade: Pompano Substance Abuse Center is a DJJ school and, therefore, does not receive a school grade. Reading Learning Gains (BASI): 48% (35) Math Learning Gains (BASI): 58% (43) AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading. 2009-2010 Reading Mastery: 13% AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading. 2008-2009 Reading Mastery: 15% AYP: No subgroups met AYP for Reading. 2007-2008 Reading Mastery: 4% AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Reading.
Math	Renee Hudson	Bachelor of Arts in Psychology; Masters in Curriculum and Instruction; Certified in Mathematic 5-9 and 6-12; Masters in Education Leadership	7	4	2010-2011 Grade: Pompano Substance Abuse Center is a DJJ school and, therefore, does not receive a school grade. Math Learning Gains (BASI): 58% (35) AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Math 2009-2010 Grade: Not Rated Math Mastery: 19% AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Math 2008-2009 Math Mastery: 25% AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Math 2007-2008 Math Mastery: 6% AYP: There were no subgroups that made AYP in Math
Reading Coach	Gladymir Veillard	Master of Science in Reading (K-12) Bachelor of Science in Elementary Education (K-6) with ESOL Endorsement Certified English (6-12)	1	1	New to Pompano Substance Abuse Center
		Bachelors of Arts in Marketing			

Science

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Lotoya

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	New Educator Support System (NESS)	Joan Sternberg	There are no new teachers assigned to this school, however, should new teachers be assigned, they will participate in NESS.	
2	Professional Study Days & Professional Learning Community	Sydney Culver	9/16/12, 10/14/12, 11/1/12, 12/6/12, 1/10/13, 1/10/13, 2/21/13, 3/14/13, 4/4/13	
3	Small Team Learning Community	Mary Shaw	6/6/2012	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
No data submitted	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
2	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	0.0%(0)	100.0%(2)	0.0%(0)	100.0%(2)	50.0%(1)	0.0%(0)	100.0%(2)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities

Mary Shaw	Gladymir Veillard	Ms. Veillard is a seasoned Reading teacher; however it is her first time at Pompano Substance Abuse Treatment (DJJ) site as a Reading Coach.	The mentor and mentee will meet bi- weekly to discuss instructional strategies that will meet the unique demands of youth housed in DJJ
Katie Moncrief	Lotoya Joseph-Brown	Ms. Joseph- Brown is a seasoned Science teacher; however it is her first time at Pompano Substance Abuse Treatment (DJJ) site as a Science Coach.	The mentor and mentee will meet bi- weekly to discuss instructional strategies that will meet the unique demands of youth housed in DJJ
Shelly Reid	David Walker	Mr. Walker is our new transition specialist at our DJJ sites. This is his first year as a transition specialist.	The mentor and mentee will meet weekly to discuss transitional plans and strategies for our DJJ students.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A

N/A		
Title I, Part C- Migrant		
N/A		
Title I, Part D		
Provide teacher assistantsUtilized to offer weekend tutorial program		

• Utilized to provide supplemental academic resources for content area and technology.

Title II

 N/A

 Title III

 N/A

 Title X- Homeless

 N/A

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

• Funds used to assist with salaries for substitute teachers

Violence Prevention Programs

N/A

Nutrition Programs

N/A

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

N/A

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

N/A	
Job Training	
N/A	
Other	
N/A	

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-based MTSS/Rtl Team-

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Sharon Grant, Assistant Principal Mark Howard, Assistant Principal Kendra Nichols, Guidance Director Sherley Pierre, Guidance Counselor-DJJ Linda Sacco, ESE Support Facilitator Shelly Reid, Transition Specialist Mary Shaw, Reading Coach Renee Hudson, Math Coach Regina Turner, Teacher Andre Newton, Teacher

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The case manager will facilitate bi-weekly meetings to discuss the performance of students that are under performing academically. Specifically, the DJJ treatment team model will be utilized to facilitate the RtI process. Information regarding academic and behavior will be gathered to discuss student progression. Recommendations for tiered interventions will be made and and implemented for a period of six weeks. Students that are not progressing and are not demonstrating sufficient progress will be recommended for more intensive tier 2 interventions. If necessary, students will receive tier 3 interventions. The RtI team will also review and monitor the effectiveness of the school-wide curriculum and tiered interventions to ensure the needs of the students are being addressed with fidelity.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI leadership team will work collaboratively with the School Advisory Council to monitor the school wide core curriculum goals and objectives outlined in the SIP. Specifically, they will be responsible for utilizing the FCIM to determine the effectiveness of the school-wide curriculum and make adjustments/recommendations to the school-wide curriculum. The RtI team will collaborate with the leadership team and provide reports at SAC meetings. RtI team members will also use this opportunity to provide an overview of the RtI process at the SAC meetings.

MTSS Implementation-

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Baseline Data: Academic data is obtained from a variety of sources including: Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PRMN)using the FAIR, Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT), Broward Assessment Test (BAT 1), for reading, math, and science, mini assessments, content area pre-tests, Fluency Probes, work samples, teacher made quizzes, Diagnostic Assessment for Reading (DAR), SAN DIEGO World List, and the BASI(reading, math, and writing). Mid Year data: BAT 2 data, mini assessments, work samples, teacher made test and quizzes. End of the Year: FCAT data, content area post tests: DAR and Fluency Probes post test. Data is to be collected on a weekly basis. It will be summarized and recorded on data forms, graphs and in the school based data system.

Behavioral Data: DMS, review of student records, interviews, conferences, and observations.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Members of the RtI team will be trained during pre-planning week by Dr. Angela Brinson. The focus of the training will address the RtI process, collecting and graphing data and intervention strategies. Additional staff training will be facilitated during PLC's by the RtI team members.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team-

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

David Watkins, Principal Mark Howard, Assistant Principal DJJ Liz Tatum, Assistant Principal Mary Shaw, Reading Coach Olga Wilson, Reading Coach Erin Mixon, Reading Teacher Carrie Palmer, ESE Support Facilitator Jaeneane Chiffone, ESE Specialist Belinda Smith, ESOL Coordinator Renee Hudson, Math Coach/Department Chair Katie Moncrief, Science Coach/Department Chair Sylvester Robinson, Lead Teacher-DJJ Paul Tyson, Lead Teacher-DJJ Sydney Culver, English Department Chair Debra Trohatos-Rosenberg, Media Specialist Gayle Holland, Vocational Department Chair

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT will meet monthly and as needed to address school wide literacy needs, monitor progress of focus calendars/curriculum, provide support for instructional personnel, and facilitate best practice sharing via professional learning communities and mini-workshop sessions ("bite sessions"). The LLT will utilize the FCIM to monitor the effectiveness of literacy initiatives. Each member of the LLT will ensure that the literacy component is infused into all content areas and adequately meets the unique needs of students in our various programs. Team members will also provide data driven feedback and will make adjustments in regards to the curriculum area they monitor.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

Over 90% of our students performed below level three proficiency. Our major area of focus will to effectively monitor the implementation of the K-12 Comprehensive Reading Plan. Efforts will be made to progress monitor students in all identified subgroups with frequent administrative, teacher, and student data chats. Additionally, professional development, the instructional focus calendar, reading and writing initiatives, and increasing the effective use of reading strategies across all

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

All Pompano Substance Abuse Center instructors are responsible for integrating reading strategies into daily instruction. All teachers are encouraged to become reading endorsed. In addition, teachers who qualify will benefit from NGCAR-PD training. The reading literacy team will work in conjunction with the leadership team to develop and implement initiatives that will enhance students' ability to improve their literacy skills. Administration will utilize informal walk through tools to observe the use of reading strategies into classes with feedback in order to enhance instruction. All content area teachers will participate in professional learning communities with a focus on unwrapping reading benchmarks in order to target instruction and infusing reading strategies during instruction. The Reading Coaches and PLC facilitators will coach, model, and monitor all instructional staff on the implementation of reading and writing instruction, which will include daily and on-going support. Reading coaches will also work with staff to become CRISS and/or MCREL trained. Administrators and coaches will monitor the implementation of IFC's and assessments. The use of Weekly Reader, Scholastics, and DEAR, are a few programs and activities that will be utilized to enhance to supplement the learning process.

Upon entry into Pompano Substance Abuse Center all students receive a battery of assessments that will assist in personalizing and individualizing the educational experience for all youth assigned to this DJJ program. Both a career inventory and CHOICES are administered to obtain insight to students' strengths, weaknesses, and interests. Counselors utilize this information to meet with students to develop an academic plan, while instructional staff will use this information to develop short and long term academic goals. Mentor opportunities, guest presenters, Florida Ready to Work, etc. are also used to promote academic and career planning. The BRACE advisor will meet with students to provide an overview of college readiness and access to vocational/technical schools. The BRACE Advisor will schedule a career day with specific invited post-secondary and professional organizations for students to meet. The BRACE Advisor/counselors will hold informational meetings with students to discuss relevant career and college planning overviews. The BRACE Advisor will arrange visits by college/university and technical school reps. Select students will be encouraged to take coursework via APEX and Florida Virtual School to accelerate credit recovery and obtain pre-requisites for post-secondary opportunities.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

The relationship between subjects and the relevance to students' future is made possible by utilizing a variety of means such as discussions, student made projects, technology integration, course work, and guest presentations. Due to the nature of DJJ academic programs there are several limitations that hinder the implementation of certain resources and courses that can be taught. Access to the Broward Educational Enterprise Portal (BEEP) is a resource that enables both instructors and students the opportunity to access a library of digital resources in lieu of field trips and limited hands on opportunities. BEEP contains curriculum lesson plans, remedial tutorials, and many other applications that are aligned to the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards. BEEP will be incorporated into the daily instructional lesson to expose students to applications such as virtual labs and the Junior Achievement curriculum. Junior Achievement is designed to provide students with hands on experience in developing knowledge of entrepreneurship and financial literacy. This program will be facilitated by a school based staff utilizing the "train the trainer" model.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Guidance department meets ASCA counselor/student ratio of 250 to 1. AGP goals in alignment with ASCA, state, and district career, social and personal development initiatives.

Counselors meet with student regularly and follow Policy 6000.1 Student Procedural Guidelines for promotion and acceleration mechanisms.

Counselors will meet with students annually to review FACTS.org postsecondary planning guide (ePEP) per FL statute.

All 8th grade students complete an ePEP per FL statute.

Counselors meet with students weekly to discuss academic and personal goals. This includes face-to-face reviewing of course selections for the subsequent school year.

Due to large Haitian population, an interpreter is available for parent/student information programs. ParentLink and other electronic services are provided in English and Creole.

All 10th grade students take the PSAT.

Eligible 11th and 12th grade students were administered the CPT exam.

11th and 12th grades students are highly encouraged to take the ACT and SAT exams.

ACT prep (Princeton Review) is offered as enrichment classes during school day.

Eligible juniors and seniors are given opportunities to enroll in shared-time vocational programs local technical training centers.

Select 11th and 12th grade students attended the College Fair.

BRACE Advisor will host a career day with specific post-secondary and professional organizations for students.

BRACE Advisor holds monthly informational meeting with students to discuss relevant career and college planning issues.

BRACE Advisor will schedule monthly college/university and technical school visits.

Career technical students will take industry certification tests.

Select students are encouraged to take coursework via APEX to accelerate credit recovery.

All juniors and seniors are screened and notified of Bright Futures requirements.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School</u> <u>Feedback Report</u>

There is not any data from the High School Feedback Report available for Pompano Substance Abuse Center. Students enrolled in Pompano Substance Abuse Center receive academic and career counseling from the guidance counselor and BRACE advisor. The guidance counselor develops an academic program that is personalized and individualized in order to meet the needs of each youth. Students are counseled based on an initial career inventory that was assessed during the youth's intake. During these sessions youth are informed of vocational opportunities and post secondary options available after graduation. Students will also create user accounts for FLChoices.org to access resources that will allow them to explore career and academic programs. Guest presenters from various colleges such as Broward College will also provide an overview of enrollment requirements, academic majors, and financial aid. Students will also prepare for postsecondary opportunities by recovering credits, taking college readiness courses, and accessing Florida Virtual School. Students will also have access to ACT and SAT prep, as well as, the ASVAB test.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	provement for the following CAT2.0: Students scorin	g at Achievement Level 3	By June, 2013				
readi Read	ing. ling Goal #1a:		5 through 12 wi learning gains ir	of the students in grade 5 through 12 will make learning gains in reading on the FCAT Assessment			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
of the 5 thro earni	7%(78) e students in grade bugh 12 will make ing gains in ng on the FCAT Assessmer			in grade II make າ FCAT Assessment			
	Pr	roblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too		
1	1.1 Students receiving insufficient instruction in reading strategies that increase comprehension.		Reading Coach Mary Shaw Reading Coach Gladymir Veillard Science Coach Lotoya Joseph- Brown	 1.1. *On-going data chats *Progress monitoring data reports and analysis *Weekly small learning community meetings and collaboration conducted by the reading coach *Professional development follow up *Classroom walkthroughs and informal observations with feedback *Site-based Reading Coaches, Reading Resource teacher and Science Coach will provide professional development based on differentiated instruction and aligning instruction to the common core. Teacher and student needs at each school. 	1.1. *Florida Assessments for *Instruction in Reading (FAIR) * Reading Endorsement *Progress Monitoring and Data Analysis * Mini benchmark Assessments *Benchmarks Assessments * FCAT 2.0 *Common Assessment (WIN		
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2	1.2.		

2	Students lack exposure to higher-order questioning during classroom instruction	 *Teachers will utilize higher order questioning stems and solicit feedback from students during daily instruction. * Group and individual remediation and reading intervention. *Teachers will use chucking to help the student understand the text. *Effective modeling of reading and comprehension strategies 		*On-going data chats and On-going progress monitoring data reports and analysis *Weekly small learning community meetings and collaboration conducted by the reading coach *Professional development follow up *Classroom walkthroughs and informal observations with feedback *Site-based reading coaches provide professional development based on differentiated teacher and student needs at each school	* Benchmarks Assessments *FCAT 2.0 Common Assessment (WIN)
3	1.3. Students lack exposure to higher-order questioning during classroom instruction	 1.3. *Students will cite thorough textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as Inferences drawn from the text. *Determine the meaning of words and phrases as they are used in the text, including figurative, connotative, and technical meanings, analyze the cumulative impact of specific word choices on meaning and tone. Daily essential questions with opportunities for Feedback *Small group and Individual remediation and reading intervention Effective modeling of reading and Comprehension strategies 	Sharon Grant Reading Coach Mary Shaw Reading Coach Gladymir Veillard Science Coach Lotoya Joseph- Brown	and informal observations with feedback *Site-based reading coaches provide	 1.3. * Instruction in Reading (FAIR) * Reading Endorsement * Progress Monitoring and Data Analysis * Mini benchmarks Assessments * Benchmarks Assessments * FCAT 2.0 * Common Assessment (WIN)

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and re of improvement for the following group:	ference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Droblem Solving Dropped to	a lagrage Student Ashievement

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

	d on the analysis of studer provement for the following	nt achievement data, and r g group:	eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	lefine areas in need
Leve	CAT 2.0: Students scori I 4 in reading. ling Goal #2a:	ng at or above Achievem	By June 2011, 2	20 % of the students will e 2011 administration of the	
2012	2 Current Level of Perform	mance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:	
No da	ata available			dents in grade 3 through 10 eading on the 2011 adminis	
	Ρ	roblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of high order instruction to meet the needs of level 4 and 5 students.	Novel Studies promoting research projects base learning Reading strategies are taught across the content area. Using technology to gather research information on assigned topics. Unit studies that include comprehension, discussion, think pair share, and writing activities, etc	Mark Howard State Reading Coach: Mary Shaw Title I Reading	Teachers will participate in professional learning communities Assistant Principal and Reading Coach will conduct weekly classroom walk troughs to ensure students are receiving differentiated instructions. Administrators and Reading Coach will review the mini benchmark assessment bi-weekly.	2011 FCAT, FAIR Assessment, Mini Benchmark Assessments Six Traits Writing Rubric Credit Reports BASI Assessment
2	Lack of student motivation to work at higher levels	Provide incentives and encourage students to work at higher level of expectations. Integrate technology, infuse project based learning activities. Assign a monthly theme project base learning to your level 4 & 5 to work	project based learning activities. Assign a monthly	classroom walk throughs Reading Coach will provide professional development for research based projects. Reading Coach and Assistant Principal will	Six Traits Writing Rubric Project Base Learning Rubric Formal & Informal test Teacher made test

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

independently as a small theme project base monitor teachers

learning to your

small study group

The Administrator will

instruction is included in

the lesson pla

level 4 & 5 to work check lesson plans to see

independently as a that higher level

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment:

Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading.

study group

Reading Goal #2b:

2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Expe	ected Level of Performar	nce:
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Nc) Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #3a:	By June 2011 25% (6) of students in grades 3 through 10 will make learning gains in reading on the administration of FACT Reading.
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
No data available	25% (6) of the students in grades 3 through 10 will make learning gains in reading on the 2011 administration of the FCAT

٦

Г

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of reading support in content areas.	CRISS strategies with teachers that support the content area text. Continue to use research	Mark Howard	support the content area teachers with feedback on their use of CRISS/McCrel reading strategies. Reading Coach will visit	Mini Benchmarks Instructional Focus calendar Daily lesson plans
2	Students Limited use of background knowledge	strategies for understanding Teacher best practice sharing during common planning Monitoring Comprehension- use	Mark Howard State Reading Coach: Mary Shaw Title I Reading	The Reading coach will monitor the K-12 use of the K-12 reading plan The coach will encourage content area teachers to become CAR-Pd certified. Assistant principal and reading coach will conduct classroom walk through' Review Instructional Focus Calendar	Mini Benchmarks Instructional Focus calendar Daily lesson plans
	Students limited abilities to use strategies to comprehend text	understanding	Principal: David Watkins Assistant Principal: Mark Howard	Data from the District Benchmark Assessment Test (BAT) will be used to identify weakness and	BAT 1&2 2011 FCAT Mini Benchmarks Instructional Focus

3	practice during common planning. Pompano Substance Abuse Center will progress monitor the level 3's students using The FAIR Florida Assessment of Instructional Reading. Pairing technology with instruction for DATA Chats. Use graphic organizers to help build background knowledge Using the FAIR resources to re-teach/remediate benchmarks as needed. Participate in DEAR (Drop Everything And Read) Novel Studies to motivate the levels 3 student	Coach: Mary Shaw Title I Reading Coach: Lashawnda Buckner	strength of students. CRISS/McCrel reading strategies taught across the content, in English, Science, and Social Studies. Use the EDGE Cluster Benchmark test Classroom walk throughs	calendar Daily lesson plan
---	---	--	---	-------------------------------

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the fo		ent data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.					
Reading Goal #3b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solv	ving Process to I	ncrease S ⁻	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	-	No Data S	Submitted		

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:			grade 3 through	By June 2011, 20% (5)of the students in the lowest 25% of grade 3 through 10 will make learning gains in reading on the 2011 administration of the FCAT		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
No data available				20 of the students in the lowest 25% of grades 3 through 10 will make learning gains in reading on the administration of the FCAT.		
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	Lack of re-teaching skills to students performing below mastery	Utilizing FCIM to identify students for re-teaching and tutorials Provide FCIM training Use comprehension core and intensive reading program. Use Tier I, II, III instruction, lesson sequence to meet the needs of on level learners, advance learners, below level learners, English - language learners, and ESE learners. Use immediate intervention.	Mark Howard State Reading Coach: Mary Shaw Title I Reading	Conducting Classroom walk through Student-teacher data chats Teacher feedback to students Reading Coach data chats with teachers	Mini Benchmarks Instructional Focus calendar Daily lesson plans BAT 1 & 2 2011 FCAT FAIR Assessment
2	Lack of engagement among students performing below proficiency	Integrate activities and strategies that address the needs of students performing below proficiency Share best practices across content areas Using research based reading program EDGE A, B, & C for High school students. Also, Reward and Read XI for Middle School. Use the Seven Reading Strategies taught in the EDGE curriculum: Plan and Monitor Determine Important Make Inference Ask Questions Make Connections Synthesize Visualize	Mark Howard State Reading	Administration and Reading Coach Conduct classroom walk throughs Student-teacher data chats Teacher feedback Reading Coach data chats with teachers	Mini Benchmarks Instructional Focus calendar Daily lesson plans BAT 1 & 2 2011 FCAT FAIR Assessment
3	Lack of tiered instructions	The classes are 75 minutes block using the Florida Formula for Reading Success. Plan before, during and post reading instructions. Use comprehension core and intensive reading program. Use Tier I, II, III instruction, lesson sequence to meet the needs of on level learners, advance learners, below level learners, English - language learners, and ESE learners. Use immediate intervention	Mark Howard State Reading	Administration and Reading Coach Conduct classroom walk through. Student-teacher data chats Teacher feedback Reading Coach data chats with teachers	Mini Benchmarks Instructional Focus calendar Daily lesson plans BAT 1 & 2 2011 FCAT FAIR Assessment

Based on	Ambitious but	Achievable	Annual	Measurable	Objectives	(AMOs),	AMO-2,	Reading and Math Performance Tar	rget

Γ

Reading Goal #

5A :

*

-

	ine data)-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	4	2014-201	5	2015-201	6	2016-2017
			dent achieveme ving subgroup:	ent data, and re	eferen	ce to "Guiding	g Ques	tions", identify	and c	define areas in nee
Hispa satisf	nic, Asia	n, American rogress in re	ethnicity (Wh Indian) not m ading.		m		yearly	progress in re		ogroup grade will on the 2010
2012	Current	Level of Perf	ormance:		2	013 Expected	d Leve	l of Performa	ince:	
No dat	ta availak	ble						y subgroup wil g on the 2010		e adequate yearly histration
			Problem-Sol	ving Process 1	to I nc	rease Studer	nt Ach	ievement		
	Antici	ipated Barrie	r Str	rategy	Res	Person or Position ponsible for Ionitoring		rocess Used t Determine ffectiveness o Strategy		Evaluation Toc
		strategies are ht across the m.	activities s introduces vocabulary previewing structure, o skills, activ knowledge graphic org During read After Readi Silent Read Differentiat	uch as, new , word study, text, text organization ating prior and using anizer. ding activities ing activities ing ed Instruction in intensive ibraries ach will secondary	Princi Watk Assis Mark State Coacl Title Coacl Buckr	pal: David ins tant Principal: Howard Reading h: Mary Shaw I Reading h: Lashawnda	readir condu classr Admir lessor inclus	dministrator and ng coach will uct through oom walk thro nistrator will ch n plans for the ion of reading	ugh ieck	Mini Benchmarks Instructional Foc calendar Daily lesson plans Secondary Focus Calendar Student work samples Administrator observations BAT 1 & 2 2011 FCAT
	2 Studer motivatio	nts lack on to read	Pull out(s) (s) Think pair s	o instructions and/or push in share cussion about gs review rtfolios e for silent ach will secondary	Watk Assis Mark State Coacl Title	tant Principal: Howard Reading h: Mary Shaw I Reading h: Lashawnda	readir condu classr Admir lessor inclus strate The R admir	oom walk thro histrator will ch h plans for the ion of reading	ugh neck & onitor	Mini Benchmarks Instructional Foc calendar Daily lesson plans Secondary Focus Calendar Student work samples Administrator observations BAT 1 & 2 2011 FCAT
	Lack of e among s performir proficien	ng below	Integrate a strategies	practices	Watk Assis ⁻ Mark State Coacl		Readi Condu walk Stude chats	nistration and ng Coach ucting Classroo through ent-teacher da ner feedback to	ita	Mini Benchmarks Instructional Foc calendar Daily lesson plan Secondary Focus Calendar Student work

2		Coach: Lashawnda	samples Administrator
3		Buckner	Administrator
	Use Tier II, III		observations
	instruction, lesson		BAT 1 & 2
	sequences to meet the		2011 FCAT
	needs of on level		
	learners, and I advance		
	learners, below learners,		
	and English – language		
	learners.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making

N/A

2013 Expected Level of Performance:

Reading	Goal	#5C:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

satisfactory progress in reading.

N/A

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Students will be appropriately assigned based on the struggling readers chart	Administration Guidance	Master Schedule review	Master Schedule

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
of improvement for the following subgroup:5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making
satisfactory progress in reading.By June 2011, 25% (6)of the students with disabilities
subgroup grade 3 through 10 will make adequate yearly
progress in reading on the 2010 administration of the FCAT.2012 Current Level of Performance:2013 Expected Level of Performance:No data available25% of the students with disabilities subgroup grade 3
through 10 will make adequate yearly progress in the reading
on the 2010 administration

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Teachers effectively utilizing accommodations to address learner needs	receive support from the ESE specialist and ESE support facilitator to provide assistance to students in SWD subgroup. Teachers who instruct Students with Disabilities (SWD) will include the use of ESE strategies to	Assistant Principal: Mark Howard State Reading Coach: Mary Shaw Title I Reading Coach: Lashawnda Buckner	Reading Coach Conduct classroom walk through. Student-teacher data chats Teacher feedback Reading Coach data chats with teachers Progress reports	assessments, mini assessment, BAT, or Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)

		learner.			
2	Students lack ability to perform at grade level	current IEP Teachers will be familiar	ESE Specialist D. Whack,ESE Support Assistant Principal: Mark Howard State Reading Coach: Mary Shaw	Administration and Reading Coach Conduct classroom walk through. Student-teacher data	assessments, mini assessment, BAT, or Florida Assessment for Instruction in Reading (FAIR)

 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.

 Reading Goal #5E:

 2012 Current Level of Performance:

 2013 Expected Level of Performance:

 By June 2011, 20% (4) of the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup will make adequate yearly progress in reading on the 2011 administration of the FCAT.

 No data available
 By June 2011, 20% (4) of the Economically Disadvantaged Subgroup will make adequate yearly progress in reading on the 2011 administration of the FCAT.

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Students lack of access Teachers will have Principal: David Students will be assessed FAIR (OPM) and to reading and technology embedded Watkins using FAIR (OPM) three DAR data when appropriate will be technology out side into their instruction. Assistant Principal: times annually and bi-The FCAT, FAIR, Oral weekly mini- benchmark used to determine school. Mark Howard Reading Fluency and DAR State Reading assessments. student progress. assessment data will be Coach: Mary Shaw Computer logs Completed project 1 used to determine the Title I Reading Monitor by Reading base lessons Student Works model of instruction, Coach: Lashawnda Coach, Mary Shaw Student's folders guided and independent Buckner Administrator, Mark practice delivered. Howard Use supplemental materials from the Struggling Reading Chart. Lack of instructional Develop a secondary Principal: David Data from the District istrict Benchmark reading strategies school instructional Focus Watkins Benchmark Assessment Assessments and calendar that will include Assistant Principal: wide. Test (BAT) will be used Mini assessments target areas of Mark Howard to identify skill FAIR Assessment instruction within the State Reading deficiencies. (OPM) 2011 FCAT benchmarks. Provide Coach: Mary Shaw CRISS/McCrel strategies staff development and Title I Reading will be utilized to assist resource support. Coach: Lashawnda students. Weekly Mini CRISS/McCrel strategies Buckner assessments and EDGE will be taught in Science, cluster benchmark Social Studies, English assessments. 2 and Reading classes Teachers will be monitored and observed for implementation of the District K-12 Comprehension Reading Plan components in lesson plans and practice by administration. Conduct Classroom walk throughs

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
			No Data Submitted			

Reading Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
onatogy			Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data Submitted		

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
2. Students scoring pr	2. Students scoring proficient in reading.						
CELLA Goal #2:							
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in r	reading:					
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to Increase S	itudent Achievement				
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	No Data Submitted						

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.							
3. Students scoring pro	3. Students scoring proficient in writing.						
CELLA Goal #3:							
2012 Current Percent	of Students Proficient in w	vriting:					
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase S	Student Achievement				
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
	No Data Submitted						

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of CELLA Go

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

IU. I CAIZ	2.0: Students scoring	g at Achievement Level 3	3 in				
mathemat Mathemat	itics. tics Goal #1a:			To increase the percentage of students achieving level 3 proficiency on the 2011 administration of the FCAT.			
2012 Curr	rent Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
No Data			No Data	No Data			
	Pro	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
Ar	nticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
popu not h to co stand	to our transient ulation, teachers may have an opportunity over all the idards outlined in the iculum with the	 1.1. * Utilize the district pacing guide/IFC * Compass Odyssey * Continuous monitoring of student progress. * FCAT Prep/Remediation Sessions. 	1.1. Sharon Grant (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach)	1.1. * Observations * Data Chats * PLC Meetings	 1.1. * Math FCAT * Teacher- made/District Assessments * Progress Reports * GED Report 6. Teacher-made Assessments 		
facili	a chats are not itated frequently with students.	 1.2. * Conduct quarterly data chats with students and teachers. * Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt. 	1.2. Sharon Grant (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach) Math Teachers Leadership Team	 1.2. * Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school-wide data. * Teachers will conduct data chats with students each quarter. 3. Teachers will conduct data chats with students each semester. 	1.2. * Data Chat Logs * Student Self Assessment		

Mathematics Goal #1b:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

2013 Expected Level of Performance	normanice.
------------------------------------	------------

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and c	define areas in need		
Level	CAT 2.0: Students scorin 4 in mathematics. ematics Goal #2a:	ig at or above Achievem	Students will pa are aligned with	nt Students will participate in daily instructional activities that are aligned with the instructional focus calendar and the next generation sushine state standards.			
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:			
No Da	ita		No Data				
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	and if teachers are unable to differentiate instruction or incorporate rigor into their lessons for these students their achievement level may decrease.		Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach)	 CWT Data Chats PLC Meetings 	 District Math BAT 1 and BAT 2 In-House Mini- BAT Assessments Lesson Study Plan Math FCAT Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams Teacher-made Assessments 		
	Lack of student awareness on their academic progress.	1. Conduct data chats with students and teachers.	Mark Howard (Assistant Principal)	1. Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school-wide data.	 Data Chat Logs Student Self Assessment 		
2		2. Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt.	Renee Hudson (Math Coach) Math Teachers	 Math Coach will meet with math teachers to discuss student data. Teachers will conduct data chats with students 			
			Leadership Team	each semester.			

of improvement for the fo	llowing group:				
2b. Florida Alternate As Students scoring at or a mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2b:		vel 7 in			
2012 Current Level of P		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving P	rocess to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	•	Submitted	•		

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
gains	CAT 2.0: Percentage of s in mathematics. ematics Goal #3a:	tudents making learning	To increase the	To increase the percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains on the 2011 administration of FCAT Math.		
2012	Current Level of Perform	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
No Da	ta		No Data	No Data		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Studer	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Teachers may not utilize supplemental resources that are available to them to provide students with mathematical instruction.	and secondary Instructional Focus	Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach)	1. CWT 2. Data Chats 3. PLC Meetings	 District Math BAT 1 and BAT 2 In-House Mini- BAT Assessments Lesson Study Plan Math FCAT Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams Teacher-made Assessments Progress Reports 	

. concepts.

6. The Math Coach will be available to assist math teachers with

helping students acquire basic and higher level skills, high yield instructional

	strategies, the u graphic organize other materials p by research-bas programs.	rs, and produced					
Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo		ita, and refer	rence to "Gu	uiding Questions", ider	ntify and define areas in need		
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #3b:							
2012 Current Level of P	erformance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
				tudent Achievement			
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted							

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refe of improvement for the following group:	rence to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need					
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #4:	Students in lowest 25% will demonstrate learning gains in math.					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
No Data	No Data					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Person or Process Used to					

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	The curriculum is competency based and students work at their own pace. Students may not cover all the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) in the curriculum to demonstrate learning gains during the 2010- 2011 school year.	2. Understanding Math	Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach)	1. CWT 2. Data Chats 3. PLC Meetings	 District Math BAT 1 and BAT 2 In-House Mini- BAT Assessments Lesson Study Plan Math FCAT Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams Teacher-made Assessments Progress Reports
I		important parts of			

concepts.	
6. The Math Coach will be available to assist math teachers with helping students acquire basic and higher level skills, high yield instructional strategies, the use of graphic organizers, and other materials produced by research- based programs.	

Based on Amb	itious but Achi	evable Annual	Measurable Objectiv	es (AMOs), AMO-2, I	Reading and Math Pe	erformance Target
5A. Ambitious Measurable Ob school will red by 50%.	jectives (AMO	s). In six year	Middle School Math	ematics Goal #		×
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
 Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and refer of improvement for the following subgroup: 5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5B: 			nite, Black, naking	nce to "Guiding Ques		
2012 Current Level of Performance:				2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
No Data				No Data		
		Problem-Sol	ving Process to I n	crease Student Ach	nievement	

		<u> </u>			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Due to the competency- based curriculum students from all AYP ethnicity subgroups (White, Black, and Hispanic) may not be able to review all the NGSS Standards with their teachers prior to the end of the school year.	 Modify student assignments and utilize math-learning plans. Use visual organizers to cue important parts of concepts. Implement the secondary Instructional Focus Calendar (IFC) Continuously monitor student progress. The Math Coach will be available to assist math teachers with helping students 	Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach)	1. CWT 2. Data Chats 3. PLC Meetings	 District Math BAT 1 and BAT 2 In-House Mini- BAT Assessments Lesson Study Plan Math FCAT Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams Teacher-made Assessments Progress Report

		acquire basic and higher level skills, high yield instructional strategies, the use of graphic organizers, and other materials produced by research- based programs.			
2	Teachers may not provide students with multiple opportunities to assess and apply what they have learned.	 Model the use of metacognition (problem solving learning strategies). Teach problem solving strategies. Students will attend weekly Math Lab sessions to utilize the Understanding Math Software program. Review NGSSS problems of the day to build and increase students mathematical background knowledge. 	Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach)	 CWT Teacher Observation PLC Meetings Student-Teacher Conference 	 District Math BAT 1 and BAT 2 In-House Mini- BAT Assessments Lesson Study Plan Math FCAT Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams Teacher-made Assessments Student Self- Assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5C. English Language Le satisfactory progress in	ing				
Mathematics Goal #5C:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving P	rocess to L	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #5D:			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted				

	l on the analysis of studen provement for the following	t achievement data, and r g subgroup:	eference to "Guiding	g Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
satisf	conomically Disadvanta factory progress in math ematics Goal #5E:	ged students not making nematics.		To increase student proficiency for this AYP subgroup.		
2012	Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expected	d Level of Performance:		
No Da	ita		No Data	No Data		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students may not be placed in appropriate courses based on their achievement level.	 Pretest identified students using STAR Math or the GMADE. Based on the data obtained from the FCAT and alternative assessments along with recommendations from the math matrix, struggling students will be placed in pre-algebra or liberal arts courses. 	Mark Howard (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach) Kendra Nichols (Guidance Director)	1. Data Review of student progress on a monthly basis.	 District BAT 1 and BAT 2 In-House Mini- BAT Assessments Lesson Study Plan Math FCAT Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exams Teacher-made Assessments Student Self- Assessments Alternate Assessments (STAR Math and GMADE) 	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		
 Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: 		
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:	

	Problem-Solving Proc	ess to Increase S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Florida Alternate As or above Level 7 in ma	ssessment: Students scori athematics.	ing at			
Mathematics Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	nance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy For		on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3. Florida Alternate As making learning gains		of students			
Mathematics Goal #3:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving F	Process to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement	
for			Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Gui	iding Qu	estions", identify and	define areas in need
1. St	udents scoring at Achiev	rement Level 3 in Algebra	a.			
Algel	bra Goal #1:		Increase th	e studer	nts scoring level 3 by	2%.
2012	2 Current Level of Perforr	nance:	2013 Expe	ected Le	vel of Performance:	
Pendi	ing data		Pending dat	ta		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process	to Increase Stu	udent Ad	chievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible Monitoring	for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	1.1. Multiple levels of student learners are grouped in each course.	instruction using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. * Utilize the district pacing guide/ Instructional Focus Calendar (IFC) to support direct instruction by identifying assessed benchmarks and administering assessments aligned with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) and Common Core State Standards (CCSS). * Use test data to monitor student progress and areas needing re-teaching for continuous progress and provide accommodations and modifications to the curriculum. * Incorporate the use of technology into daily instruction (High School Compass Odyssey, Understanding Math, Resources from the Online Textbook and Math Wiki). * Engage students in Algebra End-of Course (EOC) prep/remediation sessions.	1.1. Sharon Grant (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach) Math Teachers	1.1 * C Thr * D Cor Mee	lassroom Walk- ough (CWT) Data Chats rofessional Learning nmunity (PLC) etings	 1.1. * Lesson Study Plan * Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exam * Teacher- made/District Assessments * Student Self- Assessment * Student Progress Report * GED Report
	1.2. Data chats are not	1.2. * Conduct quarterly data	1.2. Sharon Grant	1.2 * L	eadership Team will	1.2. * Data Chat Logs

	facilitated frequently with			meet monthly to discuss	
	the students.	teachers.	X	school-wide	
			Principal)	data.	* Student Self
2		* Virtual Counselor			Assessment
2		Student Data Scavenger	Renee Hudson	* Math Coach will meet	
		Hunt.	(Math Coach)	with math teachers to	
				discuss student data.	
			Math Teachers		
				* Teachers will conduct	
			Leadership Team		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.	Algebra Goal #2:
Algebra Goal #2:	Increase the number of students achieving Level 4 and 5 by 6%
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
Pending data	Pending data

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
	2.1. Teachers may not be familiar with the skills, rigor and strategies needed to instruct learners who are currently performing a level 4 or 5	 2.1. * Integrate differentiated instruction using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. * Utilize the district pacing guide/ IFC to support direct instruction by identifying assessed benchmarks and administering assessments aligned with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS)/Common Core State Standards (CCSS). * Engage students in Algebra End-of Course prep/remediation sessions. * Provide students with online learning activities that are academically challenging. 	Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach) Math Teachers	2.1. * I-Observations * Data Chats * PLC Meetings	 2.1. * Algebra End of Course (EOC) Exa * Teacher- made/District Assessments * Student Progres Reports * GED Report 				
	2.2. Data chats are not facilitated frequently with the students.	 2.2. * Conduct data chats with students and teachers. * Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt. 	2.2. Sharon Grant (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach)	 2.2. * Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school-wide data. * Math Coach will meet with math teachers to 	2.2. * Data Chat Logs * Student Self Assessment				

Leade	ership Team * Teachers will conduct data chats with students each semester.	
-------	--	--

Based on Amb	itious but Ac	chievable Annual	Measurable O	bjective	es (AMOs), AMO-2, F	Reading and Ma	th Performance T	arget
3A. Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.			Algebra Goal	#					4
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2 2012-2013	2013-20)14	2014	4-2015	2015-2016	2016-2	017
Based on the a of improvement	analysis of s nt for the foll	tudent achievem	ent data, and	referen	ice to "Gu	uiding Ques	tions", identify	and define areas	in need
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra. Algebra Goal #3B:									
2012 Current		erformance:		2	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
		Problem-Sol	ving Process	s to I no	rease St	udent Ach	ievement		
Anticipated E	Anticipated Barrier Strategy For		Person Positio Respor for Monito	n nsible	Process L Determin Effectiver Strategy	e	Evaluation Too	1	
			No	Data Su	bmitted				

Based on the analysis of s of improvement for the fo	student achievement data, an Ilowing subgroup:	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identi	fy and define areas in need
3C. English Language Lo satisfactory progress in					
Algebra Goal #3C:					
2012 Current Level of P		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to l	ncrease St	udent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of of improvement for the for		nt data, and refere	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need
	3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.				
Algebra Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solv	ring Process to L	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	-	No Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
	3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.				
Algebra Goal #3E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Pro	ocess to Li	ncrease St	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.

Increase the number of student scoring a level 3 by 5%.

2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A			N/A					
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
1	1.1. Multiple levels of student learners are grouped in each course.	Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. * Utilize the district pacing guide/ Instructional Focus Calendar (IFC) to support direct instruction by identifying assessed benchmarks and administering assessments aligned with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS) and Common Core State Standards (CCSS). * Use test data to monitor student progress and areas needing re-teaching for continuous progress and provide accommodations and modifications to the curriculum. * Incorporate the use of technology into daily instruction (High School Compass Odyssey, Understanding Math, Resources from the Online Textbook and Math Wiki). * Engage students in Geometry End-of Course (EOC) prep/remediation	1.1. Sharon Grant (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach) Math Teachers	Strategy 1.1. * Classroom Walk-Through (CWT) * Data Chats * Professional Learning Community (PLC) Meetings	 1.1. * Lesson Study Plan * Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exam * Teacher- made/District Assessments * Student Self- Assessment * Student Progress Report * GED Report 			
	1.2. Data chats are not facilitated frequently with the students.	sessions. 1.2. * Conduct quarterly data chats with students and teachers. * Virtual Counselor Student Data	1.2. Sharon Grant (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach)	 1.2. * Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school-wide data. * Math Coach will meet 	1.2. * Data Chat Logs * Student Self Assessment			

		* Teachers will conduct data chats with students each quarter.	
--	--	---	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.Geometry Goal #2:	Increase the number of students achieving Level 4 and 5 proficiency to 6%.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
2012 Current Level of Performance: * N/A	Increase the number of students achieving Level 4 and 5 proficiency to 6%.				

	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	2.1. Teachers may not be familiar with the skills, rigor and strategies needed to instruct learners who are currently performing at level 4 or 5.	 2.1. * Integrate differentiated instruction using the Florida Continuous Improvement Model (FCIM) and Marzano's High Yield Strategies. * Utilize the district pacing guide/ IFC to support direct instruction by identifying assessed benchmarks and administering assessments aligned with Next Generation Sunshine State Standards (NGSSS)/Common Core State Standards (CCSS). * Engage students in Geometry End-of Course prep/remediation sessions. * Provide students with online learning activities that are academically challenging. 		2.1. * I-Observations * Data Chats * PLC Meetings	2.1. * Geometry End of Course (EOC) Exam * Teacher- made/District Assessments * Student Progress Reports * GED Report
2	2.2. Data chats are not facilitated frequently with the students.	 2.2. * Conduct quarterly data chats with students and teachers. * Virtual Counselor Student Data Scavenger Hunt. 	2.2 Sharon Grant (Assistant Principal) Renee Hudson (Math Coach) Math Teachers	 2.2. * Leadership Team will meet monthly to discuss school-wide data. * Math Coach will meet with math teachers to discuss student data. * Teachers will conduct 	

data chats with
students each
guarter.

Based on Ambitious bu Target	ut Achievable	e Annual Measurab	ole Obje	ectives (A	MOs), Al	MO-2, Reading	and Math Performance
3A. Ambitious but Ach Annual Measurable Ob (AMOs). In six year sc reduce their achievem 50%.	Geometry Goal #					×	
Baseline data 2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014		2014-20	15	2015-2016	2016-2017
			[
Based on the analysis in need of improvement			and re	ference to	o "Guidir	ig Questions", i	dentify and define areas
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry. Geometry Goal #3B:							
2012 Current Level o	of Performa	nce:	2	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem	n-Solving Process	s to I n	icrease S	tudent /	Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for			on onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Evaluation Tool	
		No	Data S	ubmitted			

3	f student achievement data, for the following subgroup:	and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", io	lentify and define areas
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3C:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement			reference t	o "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define areas
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #3D:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:						
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.						
Geometry Goal #3E:						
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

The PLC will focus on how to successfully implement 7-12 PLC All Teachers October 4, 2012 PLC binder will be maintained and will contain the following:	
to successfully implement 7-12 PLC All Teachers November 1, 2012 PLC binder will be maintained and will December 6, 2012 contain the following:	
implement 7-12 PLC All Teachers December 6, 2012 contain the following:	
Core State January 10, 2013 a) Reflection Logs	
across the February 21, 2013 c) Handouts	
Curriculum March 14, 2013	
April 4, 2013	

Mathematics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Formative Assessments	Curriculum program will offer various pathways to meet specific needs of students as they master rigorous course work and are progressing towards Formative assessments will be used to gage students' strengths and weaknesses in accordance with prescribed intervention strategies: Diagnostic Tests	School Budget	\$1,000.00
			Subtotal: \$1,000.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
TI-34 Multi-View Scientific Calculator and TI 84 Graphing Calculator	Students will use the hand-held calculators in Geometry, Algebra II and ACT classes on a daily basis to become familiar with the use and function of a scientific/graphing calculator. Approved calculators will also be available for students to use on both the Geometry EOC and ACT assessments.	School Budget	\$2,500.00
		-	Subtotal: \$2,500.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
The PLC will focus on how to successfully implement the Common Core State Standards across the curriculum	Teachers will be trained by the Reading and Math Coach on how to successfully implement English Language Arts and Mathematics Common Core State Standards across the curriculum to prepare students for the rigorous demands of career and post- secondary programs.	District Budget	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science. Science Goal #1a:				Teachers will provide standards based instruction to increase student knowledge of scientific concepts by 10%.		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	ce:	
No D	ata		No Data	No Data		
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Lack of scaffolded standards based instruction to support student knowledge of scientific concepts	Address all benchmarks utilizing a science instructional focus calendar weekly	Department Chair	Classroom observations Lesson Plans Weekly communication with instructors	CWT Science FCAT	
2	Students need reinforcement of standards being taught throughout the science curriculum.			Classroom observations Lesson Plans Weekly communication with instructors	CWT Science FCAT	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.					
Science Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
	Problem-Solving Pro	cess to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for			on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above	
Achievement Level 4 in science.	Students above proficiency will increase by 4%.
Science Goal #2a:	

	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	ce:
No Data			No Data			
	Problem-Solving Process to I			o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1	Students lack participation in hands on activities	Students will participate in hands-on enrichment to connect learning and relate scientific thinking.		Classroom observations Lesson Plans Weekly communication with instructors	CWT Lesson plans Lab reports

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in science.					
Science Goal #2b:					
2012 Current Level of	2012 Current Level of Performance:			pected Level of Perfor	mance:
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion ponsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science. Science Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.					
Science Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level o	f Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Perfo	ormance:
	Problem-Solving	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Res for			son or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology. Biology Goal #1:			Biology benchr	To teach and review all Biology benchmarks so that students will be adequately prepared for the Biology EOC assessment			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Pending Data			Pending Data	Pending Data			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1. Students in DJJ program are not in the science classroom the		1.1 Principal David Watkins	1.1. *Classroom observations (CWT)	1.1. *Biology EOC *Quizzes and		

1	entire academic year.	content with fidelity. *Science Coach will work closely with students as an additional resource for delivery of biology content	Assistant Principal Sharon Grant Science Coach Lotoya Joseph- Brown Reading Coach Mary Shaw Reading Coach Gladymir Veillard	*Lesson Plans *Weekly communication with instructors *Assessments	Tests
2	1.2. Students are unable to participate in hands on activities due to program/facility limitations.	1.2. *Teacher will provide modified activities and/or virtual labs	1.2. Principal David Watkins Assistant Principal Sharon Grant Science Coach Lotoya Joseph- Brown Reading Coach Mary Shaw Reading Coach Gladymir Veillard	1.2. *Classroom observations (CWT) *Lesson Plans *Weekly communication with instructors *Assessments	1.2. *Biology EOC *Quizzes and Tests

	d on the analysis of stud in need of improvemen			Guiding Questions", ide	ntify and define	
Leve	udents scoring at or a Is 4 and 5 in Biology. ogy Goal #2:	bove Achievement	Biology bench	To teach and review all Biology benchmarks so that students will be adequately prepared for the Biology EOC assessment		
2012	2 Current Level of Perfe	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performan	ice:	
Pendi	ing Data		Pending Data			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too	
1	2.1. Students in DJJ program are not in the science classroom the entire academic year	2.1. *Teachers will facilitate classroom instruction of biology content with fidelity. *Science Coach will work closely with students as an additional resource for delivery of biology content.	2.1. Principal David Watkins Assistant Principal Sharon Grant Science Coach Lotoya Joseph- Brown Reading Coach Mary Shaw Reading Coach Gladymir Veillard	2.1. *Classroom observations (CWT) *Lesson Plans *Weekly communication with instructors *Assessments	2.1. *Biology EOC *Quizzes and Tests	
	2.2 Students are unable to	2.2. *Teacher will provide	2.2. Principal	2.2. *Classroom	2.2. *Biology EOC	

	participate in hands	modified activities and/or virtual labs	David Watkins	observations (CWT)	*Quizzes and
	on activities due to program/facility		Assistant Principal	*Lesson Plans	Tests
	limitations.		Sharon Grant	*Weekly communication	
2			Science Coach Lotoya Joseph-	with instructors	
			Brown	*Assessments	
			Reading Coach Mary Shaw		
			Reading Coach		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Science Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developn	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

1	on the analysis of stude d of improvement for the	ent achievement data, and e following group:	d reference to "Gu	iding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:			Given evidence demonstrate co	Given evidenced-based writing strategies, students will demonstrate continued growth in writing skills and score a level 4 or higher in 2011.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Prob	olem-Solving Process to	o Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Lack of motivation	Teachers will teach with approaches that foster critical thinking skills, questioning, student decisionmaking, and independent learning.	.Teacher, Curriculum Specialist, Principal, Asst. Principal	Weekly writing prompts, CWT, IFC	FCAT, DAR, FORF, Rubric,BASI Six-Traits Writing Rubric
2	Retaining learned writing strategies	Explicit instruction in all content area courses in order for students to become successful readers and writers in all subject areas.		Weekly writing prompts, CWT, IFC,Data Chats	FCAT, DAR, FORF, Rubric,BASI Six-Traits Writing Rubric
3	III prepared for higher learning	Inquiry based activities that connect writing practices with real world experiences and tasks	. Teachers, Curriculum Specialist, Principal, Asst. Principal Dept. Heads		FCAT, DAR, FORF, Rubric,BASI Six-Traits Writing Rubric

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.						
Writing Goal #1b:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Progran			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Fechnology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2013 Expected Level of Performance:					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels and 5 in Civics. 						
Civics Goal #2:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S	itudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position		on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring			
	No Data Submitted								

Civics Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		*	Subtotal: \$0.0

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmer	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	Students will achieve 30% on the history EOC for a passing score for schools year of 2012-2013.
U.S. History Goal #1:	
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:
No Data	No Data
Problem-Solving Process to I	ncrease Student Achievement

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	*Students lack the	*Students will be	Principal	Leadership Team meets	
	Reading strategies to	mediated in Princeton	David Watkins	to review and discuss	observation and
	respond adequately to	Review and prepped		what resources and	feedback to
	moderate and/or higher	academically for all	Assistant Princinal	support is needed.	support academic
	order thinking	assessments through	noolotant i intoipai		improvement.
	questions.	various test taking	Sharon Grant	*Coach/Teacher	
	queetiener	strategies and exposure		Conference to discuss	*Teacher-made
		to released testing	Reading Coach	students' academic	Tests, Chapter,
		materials.	Mary Shaw	needs and	Unit, and Skill
				improvements.	Assessment and
		*All curricular areas will	Reading Coach		project to monitor
		actively integrate	Gladymir Veillard	*Coach/Administration	academic
		higher order thinking	5	Conference to discuss	advancement
		questions into all	Science Coach	instructional staff	and/or re-
		instruction/discussions	Lotoya Joseph-	support and resources.	teaching to
		and assessments.	Brown		increase student
				Teachers and students	achievement.
		*Use test data to		hold data/progress	
1		monitor student		chats to pinpoint areas	
		progress		of concern and plan for	monitor students'
		and areas needing		remediation.	academic needs.
		re-teaching for			
		continuous			*Direct results of
		progress and provide		*Mini-Assessments to	ACT/SAT/PSAT.

accommodations and	include higher order	
modifications to the	thinking questions are	
curriculum.	utilized to provide	
	skilled practices and	
	feedback for areas in	
*Independent reading	need of remediation.	
practice will be		
monitored by all	*Classroom	
teachers.	Walkthroughs will be	
	practiced with fidelity	
*Each class will focus	to ensure questioning	
on informational text	techniques are	
during instructional	integrated effectively.	
practice.		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas n need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Students scoring at 4 and 5 in U.S. History	or above Achievement L	evels				
U.S. History Goal #2:						
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	Ν	o Data S	Submitted			

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
	No Data Submitted							

U.S. History Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Developm	lent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Availabl Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
		-	Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Availabl Amour
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
			Subtotal: \$0.0

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Т

Attendance Goal(s)

Г

	ed on the analysis of atte aprovement:	ndance data, and refere	nce	to "Guiding Que	estions", identify and de	fine areas in need	
	ttendance ndance Goal #1:			The goal at Pompano Substance Abuse Treatment Center is to effectively communicate to students and parents the importance of regular attendance. From classroom success to future occupational roles, great attendance produces great results			
2012	2 Current Attendance R	ate:		2013 Expected Attendance Rate:			
N/A				N/A			
2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)			
N/A				N/A			
	2 Current Number of St lies (10 or more)		2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				
N/A				N/A			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	R	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Court Dates	Provide make-up and peer tutoring	an	structional staff d Guidance Junselor	Teacher observation	Attendance records	
2	Doctor's Appointment	Provide make-up and peer tutoring	an	structional staff d Guidance Junselor	Teacher observation	Attendance records	
3	Treatment team meetings	Provide make- up and peer tutoring		structional Staff iidance	Teacher observation	Attendance records	

counselor			
		counselor	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	b		

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Suspension	Students will reduce the amount of out of school			
Suspension Goal #1:	suspensions by 10%.			
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions			

N/A	N/A			N/A				
2012	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School			2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School				
N/A	N/A			N/A				
2012	Number of Out-of-Sch	ool Suspensions		2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions				
N/A			ſ	N/A				
2012 Scho		ents Suspended Out-of-		2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School				
N/A	N/A			N/A				
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	toIn	icrease Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Peer Pressure	Reinforce positive peer learning techniques	Inst	ructional Staff	Evaluation of the School Code of Conduct	Discipline Matrix System		
2	Lack of Parental Involvement	Promote existing family strengthening programs via-family day activities, treatment, etc	Inst	ructional Staff	Evaluation of the School Code of Conduct	School Code of Conduct Parent Involvement logs		
3	Student thought process on behavior management	Define those behaviors and situations in which zero tolerance may or may not be applied. Monthly awards given by teachers.	Inst	ructional Staff	Evaluation of the School Code of Conduct	Guidance records Treatment team logs		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submitte	d		

Suspension Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement:	ased on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas need of improvement:				
1. Dropout Prevention					
Dropout Prevention Go	Dropout Prevention Goal #1:				
*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.					
2012 Current Dropout	Rate:		2013 Exp	ected Dropout Rate:	
2012 Current Graduati	on Rate:		2013 Expected Graduation Rate:		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Resp for			on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	No Data Submittee	d		

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Parent I nvolvement				
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who	By June 2011, Pompano Beach Substance Abuse Treatment Center will improve parent involvement by increasing two communication with parents as measure by attendance at open house, transition, exit meetings, graduations, IEP meeting and phone calls to			
participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	parents/guardians			
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Students are housed in Schedule open house in Assistant Documentation of Open house sign Principal, Lead parent involvement via residential confinement conjunction with the in/out log Teacher areas. Parent meetings facility administrator treatment team, 1 must be coordinated transition meeting, IEP through the facility meetings Parents reside in Personal phone calls or Transition Documentation of Phone log, sign counties outside scheduled home visits Specialist, ESE parent involvement via in /out log will be utilized to Broward County Specialist treatment team, provide student transition meeting, IEP educational updates. meetings 2 Student progress will be communicated to students and parents via treatment team and **IEP** meetings

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		Ν	lo Data Submitte	b		

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

N/A

Subtotal: \$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis o	f school data, identify and o	define areas in ne	eed of improvement:	
1. STEM				
STEM Goal #1:				
	Problem-Solving Proce	ss to Increase S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Ν	o Data Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		٢	lo Data Submitte	d		

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis c	f school data, identify and d	efine areas in ne	ed of improvement:	
1. CTE				
CTE Goal #1:				
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to Increase S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Nc	Data Submitted		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	-	Ν	lo Data Submitte	d	-	

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Subtotal: \$0.00	Subtotal:	\$0.00	
------------------	-----------	--------	--

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s) No Additional Goal was submitted for this school

FINAL BUDGET

Mathematics A Technology Goal S Mathematics 3	ormative issessments strategy 1-34 Multi-View icientific Calculator	Resources Curriculum program will offer various pathways to meet specific needs of students as they master rigorous course work and are progressing towards Formative assessments will be used to gage students' strengths and weaknesses in accordance with prescribed intervention strategies: Diagnostic Tests Description of Resources Students will use the hand-held calculators in Geometry, Algebra II and ACT classes on a daily basis to become	School Budget Funding Source	\$1,000.0 Subtotal: \$1,000.0 Available Amoun
Goal S Mathematics	I-34 Multi-View ccientific Calculator	Resources Students will use the hand-held calculators in Geometry, Algebra II and ACT classes on a daily basis to become	Funding Source	
Goal S Mathematics	I-34 Multi-View ccientific Calculator	Resources Students will use the hand-held calculators in Geometry, Algebra II and ACT classes on a daily basis to become	Funding Source	Available Amour
T Mathematics al	I-34 Multi-View ccientific Calculator	Resources Students will use the hand-held calculators in Geometry, Algebra II and ACT classes on a daily basis to become	Funding Source	Available Amour
Mathematics S	cientific Calculator	hand-held calculators in Geometry, Algebra II and ACT classes on a daily basis to become		
	nd TI 84 Graphing alculator	familiar with the use and function of a scientific/graphing calculator. Approved calculators will also be available for students to use on both the Geometry EOC and ACT assessments.	School Budget	\$2,500.0
				Subtotal: \$2,500.0
Professional Development				
Goal S	itrategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amour
h Mathematics C S	he PLC will focus on ow to successfully nplement the common Core State standards across the urriculum	Teachers will be trained by the Reading and Math Coach on how to successfully implement English Language Arts and Mathematics Common Core State Standards across the curriculum to prepare students for the rigorous demands of career and post- secondary programs.	District Budget	\$0.0
				Subtotal: \$0.0
Other		Description of		
Goal S	strategy	Resources	Funding Source	Available Amour
No Data N	lo Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.0
				Subtotal: \$0.0 Grand Total: \$3,500.0

jim Priority jim Focus jim Prevent jim NA

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Describe projected use of SAC funds

Amount

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found