Florida Department of Education

DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: Tenoroc High School	District Name: Polk
Principal: Jason C. Looney	Superintendent: Dr. Sherrie Nickel
SAC Chair: Betty K. Tucker	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Effective Administrators

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
		Certification(s)	Years at	Years as an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest
			Current School	Administrator	25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)

Principal	Jason C. Looney	MS Educational	0	8	During his forms on againment of Ai-t-ut During in 1 -f C
Principal	Jason C. Looney	Leadership, BS Physical	0	0	During his former assignment as Assistant Principal of George Jenkins HS in 2010-2011: School Grade: B, Reading Mastery:
		Education; Certification:			
		Physical Education			46%; Math Mastery: 72%; AYP: 77%, White Black, Hispanic,
		(K-12), Educational			Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did
		Leadership (K-12),			not make AYP in Reading; Black, Hispanic, Economically
		Principal Certification (K-			Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in
		12)			Math; Assistant Principal of George Jenkins HS in 2009-2010:
					Grade: B; Reading Mastery: 50%; Math Mastery: 75%; AYP:
					69%, White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged,
					Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading;
					Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with
					Disabilities did not make AYP in Math; Assistant Principal
					of George Jenkins HS in 2008-2009: Grade: C. Reading
					Mastery: 52%, Math Mastery: 77%. AYP: 74%, White, Black,
					Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP in Reading;
					Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP in Math.
					Assistant Principal of George Jenkins HS in 2007-2008: Grade:
					B. Reading Mastery: 53%, Math mastery: 77%. AYP: 59%,
					White, Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP in
					Reading; Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP
					in Math. Assistant Principal of George Jenkins HS in 2006-
					2007: Grade: B. Reading Mastery: 52%, Math Mastery: 76%.
					AYP: 67%, Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make
					AYP in Reading; Black, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP in
					Math. Assistant Principal of George Jenkins HS in 2005-2006:
					Grade: B. Reading Mastery: 48%, Math Mastery: 73%. AYP:
					72%, Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP in
					Reading; Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP
					in Math. Assistant Principal of George Jenkins HS in 2006-
					2007: Grade: B. Reading Mastery: 52%, Math Mastery: 76%.
					AYP: 67%, Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make
					AYP in Reading; Black, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP in
					Math. Assistant Principal of George Jenkins HS in 2005-2006:
					Grade: B. Reading Mastery: 48%, Math Mastery: 73%. AYP:
					72%, Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP in
					Reading; Black, Hispanic, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP

					in Math. Dean of Students Lakeland HS in 2004-2005: Grade: C. Reading Mastery 38%, Math Mastery: 68%. AYP: 77%, Black, FRPL, and SWD did not make AYP in Reading; Black, FLRP, SWD did not make AYP in Math.
Assistant Principal	Daniel Renz	MFA in Theatre, MA Secondary Education, BFA in Theatre; Certifications: Educational Leadership, Drama.	6	5	Assistant Principal of Tenoroc HS in 2011-2012: School Grade: Pending, Reading Mastery: 37%; Math Mastery: 31%; AYP: White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading; Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Math; Assistant Principal of Tenoroc HS in 2010-2011: School Grade: D, Reading Mastery: 30%; Math Mastery: 65%; AYP: 70%, White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading; 38% Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Math; Assistant Principal of Tenoroc HS in 2009-2010: Grade: D; Reading Mastery: 30%; Math Mastery: 59%; AYP: 68%, White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading; 47% Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Math; Assistant Principal of Tenoroc HS in 2008-2009: Grade: D. Reading Mastery: 36%, Math Mastery: 58%. AYP: 61%, White,85% Black, and 73% SD did not make AYP in Reading; 40% White, 67% Black and 53% SD did not make AYP in Math.

Assistant	Meesha J. Downing	MS in Educational	5	3.0	Assistant Principal of Tenoroc HS in 2011-2012: School
Principal		Leadership, BS in			Grade: Pending, Reading Mastery: 37%; Math Mastery: 31%;
		Elementary Education;			AYP: White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged,
		Ed.D Organizational			Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading;
		Leadership.			Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with
		Certification: Elementary Education (K-6),			Disabilities did not make AYP in Math; Assistant Principal of
		Educational Leadership			Tenoroc HS in 2010-2011: School Grade: D, Reading Mastery:
		(K-12), Social Science (5-			30%; Math Mastery: 65%; AYP: 70%, White Black, Hispanic,
		9)			Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not
					make AYP in Reading; 38% Black, Hispanic, Economically
					Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP
					in Math; Assistant Principal of Tenoroc HS in 2009-2010:
					Grade: D; Reading Mastery: 30%; Math Mastery: 59%; AYP:
					68%, White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged,
					Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading; 47%
					Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with
					Disabilities did not make AYP in Math; Dean of Tenoroc HS in
					2008-2009: Grade: D. Reading Mastery: 36%, Math Mastery:
					58%. AYP: 61%, White,85% Black, and 73% SD did not make
					AYP in Reading; 40% White, 67% Black and 53% SD did not
					make AYP in Math.

Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
			Current School	Instructional Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
					school year)

Reading	Tiffany McNally	5	5	Reading AIF of Tenoroc HS in 2011-2012: School Grade: D, Reading Mastery: 37%; AYP: White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading; Reading AIF of Tenoroc HS in 2010-2011: School Grade: D, Reading Mastery: 30% AYP: 70%, White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading; Reading AIF of Tenoroc HS in 2009-2010: Grade: D; Reading Mastery: 30%; AYP: 68%, White Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Reading; Reading Coach of Tenoroc HS in 2008-2009: Grade: D. Reading Mastery.
Science	LaChaz Cofield-Harris	5	2	Science AIF of Tenoroc HS in 2011-2012: School Grade: D, Science Mastery: 58%; Science AIF of Tenoroc HS in 2010-2011: School Grade: D, Science Mastery: 32%; Science Department Chair of Tenoroc HS 2009-2010, Science Mastery: 27%; Science Department Chair of Tenoroc HS 2008-2009, Science Mastery: 22%;
Math	Deborah Jones	5	1	Math AIF of Tenoroc HS in 2011-2012: School Grade: D, Math Mastery: 31%; Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Math; Math AIF of Tenoroc HS in 2010-2011: School Grade: D, Math Mastery: 65%; 38% Black, Hispanic, Economically Disadvantaged, Students with Disabilities did not make AYP in Math; Math Chair 2009-2010, Math Mastery: 58%. AYP: 40% White, 67% Black and 53% SD did not make AYP.

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable
			(If not, please explain why)
1. Tenoroc New Teacher Program (P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring	Meesha J. Downing/Kendis D.	June 2013	
Program)	Clark		
2. Tenoroc Teacher Mentoring Program	Instructional Coaches	June 2013	
2			
3.			
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
88	2.6% (2)	19.5% (15)	41.6% (32)	36.4% (28)	39% (30)	97% (85)	15.6% (12)	1.3% (1)	27.3% (21)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
LaChaz Cofield-Harris	Casey Zych	Similar Certifications	P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring Program
Dave Robson	Mathew Yancey	Similar Certifications	P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring Program
Diane Bondurant	Stephen Deck	Similar Certifications	P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring Program
Tiffany McNally	Inga Jennings	Similar Certifications	P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring Program
LaChaz Cofield-Harris	Tabitha Morelli	Similar Certifications	P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring Program
Deborah Jones	Teiauna Nettles	Similar Certifications	P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring Program
Erin Dawe	Charlotte Randall	Similar Certifications	P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring Program
LaChaz Cofield-Harris	Valerie Clark	Similar Certifications	P.E.B.B.L.E. Mentoring Program

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I. Part A

Funds school-wide services to Tenoroc High School. The Title I funds provide supplemental instructional resources and interventions for students with academic achievement needs. Title I, Part A, support provides after-school and summer instructional programs, supplemental instructional materials, resource teachers, technology for students, professional development for the staff, and resources for parents.

Title I, Part C- Migrant

Migrant students enrolled in Tenoroc High School will be assisted by the school and by the District Migrant Education Program (MEP). Students will be prioritized by the MEP for supplemental services based on need and migrant status. MEP Teacher Advocates, assigned to schools with high percentages of migrant students, monitor the progress of these high need students and provide or coordinate supplemental academic support. Migrant Home-School Liaisons identify and recruit migrant students and their families for the MEP. They provide support to both students and parents in locating services necessary to ensure the academic success of these students whose education has been interrupted by numerous moves.

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Title I, Part D

Provides Transition Facilitators to assist students with transition from Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) facilities back into their zoned school. The Transition Facilitators communicate with the Guidance Counselors at schools to facilitate the transfer of records and appropriate placement.

Title II

Professional development resources are available to Title I schools through Title II funds. In addition, School Technology Services provide technical support, technology training, and licenses for software programs and web-based access via Title II-D funds.

Title III

Provides supplemental resources for English Language Learners (ELL) and their teachers in Title I schools, as well as professional learning opportunities for school staff.

Title X- Homeless

The Hearth program, funded through Title X, provides support for identified homeless students. Title I provides support for this program, and many activities implemented by the Hearth program are carried out in cooperation with the Migrant Education Program (MEP) funded through Title I, Part C.

Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)

SAI unit(s) provided to Tenoroc High School enhance student achievement by assisting with reading and science initiatives.

Violence Prevention Programs

Title IV provides violence and drug prevention programs in schools in order to promote a safe school environment. Examples of violence prevention programs include antibullying, gang awareness, gun awareness, etc.

Nutrition Programs

This school is a location for a summer feeding program for the community.

Housing Programs

N/A

Head Start

Head Start is not located on our campus.

Adult Education

N/A

Career and Technical Education

Students at Tenoroc have the option to participate in Power Academy, ROC COM Academy, Business Academy and Animal Science Academy. The academy assists students in acquiring an understanding of the power industry. The academy provides an opportunity for students to participate in hands-on training to explore the wide range of career opportunities related to power production and distribution.

Job Training

Tenoroc High School has a partnership with Burlington Coat Factory and Lakeland Electric.

Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

April 2012

Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

Jason Looney, Meesha Downing, Dan Renz, Carla Wiggs, Ebony Allen, Dwayne Johnson, Mike Thompson, Candace Kiella, Cassandra Rodriguez, Kendis Clark, Crystal Attinger, Maria Weinstock, Gary Kiger, Dan Farese, and Shari Byrd

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The MTSS Leadership Team meets on a monthly basis to strategize ways to identify academic/behavioral issues and concerns, determine contributing factors to such issues and concerns, note appropriate interventions, and to monitor progress being made as a result of implementation of the MTSS Leadership Team's recommendations.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

Through the use of FCAT and Discovery data, the MTSS Leadership Team identifies and organizes universal interventions to be implemented at various levels (i.e. school wide, grade level, classroom, small group as well as individual); it also allocates resources according to the severity of the issue at hand. The interventions identified in turn determine and drive the goals and strategies within the school improvement plan.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. The Florida Differentiated Accountability Plan which previously determined the school's current status to be Prevent II is used to summarize tiered data.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

It is through the monthly school-wide staff meeting, that the MTSS Leadership Team will encourage cooperation by articulating the goals and strategies devised, while continuously disseminating information. In addition, the MTSS Leadership Team will foster collaboration by leaving room for modification as additional subgroups are determined and taking suggestions from the staff to revise the plan as necessary.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

To support MTSS, AIFs and Department Chairs along with the Counselors and ESE Facilitator will collaborate to provide necessary resources and services to be implemented for at risk students within the school setting to ensure progress and improvement.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Jason C. Looney (Principal), Daniel Renz (APC), Meesha Downing (APA), Tiffany McNally (Reading AIF), Diane Bondurant (Writing Coach), Toi Speed (Teacher), Shari Byrd (Teacher), LaChaz Cofield-Harris (Science AIF), Deborah Jones (Math AIF), Victor Smith/Kendis Clark (Title I Facilitator), Maria Weinstock (ESOL), Carla Wiggs (LEA), Crystal Attinger (Social Studies).

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT functions as a facilitator for initiatives that motivate, inspire, and encourage a culture of literacy and the implementation of the Continuous Improvement Model (C.I.M.).

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year? Continue Professional Learning Communities and the coaching cycle.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification
Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Tenoroc uses the Continuous Improvement Model to teach reading strategies. The Continuous Improvement Model (CIM) Focus Calendar is implemented based on the reading comprehension skill sequence provided through data-based research and past best practices. The Reading Academic Intervention Facilitator works toward creating a comprehensive and effective calendar for teachers to support and incorporate specific FCAT reading skills. Each teacher receives a binder indicating the calendar of skills. Each month the Reading AIF models one focus strategy, and additional teaching strategies and materials for each benchmark are in the binder. Each classroom and large student gathering areas, such as the gymnasium and the cafeteria, have posters designating the focus skill currently covered. The Departmental Professional Learning Communities have set agendas providing opportunities for teachers to discuss their implementation and strategies of the Continuous Improvement Model.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

All teachers are asked to incorporate the focus skills from the CIM calendar into their class lessons. Receive data and reflect on the effectiveness and make adaptations to their lessons and review the skills. Posters listing the sequence of skills are posted in every classroom.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Each grade level meets with the guidance counselors to determine their career academic goals. Freshmen and Sophomores meet in a classroom setting for an information presentation based on academic excellence and career planning. Juniors and Seniors meet individually with their guidance counselors to discuss course selection based on academic and career goals. Juniors and Seniors will also attend the annual career fair. Senior students receiving ESE services participate in career experience to prepare for postsecondary transition.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

We were not in existence when the High School Feedback Report was last updated.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1 70.70		1 1		1, ,	1 1	
1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Students scoring	c , 1 ,	A 11 1	D II AID	TI 000 I	(FRC) P. (III III III	
- I	Some students find that in the	All students		The 900 word passages	(ERC) Data will be compiled	
l '	oth/10th 1	will be given			into a spreadsheet that can be	
	9 th /10 th grades			questions that mimic	manipulated to analyze trends	
r caraing.		passage a week		FCAT higher order		
		to read based on different content		thinking questions and are		
	,			based on the CIM focus calendar.		
		and focus areas.		calendar.		
		These passages				
		will contain 900				
		words or more.				
		In the reading				
		classrooms, they				
		will be given one				
		passage a week. In all content				
ľ		classrooms, students will				
		plan extended				
		passages as well				
		(according to the				
		district CISM				
		timeline). The				
		passages will				
		be implemented				
		following the				
		CISM model				
		and gradually				
		released to				
		the students				
		throughout the				
		course of the				
		year.				

Reading Goal #1a: To increase student achievement, the administration and staff of Tenoroc High School plan to attain an increased 8% of students achieving an FCAT AL 3 or better. Also, 100% of the students who scored a level 3 in 2012 will maintain or increase that level in 2013.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	20% (148)	28% (190)				
		do not have the vocabulary or background knowledge to be able to successfully answer the	strategies to help them determine the	CIM pre/post test data for Words and Phrases in context	1a.2. Data will be compiled into a spreadsheet that can be manipulated to analyze trends.	

		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		are still not using strategies consistently that encourage student engagement, reducing apathy	PLC formation to coplan and co-planned lessons that include summarization, HOT questions/FCAT stems, cooperative learning/collaborative pairs, use of graphic organizers, use of technology.	Reading, Math and Science AIF's, Administration	Walk-through observations	Follow up from PD	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.	Cognitively low across all academic spectrums.			Students Assessment Data	1b.1. ESE Teachers, Administration, LEA		

Reading Goal #1b: The number of students scoring proficient on the FAA will increase by 12% as compared to the 2012 FAA	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	57%(8)	69% (2)					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0:	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	
Students scoring	Zu. T.	24.1.	24.1.	24.1.		
	Students at	Implementation	Reading AIF	Discovery progress	ERC (Endurance Reading	
at or above	this level are	of the Reading in	1	monitoring data.	Challenge) results.	
Achievement	not always	the Content Area				
Levels 4 and 5 in	engaged with	(CISM) strategies	3			
reading.		in the Social				
J	the different content areas	Studies, Science and Language				
	because the	Arts classes.				
	texts and	rits classes.				
	assignments					
	are geared					
	towards					
	struggling					
	readers instead					
	of challenging these					
	advanced					
	readers.					
Reading Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected				
-	Level of	Level of				
To increase student	Performance:*	Performance:*				
achievement, the						
administration and staff of Tenoroc High						
School plan to attain						
an increased 8% of						
students achieving						
an FCAT AL 4 or						
better. Also, 100%						
of the students who						
scored a level 4 or 5 in 2012 will maintain or						
increase that level in						
2013.						
	120/ (00)	150/ (122)				
	12% (89)	17% (123)				
	<u> </u>		1	Į	Į.	

		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		Many students can't achieve a Reading FCAT level 4/5 because they are unable to reason or problem solve and aren't	Through coaching cycle and PLC with an emphasis on summarization, HOT questions/FCAT stems, cooperative learning/ collaborative pairs, use of graphic organizers, use of technology.	Reading AIF, Administration		PLC follow-up	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					

			la. a	la. a	I	I	
		2b.2.	2ь2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
		20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001		
achievement data,			Monitoring	Strategy			
and reference							
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							
3a. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of							
students making		Students will	Administration, Testing	Target, student survey	Teachers will be given		
			coordinator, Reading		professional development		
			AIF, all teachers		about how to view Discovery		
		program on			reports. They will look for		
	that may	computers 3 times during			patterns in their students overall weaknesses as well as		
	cause some anxiety for	the school year.			individual scores. Teachers will		
		Reading teachers			then tailor instruction to fit the		
		will also rotate			needs of their students.		
		into the lab			inceds of their students.		
		during at least					
	pencil format	one PLC co-					
		planned lesson					
		to preview and					
		give strategies					
		for reading on the					
		computer screen.					

10th grade students will make a year's worth (8 SS points) of learning gains in 2013. 100% retakers will also make learning gains from 2012 Spring test to 2012 Fall and if needed again in Spring 2013.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Poor parental involvement and/ or proficiency in reading leading to a lack of	Contact and inform parents through	All teachers, Administration, Title One Facilitator	Student attendance and grades	3a.2. RSVP and attendance for parental functions, teacher call out logs	
		A high percentage of our students already come to us with	Teacher's will model using think alouds,	All teachers, Reading teachers, Reading AIF,	Discovery progress monitoring	3a.3. Reading Roc's Fair, classroom walkthroughs	

3b. Florida	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.							
Reading Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
						3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4. ECATA	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	i	
4a. FCAT 2.0:	14a.1.	Ha.1.	Ha.1.	Ha.1.	+a.1.		
Percentage	Apathy. Many	The climate at	A 11 4 CC	The Title I coordinator	Student grades, data folder for		
of students in	students in the	Tenoroc High	All staff.	is involved in contacting	Mentors and attendance		
Lowest 25%	bottom 25%	School is		is involved in contacting	without and attendance.		
making learning		centered around		parents as well as all			
		building		teachers. Then the			
gains in reading.	failing for so	relationships.		principal is notified if			
	long. They	Teachers are		a student is sleeping in			
		encouraged to		class or generally seems			
	likely to care	contact parents		disinterested.			
	about making	at the beginning					
	learning gains	of the year and					
	because they	to do what they					
		can to meet the needs of students					
	anyway.	as much as					
	any way.	possible.					
		Tenoroc teachers					
		make					
		accommodations					
		for their students					
		the address the					
		possible					
		fulfillment of Maslow's					
		hierarchy of					
		needs so that the					
		students can					
		focus on what					
		they need to on					
		the test.					
Reading Goal #4a	· 2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
More than half of the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
students who rank in							
the bottom quartile			1				
for reading will make			1				
learning gains.			1				
	63%(108)	100%(173)					
			1	1			

		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		14.2.	14.2.	14.2.	14.2.	14.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
		Tu.5		Tu.5.	на.5.	Tu.5.	
4b. Florida	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage							
of students in							
Lowest 25%							
making learning							
gains in reading.							
Reading Goal #4b:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Enter narrative for the	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.	r crrormance.	errormance.					
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for expected level of performance in this					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	41- 2	41- 2	4b.3.	41. 2	
		40.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	40.3.	4b.3.	

Based on Ambitious	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	1
but Achievable	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2013-2010	2010-2017	
Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs),							
Reading and Math							
Performance Target							
	Baseline	63% (391)	32% (196)	16% (96)	8% (48)	4% (24)	2% (12)
	data 2010-	00 / 0 (0 / 1)		20,0 (50)	0,0 (10)	- / • (= -)	- /· ()
	2011						
Measurable	2011						
Objectives							
(AMOs). In six							
year school will							
reduce their							
achievement gap							
by 50%.							
Reading Goal							
#5A:							
To increase student							
achievement, the							
administration and							
staff of Tenoroc							
High School plan to							
decrease the non-							
proficient students							
(FCAT Reading							
Achievement Level							
1's and 2's).		g	n n ::	n	P 1 :: # 1		
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
achievement data, and reference			Monitoring	Strategy			
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							

ED C. 1	len 1	CD 1	cp. 1	ED 1	5D 1	1	
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		
subgroups		Provide		Classroom walk-	Discovery, FCAT, CIM		
by ethnicity	interactive	professional	Administration, teachers	through specific focus on			
		development		differentiated instruction.			
(White, Black,	activities to	in the areas					
Hispanic, Asian,		of technology					
American Indian)	instruction.	through PLC's					
not making		integration					
		with direct					
satisfactory		and explicit					
progress in		instructional					
reading.		models.					
	l	T!					
	I	Teachers will					
	I	use these as well as scaffolding					
	I	techniques to					
	l	build background					
	l	knowledge.					
D 1: C 1	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Reading Goal	Level of	Level of					
#5B:	Darformanas:*	Performance:*					
Decrease number of		Performance.					
students scoring AL 1's	3						
and 2's by 25% in each							
subgroup.							
	White:53%(22	White: 28%					
	2/415)	(116)					
	Black:	Black:50% (58)					
	75%(88/117)	Hispanic:38%					
	Hispanic:63%	(55)					
	(91/144)	Asian:					
		American					
	2)	Indian:42% (3)					
	American						
	Indian:67% (4						
	6)						
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
-		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	
		рв.э.	JD.J.	JD.J.	JD.J.	SD.S.	
		Į	Į	Į	l .		

						•	
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
achievement data,			Monitoring	Strategy			
and reference				6.5			
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		5C.1.		
Language	Most ELLs		Principal, APC,		Classroom Walkthroughs by		
	begin school	LYs implement	APA, ESOL and	Discovery assessments	the Principal, APC, APA, and		
Learners (ELL)	vear with	ESOL strategies.			District Staff		
not making	below grade	l	Paraprofessionals, other				
satisfactory	level reading	Teachers will		December and February	Lesson Plans		
	skills.	differentiate	District Staff				
progress in	J	instruction.		Data from Fast ForWord	Teacher made assessments		
reading.				Progress Tracker for	Table indee assessments		
8		Teacher will			Fast ForWord Progress Tracke		
					rast rol word Flogress Tracke		
		teach root words,		LANG ARTS ESOL and/	D: :, :		
		vocabulary in			Discovery progress monitoring		
		context, and		ESOL-R.	data, CIM pre/post test data,		
		build academic			ERC data, student grades,		
		background			OnlineIPT, IPT-3 English		
		knowledge by		and District Staff walk	Reading & Writing, and Ticket-		
		using technology		through classrooms and	Out-The-Door		
		(video, audio		do targeted observations			
		clips, PowerPoint		to monitor the teachers in			
		Presentations,		their implementation of			
		etc.		these targeted strategies.			
		Students enrolled					
		in DEV LANG					
		ARTS ESOL					
		and/or DEV					
		LANG ARTS					
		ESOL-R use the					
		Fast ForWord					
		Reading Program					
		forty minutes					
		daily					
		Student					
		recruitment for					
		after-school					
		sessions					

Reading Goal #5C: 100% of the 9th and 10th grade LYs who scored Proficient in Reading, 2013. 8% of the 9th, 10th, and 11th grade LYs who scored below Proficient in Reading on the 2012 FCAT will improve that score in 2013.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 5C.2. Limited	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	3
		reading at home due to lack of parent literacy	Teachers plan, integrate, & model Think-Aloud strategies to increase reading comprehension.	Principal, APC, APA, ESOL and all other teachers, Paraprofessio- nals, other School Support Staff, District Staff	Administer Formative Discovery assessments to students in August/September, November/December and February Data from Fast ForWord Progress Tracker for students enrolled in DEV LANG ARTS ESOL and/or DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R. The Principal, APC, APA,	Classroom Walkthroughs by the Principal, APC, APA, and District Staff Lesson Plans Teacher made assessments Fast ForWord Progress Tracke Discovery progress monitoring data, CIM pre/post test data, ERC data, student grades, OnlineIPT, IPT-3 English Reading & Writing, and Ticket-Out-The-Door.	

		ELLs become discouraged and simply give up after failing	counselors, the administration, and (if possible) parents/ guardians will meet with the struggling ELL	Principal, APC, APA, ESOL and all other teachers, Paraprofessio- nals, other School Support Staff, District Staff	5C.3.	5C.3.	
			to plan and implement the steps needed for a successful school experience. Teachers with LYs implement ESOL strategies.				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
	Some	Teachers will		Data chats with Admin-	FCAT data, Discovery		
with Disabilities	inclusion	identify (based	and inclusion teachers,	Teacher and Teacher-	rCAT data, Discovery		
(SWD) not	inclusion	identify (based	and inclusion leachers,				
	students may	on data) all	Administration	student.			
making	not always	students in their					
satisfactory	receive the	classes that					
progress in	services they	fall into these					
	are entitled	subgroups.					
reading.	to such as	Teachers will					
	extended	analyze IEP's,					
	time or	reading data					
	highlighting.	to determine					
		specific areas					
		to target.					
		Students will					
		be encouraged					
		to self-advocate					
		and use other					
		available					
		resources.					
Reading Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
#5D:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	r criormance.	r criormance.					
In 2013, 30% of							
students in the SWD				1			
subgroup will make							
AMO in reading.							
				1			
1				1			
				1			
				1			
	770/ (01)	700/ (74)					
	77% (81)	70% (74)		1			
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
1		1		1			
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		[[- · · ·	[
				1			
1		1		1			
				1			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy			
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
# 5 E.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.				5E.2. 5E.3	

Reading Professional Development

Professional			

Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
CIM	9-12/all	Reading AIF	School wide	8/20/12 and throughout	Pre/post testing within the reading department; Endurance testing in all classes once a month; all teacher survey after each skill focus is completed, Saturday Academy for those who need more help	Administration; Reading AIF
LFS	9-12/all	LFS AIF	School wide		Unit plan template to APC; school and district personnel walk through/observation	Administration
WAC	9-12/all	Writing Coach	School wide		Portfolio pieces: Survey, student examples	Administration

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.	1.1. ELLs face cultural adjustments and have oftentimes limited or no English language skills upon arrival in the United States.	implement ESOL strategies. All teachers will use structured activities that	1.1. Principal, APC, APA, ESOL and all other teachers, Paraprofessio-nals, other School Support Staff, District Staff	Teacher Refection Student Feedback Face-to-face meetings or electronic communication		
		support student-to-student or group interaction which require ELLs to contribute to the assignment and practice their English Listening/ Speaking skills. Teachers will circulate and verbally provide occasional error correction within cooperative groups. Students enrolled in DEV LANG ARTS ESOL and/or DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R use the Fast ForWord Reading Program forty minutes daily.		between parents, principal, APC, APA, ESOL and all other teachers,	Teacher made assessments Fast ForWord Progress Tracke OnlineIPT	

8% of the 9 th , 10 th , and 11 th grade LYs who scored below Proficient in Listening/Speaking on the 2012 CELLA will improve that score in 2013.				
	62% of all LYs scored Proficient			
	in Listening/Speaking on the 2012 CELLA.			

	1.2. Parents insist that their	1.2. All teachers will encourage	1.2. Principal, APC,	1.2. Teacher Refection	1.2. Classroom Walkthroughs
		ELLs to embrace their own	APA, ESOL and all other		by the Principal, APC, APA,
	native language at home as a				and District Staff
	connection to their homeland	language	nals, other School	Student i cousuer	and District Starr
	and heritage.	imiguige.	,	Parental Feedback	Lesson Plans
	and normage.	With the help of the bilingual	District Staff		20000114415
		paraprofessional faculty and		Face-to-face meetings or electronic	Teacher made assessments
		staff will establish and maintain		communication between parents,	Teacher made appearancements
		open communication between		principal, APC, APA, ESOL and all	Fast ForWord Progress Tracker
		students, parents, teachers, and		other teachers, parapro-fessionals,	
		the community.		other School Support Staff, District	OnlineIPT
				Staff	
		Organize two PLC Meetings with	1		Ticket-Out-The-Door
		a possible guest speaker during		ESOL strategies will be listed in	Responses from parents after
		the school year.		Lesson Plans and their effectiveness	
		I	1	is observed during classroom	
				observations.	
		All teachers with LYs implement		_	
		ESOL strategies.		The Principal, APC, APA, and	
				District Staff walk through	
		All teachers will use structured		classrooms and do targeted	
		activities that support student-		observations to monitor the	
		to-student or group interaction		implementation of ESOL strategies,	
		which require ELLs to contribute	1	structured strategies that support	
		to the assignment and practice		student-to-student or group	
		their English Listening/Speaking		interaction.	
		skills.			
				Data from Fast ForWord Progress	
		Teachers will circulate and		Tracker for students enrolled in	
		verbally provide occasional error		DEV LANG ARTS ESOL and/or	
		correction within cooperative		DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R.	
		groups.			
		Γ [†]			
	1.3. Frequent absences	1.3. Minimize the number of	1.3. Teachers, APA,	1.3. Attendance Records, students'	1.3. Grade Book
	- '	ELLs with excessive absences		grades, and data from Fast ForWord	
		and tardies - ultimately			Fast ForWord Progress Tracker
		improving student grades and	ĺ	enrolled in DEV LANG ARTS	
		passing rates on standardized	1	ESOL and/or DEV LANG ARTS	Telephone and email records
		tests.	1	ESOL-R.	_
		Student attendance is checked			
		daily and students with excessive			
		absences will be monitored and			
		counseled.			
Students read in English at Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool	
grade level text in a manner			D		
		for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness		
similar to non-ELL students.		for Monitoring	of Determine Effectiveness		

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

2 Ct 1 t	2.1 Mart El I altaria arba 1	b 1 Tkid- LV	b 1 Daineinel ADC ADA	b 1 A Jii	0.1 Classes Wall-these 1	
2. Students scoring					2.1. Classroom Walkthroughs	
proficient in Reading.	with below grade level reading	implement ESOL strategies.			by the Principal, APC, APA, and	
	skills.				District Staff	
		Teachers will differentiate	Support Staff, District Staff	in August/September,	T DI	
		instruction.		November/December and	Lesson Plans	
				February	L	
		Teacher will teach root		L	Teacher made assessments	
		words, vocabulary in		Data from Fast ForWord	L	
		context, and build academic		Progress Tracker for	Fast ForWord Progress Tracke	
		background knowledge by		students enrolled in DEV		
		using technology (video,			Discovery progress monitoring	
		audio clips, PowerPoint			data, CIM pre/post test data, ERC	
		Presentations, etc.		ESOL-R.	data, student grades, OnlineIPT,	
					IPT-3 English Reading & Writing,	
		Students enrolled in DEV			and Ticket-Out-The-Door	
		LANG ARTS ESOL and/or		APA, and District		
		DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R		Staff walk through		
		use the Fast ForWord Reading	7	classrooms and do		
		Program forty minutes daily	1	targeted observations to		
		l rogram forty minutes dairy		monitor the teachers in		
		Student recruitment for after-		their implementation of		
		school sessions		these targeted strategies.		
		School Sessions				
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
	Proficient in Reading:					
100% of the 9th and 10th grade LYs						
who scored Proficient in Reading,						
but NOT in Listening/Speaking and	1					
Writing, on the 2012 CELLA will						
maintain that score in 2013.						
8% of the 9th, 10th, and 11th grade						
LYs who scored below Proficient		1				
in Reading on the 2012 CELLA						
will improve that score in 2013.						
		1				
	18% of all LYs scored Proficient in	ĺ				
	Reading on the 2012 CELLA					
	ļ.	!	ı.		Į.	

		due to lack of parent literacy.	increase reading comprehension. Students enrolled in DEV LANG ARTS ESOL and/or DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R use the Fast ForWord Reading Program forty minutes daily Student recruitment for afterschool sessions.	APA, ESOL and all other teachers, Paraprofessio- nals, other School Support Staff, District Staff	Discovery assessments to students in August/September, November/ December and February, Data from Fast ForWord Progress Tracker for students enrolled in DEV LANG ARTS ESOL and/or DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R. The Principal, APC, APA, and District Staff walk through classrooms and do targeted observations to monitor the teachers in their implementation of these targeted strategies.	2.2. Classroom Walkthroughs by the Principal, APC, APA, and District Staff Lesson Plans Teacher made assessments Fast ForWord Progress Tracker Discovery progress monitoring data, CIM pre/post test data, ERC data, student grades, OnlineIPT, IPT-3 English Reading & Writing, and Ticket- Out-The-Door
		up after failing numerous tests.	counselors, the administration, and (if possible) parents/ guardians will meet with the	teachers, Paraprofessio- nals, other School Support Staff, District Staff	Discovery assessments to students in August/September, November/ December and February, Data from Fast ForWord Progress Tracker for students enrolled in DEV LANG ARTS ESOL and/or DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R. The Principal, APC, APA, and District Staff walk through classrooms and do targeted observations to monitor the teachers in their implementation of these	2.3 Classroom Walkthroughs by the Principal, APC, APA, and District Staff Lesson Plans Teacher made assessments Fast ForWord Progress Tracker Discovery progress monitoring data, CIM pre/post test data, ERC data, student grades, OnlineIPT, IPT-3 English Reading & Writing, and Ticket-Out-The-Door.
Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	b	b. m. t. id. xxx	b. n	h	han we we	
3. Students scoring	2.1. Most ELLs begin school year		2.1. Principal, APC, APA,		2.1. Pre-writing, writing webs,	
proficient in Writing.	with below grade level writing	implement ESOL strategies.	ESOL and all other teachers,		edited papers, revised and refined	
<u>.</u>	skills.			writing assignment every	papers, final writing products	
		LYs participate in WAC	Support Staff, District Staff		including essays, prose, poetry,	
		(Writing across the		a writing component in	among other writing samples.	
		Curriculum) in all classes for		their semester exam		
		a variety of purposes.		L	Student grades, OnlineIPT, IPT-	
		a variety of purposes.			3 English Reading & Writing, and	
				Progress Tracker for	Fast ForWord ProgressTracker.	
		Students enrolled in DEV		students enrolled in DEV		
		LANG ARTS ESOL and/or		LANG ARTS ESOL and		
		DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R		or DEV LANG ARTS		
		will write as an integral part		ESOL-R.		
		of the class curriculum and		[c		
		receive continuous support		Classroom walkthrough,		
		from teacher with appropriate		review of lesson plans,		
		commentary on written		monitoring effective use		
		assignments.		of ESOL strategies and		
				accommodations in the		
		Teachers will also use LFS		classroom.		
		pairing strategies to team				
		proficient and non-proficient				
		students.				
		students.				
		Students enrolled in DEV				
		LANG ARTS ESOL and/or				
		DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R				
		use the Fast ForWord Reading				
		Program forty minutes daily				
		riogram forty minutes dairy				
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
CEEET Godi #5.	Proficient in Writing:					
100% of the 9th, 10th, and 11th						
grade LYs who scored Proficient						
in Writing, but NOT in Listening/						
Speaking and Reading, on the 2012						
CELLA will maintain that score in						
2013.						
8% of the 9 th , 10 th , and 11 th grade				1		
LYs who scored below Proficient						
in Writing on the 2012 CELLA				1		
will improve that score in 2013.						
1						
			•	•	•	

25% of all LYs scored Proficient in Writing on the 2012 CELLA.					
	focusing and organizing cohesive thoughts for writing and communicating.	2.2. Teachers with LYs implement ESOL strategies. Teachers will utilize pre-writing techniques to ensure LYs know how to organize their thinking. Students will be taught to use graphic organizers, webs, and brainstorming techniques to better organize their thoughts. Students will learn to use credible support in their writing and cite references in their writing.	APA, ESOL and all other teachers, Paraprofessio- nals, other School Support Staff, District Staff	Data from Fast ForWord Progress Tracker for students enrolled in DEV LANG ARTS ESOL and/or	2.2. Pre-writing, writing webs, edited papers, revised and refined papers, final writing products including essays, prose, poetry, among other writing samples. Student grades, OnlineIPT, IPT-3 English Reading & Writing, and Fast ForWord ProgressTracker.
	knowledge, and cultural experiences influence the writing outcome.	multi-cultural and muti-genres of	APA, ESOL and all other teachers, Paraprofessio- nals, other School Support Staff, District Staff	Data from Fast ForWord Progress Tracker for students enrolled in DEV LANG ARTS ESOL and/or DEV LANG ARTS ESOL-R.	2.3 Pre-writing, writing webs, edited papers, revised and refined papers, final writing products including essays, prose, poetry, among other writing samples. Student grades, OnlineIPT, IPT-3 English Reading & Writing, and Fast ForWord ProgressTracker.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

	I		
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			
	•		·

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

h Sch <mark>ool</mark>	Mathema Problen	1-			
	Solving				
	Process				
	to				
	Increas	e			
	Studen	t			
	Achiev				

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

			ı	r		
	ment					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy		
"Guiding Questions",						
identify and define areas						
in need of improvement						
for the following group:						
1. Florida Alternate	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	11.1.	1.1.	
Assessment:		Teachers utilize			District Assessments;	
Students scoring at	are still not using	the gradual release model	LEA Facilitator, Teachers	Based Benchmark Assessments;	Alternative Assessments;	
L	strategies	release model		Higher proficiency on benchmark		
mathematics.	consistently	Explicit		assessments or alternate		
mathematics.	that encourage	Vocabulary		assessment(Teachers)		
	student	Instruction		accessing in cuciners)		
	engagement,			During planning time, results of		
	reducing	whiteboards,		assessments will be reviewed by		
		chart paper and		teachers to ensure progress and		
	teaching,	grid chart paper		adjust curriculum focus as needed.		
	tasks and	for use with		(Teachers)		
	assignments	collaborative				
		structures and		Semi-annual Data Chats; (Teachers)		
		accountable talk		L		
		are available to		Classroom Walkthrough Data,		
	grade level	improve student		review of Lesson plans, Review of		
	appropriate work	engagement		Data & Binders (Administrators/ LEA Facilitator)		
	WOIK	Students take		LEA Facilitator)		
		Computer Based		The Principal, APC, APA, and		
	Students	Tests at least		District Staff walk through		
	with limited	twice each year		classrooms and do targeted		
	background	,		observations to monitor the teachers		
	knowledge and	Graphic		in their implementation of these		
	skills.	Organizers or		targeted strategies.		
		foldables are				
	Students	used to focus				
	may not be	attention and				
	motivated to	organize work.				
	learn					
	Some students					
	are not					
	authentically					
	engaged in					
	the learning					
	process.					

The results of the 2012 Florida Alternate Assessment indicate that 64%(9/14) of students scored in the middle levels (Level 4, 5, & 6) Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students achieving proficiency (Level 4, 5, & 6) by 8 percentage points to 72%(10/14)	Level of Performance:*						
	total (14) tested.	72% (10) of total (14) tested.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

A 731 4 7 4 7 4	h 1	h 1	h 1	2.1	b 1	
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		2.1.	
Assessment:	Students	Teachers utilize		District Computer-Based Benchmark		
Students scoring at		the gradual	Guidance,	Assessments;	Alternative Assessments;	
U	background		LEA Facilitator,	m: 1		
	knowledge and		Teachers	Higher proficiency on benchmark		
mathematics.	skills.	Explicit		assessments (Teachers)		
	C	Vocabulary		Di		
	Some students	instruction		During planning time, results of		
	are not authentically	whiteboards,		assessments will be reviewed by teachers to ensure progress and		
	engaged in	chart paper and		adjust curriculum focus as needed. (Teachers)		
		grid chart paper		(Teachers)		
	process.	for use with collaborative		Semi-annual Data Chats; (Teachers)		
		structures and		Semi-annual Data Chats, (Teachers)		
		accountable talk		Classroom Walkthrough Data,		
		are available to		review of Lesson plans, Review of		
		improve student		Data & Binders (Administrators/		
		engagement		LEA Facilitator)Facilitator)		
		engagement		LLA i demitator ji demitator j		
		Students take				
		Computer Based				
		Tests at least				
		twice each year				
		emico cacii y cai				
		Graphic				
		Organizers or				
		foldables are				
		used to focus				
		attention and				
		organize work				
Mathematics Goal #2:		2013 Expected				
1	Level of	Level of				
The results of the	Performance:*	Performance:*				
2012 Florida Alternate						
Assessment indicate that						
29%(4/14) of students						
scored in the upper level						
(Level 7 or above)						
0 10 1 2012 2012						
Our goal for the 2012-2013						
school year is to increase						
the percentage of students	1					
achieving proficiency Level	1					
7 or above by 8 percentage						
points to 37%(5/14)						

	29% (4) of total (14) tested.	37% (5) of total (14) tested.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3. Florida Alternate	3 1	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	
	J.1.	Provide	Administrators,	District Computer-Based Benchmark	District Assessments:	
Assessment:	High	additional	Guidance,	Assessments;	Alternative Assessments;	
	percentage	practice in		During planning time, results of	Attendative Assessments,	
	of low socio-			semi-monthly assessments will		
Learning Gains in	economic			be reviewed by teachers to ensure		
	family	real world		progress and adjust curriculum focus		
mathematics.	structure	applications.		as needed.		
	(disadvantage)			Departmental PLC's as support;		
	evidenced by	Use Step/		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		Error-analysis		Classroom Walkthrough Data		
	incoming	for students				
	student reading	gto identify				
	and/or math	learned concepts				
	skills are	and eliminate				
	below grade	misconceptions.				
	level for the					
	majority;	Use Content				
	Minimal	Vocabulary				
	parental	strategies.				
	involvement;					
	Student apathy	Utilize a variety				
	towards study/	of strategies such				
	practice; Bus-riders	as random call and/or H.O.T.				
	unable to stay	questioning to				
	to use after	engage students.				
	school help	engage students.				
	or to make-					
	up missed					
	assignments/					
	tests;					
	Absenteeism					
	with work not					
	made up.					
	L					
	Minimal use					
	of computer					
	based					
	practice and					
	assessments.					
			l	Į	l .	

#3.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4 The 21 - A14	4 1	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	
4. Florida Alternate	4.1.	Provide		District Computer-Based Benchmark		
Assessment:	High	additional	Guidance,		Alternative Assessments;	
Percentage of	percentage	practice in	AIF-Math Coach,	During planning time, results of	Alternative Assessments,	
students in Lowest	of low socio-		Department Head,	semi-monthly assessments will		
25% making	economic	that involve		be reviewed by teachers to ensure		
	family	real world		progress and adjust curriculum focus		
learning gains in	structure	applications.		as needed.		
mathematics.	(disadvantage)			Departmental PLC's as support;		
	evidenced by	Use Step/		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
	the following:			Classroom Walkthrough Data		
	incoming	for students				
	student reading					
	and/or math	learned concepts				
	skills are	and eliminate				
	below grade	misconceptions.				
	level for the					
	majority;	Use Content				
	Minimal	Vocabulary				
	parental	strategies.				
	involvement;					
	Student apathy	Utilize a variety				
		of strategies such				
	practice; Bus-riders	as random call				
		and/or H.O.T.				
	to use after	questioning to engage students.				
	school help	chigage students.				
	or to make-					
	up missed					
	assignments/					
	tests;					
	Absenteeism					
	with work not					
	made up.					
	Minimal use					
	of computer					
	based					
	practice and					
	assessments.					

Mathematics Goal #4 DATA NOT AVAILABLE	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring at		1.1.			1.1.	
Achievement Level 3 in		Provide additional	Administrators, Guidance,		District Assessments;	
		practice in function			Algebra 1 EOC Assessments;	
Algebra.		notation, and solving		During planning time, results		
	all 3 Reporting	and graphing		of semi-monthly assessments		
	Categories were			will be reviewed by teachers		
	of great difficulty	involve real world		to ensure progress and adjust		
	for students.	applications.		curriculum focus as needed.		
				Departmental PLC's as support;		
		Use Step/Error-		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		analysis for		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
		students to identify				
		learned concepts				
		and eliminate				
		misconceptions.				
		·	l			
		Use Content	l			
		Vocabulary strategies.				
		Utilize a variety of				
		strategies such as				
		random call and/or				
		H.O.T. questioning to				
		engage students.				
Algebra Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level				
riigeora Goarwii.		of Performance:*				
The results of the 2012 Algebra	Performance:*					
1 EOC assessment indicate that						
20%(58) of students scored in the						
middle level (Level 3)						
Emiddle level (Level 3)						
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school						
year is to increase the percentage			l			
of students achieving proficiency						
(Level 3) by 5 percentage points to			l			
25% (72)						
2370 (72)						
	200/(59), T-4-1	250/ (72) ;£+-+-1				
		25% (72) if total tested = 289.				
	lesied 289	lesied = 289.				

Hi lov far (di ev	ow socio-economic amily structure disadvantage); videnced by the	School-wide high yield LFS and Content	AIF-Math Coach, Department Head, Teachers		1.2. District Assessments; Algebra 1 EOC Assessments;	
stu ma gra ma Mi inv ap	udent reading and/or ath skills are below rade level for the ajority; finimal parental avolvement; Student pathy towards study/	parents; District letters sent home with students; After school help offered to students; School web-site with links to district site for EOC content information and free practice/resources		curriculum focus as needed. Departmental PLC's as support; Semi-annual Data Chats; Classroom Walkthrough Data		
aft to as: At wo	fter school help or o make-up missed ssignments/tests; bsenteeism with ork not made up.	with Computer-based self-practice/assessments for self-guided use. Availability of computer use in library. For Teachers: School-wide high yield LFS strategies utilized;				
pra	omputer based ractice and ssessments.	District Math Site provides Course Guidelines with benchmarks and links to FLDOE sample problems, Item Specification and Content Limits for EOC and other resources;				
		Regular District Math Updates with links and Live Meetings available; Collaborative planning; Data chats; Instructional tips and availability of one-on- one or team coaching for teachers during after school planning time.				
1.3	3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

D 1 4 1 1 1 1 1		g, ·	p	B 11 1 5	F 1 6 7 1	 1
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy		
and define areas in need of						
improvement for the following						
group:						
2. Students scoring at or	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		2.1.	
above Achievement Levels	According to the	Provide additional			District Assessments;	
4 and 5 in Algabra					Algebra 1 EOC Assessments;	
4 and 5 in Algebra.		notation, and solving	Department Head,	During planning time, results		
			Teachers	of semi-monthly assessments		
	Categories were	equations that		will be reviewed by teachers		
	of great difficulty	involve real world		to ensure progress and adjust		
	for students.	applications.		curriculum focus as needed.		
				Departmental PLC's as support;		
		Use Content		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		Vocabulary		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
		Strategies.				
		Use Step/Error-				
		analysis for				
		students to identify				
		learned concepts				
		and eliminate				
		misconceptions.				
		_				
		Utilize a variety of				
		strategies such as				
		random call and/or				
		H.O.T. questioning to				
		engage students.				
Algebra Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level				
	Level of	of Performance:*				
The results of the 2012 Algebra	Performance:*					
1 EOC assessment indicate that						
2%(5) of students scored in the						
upper levels (Levels 4-5)						
] · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school						
year is to increase the percentage						
of students achieving proficiency						
(Level 4-5) by 5 percentage points						
to 7% (20)						

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

tested 289 tested is 289

High percentage of low socio-economic family structure (disadvantage); evidenced by the following: incoming student reading and/or math skills are below grade level for the majority; Minimal parental involvement; Student apathy towards study/practice; Bus-riders unable to stay to use after school help or to make-up missed assignments/tests; Absenteeism with work not made up. Minimal use of computer based	yield LFS and Content Vocabulary strategies utilized; School-wide call-outs to parents; District letters sent home with students; After school help offered to students; School web-site with links to district site for EOC content information and free practice/resources with Computer-based self-practice/assessments for self-guided use. Availability of computer use in library. For Teachers: School-wide high yield LFS strategies utilized; District Math Site	Administrators, Guidance, AIF-Math Coach, Department Head, Teachers		2.2. District Assessments; Algebra 1 EOC Assessments;	
Minimal use of computer based practice and assessments	LFS strategies utilized;		2.3	2.3	
2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
3A. Ambitious but	10th FCAT Data Available for 2010-11 59% (197) of 333 total number of students for Levels (3-5) The percentage	Year(s) 1-2: 3-6% increase projected Actual: (1st year of Full implementation of State requirement	Goal: Year(s) 1-2: 3-6% increase projected	Goal: Year(s) 3-4: 5-8% increase projected	projected	Goal: Year(s) 5-6: 7-10% increase projected	Goal: Year(s) 5-6: 7-10% increase projected
Algebra Goal #3A: Our goal for each year is to increase the percentage of students achieving levels 3-5 by 8% over the previous year; thus increasing the percentage of students scoring at AL3-5 and reducing the percentage of students scoring at AL 1-2.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	•	,				
3B. Student subgroups			3B.1.		3B.1.	
by ethnicity (White, Black,					District Assessments;	
TT	Black:		AIF-Math Coach,		Algebra 1 EOC Assessments;	
				During planning time, results		
Indian) not making	Asian:	involve real world	Teachers	of semi-monthly assessments		
satisfactory progress in	American Indian:	applications.		will be reviewed by teachers		
Algebra.				to ensure progress and adjust		
ingebia.	According to the			curriculum focus as needed.		
	2012 Algebra 1			Departmental PLC's as support;		
		students to identify		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
	all 3 Reporting	learned concepts		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
	Categories were					
	of great difficulty	misconceptions.				
	for students.					
		Use Content				
	High percentage	Vocabulary strategies.				
	of low socio-					
		Utilize a variety of				
		strategies such as				
		random call and/or				
		H.O.T. questioning to				
		engage students.				
	incoming student					
		After school help				
		offered to students;				
		School web-site with				
		links to district site				
	Minimal parental					
		information and free				
		practice/resources				
	towards study/ practice; Bus-	with Computer- based self-practice/				
		assessments for				
		self-guided use.				
	school help or to					
		computer use in				
		library.				
	tests;	iiorary.				
	Absenteeism					
	with work not					
	made up.					
	Minimal use of					
	computer based					
	practice and					
	assessments					

	i				1		1
Tigeria Gear was.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		DATA NOT AVAILABLE					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3C. English Language	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	
Learners (ELL) not		Provide additional				
making satisfactory	According to the	practice in solving	Administrators, Guidance,		District Assessments;	
		equations that			Algebra 1 EOC Assessments;	
progress in Algebra.	EOC assessment,	involve real world	Department Head,	During planning time, results		
		applications.		of semi-monthly assessments		
	Categories were			will be reviewed by teachers		
	of great difficulty			to ensure progress and adjust		
	for students.	analysis for		curriculum focus as needed.		
		students to identify		Departmental PLC's as support;		
		learned concepts		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
	of low socio-	and eliminate		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
	economic	misconceptions.				
	family structure					
		Use Content				
	evidenced by	Vocabulary strategies.				
	the following:					
		Utilize a variety of				
	reading and/or	strategies such as				
		random call and/or				
		H.O.T. questioning to				
		engage students.				
	Minimal parental					
	involvement;	After school help				
	Student apathy	offered to students;				
		School web-site with				
		links to district site				
		for EOC content				
		information and free practice/resources				
	make-up missed	with Computer-				
	assignments/	based self-practice/				
	tests;	assessments for				
		self-guided use.				
		Availability of				
	made up.	computer use in				
	inade up.	library.				
	Minimal use of	norary.				
	computer based					
	practice and					
	assessments	1				
		1				
	1	1				
	1	1				
	1	1				
	1	1				
	1	1				

Algebra Goal #3C: Our goal for each year is to increase the percentage of students achieving levels 3-5 by 8% over the previous year; thus increasing the percentage of students scoring at AL3-5 and reducing the percentage of students scoring at AL 1-2.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		DATA NOT AVAILABLE					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	lan i	lan ı	lan i	lan i	lan i	
3D. Students with			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	
Disabilities (SWD) not		Provide additional	l	L	L	
making satisfactory		practice in solving	Administrators, Guidance,		District Assessments;	
	EOC assessment,			Benchmark Assessments;	Algebra 1 EOC Assessments;	
progress in Algebra.				During planning time, results		
	Categories were		Teachers	of semi-monthly assessments		
	of great difficulty			will be reviewed by teachers		
		Use Step/Error-		to ensure progress and adjust		
		analysis for		curriculum focus as needed.		
		students to identify		Departmental PLC's as support;		
		learned concepts		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		and eliminate		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
		misconceptions.				
	(disadvantage);					
		Use Content				
		Vocabulary strategies	1			
	incoming student					
		Utilize a variety of				
		strategies such as				
		random call and/or				
		H.O.T. questioning to				
	Minimal parental	engage students.				
	involvement;					
		After school help				
	,	offered to students;				
		School web-site with				
		links to district site				
	stay to use after					
		information and free				
		practice/resources with Computer-				
		1				
		based self-practice/ assessments for				
		self-guided use.				
		Availability of				
		computer use in library.				
	computer based	norary.				
	practice and					
	assessments					

Algebra Goal #3D: Our goal for each year is to increase the percentage of students achieving levels 3-5 by 8% over the previous year; thus increasing the percentage of students scoring at AL3-5 and reducing the percentage of students scoring at AL 1-2.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		DATA NOT AVAILABLE					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3E. Economically	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	
			Administrators, Guidance,		District Assessments;	
Disadvantaged students				Benchmark Assessments;	Algebra 1 EOC Assessments;	
not making satisfactory	EOC assessment,	equations that		During planning time, results	Algebra i Loc Assessments,	
progress in Algebra.				of semi-monthly assessments		
progress in ringebrui	Categories were		reactions	will be reviewed by teachers		
	of great difficulty			to ensure progress and adjust		
		Use Step/Error-		curriculum focus as needed.		
	I .	analysis for		Departmental PLC's as support;		
		students to identify		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		learned concepts		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
		and eliminate		Classicom Warkingagii Baa,		
	I .	misconceptions.				
	(disadvantage);	misconceptions.				
		Use Content				
		Vocabulary strategies.				
	incoming student					
		Utilize a variety of				
		strategies such as				
	below grade level	random call and/or				
	for the majority;	H.O.T. questioning to				
	Minimal parental	engage students.				
	involvement;					
	Student apathy	After school help				
		offered to students;				
		School web-site with				
		links to district site				
	stay to use after					
		information and free				
		practice/resources				
		with Computer-				
		based self-practice/				
		assessments for				
		self-guided use.				
		Availability of				
		computer use in				
		library.				
	practice and					
	assessments					

Our goal for each year is to increase the percentage of students achieving levels 3-5 by 8% over the previous year; thus increasing the percentage of students scoring at AL3-5 and reducing the percentage of students scoring at AL 1-2.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		DATA NOT AVAILABLE					
	AVAILAB LE	AVAILABLE					
		3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Achievement I evel 3 in	According to the	Model the	Administrators, Guidance,		District Assessments;	
Comment Ecters in	2012 Geometry	Transformation of	AIF/Math Coach,	Computer-Based Benchmark	Geometry EOC Assessments.	
•	EOC assessment,	2D shapes into 3D		Assessment data reviewed by		
	all 3 Reporting Categories were	shapes.		teachers and results of semi- monthly assessments will be		
	of difficulty for	Provide students with		reviewed by teachers to ensure		
		practice visualizing		progress and adjust curriculum		
		and drawing models		focus and strategies as needed.		
		of cross-sections of		Departmental PLC's as support;		
		a range of geometric		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		structures and solids.		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
		Use Content				
		Vocabulary				
		Strategies.				
		Use Step/Error-				
		analysis for students to identify and				
		communicate				
		learned concepts				
		and eliminate				
		misconceptions.				
		TT. II.				
		Utilize a variety of strategies such as				
		random call and/or				
		H.O.T. questioning to				
		engage students.				
Geometry Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level				
	Level of	of Performance:*				
The results of the 2012 Geometry	Performance:*					
EOC assessment indicate that 29%						
(112) of 386 students scored in the						
middle third (Level 3).						
Our goal for the 2012-2013 school						
year is to increase the percentage						
of students scoring in the middle						
third (Level 3) by 5 percentage						
points to 34% (131).						

000/ (11	12) of 206240/ (121) if 207	i			i	
	12) of 386 34% (131) if 386 are					
tested.	tested.					
	1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	1.2.	
			Administrators, Guidance,		District Assessments;	
		School-wide high			Geometry EOC Assessments.	
	family structure	yield LFS and Content		Benchmark Assessment		
	(disadvantage);	Vocabulary strategies	Teachers	data reviewed by teachers		
		utilized;		and results of semi-		
	following: incoming	School-wide call-outs to		monthly assessments will		
	student reading and/	parents; District letters		be reviewed by teachers		
	or math skills are	sent home with students;		to ensure progress and		
	below grade level for	After school help offered		adjust curriculum focus and		
		to students;		strategies as needed.		
		School web-site with links		Departmental PLC's as	 	
		to district site for EOC		support;	 	
	apathy towards study/	content information with		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		free resources for self-		Classroom Walkthrough	 	
	unable to stay to use	guided use. Availability		Data;		
		of computer use in library.		,		
	to make-up missed	1				
		For Teachers:				
	Absenteeism with	School-wide high yield				
		LFS strategies utilized;				
		District Math Site				
		provides Course				
	computer based	Guidelines with				
		benchmarks and links to				
		FLDOE sample problems,				
		Item Specification and				
		Content Limits for EOC				
		and other resources;			 	
		Regular District Math			 	
		Updates with links and			 	
		Live Meetings available;			 	
		Collaborative planning;			 	
		Data chats;			 	
		Instructional tips and			 	
		availability of one-on-			 	
		one or team coaching			 	
		for teachers during after			 	
		school planning time.			 	
		benoor planning time.			 	
					 	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.5.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	According to the 2012 Geometry EOC assessment, all 3 Reporting Categories were of difficulty for students.	Model the Transformation of	Administrators, Guidance, AIF/Math Coach, Department Head, Teachers	During planning time: District	2.1. District Assessments; Geometry EOC Assessments.	

The results of the 2012 Geometry EOC assessment indicate that 15% (58) of 386 students scored in the upper third (Levels 4-5). Our goal for the 2012-2013 school year is to increase the percentage of students scoring in the upper third (Levels 4-5) by 5 percentage points to 20% (77).	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*			
		23% (89) If 386 are tested.			

		h a	2.2	h a	h 2	h a	
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			T		L	L	
			For Students:	Administrators, Guidance,	During planning time:	District Assessments;	
			School-wide high		District Computer-Based	Geometry EOC Assessments.	
					Benchmark Assessment		
			Vocabulary strategies	Teachers	data reviewed by teachers		
			utilized;		and results of semi-		
			School-wide call-outs to		monthly assessments will		
			parents; District letters		be reviewed by teachers		
			sent home with students;		to ensure progress and		
			After school help offered		adjust curriculum focus and		
			to students;		strategies as needed.		
		Minimal parental	School web-site with links		Departmental PLC's as		
		involvement; Student	to district site for EOC		support;		
			content information with		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		practice; Bus-riders	free resources for self-		Classroom Walkthrough		
		unable to stay to use	guided use. Availability		Data;		
			of computer use in library.		ĺ		
		to make-up missed	1,				
			For Teachers:				
			School-wide high yield				
			LFS strategies utilized;				
			District Math Site				
			provides Course				
			Guidelines with				
			benchmarks and links to				
			FLDOE sample problems,				
			Item Specification and				
			Content Limits for EOC				
			and other resources;				
			Regular District Math				
			Updates with links and				
			Live Meetings available;				
			Collaborative planning;				
			1 0,				
			Data chats;				
			Instructional tips and				
			availability of one-on-				
			one or team coaching				
			for teachers during after				
			school planning time.				
			2.2				
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Based on Ambitious but	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
Achievable Annual Measurable							
Objectives (AMOs), Reading							
and Math Performance Target							

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

	10th FCAT Data Available for 2010-11 59% (197) of 333 total number of students for Levels (3-5)	Year 1: 8% increase projected Actual: (1st year of Implementation of State Geometry EOC)		Year 3: 8% increase projected		Year 5:	Goal: Year 6: 8% increase projected
Our goal for each year is to increase the percentage of students achieving levels 3-5 by 8% over the previous year; thus increasing the percentage of students scoring at AL3-5 and reducing the percentage of students scoring at AL 1-2.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

an 64 1 4 1	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	
	White:				District Assessments;	
by ethnicity (White, Black,		Model the			Geometry EOC Assessments.	
Hispanic, Asian, American				During planning time, results	Geometry Loc Assessments.	
Indian) not making		2D shapes into 3D		of semi-monthly assessments		
satisfactory progress in	American Indian:			will be reviewed by teachers		
Geometry.		1		to ensure progress and adjust		
Geometry.	High percentage	Provide students with		curriculum focus as needed.		
		practice visualizing		Departmental PLC's as support;		
	economic	and drawing models		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		of cross-sections of		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
	(disadvantage);	a range of geometric				
		structures and solids.				
	the following:					
	incoming student					
		with practice solving				
		equations.				
	below grade level for the majority;	Usa Contant				
	Minimal parental					
		Strategies.				
	Student apathy	Strategies.				
		Use Step/Error-				
		analysis for students				
		to identify and				
		communicate				
	school help or to					
		and eliminate				
		misconceptions.				
	tests;	XX.71				
		Utilize a variety of				
		strategies such as random call and/or				
		H.O.T. questioning to				
		engage students.				
	computer based	engage students.				
		After school help				
		offered to students;				
		School web-site with				
		links to district site				
		for EOC content				
		information and free				
		practice/resources				
		with Computer-				
		based self-practice/				
		assessments for				
		self-guided use.				
		Availability of computer use in				
		library.				
		morary.			1	

	1	ı	1		1		
G 1//2D	2012 C	2012 F 4 1 F 1					
Geometry Gour #3B.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NOT AVAILAB	DATA NOT AVAILABLE					
	LE —	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
						3B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	L	I	I	I	I	
		3C.1.	3C.1.		3C.1.	
Learners (ELL) not	According to the	Model the	Administrators, Guidance,		District Assessments;	
1	2012 Geometry	Transformation of	AIF-Math Coach,	Benchmark Assessments;	Geometry EOC Assessments.	
	EOC assessment,	2D shapes into 3D	Department Head,	During planning time, results		
progress in Geometry.	all 3 Reporting	shapes.	Teachers	of semi-monthly assessments		
	Categories were			will be reviewed by teachers		
	of difficulty for	Provide students with		to ensure progress and adjust		
		practice visualizing		curriculum focus as needed.		
		and drawing models		Departmental PLC's as support;		
		of cross-sections of		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		a range of geometric		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
	of low socio-	structures and solids.				
	economic	D				
	,	Provide students				
	(disadvantage);	with practice solving				
	evidenced by the following:	equations.				
	incoming student	Usa Contant				
		Vocabulary				
		Strategies.				
	below grade level					
	for the majority;					
		analysis for students				
		to identify and				
	Student apathy	communicate				
		learned concepts				
		and eliminate				
	μ	misconceptions.				
	stay to use after	misconceptions.				
		Utilize a variety of				
	make-up missed	strategies such as				
	assignments/	random call and/or				
	tests;	H.O.T. questioning to				
	Absenteeism	engage students.				
	with work not	00.				
	made up.	After school help				
	1	offered to students;				
		School web-site with				
		links to district site				
	practice and	for EOC content				
	assessments.	information and free				
		practice/resources				
		with Computer-				
		based self-practice/				
		assessments for				
		self-guided use.				
		Availability of				
		computer use in				
		library.				

					1		
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		DATA NOT AVAILABLE					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.			3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	lan i	lam 4	lam :	las .	law .	<u> </u>
3D. Students with	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		3D.1.	
Disabilities (SWD) not		Model the	Administrators, Guidance,		District Assessments;	
making satisfactory	2012 Geometry	Transformation of	AIF-Math Coach,	Benchmark Assessments;	Geometry EOC Assessments.	
	EOC assessment,	2D shapes into 3D	Department Head,	During planning time, results		
progress in Geometry.	all 3 Reporting	shapes.	Teachers	of semi-monthly assessments		
	Categories were			will be reviewed by teachers		
	of difficulty for	Provide students with		to ensure progress and adjust		
	students.	practice visualizing		curriculum focus as needed.		
		and drawing models		Departmental PLC's as support;		
		of cross-sections of		Semi-annual Data Chats;		
		a range of geometric		Classroom Walkthrough Data;		
		structures and solids.				
	High percentage					
	of low socio-	Provide students				
	economic	with practice solving				
	family structure	equations.				
	(disadvantage);					
	evidenced by	Use Content				
	the following:	Vocabulary				
	incoming student	Strategies.				
	reading and/or					
	math skills are	Use Step/Error-				
		lanalysis for students				
	for the majority;					
	Minimal parental					
	involvement;	learned concepts				
	Student apathy	and eliminate				
	towards study/	misconceptions.				
	practice; Bus-					
	riders unable to	Utilize a variety of				
	stay to use after	strategies such as				
	school help or to	random call and/or				
		H.O.T. questioning to	1			
	assignments/	engage students.				
	tests;					
	Absenteeism	After school help				
	with work not	offered to students;				
	made up.	School web-site with				
	L	links to district site				
	Minimal use of	for EOC content	1			
	computer based	information and free				
	practice and	practice/resources				
	assessments.	with Computer-				
		based self-practice/				
		assessments for				
		self-guided use.	1			
		Availability of				
		computer use in				
		library.				

Geometry Goal #3D: Our goal for each year is to increase the percentage of students achieving levels 3-5 by 8% over the previous year; thus increasing the percentage of students scoring at AL3-5 and reducing the percentage of students scoring at AL 1-2.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance.*					
	DATA NOT AVAILAB LE	DATA NOT AVAILABLE					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.			3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

land the land the land the land	
3E. Economically 3E.1. 3E.1. 3E.1. 3E.1. 3E.1.	
Disadvantaged students According to the 2012 Geometry According to the 2012 Geometry Transformation of Transformation of Administrators, Guidance, District Computer-Based Benchmark Assessments; Geometry EOC Assessments.	
progress in Geometry. EOC assessment, 2D shapes into 3D shapes into 3D shapes into 3D shapes. Department Head, all 3 Reporting shapes. Department Head, Teachers of semi-monthly assessments	
Categories were Categories were will be reviewed by teachers	
of difficulty for Provide students with to ensure progress and adjust	
students. practice visualizing curriculum focus as needed.	
and drawing models Departmental PLC's as support;	
of cross-sections of Semi-annual Data Chats;	
High percentage a range of geometric Classroom Walkthrough Data;	
of low socio- structures and solids.	
economic success and sorius.	
family structure Provide students	
(disadvantage); with practice solving	
evidenced by equations.	
the following:	I
incoming student Use Content	I
reading and/or Vocabulary	
math skills are Strategies.	
below grade level	
for the majority; Use Step/Error-	
Minimal parental analysis for students	
involvement; to identify and	
Student apathy communicate	
towards study/ learned concepts	
practice; Bus- and eliminate	
riders unable to misconceptions.	
stay to use after	
school help or to Utilize a variety of	
make-up missed strategies such as	
assignments/ random call and/or	
tests; H.O.T. questioning to	
Absenteeism engage students.	
with work not	
made up. After school help	
offered to students;	
Minimal use of School web-site with	
computer based links to district site	
practice and for EOC content assessments. information and free	
assessments. information and free practice/resources	
with Computer-	
based self-practice/	
assessments for	
self-guided use.	
Availability of	1
computer use in	1
library.	1

Our goal for each year is to increase the percentage of students achieving levels 3-5 by 8% over the previous year; thus increasing the percentage of students scoring at AL3-5 and reducing the percentage of students scoring at AL 1-2.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NOT AVAILAB LE	DATA NOT AVAILABLE					
		3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			
Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			

PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Analyzing Student Data (DATA DAY)	Math	District Staff Development Instructions; PLC Leader	District Wide/Dispersal of Teachers by Course or Team (Instructions TBD)	Monday, September 17, 2012	Product/Form turn-in	Administrative Team/District
Standard	Algebra 1, Geometry, Algebra 2, Liberal Arts math, College Readiness Math	D. Jones, releases to content Team Leaders	Math Teachers	1 st week- Departmental Meeting PLC-August	Teachers/Teams/monitor and adjust common FCIM/FOCUS Calendar/Bell-work -as needed for instructional scaffolding. Review on planning time or review on PLC time. Data is kept by teachers and is viewable in Pinnacle	Teachers/Content Focus Teams Administrative team grade view
Professional Learning Communities	Mathematics	D. Jones – AIF	Math Teachers	10-30-12	PLC-Common Planning notice sent to Teachers with specified day's to meet for each team PLC, forms given to Ms. Tucker	Administrative Team
Standards Based Common Bell- work Calendar and Pacing Timeline Implementing the FCIM Process	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math	D. Jones – AIF	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math Teachers	11-1-12	benchmark quiz for progress monitoring, Data to be kept in	Geometry Team and Liberal ArtsTeam, teachers on teams, Administrative Team monitors data binders, grade book, and lesson plans

work Calendar and Pacing Timeline Implementing the FCIM Process	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR, Algebra 2, Algebra 2 Honors	D. Jones – AIF	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR, Algebra 2, Algebra 2 Honors	11-6-12	monitoring, Data to be kept	Algebra 1 Team and Algebra 2 Team, Teachers on teams, Administrative Team reviews data binders
Data Chats on Class data (grades) Common Bell-work use of common assessments, mini- assessments, bell- work quizzes		Facilitator Dan Renz, D Jones- other fac	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math	11-8-12	Inindere 1 7 times each month	Administrative Team monitors data binders, grade book, and lesson plans
on Tenoroc's website, FLDOE site resources	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR, Algebra 2, Algebra 2 Honors	D. Jones – AIF	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR, Algebra 2, Algebra 2 Honors	11-13-12		Algebra 1 Team and Algebra 2 Team, Teachers on teams, Administrative Team monitors data binders, grade book, and lesson plans

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Analyze Data from FOCUS Bell-work quizzes from Florida Achieves! and	ILL ANARC I	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math	11-15-12	Team Led Plan - Teachers use common assessments every two weeks on bell work/ use the Springboard unit tests, Teachers/Team compares content area focus assessments to find common strengths and weaknesses, Teachers/Teams Reteach and retest common bell-work as needed. Timeline – two weeks (Smart Goal: by May 2013 70% of the students regularly attending retaking the Geometry EOC will successfully increase their previous score to achieve a level 3 (passing) Continue to communicate; Conference to see best practices elsewhere in the classroom (data kept in teacher's binder, available for administrative viewing) PLC Form to Ms. Tucker	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math teams and Administrative Team monitors
		Algebra 2, Algebra 2 Honors Math teachers	11-27-13	Team monitors to make team based decisions about scaffolding and changes to be made to instruction. Meeting to	lesson plans

across students. Why? How will you help students identify their own 'error	Algebra 2, Algebra 2 Honors	D. Jones – AIF	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR, Algebra 2, Algebra 2 Honors	11-27-12	scaffolding, and progress on	Algebra 1 Team and Algebra 2 Team, Teachers on teams, Administrative Team monitors data binders, grade book, and lesson plans
--	-----------------------------------	-------------------	--	----------	------------------------------	---

Professional Learning Communities, Ongoing PD from PD360, PD360 Access, PD360 Video List for Instructional Review for DA	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math	D. Jones – AIF	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math	11-29-12	available for administrative viewing) (Smart Goal: by May 2013	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math teams and Administrative Team monitors data binders, grade book, and lesson plans
--	--	-------------------	---	----------	--	--

overall student achievement?	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR	Knopp-	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR	12-4-12	going to do about it?	Algebra 1 Team, Teachers on teams, Administrative Team monitors data binders, grade book, and lesson plans
Revise Standards Based Common Bell-work Calendar and Pacing Timeline Implementing the FCIM Process using the item specification report and content limits report	Honors, Liberal Arts	D. Jones – AIF	Geometry, Geometry Honors, Liberal Arts Math Teachers	12-6-12	benchmark quiz for progress monitoring, Data to be kept in	Geometry Team and Liberal ArtsTeam, teachers on teams, Administrative Team monitors data binders, grade book, and lesson plans
Bell-work and revise timeline for Algebra 1	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR	D. Jones AIF	Algebra 1, Algebra 1 Honors, Algebra 1CR	12-11-12	SpringBoard and align with standards.	Algebra 1 Team, Teachers on teams, Administrative Team monitors data binders, grade book, and lesson plans
Data Analysis	Algebra 2 Team	Geoff Frey- Facilitator	Algebra 2 Team	1-8-13	Collate and Analyze Common Standard based student data and place in binders (product in binder) PLC form to Ms. Tucker	Algebra 2 Team, Administrative Team

Create Standards based Common Assessments	Geometry Team, Liberal Arts Team		Geometry Team, Liberal Arts Team	I inaral Arte taam	Product – Final Exam PLC form to Ms. Tucker	Geometry, Liberal Arts Math, Algebra 1 Teams, Administrative Team
Problem Solving with the DA Math STEM Specialist	ΔII math	Samara Routenberg		implementation per teacher choices,	Problem Solving Process Teachers are to come together to follow plan they put in place – Administrative Team PLC form to Ms. Tucker	Algebra 1 Team, Teachers on teams, Administrative Team monitors
Student Engagement Strategies- SpringBoard Activity and discuss benchmark correlations and how it will lead bell work for the rest of the year	Algebra 1 Team	Candace Kiella & Simon Rodriguez	Algebra 1 Team		Reflection questions-keep the activity to use PLC form to Ms. Tucker	Administrative team
	0	Samara Routenberg	Algebra 1 Content Team	2-13-13 possible, 2-19-13, 3-1-13 may trade with 2-13-13, 3-5-13, 3-21-13,	Initial Lesson Study Cycle - introduction, then each meeting team will follow the outline of the Lesson Study Concept. Product PLC form to Ms. Tucker	Algebra 1 Team, Teachers on teams, Administrative Team

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Tracine materies Budget (misere to the	Tananaman Tanana (umanaman)											
Include only school-based funded												
activities/materials and exclude district												
funded activities /materials.												

Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Science	Problem-				
Goals	Solving				
	Process to				
	Increase				
	Student				

	4 1 .		İ	ı	i	İ	
	Achieveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. I forfatt / little fittee				1.1.	1.1.		
Assessment. Students	C:4:	Visuals of the concept when			Brigance Diagnostic Inventory of Basic Skills,		
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6	Disabilities		LEA		post-test.		
in science.	Disuomines.	possioie.		ACCESS points teaching	post test.		
			PCSB IND Facilitator.	standards.	FAA Report		
		repetition of key		D: D:			
	basic skills.	concepts.		Brigance Diagnostic, pre-test.			
	are IND: Elementary level comprehension (grades 1-3)	Differentiated Instruction to reach the varied exceptionalities. Smart board technology to assist with tactile/hands on learning.					

Science Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Belefice Godf #1.	Level of	Level of					
100% of the students tested in the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
		r en onnance.					
spring administration (2013) will score							
at or above the performance level of							
"achieved"							
{4-6} on the science FAA test.							
All student will exceed mastery of the							
SSS Access Points for students with							
Significant Cognitive Disabilities in							
Science.							
	3 students (S#)	100% of the student	i		ì		
		tested in spring	1		1		
		administration			1		
	S1-level-4	(2013) will score			1		
					1		
		in the performance			1		
	S3-level-6	level of "achieved"			1		
	1000/ 6: : 3	{4-6} or			1		
	100% of tested	"commended"					
	students were	<i>{7-9}</i>					
	considered						
	"achieved" on the						
	performance level						
	chart						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
			Teacher remains in constant	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		be cognitively	contact with the students to				
		delayed and can	update them on progress.				
		only focus on	1				
		daily survival and					
			1				
		basic existence			1		
		needs.			<u> </u>		
		1.3. Student	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		growth may	The Transition Unit provides		Employer evaluation		
			an environment for the		of the students' ability		
			student to have real-world		to perform the tasks		
			experiences along with job		assigned.		
			shadowing.		l -		
		impact disability	[1		
					1		
I		income.			1		
i					i	i	I
Based on the analysis of student		Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference	Anticipated	Strategy			Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",	Anticipated	Strategy			Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in	Anticipated	Strategy		Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the	Anticipated	Strategy		Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in	Anticipated	Strategy		Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

7 in science.	Significant Cognitive Disabilities. Delay in Processing of basic skills. Students are IND: Elementary level comprehension (grades 1-3)	Visuals of the concept when possible. Extensive repetition of key concepts. Differentiated Instruction to reach the varied exceptionalities. Smart board technology to assist with tactile/hands on learning.	Classroom teacher LEA PCSB IND Facilitator.	Sample science booklets for teachers. Access points teaching standards.	2.1. Brigance Diagnostic Inventory of Basic Skills, post-test. FAA Report	
Science Goal #2: All of the students tested in the spring administration (2013), will demonstrate significant science learning gains in comparison to their 8th grade test. With intense review, those students with a more pronounced cognitive ability will demonstrate significant knowledge growth in the science content area	Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*				

0/3 students test scored at/above a level 7.	d 100% of the students tested in the spring administration (2013) will score in the performance level of "achieved" (4-6) or "commended" [7-9]					
	be cognitively	Teacher remains in constant contact with the students to update them on progress.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	may be hindered if the parent feels independence			2.3 Employer evaluation of the students' ability to perform the tasks assigned.	2.3	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals	Problem-				
	Solving				
	Process to				
	Increase				
	Student				
	Achieveme				
	nt				

Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of		
to "Guiding Questions", identify				Strategy		
and define areas in need of						
improvement for the following						
group:						

	l	I	la a	· ·	I	1	
1. Students scoring at	1.1.	1.1.	1.1	1.1.	1.1.		
Achievement Level 3 in	T 1 C			D . A l . Cd FOG	D . A 1 : 6:1		
Biology.	Lack of concrete	A structured	Academic Intervention	Data Analysis of the EOC	Data Analysis of the		
21010g, . <mark>.</mark>	and accurate		Facilitator (AIF).	content specific benchmarks	EOC content specific		
	prior knowledge		D: : 1 1ADC	using DataLink tracking	benchmarks using		
	of the content	team members.	Principal and APC.	software.	DataLink tracking		
	area. (Cellular	Calendar		(student test grade, class and	software. (student test		
	Biology).	Specifics:	Teacher report information	grade pool proficiency.)	grade, class and grade		
	n	Duration of	based on daily classroom		pool proficiency.)		
	Poor content		interactions and				
	recall due to		implementation.				
		assessment dates,					
	study habits.	Focus labs, Remediation					
	D1::						
	Declining student	treviews, etc. All					
	apathy toward	team member a					
	learning.	copy of DOE-					
		EOC content					
		specifics/limits.					
		G . EGE					
		Science ESE					
		Teacher:					
		Tracking					
		and progress					
		monitoring of students in the					
		Low performing					
		subgroups: ESE, ESOL, Bottom					
		25%.					
		23/0.					
		Continue to					
		implement LFS					
		best practices,					
		and take					
		counsel from					
		the site DOE					
		representative					
		assigned to THS					
		science.					
		Implement focus					
		lab(s) in each					
		benchmark to					
		build critical					
		thinking skills.					
		Biology Student					
		Assembly (EOC					
		Q & A)					

Biology Goal #1: For the 2013 EOC administration: 100% of the students, who attend school regularly, will demonstrate a satisfactory level of NGSS content mastery (Level-3 or better) Those who fall short of the mentioned goal will instead demonstrate considerable learning gains in the NGSS content, as evidenced by an increase in their retake scores. Intense remediation will be provided in preparation for successful mastery of the summer testing administration.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	304 student tested Tier-1. 43% (131) Low Tier-2. 33% (100) Middle T-Scale Mean 20-80 Tenoroc: 46	100% of all students tested, in regular attendance, will score a Level- 3+ at the conclusion of the 2013 EOC spring or summer administration.					
	1.2. Social Issues: poverty, peer- pressure,	SWOT analysis and needs assessment in August with	Student Engagement 10/12.	1.2. Teacher feedback measured against student success.	1.2. Discovery Progress monitoring assessments.	1.2.	

		1.3. Round Robins content reviews with Biology students to assist with difficult concepts.		1.3. Student achievement on EOC content specific Benchmark assessments. Student feedback during teacher remediation.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

	la .	I	la .	la .	1	i .	i -
2. Students scoring at or		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
above Achievement Levels		l	Academic Intervention	D			
4 17 2 1	Academic	A structured	Facilitator (AIF).	Data Analysis of the EOC	Data Analysis of the		
		Biology pacing	D I LADO	content specific benchmarks	EOC content specific		
		calendar to all	Principal and APC.	using DataLink tracking	benchmarks using the		
		team members. Calendar	Teacher report information	software. (student test grades, class and	DataLink tracking software. (Individual		
	constantly challenged while	Calendar	based on daily classroom	grade pool proficiency.)	student test grades,		
				grade poor proficiency.)	class and grade pool		
	engaged.	Duration of topics, EOC	interactions and implementation.		proficiency.)		
	Reduced content	benchmark	implementation.		proficiency.)		
	recall due to	assessment dates					
	underdeveloped	Focus labs,	'				
	study habits.	Remediation					
	study naorts.	reviews, etc. All					
	Declining student						
	apathy toward	copy of DOE-					
	learning. Avoid	EOC content					
	challenging	specifics/limits.					
	course work for	Specifics, illines.					
	the easier classes.	AIF: Tracking					
		and progress					
		monitoring of					
		students (if any)					
		in the targeted					
		subgroups: ESE,					
		ESOL, and					
		Gifted					
		Continue to					
		implement LFS					
		best practices,					
		and take					
		counsel from					
		the site DOE					
		representative					
		assigned to THS					
		science					
		T1					
		Implement focus lab(s) in each		1			
		benchmark to		1			
		build critical					
		thinking skills.					
		dillikilig skills.					
		*Teachers will		1			
		be encouraged					
		to pair the high					
		performing					
		student with		1			

				•	
		middle and low achievers to direct student learning*			
Biology Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected			
-	Level of	Level of			
For the 2013 EOC administration:	Performance:*	Performance:*			'
100% of the students, who attend					
school regularly, will demonstrate					
a satisfactory level of NGSS					
content mastery (Level-3 or better).					
Those who fall short of the					
mentioned goal will instead					
demonstrate considerable learning					
gains in the NGSS content. Intense					
remediation will be provided					
in preparation for successful					
mastery of the summer testing administration.					
administration.					
We seek to transition high					
achieving middle tier students into					
this bracket					
Those who excel will be targeted (4-5) for the Advance Placement					
Environmental course or Honors					
Anatomy and Physiology.					
inatomy and rhysiology.					
	304 student	Enter numerical	·		
	tested	data for expected level of			
	TE: 2 240/ (72)	performance in this box.			
		box.			
	High				
	T-Scale Mean				
	<u>20-80</u>				
	Tenoroc: 46				

1.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
Social Issues:	SWOT analysis		Teacher feedback measured	Discovery Progress		
poverty, peer-	and needs		against student success.	monitoring assessments.		
pressure,	assessment in					
language and	August with					
cultural barrier	team.					
1.3.	Quarterly team					
Unidentified	meetings to					
students with	discuss data					
disabilities.	reports					
(struggle to						
succeed)						
	2.3	2.3		2.3	2.3	
	Round Robins		Student achievement on EOC			
	content reviews		content specific Benchmark			
	with Biology		assessments. Student feedback			
	students to assist		during teacher remediation.			
	with difficult					
	concepts.					

End of Biology EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Team Meeting to assess student EOC progress and curriculum needs	Biology Team	Coach & Teachers	Biology Team	August 22nd October 24th January 18th April 3rd	Student results from EOC datalink program and Discovery assessment	Biology team and AIF

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

delicited budget (misert rows as nee	l		1	
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
S. L. A. L.				
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Science Goals

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

when using perc	ciitages, iliciaae	the number of	students the percentage	e represents next to the p	crecifiage (e.g. 707)	J (33)).	
Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	to having students write as a class assignment.		Ia.1 Writing Coach Administration	samples of a writing assignment per quarter (9 weeks).	Ia.1. Administration and leadership team observation or evaluation of student writing shows that student writing is focused, organized, contains support, and follows the conventions of standard written English.		

Writing Goal #1a: Increase by at least 5%, the number of students (school-wide) scoring 3.0 or above in writing by 2013.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Percent score 3 and					
	above—81% (241)	above—86% (256)					
		feel comfortable in assessing student	Ia.2. Teachers will not receive professional development through coaching cycles and within PLC's in creating and using rubrics to evaluate student writing.	1a.2. Writing Coach Administration	Ia.2. Teachers will use the rubric (modeled after the FCAT Writes rubric) to score writing assignment.	1a.2. Student writing samples show evidence of rubric scoring.	
		students are very resistant to writing extended pieces. For example, they might write one or two sentences	English teachers will keep a writing portfolio for every student. All teachers will monitor student participation in writing assignments and use intervention strategies to encourage participation.	Ia.3. school administration district office designee	the school administration	1a.3. Audit by school administration and district office designee.	
		but resist extending that into a multi- paragraph essay.	1.a.4 Teachers will plan lessons which require students to respond to text which is on grade level or higher. These responses will be text dependent and require higher level thinking by the students.	1.4.4 PLC facilitators, Writing Coach, Administration	1.a.4 Writing scores gathered through progress monitoring throughout the school year.	1.a.4 Writing scores gathered through progress monitoring throughout the school year. 10 th grade FCAT Writes scores	

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	elective courses may not "buy in"	Ib.1. WAC – Tenoroc High School has a Writing Across the Curriculum initiative in place. All students will write in all classes for a variety of purpose. This writing will be of an academic nature and pertain to the instructional curriculum of the class. Teachers will receive professional development through coaching cycles and within PLC's to ensure they develop lesson plan which include multiple opportunities for	Administration	required to produce two student samples of a writing assignment per quarter (9 weeks).	Ib.1. Administration and leadership team observation or evaluation of student writing shows that student writing is focused, organized, contains support, and follows the conventions of standard written English.	
W C. 1 //11	2012 C	for a variety of purposes.				
Writing Goal #1b: Increase by at least 32%, the number of students (school-wide) scoring 4.0 or above in writing by 2013.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Percent score 4 and above—28% (83)	Percent score 4 and above—60% (179)				

		(who are not English teachers) may not feel comfortable in assessing student writing with a rubric, including the depth of support and the attention paid to standard writing and grammar conventions.	in all classes for a variety of purposes. This writing will be scored using a rubric. This rubric will be designed to reflect the expectations of the assignment and will be given to the students when the assignment is given. Teachers will receive professional development through coaching cycles and within PLC's in creating and using rubrics to evaluate student writing.	1b.2. Writing Coach Administration	be required to produce two student samples of a writing assignment per quarter (9 weeks) which show have been graded by a rubric.	1b.2. Administration and leadership team observation or evaluation of student writing shows that student writing is focused, organized, contains support, and follows the conventions of standard written English.	
		in general do not require academic writing as a regular part of instruction.		1b.3. Writing Coach Administration	assignment per quarter (9 weeks) which are of an academic nature and	Ib.3.Administration and leadership team observation or evaluation of student writing shows not only that student writing is focused, organized, contains support from multiple sources, and follows the conventions of standard written English, but also show evidence of depth of thought and knowledge of the subject matter.	
Ic. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at the commended level in writing. *	write often and for a	All students will	Writing Coach Administration	Ic.1. Teachers will use a rubric (modeled after the FCAT Writes rubric or the SAT/ACT rubrics) to score writing assignment.	1c.1. Administration and leadership team		

	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
*Note: Performance levels 1-3 are considered emergent. Performance levels 4-6 are considered achieved. Performance levels of 7-9 are considered commended.							
	Percent at levels 4-6-60% (3). Percent at levels 7-9-40% (2).	Percent at levels 7-9- 100% All students will raise their level of achievement within a performance group					
		Teachers may not feel comfortable in assessing student writing with a rubric, including the depth of support and the attention paid to standard writing and grammar	This writing will be scored	Ic.2. Writing Coach, Administration	required to produce two student samples of a writing assignment per quarter (9 weeks) which	Ic.2. Administration and leadership team observation or evaluation of student writing shows that student writing is focused, organized, contains support, and follows the conventions of standard written English.	

1c.3.All teachers	1c.3. All students will write	1c.3. Writing Coach,	1c.3. Each teacher	1bc.3.Administration and	
in general do not	in all classes for a variety of	Administration	will be required to	leadership team observation or	
require academic	purpose.		produce two student	evaluation of student writing	
writing as a regular	This writing will be of an		samples of a writing	shows not only that student writing	
part of instruction.	academic nature and pertain to		assignment per quarter	is focused, organized, contains	
	the instructional curriculum of		(9 weeks) which are of	support from multiple sources, and	
	the class.		an academic nature and	follows the conventions of standard	
	Students will be given		pertain to the instruction	written English, but also show	
	assignments which require		currently taking place	evidence of depth of thought and	
	students to draw support from		in the classroom. This	knowledge of the subject matter.	
	multiple sources/texts.		academic writing should		
			draw support from		
			multiple sources or texts.		

Writing Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

PLC focus— instruction which leads students to be stronger writers	9/10 ELA	ELA Dept Chr	All ELA teachers, 9 th /10 th grade	August, October,	Student writing folders which show growth in writing; progress monitoring essays, both persuasive and informative 3 times during the year.	ELA Dept Chair, APC
PD—rubric scoring	All staff	ELA Dept Chr		August, pre-school September 12, Early Release Day	opportunities to become stronger	ELA Dept Chair Leadership Team Administration
CIS focus—moving into academic writing through the CISM lessons; writing with support from multiple sources, writing which conforms to the standard conventions of writing and grammar	All instructional staff	AIFs	the CISM training; the core	school year. CISM Training for new core teachers to take	writing assignment, should be documented within the teachers'	ELA Dept Chair AIFs Leadership Team Administration

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.	According to the 2012 U.S. History EOC assessment, all 3 Reporting Categories were of difficulty for students.	skills as well as	Department Head, Teachers	During planning time: District Computer-Based Benchmark	1.1. District Assessments; U. S. History EOC Assessments.	
U.S. History Goal #1:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
No Data Available	- CITCH MILEC.					
	No Data Available	No Data Available				

		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		High percentage of low socio-economic family structure (disadvantage); evidenced by the following: incoming student reading and/or math skills are below grade level for the majority; Minimal parental involvement; Student apathy towards study/practice; Bus-riders unable to stay to use after school help or to make-up missed assignments/tests; Absenteeism with work not made up. Minimal use of computer based practice and assessments.	For Students: School-wide high yield LFS and Content Vocabulary strategies utilized; School-wide call-outs to parents; District letters sent home with students; After school help offered to students; School web-site with links to district site for EOC content information with free resources for self- guided use. Availability of computer use in library. For Teachers: School-wide high yield LFS strategies utilized; District Social Studies Site provides Course Guidelines with benchmarks and links to FLDOE sample problems, Item Specification and Content Limits for EOC and other resources; Regular District Social Studies Updates with links and Live Meetings available; Collaborative planning; Data chats; Instructional tips and availability of one-on- one or team coaching for teachers during after school planning time.	Administrators, Guidance, Department Head, Teachers	During planning time: District Computer-Based Benchmark Assessment data reviewed by teachers and results of semi- monthly assessments will be reviewed by teachers to ensure progress and adjust curriculum focus and strategies as needed. Departmental PLC's as support; Semi-annual Data Chats; Classroom Walkthrough Data;	1.2. District Assessments; U. S. History EOC Assessments.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
D 1 4 1 2 6 1	A 4: : . 1	Ct. :	n n iii	n II I n	P 1 4' T 1		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

2. Students scoring at or 2	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.	According to he 2012 U.S. History EOC assessment, all B Reporting Categories were of difficulty for students.	Use Content	Administrators, Guidance, Department Head, Teachers	During planning time: District Computer-Based Benchmark	2.1. District Assessments; U. S. History EOC Assessments.	
<u> </u>	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	No Data Available	No Data Available				

	low soci family st (disadva evidence followin, student r math ski grade lev majority Minimal involven apathy to practice; unable to after sch to make- assignme Absented work not	use Content Vocabulary Strategies Use Content Vocabulary Strategies Utilize a variety of strategies such as random call and/or H.O.T. treading and/or kills are below level for the ty; al parental ement; Student towards study/ e; Bus-riders to stay to use chool help or e-up missed ments/tests; teeism with not made up. al use of tter based the and	Administrators, Guidance, Department Head, Teachers	During planning time: District Computer-Based Benchmark Assessment data reviewed by teachers and results of semi- monthly assessments will be reviewed by teachers to ensure progress and adjust curriculum focus and strategies as needed. Departmental PLC's as support; Semi-annual Data Chats; Classroom Walkthrough Data;	2.2. District Assessments; U. S. History EOC Assessments.	
--	---	--	---	--	---	--

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			

Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Social Studies	Development Instructions;	Teachers by Course or Team		Product/Form turn-in	Administrative Team/District
	Subject Social Studies	Subject and/or PLC Leader District Staff Development	Subject and/or PLC Leader (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) District Staff Development Instructions; Output District Wide/Dispersal of Teachers by Course or Team (Instructions TRD)	Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader Ce.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) District Staff Development Instructions; District Wide/Dispersal of Teachers by Course or Team Instructions; The Participants (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings) Monday, September 17, 2012	Grade Level/ Subject PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader Ge.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide) Constructions; Constructions PD Facilitator and/or PLC subject, grade level, or school-wide) Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring Monday, September 17, 2012 Product/Form turn-in

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:		Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
	Students entering with an excessive number of excused notes to counteract unexcused absences	during 1st period will have their tardy	APA, Attendance Clerk, School Social Worker, Guidance.	Weekly attendance meeting to review attendance data.	Quarterly attendance reports	
Attendance Goal #1: Increase attendance 3% by the end of the 2012 – 2013 school year, and reduce the number of tardy students 3% the end of the 2012 – 2013 school year.	/2012 Current Attendance Rate:*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	93.74% (1157)	96.74% (1194)				
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	295	286				
	2012 Current Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				

118	115					
	when students miss three or more days.	mailed when students miss three or more days. When needed, the school social worker attempts to makes a	1.2. APA, Attendance Clerk, School Social Worker, Guidance.	1.2. Weekly attendance meeting to review attendance data.	1.2. Quarterly attendance reports	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Attendance	9-12	APA APC Principal Attendance Clerk	All Teachers	Round Robin	Daily attendance tracking	APA APC Principal Attendance Clerk

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Mail Outs/Certified Mail	Envelopes and postage	Title I	\$1000.00	
Subtotal: \$1000.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				
	•	•		

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

Suspension	Problem-			
Goal(s)	solving			

Based on the analysis	Process to Decrease Suspension Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Barrier	e.	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy		
	(both in and out- of- County). The social economic standing of the student population as a whole.	meetings, students review with the Principal the expectations of Tenoroc High School. A PowerPoint for school expectations is viewed by all students and is available to parents via the Tenoroc website.	1.1. On a monthly basis, each dean will be required to review the discipline data for the students for which they are responsible.	1.1. Genesis Discipline Report	Administration will be responsible at weekly meetings for identifying behavioral trends. The team will then collaborate on appropriate and effective response to address the behavioral concerns of the students at Tenoroc High School. At the appropriate time, the administration team will inform the staff as whole i.e. Department Chair and faculty meetings what the needs of the student of the student population are and how we as staff will address those needs.	
	of In -School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In- School Suspensions				

54% (671)	49% (605)					
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In –School					
383	364					
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
Short Term-507 Long Term-102	Short Term-482 Long Term-97					
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out- of- School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
313	297					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

	i i			
Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through				
Professional				
Learning				
Community (PLC)				
or PD Activity				
Please note that each				
Strategy does not require a				
professional development or				
PLC activity.				

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Tenoroc Expectations	9 – 12	Administration	School-wide	Pre-planning	Reports will reviewed monthly	Administration

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
		+	+	
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Mail Outs/Certified Mail	Envelopes and postage	Title I	\$1000.00	
Subtotal: \$1000.00				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

		1	i	represents next to the p	i	(20)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
Goal(s)	Process to						
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
	1 revention						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Dropout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention	Lack of parental encouragement to	Encourage parental involvement in the		Parent Surveys Student Surveys	Graduation report Drop Out Report		
Dropout Prevention	graduate from high	students' education,	i acmitator	Student Surveys	Drop Out Report		
Caal #1.	school, creating	through parent					
	in students will be	workshops, special					
percentage of students	our biggest.	incettigs, etc					
who dropped out							
during the 2011-2012							
school year.							
		2013 Expected					
To decrease the number of	Dropout Rate:*	Dropout Rate:*					
dropouts by 3% from the previous year and to increase							
the graduation rate by 3% by							
the end of the 2012 – 2013							
school year.							
	5% (70)	2% (30)					

2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:*					
88% (231)	91% (239)					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parent Meetings	9 - 12	Title I Facilitator	Parents and students	Quarterly	Parent Surveys	Administration, Title I Facilitator

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		

funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

Parent Involvement	Problem-			
Goal(s)	solving			
	Process			

	to Parent Involveme nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	parents Transportation	areas) Literacy days	MTSS Team	1.1. Attendance at Community outreach and Parent Literacy days	1.1. Parental Surveys		
Participation by parents at building capacity activities will increase by 10% (from 20% to 30%)	level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:* 30% 384 students					
		1.2. Uninformed Parents 1.3.	1.2. Breakfast with the Principal		1.2. Attendance at Breakfast with the Principal 1.3.	1.2. Parental Surveys 1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Round Robin PLC	Grades 9-12	Title I Facilitator	School-Wide	August 2012	Faculty questionnaire email follow- up	Title I Facilitator

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide school newsletters once per quarter	Copy, paper, ink, copy machine	Title I Parent Involvement Supply Fund	\$150.00
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Provide opportunities for parents to participate in a variety of workshops and activities geared toward informing parents with information regarding high school requirements and academic awareness.	Parent "Breakfast with the Principal" will be provided for parents in each grade level 9-12. During this breakfast time, parents will be provided information regarding their students' scholastic record, use of the Parent Portal, and important information for each grade level. Additional workshops/activities will be scheduled throughout the year at various times.	Title I Parent Involvement Supply Fund	\$700.00
Provide student handbook and pocket	Provide professionally printed materials	Title I Parent Involvement Supply Fund	\$1500
folders with academic information. Subtotal: \$2350			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide faculty information regarding Parent Involvement at THS. Review the use of the notebook to document parent contacts. Information found in the main office, use of the Student handbooks and use of the PIP Summary and Compact.	Copy of the notebook, PIP summary, Compact, Student handbook, items that are found in the main office, and documents that are needed for Title I records.	Title I Parent Involvement Supply Fund	Funds provided in the 1st strategy above
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Provide monthly calendars announcing opportunities for parents to get involved on campus.	Poster paper, poster ink, copy paper and colored printer.	Title I Parent Involvement Supply Fund	\$100.00
Subtotal:			
Total: \$2450.00			
	!	!	1

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: N/A	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.
		1.2.		1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			
PLC activity.			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: N/A	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

_

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	Problem-				
	Solving				
	Process to				
	Increase				
Additional Goal(s)	Student				
	Achieveme				

	nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Additional Goal #1:	2012 Current Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level :*	Level :*					
	data for current	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

That Bugget (most rows as needed)	
Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Attendance Budget	
	Total:
Suspension Budget	
	Total:
Dropout Prevention Budget	
	Total:

Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:
	Grand Total:

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value" header; 3. Select "OK", this will place an "x" in the box.)

School		
Differentiated		
Accountability		
Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

□ Yes □ No

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.
Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.
Our SAC will meet once a month to discuss Parent Involvement, Title I, School Budget, FCAT, School Activities, Presentations from School Departments and other information
as it is available.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
Our SAC does not generate funds.	