FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: TIMBER CREEK HIGH

District Name: Orange

Principal: Dr. Gabriel Berrio

SAC Chair: Greg Maida

Superintendent: Dr. Barbara Jenkins

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 11/12/2012



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Dr. Gabriel Berrio	Bachelor's Degree in History Master's Degree in Educational Leadership Doctorate Degree in Education		9	Winter Park HS was an "A" school 3 of the 5 years I was there as an AP. It was at least a B school all other times. Westridge Middle School was a C school the entire time I was there While there, Westridge scored the highest Science and Writing scores the school had ever recorded. Learning gains for Reading and Math for Lowest 25% ranged in the 60 and 70 percent area.
		Bachelors of Science in Physical Education			2011-2012 Timber Creek High School grade is pending 2010-2011 Timber Creek High School was a B 82% AYP 2009-2010 Timber Creek High School was a A (1228 points) 100% AYP 2008-2009 Timber Creek High School was a B (505 points) 69% AYP 2007-2008 Timber Creek High School was a C (533 points)

Assis Principal	Jeffrey Boettner	MA in Educational Leadership Physical Education 6-12 Science 6-12 School Principal	12	13	2006-2007 Timber Creek High School was a B (512 points) 85% AYP 2005-2006 Timber Creek High School was a C (394 points) 74% AYP 2004-2005 Timber Creek High School was a B (386 points) 80% AYP 2003-2004 Timber Creek High School was a B (393 points) 77% AYP 2002-2003 Timber Creek High School was a C (370 points) 2001-2002 Timber Creek High School was not graded
Assis Principal	Anthony Bolyard	AA (General Studies) BA in Physical Education MA in Educational Leadership Coaching Endorsement Educational Leadership	9	3	2011-2012 Winter Park High School grade is pending 2009-2011 A or B grade for the past 2 years, fifty percent or more of the lowest 25% have met learning gains, 51% of tenth grade students scored 3 or above on the 2011 FCAT Reading.
Assis Principal	Daphne Flakes	BS in Mathematics Masters in Ed Leadership		6	Year: 2011-2012 School: University High School Grade: TBD 41.0% of students taking the Algebra EOC scored proficient. Year: 2010-2011 School: University High School Grade: A. 75.6% of the students taking the FCAT Math scored at proficient.
Assis Principal	Dr. Kelly Paduano	BS In Social Science Education M.A. in Educational Leadership Doctorate in Educational Leadership Certified Social Science Education 6-12 Certified in Educational Leadership	1	3	2011-2012 Timber Creek High School grade is pending 2010-2011 Union Park Middle School was a B (506 points) 69% AYP 2009-2010 Union Park Middle School was a B (502 points) 72% AYP
Assis Principal	Cherri Samuel	BS in Elementary Education M.Ed. in Administration and Supervision Certified in Elementary Ed 1-6 Certified in Mathematics 5-9 School Principal All Levels	7	13	2011-2012 Timber Creek High School grade is pending 2010-2011 Timber Creek High School was a B 82% AYP 2009-2010 Timber Creek High School was a A (1228 points) 100% AYP 2008-2009 Timber Creek High School was a B (505 points) 69% AYP 2007-2008 Timber Creek High School was a C (533 points) 74% AYP 2006-2007 Timber Creek High School was a C (532 points)

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
Reading		B.A. Psychology; M.A. Ed. Leadership. Certified in broad fields social studies, ESOL,	5	3	2009-2010: Met AYP and school grade improved to A. 2009-2010 Timber Creek High School was a A (1228 points) 100% AYP 2010-2011 Reading proficiency rose one percent to 63.5%, 82%AYP, School grade

	Ed. Leadership. Reading Endorsed.			was B 2011 - 2012 Timber Creek High School grade is still pending
 Dr. Vickie Seavers	B.S. Mathematics Education, M.A. in Educational Media, Doctorate in Curriculum and Instruction	12	4	2011-2012 Timber Creek High School grade is pending 2010-2011 Timber Creek High School was a B 82% AYP 2009-2010 Timber Creek High School was a A (1228 points) 100% AYP 2008-2009 Timber Creek High School was a B (505 points)

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

	Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1	Beginning Teacher PLC	LRS	June, 2013	
2	Acceptance of junior and senior interns	LRS	June, 2013	
3		Principal, APs, PLC leaders	June, 2013	
4	Staff development for personal growth and leadership opportunities	Principal, APs, LRS	June, 2013	

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out- of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
2% (3)	Peer and administrative support in a collaborative environment Professional development on the teacher evaluation system

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers		% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
169	3.6%(6)	21.3%(36)	36.7%(62)	38.5%(65)	42.0%(71)	94.7%(160)	8.3%(14)	8.9%(15)	7.7%(13)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee	Rationale	Planned Mentoring
	Assigned	for Pairing	Activities
		Mentor is a master teacher and	

Joanne McNamara	Cooper Alexander	both are in same department. Mentor has worked with interns and other first year teachers.	Beginning Teacher PLC, weekly meetings between mentor and mentee
Adam Lange	Mitchell Bell	Mentor is a master teacher and American history PLC lead. Mentor has experience working with first year teachers.	Beginning Teacher PLC, weekly meetings between mentor and mentee
Terry Barchfeld	Emma Cunningham (part time)	Mentor is a master teacher and chemistry/physics PLC lead. Both are the only ones teaching physics.	Beginning Teacher PLC, weekly meetings between mentor and mentee
Brad Waltz	Manika Chuon (part time)	Mentor is a master teacher and one of the Biology PLC leads.	Beginning Teacher PLC, weekly meetings between mentor and mentee
Jason Skinner	Kristi Kriebel	Mentor is a master teacher and the world history PLC lead. Both teach the same subject.	Beginning Teacher PLC, weekly meetings between mentor and mentee
Dawn Feeney	Alexandra Mina	Mentor is a master teacher and has supervised interns. There is not another teacher who teaches the same subject as the mentee.	Beginning Teacher PLC, weekly meetings between mentor and mentee
Jason Stano	Austin Smith	Mentor is a master teacher and the world history PLC lead. Both teach the same subject though the levels vary.	Beginning Teacher PLC, weekly meetings between mentor and mentee

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A		
Title I, Part C- Migrant		

Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Preventine Prevents
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other
Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)
School-based MTSS/RtI Team—
Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.
Dr. Gabriel Berrio, Dr. Kelly Paduano, Colleen Hemann, Jill Weems, Latasha Ferguson, Candis Roby, Memry Molina, Lisa Coffey
Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?
The RtI team will utilize benchmark and mini-assessment data to determine tiered needs of services students will have.
The role of the Principal and Assistant Principals is to ensure the RtI team is trained and to communicate RtI goals to the staff.
The Reading Coach will collect assessment data to determine tiered interventions for students as needed.
The support facilitative team will provide direct intervention in the classrooms as needed.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI team will provide school-wide data and recommended practices based on need for the development of the School Improvement Plan.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The team will analyze data from benchmark testing given to the students throughout the year. In addition, we will use teacher formative and summative assessments for individual students.

Edusoft, IMS, FileMaker Pro, Mini-Assessment results, and SMS will be used to summarize data at each tier for reading, math, science, writing and behavior.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The Instructional Coaches and staff will be trained as needed throughout the year.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

MTSS will be supported by providing training and coaching to staff members. Instructional Staff will be supported and recognized for their efforts in following best practices in the classroom. Students will be recognized for classroom achievement and positive behavior.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Dr. Gabriel Berrio, Dr. Kelly Paduano, Pat Franklin, Candis Roby, Dr. Vickie Seavers, Suzanna Pacheco, Christina Abromavage, Jackie Drisgill, Adam Lange, Brianna Griffen

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT meets once a quarter in person or online. The LLT, composed of resource teachers, administrators, and classroom teachers, provides the leadership and mentoring for incorporating literacy within all content area subjects. Activities of the team include guest author presentations, designing summer reading, coordinating Literacy Week and Poetry Month, and facilitating book clubs.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

- 1) Common Core training in philosophy and strategies
- 2) Differentiation of reading instruction in social studies, science, and language arts.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

The TCHS Literacy Plan lists and explains the responsibilities of every content area teacher for incorporating reading strategies daily. Administrators will check daily lesson plans for inclusion of reading strategy instruction and use observation tools to verify such instruction. Teachers will be supported in visiting classrooms where reading strategies are modeled and encouraged to focus on reading strategies during lesson studies. The professional development plan will incorporate training on reading strategies with a focus on science and social studies classrooms.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

Timber Creek HS integrates a rigorous academic curriculum with an industry-specific curriculum, aligned directly to priority workforce needs established by the regional workforce development board. Our school has documentation reflecting students following the current Region 12 Targeted Occupations List and all four of our academies follow this occupations list. All four TCHS academies follow a Program of Study showing academic subjects typically taken by academy students.

Secondly, our CAPE academies have evidence reflecting academic course curriculum, including evidence of collaboration between academic and career course instructors. (Lesson plans from career courses reflect how academic content is being incorporated into career curriculum.) Our TCHS curriculum observes the frameworks that lead to post secondary opportunities.

We also have evidence of career content being integrated into academic course curriculum, including evidence of collaboration between academic and career course instructors. TCHS also has articulation agreements for Career pathways-Valencia State College (for evidence of provisions for career-based courses that earn post secondary academic credit). Our CAPE program of study indicates academy instruction that leads to industry certification, as 292 students took Industry Certification exams this school year.

Dual enrollment, technical center, and college programs assist students in obtaining real world career/college experience. The College and Career center provides many opportunities for guest speakers and informative workshops on subjects related to career education. Teachers visit the career center with students to inform them of this service. Counselors and parent volunteers speak about future planning and tools available for success.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Students are encouraged to choose the most rigorous program of study for them individually. We are open inclusion and have regular classes as well as honors, college prep, advanced placement, school to work and dual enrollment. Various workshops and guidance programs throughout the year include junior class visitation and senior conferences in order for students to do thoughtful planning of course work throughout high school. Spring registration is personal and individualized including teacher recommendations for advanced courses. The ePep program and FACTS.org are reviewed throughout the year to explore career goals and high school course planning.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

College visits and college fairs. The PSAT is given to all 10th grade students and promoted to 11th graders who performed competitively on the 10th grade test (AP Potential). Encouragement of rigorous courses, senior conferences, essay writing workshops, college workshops, College and Career Center as a resource, CCC website, Facebook, Scholarship information, "Making it Count" presentations, Financial Aid Nights, Technology Fair, RTI and Differentiated instruction. Counselors attend the State University System workshop for college information to give to the students. Various ACT and SAT workshops are also attended which keep counselors updated on pertinent and timely information. College readiness and at-risk graduates lists are consistently monitored by counselors and academic teams to ensure success in those subgroups. Junior class visitations can educate teachers and students about new trends in college readiness. Guidance counselors going on the announcements to discuss scholarships can provide students with additional means of getting to college.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

* Whe	en using percentages, include	the number of students the p	percentage represents	s (e.g., 70% (35)).		
	d on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guidino	g Questions", identify and o	define areas in need	
read				By July 2013, 30% of all students taking the FCAT 2.0 Reading at Timber Creek High School will score at Level 3.		
2012	2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance:		
25%	25% (367)					
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	English as a second language.	Stone. Para facilitated small group differentiated instruction Bilingual study partners when available through	Literacy Coach Curriculum Compliance Teacher PEP Coordinator Supervising Subject Area Administrators	Progress monitoring by classroom teachers on deconstructed benchmarks every two weeks. Progress on Rosetta Stone	Formative assessments in the classroom, FAIR data, Rosetta Stone, Benchmark tests	
2	Student motivation	the PEP program. High student engagement in small group differentiated instruction focusing on informational text at grade level. Rigorous text interaction supported by close reading strategies. Interpretation of visual documentation as texts (ex. charts, maps, political cartoons, etc.)	Reading Teachers World History	Progress monitoring in reading and language arts classes on the literacy benchmarks every two weeks. Improvement on county benchmark exams. Reading application scores on FAIR will show improvement between the fall and winter and then winter and spring.	Formative assessments in the classroom, FAIR data, Benchmark tests	
3	Content area teachers incorporating close reading opportunities into their classes.	Professional Development on Common Core Standards and the Comprehensive Instructional Sequence	Literacy Coach PLC Subject Area Leads Common Core cohort members Media Specialists	Progress monitoring in reading and language arts classes on the literacy benchmarks every two weeks. Improvement on county benchmark exams. Reading application scores on FAIR will show improvement between the fall and winter and then winter and spring	Formative assessments in the classroom, FAIR data, Benchmark tests.	

then winter and spring.

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo		data, and refer	ence to "G	Guiding Questions", iden	ify and define areas in need
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment:			N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perform	mance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solvin	ig Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
for		IProcess Used to		Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted				

ı	on the analysis of student provement for the following	achievement data, and regroup:	ference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and	define areas in need	
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading. Reading Goal #2a:			47% of all stude	47% of all students taking the FCAT 2.0 reading will score level 4 or above in reading.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
42% (309)			47%	47%		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process to	o Increase Studen	t Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool	

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Proficiency in delivering rigorous instruction in reading and comprehending dense informational text.	Professional Development on differentiated instruction with an emphasis on small group instruction Professional Development on differentiated instruction with an emphasis on student choice for readings, research projects, and independent study Professional Development on the Comprehensive Instructional Sequence Professional Development on analyzing data and using formative assessments to guide instruction	LRS Administrators	Learning gains analyzed by teacher for number students who maintained, attained, or dropped the level 4 status on FCAT reading. Lesson Study Progress monitoring via embedded formative assessment	Reading FCAT Instructional Focus Calendars show continuous increasing rigor. Lesson plans include evidence Lesson Study to develop proficiency Observation verifies instruction Enrollment data for honors and advanced placement classes

		Analyze reading results to target students for advanced level courses			
2	Need for increased participation in professional development	PLC's for a common	LRS	Professional Development documentation Lesson plan include new strategies Teachers' IPDPs iObservation data Lesson Study	Marzano's model of teacher assessment Progress monitoring tools i.e., benchmark tests, FAIR, FCAT Reading
3		· ·		Professional Development documentation Lesson plan include new strategies Teachers' IPDPs iObservation data Lesson Study	Marzano's model of teacher assessment Instructional focus calendars and lesson plans reflect use of adjustments of strategies. Lesson Study Progress monitoring tools i.e., benchmark tests, FAIR, FCAT Reading

Based on the analysis of soft improvement for the fo		data, and refer	ence to "G	uiding Questions", iden	tify and define areas in need	
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:			N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posit Resp for	on or tion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
		No Data	Submitted			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.				
Reading Goal #3a:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			

	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Diverse student needs and levels of readiness	Differentiated instruction at all levels of reading readiness Students set, monitor, and take responsibility for achieving proficiency in reading goals. Formative assessment to guide instruction	Classroom Teachers Students Supervising Administrators Literacy Coach LRS	Teacher – Students data chats Teacher – Administrator data chats Progress monitoring with pre/post tests on reading skills through language arts classes	Instructional Focus Calendars
2	Need for increased participation in professional development		Literacy Coach Curriculum Leaders LRS Supervising Administrators	Professional Development sign in sheets Lesson plans incorporate strategies. Teacher IPDPs Lesson Study	Marzano's model of teacher assessment Progress monitoring tools i.e., benchmark tests, FAIR, FCAT Reading
	Student motivation	Differentiated instruction at all levels of reading readiness to promote student engagement. Students set, monitor, and take responsibility for achieving proficiency in reading goals.	Literacy Coach Curriculum Leaders LRS Supervising Administrators	Teacher – Student data chats Progress monitoring and feedback to students related to their own goals. Embedded formative assessment	Informal benchmark tests, County Benchmark tests, FAIR RA scores, FCAT Reading data

assessment

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:	N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10.			
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	N/A			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

High student engagement in small group differentiated instruction

focusing on informational text at grade level.

Rigorous text interaction supported by close reading strategies.

3

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and re of improvement for the following group:	erence to "Guiding Question	s", identify and define areas in need			
4. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading. Reading Goal #4:	70% of all students taking the FCAT 2.0 reading will mak learning gains				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
67% (226)	70%				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
	Person or Proc	ess Used to			

	T				
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	perceived relevance	Provide text that is relevant and challenging to students Data chats biweekly with students. Students involved in goal setting and monitoring themselves. Encourage students to participate in the ACT and SAT concordant score option. Vocabulary instruction utilizing best practices such as foldables and nonlinguistic representation (incorporating having students learning how to read it, say it, and spell it).	Literacy Coach Supervising administrators LRS	observation, course tests, number of assignments completed satisfactorily.	Checklist of student engagement and on-topic discussion between students. FCAT Reading scores
2	informational, complex text close reading throughout language arts and social studies content areas.	Professional development on text complexity and common core demands on reading in content areas. Professional development in CIS and small group differentiated reading instruction	administrators Literacy Coach LRS	Progress monitoring via teacher observation, EOC tests and embedded formative assessment Lesson Study	FCAT Reading scores, OCPS Benchmark tests, FAIR RA scores
3	professional development that emphasizes differentiated instruction and formative assessments for all		Supervising administrators Literacy Coach Curriculum Leaders LRS	Professional Development sign in sheets Walkthroughs by administrators Teacher IPDPs	Marzano's model of teacher assessment Progress monitoring tools i.e., benchmark tests, FAIR, FCAT Reading

	of the b	ottom 25%			Lesson Study		Study		
									I.
Based	on Amb	itious but Achie	vable Annual	Measurable Ob	jectiv	es (AMOs), AM	lO-2, R€	eading and Math Pe	erformance Target
Measu	ırable Ob I will red	but Achievable bjectives (AMOs uce their achiev). In six year	1 1	2017	, 84% of the be proficient		nts at Timber Cr eading.	eek High
	ine data 0-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-201	13-2014 2014-2015 2015-2016				2016-2017
		67%	73%	76%		79%		81%	
		analysis of stud			efere	nce to "Guiding	J Questi	ons", identify and	define areas in need
5B. S [.] Hispa	tudent s inic, Asia	subgroups by ean, American I progress in rea	ethnicity (What ndian) not m	nite, Black,		All student subg progress in read		will show an increa	ase in satisfactory
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	rmance:		2	2013 Expected	d Level	of Performance:	
BLACK HISPA	: 73% K/AFRICA NIC: 57% E: 75%	N AMERICAN: 5 %	7%		E	ASIAN: 87% BLACK/AFRICAN HISPANIC: 62% WHITE: 83%		CAN: 62%	
			Problem-Sol	lving Process t	to I n	crease Studer	nt Achie	evement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy	Re	Person or Position sponsible for Monitoring		ocess Used to Determine fectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1		erentiated g strategies	on small grou instruction information reading an Compreher	nsive nal Sequence	Adm LRS	ervising inistrators racy Coach	and ob	oom walkthroughs servations. Study	FCAT 2.0 Reading scores ACT concordant scores
							'		
		analysis of stud nt for the follow			eferei	nce to "Guiding	J Questi	ons", identify and	define areas in need
satisf	_	anguage Learr progress in rea #5C:		ot making				ELL students takinat three or above.	
2012	Current	Level of Perfo	ormance:		2	2013 Expected	d Level	of Performance:	
24%					3	36%			
			Problem-Sol	lving Process t	to I n	crease Studer	nt Achie	evement	
	Antic	ipated Barrier	St	rategy		Person or Position		ocess Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool

Responsible for

Effectiveness of

			Monitoring	Strategy	
1	English acquisition	PEP bilingual student translator for very new students Rosetta Stone for students in the U.S. for less than six months Paraprofessionals do not translate for students who have been here more than six months. English submersion in non LY classrooms.		CELLA testing Progress monitoring in content area classes FAIR testing CLOZE scores.	Benchmark assessments, FAIR, FCAT Reading
2	Sheltered settings where English is not necessary	Full inclusion in content area classes	Curriculum Compliance Teacher Guidance Counselors Supervising Administrators	Checking schedules to ensure true inclusion Gathering feedback from LY students.	Benchmark assessments, FAIR, FCAT Reading

	on the analysis of studen provement for the following		eference to "Guiding	Questions", identify and o	define areas in need
			By June, 2013, 46% of students with disabilities will score at level 3 or above for reading.		
2012	Current Level of Perforn	nance:	2013 Expected	Level of Performance:	
33%			46%		
	Pr	oblem-Solving Process t	o Increase Studer	nt Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Diverse student needs and levels of readiness	Differentiated instruction at all levels of reading readiness Students set, monitor, and take responsibility for achieving proficiency in reading goals. Placement of ESE students in reading classes with a reading	Supervising Administrators Inclusion Coach ESE and Reading Teachers Reading Coach	Teacher – Students data chats Teacher – Administrator data chats Progress monitoring with pre/post tests on reading skills through language arts classes	Lesson Plans Classroom Observations
2	Classroom teachers' understanding of the variety of ways to help students with disabilities succeed.	Provide trainings on Differentiated Instruction. Collaboration between the classroom teachers, Inclusion Coach, and ESE teachers Facilitated classrooms	Supervising Administrators Inclusion Coach LRS ESE Curriculum Leader	Monitor classroom instruction through lesson plans and observations. Monitor participation In professional development. Monitor student performance on formative and summative testing.	Marzano's model of teacher assessment Progress monitoring tools i.e., benchmark tests, FAIR, FCAT Reading

Classroom

Training students on

Student motivation

Teacher and student

Marzano's model of

3		taking responsibility for learning, setting achievement goals and monitoring progress PIT Crew		Teacher and Administrator data chats	teacher assessment Progress monitoring tools i.e., benchmark tests, FAIR, FCAT Reading
---	--	--	--	---	--

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making By June, 2013, 60% of the economcially disadvantaged satisfactory progress in reading. students at Timber Creek High School will score at level three or above. Reading Goal #5E: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 49% 60% Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier** Strategy **Evaluation Tool** Responsible for Effectiveness of Monitoring Strategy Social factors such as Analyzing the success of FCAT Scores, EDW Use of district social Classroom target students on FCAT and SMS reports attendance and home worker assigned to TCHS. Teachers support PEP Sponsor Reading, FAIR, and OCPS on attendance and Benchmarks discipline Guidance Refer students to counselors guidance counselor, SAFE Supervising and New Horizons. Administrators SAFE Coordinator Provide Students with Deans support classes including E20/20, Success Skills and Study Hall AIR team, guidance FCAT Reading data Perceived relevancy of Classroom teachers Bi-weekly data chats school in general counselors, and teachers with students who are make connections with Supervising not showing progress in students to increase administrators academic courses. their extra-curricular participation when Attendance records 2 possible. Long term goal setting discussions through regular progress chats with students

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
				Two one hour	Administrators will perform	

Comprehensive Instructional Sequence	9-12	C. Roby	All content area PLC's	sessions during planning periods across two weeks. Oct. 4th and 11th.	walkthrough checks to see if teachers are using the strategy appropriately and will ask to see the strategy included in lesson plans.	Supervising Administrators
Small group differentiation	9-12	C. Roby	All content area PLC's	Three one hour sessions during planning periods. Oct. 18th, 25th, Nov. 1st.	Administrators will perform walkthrough checks to see if teachers are using the strategy appropriately and will ask to see the strategy included in lesson plans.	Supervising Administrators
Text Complexity	9-12	C. Roby	All content area PLC's	One hour sessions during planning periods. Nov. 8th	Administrators will perform walkthrough checks to see if teachers are using the strategy appropriately and will ask to see the strategy included in lesson plans.	Supervising Administrators
Reading Strategies (from Kylene Beers training) with an emphasis on technology use	9-12	Nikita McCaskill	Social Studies Department	Monthly	PLC meetings	Supervising Administrators

Reading Budget:

erial(s)		
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Leveled benchmark instruction for grades 9-12 with mini-lessons and skill practice.	Timber Creek High School	\$7,500.00
		Subtotal: \$7,500.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		Subtotal: \$0.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Substitutes	School Budget	\$2,000.00
		Subtotal: \$2,000.00
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Additional paper for social studies, language arts, and reading classes.	Timber Creek High School	\$10,000.00
		Subtotal: \$10,000.00
	Gr	and Total: \$19,500.00
	Description of Resources Leveled benchmark instruction for grades 9-12 with mini-lessons and skill practice. Description of Resources No Data Description of Resources Substitutes Description of Resources Additional paper for social studies, language arts, and reading	Description of Resources Leveled benchmark instruction for grades 9-12 with mini-lessons and skill practice. Timber Creek High School

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

Increase percent of students proficient in

CELL	A Goal #1:		Listening /Spea	aking by 5%.	
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in liste	ening/speaking:		
10th (raders: 90% graders: 85% graders: 85% graders: 74% Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students will have to make up work from other classes to be able to participate on Rosetta Stone.	Schedule non-English speakers who have been in the country less than 6 months will participate in the Rosetta Stone program	Curriculum Compliance Teacher Supervising Administrator	Rosetta Stone unit tests Monitor GPA Analyze benchmark test data	Final Rosetta Stone oral test Report Cards Benchmark data
2	Opportunities for students to practice listening/speaking skills. Lack of audio equipment in classroom. Lack of stories and novels on audio.	Listen to stories and novels via audio system and discuss with other students and teacher.	Curriculum Compliance Teacher Supervising Administrator ELL teacher	Teacher made tests	CELLA Test
Stude	nts read in English at gra	ade level text in a manne	r similar to non-EL	L students.	
	udents scoring proficie A Goal #2:	nt in reading.	Increase perce	ent of students proficient	in reading by
2012	Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in rea	ding:		
10th (raders: 12% graders: 43% graders: 50% graders: 42%				
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	Students who are new	Provide oral	Curriculum	Classroom tests	CELLA Test

to the English language opportunities during ELL Compliance need to improve instruction. Teacher listening/speaking skills Supervising before being successful Administrator in reading. ELL teacher ELL students need to Provide vocabulary Curriculum CELLA Test Classroom tests improve vocabulary practice Compliance skills. Teacher 2 Supervising . Administrator ELL teacher Reading teachers Benchmark tests **CELLA Test** Double reading block Assign students by reading levels to Literacy Coach provide differentiating Supervising instruction Administrator

3. St	tudents scoring proficie	nt in writing.			
CELLA Goal #3:			Increase perce	nt of students proficient	in writing by 10%
201	2 Current Percent of Stu	dents Proficient in writ	ting:		
10th 11th	graders: 45% graders: 42% graders: 68% graders: 53% Prol	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
			Person or	Process Used to	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
1	ELL students need to master listening/speaking and reading before they can be proficient in writing.	Provide writing opportunities beginning at the basic level	ELL Teacher Curriculum Compliance Teacher Supervising Administrator	Writing samples	CELLA Test
2	Students transfer grammar skills from their first language.	Provide instruction of basic grammar skills	ELL Teacher Curriculum Compliance Teacher Supervising Administrator	Classroom grammar tests	CELLA Test

CELLA Budget:

Charter	December 1 and of December 1	Francisco Commen	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of in need of improvement	f student achievement data, for the following group:	and r	eference to	g "Guiding Questions", id	lentify and define areas
 Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: 			N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for			on or ion oonsible toring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define area in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring No Data Submitted No Data Submitted						
Anticipated Barrier Strategy N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. Performance: N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. Performance: N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. Performance: N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. Performance: N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10.			and r	eference to	o "Guiding Questions", io	dentify and define areas
N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool	or above Level 7 in mathematics.			N/A - The		O .
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool	2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Perforn	nance:
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy Evaluation Tool	N/A			N/A		
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position Responsible for Monitoring Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy		Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
No Data Submitted	Anticipated Barrier Strategy Posi for			ion onsible	Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool
No Bata Gashintea		No	Data :	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
N/A – The number of students taking the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10.					
2013 Expected Level of Performance:					

N/A			N/A					
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
No Data Submitted								

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target

High School Mathematics AMO Goals

of improvement for the following subgroup:

satisfactory progress in mathematics.

Mathematics Goal #5C:

5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making

			Mathematics Goal #						
5A. Ambitious Measurable Ob school will red	ojectives (AMC	By June 2016-2017, 76% of students at Timber Creek High School will score satisfactory in mathematics.							
by 50%.			5A :						⊽
Baseline data 2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014		2014-	- 2015	2015-2016)	2016-2017
	71%	60%	64%		68%		72%		
		udent achieveme wing subgroup:	ent data, and ref	erence	to "Gui	iding Ques	tions", identify	and de	fine areas in need
Hispanic, Asia	an, Americar	y ethnicity (Wh n Indian) not m mathematics.		N/A					
Mathematics	Goal #5B:								
2012 Current	Level of Per	formance:		201	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A				N/A	N/A				
		Problem-Sol	ving Process to	Increa	ase Stu	udent Ach	ievement		
Anticipated E	Barrier S	Posi Strategy Resp for		esponsible Effect		Process U Determin Effectiver Strategy	е	Evalu	ation Tool
No Data Submitted									

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need

N/A

2012 Current Level of Pe	erformance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
N/A	N/A					
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data :	Submitted			
Based on the analysis of sof improvement for the fol	student achievement data, and lowing subgroup:	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need	
5D. Students with Disab satisfactory progress in Mathematics Goal #5D:		N/A				
2012 Current Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Expe	ected Level of Performa	nce:	
N/A			N/A			
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data :	Submitted			
Based on the analysis of sof improvement for the fol	student achievement data, and lowing subgroup:	d refer	ence to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	and define areas in need	
-	antaged students not makin	ıg	N/A			
2012 Current Level of Po	erformance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
N/A	N/A N/A					
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease St	udent Achievement		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	for		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No	Data :	Submitted			

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra. By July 2013, 50% of students in Algebra 1 will score at Achievement Level 3. Algebra Goal #1: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: 50% 47% (208) Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine **Anticipated Barrier Evaluation Tool** Strategy Responsible for Effectiveness of Strategy Monitoring Difficulty for ELL Assist ELL students Classroom Analysis of PLC common PLC-made tests students in reading the with facilitators and Teachers assessments and Benchmark tests test since the algebra materials in their native Curriculum Leader benchmark exams Algebra EOC EOC is mainly word language problems. There has Supervising been a correlation Administrator found between reading scores and EOC scores. Analysis of PLC common PLC-made tests Students with low Introduce and include Classroom vocabulary and word Benchmark tests reading and Teachers assessments and comprehension levels problems from the Curriculum Leader benchmark exams Algebra EOC have difficulty with the beginning of the year EOC. Supervising Administrator

	on the analysis of studeed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, an e following group:	id reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas	
			By July of 2013	By July of 2013, 14% of students in Algebra I will score at an achievement level 4 or 5.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performance	e:	
11%	(49)		14%	14%		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Proficiency in delivering rigorous instruction through the use of differentiated instruction	Professional development on differentiated instruction	Classroom Teachers Curriculum Leader Supervising	Analysis of PLC common assessments and benchmark exams Professional development	PLC-made tests Benchmark tests Algebra EOC	

Ad	dministrator	documentation	
		Lesson plans	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of stude ed of improvement for the		nd r	eference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identify	y and define areas
Geon	udents scoring at Achie netry. netry Goal #1:	evement Level 3 in	The Geometry EOC was used as an exam grade in 2012. The scores were reported by thirds (1for low, 2 for middle, 3 for top) not by levels. According to the 2012 data, 42% scored in the top third. By July 2013, 45% of all students taking the Geometry End of Course test at Timber Creek High School will score at Level 3 or above.			
2012	? Current Level of Perfo	rmance:		2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance	e:
42% (234) scored in the top third				45% will score	a level 3 or above	
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to I	ncrease Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Re	Person or Position esponsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Difficulty for ELL students in reading the test since the algebra EOC is mainly word problems. There has been a correlation found between reading scores and EOC scores.	Assist students with facilitators and materials in their native language	Tea Cui	assroom achers rriculum Leader pervising ministrator	Analysis of PLC common assessments and benchmark exams	PLC-made tests Benchmark tests Geometry EOC
2	High Stakes Testing situations tend to stress students	Teach students test taking strategies	Tea Cui	assroom achers rriculum Leader pervising ministrator	Analysis of PLC common assessments and benchmark exams	PLC-made tests Benchmark tests Geometry EOC
3	Students low in reading and comprehension	Introduce and include vocabulary and word problems from the beginning of the year Teach students to decipher word problems and their meanings	Tea Cui Su Ad	assroom achers rriculum Leader pervising ministrator	Analysis of PLC common assessments and benchmark exams	PLC-made tests Benchmark tests Geometry EOC

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels4 and 5 in Geometry.Geometry Goal #2:	See Geometry Goal #1					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:					
See Geometry Goal #1	See Geometry Goal #1					

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Content /Topic d/or PLC Focus	Grade	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Common Core	Algebra and Geometry	Kelli Early Steve Soubasis	Algebra and Geometry PLCs	By Spring, 2013	PLC meetings	Supervising Administrator

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Common Core Training	Substitutes	School Budget	\$1,200.00
			Subtotal: \$1,200.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$1,200.00

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

at Levels 4, 3, and 6 in science.			N/A The number of students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10.		
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring N/A at or above Level 7 in science. The number of students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10. Science Goal #2: 2012 Current Level of Performance: 2013 Expected Level of Performance: N/A N/A Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement Person or Process Used to Position Determine Anticipated Barrier Strategy Responsible **Evaluation Tool** Effectiveness of for Strategy Monitoring No Data Submitted

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

The Biology EOC was used as an exam grade in 2012. The scores were reported by thirds (1for low, 2 for middle, 3 for top) not by levels. According to the 2012 data, 47% of Timber Creek High School's students scored in the top third.

Biology Goal #1:

Biology Goal #1:

By July 2013, 50% of all students taking the Biology End of Course test at Timber Creek High School will score at Level 3 or above.

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
47%	(409) scored in the top	third	50% will score	50% will score at level 3 or above			
	Prob	lem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Attaining teacher and student proficiency with the Next Generation Science Standards	Increase teacher participation in the Biology PLC. Match Biology EOC item specifications with classroom instruction.	Classroom teachers Supervising Administrator	Analysis of student performance on quarterly benchmark assessments and semester exams. PLC group analysis of student performance on individual benchmarks.	County Biology Baseline and Progress Monitoring Tests (Edusoft) Teacher- prepared formative and summative assessments Biology EOC		
2	Helping teachers diagnose student science misconceptions	Administer quarterly county-wide biology diagnostic assessments. Reteach benchmarks where weaknesses have been documented.	Classroom teachers Supervising Administrator	Analysis of student performance on quarterly benchmark assessments and semester exams. PLC group analysis of student performance on individual benchmarks.	County Biology Baseline and Progress Monitoring Tests (Edusoft) Teacher- prepared formative and summative assessments Biology EOC		
3	Determining student readiness for the biology EOC	Administer quarterly county-wide biology diagnostic assessments. Reteach benchmarks where weaknesses have been documented	Classroom teachers Supervising Administrator	Analysis of student performance on quarterly benchmark assessments and semester exams. PLC group analysis of student performance on individual benchmarks.	County Biology Baseline and Progress Monitoring Tests (Edusoft) Teacher- prepared formative and summative assessments Biology EOC		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2:	See Biology Goal #1 since the levels cannot be differentiated.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
See Biology Goal #1 since the levels cannot be differentiated.	See Biology Goal #1 since the levels cannot be differentiated.				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Analysis of data	9-12	Vickie Seavers, Rebecca Green Bew, Brad Waltz	Biology PLC	Quarterly after each biology benchmark test	PLC Meeting	LRS Supervising Administrator Science Curriculum Leader

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

3.0 and higher in writing. Writing Goal #1a:				By July 2013, 92% of all students taking FCAT Writing at Timber Creek High School will score at Level 3 or above.			
2012	2 Current Level of Perfo	rmance:	2013 Expecte	d Level of Performance) :		
89% (645)			92%				
	Prol	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Some students struggle with the writing process and expressing their ideas on paper.		Supervising administrators English Curriculum Leader Social Studies Curriculum Leader LRS	The same writing prompt will be given to all ninth and tenth graders through language arts in September and December. The language arts department will then use the Write Traits rubric to score the essays and to identify weaknesses that need to be addressed prior to the FCAT Writing test. The social studies department will give an alternative writing assessment to be scored using the Write Traits rubric in October and January to monitor student progress.	Write Traits Rubric FCAT Writing test Data Chats (teacher-student, teacher- administrator)		
2	Diverse student needs within a large student population	Use of differentiated instruction to teach writing	Leader Social Studies	The same writing prompt will be given to all ninth and tenth graders through language arts in September and December. The language arts department will then use the Write Traits rubric to score the essays and to identify weaknesses that need to be addressed prior to the FCAT Writing test. The social studies department will give an alternative writing assessment to be scored using the Write Traits rubric in October and January to monitor student progress.	Write Traits Rubric FCAT Writing test		
3	Training teachers on the most effective ways to increase rigor with the classroom for all student populations.	Our teachers use PLC's, Lesson Studies and various district and school based training. We have designed our master schedule to give teachers time for collaboration	administrators English Curriculum Leader LRS	Marzano Teacher Evaluation System, High Stakes Testing Scores	FCAT Writing AP exams Final Exams		

n need of improvement for the following group:					
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing. Writing Goal #1b:			N/A The number of students who take the Florida Alternate Assessment is less than 10.		
2012 Current Level of	Performance:		2013 Exp	ected Level of Perform	nance:
N/A			N/A		
	Problem-Solving Proces	s to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Position		on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

 $\label{thm:please} \textit{Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.}$

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writing	(9th & 10th)	Cathy Melton,	9th & 10th grade language arts and social studies teachers	BI-Weekly from	PLC Minutes Form, Student Samples	Supervising Administrators Language Arts Curriculum Leader Social Studies Curriculum Leader

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Common Core Training	Substitutes	School Budget	\$2,500.00
			Subtotal: \$2,500.00
Other			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of Writing Goals

Grand Total: \$2,500.00

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of studed of improvement for the	ent achievement data, ar e following group:	nd reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History. U.S. History Goal #1:			the requirement	For 2012-2013, teachers will increase their knowledge of the requirements for the U.S. History end of course exam that will be required in 2013-2014.		
2012	Current Level of Perfo	ormance:	2013 Expecte	ed Level of Performanc	e:	
N/A			N/A	N/A		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Teachers have no prior knowledge of the U.S. History end of course exam	Teachers will attend professional development provided by OCPS to learn about the requirements and begin planning calendars and instruction. Teachers will focus on content strategies as well as the incorporation of the Common Core State Standards.	U.S. History PLC Lead Supervising Administrator LRS	Evaluate test results Analysis of scores on FCAT Writing prompts due to the focus on Common Core State Standards	FCAT Reading and Writing scores U.S. History EOC	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.

U.S. History Goal #2:

2012 Current Level of Performance:

N/A

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	tor	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
U.S. History EOC/Common Core		Adam Lange Vickie Seavers	U.S. History PLC	Once a month throughout year	PLC Minutes	U.S. History PLC Lead LRS Supervising Administrator Social Studies Curriculum Leaders

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	nm(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of U.S. History EOC Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

			Increase our a	attendance rate from 94.2	2% to 96%		
	tendance ndance Goal #1:		Decrease our 1242 to 1140	Decrease our students with excessive absences from 1242 to 1140			
			Decrease the from 709 to 6	number of students with 35	excessive tardies		
2012	? Current Attendance R	ate:	2013 Expect	ed Attendance Rate:			
94.21 (2928			96%				
	Current Number of Sturnes (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expect Absences (1	ed Number of Students 0 or more)	with Excessive		
1242	students		1140 students	S			
1	Current Number of Stoles (10 or more)	udents with Excessive	2013 Expect Tardies (10 d	ed Number of Students or more)	with Excessive		
709 s	students		635 students	635 students			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stud	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Identifying individual students who have attendance issues in a school of 3,000.	who have addressing academic Dince issues in a work and monitoring the Ass		Review the student attendance through SMS, EDW and weekly attendance reports from the attendance office. Review attendance referral data.	SMS and EDW Reports Weekly attendance reports.		
		Monitor attendance through discipline issues. Attendance office sends reports to admin on excessive absences.					
2	Stop the absences before they start	Review Progress Reports Attendance letters as per OCPS policy.	Teachers Deans Assistant Principals Principal	Review student attendance through SMS, EDW and weekly attendance reports	SMS and EDW		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	------------------------	---	-------------	--	--	--

	1			
81/8				
N/A				

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference of improvement:	to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need
	Reduce the number of In-School Suspensions from 962 to 855
1. Suspension	Reduce the number of Students suspended In-School from 480 to 432
Suspension Goal #1:	Reduce the total number of suspensions from 249 to 209
	Reduce the number of students suspended out of school from 206 to 186
2012 Total Number of In–School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of In-School Suspensions
962	855
2012 Total Number of Students Suspended In-School	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In- School
480	432
2012 Number of Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions

249			209	209		
1	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended Out-of- School			ed Number of Students	Suspended Out-	
206			186			
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Students that are receiving repeated suspensions.	Using PBS for positive behavioral support. Intervention by deans and assistant principals. Parental involvement in the early stages of the students behavior. On-going communication with parents, teachers and admin team to encourage appropriate behavior at school.	Guidance counselors and	Analyze the total number of times repeated suspensions occur with the same student. Compare the number of suspensions from the previous school year each grading period.	SMS data or teacher data	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developmen	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Suspension Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

	d on the analysis of pare ed of improvement:	nt involvement data, and	d reference to "Gui	ding Questions", identify	and define areas	
1. Dr	opout Prevention					
Drop	out Prevention Goal #1	:		ent year data available f	rom OCPS and the	
	ase refer to the percenta ped out during the 2011-	_	state is for 20	10-2011.		
2012	Current Dropout Rate:		2013 Expecte	ed Dropout Rate:		
.1% f	For 2010-2011		.1%	.1%		
2012	? Current Graduation Ra	ate:	2013 Expecte	2013 Expected Graduation Rate:		
96.3%	% for 2010-2011		97%	97%		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Behind in credits/GPA low	E20/20 credit recovery Night School FLVS	Guidance Counselors Teachers	Graduation Rate FCAT scores Credits recovered	Graduation requirements met FCAT passed Final grades	
2	Attendance	RTI process Truancy process Parent/Teacher conferences Administrative interventions Saturday credit	Guidance Counselors Teachers SAFE Deans Administrators Parents	Graduation Rate Attendance Rate	Attendance Record Final Grades FCAT passed	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Saturday Recovery	Staff	Facility Rental Funds	\$10,000.00
			Subtotal: \$10,000.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
		G	rand Total: \$10,000.00

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

^{*} When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Parent I nvolvement				
Parent Involvement Goal #1:	Increase the number of parent volunteers from 1173 (39%) to 1273 (42%).			
*Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	Increase the total hours volunteered from 9,400 hours to 9,500 hours.			
2012 Current Level of Parent I nvolvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
1173 volunteers with 9,400 hours	1273 volunteers with 9,500 hours			
Problem-Solving Process to	Increase Student Achievement			

	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Full-time workers Not having information	Evening and day programs, workshops, and trainings for students and parents Develop parent resource handbook Continue promoting the Parent Resource Center as a place for parents on campus	Guidance Counselors Parent Volunteers Deans Supervising Administrators Teachers	Parental Feedback Survey results	Survey Monkey Feedback
2	Language	Bilingual intervention (PLC)	Guidance Counselors Parent Volunteers Deans Supervising Administrators Teachers	Parental Feedback Survey results	Survey Monkey Feedback
3	Access to computer technology (Email, ProgressBook, Guidance website)	Provide computer access for parents in our Parent Resource Center	Guidance Counselors Parent Volunteers Deans Supervising Administrators Teachers	Parental Feedback Survey results	Survey Monkey Feedback

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Progran	n(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	nt		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Base	d on the analysis of school	ol data, identify and defir	ne areas in need of	improvement:	
Teacher directed technology use through activities support teaching and learning through projects requisions. The students to use computer applications, probe ware a other online learning activities to design solutions, communicate findings, and defend arguments. Based upon 2011—2012 Algebra EOC exams, 58.1% of students will proficient. By July 2013, 61.1% of students will proficient as tested by Algebra EOC.			orojects requiring probe ware and a solutions, ments. Based 58.1% of students		
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement	
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
1	Students are unfamiliar with software/hardware applications that are needed for coursework.		Mrs. Daphne Flakes, Assistant Principal, Mrs. Jennifer Kane, "Project Lead the Way" Teacher, Mr. Phillip Tillery, "Gaming and Simulation" Teacher, Mr. Lanny Wood, "Project Lead the Way" Teacher	Teacher monitoring, Pretest and Post Test of required application skills associated with a given task, lesson, or project.	Lesson, Project, with a final Portfolio
2	Students are lacking mathematical skills applicable to the courses.	Provide math tutoring via "one-on-one" lessons w/demonstrations, as well as, online presentations and lessons that a student can complete at their own pace.	The teacher of the given course along with the student.	Teacher monitoring, Pretest and Post Test of required mathematical skills associated with a given task, lesson, or project.	Project and application outcomes

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
	9—12, PLTW courses	A combination of instructor and PLTW.	STEM PLC	April 2013, but will be ongoing	PLC Meeting	The instructors/teachers

STEM Budget:

Stratogy	Description of Description	Funding Course	Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Project Lead the Way	Technology	Grant	\$15,000.00
			Subtotal: \$15,000.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$15,000.00

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Base	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:						
1. CTE CTE Goal #1:			· ·	25% of Computing for College and Careers (CCC) will pass the industry certification exams.			
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Students understanding of Microsoft Office and CIW applications		Career and Technical Education teachers Supervising Administrator	Student work Industry practice test	Final Industry Certification Test		

where students must utilize various Microsoft Office applications to demonstrate mastery of program	
Introduce technical language for preparation for CIW	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
- 1	ndustry Certification	9-12	Liz DiGiovanni		2nd Wednesday of each month	Supervising Administrator

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/M	aterial(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
CIW	Site License for students to take ICE	School Budget	\$7,500.00
Industry Certification	Computers and Software	Grant	\$40,000.00
Certiport ACA Testing Site	Site License for students to take ICE	School budget	\$3,175.00
Microsoft Office (MOS) Testing Center	Site License for students to take ICE	School budget	\$3,175.00
Certiport Pretest - Office 07	Practice Test	School budget	\$2,000.00
Auto desk – K12	Software	School budget	\$2,950.00
Quick Book and 3 tests	Software	School budget	\$99.00
			Subtotal: \$58,899.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$58,899.00

Additional Goal(s)

Advanced Placement Goal:

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
	Advanced Placement Goal Advanced Placement Goal #1:			Timber Creek High School will increase performance in advanced placement programs.			
2012	? Current level:		2013 Expecte	2013 Expected level:			
54.99	% (1072) of students who	o took AP exams passed.	58% of studen	58% of students who will take AP exams will pass .			
	Pro	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier Strategy R		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Diverse student needs	Differentiated instruction to meet the needs of all students	AP Coordinator Supervising Administrator AP Teachers	Compare pre and post test scores Evaluate practice AP exams	AP Exams		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Student support	9-12	Beth Eskin Margarete Bermudez	AP/APC teachers	Per nine weeks	PLC meetings	AP Coordinator LRS Supervising Administrator

Evidence-based Progr	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00

Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Advanced Placement	AP exams	School budget	\$200,000.00
		-	Subtotal: \$200,000.00
			Grand Total: \$200,000.00

End of Advanced Placement Goal(s)

Upper level math/science Goal:

1	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
·	Upper level math/science Goal Upper level math/science Goal #1:			Timber Creek High School will increase performance in upper level mathematics (beyond algebra II) and science courses beyond chemistry.			
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	2013 Expected level:			
cours	6 (1033) scored C or high		98 5% will sco	90% will score C or higher in upper level math courses 98.5% will score C or higher in upper level science courses			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	nt Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Diverse student needs	Differentiated instruction to meet the needs of all students		Analyze tests and assignments Data chats with students	Progress reports and report cards		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)					
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount		

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-		Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Upper level math/science Goal(s)

College Dual Enrollment Goal:

	d on the analysis of studed of improvement for the		nd reference to "G	uiding Questions", identif	y and define areas	
	llege Dual Enrollment (ge Dual Enrollment Goa			Timber Creek High School will increase enrollment in college dual enrollment programs.		
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	2013 Expected level:		
,	34) of juniors and senior ment program	were enrolled in the dual	,	3% of juniors and seniors will be enrolled in the dual enrollment program		
	Pro	blem-Solving Process t	to Increase Stude	ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Assessment scores on PERT especially in the area of math prevents some students from being eligible	Emphasis on college ready math skills within junior and senior level math courses	Language Arts Teachers Math Teachers Supervising Administrators	Analyze report cards Analyze standardized test results Data chats with students	Report cards, PERT	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of College Dual Enrollment Goal(s)

College and Career Readiness Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
College and Career Readiness Goal College and Career Readiness Goal #1:		Timber Creek High School will increase college and career readiness.			
2012 Current level:			2013 Expected level:		
See STEM Goal #1			See STEM Goal #1		
	Problem-Solving Pr	rocess to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
		No Data	Submitted		

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
See Stem Goal PD				

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of College and Career Readiness Goal(s)

ACT Goal:

Based on the analysis in need of improvement			reference	to "Guiding Questions"	, identify and define areas
		Timber Creek High School will increase the number of students earning at or above 21.2 on the ACT.			
2012 Current level:		2013 Expected level:			
See SIP Reading Goals 1-5		See SIP Reading Goals 1-5			
	Problem-Solving	g Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievemen	t
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	-	No Data	Submitted	1	'

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
See Reading Goals 1-5 PD					

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of ACT Goal(s)

Achievement Gap Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
Achievement Gap Goal Achievement Gap Goal #1:	Timber Creek High School will decrease the achievement gap for each identified subgroup by 10% by June 30, 2016.			
2012 Current level:	2013 Expected level:			
See SIP Reading Goals 5A-5E as well as Algebra and Geometry Goals	See SIP Reading Goals 5A-5E as well as Algebra and Geometry Goals			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
See Reading Goals 5A-5E PD as well as Geometry and Algebra Goal PD					

Budget:

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Achievement Gap Goal(s)

Fine Arts Enrollment Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

	1. Fine Arts Enrollment Goal		
ı		Timber Creek I	Hiα

Timber Creek High School will increase fine arts

Fine Arts Enrollment Goal #1:			enrollment.	enrollment.		
2012	2012 Current level:			ed level:		
Dance classes are not available for students.			At least two da	At least two dance classes (40 students) will be offered.		
	Problem-Solving Process to I			ent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	Student motivation	Advertise through classrooms and WOLF TV as well as guidance registration	Guidance counselors Dance teacher	Evaluate enrollment figures and retention	Registration forms	

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Evidence-based Progra			Available
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Technical Centers Goal:

	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
1. Te	1. Technical Centers Goal						
				Timber Creek High School will work cooperatively with Technical Centers.			
2012	Current level:		2013 Expecte	ed level:			
5% (40) of seniors are enrolled in a technical center			7% of seniors	7% of seniors will enroll in a technical center			
	Prol	olem-Solving Process t	o Increase Stude	ent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	Reading level of students prevents them from being eligible for tech programs	Professional Development on text complexity and Common Core demands on reading for college and career readiness	Literacy Coach LRS Supervising Administrators	Evaluate reading scores on ACT, SAT, and PERT			

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	•	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Technical Centers Goal(s)

Special Education Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
			Timber Creek High School will decrease disproportionate classification in special education.		
2012 Current level:			2013 Exp	pected level:	
N/A – At Timber Creek High School, students are rarely evaluated for placement in special education for the first time. See the MTSS/RtI implementation plan.			N/A – At Timber Creek High School, students are rarely evaluated for placement in special education for the first time. See the MTSS/RtI implementation plan.		
	Problem-Solving F	Process to I	ncrease S	Student Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
N/A					

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		•	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
	-	•	Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Special Education Goal(s)

Early Completion of Algebra I Goal:

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
Early Completion of Algebra Goal Early Completion of Algebra Goal #1:			Timber Creek High School will increase the number of students who successfully complete algebra I prior to 10th grade.		
2012 Current level:			2013 Expected level:		
See SIP Algebra Goal #1 above			See SIP Algebra Goal #1 above		
	Problem-Solving Proces	ss to I	ncrease S	tudent Achievement	
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person Positior Respon for Monitor		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	(e.g. , PLC,	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
See Algebra Goal PD	_				

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developm	nent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
		-	Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of Early Completion of Algebra I Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

Evidence-based Progra	am(s)/Material(s)			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Ten Steps	Leveled benchmark instruction for grades 9-12 with mini-lessons and skill practice.	Timber Creek High School	\$7,500.00
Dropout Prevention	Saturday Recovery	Staff	Facility Rental Funds	\$10,000.00
				Subtotal: \$17,500.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
STEM	Project Lead the Way	Technology	Grant	\$15,000.00
CTE	CIW	Site License for students to take ICE	School Budget	\$7,500.00
CTE	Industry Certification	Computers and Software	Grant	\$40,000.00
СТЕ	Certiport ACA Testing Site	Site License for students to take ICE	School budget	\$3,175.00
СТЕ	Microsoft Office (MOS) Testing Center	Site License for students to take ICE	School budget	\$3,175.00
СТЕ	Certiport Pretest – Office 07	Practice Test	School budget	\$2,000.00
CTE	Auto desk – K12	Software	School budget	\$2,950.00
CTE	Quick Book and 3 tests	Software	School budget	\$99.00
				Subtotal: \$73,899.00
Professional Developm	nent			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Training on Common Core	Substitutes	School Budget	\$2,000.00
Mathematics	Common Core Training	Substitutes	School Budget	\$1,200.00
Writing	Common Core Training	Substitutes	School Budget	\$2,500.00
				Subtotal: \$5,700.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
Reading	Paper for dense text articles for each student.	Additional paper for social studies, language arts, and reading classes.	Timber Creek High School	\$10,000.00
Advanced Placement	Advanced Placement	AP exams	School budget	\$200,000.00
				Subtotal: \$210,000.00
				Grand Total: \$307,099.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

jn Priority jn Focus jn Prevent jn NA	
---------------------------------------	--

Are you a reward school: jn Yes jn No

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 11/12/2012)

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

Projected use of SAC Funds	Amount
Parent communication	\$1,000.00
Teacher Grants	\$3,000.00

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

Continue work on the parent directory

Provide support for parents, students and teachers through support of the college and career center, collecting books for classroom libraries, and helping to promote awareness of curriculum and testing changes.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found

Orange School District TIMBER CREEK HIGH 2010-2011						
	Reading	Math	Writing		Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	64%	90%	90%	56%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	56%	78%			134	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	43% (NO)	68% (YES)			111	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					545	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*		·			В	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested

Orange School District TIMBER CREEK HIGH 2009-2010	İ					
	Reading	Math	Writing	Science	Grade Points Earned	
% Meeting High Standards (FCAT Level 3 and Above)	62%	88%	91%	54%		Writing and Science: Takes into account the % scoring 4.0 and above on Writing and the % scoring 3 and above on Science. Sometimes the District writing and/or science average is substituted for the writing and/or science component.
% of Students Making Learning Gains	61%	79%			140	3 ways to make gains: Improve FCAT Levels Maintain Level 3, 4, or 5 Improve more than one year within Level 1 or 2
Adequate Progress of Lowest 25% in the School?	52% (YES)	67% (YES)			119	Adequate Progress based on gains of lowest 25% of students in reading and math. Yes, if 50% or more make gains in both reading and math.
FCAT Points Earned					564	
Percent Tested = 99%						Percent of eligible students tested
School Grade*					А	Grade based on total points, adequate progress, and % of students tested