Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION

School Name: San Antonio Elementary	District Name: Pasco
Principal: Kay Coe	Superintendent: Heather
SAC Chair: Rose Smith	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Highly Effective Administrators

List your school's highly effective administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/	Number	Number of	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
		Certification(s)	of Years	Years as an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
			at Current	Administrator	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school
			School		year)
Principal	Kay Coe	BA-Elementary	1	15	
		Education, MA			
		Educational Leadership,			
		Reading			
Assistant	Stanley Mykita	BA Elementary Ed.	5	9	
Principal		MA Educational			
		Leadership			
		ESOL Endorsement			

Highly Effective Instructional Coaches

List your school's highly effective instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment performance (Percentage data for Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject	Name	Degree(s)/	Number of	Number of Years as	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/
Area		Certification(s)	Years at	an	Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains,
			Current School	Instructional Coach	Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated
					school year)
	Donna College	BS-Elementary	5	6	
Literacy		Education, MA-Reading,			
Coach		Certification Elem Ed 1-			
		6, ESOL, Reading K-12,			
		NBCT			

2012-2013	2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1								

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)
1. Regularly scheduled meetings with beginning teachers (new to profession or school) to increase knowledge of school policies, best practice strategies, and professional responsibilities	Admin	Ongoing	
2. Assign veteran teachers to new teachers	Admin	Ongoing	
3.			
4.			

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

List all instructional staff and paraprofessionals who are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

Name	Certification	Teaching Assignment	Professional Development/Support to Become Highly Effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First-Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
54	0% (0)	21% (11)	46% (25)	33% (18)	26% (14)	100% (54)	11% (6)	9% (5)	76% (41)

Teacher Mentoring Program

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Master Teacher	Beginning teacher/ teacher's in need of support	Master teacher is an experienced educator with a proven record of providing high quality instruction, working with diverse populations, and increasing student achievement.	The mentor and mentee are meeting monthly in a professional learning community to discuss evidence-based strategies. The mentor would be given release time to observe the mentee. Time would be given for the feedback, coaching and planning.

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS Leadership Team.

Principal and Assistant Principal

Primary and Transitional General Education teachers

Special Education teachers

Literacy Coach

Rti intervention specialist

School Psychologist/RtI Coach

Speech Language Pathologist

Guidance Counselor

School Social Worker

School Technology Specialist

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The RtI leadership team creates the schools RtI implementation plan to compliment the goals identified in the school improvement plan. More specifically, the RtI Leadership Team uses several sources of data (student, instructional practices, surveys and focus groups) to develop the consensus and infrastructure needed to implement RtI as a way of work for the school. The team meets monthly and uses strategic planning as a meeting format. The activities of the RtI leadership team directly contribute to attaining the achievement goals that are delineated school improvement plan by developing the necessary resources for developing a responsive system that meets students needs, refining and providing high quality instruction, and improving the quality of decision making by using data.

Members of the RtI leadership team also meet within their own grade level teams to review student data and make instructional decisions based upon student response at a Tier I level. Grade level teams also use problem-solving and strategic planning to allocate team resources in response to groups and individual student needs. This strengthens the professional learning community at each grade level.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The RtI leadership team assists the development of school improvement plan by increasing the capacity of the school analyze data and make informed decisions. The team facilitates the problem-solving process to specify student achievement goals, identify barriers to be addressed, and developing strategies to overcome barriers. The RtI leadership and other school-based teams monitor the implementation of the school improvement plan by ensuring that high quality instruction is provided to students using peer walkthroughs and analyzing student response to Tier I instruction.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior. The RtI leadership team, grade level teams, and individual teachers will use several data sources to monitor effectiveness of the multi-tiered services. Grade Level teams and teachers use the Florida Assessment for Instruction of Reading (FAIR), McMillan McGraw-Hill (MM/H) Unit Assessments, and Weekly assessments to monitor the effectiveness of core instruction on reading achievement. The FAIR and MM/H Unit assessments will be available to teachers, coaches, and administrators via the Progress Monitoring and Reporting Network (PMRN) and the Core K-12. Teachers, coaches, and administrators will discuss the results of Tier I reading assessments at Grade-Level data chats, and Team-Based Instructional/Intervention Teams (TBIT).

Additional diagnostic measures are used to problem-solve the needs of at-risk students. Also, the progress of at-risk students is monitored frequently to determine student response to intervention. Teachers, coaches, and administrators will discuss students' response to Tier II and Tier III instruction at TBIT and School-Based Intervention Team (SBIT) Meetings.

The Core K-12 will be utilized to evaluate the effectiveness of core instruction on math and science achievement. These benchmark assessments will be administered three times to assess the school's progress towards meeting the goal outlined in the school improvement plan. This data will also be discussed at Grade-Level data chats, and TBIT meetings.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

The team will receive ongoing coaching support from our school-based PS/RtI coach. A primary focus of this coaching will be building capacity for all School-Based RtI Leadership Team members to serve as facilitators in the problem solving process.

In-House Staff Training:

- -The School-Based RtI Leadership Team will provide in-house training to all teachers on the PS/RtI model and group problem solving processes. The school-wide resource inventories and implementation plan will be used as communication tools during the training process.
- -This training will be generalized to grade level groups through weekly study groups utilizing the PS/RtI model at a Tier I level, with a gradual release of responsibility to the facilitators. Tier II problem solving will be incorporated into weekly meetings and quarterly data analysis meetings. Tier III problem solving will be modeled and practiced in the weekly S-BIT meetings.
- -The school psychologist/RtI coach will provide ongoing modeling and coaching support to School-Based RtI Leadership Team members and other staff throughout meetings at the Tier I, II and III levels.
- -In house foundation training will occur for new members of the Leadership Team, and readiness training will be provided for teachers in all grades as part of our scale up activities.

Describe plan to support MTSS.

The School-Based RtI Leadership Team will provide in-house training to all teachers on the PS/RtI model and group problem solving processes. The school-wide resource inventories and implementation plan will be used as communication tools during the training process. Administration will provide support at TBIT and SBIT meetings.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Principal and Assistant Principal

Primary, Transitional and Intermediate General Education team leaders

Primary, Transitional and Intermediate General Education and Special Education teachers who are also on the RtI Leadership Team

Special Education team leader

Literacy Coach

Rti intervention specialist

School Psychologist and RtI Coach

School media Specialist

Guidance Counslor

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

In conjunction with the RtI Leadership team, the Lead Literacy Team meets annually to review summative assessment data from a variety of sources to identify a school wide focus for the upcoming school year. This focus becomes the basis of the core instructional focus, as well as the action steps in the school improvement plan, and professional development plan for the school year. The teams monitor the instructional strategies of the staff and the progress towards meeting the school improvement plan goals and objectives monthly throughout the year.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

The Lead Literacy Team will focus on the refinement of our core instructional routine and implementation of best practice strategies in reading, as well as other content areas, as they relate to literacy development. The LLT will engage in professional reading, research and learning as a learning community, and use their expertise to engage their team members in growth opportunities. Data will be collected to monitor progress towards refinements in instructional practices, as well as the impact on student achievement.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (b) F.S For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personall meaningful?
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S. Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.			1a.1. Observations such	1a.1. The staff will conduct	
Students scoring	Misalignment		Leaders.		learning team meetings on a	
at Achievement	of instruction	receive			scheduled basis to perform data	
	and	differentiated	Lead Literacy Team		analysis in order to monitor	
Level 3 in	assessment.	instruction based		Minutes	student progress. The progress	
reading.		on ongoing	Administration		of students will be monitored	
8		problem analysis			using assessments such as but	
		of progress	Literacy Coach		not limited to quick check	
		monitoring data		documentation of materials		
		and quarterly			mid unit and end of unit tests,	
		assessments as		as attendance records.	fluency assessments, and	
		prescribed by		All action research or	leveled passages as well as	
		FAIR		study group work will be	FAIR data.	
		Assessment		documented and given to		
		System and the			Student performance data will	
		core reading		2012.	improve over the 2010-2011	
		curriculum in an		End of the year IPDP	results on FCAT Reading	
		uninterrupted		Conferences.		
		reading block.				
		This block will		On-going progress		
		incorporate the		monitoring		
		targeted skills				
		needed in the				
		initial reading				
		block. Based				
		upon student				
		data, more				
		intensive				
		instruction will				
		be provided with				
		additional time				
		on a multi-tier				
		level system,				
		utilizing targeted				
		instructional				
		strategies and				
	1	technology.				
		Teachers and				
		staff will draw				
		upon the afore				
	1	mentioned .				
	1	assessment data				
		to participate in				
		PS/RtI through				
		weekly Team				
	1	Meeting (ex:				
		Teacher Based				
	1	Intervention				
	1	Team and or				
		School Based				

				i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
		Intervention					
		Team) to					
		collaborate more					
		effective					
		methods,					
		accommodations,					
		and strategies to					
		help all students					
		to be proficient					
		readers.					
		readers.				1:	
Reading Goal #1a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
To increase the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
percentage of students							
percentage of stations							
scoring level 3 by 7%			I	1			
(25) by June 2013			I	1			
			I				
			I				
1							
1	24% (88)	31% (111)					
		1a.2.	1a.2. In order to improve	1 2	1a.2.	1a.2.	
1							
1				114.2.	18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills,	11a.2.	11a.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will	11 d. 2.	18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will	114.2.	18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional	14.2.	18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using	14.2.	18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the	14.2.	18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem		18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for		18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance.		18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be		18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated.		18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff		18.2.	14.2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding		14.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading as well as areas of study		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading as well as areas of study as provided through but		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading as well as areas of study as provided through but not limited to the FCRR		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading as well as areas of study as provided through but not limited to the FCRR web site, (and ,or) The		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading as well as areas of study as provided through but not limited to the FCRR web site, (and, or) The Art and Science of		14.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading as well as areas of study as provided through but not limited to the FCRR web site, (and ,or) The Art and Science of Teaching by		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading as well as areas of study as provided through but not limited to the FCRR web site, (and ,or) The Art and Science of Teaching by		18.2.	1 d. 2.	
			the pedagogical skills, instructional staff will engage in professional development using student data as the basis for team problem solving and planning for student performance. Student progress will be tracked and celebrated. Continued staff development will be offered, using common language regarding differentiation of instruction for reading as well as areas of study as provided through but not limited to the FCRR web site, (and, or) The Art and Science of		18.2.	1 d. 2.	

	ı	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
		14.5.	ia.s.	ia.s.	14.5.	14.5.	
	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
1b. Florida							
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring							
at Levels 4, 5,							
and 6 in reading.	2012 0						
Reading Goal #1b:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the		Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.	11. 2	11. 0	11.0	11.0	
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student achievement data,	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
and reference			Widilloring	Strategy			
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following group:							

2a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1. Increase	1a.1. Grade Level Team	1a.1. Observations such as	1a.1 The staff will conduct		
Students scoring	Misalignment				learning team meetings on a		
	of instruction	rigor to match		plans	scheduled basis to perform data		
at or above	and	the rigor of			analysis in order to monitor		
Achievement	assessment.	assessments.		Minutes	student progress. The progress		
Levels 4 and 5 in					of students will be monitored		
reading.					using assessments such as but		
 8 .					not limited to quick check		
				documentation of materials and agendas, as well	mid unit and end of unit tests,		
					fluency assessments, and		
					leveled passages as well as		
					FAIR data.		
				documented and given to	1 ATK data.		
				the administration by April	Student performance data will		
					improve over the 2010-2011		
					results on FCAT Reading		
				Conferences.			
				On-going progress			
				monitoring			
Reading Goal #2a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
To increase the		Performance:*					
percentage of students							
scoring a 4 or 5 by 7%							
(26) by June 2013.							
	30% (110)	37% (136)					
	5070 (110)	5770 (150)					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
				_u	24.2.		
1							
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in reading.			2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Reading Goal #2b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	262.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
						2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2 ECATION	20.1	2. 1	20 1 Crada I 1 T	2a 1 Obsamisti	3a.1. The staff will conduct	1	
3a. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1 Teachers						
Percentage of	at varying			walk thoughts and lesson plans	learning team meetings on a scheduled basis to perform data		
students making	abilities and		Lead Literacy Team		analysis in order to monitor		
Learning Gains	comfort levels		Lead Literacy Team	Minutes	student progress. The progress		
				Literacy Team Minutes	of students will be monitored		
in reading.	with analyzing data and using				using assessments such as but		
	it to drive			meeting dates,	not limited to quick check		
			Literacy Coacii	documentation of materials	-1		
	instruction			documentation of materials	mid unit and end of unit tests,		
	- Teachers						
	at varying				fluency assessments, and		
	levels of using				leveled passages as well as		
	Differentiated				FAIR data.		
	Instruction to			documented and given to	6. 1 . 6 . 1. 31		
	meet the needs				Student performance data will		
	of all students.			2012.	improve over the 2010-2011		
					results on FCAT Reading		
	1			Conferences.			
				O			
				On-going progress			
				monitoring			
D 11 G 1 1/2	2012 G	2012 F . 1					
Reading Goal #3a:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
To increase the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
percentage of students							
making learning gains							
by 5% (12) by June							
2013.							
	54% (129)	59% (141)					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		Ja.4.	Ja.4.	Ja.2.	Ja.2.	Ja.2.	
				l		Į	

		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in reading.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Reading Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	3b.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
4a. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4.1 Grade Level Team Leaders. Lead Literacy Team Administration Literacy Coach	walk thoughts and lesson plans Grade Level Meeting Minutes Literacy Team Minutes Professional Development meeting dates, documentation of materials and agendas, as well as attendance records. All action research or study group work will be documented and given to the administration by April 2012.	4a.1. The staff will conduct learning team meetings on a scheduled basis to perform data analysis in order to monitor student progress. The progress of students will be monitored using assessments such as but not limited to quick check observations, weekly tests, mid unit and end of unit tests, fluency assessments, and leveled passages as well as FAIR data. Student performance data will improve over the 2010-2011 results on FCAT Reading	

Reading Goal #4a: To increase the percentage of students in the lowest 25% making learning gains by 7% (3) by June 2013.	<u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	62% (68)	69% (71)					
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	
Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Reading Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs). In six year school will reduce their achievement gap by 50%.	Baseline data 2010- 2011						
Reading Goal #5A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

5B. Student subgroups	White:	5B.1.	Team Leaders.	walk thoughts and lesson	5B.1. The staff will conduct learning team meetings on a		
h	Black: Hispanic: Asian:		Lead Literacy Team	Grade Level Meeting	scheduled basis to perform data analysis in order to monitor student progress. The progress		
Hispanic, Asian, American Indian)	American Indian:		Administration Literacy Coach	Literacy Team Minutes Professional Development	of students will be monitored using assessments such as but not limited to quick check		
not making satisfactory progress in reading.			Eneracy Coach	documentation of materials and agendas, as well as attendance records. All action research or	observations, weekly tests, mid unit and end of unit tests, fluency assessments, and leveled passages as well as		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				documented and given to the administration by April 2012.	FAIR data. Student performance data will improve over the 2010-2011 results on FCAT Reading		
				On-going progress monitoring			
Reading Goal #5B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
To increase the percentage of students making learning gains by 5% (12) by June 2013.							
	data for current level of performance in this box. White:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black:					
	Asian: American Indian:	Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student achievement data,	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
and reference			Widintoring	Strategy			
to "Guiding							
Questions', identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.				5C.1. The staff will conduct		
Language			Team Leaders.		learning team meetings on a scheduled basis to perform data		
Learners (ELL)					analysis in order to monitor		
not making			·	Minutes	student progress. The progress		
satisfactory					of students will be monitored		
progress in				Professional Development	using assessments such as but not limited to quick check		
reading.				meeting dates, documentation of materials			
					mid unit and end of unit tests,		
					fluency assessments, and		
					leveled passages as well as		
				study group work will be documented and given to	FAIR data.		
					Student performance data will		
				2012.	improve over the 2010-2011		
				End of the year IPDP	results on FCAT Reading		
				Conferences.			
				On-going progress			
				monitoring			
Reading Goal		2013 Expected					
#5C:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	i citorinanec.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
gout in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		50.2.	50.2.	50.2.	5.2.	50.2.	

		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	5D.1.		5D.1. Grade Level Team Leaders. Lead Literacy Team Administration Literacy Coach ESE Team	walk thoughts and lesson plans Grade Level Meeting Minutes Literacy Team Minutes Professional Development meeting dates, documentation of materials and agendas, as well as attendance records. All action research or study group work will be documented and given to the administration by April 2012.	5D.1. The staff will conduct learning team meetings on a scheduled basis to perform data analysis in order to monitor student progress. The progress of students will be monitored using assessments such as but not limited to quick check observations, weekly tests, mid unit and end of unit tests, fluency assessments, and leveled passages as well as FAIR data. Student performance data will improve over the 2010-2011 results on FCAT Reading		
Reading Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:* Enter numerica	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of performance in this box.					

		len a	len a	Isp 2	ED 2	cD 2	
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
Based on the		Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
analysis of student	Anticipated		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Monitoring	Strategy			
to "Guiding							
Questions",							
identify and define							
areas in need of improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
Same Sampa							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1. Grade Level	5E.1. Observations such as	5E.1. The staff will conduct		
Disadvantaged			Team Leaders.		learning team meetings on a		
students					scheduled basis to perform data		
not making			Lead Literacy Team		analysis in order to monitor		
satisfactory			Administration		student progress. The progress of students will be monitored		
progress in					using assessments such as but		
reading.			Literacy Coach	meeting dates,	not limited to quick check		
reading.				documentation of materials	observations, weekly tests, mid unit and end of unit tests.		
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	fluency assessments, and		
					leveled passages as well as		
				study group work will be	FAIR data.		
				documented and given to	Ct1t		
					Student performance data will improve over the 2010-2011		
					results on FCAT Reading		
				Conferences.			
				0			
				On-going progress monitoring			
			ļ.	momornig			

#5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		r criormance.					
gou in inis box.							
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this					
	this box.	box.		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

Reading Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

caca)			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source Funding Source Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of Reading Goals

2012-2013 School Improvement Plan (SIP)-Form SIP-1

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible		Evaluation Tool	
understand spoken English at			for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness		
grade level in a manner similar				of		
to non-ELL students.				Strategy		

1. Students scoring proficient in Listening/ Speaking.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
CELLA Goal #1: To increase the percentage of students scoring proficient on the Listening/Speaking portion of the CELLA by 16% (5)	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	30% (14)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.
Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
proficient in Reading.						
Fg-						
CELLA Goal #2:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					
To increase the percentage of	Froncient in Reading.					
students scoring proficient on the						
Reading portion of the CELLA by						
21% (9)						
	9% (4)					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Students write in English at	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible	Process Used to	Evaluation Tool	
grade level in a manner similar			for Monitoring	Determine Effectiveness		
to non-ELL students.				of Strategy		
				Strategy		

3. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	
	[[F	[
proficient in Writing.						
CELLA Goal #3:	2012 Current Percent of Students					
	Proficient in Writing:					
To increase the percentage of						
To increase the percentage of students scoring proficient on the						
Writing portion of the CELLA by						
21% (9)						
	110/ ///					
	11% (5)					
		 	2.2	h a	h a	2.2
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

CELLA Dudget (msett tows as ne				
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				

Total:		
1 otal.		

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1a. FCAT 2.0:	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.		
Students scoring at							
Achievement Level							
3 in mathematics.							
o in mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1a:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
To increase the							
percentage of students scoring at level 3 on the							
FCAT by 7% (25) by							
FCAT by 7% (25) by June 2013.							
	30% (107)	37% (132)					
	50/0(10/)	5770 (132)					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	16.1.	16.1.		
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	16.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.		1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
#20:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
						2a.2. 2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2b.2.	2b2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3a. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of	Ju. 1 .	Su. 1 .	Ju. 1 .	Ju. 1.	Ju. 1 .		
rercentage of							
students making							
Learning Gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3a:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
11 5 d.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
To increase the							
percentage of students							
making learning gains by 5% (12) by June 2013.	,						
5% (12) by June 2013.							
	40% (98)	45% (102)					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	
	Į.	l					

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
#2h:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	3b.2.	
		3b.3.				3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4a: To increase the percentage of students in the lowest 25% who are making learning gains by 5% (5) by June 2013.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	55% (60)	60% (65)					
						4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.		4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box. 4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2. 4b.3.		4b.2. 4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

			1		ı	
	Baseline					
but Achievable	data 2010-					
Annual Measurable	2011					
Objectives (AMOs).	,					
In six year school						
will reduce their						
achievement gap by						
50%.						
Mathematics Goal						
#5A:						
<u></u>						
Enter narrative for the	1					
goal in this box.	1					
0	1					
	1					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy		Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier	Strategy		Effectiveness of	Evaluation 1001	
data, and reference to	Buille			Strategy		
"Guiding Questions",				Sumogy		
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:			Person or Position			
lonowing subgroup.			Responsible for			
			Monitoring			
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
subgroups by	White:					
(33.71.7)	Black:					
	Hispanic:					
	Asian:					
Asian, American	American					
Indian) not making	Indian:					
satisfactory						
progress in						
mathematics.						
	1	1				
	1	1				
	1	1				

#5B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.		5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#5C:	Level of	Level of					
<u> </u>	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
goat in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this	,				
	this box.	box.					
]
]
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		I '					
		1	1				
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
		JC.J.	50.3.	PC.3.	BC.3.	DC.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions",	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students			Monitoring	Effectiveness of	5D.1.		
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup: 5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in			Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			

	2012 G	2012 F				1	
Tradition Cour	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
#5D:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	remormance.	renormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	T						
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	box.					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
P 1 1 1 1 1		a	n n ::	7			
Based on the analysis of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of	Evaluation Tool		
data, and reference to	Dairiei		Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define							
areas in need of							
improvement for the							
following subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not							
making satisfactory							
progress in							
mathematics.	1	1					
					l		

Mathematics Goal #5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

ematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Mathematics Goal #1a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.				

		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.	1b.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.							
mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#1b:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
1	current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	
		10.3.	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	10.5.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in mathematics.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
Mathematics Goal #2a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2a.2.		2a.2.		2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	

2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.		2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
#2b:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
		2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3a. FCAT 2.0:	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.	3a.1.		
Percentage of							
students making Learning Gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected					
#3a:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	r criormanec.	r crromance.					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	3a.2.	
		3a.3.	3a.3.	3a.3.	3a3.	3a.3.	

3b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.	3b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3b.2. 3b.3.		3b.2. 3b.3.		3b.2. 3b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4a. FCAT 2.0:	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.	4a.1.		
Percentage of students in Lowest							
25% making learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4a:	Level of	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the	r criormance.	r criormanee.					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
		4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	4a.2.	
		4a.3	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	4a.3.	

4b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Mathematics Goal #4b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.		4b.2.	4b.2.	4b.2.	
		4b.3	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	4b.3.	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	

5A. Ambitious	Baseline					
	data 2010-					
Annual Measurable						
Objectives (AMOs).						
In six year school						
will reduce their						
achievement gap by						
50%.						
Mathematics Goal						
#5A:						
Enter narrative for the	1					
goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool	
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of		
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy		
"Guiding Questions",						
identify and define						
areas in need of						
improvement for the						
following subgroup:						
5B. Student	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	
subgroups by	White:					
subgroups by	Black:					
ethnicity (White,	Hispanic:					
Black, Hispanic,	Asian:					
Asian, American	American					
1 1010011, 1 11110110011	Indian:					
Indian) not making	1					
satisfactory						
progress in						
mathematics.	1					
indicination.	1					
		L				

#5B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
			5B.3.	5B.3.		5B.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5C:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of performance in this box.	expected level of performance in this box.					
	11163 UUA.	004.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			5D.2.	5D.2. 5D.3.		5D.2. 5D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.		5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5E:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
	current level of performance in	data for					
		5E.2.	5E.2	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	5E.3	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

					. ,,		
ool Mathema	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieve ment						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	

	l	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data, and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
"Guiding Questions",			Monitoring	Strategy			
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	r criormance.	r criormanec.					
gout in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in this					
	this box.	<i>box.</i> 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		L.L.	2.2.	[۵.۵.	[2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Juniogy	Responsible for	Effectiveness of	2.0.0000011001		
data, and reference to			Monitoring	Strategy			
"Guiding Questions",							
identify and define areas in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
for the following group.						I	

3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making Learning Gains in mathematics.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
Mathematics Goal #3: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
		3.3.	3.3.		3.3.	3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in Lowest 25% making learning gains in mathematics.			4b.1.	4b.1.	4b.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Algebra EOC G	oals Problem-			
	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.						
			1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
			1.3.			1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.						
		2.2.				2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs),Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011						

Algebra Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	
box.	
Based on the analysis of student Anticipated Strategy Person or Position Process Used to Determine Evaluation Tool	
achievement data, and reference Barrier Responsible for Effectiveness of	
to "Guiding Questions", identify Monitoring Strategy	
and define areas in need of	
improvement for the following	
subgroup: 3B.1. 3B.1.	
ob statem sasgivaps	
by timiety (winte, black, plack.	
Hispanic, Asian, American Hispanic:	
Indian) not making Asian:	
satisfactory progress in American Indian:	
Algebra. Algebra	
Algebra Goal #3B: 2012 Current 2013 Expected Level	
Algebra Goal #3B: 2012 Current Level of Performance:*	
Deformance *	
Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* box.	
00%	
Enter numerical Enter numerical data	
data for for expected level of	
current level of performance in this box.	
performance in this White:	
box. State: Black:	
White: Hispanic: Black: Asian:	
rasian.	
Hispanic: American Indian: Asian:	
Asian: American Indian:	
3B.2. 3B.2. 3B.2. 3B.2. 3B.2. 3B.2.	

		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	<u> </u>
		ט.ט.	ג.םט.	.כ.םט	ג.םנן.	56.5.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify			Monitoring	Strategy			
and define areas in need of improvement for the following							
subgroup:							
3C. English Language	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Learners (ELL) not		0.1.					
Learners (ELL) not							
making satisfactory							
progress in Algebra.							
Algebra Goal #3C:	2012 Current	2013 Expected Level					
Algebra Goal #3C:	Level of	of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	<u> </u>					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical data					
		for expected level of					
	current level of performance in this	performance in this box.					
	box.						
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		<u>-</u> -		[- :		<u> </u>	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
				<u> </u>			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Algebra.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Algebra Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						3D.2. 3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

220 20010111101111,	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
Disadvantaged students							
not making satisfactory							
progress in Algebra.							
Algebra Goal #3E:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	or Ferrormance.					
box.							
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of	performance in this box.					
	performance in this box.						
		3E.2.	3E.2	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	3E.3	

End of Algebra EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Geometry EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student		oms the percondage	represents (e.g., 7070 (5		
	Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of					
	current level of performance in this box.	performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Geometry Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	
Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), Reading and Math Performance Target	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:			3B.1.	3B.1.		
Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.		3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Geometry Goal #3C: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
						3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
Geometry Goal #3D: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.						
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.		
Geometry Goal #3E: Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	of Performance:* nce:*					
Enter num data for current lev performan box.	for expected level of vel of performance in this box. ace in this					
	3E.2. 3E.3	3E.2 3E.3			3E.2. 3E.3	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional	-		
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			

Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Mathematics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Elementary and	Problem-			
Middle Science	Solving			
Goals	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	la.1.		
Science Goal #1a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	box.					
				la.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	

Assessment: Students scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6 in science.			16.1.	16.1.	16.1.		
Science Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
			1b.3.			1b.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2a. FCAT 2.0: Students	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.	2a.1.		
scoring at or above							
Achievement Levels 4 and							
5 in science.							
G.: G1 #2	2012 Current	2013Expected					
Science Goal #2a:	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current tevel of performance in this	expected level of performance in this					
	box.	box.					
		2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	2a.2.	
		2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	2a.3	
2b. Florida Alternate	2b.1.	2b.1.	2.1.	2b.1.	2b.1.		
	20.1.	20.1.	۵.1.	20.1.	20.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at or above Level 7							
in science.							
		1					

 Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	2b.2.	
	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	2b.3	

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

	i			le e			
1. I for fau / little flatte	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Assessment: Students							
scoring at Level 4, 5, and 6							
in science.							
in science.							
Science Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this	Performance:*	Performance:*					
box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in this					
	box.	box. 1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.4.	1.4.	11.4.	1.4.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
achievement data, and reference	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of			
to "Guiding Questions", identify				Strategy			
and define areas in need of							
improvement for the following							
group:							

2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance:* box. Enter numerical	2013Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of sperformance in this box. 2.2.		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
					2.3	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Biology EOC Goals		i	T T	l	ĺ	
blology EOC Goals						
	Solving					
	Process to					
	Increase					
	Student					
	Achieveme					
	nt					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Biology Court II	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology.			2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. 2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

End of Biology EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
<u> </u>		Description of Resources Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement			represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:			Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.			1a.1.	1a.1.	1a.1.	
Writing Goal #1a: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				

	for current level of performance in this	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	1a.2.	
		1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	1a.3.	
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.	lb.1.	1b.1.	lb.1.	lb.1.	lb.1.		
Writing Goal #1b: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	1b.2.	
		1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	1b.3.	lb.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional			
Development			

(PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal: Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Civics EOC Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Civics.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Civics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance.* Enter numerical data					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	for expected level of performance in this box.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
						1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	1.3.	
 Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics. 				2.1.	2.1.		
Civics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					

data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

	, ,	
Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		
funded activities /materials.		
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)		

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

U.S. History EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achieveme			
	nt			

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
U.S. History Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.						
			1.2.	1.2.		1.2.	
			1.3.			1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in U.S. History.			2.1.	2.1.		
U.S. History Goal #2; 2012 Current Level of Enter narrative for the goal in this Performance;*	2013 Expected Level of Performance.*					
Enter numerical data for current level of performance in thi. box.						
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community			
(PLC) or PD			
Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			
professional development or			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				

Total:		

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendance		,	represents next to the p		
Based on the analysis of attendance data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	
Attendance Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Attendance Rate.*	2013 Expected Attendance Rate:*				
	for current attendance rate in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected attendance rate in this box. 2013 Expected				
	Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)	Number of Students with Excessive Absences (10 or more)				
	Enter numerical data for current number of absences in this box	Enter numerical data for expected number of absences in this box.				
	Number of	2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)				

for current number of	Enter numerical data for expected number of students tardy in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded		
activities/materials and exclude district		

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

			_
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

			2 (2	
Suspension	Problem-			
Goal(s)	solving			
	Process to			
	Decrease			
	Suspension			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Suspension	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
	of In –School	2013 Expected Number of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		In- School Suspensions					
	Enter numerical data for current number of in-school suspensions	Enter numerical data for expected number of in-school suspensions					
	2012 Total Number of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School					
	Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended in-school	Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended in- school					
	Out-of-School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
	Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended out- of- school	Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out- of- school					
	of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
	Enter numerical data for current number of students suspended out- of- school	Enter numerical data for expected number of students suspended out- of- school					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	1.2. 1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Total						
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Suspension Goals

<u>Dropout Prevention Goal(s)</u> Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Dropout Prevention			,		
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Dropout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention							
Dropout Prevention							
Goal #1:							
*Please refer to the							
percentage of students							
who dropped out during the 2011-2012							
school year.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Dropout Rate:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical data					
	data for dropout rate in this box.	for expected dropout rate in this box.					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical	Graduation Rate:* Enter numerical					
	data for	data for expected					
	graduation rate in this box.	graduation rate in this box.					
	uus uux.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
		1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	1.5.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			

Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Parent Involvement Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Parent Involveme nt					
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

1. Parent Involvement	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.							
	level of Parent	2013 Expected level of Parent Involvement:*					
	data for current level of parent	Enter numerical data for expected level of parent in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

		i		
Professional				
Development				
(PD) aligned with				
Strategies through				
Professional				
Learning				
Community (PLC)				
or PD Activity				
Please note that each				
Strategy does not require a				
professional development or				
PLC activity.	1			

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Parent Involvement Budget

Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source Description of Resources Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

Total:		
1 otal.		

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to		Treemings (e.g. 707)	, (60)).	
	Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

1			
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
	Description of Resources	Description of Resources Funding Source	Description of Resources Funding Source Amount

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

	<u></u>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving		
	Process to		

	Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

_				
- 1				
- 1				
- 1				
-				
- 1				
- 1				
ᆫ				

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

CIE buuget (msert rows as needed	1)			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	

Subtotal:		
Total:		

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt					
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Additional Goal	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	

Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level :*	2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates and Schedules (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
						•

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Additional Goal(s)

April 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Total:
Total:
Grand Total:

eva			
Differentiated A	Accountability		
	entiated Accountability (
			1. double click the desired box; 2.when the menu pops up, select "checked" under "Default Value"
School School	OK", this will place an "x"	in the box.)]
Differentiated			
Accountability			
Status	-F	-D	
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent	
• Upload a co	opy of the Differentiated A	Accountability Chec	cklist in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page
Calcal Adama	(C 1 (C A C)		
SAC Membership C	y Council (SAC)		
		ployed by the school	ol district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers,
education support e	mployees, students (for m	iddle and high school	ol only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic,
racial, and economi	c community served by the	e school. Please ver	rify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.
□ V	□ N -		
□ Yes	□ No		
If No. describe the	e measures being taken to	comply with SAC re	equirements.
Describe the activi	ities of the SAC for the up	coming school year	
Describe the detry	ities of the 57 to for the up	coming seniour year	
A 21 2012			
April 2012			

Rule 6A-1.099811 Revised April 29, 2011

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount