Florida Department of Education



DRAFT School Improvement Plan (SIP) Form SIP-1

Proposed for 2012-2013

2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

School Information

School Name: J. Allen Axson Montessori School	District Name: Duval
Principal: Cecilia Robinson-Vanhoy	Superintendent: Ed Pratt-Dannals
SAC Chair: Phil Kaplan	Date of School Board Approval:

Student Achievement Data and Reference Materials:

The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data (Use this data to complete Sections 1-4 of the reading and mathematics goals and Sections 1 and 2 of the writing and science goals.)

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data (Use this data to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.)

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

Administrators

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Administrator	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ statewide assessment Achievement Levels, learning gains, lowest 25%), and AMO progress, along with the associated school year)
----------	------	--------------------------------	--	---	---

Principal	Cecilia Robinson-Vanhoy	Elementary Ed. 1-6 Emotionally Handicapped K-12 Gifted Education K-12 Educational Leadership(all levels) BA in Education, M.Ed In Elementary Education Ed.S in Educational Leadership Principal: All Levels Montessori Credentialed:3-6,6-9,9-12	1	8	Since, 2000, we are among the district's top 10% in terms of FCAT and AYP. All students learn through individualized work plans and using the Montessori approach students are working hard to further narrow the achievement gap in grades four and five. The data for the last five years shows that J. Allen Axson continues to perform effectively. 2011-2012 R-84%, M-71%, W-93%, S-66% RG-77%, MG-65%, BQRLG-77%,BQMLG-57% School Grade: 590-A 2010-2011 R-93%, M-87%, W-82%, S-75% RG-74%, MG-73%, BQRLG-74%,BQMLG-68% AYP-100% School Grade: 626-A 2009-2010 R-93%, M-85%, W-92%, S-78% RG-68%, MG-68%, BQRLG-70%,BQMLG-57% AYP-97% School Grade: 609-A 2008-2009 R-92%, M-82%, W-91%, S-64% RG-75%, MG-74%, BQRLG-87%,BQMLG-80% AYP-100% School Grade: 645-A 2007-2008 R-87%, M-74%, W-66%, S-50% RG-71%, MG-61%, BQRLG-68%,BQMLG-57% AYP-100% School Grade: 534-A
-----------	-------------------------	--	---	---	---

Assistant Principal	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Instructional Coaches

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of School Grades, FCAT/statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

Subject Area	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	Number of Years at Current School	Number of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/ Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)
	NA				

Highly Effective Teachers

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, highly effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date
1. Regular MINT Meetings with PDF and Administration	Principal/PDF	Ongoing
2. Mentors/veteran teacher with new teachers	Principal/PDF	Ongoing
3. Soliciting referrals from current employees	Principal	Ongoing
4. Montessori Recruiting Sites	Principal	Ongoing

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who are NOT highly effective.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 15+ Years of Experience	% of Teachers with Advanced Degrees	% Highly Effective Teachers	% Reading Endorsed Teachers	% National Board Certified Teachers	% ESOL Endorsed Teachers
37	11% (4)	22% (8)	41% (15)	27% (10)	59% (22)	76% (28)	0% (0)	0% (0)	27% (10)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	Planned Mentoring Activities
Christine O'Reilly	Catherine Wiegert	They are both gifted teachers and Ms. O'Reilly will help support Ms. Wiegert.	Lesson Planning, Classroom Observations and MINT Meetings with District MINT Cadre
Stephanie Durham	Heather Dubuisson	Experience teacher that is strong in reading and writing.	Lesson Planning, Classroom Observations, and MINT Meetings with District MINT Cadre

Debbie Keyser Amira Abdel-Hafiz	Ms. Keyser is a seasoned teacher and has worked with Amira when she was her paraprofessional several years ago. Lesson Planning, Classroom Observations and MINT Meetings wit District MINT Cadre.
---------------------------------	---

Additional Requirements

Coordination and Integration-Title I Schools Only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
NA NA
Title I, Part C- Migrant
NA NA
Title I, Part D
NA NA
Title II
NA NA
Title III
NA NA
Title X- Homeless
NA NA
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
NA NA
Violence Prevention Programs
NA NA
Nutrition Programs
NA .
Housing Programs
NA
Head Start
NA NA
Alteria di
Adult Education NA
Career and Technical Education NA
Carcer and Technical Education IVA
Job Training NA
Other NA

June 2012 Rule 6A-1.099811

Revised April 29, 2011

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) /Response to Instruction/Intervention (RtI)

School-Based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Cecilia Robinson-Vanhoy, Principal

Kathy Bear, RTI Facilitator

Shannon Simon, Teacher

Stephanie Durham, Teacher

Lori Reasonover. Teacher

Beth Jenkins, Counselor

Shirley York, ESE Teacher

Describe how the school-based MTSS leadership team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts? The school based RTI team meets once a month to review screening data and progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Those students who potentially need intervention are, then, referred to grade level teams. Based on the above information, the grade level team will problem solve, share effective practices, suggest interventions, and evaluate intervention implementation and results.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS leadership team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan (SIP). Describe how the RtI problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP? Upon reflecting on our monthly meetings and analyzing the data points used throughout the school year, we may better address the types of strategies that will most impact student achievement through gains and further narrow the achievement gaps among student subgroups.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

Data sources used: 2011-2012 FCAT Data and current benchmarks

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

School's Leadership Team/Shared Decision Making (SDM) will regularly review data with principal and teachers to adjust instruction based on data.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Principal will provide ongoing professional development during Early Dismissal, Wednesdays.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT). The LLT Team is comprised of but not limited to the principal, grade level lead literacy teachers, upper elementary language arts co-teacher, exceptional student education teacher and media specialist

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The LLT will focus meetings around one question: How do we develop, implement and monitor a reading teaching learning model that incorporates questioning/discussion using higher levels of cognitive complexity with guided reading groups and literature circles? Teams meet once a week to engage in the following activities: review universal screening data and link to instructional decisions; review progress monitoring data at the grade level and classroom level to identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, at moderate risk or at high risk for not meeting benchmarks. Based on the above information, the team will identify professional development and resources. The team will also collaborate regularly, problem solving, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skills. The team will also facilitate the process of building consensus, increasing infrastructure, and making decision about implementation.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

To improve literacy instruction by narrowing the achievement gap. Increasing number of students reading at or above grade level.

Public School Choice

• Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification

Upload a copy of the SES Notification to Parents in the designated upload link on the "Upload" page.

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.
NA
*Grades 6-12 Only Sec. 1003.413 (2)(b) F.S For schools with grades 6-12, how does the school ensure that every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student?
NA
*High Schools Only
Note: Required for High School-Sec. 1003.413(2)(g), (2)(j) F.S.
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?
NA
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
NA NA
Postsecondary Transition
Note: Required for High School- Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.
Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School Feedback Report.
NA

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Reading Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.	Determining the different levels of questions regarding levels of cognitive complexity	coaching cycles on developing and presenting higher Level questions during guided reading and read aloud	1A.1. Principal and grade level chair teacher	determine frequency of higher order	District required Benchmark Tests	
Reading Goal #1A:	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	25%(54)	27%(55)				

		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.
		1A.3.	1A.3.	IA.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.
Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading.		1B.1. <i>NA</i>	1B.1. <i>NA</i>	1B.1. NA	1B.1. NA	
Reading Goal #1B:	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	NA	NA				
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.
		1B.3.	IB.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	2A.1. Fidelity	2A.1. Teachers		2A.1. Teachers will utilize	2A.1.		
	to curriculum;	will engage	Classroom teachers		Houghton Mifflin		
		in CLC's including			Benchmarks Tests Teacher-made Tests		
	utilize authentic			instructional delivery.	FCAT		
4 in reading.	literature	Circles and		indicational derivery.			
		Questioning.					
Reading Goal #2A:		Teachers will collaborate in vertical teams to create variations and extensions to Montessori works. 2013 Expected					
-		Level of Performance:*					
	68%(137)	70%(140)					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	

2B. Florida	2B.1. NA	2B.1. <i>NA</i>	2B.1. <i>NA</i>	2B.1. <i>NA</i>	2B.1. <i>NA</i>		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
reading.							
		2013 Expected Level of					
NA	Performance:*	Performance:*					
1471							
	NA	NA					
		2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
		2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
		20.3.	20.3.	20.5.	20.3.	20.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in reading.	to students due to not being a community school.	will offer on- going differentiated learning groups based on student needs during working lunches called Lunch Bunch. The administrative team will offer weekly tutoring sessions for students in the lowest quartile.	3A.1.Teachers and grade level chairs	to determine effectiveness of instruction. Feedback from	3A.1. Weekly mini assessments FAIR Assessments Benchmarks FCAT		
Reading Goal #3A: To meet with struggling learners for additional instructional time before or after schools hours	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	77%(156)	78%(158)					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	

3B. Florida 3B.	3.1. <i>NA</i>	3B.1. <i>NA</i>	3B.1. <i>NA</i>	3B.1. <i>NA</i>	3B.1. <i>NA</i>		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
reading.							
		2013 Expected Level of					
		Performance:*					
7 17 1		_					
NA	4	NA					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

5 1 1 1 1	4 1		n n ::			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
for the following group:						
4A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making	time away from the students for CCL days with classroom teachers.	will create new materials or identify new	4A.1. Classroom Teachers		4A.1. Benchmark Tests FCAT	
Treating Court in 1111	2012 Current	support to lowest 25% in reading. As tutors are available students are scheduled for additional support. 2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	7 7 70(34)	/ / /0(J*)				

		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.
4B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students in lowest 25% making learning gains in reading.			4B.1. <i>NA</i>	4B.1. <i>NA</i>	4B.1. <i>NA</i>	
Reading Goal #4B:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	NA	NA				
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years	Baseline data						
school will reduce	2010-2011						
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Reading Goal #5A:							
reading down merro							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	5B.1. <i>NA</i>	5B.1. NA	5B.1. <i>NA</i>	5B.1. <i>NA</i>	5B.1. <i>NA</i>		
	White:						
41 • • 4 (33.71 *)	Black: Hispanic:						
Black, Hispanic,	Asian:						
	American Indian:						
Indian) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
	2012 Current Level of	2013 Expected Level of					
reading Gour #3D.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
NA							
	NA	NA VA					
	White: Black:	White: Black:					
	Hispanic:	Hispanic:					
	Asian:	Asian:					
	American Indian:	American Indian:					

	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

		a	n n	D 77 1. D.	D 1		
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5C. English 5	5C.1. <i>NA</i>	5C.1. NA	5C.1. NA	5C.1. NA	5C.1. <i>NA</i>		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
<u> </u>	Level of	Level of					
NA P	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Δ	VA	NA					
1	1/1	1 1/21					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
		SC.S.	JC.3.	JC.3.	SC.5.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
e z v s cu u circs	5D.1. NA	5D.1. <i>NA</i>	5D.1. NA	5D.1. NA	5D.1. <i>NA</i>		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							

Reading Goal #5D:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
NA		Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
		5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically	5E.1. <i>NA</i>	5E.1. <i>NA</i>	5E.1. <i>NA</i>	5E.1. <i>NA</i>	5E.1. <i>NA</i>		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in reading.							
		2013 Expected Level of					
NA		Performance:*					
1 1/1							
	NA	NA					
	I V.21	/ V/1					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

Reading Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activities			

Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Montessori Method/Common Core State Standards unpacking Language Arts Standards	Pre_K 3_5th grade	Literacy Lead Teachers and Grade Level Team Leaders	All faculty members		Professional development builds from year to year. Our Leadership team and teachers: Collaborate to create new lessons Participate in peer observations Provide constructive feedback Collaborate to rewrite lessons which will include information gathered from feedback sessions Build past training components into current year's professional development goals so that teachers may continue to practice the training components from the previous school year.	Principal, Literacy Lead Teachers and Grade Level Chairs

Reading Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Reading budget (insert rows as ne	eucu)	1	
Include only school funded activities/			
materials and exclude district funded			
activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Assessing reading comprehension from independent readers.(Accelerated	Student chosen books from school library	PTA	\$3,200.00
Reader)	or public library.		
To build vocabulary for reading	To create vocabulary cards	School Budget	\$400.00
proficiency			
Subtotal:3,600.00			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
To develop teacher leaders @ Cluster II	Purchased books on Text Complexity	School Budget	\$160.00
Professional Development.	and Common Core Standards for Teacher		
	Leaders and Principal to you for Early Dismissal Training		
Subtotal:\$160.00			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$3760.00			

End of Reading Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

		1	.		•	•
CELLA Goals	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Language Acquisition					
Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in listening/speaking.		1.1. NA	1.1. NA	1.1. NA	1.1. NA	
CELLA Goal #1:	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Listening/Speaking:					
	NA .	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.		1.3.
Students read grade- level text in English in a manner similar to non- ELL students.	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
proficient in reading.		2.1. NA	2.1. NA	2.1. NA	2.1. NA	
	2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in Reading:					

	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.
	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
NA					

Students write in English at grade level in a	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
manner similar to non-			Trespondent for monitoring	Effectiveness of strategy		
ELL students.						
or statements scoring		2.1. NA	2.1. NA	2.1. NA	2.1. NA	
proficient in writing.						
	2012 Current Percent of Students					
	Proficient in Writing:					
NA						
	NA					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.

CELLA Budget (Insert rows as needed)

The state of the s	/			
Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities/materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy NA	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy NA	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy NA	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy NA	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:\$0				

End of CELLA Goals

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary Mathematics Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.	to curriculum; teacher following both the Montessori math continuum and State Standards and able to regularly	to classroom teachers that focus on this blended	Principal will monitor.	implementation of strategies taught	1A.1. Teachers will give mini- assessments on strategies introduced from workshops to gauge learning gains.	

Mathematics Goal #1A: In grades 3-5 38%(74) students will be proficient in mathematics as evidenced by 2013 FCAT Mathematics assessment	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	35%(72)	38%(74)					
		will have to dedicate more time to planning to effectively generate deeper cognitive dialogue.	step conceptual activities with increased high level cognitive complexity consistently.	reflect the level to which this work is focused.	·	1A.2.District interim benchmark assessments will be administered three times to all 3 rd -5 th grade students.	
		Leadership			to develop math proficiency and provide enrichment experiences. The camps will focus on real	1A.3.The evaluation tool will be the growth shown between the scores of the first and second benchmark assessments and then between the second and third.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.	1B.1. NA	1B.1. NA	1B.1. NA	1B.1. NA	1B.1. NA		

	R·		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
N.	A							
L		N.Y. A.	N.T.A.					
		NA	NA					
			1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
			1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Elementary School Mathematics Goals

~	1	1 ~					
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	2A.1. Lack	2A.1. District	2A.1. The Principal will play	2A.1. Observations throughout the	2A.1. Assessments based on		
	of knowledge	math coach	an active role in the meetings of	year in classrooms to ensure teacher			
Students scoring	to blend the	will consult	the district math coach and the		district standards.		
at or above	Montessori		teachers.				
	Approach with						
	the adopted	to layer the					
mathematics.	math program.	district adopted					
	I	curriculum					
	I	to bridge any					
		gaps with the					
		Montessori					
		work.					
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2 A·	Level of	Level of					
In grades 3-5 58%(115) of	Performance:*	Performance:*					
The students will achieve							
above proficiency (FCAT							
level 4 &5) of the 2013							
FCAT Math Assessment.							
	##0//110\	#00//11#)					
	55%(112)	58%(115)					
	1	1					
		2 4 2	h	24.2	<u> </u>	24.2	
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1. NA	2B.1. NA	2B.1. NA	2B.1. NA	2B.1. NA		
Alternate	I						
	I						
Assessment:							
Students scoring at	I						
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mathematics.	Ļ	Į	l .	1	l .		

Mathematics #2B:	L		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
NA		<u> </u>	or community					
	N	IA	NA					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
3A. FCAT 2.0: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.		student s will use graphic organizers to improve vocabulary in math.	3A.1. The Principal and Leadership Team will monitor classroom use of graphic organizers and the effectiveness of their use as means to understand work being placed before students.	3A.1. The teachers will practice concepts using graphic organizers and assess student understanding with this method weekly.	3A.1. FCAT		
Mathematics Goal #3A: 73% (104) of 3 rd -5 th grade students will make learning gains on the 2013 FCAT math assessment.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	65%(93)	time constraints	3A.2. Student will articulate thinking process in regards to solving math equations.	3A.2. Classroom Teachers		3A.2. Teacher made assessments Benchmarks FCAT	
		3A.3. Knowledge base	3A.3. The teacher will offer struggling students additional instruction time.	3A.3. Leadership Team		3A.3. Benchmarks FCAT	
3B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.	3B.1. NA	3B.1. NA	3B.1. NA	3B.1. NA	3B.1. NA		

Mathematics Goa #3B:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
NA							
	NA	NA					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	4A.1.		4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of	A barrier we	Additional	Leadership Team		Benchmarks		
.4d4 14		remediation will			FCAT		
		be offered for		to students based upon need			
		those scoring below grade		individually or in small groups.			
1001 mm 5 50 mm 5 m		level, lowest					
		quartile and/or					
		recommended					
	and from school	by the teacher.					
	and are not available for						
	tutoring before						
	and after school.						
		2013 Expected					
#4A:	Level of	Level of					
77 12 1.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Lowest 25 %(40) students							
will make learning gains							
on 2013 FCAT.							
	68%(37)	73%(40)					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	

4B. Florida	4B.1. NA	4B.1. NA	4B.1. NA	4B.1. NA	4B.1. NA		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4B:	Level of	Level of					
77 1D.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
NA							
	NA	NA					
		4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
		4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
	Baseline data 2010-2011						
school will reduce							
their achievement							
gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
THE COURT OF THE COURT	Level of	Level of					
#5C:	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	i citormance.	r criormance.					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	27	F 4					
	Enter numerical data for	Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		1					
		5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box. 5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		50.2.	50.2.	50.2.	5D.2.	3D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
5E. Economically Disadvantaged	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5F:	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
			5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Elementary School Mathematics Goals

Middle School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in mathematics.		IA.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.	1A.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	

1B. Florida	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.	1B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
Levels 4, 5, and 6 in							
mathematics.							
		2013 Expected					
#1B:	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
		this box. 1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		110.2.	10.2.	ID.2.	110.2.	110.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

D 1 4 1 1	1	Ct. t	D D ::	D II 1: D : :	F 1 (T 1		
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
2A. FCAT 2.0:	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.	2A.1.		
Students scoring							
at or above							
Achievement							
Levels 4 and 5 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#2A:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
		LA.J.	2A.J.	25.3.	25.3.	28.3.	
2B. Florida	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.	2B.1.		
Alternate							
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
mamemanes.		I		1	L		

Mat #2B			2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	r narrative for the in this box.							
		current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	

			•				
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
3A. FCAT 2.0:	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.	3A.1.		
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#3A:	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	3A.2.	
		3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	3A.3.	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
3B. Florida	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		
Alternate							
	I	1				1	
Assessment:	I	1				1	
Percentage of							
students making							
learning gains in							
at hing gains in							
mathematics.							

1	#3B.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
			3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
4A. FCAT 2.0:	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.	4A.1.		
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
#4A:	Level of	Level of					
11-1/1.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
Sour in inis box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	Enter numericai data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	4A.2.	
		4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	4A.3.	
4B. Florida	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.	4B.1.		
Alternate		1				1	
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.		1				1	
mathematics.							

#4 En	R·		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
			Enter numerical data for					
		current level of performance in this box.	expected level of performance in this box.					
			4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	4B.2.	
			4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	4B.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
5A. In six years, school will reduce	Baseline data 2010-2011						
their achievement gap by 50%.							
Mathematics Goal #5A:							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.	5B.1.		

Mathematics Goal #5B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
		Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box. White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:					
		5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	5B.2.	
		5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	5B.3.	

Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Ctratagri	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy		Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation 1001		
	Вагнег		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to							
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							
5C. English	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.	5C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 G	2012 F					
		2013 Expected					
<u>#5C:</u>	Level of	Level of					
	Performance:*	Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	
		5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	5C.2.	
		50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	50.2	
	1	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	5C.3.	
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier	Bututegy	Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy	E variation 1001		
data and reference to	Durrier		responsible for Workforing	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following							
subgroup:							

5D. Students	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.	5D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of Performance:*	Level of Performance:*					
	remormance.	remormance.					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
goui in inis vox.							
	Enter numerical	Enter numerical					
		data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	5D.2.	
		5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	5D.3.	
		SD.S.	DD.3.	5D.3.	ט.ט.	υD.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroup:							
5E. Economically	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.	5E.1.		
Disadvantaged							
students not making							
satisfactory progress							
in mathematics.							
#5E:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	5E.2.	
		5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	5E.3.	

End of Middle School Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

hool Mathemat	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Mathematics Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at or above Level 7 in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in this box.	data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Assessment: Percentage of students making learning gains in mathematics.		3.1.	3.1.	3.1.	3.1.		
in in the contract of the cont	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	3.2.	
			3.3.			3.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

4 Elevide Alternate	A 1	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.	4.1.		
4. Florida Alternate	T.1.	7.1.	T.1.	7.1.	T.1.		
Assessment:							
Percentage of							
students in lowest							
25% making							
learning gains in							
mathematics.							
Mathematics Goal #4:		2013 Expected					
		<u>Level of</u> Performance:*					
Zitter ittirititire joi tite	r en formance.	renormance.					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for	data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
	performance in this box.	performance in this box.					
			4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	4.2.	
		4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	4.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Algebra I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 (<i>C</i>) E			
Algebra 1 EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
at Achievement Level 3 in Algebra 1.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Algebra 1 Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Algebra 1.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Algebra Goal #2: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Based on ambitious but achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), identify reading and mathematics performance target for the following years 3A. In six years, school will reduce their achievement	2011-2012 Baseline data 2010-2011	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
gap by 50%.							
Algebra 1 Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.		

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the							
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
	White:	White:					
		Black:					
		Hispanic:					
		Asian:					
		American Indian:					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	2012 Current Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for europerted level of performance in this box. 3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

3D. Students	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Algebra 1.							
		2013 Expected					
		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	<u>r orrormanco.</u>	l contonianco.					
5							
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Algebra 1.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Algebra 1 EOC Goals

Geometry End-of-Course Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Geometry EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

				<i>C</i> 1 (<i>C</i>) E			
Geometry EOC Goals	Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Geometry Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in Geometry.							
	Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

their achievement	2012-2013 Baseline data 2011- 2012	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	
gap by 50%.			ĺ			
Geometry Goal #3A: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroups:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
3B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White,	White: Black: Hispanic: Asian: American Indian:	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	3B.1.	

Geometry Goal #3B: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of Performance.*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	current level of performance in this box. White: Black:	data for expected level of					
		3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	3B.2.	
		3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	3B.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following subgroup:							
	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.	3C.1.		
Language Learners							
(ELL) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Stomeny Commercia	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	3C.2.	
		3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	3C.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

200000000	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.	3D.1.		
with Disabilities							
(SWD) not making							
satisfactory progress							
in Geometry.							
Geometry Goal #3D:		2013 Expected					
Entan namatina fon tha		Level of Performance:*					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.							
3							
		Enter numerical data for					
	current level of	expected level of					
		performance in this box.					
		3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	3D.2.	
		3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	3D.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
for the following subgroup:							
3E. Economically Disadvantaged students not making satisfactory progress in Geometry.			3E.1.	3E.1.	3E.1.		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	data for	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	3E.2.	
		3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	3E.3.	

End of Geometry EOC Goals

Mathematics Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			

Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activities Please note that each strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content/Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Mathematics Montessori Methods	PreK-5 th Grade	Principal Teacher: K Humphries	All faculty and staff	Early Release Days Oct. 3,17 Nov. 7,28 Jan. 9,23 Feb. 6,20 Mar. 6,20	Observations Walk Through(formal/informal) Follow-up with Ms. Humphries	Principal
Math FCAT Data 7 Benchmarks	4 th -5 th	Greg Schwartz	Upper Elementary Teachers	Early Release PLC Oct. 17 Nov. 28 Jan. 23 Feb 20 Grade Level Meetings Oct. 10,24 Nov. 14 Jan.16,30 Feb.13,27 Mar. 13	Team Leader will meet with Ms. Vanhoy to talk about data. Team Leader will invite Ms. Vanhoy to at least 2 grade level meetings with discussion around current math data	Principal Upper Elementary Team Leader

$\underline{Mathematics\ Budget}\ (\text{Insert\ rows\ as\ needed})$

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$0			

End of Mathematics Goals

Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Elementary and Middle Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent					
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1A. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in science.	Lack of extensions and variations regarding Montessori works.	Grade levels will develop multi-year science continuums to include all science state standards.	Leadership Team Upper Elementary Team	Teachers will plan using the continuum to teach lessons aligned	1A.1. Benchmark FCAT	
Science Goal #1A: 5th grade students will score level 3 or higher on the 2013 FCAT Science assessment.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*				
	66 %(47)	68 %(50)				

		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.		1B.1. NA	1B.1. NA	1B.1. NA	1B.1. NA		
	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

				1 =			
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy 2A.1.	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring 2A.1.	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy 2A.1.	Evaluation Tool 2A.1.		
at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in science.	Lack of knowledge and familiarity with curriculum by teachers.	Provide real- world science experiences and engaging activities.	ZA.1. Leadership Team	Student will use the Montessori	ZA.1. Benchmarks FCAT		
Science Goal #2A: 5th grade students will score level 4 or 5 on the 2013 FCAT Science assessment.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					
	21%(14)	25%(18)					
		2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	2A.2.	
		2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	2A.3.	
2B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science.			2B.1.NA	2B.1.NA	2B.1.NA		
Science Goal #2B: NA	Level of	2013Expected Level of Performance:*					

Γ	ı	NA	NA					
			2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	2B.2.	
Г			2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	2B.3.	
L								

End of Elementary and Middle School Science Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

High School Science Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in science.			1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Science Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Florida Alternate	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
Assessment:							
Students scoring at							
or above Level 7 in							
science.	2012 Current	2012Evmostod					
Science Goal #2:	2012 Current Level of	2013Expected Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
	data for current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

Biology 1 End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (this section needs to be completed by all schools that have students taking the Biology I EOC)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Biology 1 EOC	Problem-			
Goals	Solving			
	Process to			
	Increase			
	Student			
	Achievem			

				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	•	
	ent						
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Students scoring	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement Level 3 in Biology 1.							
Brotogy 1 Gour #1.	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
goat in inis vox.							
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		1.2.			1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology 1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		

E	nter narrative for the pal in this box.	Level of Performance:*						
		current level of performance in	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
			2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
			2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

End of Biology 1 EOC Goals

Science Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Science shelf work in the classroom.	K-5	Science Lead Teacher	School-Wide	Grade level meetings October through April, 2013	Classroom walk-through	Principal

Science Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Shelf-work experiments to reinforce concepts	Consumable materials	Science Department	\$150.00
Subtotal:\$150.00			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$150.00			

End of Science Goals

Writing Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Writing Goals	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achievem ent				
Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group: 1A. FCAT: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3.0 and higher in writing.	IA.1. • Abse nteei sm • Ta rdy stude nts • Attit ude • Selfmoti vatio n	IA.1. Classroom teachers will develop a writing portfolio for each student. Each student portfolio will show evidence for growth for maintaining or improving writing skills. Gifted teachers will build lessons that support writing during gifted	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring I.A.1. Principal Upper Elementary Teachers	Evaluation Tool IA.1. District Writing prompts Writing Portfolio	

		2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	93 %(73)	71 %(48)					
		1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	1A.2.	
		1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	1A.3.	
1B. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at 4 or higher in writing.		1B.1.NA	1B.1.NA	IB.1.NA	1B.1.NA		
	2012 Current Level of Performance:*	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	NA	NA					
		1B.2.	1B.2.	IB.2.	1B.2.	1B.2.	
		1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	1B.3.	

Writing Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Writer's Craft	PK-5 th Grade	Principal Literacy Lead	All Staff		Classroom observations Conferences with Lead Teacher in Upper Elementary(4 th -5 th) DCPS Writing Prompt/analysis	Principal

Writing Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities/materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:\$0				

End of Writing Goals

Civics End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2014-2015)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

S = 5 -:							
Civics EOC	Problem-						
Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
data and reference to "Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement							
for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in Civics.							
Civics Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goal in this box.							
		Enter numerical					
	data for current level of	data for expected level of					
	performance in	performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Civics.		2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
	<u>Level of</u> <u>Performance:*</u>	2013 Expected Level of Performance:*					
	Enter numerical data for current level of performance in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

Civics Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Civics Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities/materials. Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
			•
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Civics Goals

U.S. History End-of-Course (EOC) Goals (required in year 2013-2014)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

TIO TI	D 11				· T	T	
U.S. History	Problem-						
EOC Goals	Solving						
	Process to						
	Increase						
	Student						
	Achievem						
	ent						
Based on the analysis	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
of student achievement data and reference to	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
"Guiding Questions,"							
identify and define areas							
in need of improvement for the following group:							
	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
at Achievement							
Level 3 in U.S.							
History.							
		2013 Expected					
	Level of	Level of					
Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	Performance:*	Performance:*					
goat in ints box.							
	Enter numerical						
	data for	data for					
	current level of performance in	expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
	<u> </u>	L			Į	l .	

Based on the analysis of student achievement data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
2. Students scoring	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.	2.1.		
at or above							
Achievement Levels							
4 and 5 in U.S.							
History.							
U.S. History Goal #2:	Level of	2013 Expected Level of Performance:* Enter numerical data for expected level of performance in					
	this box.	this box.					
		2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	2.2.	
		2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	2.3.	

U.S. History Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

U.S. History Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of U.S. History Goals

Attendance Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Attendance Goal(s)	Problem- solving Process to Increase Attendan ce					
Based on the analysis of attendance data and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1. Attendance	to and from school Families on vacation out of the country	1.1. Articulate to parents in Principal's weekly communication about the importance of being in school at least once a month.	1.1. Principal CRT Clerk	1.1. Collect attendance data and work with families on an individual basis to determine problem and create a plan.	1.1. Attendance Roster	

	•						
Attendance Goal #1:	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Attendance	Attendance					
	Rate:*	Rate:*					
D							
Decrease number of							
day's students will							
be absent 10+ days.							
be absent 10. days.							
Decrease number of							
tardies form 2011-							
2012 school year.							
	98%	99%					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Number of	Number of					
		Students with					
	Excessive	Excessive					
		Absences					
	(10 or more)	(10 or more)					
	(10 of filote)	(10 of more)					
	18%(121)	15%(118)					
	/ - (/	/0(0)					
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
	Number of	Number of					
	Students with	Students with					
	Excessive	Excessive					
	Tardies (10 or	Tardies (10 or					
	more)	more)					
	18%(121)	15%(118)					
	10/0(121)						
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		Student habit	Create a school based plan to	Principal	Action Plan to address excessive	Attendance Roster	
		are formed by	Create a school based plan to communicate excessive tardies (10)	CRT Clerk	tardies		
		parents.	to parents.				
		1.3.		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	
I							

Attendance Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a						
professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Attendance Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$0			

End of Attendance Goals

Suspension Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

		the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the po	creentage (e.g. 707)	(33)).	
Suspension	Problem-						
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process to						
	Decrease						
	Suspension						
Based on the analysis of suspension data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Suspension	1. Following the Montessori philosophy	give Second Step and / or Grace and Courtesy lessons during their morning or afternoon community meeting.	-	1.1. Number of discipline referrals each quarter for each class.	1.1. SESIR Report		
Suspension Goal #1:	of In -School	2013 Expected Number of					
To decrease the amount of	Suspensions Suspensions	In- School					
student in ISSP.		Suspensions					
To decrease the number students in OSSP.							
1	10	9					

of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended In -School					
Number of Out-of- School Suspensions	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions					
4	4					
of Students Suspended	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out- of-School					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Suspension Professional Development

Suspension 1 Total	351011001 20 0 7	P				
Professional						
Development						
(PD) aligned with						
Strategies through						
Professional						
Learning						
Community (PLC)						
or PD Activity						
Please note that each						
Strategy does not require a						
professional development or						
PLC activity.		DD E 'll'	DD D diri	T (D) (E 1		
PD Content /Topic	Grade Level/	PD Facilitator	PD Participants	Target Dates (e.g., Early	Ctanton For Follow and Monitonia	Person or Position Responsible for
and/or PLC Focus	Subject	and/or PLC Leader	(e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Monitoring
Discipline process and			, and the second	Early Dismissal		
procedures	17. 6	Principal	4 11 G	Nov. 7	Discipline documentation from	Principal
Ī	K-5	Team Leaders	All Staff	Jan. 9	Genesis	CRT Clerk
		Touris Boudois		Mar. 6		
				14141. U		
		•	•	-	-	

Suspension Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Suspension Budget (Insert tows as	·		Т
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount

Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$0			

End of Suspension Goals

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)Note: Required for High School- F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35))

* When using percer	itages, include	the number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 70%)	o (35)).	
Dropout	Problem-						
Prevention	solving						
Goal(s)	Process to						
	Dropout						
	Prevention						
Based on the analysis of	Anticipated	Strategy	Person or Position	Process Used to Determine	Evaluation Tool		
parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding	Barrier		Responsible for Monitoring	Effectiveness of Strategy			
Questions," identify and				Strategy			
define areas in need of							
improvement:							
1. Dropout	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Prevention							
	2012 Current	2013 Expected					
Dropout Prevention	Dropout Rate:*	Dropout Rate:*					
Goal #1:							
<u> σοαι #1.</u>							
Enter narrative for the goal							
in this box.							

*Please refer to the							
percentage of students							
who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school							
vear.							
year.							
		Enter numerical data					
	data for dropout	for expected dropout					
		rate in this box.					
	2012 Current Graduation Rate:*	2013 Expected Graduation Pate:*					
	Graduation Kale."	Graduation Kate."					

	Enter numerical data for expected graduation rate in this box.					
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Dropout Prevention Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

Dropout Prevention Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Upload Option-For schools completing the Parental Involvement Policy/Plan (PIP) please include a copy for this section. Online Template- For schools completing the PIP a link will be provided that will direct you to this plan.

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

* when using percentage	es, include the	e number of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the p	ercentage (e.g. 70%)	0 (33)).	
Parent Involvement							
Goal(s)	solving						
	Process						
	to Parent						
	Involveme						
	nt						
Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions," identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
	1.1 School hours to work hours	I.1. To use new messaging system and weekly principal communication to support parental involvement.	1.1. Principal	1.1. Sign-up sheet from planned events.	1.1. The number of parents signing in for events.		
#1.	Level of Parent Involvement:*	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:*					
	70%(385)	75%(413)					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Parent Involvement Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
Parental Involvement after school hours	All		All Faculty PTA Board	Preplanning (faculty) Once a month PTA Board Meetings	Agendas from meeting	Principal PTA Board Volunteers

Parent Involvement Budget

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district				
funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:\$0				

End of Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

STEM Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
STEM Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.	1.1.	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.
	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

STEM Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

STEM Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded				
activities/materials and exclude district funded activities /materials.				
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Technology				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Professional Development				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Other				
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount	
Subtotal:				
Total:				
,	*		•	

End of STEM Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

CTE Goal(s)	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
CTE Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.					1.1.
	1.2.			1.2.	1.2.
	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.

CTE Professional Development

Professional			
Development			
(PD) aligned with			
Strategies through			
Professional			
Learning			
Community (PLC)			
or PD Activity			
Please note that each			
Strategy does not require a			

professional development or PLC activity.						
PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g. , PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring

CTE Budget (Insert rows as needed)

CTE Duuget (msert rows as needed	9)		
Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:			

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g. 70% (35)).

Triteri asing percentage		mumber of s	tudents the percentage	represents next to the po	creentage (e.g. 707)	(33)).	1
Additional Goal(s)	Problem- Solving Process to Increase Student Achieveme nt						
Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1. Additional Goal	1.1.		1.1.	1.1.	1.1.		
Additional Goal #1: Enter narrative for the goal in this box.		2013 Expected Level :*					
	Enter numerical data for current goal in this box.	Enter numerical data for expected goal in this box.					
		1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	1.2.	
		1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	1.3.	

Additional Goals Professional Development

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or						
PLC activity. PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/ Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school-wide)	Target Dates (e.g., Early Release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow-up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
		T EC Ecauci	School-wide)	requeries of meetings)		

Additional Goal(s) Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Include only school-based funded			
activities/materials and exclude district			
funded activities /materials.			
Evidence-based Program(s)/Materials(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Amount
Subtotal:			
Total:\$0			
	1	I .	1

End of Additional Goal(s)

Final Budget (Insert rows as needed)

Please provide the total budget from each section.	
Reading Budget	
	Total:\$3760.00
CELLA Budget	
	Total:
Mathematics Budget	
	Total:
Science Budget	
	Total:
Writing Budget	
	Total:
Civics Budget	
	Total:
U.S. History Budget	
v o	Total:
Attendance Budget	
Thromanno 2 augor	Total:
Suspension Budget	I otal.
Suspension Dauget	Total:
Duran and Duranandian Durkand	1 otat.
Dropout Prevention Budget	T 4.1
	Total:
Parent Involvement Budget	
	Total:
STEM Budget	
	Total:
CTE Budget	
	Total:
Additional Goals	
	Total:

2012-2013 School Im	provement Plan	(SIP)-Form	SIP-1

Grand Total:\$3760.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance

Please choose the school's DA Status. (To activate the checkbox: 1. Double click the desired box; 2. When the menu pops up, select *Checked* under "Default value" header; 3. Select *OK*, this will place an "x" in the box.)

School Differentiated Accountability Status		
□Priority	□Focus	□Prevent

• Upload a copy of the Differentiated Accountability Checklist in the designated upload link on the *Upload* page

School Advisory Council (SAC)

SAC Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community members who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting *Yes* or *No* below.

□ Yes	\Box V	10
-------	------------	----

If No, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements.

Describe the activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year.

SAC will hold regular monthly meetings to analyze school improvement plan and timely student data. January 2013 principal will hold SAC Annual Stakeholders Meeting to review goals from the beginning of the school year.

Describe the projected use of SAC funds.	Amount
	\$0